


FOA Rapport D--95--00153-1.1,1--SE September 1995



The Lanchester Theory of Combat and Some Related Subjects.

A Bibliography 1900 - 1993



Staffan Wrigge Arne Fransén Lars Wigg



- Delle Fanne de l'El Competente

FÖRSVARETS FORSKNINGSANSTALT Avdelningen för Försvarsanalys 172 90 STOCKHOLM Tel 08-706 30 00

4 December, 1995

US Army Concepts Analysis Agency ATTN: CSCA-TA (Dr. Robert L. Helmbold) 8120 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, Maryland 20814-2797

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC-OCP) 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 0944 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Re: Transmittal of Report, "The Lanchester Theory of Combat and Some Related Subjects: A Bibliography 1900-1993"

1. The enclosed report, "The Lanchester Theory of Combat and Some Related Subjects: A Bibliography 1900-1993," FOA Report D--95--00153-1.1,1--SE, September 1995, is submitted for archiving in the DTIC collection.

2. The appropriate distribution statement for this report is Distribution Statement A: "Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited."

3. Please return the completed copy of DTIC Form 50 to me when this report has been cataloged in the DTIC archives.

4. If you have any questions, please get in touch with our point of contact for this matter, Dr. Robert L. Helmbold, phone 301-295-5278, DSN-295-5278.

ENCLS

Dr. Robert L. Helmbold

Robert J. Helah th

GS-14, CSCA-TA



NATIONAL DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT Department of NBC Defence **Division of Nuclear Weapons Physics** Date

When answering, Please refer to FOA No.

November 6, 1995

US Army Concepts Analysis Agency Attn: CSCA-TA (Helmbold) 8120 Woodmont Avenue BETHESDA, Maryland 20814-2797 USA

Your reference

Our reference

Dear Sir:

Thank you very much for your two letters and your kind words about our Lanchester bibliography. Your lists of references will certainly be helpful - expecially regarding the development during the last 10 years or so - in case we get the time to issue a revised edition of the bibliography. In fact, my colleague Staffan Wrigge was so stimulated by your letter that he already has proposed such an idea and is prepared to take part in the work. It is too early for myself to say if my time will permit this. By the way, Mr. Wrigge who has corresponded with you about the Russian Osipov, sends his greetings to you.

Now for your question about forwarding the report to DTIC and NTIS. Our report administation group already has sent a copy to the NTIS, so I assume they will make it known through their usual channels (GRA etc.) If you, however, think the report will be made known by more readers, or made known by the "Lanchester community" more effectively by sending it to the DTIC, then I certainly endorse your doing so.

In your second letter of 19 October your have furnished us with very valuable information about the addresses of some researchers in the field. I suppose this is the result of a letter I sent to the Military Operations Society of America asking for such help. Your name was included in the list of people, whose addresses we asked about, to be quite sure that we sent the report to the correct address. It is our understanding that many organizational changes have been made within the U.S. Defense lately, so we thought maybe also the CAA had been influenced. By a mistake our report administrators sent a copy to you instead of awaiting the result of our inquiries.

We have tried to send copies to about 30 American authors of articles and books on Lanchester theory. All of them are mentioned in our bibliography. If you, however, know of other researchers who you think should receive our report, please inform me about them. I also enclose a couple of copies for your own use.

Sincerely,

Les Wy

Address: Lars Wigg National Defence Res. Establishment (FOA) S-172 90 STOCKHOLM, Sweden

Enclosure

SWEDEN

Postal address S-172 90 STOCKHOLM Street address

Sundbybera

Enköpingsvägen 126

Telephone Int +46 8 706 30 00 Telefax Int +46 8 706 34 94 Telex 10366 foa s

FOA Försvarsanalys 172 90 STOCKHOLM FOA Rapport-D--95--00153-1.1,1--SE September 1995

The Lanchester Theory of Combat and Some Related Subjects. A Bibliography 1900-1993

Staffan Wrigge Arne Fransén Lars Wigg

Accesio	n For		1
NTIS DTIC	TAB		
Unannounced [_; Justification			
By Distribution /			
Availability Codes			
Dist	Avail and/or Special		
A-1			

Sändlista:

Hkv/AL, FMV, MHS, FOA 1 {P Agrell, A Almén, T André, U Backlund, B Backström, A Fransén (30 ex), T Isacson, H Löfstedt, S Odar, E Sjöberg, B Söderlund, O Söderqvist, B Tarras-Wahlberg, S Wrigge (30 ex)}, FOA 2, FOA 4 {Bibl, L Wigg (30 ex)}, FOA 6 (B Aulin, I Karasalo, L O Nilsson, P Westrin) + utländska forskare enligt egen sändlista (34 ex)

D	OKUMENTDATA	
Utgivare Försvarets Forskningsanstalt Huvudavdelningen för försvarsanalys 172 90 Stockholm	Beteckning FOA Rapport-D9500153-1.1,1SE	
	Datum September 1995	Uppdragsnummer 541 124
	Projektnamn (ev förkortat Övrigt OA-stöd)
Upphovsman(män)	Uppdragsgivare	
Staffan Wrigge, Arne Fransén, Lars Wigg	FOA	
Huvudinnehåll		
Lanchesterteori och några besläktade ämne	n. En bibliografi för årer	1900-1993
Föreliggande rapport ger en kort introdukti ning av förlopp och utfall av strider, där va historik över teorins utveckling i USA och Östeuropa och f d Sovjetunionen. För varja forskare som betytt mest för Lanchesterteo	ardera sida består av ett a Västeuropa (med ett sär e geografiskt område nän rins utveckling. Den eger	som är en matematisk beskriv- antal deltagare. Härvid ges en skilt avsnitt om Sverige) samt i nns de institutioner och enskilda ntliga bibliografin om ca 700
ning av förlopp och utfall av strider, där va historik över teorins utveckling i USA och Östeuropa och f d Sovjetunionen. För varje	ardera sida består av ett a Västeuropa (med ett sär e geografiskt område nän rins utveckling. Den eger en innehåller förteckninga tet, förläggare m.m. I Ap	som är en matematisk beskriv- antal deltagare. Härvid ges en skilt avsnitt om Sverige) samt i inns de institutioner och enskilda ntliga bibliografin om ca 700 ar över förkortningar, tidskrifter
Föreliggande rapport ger en kort introdukti ning av förlopp och utfall av strider, där va historik över teorins utveckling i USA och Östeuropa och f d Sovjetunionen. För varje forskare som betytt mest för Lanchesterteo referenser återfinns i Appendix A, som äve som genomsökts, institutioner och universi	ardera sida består av ett a Västeuropa (med ett sär e geografiskt område nän rins utveckling. Den eger en innehåller förteckninga tet, förläggare m.m. I Ap	som är en matematisk beskriv- antal deltagare. Härvid ges en skilt avsnitt om Sverige) samt i inns de institutioner och enskilda ntliga bibliografin om ca 700 ar över förkortningar, tidskrifter
Föreliggande rapport ger en kort introdukti ning av förlopp och utfall av strider, där va historik över teorins utveckling i USA och Östeuropa och f d Sovjetunionen. För varje forskare som betytt mest för Lanchesterteo referenser återfinns i Appendix A, som äve som genomsökts, institutioner och universi	ardera sida består av ett a Västeuropa (med ett sär e geografiskt område nän rins utveckling. Den eger en innehåller förteckninga tet, förläggare m.m. I Ap	som är en matematisk beskriv- antal deltagare. Härvid ges en skilt avsnitt om Sverige) samt i inns de institutioner och enskilda ntliga bibliografin om ca 700 ar över förkortningar, tidskrifter

Nyckelord

Bibliografi, Lanchester, markstrid, stokastiska dueller

Övriga bibliografiska uppgifter		Språk Engelska	
ICON	ISBN	Omfång	Pris kronor
ISSN	IDRN	Omfång 78 sidor	PTIS KTONOT

Anm.

DOC	UMENT DATA	
Issuing organization National Defence Research Establishment Department of Defence Analysis S-172 90 Stockholm	Doc. ref. No. FOA Rapport-D9500153-1.1,1SE	
	Date Item designation September 1995 541 124	
	Project name (abbreviated if necessary) "Other" Operations Research Support	
Author(s) Staffan Wrigge, Arne Fransén	Initiator or sponsoring organization	
Lars Wigg	FOA	

The Lanchester Theory of Combat and Some Related Subjects. A Bibliography 1900-1993

Abstract

This report gives a brief introduction to the Lanchester theory, which is a mathematical description of the course and outcome of battle with several participants on either side. Thus we present a history of the development of the theory in the United States and Western Europe (with a separate section about Sweden) as well as in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union. For each geographical area we mention the institutes and individual scientists who have had the most impact on the development of Lanchester theory. The bibliography as such comprises some 700 references and is found in Appendix A, which also contains lists of abbreviations, magazines which have been searched, institutes and universities, publishers etc. In Appendix B the references are classified according to application or mathematical approach. They are also classified as to issuing institute or agency.

Key	words
-----	-------

Bibliography, ground battle, Lanchester, stochastic duels

Further bibliographic description		Language English	
ISSN	ISBN	Pages 78	Price

Preface

This report is the joint work of three persons, all of whom have worked for many years at the National Defence Research Establishment (FOA) in Sweden. We have all been involved in making models of different aspects of battles including all the military services. During this work we have come into contact with the Lanchester theory of combat which we consider as an important tool in model building.

Two of us, S Wrigge and L Wigg, have systematically gathered information concerning Lanchester theory since the early 1970s. In 1975 we compiled a bibliography on the subject. Since then twenty years have passed and quite a few papers (reports, books) about Lanchester theory have seen the daylight. Thus we have found time to be ripe for a new bibliography, which is presented here.

The third of us, Arne Fransén, has contribued with his expert knowledge of computers and data bases. He has arranged all the information in a data file. He has also carefully checked many of the references against the original documents (or microfiches thereof).

It can hardly be claimed that this bibliography is complete. This is emphasized by the complement at the end of section 7 in Appendix A. This complement is mainly the result of a study of the reference lists of a number of Lanchester reports.

We welcome, of course, all comments on this bibliography as well as corrections and additions. Those should be sent to Lars Wigg, FOA, S-172 90 Stockholm, Sweden. (The other two authors will soon be leaving FOA.)

Contents

Preface	Page i
INTRODUCTION	
1. Definitions and general background	1
2. A historic survey	2
3. Sources of information	6
4. Use of the bibliography	8
Appendix A: Listing of the references and other information (see contents on page A-1)	(63 pp)
Appendix B: Classification of the references	(5 pp)

Page ii

Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

INTRODUCTION

1. Definitions and general background

The Lanchester theory of combat is most easily defined as a mathematical description of the course and outcome of real or simulated battle or of situations of a similar nature - such as the struggle for market shares. The tools which are used are most often differential equations and/or stochastic processes. The "Lanchesterians" consider their theory of battle as a part of physics (see e.g. Brackney (86)).

The theory has received its name from one of its creators, F W Lanchester (1868-1946), an English gentleman of numerous talents and interests. Its other creator was for many years virtually unknown in the West. His name was M Osipov (18??-19??), and his background is so obscure that it is not even known what the initial "M" stands for. Both Lanchester's and Osipov's work is from the 1914-1916 period. Since they worked independently of one another, one should properly speak of the Lanchester-Osipov theory of combat. We believe that this designation will become customary among military analysts in the future.

A more detailed description of Lanchester's life and work is to be found in the references given in section 2.1. Although far less complete, the corresponding data for Osipov is given in section 2.3. (A predecessor of both is the American officer B A Fiske, who as early as 1905 published a simple discrete version of one of the Lanchester-Osipov equations (see especially section 2.1).)

The title of this bibliography includes the words "and some related subjects". This refers mainly to mathematical models of duels, which is a well-defined area of research. Another area included is movement of the frontline in battles or campaigns. There are also studies of the frequency, duration and extent of labour strikes and of wars. As mentioned above, we have also included references to papers treating struggles for market shares and similar competitive situations. Finally, we have included references to more extensive combat models which we know or strongly believe are built upon Lanchester theory. In a previous bibliography (Wrigge & Wigg (615)) biological combat models were also mentioned, since there are many connections between these and Lanchester theory: methods of reasoning and the resulting differential equations are similar in both cases. For reasons of space, however, we have not included these references in the present work.

Surveys of Lanchester models (deterministic and/or stochastic) or of models of duels have been made by C J Ancker (13), C J Ancker & A V Gafarian (19), J Byrne & A Lamontagne (100), L Dolanský (151), L K Ekchian (158), B W Fowler (187, 188), G S Hall (229), N K Jaiswal (295), A F Karr (315), and J G Taylor (538-540). Both an annotated and unannotated bibliography has been compiled by J G Taylor (540). Other lists of valuable references have been included in G S Hall (229) and L Dolanský (151), and an unofficial bibliography was published by P J Haysman and B E Mortagy (246). See also the bibliography by S Wrigge & L Wigg (615).

2. A historic survey

2.1 United States and Western Europe (except Sweden)

In our part of the world the pioneer of the mathematical description of combat is, as has already been mentioned, the Englishman F W Lanchester. His basic and often quoted book "Aircraft in Warfare - The Dawn of the Fourth Arm" appeared in 1916. In order to strengthen his military thesis Lanchester was forced to analyze relations between the combatants in different kinds of combat. He published many of his ideas in 1914 in the journal Engineering. A documentation of Lanchester's life and work is given by T von Karman (308), P W Kingsford (338), J F McCloskey (373) and H R Rickardo (440).

