GRANT NUMBER: DAMD17-94-J-4184

TITLE: Epidemiologic Cohort Study of Diet and Life-Style Factors Among Hawaiian-American Women with Breast Cancer in Hawaii

AD

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Abraham M.Y. Nomura, M.D., Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Un

University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

REPORT DATE: October 1995

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT:

19960124 036

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

	OCUMENTATION PA	AGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of info pathering and maintaining the data needed, and	rmation is estimated to average 1 hour per r completing and reviewing the collection of ir	esponse, including the time for formation. Send comments req	reviewing instructions searching existing data source
			arding this burden estimate or any other aspect of th or Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferso oject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank			nd dates covered t 94 – 30 Sep 95
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	October 1995	Annual I OC	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Epidemiologic Cohort St Among Hawaiian-American Hawaii			DAMD17∺94-J-4184
Abraham M.Y. Nomura,	M.D., Ph.D.		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii 96822			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE U.S. Army Medical Resea Fort Detrick, Maryland	arch and Materiel Com		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S	TATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public rel	lease; distribution un	nlimited	
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words))		
This is a cohort st	tudy of Howaiian wome		_
ates of breast cancer pecific sources of die etermine if micronutrie The study is progre uestionnaires were firs n the data collecting p omen completed the ques emaining 19,270 women. stimated that we will n The surveillance of eing done by the Hawai nstitute's Surveillance umber of case are diag	in the world. It is a tary fat, and alcohol ents and dietary fiber essing well. It has st mailed to about 205 procedures were working stionnaire. We are no Based on the results recruit over 10,500 we f these women to iden i Tumor Registry, which e, Epidemiology and En nosed, we will be able	designed to see use enhance brown r reduce risk. identified 24,4 % of the women ng properly. 22 ow mailing the s from the firs omen into the s tify incident c ch belongs to t nd Results Prog e to study the	to assure that all steps 290 (44.1%) out of 5198 questionnaire to the t 5198 subjects, it is tudy. ases of breast cancer is he National Cancer am. After a sufficient effects of diet, physical
ates of breast cancer pecific sources of die etermine if micronutrie The study is progre uestionnaires were firs n the data collecting p omen completed the ques emaining 19,270 women. stimated that we will n The surveillance of eing done by the Hawai nstitute's Surveillance umber of case are diago ctivity and exogenous of	in the world. It is a tary fat, and alcohol ents and dietary fiber essing well. It has st mailed to about 205 procedures were working stionnaire. We are no Based on the results recruit over 10,500 we f these women to iden i Tumor Registry, which e, Epidemiology and En nosed, we will be able	designed to see use enhance brown r reduce risk. identified 24,4 % of the women ng properly. 22 ow mailing the s from the firs omen into the s tify incident c ch belongs to t nd Results Prog e to study the	if type of dietary fat, east cancer risk, and to 68 eligible women. to assure that all steps 290 (44.1%) out of 5198 questionnaire to the t 5198 subjects, it is tudy. ases of breast cancer is he National Cancer am. After a sufficient effects of diet, physical
ates of breast cancer pecific sources of die etermine if micronutrie The study is progre uestionnaires were firs n the data collecting p omen completed the ques emaining 19,270 women. stimated that we will n The surveillance of eing done by the Hawai nstitute's Surveillance umber of case are diago ctivity and exogenous of 14. SUBJECT TERMS	in the world. It is a tary fat, and alcohol ents and dietary fiber essing well. It has st mailed to about 205 procedures were working stionnaire. We are no Based on the results recruit over 10,500 we f these women to iden i Tumor Registry, which e, Epidemiology and En nosed, we will be able	designed to see use enhance brown identified 24,44 % of the women ng properly. 22 ow mailing the s from the firstonen into the st tify incident control ch belongs to the nd Results Prog e to study the t cancer in Haw	if type of dietary fat, east cancer risk, and to 68 eligible women. to assure that all steps 290 (44.1%) out of 5198 questionnaire to the t 5198 subjects, it is tudy. ases of breast cancer is he National Cancer am. After a sufficient effects of diet, physical aiian women.
ates of breast cancer pecific sources of die etermine if micronutrie The study is progre uestionnaires were firs n the data collecting p omen completed the ques emaining 19,270 women. stimated that we will The surveillance of eing done by the Hawai nstitute's Surveillance umber of case are diago ctivity and exogenous HA SUBJECT TERMS Breast cancer, cohor	in the world. It is a tary fat, and alcohol ents and dietary fiber essing well. It has st mailed to about 205 procedures were workin stionnaire. We are no Based on the results recruit over 10,500 we f these women to iden i Tumor Registry, which e, Epidemiology and En nosed, we will be able estrogen use on breas	designed to see use enhance brown identified 24,44 % of the women ng properly. 22 ow mailing the s from the firstonen into the st tify incident control ch belongs to the nd Results Prog e to study the t cancer in Haw	if type of dietary fat, east cancer risk, and to 68 eligible women. to assure that all steps 290 (44.1%) out of 5198 questionnaire to the t 5198 subjects, it is tudy. ases of breast cancer is he National Cancer am. After a sufficient effects of diet, physical aiian women. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 21 16. PRICE CODE