In 1905 B A Fiske published a discrete version of one of Lanchester's equations. In a later work, Fiske (184), there is a reference to J V Chase, who published similar results in 1902. As far as we know, this is the earliest reference to what would later be known as the Lanchester-Osipov theory of combat. Fiske's work is discussed by H K Weiss (592) and J H Engel (163).

In the 1920's and 30's the development of the mathematical theory of combat took place mainly in that branch of mathematical biology which deals with the

balance between predators and preys. Important contributions were made by C F Gause, J Lotka and V Volterra.

After the World War II, intensive studies of this subject were made at several American universities and military research institutes. Masses of data from WWII and earlier wars were gathered and analyzed in order to test and develop the Lanchester theory. Contributions by J H Engel (162, 164) and H K Weiss (594) should be mentioned. Lanchester combat theory has been weak when it comes to verification and validation. This painstaking activity almost ceased for a while but was resumed in the 80's and 90's. In this context, works by T N Dupuy (155, 156) and D S Hartley (237-244) can be mentioned.

The main body of references in this bibliography consists of papers, reports and theses produced in the US. Many originate at military research institutes such as the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California and the Institute for Defense Analyses in Arlington, Virginia. As regards Europe, much valuable work has been done in the United Kingdom at DOAE and, to some extent, at the Royal Military College; in Italy at SACLANT ASW Research Centre; in Netherlands at SHAPE Technical Centre; in Germany at IABG in Munich; in Sweden at FOA; in Austria at Institut für Grundlagenforschung an der Landesverteidigungsakademie; and in Switzerland at various institutes and operations research sections within the military establishment.

Our knowledge of what has been done in Lanchester theory and associated areas is of necessity incomplete i.a. because of military classification. Compared with an earlier version of this bibliography from 1975, however, we have been able to fill in several gaps.

In section 3 more details will be given about individual researchers and research institutes.

2.2 Sweden: The National Defence Research Establishment (FOA)

As early as the 1950's, FOA developed a serious interest in Lanchester theory and other mathematical descriptions of warfare. An excellent example of this is a paper by T Ganelius (202), in which movement of the front during battle is analyzed using partial differential equations. In the 50's several other scientists at FOA, e.g. B Jansson (297) and L Zackrisson (617, 618, 620) were well acquainted with Lanchester theory and its ramifications. The first researchers in Sweden to deal with Lanchester theory, however, would seem to be H Wallman *et. al.* (576).

In the 1950's and 60's larger models were created for evaluation of different weapons systems during the test and evaluation phases. In the early '60's the tendency was to use computer simulations and - for assessment of ground battles - ordinary gaming, where different "score methods" were used instead of Lanchester equations. Simulations of ground battle using computers were at a low organizational level, using hit probabilities and geometrical descriptions for the movement of soldiers, tanks etc. In the beginning of the 1970's, operations research teams within the military services (manned by FOA) became involved in problems at a high organizational level (the total military defense structure or a whole service) and interest in Lanchester theory waned.

At the end of the 1970's interest reappeared, however, when insight was gained in the hierarchy of models developed at the Defence Operational Analysis Establishment (DOAE) in the United Kingdom. Of special interest was a method used by D P Dare and D A P James (137) to aggregate measures of effectiveness from a low organizational level to higher levels. The problem of aggregation has gained new emphasis among defense analysts and been fiercely discussed during the 80's as well as the 90's.

Although several researchers at FOA (G Borenius (77, 78), S Wrigge (610-615) and others) have maintained - and to some extent increased - FOA's knowledge of Lanchester theory and similar subjects, it must be acknowledged that the advanced level of the 1950's and 60's has not been sustained. The amount of work required in order to understand and make use of new developments in Lanchester theory has been underestimated. A contributing factor may be disappointments after earlier efforts to use the theory yielded less than had been expected.

2.3 Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union

Owing to the language barrier and a strong inclination for secrecy, it has been difficult to gain a clear view of the situation on the "Lanchester front" in the countries constituting the former Warsaw Pact. It is, however, safe to say that these countries have closely followed what has been published internationally Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

on Lanchester theory. Soviet textbooks on operations research mention Lanchester equations - deterministic as well as stochastic - as standard methods to predict the outcome of battle. Researchers who have studied the subject in detail, e.g. J W Stirling and J W Anderson¹, are of the opinion that Soviet practitioners of operations research often use theories published in the West, nor have they found there any ideas or theories unknown to Western countries.

Our knowledge of the use of Lanchester theory in the East has increased somewhat since 1975. One should mention contributions by Yu V Chuyev (116), F I Eresko (169), V.M. Gavrilov (208), R L Helmbold (264), V F Krapivin (345), M Osipov (408), P N Tkachenko (569), and V N Zhukov (623). It is from Chuyev that we first learn of Osipov as one of the pioneers of the mathematical theory of combat. R L Helmbold (264) has written about Osipov's life (what little is known) and his pioneering work from 1915. Osipov's work is an example of sound military science, and had his theoretical development been available earlier in the West, a great deal of our analyses of attrition warfare would have been unnecessary. As the saying goes, Osipov was remarkably ahead of his time.

We end this section with a quotation from PHALANX, March 1992, about Osipov:

"One way to appreciate Osipov is to contrast his work with that of F W Lanchester, who has long been credited with inventing the square and linear law equations that bear his name. His approach is expository and didactic; in other words, he makes no effort to substantiate mathematical logic with data. Osipov in good old fashioned Russian military scientific fashion, derives his equations as theory, then sets about to test the theory with data from 38 battles, then draws conclusions for the pragmatic purpose of aiding those in command of forces of combat."

¹ J W Stirling and J W Anderson, *Operations Research in the Warsaw Pact armed forces*, Proc 12th US Army Operations Research Symposium, pp 41-48.

Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

3. Sources of information

The references in this bibliography can be presented in three ways, depending on whether one wishes to concentrate on authors, publications or institutions. What follows is a combination of three aspects.

Starting with deterministic Lanchester theory, the most prolific author has been J G Taylor (501-562), who for many years worked at the Naval Postgraduate School in California, USA, which has published most of his research reports. Most of his papers have been published in Naval Research Logistics Quarterly and Journal of the Operations Research Society of America (JORSA). The latter is the journal containing the greatest number of articles on the subject. The frequency of articles on Lanchester theory has, however, declined during the past ten years.

It may be worth mentioning here that the number of publications by J G Taylor and the number of M S theses from the Naval Postgraduate School add up to more than 100, i.e. about a sixth of all references in this report.

On the subject of stochastic Lanchester equations, A F Karr (311-328) is a well-known name. He has been employed at the Institute for Defense Analyses in Virginia, USA. Interesting results have been published by N Jennings (299, 300) and T G Weale (580-587) at DOAE in the United Kingdom. Important work has also been done by C J Ancker and A V Gafarian (19), which includes an annotated bibliography on stochastic Lanchester equations.

A prolific author on the subject of stochastic duels is C J Ancker (8-20), who has worked at the Systems Development Corporation in California, US. Papers by his co-author A V Gafarian (see above), should also be mentioned (198-200).

Another productive scientist is R L Helmbold (248-266), who has worked at several institutes, among them RAND Corporation in California and US Army Concepts Analysis Agency in Maryland, USA. He has, among other things, compared historical data with predictions using Lanchester theory. The RAND Corporation was at an early stage interested in the mathematical theory of combat - see e.g. O Helmer (267), T E Oberbeck (401, 402), and R N Snow

Page 7

Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

(484). After an interval of low interest, this institute has returned to the subject, although now focusing on problems at a more abstract level - see e.g. P K Davis and D Blumenthal (141).

Leaving aside the pioneering work of M Osipov from 1915, the first effort to validate Lanchester models seems to have been made by J H Engel (162) in 1954, then at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in USA. Interesting models of battles of the US Civil War have been developed by H K Weiss (594). A mathematical analysis of 60 battles during the Second World War was made by J B Fain (173). Important results also come from D S Hartley at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Tennessee (237-244).

Contributions to the area of validation by D Willard (597), J W R Lepingwell (362) and R L Helmbold (see above) should also be mentioned. Generally, it is fair to say that Lanchester theory is underdeveloped in this respect and that this has contributed to its stagnation.

In Western Europe most military research institutes have at times dealt with Lanchester theory. At FOA e.g., approximately 30 reports related to Lanchester theory have been published. We have already mentioned DOAE in the United Kingdom and SACLANT ASW Research Centre in Italy. An overview of what has been published at different institutes is given in *Appendix B*.

One way to follow what is published about Lanchester theory is to read the following publications: JORSA, Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, Government Reports Announcements (GRA) - especially area 15 - and Operations Research: Literatuuroverzicht Wetenschappelijk. The last two publications mentioned give references to reports from NATO countries. Some articles of interest may also be found in the Journal of Canadian Operations Research and the Operational Research Quarterly.

During the last ten years we have also been using computers to search for references in various databases. The results of such searches depend, of course, on how search questions are framed and on which databases are used. Our experience is that all computer searches must be supplemented by manual investigations and literature studies. Also, through the years, many valuable

1995-09-01

Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

references have been given to us by colleagues at FOA and elsewhere. We want to take the opportunity here to thank all of them.

Although we have been collecting references to Lanchester theory for some twenty years - and publish here the results of our common efforts - we can hardly claim that this bibliography is in any way complete. It is our hope, however, that we have included the most valuable publications on Lanchester theory and related subjects, and that our readers will benefit from this overview.

4. Use of the bibliography

The main body of the bibliography (Appendix A) is a numbered listing of references to books, theses, reports, articles etc, where the authors are listed in alphabetical order. To facilitate use of the bibliography, the reference numbers have also been grouped under various headings. This material is found in Appendix B. References to e.g. duels, stochastic Lanchester models, RAND Corporation reports, reports from the Naval Postgraduate School can be found here.

<u>APPENDIX A</u>

0. Preface

In this appendix we give the entire listing of references to papers treating Lanchester and related subjects.

In order to facilitate for the reader we have tried to give enough information in each quoted reference. Thereby we have used some abbreviations, which are listed in Section 1 below.

Section 2 shows the abbreviations used for journals and magazines used as well as country of origin. Section 3 is a listing of the agencies, institutes, universitites etc which have published the reports. Also for the benefit of the reader we have supplied a list of conferences in Section 4 as well as a list of publishers of books in Section 5. A list of acronyms for report identification will be found in Section 6.

The complete listing of references is given in lexicographic order with respect to the authors' surnames in Section 7. To indicate the accuracy of the information the reference number is written in bold type when we actually have seen the document in question. At the end of this section is a complement showing references which were found after the main list had been compiled.

Section 8 gives information about literature, which is referred to in some of the references in section 7.

Table of Contents

Page
A-1
A-2
A-3
A-7
A-10
A-11
A-12
A-13
A-62

1. List of abbreviations used

Amer	American	OR	Operations Research
Appl	Applied	Ph D	Doctor of Philosophy
Assoc	Association	Postgr	Postgraduate
Bull	Bulletin	•	Pages
Canad	Canadian	pp Prob	Probability
Co.	Company	Proc	Proceedings
Conf	Conference	Quart	Quarterly
Contrib	Contribution	Rep	Report
Corp	Corporation	Res	Research
ed	Editor	Rev	Review
eds	Editors		
		Roy Sci	Royal
esp	Especially		Science
Inc.	Incorporated	Scis	Sciences
Inst	Institute	Ser	Series
Int	International	Soc	Society
J	Journal	Statl	Statistical
Lab	Laboratory	Stats	Statistics
Labs	Laboratories	Symp	Symposium
Log	Logistics	Technl	Technical
Ltd.	Limited	Techno	Technology
M S	Master of Science	Trans	Transactions
Mat	Matematyki	US	United States
Mathl	Mathematical	Univ	University
Maths	Mathematics	Vol	Volume
Memo	Memorandum	vs	versus
Mil	Military	Zastos	Zastosowania
No	Number	· .	
Opnl	Operational		
Opns	Operations		
•	•		

2. List of journals and magazines:

Advances in Game Theory Advances in Game Theory (U S A) Allgemeinen Schweizerischen Militärzeitschrift (ASMZ) Allgemeinen Schweizerischen Militärzeitschrift (ASMZ) Huber & Company Aktiengesellschaft, Presseverlag, 8500 Frauenfeld (Switzerland) Amer Scientist American Scientist (U S A) **Annals Mathl Studies** Annals of Mathematical Studies (U S A) Annals of Opns Res Annals of Operations Research (U S A) **Appl Stats** Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series C, Applied Statistics (U K) Army Army (USA) Artilleri Tidskrift Artilleri Tidskrift (Sweden) **Behavioral Sci** Behavioral Science (U S A) Bull Amer Mathl Soc Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society (U S A) Bull IMA Bulletin of the Institute for Mathematical Analysis (U K) **Bull Mathl Statistics** Bulletin of Mathematical Statistics (U S A) Bull de la Societe Royale Belge des Electriciens Bulletin de la Societe Royale Belge des Electriciens (Belgium) Cahiers du Centre d'Etudes de Recherche Opérationnelle, Bruxelles Cahiers du Centre d'Etudes de Recherche Opérationnelle, c/o Institut de Statistique de l' U. L. B., C. P. 210 - Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Bruxelles - Belgique (Belgium) Colloquium Mathematicum Colloquium Mathematicum (Poland) Computational and Opns Res Computational and Operations Research (U K) Cybernetics - in the Service of Communism Cybernetics - in the Service of Communism (?) Defence Sci J Defence Science Journal (India) Defense Analysis Defense Analysis (U K) DTIC **DTIC** (?)