ć

•

••

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

ennere nortante nortante	on down all meet at 2.20			
The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to stay within the lines to meet optical scanning requirements.				
Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).	Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.			
Block 2. <u>Report_Date</u> . Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.	Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).			
Block 3. <u>Type of Report and Dates Covered</u> . State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).	 DOD - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents." DOE - See authorities. NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2. 			
Block 4. <u>Title and Subtitle</u> . A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume,	NTIS - Leave blank.			
repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On	Block 12b. <u>Distribution Code</u> . DOD - Leave blank.			
classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.	DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical			
Block 5. <u>Funding Numbers</u> . To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:	Reports. NASA - Leave blank. NTIS - Leave blank.			
C- ContractPR- ProjectG- GrantTA- TaskPE- ProgramWU- Work UnitElementAccession No.	Block 13. <u>Abstract</u> . Include a brief (<i>Maximum 200 words</i>) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.			
Block 6. <u>Author(s)</u> . Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow	Block 14. <u>Subject Terms</u> . Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.			
the name(s). Block 7. <u>Performing Organization Name(s) and</u>	Block 15. <u>Number of Pages</u> . Enter the total number of pages.			
Address(es). Self-explanatory. Block 8. <u>Performing Organization Report</u> <u>Number</u> . Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization	Block 16. <u>Price Code</u> . Enter appropriate price code (<i>NTIS only</i>).			
performing the report. Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s)	Blocks 17 19. <u>Security Classifications</u> . Self- explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e.,			
and Address(es). Self-explanatory. Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency	UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and			
<u>Report Number</u> . (If known)	bottom of the page.			
Block 11. <u>Supplementary Notes</u> . Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with; Trans. of; To be published in When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.	Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.			
	Standard Form 298 Back (Rev. 2.99)			

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the US Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

 $\hat{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{i}$ For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

۰.,

· ...

In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

<u>A. Momun</u> O.J. 17, 1995 PI - Signature Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PAGE</u>

,

, † T

FRONT	COVER	1
SF 298	B REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	2
FOREWC	RD	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS		4
INTROE	DUCTION	5
Α.	Nature of Problem	5
Β.	Background of Previous Work	7
С.	Purpose	8
D.	Methods of Approach	8
BODY		13
Α.	Experimental Methods	13
Β.	Results	15
С.	Discussion	16
CONCLU	ISION	18
REFERE	INCES	19

INTRODUCTION

A. NATURE OF PROBLEM

Women of Hawaiian and Caucasian ancestry in the U.S. have the highest incidence rates of breast cancer in the world. Their rates surpass that of other ethnic/geographic groups in the U.S. and elsewhere (l). It is interesting to note that Hawaiians and Maoris, who are Polynesians, have breast cancer rates that are comparable to their respective white neighbors in Hawaii and New Zealand.

Many epidemiologic studies have been done in U.S. Whites to identify the endogenous and exogenous risk factors of breast cancer (2,3). They have determined that a family history of breast cancer, an early age at menarche, a late age at first pregnancy, a history of fibrocystic breast disease, radiation exposure and a late age at menopause increase the risk for this common disease. However, it is likely that some of these factors do <u>not</u> account for the high risk among Hawaiian women. For example, a study has shown that their mean age at first pregnancy (22.2 years) is lower than that of Caucasian women (24.1 years) living in Hawaii (4). In addition, a recent survey by our department has found that the age at menopause was 48.7 years for Hawaiian women and 49.2 for Caucasian women. These data suggest that late age at first pregnancy and late age at menopause contribute less to the high risk of breast cancer in Hawaiian women compared with Caucasian women. This implies that other risk factors are more important among Hawaiian women.

It is strongly suspected that obesity, physical inactivity, and diet (including alcohol) contribute to the risk of breast cancer, but the results of past studies are still controversial (3,5-13). A study of breast cancer in Hawaiian women may help to clarify some of these issues. In

our survey, Hawaiian women were found to be heavier (average weight of 74.8 kg) than Caucasian women (64.6 kg) which may increase their risk for post-menopausal breast cancer. As shown in Table 1, Hawaiian women consume more calories, total fat, meat items (especially pork and beef) than Caucasian women. However, they also consume less cheese, vegetables, and fruits. The consumption of less vitamins may increase the breast cancer risk of Hawaiians (14,15). These and other differences in the sources of nutrition (based on the consumption of specific foods and food groups) between Hawaiian and Caucasian women add to the appeal in studying Hawaiian women as another high risk group for breast cancer. Such a study would afford us the opportunity to delineate more effectively the association of diet and breast cancer, a topic of controversy in breast cancer epidemiology. Furthermore, many case-control studies of diet and breast cancer have now been done with equivocal results. These case-control studies have the potential of recall bias which is unlikely to be a problem in prospective cohort studies.

TABLE 1

Average Daily Consumption (grams/dy) of Selected

Dietary Items and Nutrients among Hawaiian and Caucasian Women

Variable	Hawaiian	Caucasian
Calorie intake (kcal/dy)	1926	1629
Total fat	76	64
Pork	44	17
Poultry	60	48
Beef	61	36
Fish	46	27
Whole milk	64	31
Skim or low fat milk	77	95
Cheese	8	20
Vegetables	88	103
Fruits	153	179

Besides the diet, it is suspected that menopausal estrogens may also increase breast cancer risk. Several studies have shown this effect with long-term use of menopausal estrogens (l6-17). However, other researchers have not been able to confirm this association (l8-20). It remains to be determined if the use of menopausal estrogens contribute to the high risk of breast cancer among Hawaiian women.

B. BACKGROUND OF PREVIOUS WORK

1. Earlier Studies. Research on dietary and other lifestyle risk factors for cancer has been conducted by our investigators in Hawaii for more than 15 years. This research effort has included studies of cancer risks and dietary correlates among migrant populations (21-22), the relationship between dietary factors and cancer risk by specific sites in different ethnic groups (23-27), and the relationship of exogenous and endogenous hormones to cancer risk (28-29). Our data suggest a positive association between dietary fat, body size, and female breast cancer (30-32), but further work is needed to clarify these associations.

2. Dietary Methods. We have been active in efforts to extend and validate methods for the collection of dietary information in epidemiologic studies. We have developed a face-to-face diet history method, including both frequency and portion size information, that is applicable in multiethnic populations (33), and we have demonstrated the reproducibility and validity of this approach (34-36). Most recently, we compared estimates of nutrient intakes from food records with those from diet histories in the same subjects, and found intraclass correlations in the general ranges of 0.4-0.8 for dietary fat and vitamins in both men and women of five ethnic groups including Hawaiians (36). This compares favorably with other

standard methods (37). We have also reanalyzed these data based on calorie-adjusted nutrient intakes using the method of Willett and Stampfer (38).

C. PURPOSE

The main goal of this study is to identify a cohort of Hawaiian women for a prospective study of the association of dietary and other environmental risk factors with breast cancer. Specifically the study is designed to investigate:

- The influence of caloric intake, type of dietary fat (saturated, monounsaturated, polyunsaturated, specific sources of dietary fat), and alcohol use in enhancing, and of dietary fiber and micronutrients in reducing breast cancer risk.
- 2. The contribution of particular foods and food groups (pork, types of fruit, types of vegetables) to the risk of breast cancer.
- 3. The role of physical activity, including an effect independent of caloric expenditure, as a protective factor for breast cancer.
- 4. The association of other non-dietary exposures, such as exogenous estrogen use, with breast cancer risk.