Arne Fransén Lars Wigg

Elementa Elementa (Sweden) European J Opnl Res European Journal of Operational Research (The Netherlands) History, Numbers and War History, Numbers and War (U S A) Int J Systems Sci International Journal on Systems Science (U S A) Int Security International Security (U S A) Jahrbuch der Wehrtechnik Jahrbuch der Wehrtechnik (Germany) J Amer Statl Assoc (JASA) Journal of the American Statistical Association (U S A) J Appl Prob Journal of Applied Probability (U K) J Canad Opnl Res Soc (CORS) Journal of the Canadian Operational Research Society (CORS) (Canada) J Conflict Resolution The Journal of Conflict Resolution (U S A) J Franklin Inst Journal of The Franklin Institute (USA) J Mathl Analysis and Applications (JMAA) Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications (U S A) J Opnl Res Soc Journal of the Operational Research Society (U K) J Opns Res Soc Japan Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan (Japan) J Roy Aeronautical Soc Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society (U K) J Roy Statl Soc A Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A (U K) J Roy United Services Inst for Defence Studies Quart (RUSI) Journal of the Royal United Services Institute for Defence Studies Quarterly (RUSI) (U K) Management Sci Management Science (U S A) Der Matematikunterricht Der Matematikunterricht (?) Mathl and Computer Modeling Mathematical and Computer Modeling (U S A) Mathl Modeling Mathematical Modeling (USA) Mathl Scientist The Mathematical Scientist (Australia) Memoirs Defense Academy Japan Memoirs of the Defense Academy of Japan (Japan)

Arne Fransén Lars Wigg

Page A-5

MIC MIC (?) Mil Rev Military Review (U S A) Nature Nature (U K) Naval Res Log Naval Research Logistics (U S A) Naval Res Log Quart Naval Research Logistics Quarterly (U S A) Normat Normat (Scandinavia) Numerical Methods in Partial Differential Equations Numerical Methods in Partial Differential Equations Obituary Notices of the Fellows of Roy Soc Obituary Notices of the Fellows of Royal Society (U K) **Opnl Res Quart** Operational Research Quarterly (U K) **Opns Res** (Journal of) Operations Research (U S A) Opns Res Spektrum Operations Research Spektrum (U S A) Opsearch Opsearch (USA) Organizational Behaviour in Human Performance Organizational Behaviour in Human Performance OR Overzicht TDCK Operations Research Literatuuroverzicht TDCK, 's-Gravenhage (The Netherlands) PHALANX PHALANX (The Bulletin of Military Operations Research Society), Alexandria, Virginia (U S A) Proc U S Naval Inst Proceedings of the United States Naval Institute (U S A) Quality Control and Appl Statistics Quality Control and Applied Statistics (U S A) Sankhya B Sankhya, Series B (India) SIAM J Appl Maths Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics Journal on Applied Mathematics (U S A) SIAM Rev Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics Review (U S A) Signal Signal (Journal of the Armed Forces Communications and Electronics Association) (U S A) Synthese Synthese (?)

1995-09-01

Arne Fransén Lars Wigg

Teknisk Tidskrift

Teknisk Tidskrift (Sweden)

Trans of the New York Academy Of Sciences

Transactions of the New York Academy of Science, Series II (U S A)

War Gaming Cosmagon and Zigspiel

War Gaming Cosmagon and Zigspiel (?)

Zastos Mat

Zastosowania Matematyki (Poland)

Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik (ZAMM)

Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik (ZAMM)

(West Germany)

Zeitschrift für Opns Res

Zeitschrift für Operations Research (Germany)

3. List of institutes

Admiralty Underwater Weapons Establishment Amer Mathl Soc Army Command and General Staff College Army Material Systems Analysis Activity **Ballistic Res Labs** The BDM Corp The Brookings Institution Brooks Air Force Base - School of Aerospace Medicine Brunel Univ Carnegie-Mellon Univ - Department of Statistics Center for Naval Analyses Colorado Univ - Department of Maths Combined Arms Opns Res Activity Convair Defence Materiel Administration (FMV) Defence Operational Analysis Establishment Department of the Air Force Department of National Defense, Canada Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. "Energija" Florida State Univ - Department of Statistics George Washington Univ Georgia Inst Techno **Government Printing Office** Inst for Defense Analyses - Program Analysis Division Institut für strategische Grundlagenforschung an der Landesverteidigungsakademie des Bundesministeriums für Landesverteidigung Iowa Univ - Department of Statistics Johns Hopkins Univ - Department of Mathl Sciences - Opns Res Office Joint Publications Res Service Lambda Corp Lawrence Livermore National Lab Louisiana Technical Univ - College of Administration Business - Department of Business Analysis Communication Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. - Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Arne Fransén Lars Wigg

Massachusetts Inst Techno - Lab for Information and Decision Systems - Opns Res Group Messerschmitt-Boelkow-Blohm G m b H - Unternahmensbereich Apparate Ministry of Defence - Center for Mil Analyses National Bureau of Standards National Defence Res Establishment (FOA) National Res Council - Committee on Amphibious Opns Naval Ordnance Lab Naval Postgr School Naval War College - Center for Advanced Res - Department of Opns Naval Weapons Center North Amer Aviation Northeastern Univ - Electronics Res Lab Oak Ridge K-25 Site Oak Ridge National Lab Office of Scientific Res and Development Ohio State Univ - Department of Industrial Engineering - Systems Res Group Opns Res Gruppe der IABG The Pentagon - Organization of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Physics and Electronics Lab **RAND** Corp **Res Analysis Corp** Roy Inst Techno - Department of Automatic Control Roy Mil College Sci SACLANT ASW Res Centre Sandia Labs SHAPE Technical Centre Synectics Corp System Development Corp Tactical Weapon Guidance and Control Information and Analysis Center Technical Opns, Inc. - Combat Opns Res Group Univ California - Department of Economics Univ Hull - Department of Mathl Statistics and Subdepartment of Opns Res

Arne Fransén Lars Wigg

Univ Maryland - Physics Department Univ Michigan - Systems Res Lab . Department of Industrial Engineering Univ Microfilms Univ Microfilms Int Univ Southern California - Department of Electrical Engineering Univ South Florida U S Air Force Systems Command U S Army Concepts Analysis Agency U S Army Engineer School Readings Opns Res/Systems Analysis U S Army TRADOC Analysis Command U S Army Weapons Command - Systems Analysis Directorate Corp **U** S Government Virginia Polytechnic Inst Wright-Patterson Air Force Base - Foreign Techno Division - U S Air Force Inst Techno . School of Engineering . School of Systems and Logistics

Zentrale Operations-Research-Stelle

4. List of Conferences

- 1. Advances in Game Theory, Annals of Mathematical Studies 52 1964
- 2. Annual Meeting of the Operations Research Society in U S A 23th 40th
- 3. Annual United States Army Operations Research Symposium 4th 10th 11th 12th 13th 17th
- 4. Conference of Army Mathematics 24th
- 5. Carl-Cranz-Gesellschaft Meeting on Bewertung von Waffensystemen November 29, 1974
- 6. Fire Support Method Methodology Workshop 1975
- 7. IFORS International Conference on Operations Research 8th
- 8. International Conference on Operational Research 1st 2nd 4th 5th 11th
- International Symposium on Applied Computations of Operations Research to Problems of World Concern 1973
- 10. Los Angeles Joint National Meeting November 13-15, 1978
- 11. Meeting of SIAM November 2-4, 1961
- 12. Military Operations Research Symposium 8th 14th 35th 57th
- 13. MIT/ONR Workshop on C3 Systems 6th
- 14. National Meeting, Military Applications Section, Operations Research Society of America
- 1st15. NATO Conference on Modeling Land Battle Systems in Military Planning August 1974
- 16. NATO Conference on Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory July 3-7, 1967
- 17. Operations Research Society Annual Conference September 6-7, 1979
- 18. Symposia in Applied Mathematics Volume 25
- 19. University of Michigan Engineering Summer Conference on Military Operations Research
 - July 21-August 1, 1969
- 20. United States Army Engineer School Readings in Operations Research/Systems Analysis January 1969
- 21. Winter Simulation Conference December 4-6, 1989

1995-09-01

- 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island
- 2. Constable and Co, London
- 3. Elsevier Publishing Co, New York
- 4. Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York
- 5. Hero Books, Fairfax, Virginia
- John Wiley & Sons, New York
- 7. MacDonald and Jane's Publishers Ltd, London
- 8. National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland
- 9. North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 10. Paragon Publishers, New York
- 11. Plenum Press, New York
- 12. Quadrangle Books, Chicago
- 13. R Oldenbourg Verlag, München, West Germany
- 14. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany
- 15. The Boxwood Press, Pittsburgh
- 16. The Principia Press, Inc, Bloomington, Indiana
- 17. UNIBOOKS English Universities Press, London

6. List of report identifications

AF	U S Air Force
AFIT	Air Force Institute of Technology
AFOSR	(Iowa University)
ARO	Army Research Office
BD	The BDM Corporation
BRL	Ballistic Research Laboratories
CAA	U S Army Concepts Analysis Agency
CEMA	Center for Military Analyses
CNA	Center for Naval Analyses
CORG	Combat Operations Research Group
DAAB	U S Army STAG
DOAE	Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, United Kingdom
FDOK	Flygdokumentation, Defence Material Administration, Sweden
FEL	Physics and Electronics Laboratory
FOA	National Defence Research Establishment, Sweden
GACIAC	Tactical Weapon Guidance and Control Information and Analysis Center
IDA	Institute for Defense Analyses
ISE	???
K	Oak Ridge K-25 Site
LIDS	Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems
MBB	Messerschmitt-Boelkow-Blohm GmbH, Germany
MCOAB	Center for Naval Analyses
NBS	National Bureau of Standards
NPS	Naval Postgraduate School
NWC	Naval Weapons Center
ORG	Operations Research Gruppe der IABG, Germany
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ORO	Operations Research Office
R	RAND Corporation
RF	???
	SACLANT ASW Research Centre
SP	System Development Corporation
SRL	Systems Research Laboratory
STC	SHAPE Technical Centre
TPA	North American Aviation
TRASANA	Army TRADOC Analysis Command
TRITA	The Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
UC	University of California
USAF	U S Air Force
WSEG	Weapons Systems Evaluation Group
ZOR	Zentrale Operations-Research-Stelle, Germany

7. The complete list of references

- Abdelkader, M A, A Guerrilla Combat Model, Problem 90-2, SIAM Rev, Vol 32, No 1, (March 1990), 140
 + Solution by Lewis, G N, SIAM Rev, Vol 33, No 1, (March 1991), 122-124.
- 2. Adkins, R C, Analysis of Unit Breakpoints in Land Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A010 855), M S Thesis, (March 1975), 89 pp.
- 3. Albanese, R A, Can 'High Tech' Subordinate Numerical Superiority, School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, (AD-A171 698), Rep No USAFSAM-TR-86-11, (July 1986), 11 pp.
- 4. Aldrich, J R & Bode, J R, *The Aggregation Problem in Models of Theater-Level Warfare*, The BDM Corp, McLean, Virginia, Rep No BDW/W-77-129-BR, (September 1977).
- 5. Allan, P & Stahel, A A, Tribal Guerrilla Warfare Against a Colonial Power, J Conflict Resolution, Vol 27, No 4, (December 1983), 590-617.
- Allen, C D, Evolution of Modern Battle: An Analysis of Historical Data, Army Command and General Staff College, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, (AD-A233 235) (December 27, 1990), 90 pp.
- 7. Amacher, M & Mandallaz, D, Stochastic Versions of Lanchester Equations in Wargaming, *European J Opnl Res*, Vol 24, No 1, (January 1986), 41-45.
- 8. Ancker Jr, C J, *Stochastic Duels of Limited Time Duration*, System Development Corp, Santa Monica, California, Rep No SP-1017/005/00, (March 30, 1964), 24 pp.
- 9. Ancker Jr, C J, Stochastic Duels with Limited Ammunition Supply, *Opns Res*, Vol 12, No 1, (January-February 1964), 38-50.
- 10. Ancker Jr, C J, *The Status of Developments in the Theory of Stochastic Duels*, Proc 14th Mil Opns Res Symp, (Fall 1964), 260-269.
- Ancker Jr, C J, Stochastic Duels with Time-of-Flight Included, System Development Corp, Santa Monica, California, Rep No SP-1017/009/00, (May 19, 1966), 28 pp. + also in Opsearch, Vol 3, No 2, (1966), 71-92.
- 12. Ancker Jr, C J, Stochastic Duels of Limited Time-Duration, J Canad Opnl Res Soc (CORS), Vol 4, (1966), 69-81.
- 13. Ancker Jr, C J, The Status of Developments in the Theory of Stochastic Duels II, *Opns Res*, Vol 15, No 3, (May-June 1967), 388-406.
- 14. Ancker Jr, C J, Stochastic Duels with Bursts, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 23, No 4, (December 1976), 703-711.
- 15. Ancker Jr, C J, *Theory of Stochastic Duels Miscellaneous Results*, Department of the Army, US Army Tradoc Systems Analysis Activity, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002, (AD-A052 158), Technical Memo TRASANA TM 2-77, (March 1978), iv + 39 pp.