D. METHODS OF APPROACH

Since 1988, a substantial amount of developmental work has already been done for this planned study, because the Hawaiian population was included in the preliminary planning for a large mult-ethnic cohort study (8 ethnic groups) in Hawaii and Los Angeles. This cohort study is now funded by the National Cancer Institute and includes African, Hispanic, Japanese and Non-Hispanic White Americans. The Chinese, Filipinos, Hawaiians and Koreans were excluded to increase the population base for the remaining groups and to identify large numbers of cases for several cancers in each ethnic group included in the 5-year study. However, for Hawaiian women, there will be a sufficient number of cases in four years for meaningful analysis of their most common cancer site, breast cancer.

Thus one of the strengths of this study is that we have already completed most of the developmental and preparatory work. The study will closely follow the protocol developed for the multi-ethnic cohort study because the methods have been tested and because this will facilitate comparisons of Hawaiians with African, European, Hispanic and Japanese and Non-Hispanic White Americans later. The following brief chronology provides an overview of our preliminary work.

1. Questionnaire development. The multi-ethnic cohort questionnaire is a self administered instrument, designed to assess dietary and non-dietary factors of interest. The dietary section of the mailed questionnaire is a modification of the face-to-face dietary interview used for many years in Hawaii. To develop the self-administered questionnaire, three-day measured food records from 500 subjects (60-65 men and women, 45-75 years of age, from each of the initial 8 ethnic groups of interest) were obtained. These food records were utilized to identify those food items contributing >85% of the average intakes of nutrients of particular interest for each ethnic group and formed the basis of the dietary component of the study questionnaire. The questionnaire underwent over six revisions and was initially pre-tested in wording and format to ensure that it can be understood and completed by persons with less than a high school education. A large pretest was then

conducted in 1989 in which trained interviewers sat with more than 70 subjects while the subjects completed the questionnaire. This helped to identify any ambiguities and problems with the self-administered questionnaire. It was subsequently pilot tested in a large mailout to some 12,000 subjects in Hawaii and Los Angeles County in 1989-1990.

Based on results from 3-day measured food records, revisions and pretests, and the pilot study, we made several decisions regarding the grouping of foods, the frequency categories to be used, and the inclusion of portion sizes in the questionnaire. In order to obtain better assessments of specific carotenoids, vitamin C, and components of fat, foods were asked as a group only when they are very similar in nutrient composition. For example, carrots are asked separately; whereas yellow-orange squash and pumpkin are asked as a grouped item. To obtain more precise estimates of daily intakes, we included 8 frequency categories for foods and 9 for beverages. The frequency categories are also comparable to those used by other investigators (37). To minimize misclassification of intake, we asked subjects to select their usual portion from 3 possible sizes instead of using a standard or household portion size. Results from the pilot study showed that about 50% of subjects within each ethnic-sex group would have been misclassified on most items if we had assigned a single portion size to every food item (i.e. if a medium size was used as a standard portion).

Since the size of the cohort necessitated optical scanning of the questionnaire, it was developed with this technology in mind and with consultation from National Computer Systems (NCS), manufacturer of the scanner to be used. This approach has been used by others and found to be highly satisfactory (Willett W., personal communication).

2. Source of Subjects for Pilot Study. The Driver's License Files for the state of

Hawaii and Los Angeles county were selected as the sampling frame for the cohort because these files include, in each geographic area, a majority of all persons in the age range for the study, contain information on age and sex, and encompass all socioeconomic strata. Thus, these files provide the basis for a broadly-based cohort with a wide range in levels of exposure to risk factors of interest, particularly dietary. In both Hawaii and Los Angeles, we have obtained official access to these files for this research project.

Because the Driver's License files do not contain information on ethnicity, we compiled ethnic-specific surname lists by matching surnames with ethnicity from several sources, including the multiethnic cohort in Hawaii.

In addition, we have the access through the State of Hawaii to the Voters' Registration lists. This list identifies all persons in Hawaii who have any Hawaiian ancestry, as required by the Office of Hawaiian Affairs.

3. **Pilot Study**. In 1989-1990, we conducted a large pilot study including some 12,000 subjects in Hawaii and Los Angeles County. In this pilot, 1,000 questionnaires were sent to Hawaiians. Two additional mailings went out to nonrespondents.

The response rates to this pilot study by area for the four groups participating in the funded study and for Hawaiians are given in Table 2.

Table 2

Response Rate (%) by Ethnicity and Study Area

Ethnic Group	<u>Hawaii</u>	Los Angeles
African American		30.0
Non-Hispanic White American	70.5	49.3
Hawaiian American	47.2	
Hispanic American		28.6
Japanese American	<u>72.6</u>	<u>45.2</u>
TOTAL	63.0	38.8

For a 22-page questionnaire, with 12 pages of dietary information, mailed to a sample of the general population, this response exceeded our expectations. For example, in the Women's Health Study in Iowa (39), the response rate from a considerably more homogenous sample (mostly Non-Hispanic White) was 43%; and, in a recently established cohort in the Netherlands (40) (which followed substantial advance publicity, but only one mailing), the response rate was 35%. It should be noted that a high response rate, though desirable, is not vital to the proposed study, in that the comparisons are internal. However, as noted earlier, it is important that the cohort have a broad representation of participants for maximal range of exposures as well as greater generalizability of the findings. This appeared to be the case in the pilot study.

4. **Reproducibility of the questionnaire.** To ensure that the self-administered questionnaire adequately measures the dietary pattern of the study groups of interest, several substudies have been conducted. First, the self-administered questionnaire is modeled after a face-to-face dietary questionnaire designed for a multi-ethnic population (33) which has been

demonstrated to be reproducible and valid (34-36). Second, the reproducibility of the selfadministered questionnaire has been assessed by repeat completion of the questionnaire by some 300 subjects in Los Angeles (from the four ethnic groups in the funded study) within 6 months of when they initially completed the pilot study. The correlations of most nutrients measured were between 0.5-0.7, comparable to other reproducibility studies on diet history methods (35,41,42). The correlation coefficients for most nutrients were similar for the different ethnic groups suggesting that they are unlikely to be different for Hawaiians.

BODY

A. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Our goal was to recruit a cohort of Hawaiian-Americans in Hawaii, age 40 to 79 years old. Major activities included: identifying the sample, obtaining satisfactorily completed questionnaires, editing and computerizing the data, implementing long-term surveillance system and performing analyses for breast cancer.

The Voter's Registration lists were used to identify women of Hawaiian ancestry, as required by the Office of Hawaiian Affairs. This list was complemented by the Driver's License files. Because this file does not contain information on ethnicity, a list of Hawaiian surnames was compiled. In addition, a Hawaiian first name list was generated from a commercial book of Hawaiian names. These names were than matched to the names from the Driver's License file to identify suitable subjects.

1. Study Instrument. The mailed questionnaire to be used in the proposed cohort study is identical to the one now being used in the multiethnic cohort study. It resulted from

the adaptation of the dietary questionnaire we used for many years in face-to-face interviews developed for and validated in a multiethnic population which included Hawaiians. This questionnaire was modified for the pilot study and found suitable, for a mixed ethnic population since many ethnic foods are frequently eaten by more than one ethnic group. Changes were subsequently made in the dietary instrument with the exclusion of Chinese, Filipino and Korean groups from the funded study. However, we kept the Hawaiian foods such as poi, taro and lau lau in the questionnaire, so it is still designed to include food items contributing more than 85 per cent of the average intake of nutrients of Hawaiians.

Other questions that are included are lifetime smoking and drinking habits, medical history, occupational exposures, physical activity, family history of cancer, and for women, menstrual and reproductive history and use of hormones.

2. Data Collection. Based on our experience from the pilot study, we updated addresses with the National Change of Address system. This reduced the need to change addresses and reduced the number of undeliverables. Next, we sent a postcard to the subjects prior to the first mailing of the questionnaire, alerting them to the study and the questionnaire to follow. Mailing of this initial postcard is cost-effective because we can further reduce the number of undeliverable questionnaires.

The questionnaire is mailed in an envelope containing a cover letter, a #2 pencil to be used for filling out the form, and return envelope (business reply mail).

The initial mailing includes all eligible persons. The second and third mailings include only those persons who have not responded to the previous mailing. The second mailing is spaced about 2 months after the first, as this provides time for most respondents to return their questionnaires and for us to delete their names from the computer file before the next mailing. We then call the subjects who did not respond to the second mailing to boost the response rate for the third mailing. All mailings are being done by local mailing houses, as was done in the pilot study, since this provides savings over the cost of providing additional manpower to do this work.