- 16. Ancker Jr, C J, *The One-on-One Stochastic Duel: Parts I and II*, Univ Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90265, (AD-A068 008), Interim Technl Rep No ISE TR 79-1-PT-1/2, ARO-15084.1-m, (April 15, 1979), iv + 38 + 8 + 50 pp.
- 17. Ancker Jr, C J & Gafarian, A V, The Distribution of Rounds Fired in Stochastic Duels, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 11, No 4, (December 1964), 303-327.
- 18. Ancker Jr, C J & Gafarian, A V, The Distribution of the Time-Duration of Stochastic Duels, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 12, No 3 & 4, (September-December 1965), 275-294.
- Ancker Jr, C J & Gafarian, A V, The Validity of Assumptions Underlying Current Uses of Lanchester Attrition Rates, US Army TRADOC Analysis Command - WSMR, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002-5502, (AD-A227 116), Rep No TRAC-WSMR-TD-7-88, (April 1988), 203 pp.
- 20. Ancker Jr, C J & Williams, T, Some Discrete Processes in the Theory of Stochastic Duels, Opns Res, Vol 13, No 2, (March-April 1965), 202-216.
- 21. Anderson, L B, Some Comments on Optimal Allocation Strategies in Heterogeneous Lanchester-Type Processes, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Working Paper WP-6, (November 1971).
- 22. Anderson, L B, References on Anti-Potential Potential (The Eigenvalue Method for Computing Weapon Values), Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Working Paper WP-2, Project 23-31, (March 14, 1974).
- 23. Anderson, L B, A Briefing on Anti-Potential Potential (The Eigenvalue Method for Computing Weapon Values), Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Working Paper WP-2, Project 23-71, (November 1974).
- 24. Anderson, L B, Antipotential Potential, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Note N-845, IDA Log No HQ 78-20910, (April 1979), 39 + 28 + 13 pp.
- 25. Anderson, L B, Heterogeneous Point Fire and Area Fire Attrition Processes That Explicitly Consider Various Types of Munitions and Levels of Coordination, Inst for Defense Analyses, Alexandria, Virginia, (AD-A220 957), Rep No IDA-P-2249, (October 1989), 98 pp.
- 26. Anderson, L B, *Heterogeneous Shoot-Look-Shoot Attrition Process*, Inst for Defense Analyses, Alexandria, Virginia, (AD-A218 991), Rep No IDA-P-2250, (October 1989), 34 pp.
- 27. Anderson, L B, Blankenship, J W & Karr, A F, An Attrition Model for Penetration Processes, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A023 039), Rep No P-1148, IDA/HQ-75-17876, (March 1976), 42 pp.
- 28. Anderson, L B & Miercort, F A, Combat: A Computer Program to Investigate Aimed Fire Attrition Equations, Allocations of Fire, and the Calculation of Weapons Scores, Inst for Defense Analyses, 1801 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311-1772, (AD-A213 660), Rep No IDA P-2248, (September 1989), 202 pp.

29. Andrighetti, J, A Model for the Statistical Analysis of Land Combat Simulation and Field Experimentation Data, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-769 387), (September 1973), 64 pp.
+ See also OR Overzicht TDCK 85878, Vol 18, No 183, (October 24, 1974), 224.

30. Antosiewicz, H, Analytic Study of War Games, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 2, (1955), 181-208.

- 31. Appelgren, L, An Attrition Game, Opns Res, Vol 15, No 1, (January-February 1967), 11-31.
- 32. Ash, M, Diffusion Attrition Model, Opns Res, Vol 8, No 1, (January-February 1960), 82-89.
- 33. Azmy, Y Y, WAR An Improved PDE Combat Model Code, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, unpublished, (1989).
- 34. Azmy, Y Y, DCOR: A Deterministic Combat Model Code, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE91 010684), Rep No ORNL/TM-11690, (April 1991), 43 pp.
- 35. Azmy, Y Y & Protopopescu, V, Two Dimensional Maps Generated by Competitive Systems, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, Rep No ORNL/TM-11026, (February 1989), 35 pp.
- 36. Azmy, Y Y & Protopopescu, V, On the Dynamics of a Discrete Reaction-Diffusion System, submitted to Numerical Methods in Partial Differential Equations.
- 37. Baaz, M, Mathematische Modelle des militärischen Kräftevergleiches (Mathematical Model of Military Forces Comparison) (in German), Institut für strategische Grundlagenforschung an der Landesverteidigungsakademie des Bundesministeriums für Landesverteidigung, Stift-Kaserne, Stiftgasse 2 a, 1070 Wien, Austria, (June 1990), 47 pp.
- 38. Bach Jr, R E, Dolanský, L & Giveen, S M, Mathematical Analysis of Complex Systems, US Government Res Rep.
- Bach Jr, R E, Dolanský, L & Stubbs, H L, A Loss-Minimizing Extension of the Lanchester Theory of Combat, Electronics Res Lab, Northeastern Univ, Boston, Massachussetts, (AD-235 019), Sci Rep No 3, AFCRC TN-60-168, (January 31 /June?/, 1960), 28 pp.
- 40. Bach Jr, R E, Dolanský, L & Stubbs, H L, Some Recent Contributions to the Lanchester Theory of Combat, *Opns Res*, Vol 10, No 3, (May-June 1962), 314-326.
- 41. Barfoot, C B, Stochastic Duels in which Each Contestant's Shots Form a Markov Chain, Proc 5th Int Conf Opnl Res, (1970), 223-234.
- 42. Barfoot, C B, Stochastic Duels with Markov Dependent Kill Probabilities, Bull de la Societe Royale Belge des Electriciens, Vol 16, Suppl 1, (1968), 66.
- 43. Barfoot, C B, The Lanchester Attrition-Rate Coefficient: Some Comments on Seth Bonder's Paper and a Suggested Alternate Method, *Opns Res*, Vol 17, No 5, (September-October 1969), 888-894.
- 44. Barfoot, C B, Markov Duels, Opns Res, Vol 22, No 2, (March-April 1974), 318-330.

1995-09-01

- 45. Barfoot, C B, Continuous-Time Markov Duels: Theory and Application, Naval Res Log, Vol 36, (1989), 243-253.
- 46. Barr, D, Techniques for Including Suppressive Effects in Lanchester-Type Combat Models, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-918 847L), M S Thesis Opns Res, (March 1974).
- 47. Barr, D, Weir, M & Hoffman, J, Evaluation of Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A243 322), Rep No NPS-MA-92-001, (October 1991), 26 pp.
- 48. Bathe, M R & Manwell, J G, *Modeling Combat as a Series of Minibattles*, Roy Mil College Sci, Shrivenham, England, (AD-A196 084), Interim Rep No 4, (March 1987), 33 pp.
- 49. Best, R J, Analysis of Personnel Causalties in the 25th Infantry Division 26-31 July 1950, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-171 207), Rep No ORO-T-22 (FEC), (April 14, 1952).
- 50. Best, R J, A Study of Battle Causalties among Equivalent Opposing Forces in Korea September 1950, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-002 885), Rep No ORO-T-23 (FEC), (April 14, 1952).
- 51. Bhashyam, N, Stochastic Duels with Nonrepairable Weapons, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 17, No 1, (March 1970), 121-129.
- 52. Bhashyam, N, Stochastic Duels with Lethal Dose, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 17, No 3, (September 1970), 397-405.
- 53. Bhashyam, N & Singh, N, Stochastic Duels with Varying Single-Shot Kill Probabilities, *Opns Res*, Vol 15, No 2, (March-April 1967), 233-244.
- 54. Biddle, S D, Gray, D, Kaufman, S, DeRiggi, D & Barnett, D S, Defense at Low Force Levels: The Effect of Force to Space Ratios on Conventional Combat Dynamics, Inst for Defense Analyses, Alexandria, Virginia, (AD-A248 025), Rep No IDA-P-2380, (August 1991), 297 pp.
- 55. Billard, L, Stochastic Lanchester-Type Combat Models I, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A092 898), Rep No NPS-55-79-022, (October 1979), 42 pp.
- 56. Billard, L, Calculation of State Probabilities for a Stochastic Lanchester Combat Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A085 125), Technl Rep NPS-55-79-029, (November 1979), 30 pp.
- 57. Blackwell, D, An Attrition Model: Game Theory for War Gaming, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No ORO SP-9, (April 1957).
- 58. Bode, J R, Indices of Effectiveness in General Purpose Force Analysis, The BDM Corp, Vienna, Virginia, Rep No BDW/W-74-070-TR, (October 1974).
- 59. Bolmarcich, J J, Planes Killed by Carriers (1965-1972) A Mathematical Law of Kills in Combat, *PHALANX*, (March 1990), 24.
 + Letter by Weiss, H K, *PHALANX*, (June 1990), 29.

- 60. Bonder, S, A Theory for Weapon Systems Analysis, Proc Fourth Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, (1965), 111-128.
- 61. Bonder, S, A Generalized Lanchester Model to Predict Weapon Performance in Dynamic Combat, Department of Industrial Engineering, Ohio State Univ, Columbus, Ohio, Rep No RF 573 TR 65-1, (June 30, 1965), 164 pp.
- 62. Bonder, S, The Lanchester Attrition-Rate Coefficient, *Opns Res*, Vol 15, No 2, (March-April 1967), 221-232.
- 63. Bonder, S, *Mathematical Models of Combat*, Univ Michigan Engineering Summer Conf Mil Opns Res, (1967).
- 64. Bonder, S, A Model of Dynamic Combat, Univ Michigan Engineering Summer Conf "Topics in Mil Opns Res", (July 21-August 1, 1969).
- 65. Bonder, S, Lanchester Theories of Combat, US Army Engineer School Readings in Opns Res/Systems Analysis, (January 1969), 213-222.
- 66. Bonder, S, The Mean Lanchester Attrition Rate, *Opns Res*, Vol 18, No 1, (January-February 1970), 179-181.
- 67. Bonder, S, Systems Analysis. A Purely Intellectual Activity, *Mil Rev*, Vol 51, No 2, (February 1971), 14-23.
 + Also in Proc Thirteenth Annual US Army Opns Res Symp 29 October 1 November 1974, US Army Concepts Analysis Agency, (1974), 794-807.
- 68. Bonder, S, An Overview of the Battle Model, Proc 12th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, (October 1973).
- 69. Bonder, S, An Overview of Land Battle Modeling in the US, Proc 13th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, Vol I (AD-A007 126) (1974), 73-88.
- 70. Bonder, S, *Theater-Level Models* (in Low, L J (ed), Theater-Level Gaming and Analysis Workshop for Force Planning, Vol I Proceedings, (1977), [R15]), 30-39.
- 71. Bonder, S, *Issues Facing Model Developers I* (in Gass, S I (ed), Utility and Use of Large-Scale Mathematical Models, (1979), [R7]).
- 72. Bonder, S, *Mathematical Modeling of Military Conflict Situations* (Lecture 1 in Gass, S I (ed), Operations Research: Mathematics and Models, (1981), [R8]).
- 73. Bonder, S & Cherry, W P, *The Effect of Maneuver: Constant Ratio Attrition-Rate Functions* (in Bonder, S & Farrell, R (eds), Development of Analytical Models of Battalion Task Force Activities, (1970), [R2]).
- 74. Bonder, S & Farrell, R (eds), *Development of Analytical Models of Battalion Task Force Activities*, Systems Res Lab, Department of Industrial Engineering, Univ Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, (AD-714 677), Rep No SRL-1957 FR-70-1, (September 1970), 653 pp. (Contents the same as ref 75.)

- 75. Bonder, S & Farrell, R (eds), *Development of Models for Defense Systems Planning*, Systems Res Lab, Department of Industrial Engineering, Univ Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, (AD-715 646), Rep No SRL-2147 TR-70-2, (September 1970), 653 pp.
- 76. Bonder, S & Honig, J, An Analytical Model of Ground Combat: Design and Application, Proc 10th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, Durham, North Carolina, (May 1971), 319-394.
- 77. Borenius, G, *En stokastisk urnmodell med tillämpning på en duellsituation* (A Stochastic Urn Model with Application on a Duel Situation) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep C 8315-M1, (February 1972), 52 + 3 pp.
- 78. Borenius, G, Urnmodell för strid i samband med landstigning (Urn Model of Combat in Connection with Embarkment) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep C 10024-M1, (January 1975), 24 pp.
- 79. Boulton, M D F, Hopkins, N J, Fain, J B & Fain, W W, Comparing Results from a War Game and a Computer Simulation (in Hertz, D B & Melese, J (eds), Proc 4th Int Conf on Opnl Res, (1966), [R10]), 739-755.
- Bowallius, L & Hoffström, B, Automatisk Monte Carlo Simulering av strid mellan stridsvagnar och pansarvärnsvapen (Automatic Monte Carlo Simulation of Combat Between Tanks and Anti Tank Weapons) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA 2 Rep CH 2017-255, (May 1961).
- 81. Bowen, K C, A Lanchester-Type Stochastic Model Related to the Operational Evaluation of ASW Weapon Systems, Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, (1967).
- 82. Bowen, K C, Mathematical Battles, Bull IMA, Vol 9, No 10, (1973), 310-315.
- 83. Bowen, K C, A Comparison of the Duration of a Deterministic Battle and the Mean Duration of an 'Equivalent' Stochastic Battle, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Res Working Paper L4, (June 1965), 6 pp.
- 84. Bracken, J & McGill, J T, Defense Applications of Mathematical Programs with Optimization Problems in the Constraints, *Opns Res*, Vol 22, No 5, (September-October 1974), 1086-1096.
- 85. Brackney, H, Combat Dynamics, Rep No Convair ZO-P-021, (April 26, 1955).
- 86. Brackney, H, The Dynamics of Military Combat, Opns Res, Vol 7, No 1, (January-February 1959), 30-44.
- Bram, J, A Lanchester-Type Model for Combat Between Submarines, Carrier Task Group, and HUK [Hunter-Killer] Groups, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-290 921), Rep No CNA-OIRM-22, (August 28, 1962), 24 pp.
- 88. (This number not used)
- 89. Brandt, L D & Basinger, R C, Lanchester Theory in the Context of Tactical Nuclear Warfare, Sandia Labs, Livermore, California, Rep No AT(29-1)-789, (May 1975), 37 pp.

- 90. Braun, M, Differential Equations and Their Applications, Springer-Verlag, (Second edition 1978), 518 pp.
 + See also the review by Øien, A H, Normat, Vol 28, No 3, (1980), 126-127.
- 91. Breede III, W J, A Comparison of Some Lanchester Models of the Skirmish, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-721 234), M S Thesis, (March 1971), 46 pp.
- 92. Bresson, M, The ASW Role in the Logistic Support of Ground Forces, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-835 936), Rep No SACLANTCEN-TR-117, (June 15, 1968), 83 pp.
- 93. Brooks, F C, The Stochastic Properties of Large Battle Models, *Opns Res*, Vol 13, No 1, (January-February 1965), 1-17.
- 94. Brown, R H, The Solution of a Certain Difference Equation with Applications to Probability, Univ Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, Univ Microfilms PUB No 3325, (1951), 40 pp.
- 95. Brown, R H, A Stochastic Analysis of Lanchester's Theory of Combat, Operations Res Office, The Johns Hopkins Univ, (AD-082 944), Rep No ORO-T-323, (December 1955), 40 pp.
- 96. Brown, R H, Theory of Combat: The Probability of Winning, Opns Res, Vol 11, No 3, (May-June 1963), 418-425.
- 97. Buell, J D, Kagiwada, H H & Kalaba, R E, Quasilinearization and Inverse Problems for Lanchester Equations of Conflict, *Opns Res*, Vol 16, No 2, (March-April 1968), 437-442.
- 98. Burnell, R W, Parameter Estimates for Mathematical Models of Convoy Ambushes, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (June 1968).
- 99. Busse, J J, An Attempt to Verify Lanchester's Equations (in Avi-Itzhak, B, Passy, U, Shamir, U & Yadin, M (eds), Developments in Operations Research, (1971), [R1], Vol 2), 587-597.
- 100. Byrne, J & Lamontagne, A, A Discussion of the Current Status of Lanchester Theory, Department of National Defense, Canada, (AD-375 050), (May 1966).
- 101. Caldwell, J G & Mayberry, J P, Naval Combat Damage Model, Lambda Corp, 1501 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia, (AD-754 733), Rep No Lambda Paper 75, (April 7, 1972), 26 pp.
 + See also OR Overzicht TDCK 84982, Vol 17, No 171, (October 24, 1973), 228.
- 102. Carlson, E T, A Lanchester-Type Analysis of the Covering Force Commitment, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A085 046), M S Thesis, (March 1980), 123 pp.
- 103. Carpenter, J N, A Numerical Evaluation of the Liouville-Green Approximation of Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A031 867), M S Thesis, (March 1976), 55 pp.
- 104. Cary, S H, Use of the Radio Shack TRS-80 Model 100 Computer for Combat Modeling, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (September 1986), 117 pp.

- 105. Chase, C, Mathematical Ground Combat, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No ORO-SP-11, (April 1957).
- 106. Chase, M W, A Lanchester-Type Model with Logistics Considerations, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-769 802), M S Thesis, (September 1973), 53 pp.
 + See also OR Overzicht TDCK 85883, Vol 18, No 184, (November 24, 1974), 244.
- 107. Chattopadhyay, R, Differential Game Theoretic Analysis of a Problem of Warfare, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 16, No 3, (September 1969), 435-441.
- 108. Cherry, W P, The Role of Differential Models of Combat in Fire Support Analysis, Proc Fire Support Method Methodology Workshop, Ketron, Arlington, Virginia, Appendix 4, (1975).
- 109. Cherry, W P, VECTOR-2 Land/Air Campaign Model (in Huber, R K (ed), Systems Analysis and Modeling in Defense: Developments, Trends, and Issues, (1984), [R11]), 139-153.
- 110. Chintagunta, P K & Vilcassim, N J, An Empirical Investigation of Advertising Strategies in a Dynamic Duopoly, Management Sci, Vol 38, No 9, (1992), 1230-1244.
- 111. Cho, J K, Combat Attrition Analysis Using Renewal Process, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A143 139), M S Thesis, (March 1984), 56 pp.
- 112. Choi, H K, Transformation of Combat Data in Support of Battle Trace, School of Engineering, US Air Force Inst Techno, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433, (AD-A248 178), Rep No AFIT/GOR/ENS/92M-06, (March 1992), 164 pp.
- 113. Christensen, T, An Analytical and Stochastic Attrition Model of a Battle Between Two Homogeneous Forces, SHAPE Technical Centre, The Hague, The Netherlands, Rep No STC-TM-577, (February 1978), 64 pp.
- 114. Christy, D E, A Lanchester Based Model for Analyzing Infantry Fire and Maneuver Tactics, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-704 079), M S Thesis, (October 1969), 82 pp.
- 115. Churnside, R J & Creigh, S W, Strike Activity and Plant Size: A Note, J Roy Statl Soc A, Vol 144, Part 1, (1981), 104-111.
- 116. Chuyev, Yu V, Fundamentals of Operations Research in Combat Material and Weaponry, 2 Volumes (translated from Russian), Foreign Techno Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433, FDOK-62 172 & 173, (1968), 263 & 229 pp. (esp Chapters 7 and 8).
- 117. Chuyev, Yu V, Research of Military Operations, Joint Publications Res Service 53 366, (June 15, 1971), 113-114.
- 118. Chuyev, Yu V & Mikhaylov, Yu B, Forecasting in Military Affairs. A Soviet View (translated from Russian), Department of the Air Force, Washington D C, (AD-A094 669), Government Printing Office, Washington D C 20402, (1975), 239 pp.
- 119. Clark, D K, Casualties as a Measure of the Loss of Combat Effectiveness of an Infantry Battallion, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-A059 384), Rep No ORO-T-289, (March 1954).

- 120. Clark, G M, The Combat Analysis Model, Ohio State Univ, Columbus, Ohio, Ph D Dissertation, (1968 or 1969), 286 pp.
 + Also in Univ Microfilms Int PUB No 69-15, 905.
- 121. Clark, G & Parry, S, Small Unit Combat Simulation (DYNTACS X), Systems Res Group, Dept of Systems Engineering, Ohio State Univ, Columbus, Ohio, Rep No RF-2978-FR-71, (1971).
- 122. Clark, G E, Michelsen, P F & Urban, B, Analog Computer Solutions of Lanchester's Equations with Constant Coefficients, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No ORO-SP-12, (March 1960).
 + Also in War Gaming Cosmagon and Zigspiel, ???, 65-78.
- 123. Cobb, L & Harrison, G, A Computer Program to Solve Stochastic Lanchester Equations, Organization of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, The Pentagon, Washington D C, (1985).
- 124. Cohen, H E, Stochastic Estimation in Combined Arms Lanchester Modeling of Warfare, Army Material Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, (AD-A165 219), Rep No AMSAA-TR-414, (July 1985), 52 pp.
- 125. Cohen, H E, Inner Product Performance Criteria for Evaluating Combat Models, Army Material Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, (AD-A234 307), Rep No AMSAA-TR-489, (February 14, 1991), 21 pp.
- 126. Cohen, H E, Instability of Linear Heterogeneous Lanchester Equations, Army Material Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, (AD-A243 519), Rep No AMSAA-TR-501, (November 20, 1991), 17 pp.
- 127. Colegrave, R K & Hyde, J M, The Lanchester Square-Law Model Extended to a (2, 2) Conflict, *IMA J Appl Math*, Vol 51, No 2, (1993), 95-109.
- 128. Conolly, B W, A Theory of Maritime Combat in Space and Time, Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, (1967).
- 129. Conolly, B W, An Analysis of Some Situations Occurring in Shipping Defence Studies, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, Special Rep No SACLANTCEN-M-67, (June 1970).
- 130. Consul, P C, A Simple Urn Model Dependent upon Predetermined Strategy, Sankhya B, Vol 36, (1974), 391-399.
- 131. Costello, R P, Influence of Distance and Direction on Ground Combat Strength, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A242 689), (March 1991), 84 pp.
- 132. Craig, J D, *The Effect of Uncertainty on Lanchester-Type Equations of Combat*, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A017 550), M S Thesis, (September 1975), 172 pp.
- 133. Crawford Jr, R R, An Application of Living Systems Theory to Combat Models, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A101 103), M S Thesis, (March 1981), 96 pp.
- 134. Crites, J M, Small-Unit Amphibious Operation Combat Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A127 563), M S Thesis, (March 1983), 150 pp.

- Culioli, J C & Protopopescu, V, Parameter Identification for Generalized Lanchester's Equations, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE90 010208), Rep No ORNL/TM-11367, (March 1990), 17 pp.
- 136. Dahm, U, Gefechtsgleichungen zur Beschreibung von Seegefechten (Combat Equations for Battle at Sea) (in German), Zeitschrift für Opns Res, Vol 21B, No 2, (April 1977), 37-60.
- 137. Dare, D P & James, B A P, The Derivation of Some Parameters for a Corps/Divisional Model from a Battle Group Model, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 7120 (Project 147), (1971), 27 pp.
- 138. Dashiell, F K & Fain, W W, Solution of the Extended Lanchester Equations Used in a Tactical Warfare Simulation Programme, J Canad Opnl Res Soc (CORS), Vol 4, (1966), 89-96.
- 139. Dathe, H M, Some Extensions of Stochastic Combat Analysis, Opns Res Gruppe der IABG, Ottobrun bei München, West Germany, Rep No ORG/MS-19, (April 28, 1967), 26 pp.
- 140. Davies, M, Eddison, R T & Page, T (eds), Proc 1st Int Conf Opnl Res, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1957).
- 141. Davis, P K & Blumenthal, D, Base of Sand Problem: A White Paper on the State of Military Combat Modeling, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-A255 880), (1991), 56 pp.
- 142. Davis, W W, Duncan, G T & Siverson, R M, Stochastic Models of the Distribution of Dyadic Warfare in Time, Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon Univ, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, (AD-A031 120), Rep No TR-120, 8-ONR, (August 1976), 46 pp.
- 143. Davy, N L, A Mathematical Model of Infantry Combat for SYNTAC Use, Combat Opns Res Group, Technical Opns, Inc., Fort Belvoir, Virginia, Rep No CORG-M-98, (May 15, 1960).
- 144. Deitchman, S J, A Lanchester Model of Guerrilla Warfare, Opns Res, Vol 10, No 6, (November-December 1962), 818-827.
 + Also in Inst for Defense Analyses, Technl Note No 62-58, (October 1962).
- 145. Didcott, D J, The Calculation of Coefficients Occurring in an Explicit Analytical Expression for a Battle State Probability Distribution, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Res Working Paper No L3, (July 1973), 47 pp.
- 146. Dieu, D, A Mathematical Analysis of Tactics in a Riverine Ambush, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, ???.
- 147. Diffley, M, Using Mathematical Models to Augment the War Gamers Approach to Analysis, Lawrence Livermore National Lab, California, Rep No UCID-19498, (July 1981), 36 pp.
- 148. Dockery, J & Chiatti, S, Application of Catastrophe Theory to Problems of Military Analysis, European J Opnl Res, Vol 24, No 1, (January 1986), 46-53.
- 149. Dockery, J T & Woodcock, A E R, *Lectures on Military Modeling*, Defence Materiel Administration (FMV), S-115 88 Stockholm, Sweden, (June 1991), 58 pp.

- 150. Dolanský, L, Prediction of the Outcome of a Combat with an Unknown Opposing Force, unpublished but presented before the November 1961 Meeting of SIAM, Washington D C, (November 2-4, 1961).
- 151. Dolanský, L, Present State of the Lanchester Theory of Combat, Opns Res, Vol 12, No 2, (March-April 1964), 344-358.
- 152. Dresher, M, Mathematical Models of Conflict (Chapter 11 in Quade, E S & Boucher, W I, Systems Analysis and Policy Planning. Applications in Defense, (1968), [R19]), 228-240.
- 153. Driggs, I, A Monte Carlo Model of Lanchester's Square Law, Opns Res, Vol 4, No 2, (April 1956), 148-151.
- 154. Dupuy, T N, Predicting the Element of Surprise in Combat, Army, Vol 27, No 5, (May 1977), 44-51.
- 155. Dupuy, T N, Numbers, Predictions and War, MacDonald and Jane's Publishers Ltd., London, (1979), 244 pp. + Also The Dobbs-Merrill Co., Inc. (1979).