After the personnel for the study were recruited and hired, we decided to mail to about a 20 per cent sample of the cohort. This was done to assure that all the steps involved in the data collection procedure were working properly, and to enable us to make any modifications before approaching the remaining subjects. The procedures went well and we are now mailing questionnaires to the rest of the women identified from the study.

B. RESULTS

1. Subject rectruitment. After we identified the first 5198 Hawaiian women for the study, they were sent a postcard. The 343 women who had moved out-of-state or for whom the postcards were undeliverable, were excluded. 4855 (93.4%) remained in the study and were sent the questionnaire. Of this number, 1297 or 26.7% returned a completed questionnaire. After excluding the women who were deceased, had moved out-of-state, refused, or had an undeliverable questionnaire (a total of 104), 3454 were sent a second questionnaire. Of this number 439 or 12.7% returned a completed questionnaire. After the special effort to boost the response rate, 554 or 18.7% of 2960 subjects responded to the next mailing with a completed questionnaire. In all, 2290 or 47.2% of 4855 Hawaiian women returned the mail questionnaire.

We are now mailing the questionnaire to the other 19,270 women identified for the study. Based on the results from the first 5198 subjects, it is estimated that we will recruit over 10,500 women into the study.

2. Data entry. The questionnaires have been designed for automated data entry using high- speed optical scanners that are available at our institution. As a result, when the completed questionnaires are received from the study participants, they are soon scanned. Recently, we have completed the edit programs to check for internal inconsistency and to identify any discrepancies in the response recorded by study subjects. Each questionnaire will be systematically checked with the edit program by our research staff.

3. Diet Calibration Study. We are conducting a diet validation (calibration study) which will enable us to correct our dietary relative risk estimate for measurement error. We have begun the collection of 24-hour recalls from a sub-sample of the study subjects. Thus far, 89 Hawaiian women have completed the three 24-hour dietary recalls. Our objective is to compare the self-administered questionnaires with the results of three 24-hour recalls from respondents randomly selected from the cohort and representative of the study population.

C. DISCUSSION

As stated in the Technical Merit Evaluation of this study, this is an efficient proposal because it uses data collection instruments that have already been developed, tested and validated. In addition, the study is unique in proposing a study among one of the world's populations at highest risk for breast cancer -- native Hawaiian women.

1. Subject Recruitment. The study is well on its way to achieving its goals. The necessary staff has been hired and trained to conduct the investigation. The initial and follow-up mailings to the first 5198 subjects eventually resulted in the return of 2290 questionnaires by study participants. At this rate of return, it is projected that over 10,500 Hawaiian women will be recruited into the study. It is estimated that the majority of the study participants will send in their questionnaire by April, 1996 and that the full recruitment of the cohort should be completed by September, 1996.

2. Surveillance. We will follow this cohort to determine cancer occurrence and mortality. We are well equipped for surveillance of cancer since the Hawaii Tumor Registry is a statewide, population-based registry and is included in the NCI's SEER Program. In addition, the Registry is part of the Cancer Research Center of Hawaii. The registry reports all new cases of cancer, and follows patients for survival information. We will follow the cohort for cancer occurrence by computer linkage of the entire cohort to the tumor registry to identify newly-diagnosed cancer cases. To identify deaths occurring in the study areas and elsewhere in the U.S., we will use the state death files of Hawaii and the National Death Index.

We expect a negligible rate of loss to follow-up due to out-migration. In a study in which a random sample of 2000 residents were followed in Hawaii, there was less than a 7% loss after 5 years of follow-up. For the native Hawaiian population, this number is expected to be much lower because relatively few Hawaiians migrate elsewhere. We plan to keep in touch with the participants by requesting updated addresses by means of the National Change of Address System. This would allow us to identify those respondents who move

away from Hawaii. We will implement other follow-up procedures including matching those lost-to-follow-up with files from voter's registration, driver's license, credit bureau, and the Health Care Finance Administration to check for out-migration. With these programs in place, it is expected that the study will achieve its goals or purposes, as described on page 4 of this Annual Report.

. . . .