 - + Also Hero Books, Fairfax, Virginia, (1985), 256 pp.
- 156. Dupuy, T N, Understanding War. History and Theory of Combat, Paragon Publishers, New York, (1987), 312 pp.
- 157. Egert, Ph, Streitkräftestrukturbewertung (Estimation of the Structure of Forces) (in German), Zentrale Operations-Research-Stelle, Trier, West Germany, Rep No ZOR-INTERNBERICHT 45, (October 1970), 21-27.
- 158. Ekchian, L K, An Overview of Lanchester-Type Combat Models for Modern Warfare Scenarios, Lab for Information and Decision Systems, Massachusetts Inst Techno, Cambridge, (AD-A115 389), Rep No LIDS-P-1193, (March 1982), 44 pp.
- 159. Ellis, J L, A Problem of Allocation of Supporting Fire in Combat as a Zero Sum Differential Game, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-777 882), M S Thesis, (March 1974), 62 pp.
- 160. Emerson, D E, The New TAGS Theater Air-Ground Warfare Model (Incorporating Major Ground-Combat Revisions): A Description and Operating Instructions, RAND Corp. Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No R-1576-PR, (1974), 25-33.
- 161. Engel, A, Anwendungen der Analysis zur Konstruktion matematischer Modelle (Use of Analysis for Construction of Mathematical Models) (in German), Der Matematikunterricht, Vol 17, No 3, (August 1971).
- 162. Engel, J H, A Verification of Lanchester's Law, Opns Res, Vol 2, No 2, (May 1954), 163-171.
- 163. Engel, J H, Comments on a Paper by H K Weiss, Opns Res, Vol 11, No 1, (January-February 1963), 147-150.

- 164. Engel, J H, Combat Effectiveness of Allied and German Troops in the World War II Invasion of Crete, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-298 786), Rep No CNA-OIRM-35, (February 8, 1963), 12 pp.
- 165. Engel, J H (ed), Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, Germany, (1967), to be published.
- 166. Engi, D, An Analytical Engagement Model with Human Factors Consideration, Sandia Labs, Albuquerque, New Mexico, Proc Los Angeles Joint National Meeting, Mil Applications II, MAB 18.2, November 13-15, 1978.
- 167. Engström, H, Metoden för optimal fördelning av heterogena stridskrafter och stridsmedel (The Method of Optimal Distribution of Combat Power and Weapons) (in Swedish) (translated from Russian), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep C 132, (January 1965).
- 168. Epstein, J M, The Calculus of Conventional War: Dynamic Analysis without Lanchester Theory, The Brookings Institution, 1775 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W., Washington D C 20036, (1985), 31 pp.
- 169. Eresko, F I, Solution of Certain Maxmin Problems for Lanchester Type Equations (in Russian), "Energija", Moscow, Cybernetics in the Service of Communism, Vol 6, (1971), 207-219.
- 170. Estes, W K, Human Behavior in Mathematical Perspective, Amer Scientist, Vol 63, No 6, (1975), 649-655.
- 171. Etter, D, Deterministic Combat Attrition Models for Spatially Distributed Forces, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Paper P-577, (May 1971), 95 pp.
- 172. Fabry, Ch, Nested Bounds for Solutions of Differential Equations, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-874 709), Rep No SACLANTCEN-TM-155, (September 1, 1970), 28 pp.
- 173. Fain, J B, The Lanchester Equations and Historical Warfare: An Analysis of Sixty World War II Land Engagements, *History, Numbers and War*, Vol 1, No 1, (1977), 34-52.
- 174. Fain, J B & Fain, W W, The 1972 War in Thailand: Results from a Warfare Simulation Program, Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc., Long Beach, California, (AD-A048 561), Rep No DAC-R-52951, (May 3, 1965), 110 pp.
- 175. Fain, W W, The Role of Communication in Warfare: An Application of the Theory of Games to Military Communication Problems, Proc 2nd Int Conf Opnl Res, Aix-en-Provence, (1960), 565-577.
- 176. Fain, W W, Fain, J B, Feldman, L & Simon, S, Validation of Combat Models against Historical Data, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-704 744), Professional Paper-27, (April 14, 1970), 18 pp.
- 177. Farmer, W T, A Survey of Approaches to the Modeling of Land Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A091 056), M S Thesis, (June 1980), 76 pp.

- 178. Farrell, R L, VECTOR-1 and BATTLE: Two Versions of a High-Resolution Ground and Air Theater Campaign Model (in Huber, R K, Jones, L F & Reine, E (eds), Military Strategy and Tactics: Computer Modeling of Land War Problems, (1975), [R12]), 233-241.
- 179. Fawcett, C D & Jones, Ch G, Effectiveness Determination of Bombers Penetrating through an Air-to-Air Defense, Opns Res, Vol 18, No 3, (May-June 1970), 516-525.
- 180. Feldman, L, Keutman, C H, Simon, S & Welsh, J, Study of Land/Air Trade-Offs (SLAT), Volume VII: Historical Data Base, Naval Warfare Analysis Group, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-869 479), Study No 64, (April 1970), 100 pp. (Cf ref 410.)
- 181. Fick, G, Matematisk modell för en enkel spelsituation med artilleri och infanteri samt simulering av denna på hybriddator vid FOA 2 (Mathematical Model for a Simple Situation of Game with Artillery and Infantry as well as Simulation of this on a Hybrid Computer at FOA 2) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA 2 Rep A 2548-D8, (December 1971).
- 182. Fish, D E, Three Small Unit Short Term Force on Force Attrition Models with Logistics Considerations, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A180 948), M S Thesis, (March 1987), 69 pp.
- 183. Fiske, B A, American Naval Policy, *Proc US Naval Inst*, Vol 113, (March 1905), 1-80.
 + See also a *Summary* in Robinson, S S & Robinson, M L, A History of Naval Tactics from 1530 to 1930, (1942), [R23].
- 184. Fiske, B A, The Navy as a Fighting Machine, (1916).
 + Also in US Naval Inst Press, (1988). with Introduction by Hughes Jr, W P.
- 185. Fiske, B A, The Art of Fighting, New York, (1920).
- 186. Fleck, V, Waffenbewertung mit Hilfe der Theorie der Stochastischen Duelle (Weapon Evaluation Using Stochastic Duel Theory) (in German), Proc Carl-Cranz-Gesellschaft Meeting on Bewertung von Waffensystemen, Porz, West Germany, (N76-19879/5GA), (November 29, 1974), 12 pp.
- 187. Fowler, B W, Analytical Modeling of Warfare, Tactical Weapon Guidance and Control Information and Analysis Center, Chicago, Illinois, (AD-A205 698), Rep No GACI-AC-SR-88-18, (December 1988), 202 pp.
- 188. Fowler, B W, Investigation of Mathematical Theories of Strategy, Tactical Weapon Guidance and Control Information and Analysis Center, Chicago, Illinois, (AD-A235 672), Rep No GACIAC-SR-91-09, (March 1991), 42 pp.
- 189. Fox, M, Duels with Possibly Asymmetric Goals, Zastos Mat, Vol 17, (1980), 15-25.
- 190. Fox, M & Kimeldorf, G S, Noisy Duels, SIAM J Appl Maths, Vol 17, No 2, (March 1969), 353-361.
- 191. Fox, M & Kimeldorf, G S, Values and Shooting Times in Noisy Duels, J Amer Statl Assoc (JASA), Vol 65, No 329, (March 1970), 422-430.

- 192. Fox, T W, An Investigation of the Dynamic Model of Modern Military Conflict, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A115 753), M S Thesis, (March 1982), 67 pp.
- 193. Franzén, G, Några modeller av stridsförlopp, närmast med anknytning till stridsvagnsdueller (Some Combat Models, Primarily in Connection with Tank Duels) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep A 122, (May 1962), 50 pp.
 + English Abstract, (May 1962), 24 pp.

- 194. Frick, R K, Interaction of Forces as Discrete Processes with Application to Air Battle Analysis, Aeronautical Systems Division, US Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433, (AD-720 237), FDOK-86 564, Rep No ASD/XR 70-14, (October 1970), 234 pp.
- 195. Frick, R K, Simplified Air Battle Models Based on Lanchester Type Equations, Proc 40th Annual Meeting Opns Res Soc USA, (1971).
- 196. Friedman, Y, Optimal Strategy for the One-against-Many Battle, Opns Res, Vol 25, No 5, (September-October 1977), 884-888.
- 197. Fulkerson, D R & Johnson, S M, A Tactical Air Game, Opns Res, Vol 5, No 5, (October 1957), 704-712.
- 198. Gafarian, A V, Many-on-Many General Renewal Lanchester Combat Model, Univ Southern California, Los Angeles, California, (AD-A231 199), (December 12, 1990), 19 pp.
- 199. Gafarian, A V & Ancker Jr, C J, Two-on-One Stochastic Duel, US Army TRADOC Analysis Command, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002, (AD-A143 679), Rep No TRASANA-TR-43-83, (December 1983), 58 pp.
 + Also in Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 31, No 2, (June 1984), 309-324.
- 200. Gafarian, A V & Manion, K R, Some Two-on-Two Homogeneous Stochastic Combats, Naval Res Log, Vol 36, (1989), 721-764
 + also in (AD-A218 541), (1989), 45 pp.
- 201. Gamow, G A & Zimmerman, R E, *Mathematical Models for Ground Combat*, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-235 891), Staff Paper No ORO-SP-11, (April 1957, Second Printing January 1961), 147 pp. (esp 1-34).
- 202. Ganelius, T, Matematisk behandling av strid (Mathematical Treatment of Combat) (in Swedish), Artilleri Tidskrift, Vol 84, No 3, (1955), 76-83.
- 203. Ganelius, T, Ett fältarbetsproblem (A Problem of Engineering) (in Swedish), Artilleri Tidskrift, Vol 84, No 3, (1955), 84-88.
- 204. Ganelius, T, Ett grupperingsproblem (A Problem of Grouping) (in Swedish), Artilleri Tidskrift, Vol 84, No 3, (1955), 89-94.
- 205. Gass, S I (ed), Utility and Use of Large-Scale Mathematical Models, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland, Special Publication 0083-1883, 534, (1979), 223 pp.

- 206. Gass, S I (ed), Operations Research: Mathematics and Models, *Proc Symposia Appl Math*, Vol 25, Amer Mathl Soc, Providence, Rhode Island, (September 1981), viii + 198 pp.
- 207. Gaver, D P, Models that Reflect the Value of Information in a Command and Control Context, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A099 203), Rep No NPS-55-80-027, (October 1980), 36 pp.
- 208. Gavrilov, V M, Optimal Processes in Conflict Situations (translated from Russian), FDOK-67 976, (June 1970), 158 pp.
- 209. Gebhardt, D, *Einführung in die matematischen Beschreibung strategische Duelle* (Introduction to Mathematical Description of Strategic Duels) (in German), Opns Res Gruppe der IABG, Ottobrun bei München, West Germany, ???.
- 210. Giamboni, L, Mangel, A & Dishington, R, Simplified Model of a Symmetric Tactical Air War, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No RM-711, (1951).
- 211. Gilfillan, E S, Amphibious Dynamics II, Committee on Amphibious Opns, National Res Council, (1952).
- 212. Gillespie, J V & Zinnes, D A, Progression in Mathematical Models of International Conflict, *Synthese*, Vol 31, No 2, (1975), 289-321.
- 213. Goddard, T P, Simple Models of Combat, Volume I, Draft for Battle Book II, The BDM Corp, Monterey, California, (AD-A083 622), Rep No BDM/M-011-79, (August 1979), 84 pp.
- 214. Goldie, Ch M, Lanchester Square-Law Battles: Transient and Terminal Distributions, *J Appl Prob*, Vol 14, No 3, (September 1977), 604-610.
- 215. Grainger, P L, Some Results of a Stochastic Tank Engagement Model, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Working Paper DJ/147/40.4/3, ???.
- 216. Grainger, P L, The Use of a Stochastic Tank Engagement Model to Examine the Effects of Moving Target Correlation and to Derive Equivalent Lanchester Equations, Brunel Univ, Uxbridge, England, M S Thesis, (June 1976), 88 pp.
- 217. Grainger, P L & Thornton, G, *The Incorporation of Terrain Data into a Lanchester Model*, Proc NATO Conf Modeling Land Battle Systems Mil Planning, Munich, West Germany, (August 1974).
- 218. Greger, K, Om kampmodeller (On Combat Models) (in Swedish), *Elementa*, Vol 55, No 4 (1972), 175-180.
- 219. Grenander, U, A Tactical Study of Evasive Meneuvers, National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep B 8005-11, (September 1968), 36 pp.
- 220. Griffiths, J D, Marshall, S A, Weale, T G & Williams, J E, A Simple Stochastic Model of Air-Naval Combat, J Opnl Res Soc, Vol 42, No 4, (April 1991), 289-300.
- 221. Groves, A D, *The Mathematical Analysis of a Simple Duel*, Ballistic Res Labs, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, BRL Rep No 1261, (August 1964), 23 pp.

- 222. Grubbs, F E & Shuford, J H, A New Formulation of Lanchester Combat Theory, *Opns Res*, Vol 21, No 4, (July-August 1973), 926-941.
- 223. Gustavi, S, *Luftvärnsförsvar mot anfall med attackflygplan. I* (Anti Aircraft Artillery Defence Against Attack From Attack Bombers. I) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA 2 No 2347-291, (March 10, 1961), 23 pp. (Original paper from July 23, 1955.)
- 224. Gulla, J F, Target Allocation for Field Artillery, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-713 078), M S Thesis, (September 1970), 71 pp.
- 225. Gye, R & Lewis, T, Some New Results Relating to Lanchester's Square Law, Department of Mathl Statistics and Subdepartment of Opns Res, Univ Hull, Humberside, England, Res Rep, (January 1974), 25 pp.
- 226. Gye, R & Lewis, T, Lanchester's Equations: Mathematics and the Art of War. A Historical Survey and Some New Results, *Mathl Scientist*, Vol 1, (1976), 107-119.
- 227. Göranzon, B, *Tabeller över lösningar till Lanchesterekvationen* (Tables of Solutions to the Lanchester Equation) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep C 8164-12, (January 1967), 7 + 38 pp.
- 228. Hale, J K & Wicks, H H, An Application of Game Theory to Special Weapons Evaluation, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 4, (1957), 347-356.
- Hall, G S, Lanchester's Theory of Combat: The State of the Art in Mid-1970, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-720 700), M S Thesis, (March 1971), 60 pp. + See also OR Overzicht TDCK 83052, Vol 16, No 155, (June 24, 1972), 131.
- 230. Hall, R N, A Numerical Solution of Lanchester's Battle Equation, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Note EN/8, (January 1969).
- 231. Hall, J V, Tyson, J K & Finucane, J S, A Model of Carrier-Submarine Interactions, Naval Warfare Analysis Group, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-867 847), CNA Res Contrib No 137, (February 1970), 39 pp.
- 232. Hammerle, J F, Antisubmarine Methodology and Forces Study: Submarine Carrier Engagement Model - Mathematical Derivation, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, Rep No (NWG) 132-71, (AM & F) 8-71, (April 21, 1971).
- 233. Hammerton, M, A Case of an Inappropriate Model, Nature, Vol 203, No 4940, (July 4, 1964), 63-64.
- 234. Hannah, W P, Further Comparison of Stochastic and Deterministic Models for the Optimal Control of Lanchester-Type Attrition Processes, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A001 248), M S Thesis, (September 1974), 46 pp.
- 235. Hanson, M D, Calibration Coefficient Use and Value Selection Guidance for the Moscow Land Combat Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A219 604), (September 1989), 139 pp.

- 236. Harrison Jr, J O & Lee, E M, Attrition Tables, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No ORO-S-393, (March 1954).
- 237. Hartley III, D S, Non-Validation of Lanchester's Original Equations in the Inchon-Seoul Campaign of the Korean War, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE88 007772), Rep No ORNL/DSRD/TM-12, (March 1988), 20 pp.
- 238. Hartley III, D S, Can the Square Law Be Validated?, Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., P O Box 2003, MS 7180, Building K-1001, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, (DE90 007112), Rep No K/DSRD-57, (March 1989), 72 pp.
- Hartley III, D S, Constraint Model of Attrition, 1989 Winter Simulation Conf, Washington D C, December 4-6, Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., P O Box 2003, MS 7180, Building K-1001, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, (DE90 007108), Rep No K/DSRD-114, (December 1989), 8 pp.
- 240. Hartley III, D S, Historical Validation of an Attrition Model, Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., P O Box 2003, MS 7180, Building K-1001, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, Rep No K/DSRD-115, (May 1990), 51 pp.
- 241. Hartley III, D S, Confirming the Lanchestrian Linear-Logarithmic Model of Attrition, Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., P O Box 2003, MS 7180, Building K-1001, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, (DE91 006904), Rep No K/DSRD-263, (December 1990), 153 pp.
- 242. Hartley III, D S, Confirming the Lanchestrian Linear-Logarithmic Model of Attrition. Revision 1, Oak Ridge K-25 Site, Tennessee, (DE91 009111), Rep No K/DSRD-263/R1, (December 1990), 153 pp.
- 243. Hartley III, D S, Predicting Combat Effects, Oak Ridge K-25 Site, Tennessee, (DE91 018180), Rep No K/DSRD-412, (August 1991), 397 pp.
- 244. Hartley III, D S & Kruse, K L, Historical Support for a Mixed Law Lanchestrian Attrition Model: Helmbold's Ratio, Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., P O Box 2003, MS 7180, Building K-1001, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, (DE90 007109), Rep No K/DSRD-113, (November 1989), 54 pp.
- 245. Haugberg, S B, Numerical Solution of a System of Lanchester Differential Equations, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-879 589), FDOK-90 778, Technical Memo No 159, (December 1, 1970), 43 pp.
- 246. Haysman, P J & Mortagy, B E, References on the Lanchester Theory of Combat (to 1980), Roy Mil College Sci, Shrivenham, England, Working Paper OR/WP/6, (198???), 11 pp.
- 247. Hellman, O, An Extention of Lanchester's Linear Law, Opns Res, Vol 14, No 5, (September-October 1966), 931-935.
- 248. Helmbold, R L, Lanchester Parameters for Some Battles of the Last Two Hundred Years, Combat Opns Res Group, Technical Opns, Inc., Fort Belvoir, Virginia, (AD-481 201), Rep No CORG-SP-122, (February 1961).

- 249. Helmbold, R L, Lanchester's Equations, Historical Battles and War Games, Proc 8th Mil Opns Res Symp, Point Mugu, California, (1961).
- 250. Helmbold, R L, *Historical Data and Lanchester's Theory of Combat*, Combat Opns Res Group, Technical Opns, Inc., Fort Belvoir, Virginia, (AD-480 975), Rep No CORG-SP-128, (July 1961).
- 251. Helmbold, R L, *Historical Data and Lanchester's Theory of Combat Part II*, Combat Opns Res Group, Technical Opns, Inc., Fort Belvoir, Virginia, (AD-480 109), Rep No CORG-SP-190, (August 1964).
- 252. Helmbold, R L, Some Observations on the Use of Lanchester's Theory for Prediction, *Opns Res*, Vol 12, No 5, (September-October 1964), 778-781.
- 253. Helmbold, R L, A Modification of Lanchester's Equations, *Opns Res*, Vol 13, No 5, (September-October 1965), 857-859.
- 254. Helmbold, R L, *Problems in Testing Combat Models*, Proc 1st National Meeting, Mil Applications Section, Opns Res Soc America, Houston, Texas, (November 4, 1965).
- 255. Helmbold, R L, A 'Universal' Attrition Model, Opns Res, Vol 14, No 4, (July-August 1966), 624-635.
- 256. Helmbold, R L, Some Observations on Validating Combat Models, Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, July 3-7, 1967.
- 257. Helmbold, R L, Solution of a General, Nonadaptive, Many-vs-Many Duel Model, Opns Res, Vol 16, No 3, (May-June 1968), 518-524.
- 258. Helmbold, R L, Probability of Victory in Land Combat as Related to Force Ratio, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, FDOK-78 157, Rep No RAND P-4199, (October 1969), 14 pp.
- 259. Helmbold, R L, Air Battles and Ground Battles A Common Pattern?, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No RAND P-4548, (January 1971), 15 pp.
- 260. Helmbold, R L, Decision in Battle: Breakpoint Hypothesis and Engagement Termination Data, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-729 769), Rep No RAND R-772-PR, (June 1971), x + 96 pp.
- 261. Helmbold, R L, Do Battles and Wars Share a Common Relationship Between Casualities and Victory?, Proc 57th Mil Opns Res Symp, (1989).
- 262. Helmbold, R L, Survey of Past Work on Rates of Advance in Land Combat Operations, US Army Concepts Analysis Agency, Bethesda, Maryland, Interim Rep No CAA-RP-90-03, (February 1990), 132 pp.
- 263. Helmbold, R L, Rates of Advance in Historical Land Combat Operations, US Army Concepts Analysis Agency, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No CAA-RP-90-1, (June 1990), 157 pp.
- 264. Helmbold, R L, Osipov: The 'Russian Lanchester', European J Opnl Res, Vol 65, No 2, (March 12, 1993), 278-288.

- 265. Helmbold, R L, The Constant Fallacy: A Persistent Logical Flaw in Applications of Lanchester's Equations, *European J Opnl Res*, Vol 75, No 3, (1994), 647-658.
- 266. Helmbold, R L & Khan, A A, Combat History Analysis Study Effort (CHASE), US Army Concepts Analysis Agency, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No CAA-TP-86-2, (August 1986), 268 pp.
- 267. Helmer, O, Combat Between Heterogenous Forces, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-607 190), Rep No RM-6, (May 5, 1947), 2 pp.
- 268. Heslin, J G, Combat Power: An Ontological Approach, Center for Advanced Res, Naval War College, Newport, Rhode Island 02840, (AD-A061 706), (June 1978), 181 pp.
- 269. Hillestad, R J & Juncosa, M L, Cutting Some Trees to See the Forest: On Aggregation and Disaggregation in Combat Models, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No MR-189-ARPA, (1993), xi + 33 pp.
- 270. Hillestad, R J, Owen, J G & Blumenthal, D, *Experiments in Variable-Resolution Combat* Modeling, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-A282 376), (1993), 39 pp.
- 271. Hiraga, Y, Note on Lanchester's Square Law, Memoir Defense Academy, Vol 2, No 1, (1961/62), 14-17.
- 272. Hoeber, F P, Military Applications of Modeling. Selected Case Studies, Gordon & Breach Sci Publishers, New York, (1981), 222 pp.
- Hoffman, J C, Evaluation of the MOSCOW (Method of Screening Operational Concepts of Warfare) Low Resolution Land Combat Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A201 216), M S Thesis, (September 1988), 113 pp.
- 274. Holloday, J, Sequencing and Motion with Lanchester Processes, Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, (1967).
- 275. Holt, R T, Job, B L & Markus, L, Catastrophe Theory and the Study of War, J Conflict Resolution, Vol 22, No 2, (June 1978), 171-208.
- 276. Holter, W H, Method for Determining Individual and Combined Weapons Effectiveness Measures Utilizing the Results of a High-Resolution Combat Simulation Model, (AD-P000 609), Proc 12th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, October 2-5, 1973, Vol I, (1973), 15 pp.
- 277. Homer-Dixon, T F, A Common Misapplication of the Lanchester Square Law, Int Security, Vol 12, No 1, (Summer 1987), 135-139.
- 278. Horvath, W J, A Statistical Model for the Duration of Wars and Strikes, *Behavioral Sci*, Vol 13, (January 1968), 18-28.
- 279. Howes, D R & Thrall, R M, A Theory of Ideal Linear Weights for Heterogeneous Combat Forces, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 20, No 4, (December 1973), 645-659.
- 280. Huber, R K (ed), Systems Analysis and Modeling in Defense: Developments, Trends, and Issues, Plenum Press, New York, (June 1984), xv + 913 pp.

- 281. Huber, R K, Jones, L F & Reine, E (eds), Military Strategy and Tactics: Computer Modeling of Land War Problems, Plenum Press, New York, (November 1975), ix + 368 pp.
- 282. Huber, R K, Low, L J & Taylor, J G, Some Thoughts on Developing a Theory of Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A072 938), Rep No NPS-55-79-014, (July 1979), 59 pp.
- 283. Huber, R K, Niemeyer, K & Hofmann, H W (eds), *Operationsanalytische Spiele für die Verteidigung* (Operational Research Games for Defense), R Oldenbourg Verlag, München, West Germany, (1979), 424 pp.
- 284. Huggins, A L, A Simplified Model for the Suppressive Effects of Small Arms Fire, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (September 1971), 86 pp.
- 285. Hunt, G & Azmy, Y Y, Graphics Development of DCOR: Deterministic Combat Model of Oak Ridge, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE93 001882), Rep No ORNL/TM-11973, (October 1992), 45 pp.
- 286. Ingber, L & Sworder, D D, Statistical Mechanics of Combat with Human Factors, *Mathl and Computer Modeling*, Vol 15, No 11, (1991), 99-127.
- 287. Intriligator, M D, Strategic Arms in a Richardson Model of Arms Races, Department of Economics, Univ California, Los Angeles, (1968).
- 288. Isaacs, R P, *Differential Games*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1965), xxii + 384 pp. (esp Chapter 11 and Appendix).
- 289. Isaacs, R P & Karlin, S, A Game of Arming and Evasion, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Res Memo RM 1316, (1954).
- 290. Isacson, T, Modeller och resultat gällande strid med sjöartilleri (Models and Results Concerning Combat with Naval Artillery) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, Marinens OA-rapport CH 5, FOA P Rep 787, (1969).
- 291. Isbell, J R & Marlow, W H, Attrition Games, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 3, (1956), 71-94.
- 292. Isbell, J R & Marlow, W H, *Methods of Mathematical Tactics*, George Washington Univ, Washington D C, (AD-105 885), Logistics Papers No 14, (September 1956), 187 pp.
- 293. Isely, J A & Crowl, P A, The US Marines and Amphibious War: Its Theory, and its Practice in the Pacific, Princeton Univ Press, New Jersey, (1951), vii + 636 pp.
- 294. Jain, G C & Nagabhushanam, A, A Two-State Markovian Correlated Combat, Opns Res, Vol 22, No 2, (March-April 1974), 440-444.
- 295. Jaiswal, N K, Probabilistic Analysis of Combat Models, Annals of Opns Res, Vol 9, (1987), 561-573.
- 296. James, P A, Logistics and the Combat Power Equation Cutting Across the Spectrum of Warfare, Department of Opns, Naval War College, Newport, Rhode Island 02840, (AD-A253 250), (May 18, 1992), 33 pp.