CONCLUSIONS

With the ultimate recruitment of over 10,500 Hawaiian women into this study, we will be able to conduct a long-term prospective study of breast cancer in this high-risk population. It is expected with this study design that we will be able to study the influence of diet, physical activity and exogenous estrogen use on breast cancer risk. Detailed analyses of the questionnaire will also enable us to investigate other factors such as obesity, smoking history, occupational exposures and reproductive history. In the future, other cancer sites, such as the lung, large bowel and uterus in Hawaiian women can also be studied with accumulation of additional incident cases.

REFERENCES

- Parkin DM, Muir CS, Whalen SL, Gao Y-T, Ferlay J, Powell J (eds). Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, Vol. VI, (IARC Scientific Publ. No. 120), Lyon, IARC, 1992.
- 2. Kelsey JL. A review of the epidemiology of human breast cancer. Epidemiol Rev 1979;1:74-109.
- 3. Kelsey JL, Gammon MD. Epidemiology of breast Cancer. Epidemiol Rev 1990;12:228-240.
- 4. Goodman MJ, Grove JS, Gilbert F, Jr. Age at first pregnancy in relation to age at menarche and year of birth in Caucasian, Japanese, Chinese and part-Hawaiian women living in Hawaii. Ann of Human Biol 1980;7:29-33.
- 5. Kelsey JL, Berkowitz GS. Breast cancer epidemiology. Cancer Res 1988;48:5615-5623.
- 6. London SJ, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Rosner B, Speizer FE. Prospective study of relative weight, height, and risk of breast cancer. JAMA 1989;20:2853-2858.
- 7. Folsom AR, Kaye SA, Prineas RJ, Potter JD, Gapstur SM, Wallace RB. Incresed incidence of carcinoma of the breast associated with abdominal adiposity in postmenopausal women. Am J Epidemiol 1990;131:794-803.
- 8. Kushi LH, Sellers TA, Potter JD, Nelson CL, Munger RG, Kaye SA, Folsom AR. Dietary fat and postmenopausal breast cancer. JNCI 1992;84:1092-1099.
- 9. Graham S, Hellmann R, Marshall J, Freudenheim J, Vena J, Swanson M, Zielezny M, Nemoto T, Stubbe N, Raimondo T. Nutritional epidemiology of postmenopausal breast cancer in western New York. Am J Epidemiol 1991:134:552-566.
- 10. Howe GR, Friedenreich CM, Jain M, Miller AB. A cohort study of fat intake and risk of breast cancer. JNCI 1991;83:336-340.
- 11. Knekt P, Albanes D, Seppanen R, Aromaa A, Jarvinen R, Hyvonen L, Teppo L, Pukkala E. Dietary fat and risk of breast cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 1990;52:903-908.
- 12. Ewertz M, Gill C. Dietary factors and breast cancer risk in Denmark. Int J Cancer 1990;46:779-784.
- 13. Lee JP, Gourley L, Duffy SW, Esteve J, Lee J, Day NE. Dietary effects on breast cancer risk in Singapore. Lancet i;1991:337:1197-1200.
- 14. Potischman N, McCulloch CE, Byers T, Nemoto T, Stubbe N, Milch R, Parker R, Rasmussen KM, Root M, Graham S, Campbell TC. Breast cancer and dietary and plasma concentrations of carotenoids and vitamin A. Am J Clin Nutr 1990;52:909-15.
- 15. Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE, Willett WC. A prospective study of the intake of vitamins C, E, and A and the risk of breast cancer. NEJM 1993;329:234-240.
- 16. Yang CP, Daling JR, Band PR, Gallagher RP, White E, Weiss NS. Noncontraceptive hormone use and risk of breast cancer. Cancer Causes and Control 1992;3:475-479.
- 17. Steinberg KK, Thacker SB, Smith SJ, Stroup DF, Zack MM, Flanders WD, Berkelman RL. A meta-analysis of the effect of estrogen replacement therapy on the risk of breast cancer. JAMA 1991;265:1985-1990.
- 18. Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Hennekens CH,

Rosner BA, Speizer FE. Type of postmenopausal hormone use and risk of breast cancer: 12-year follow-up from the Nurses' Health Study. Cancer Causes and Control 1992;3:433-439.

19. Henrich JB. The postmenopausal estrogen/breast cancer controversy. JAMA 1992;268:1900-1902.

. . . **. .**

- 20. Kaufman DW, Palmer JR, de Mouzon J, Rosenberg L, Stolley PD, Warshauer ME, Zauber AG, Shapiro S. Estrogen replacement therapy and the risk of breast cancer: results form the case-control surveillance study. Am J Epidemiol 1991;134:1375-1385.
- 21. Kolonel LN, Hankin JH, Nomura AMY, Chu SY. Dietary fat and cancer risk among five ethnic groups in Hawaii. Cancer Res 1981;41:3727-3728.
- 22. Kolonel LN, Nomura AMY, Hinds MW, Hirohata T, Hankin JH, Lee J. Role of diet in cancer incidence in hawaii. Cancer Res (Suppl.) 1983;43:2397-2402.
- 23. Le Marchand L, Hankin JH, Kolonel LN, Beecher GR, Zhao LP. Intake of specific carotenoids and lung cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1993;2:183-187.
- 24. Goodman MT, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN. High fat foods and the risk for lung cancer. Epidemiology 1992;3:288-299.
- 25. Hankin JH, Zhao LP, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN. Attributable risk of breast, prostate, and lung cancer in Hawaii due to saturated fat. Cancer Causes and Control 1992;3:17-23.
- 26. Nomura AMY, Kolonel LN, Hankin JH, Yoshizawa CN. Dietary factors in cancer of the lower urinary tract. Int J Cancer 1991;48:199-205.
- 27. Kolonel LN, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Fukunaga FH, Hinds MW. An epidemiologic study of thyroid cancer in Hawaii. Cancer Causes and Control 1990;1:223-234. Kolonel LN, Yoshizawa CN, Hankin JH. Diet and prostate cancer: a casecontrol study in Hawaii. Am J Epidemiol 1988;127:999.
- 28. Nomura AMY, Kolonel LN, Hirohata T, Lee J: The association of replacement estrogens with breast cancer. Int J Cancer 1986;37:49-53.
- 29. Davidson M, Yoshizawa CN, Kolonel LN. Do sex hormones affect colorectal cancer? Br Med J 1985;290:1868.
- 30. Hirohata T, Nomura AMY, Hankin JH, et al. An epidemiologic study on the association between diet and breast cancer. JNCI 1987;78:595-600.
- 31. Kolonel LN, Hankin JH, Lee J, et al. Nutrient intakes in relation to cancer incidence in Hawaii. Br. J Cancer 1981;44:332-339.
- 32. Le Marchand LM, Kolonel LN, Earle ME, et al. Body size at different periods of life and breast cancer risk. Am J Epidemiol 1988;128:137-152.
- 33. Hankin JH. 23rd Lenna Frances Cooper Memorial Lecture: A diet history method for research, clinical, and community use. J Am Dietet Assoc 1986;86:868-875.
- 34. Hankin JH, Nomura AMY, Lee J, et al. Reproducibility of a diet history questionnaire in a case-control study of breast cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 1983;37:981-985.
- 35. Hankin JH, Yoshizawa CN, Kolonel LN. Reproducibility of a diet history in older men in Hawaii. Nutr Cancer 1990;13:129-140.
- 36. Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN, et al. Validation of a quantitative diet history method in Hawaii. Am J Epidemiol 1991;133:616-628.
- 37. Willett W. Nutritional Epidemiology. New York: Oxford University Press, 1990.

- Willett W, Stampfer MJ. "Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses". Am J Epidemiol 1986;124:17-27.
- 39. Folsom AR, Kaye SA, Potter JD, et al. Association of incident carcinoma of the endometrium with body weight and fat distribution in older women: early findings of the Iowa Women's Health Study. Cancer Res 1989;49:6828-6831.
- 40. van den Brandt PA, Alexandra GR, van't Veer P, et al. A large-scale prospective cohort study on diet and cancer in the Netherlands. J Clin Epidemiol 1990;43:285-295.
- 41. Pietinen P, Hartman AM, Haapa E, Rasanen L, Haapakoski J. Palmgren J, Albanes D, Virtamo J, Huttunen JK. Reproducibility and validity of a dietary assessment instrument. I> A self-administered food use questionnaire with a portion size picture booklet. Am J Epidemiol 1988;128:655-666.
- 42. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Posner B, and Hennekens CH. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. Am J Epidemiol 1985;122:51-65.