- 297. Jansson, B, Optimal eldfördelning: Exempel på stridsbeskrivning (Optimal Shooting Distribution: Example of Combat Description) (in Swedish), Artilleri Tidskrift, Vol 84, No 3, (1955), 95-103.
- 298. Jansson, B, War Gaming with the Aid of Computers, National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172-90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep C 103, (April 1961), 11 + 16 + 9 + 11 pp.
- 299. Jennings, N, The Mathematics of Battle III. Approximate Moments of the Distribution of States of a Simple Heterogeneous Battle, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 7315, (June 1973), 43 pp.
- 300. Jennings, N, The Mathematics of Battle IV. Stochastic 'Linear Law' Battles, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 7316, (June 1973), 53 pp.
- 301. Johnson, R L, Lanchester's Square Law in Theory and Practice, Army Command and General Staff College, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, (AD-A225 484), (November 27, 1989), 56 pp.
- 302. Joppe, W & Klaver, M H, Deterministische Gevechtsmodellen, Interim Rapport 1: Literatuur Onderzoek (Deterministic Combat Models, Interim Report 1: Literature Research) (in Dutch), Physics and Electronics Lab, RVO-TNO, The Hague, The Netherlands, (AD-A245 412), Rep No FEL-91-B429, (December 1991), 47 pp.
- 303. Järmark, B, Near-Optimal Closed-Loop Strategy for Aerial Combat Games, Department of Automatic Control, Roy Inst Techno, S-100 44 Stockholm 70, Sweden, Rep No TRITA--REG-7602, (1976).
- 304. Järmark, B, Contributions to Realistic Aerial Combat Games Based on a New Optimization Algorithm, Department of Automatic Control, Roy Inst Techno, S-100 44 Stockholm 70, Sweden, Rep No TRITA-REG-7603, (1976).
- 305. van der Kaaij, M & Vink, J K, *Waardering en Analyse van Simulatieresultaten* (Valuation and Analysis of Simulation Results) (in Dutch), Physics and Electronics Lab, RVO-TNO, The Hague, The Netherlands, (AD-A245 470), Rep No FEL-91-A323, (December 1991), 69 pp
- 306. Kahn, H & Bracken, P J, Differential Models of Combat in Cities, Proc 12th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, (October 1973), 167-173 + Also in (AD-A125 989).
- 307. Kamm, L, A Mathematical Model of an Infantry vs Guerilla Combat Simulation Using Lanchester's Equations, North Amer Aviation, Columbus, Ohio, Rep No TPA 160, (1966).
- 308. von Karman, Th, Lanchester's Contributions to the Theory of Flight and Operational Research, *J Roy Aeronautical Soc*, Vol 62, (February 1958), 80-91.
- 309. Karns, C W, An Application of Lanchester's Equations to Amphibious Assaults, Opns Res Group, Massachusetts Inst Techno, Cambridge, Study No 1, (AD-038 491), Rep No ORG 126-53, (December 31, 1953), 19 pp.
 + Also in Proc Annual Meeting Opns Res Soc USA May 22, 1954, (AD-720 409).

- 310. Karns, C W, Lanchester's Equations Applied to Amphibious Assault, Opns Res Group, Massachusetts Inst Techno, Cambridge, (May 1954), 17 pp.
- Karr, A F, Derivation and Comments Concerning a Personnel Attrition Process, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, New Methods Study Working Paper WP-39, (1972).
- 312. Karr, A F, On Personnel Attrition in Area Fire, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, New Methods Study Working Paper WP-40, (1972).
- Karr, A F, Exponential Attrition Processes with Several Types of Searchers and Targets, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, New Methods Study Working Paper WP-68, (1973).
- 314. Karr, A F, On a Class of Binomial Attrition Processes, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-780 543), Rep No P-1031, (June 1974), 57 pp.
- 315. Karr, A F, Stochastic Attrition Models of Lanchester Type, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-780 556), Rep No P-1030, IDA/HQ 74-16361, (June 1974), 130 pp.
- 316. Karr, A F, A Generalized Stochastic Lanchester Attrition Process, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A015 655), Rep No P-1080, IDA/HQ 74-16780, (September 1975), 28 pp.
- 317. Karr, A F, On Simulations of the Stochastic, Homogeneous, Lanchester Linear-Law Attrition Process, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A015 656), Rep No P-1113, IDA/HQ-75-17243, (September 1975), 36 pp.
- 318. Karr, A F, Combat Processes and Mathematical Models of Attrition, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A015 657), Rep No P-1081, IDA/HQ 74-16781, (September 1975), 20 pp.
- 319. Karr, A F, On Simulations of the Stochastic, Homogeneous, Lanchester Square-Law Attrition Process, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A015 658), Rep No P-1112, IDA/HQ 75-17242, (September 1975), 35 pp.
- 320. Karr, A F, Deterministic Approximations of Some Stochastic Models of Competing Populations, Department of Mathl Sciences, Johns Hopkins Univ, Baltimore, Maryland, Technical Rep No 239, (March 1976), 24 pp.
- 321. Karr, A F, A Class of Lanchester Attrition Processes, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A035 274), Paper P-1230, IDA/HQ 76-18674, (December 1976), 43 pp.
- 322. Karr, A F, On the Lulejian-I Combat Model, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A030 171), Rep No P-1182, IDA/HQ 75-17977, (August 1976), 57 pp.
- 323. Karr, A F, On the CONAF Evaluation Model V, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, Paper P-1210, (1976), 41-44.

- 324. Karr, A F, A Review of Seven DOAE Papers on Mathematical Models of Attrition, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-E500 004), Paper P-1249, IDA/HQ-76-18957, (November 1977), 54 pp.
- 325. Karr, A F, Additional Remarks on Attrition and FEBA Movement Computations in the Lulejian-I Combat Model, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A047 835), Rep No P-1277, IDA/HQ 77-19529, (November 1977), 23 pp.
- 326. Karr, A F, *Review and Critique of the VECTOR-2 Combat Model*, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A058 353), Rep No P-1315, IDA/HQ 77-19949, (December 1977), 75 pp.
- 327. Karr, A F, Lanchester Attrition Processes and Theater-Level Combat Models, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A107 934), Paper IDA-P-1568, IDA/HQ 80-22869, (September 1981), 75 pp.
- 328. Karr, A F & Schwartz, E L, Modeling of Attrition in a Barrier Penetration Process with Evenly Spaced Barrier Elements, Inst. for Defense Analyses, Alexandria, Virginia, (PB91-103770), Paper IDA-P-1727, IDA/HQ 83-25571, (September 1990), 98 pp.
- 329. Kaufmann, W W, *The Arithmetic of Force Planning* (in Steinbruner, J D & Sigal, L V (eds), Alliance Security: NATO and the No-First-Use Question, (1983), [R25]), Appendix, 208-216.
- 330. Kawara, Y, An Allocation Problem of Support Fire in Combat as a Differential Game, *Opns Res*, Vol 21, No 4, (July-August 1973), 942-951.
- 331. Kerlin, E P et al, Computerized Quick Game; A Theater-Level Combat Simulation, Vol I: Models, Military Gaming Department, Res Analysis Corp, McLean, Virginia 22101, Rep No RAC TP-266, (November 1967).
 + Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, (1967).
- 332. Kikuta, K, A Note on the One Against Many Battle, Opns Res, Vol 31, No 5, (September-October 1983), 952-956.
- Kim, D H, Analysis of Tank Gap in Military Balance Between Republic of Korea and North Korea, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A223 145), (December 1989), 88 pp.
- 334. Kimball, G E, Some Industrial Applications of Military Operations Research Methods, *Opns Res*, Vol 5, No 2, (April 1957), 201-204.
- 335. Kimbleton, S R, Attrition Rates for Weapons with Markov-Dependent Fire, *Opns Res*, Vol 19, No 3, (May-June 1971), 698-706.
- 336. Kimeldorf, G & Lang, J P, Asymptotic Properties of Non-Discrete Duels, J Appl Prob, Vol 14, No 1, (March 1977), 153-161.
- 337. Kimeldorf, G & Lang, J P, Asymptotic Properties of Discrete Duels, *J Appl Prob*, Vol 15, No 2, (June 1978), 374-383.

- 338. Kingsford, P W, F W Lanchester: A Life of an Engineer, Edward Arnold, London, (1960), 246 pp.
- 339. Kirkpatrick, D L I, Do Lanchester's Equations Adequately Model Real Battles?, J Roy United Services Inst for Defence Studies Quart (RUSI), Vol 130, No 2, (June 1985), 25-27.
- 340. Kisi, T, A Verification of the Laws of Lanchester, Memoirs Defense Academy Japan, Vol II, (1962), 69-72.
- 341. Kisi, T & Hirose, T, Winning Probability in an Ambush Engagement, Opns Res, Vol 14, No 6, (November-December 1966), 1137-1138.
- 342. Klemm, K D, A Further Comparison of Deterministic and Stochastic Lanchester-Type Combat Models, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A093 606), (September 1980), 88 pp.
- 343. Koopman, B O, *Quantitative Aspects of Combat*, Office of Scientific Res and Development, Rep No OEM sr-1007, AMP Note No 6, (August 1943).
- 344. Koopman, B O, A Study of the Logical Basis of Combat Simulation, *Opns Res*, Vol 18, No 5, (September-October 1970), 855-882.
- 345. Krapivin, V F, A Study of the Solutions of Survival Games that Are Described by Equations of Lanchester Type (in Russian), Advances in Game Theory, (1973), 284-287.
- 346. Kress, M, 2-on-1 Stochastic Duel: Maneuver and Fire Allocation Tactics, Center for Mil Analyses, Ministry of Defence, Haifa, Israel, (PB92-125947), Rep No CEMA-89-25, (December 1989), 30 pp.
- 347. Kristiansen, T, Defence of Shipping: A Computer Program for Numerical Solution of a Nonlinear Lanchester Combat Model, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 - La Spezia, Italy, (AD-757 457), SACLANTCEN Memo SM-5, (February 15, 1973), 40 pp.
- 348. Krol, J, Computer Simulated Models of Lanchester Type Combat, Georgia Inst Techno, Atlanta, Georgia, (1967).
- 349. Lancaster, T, A Stochastic Model for the Duration of a Strike, J Roy Statl Soc A, Vol 135, Part 2, (1972), 257-271.
- 350. Lanchester, F W, Aircraft in Warfare: The Dawn of the Fourth Arm, Constable and Co., London, (1916).
- 351. Lanchester, F W, Aircraft in Warfare: The Dawn of the Fourth Arm Vol V. The Principle of Concentration, *Engineering* 98, (1914), 422-423.
 + *Mathematics in Warfare* (in Newman, J B (ed), The World of Mathematics, (1956), [R17]), Vol 4, Simon & Schuster, New York, (1957) 2138-2157.
- 352. Lanchester, F W, *Matematiken i krigets tjänst* (Mathematics in Warfare) (in Swedish), (from Aircraft in Warfare, (1916), [R14]), Sigma, Vol 6, Victor Pettersons Bokindustri AB, Stockholm, Sweden, (1960), 2238-2257.

- 353. Lang, J P & Kimeldorf, G, Duels with Continuous Firing, Florida State Univ, Tallahassee, Florida, (AD-778 862), (February 1974), 17 pp.
 + Also in Management Sci, Vol 22, No 4, (December 1975), 470-476.
- 354. Lang, J P & Kimeldorf, G, Silent Duels with Nondiscrete Firing, SIAM J Appl Maths, Vol 31, No 1, (July 1976), 99-110.
- 355. Langhauser, J K, Methodology for Analyzing Class III Support at the Battalion Level, US Air Force Inst Techno, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433, (AD-A189 515), M S Thesis, Rep No AFIT/GDR/ENS/87D-8, (December 1987), 163 pp.
- 356. Larsen, M E, En ualgebraisk analyse af et par lineære differentialligninger med konstante koefficienter (An Unalgebraic Analysis of a Pair of Linear Differential Equations with Constant Coefficients) (in Danish), Normat, Vol 36, No 3, (1988), 104-113.
- 357. Latchaw, J H, A Lanchester Model for Air Battles, US Air Force Inst Techno (AFIT-EN), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433, (AD-741 412), M S Thesis, Rep No GSA/SM/72-8, (February 1972), 78 pp.
- 358. Lee, E M, A Model of Combat with Both Space and Time Variable, Opns Res Office, Johns Hopkins Univ, Bethesda, Maryland, Rep No ORO-SP-12, (May 1957).
 + Also in War Gaming Cosmagon and Zigspiel, ???, 157-180.
- 359. Lee, J Y, Parametric Analysis of Airland Combat Model in High Resolution, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A202 157), M S Thesis, (September 1988), 130 pp.
- 360. Lee, W Y & Wannasilpa, A, Comparison of a Deterministic and a Stochastic Model for the Probability of Winning in a Two-Sided Combat Situation, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-756 536), M S Thesis, (September 1972), 43 pp.
- 361. Lee, Y-C & Pi, Y-K, The Estimation of Lanchester Attrition-Rate Coefficients for an Aggregated Combat Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A028 891), M S Thesis, (June 1976), 56 pp.
- 362. Lepingwell, J W R, The Laws of Combat?, Int Security, Vol 12, No 1, (Summer 1987), 89-134.
- 363. Lloyd, J F, An Examination of the Effect of Attack Velocity on the Outcome of Lanchester-Type Engagements with Range-Dependent Kill-Rates, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (March 1971), 42 pp.
- 364. Mangel, M, Fluctuations in Systems with Multiple Steady States. Application to Lanchester Equations, Center for Naval Analyses, Alexandria, Virginia 22209, (AD-A071 472), Rep No CNA-PP-213, (February 1978), 17 pp.
- 365. Mangulis, V, Lanchester-Type Equations of Combat which Account for Multiple Killing Hits, Opns Res, Vol 28, No 3, Part I, (May-June 1980), 560-569.
- 366. Mann, N R, Weibull Tolerance Intervals Associated with Moderate to Small Survival Proportions for Use in a New Formulation of Lanchester Combat Theory, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 25, No 1, (March 1978), 121-128.
 + Also in (AD-A028 328), (1976).

Page A-38

- 367. Maradudin, A & Weiss, G, A Study of Some Lanchester-Like Equations, Physics Department, Univ Maryland, (AD-154 168), Technical Rep No 95, AFOSR-TN-58-267, (1958).
- 368. Marshall, C W, Probabilistic Models in the Theory of Combat, Trans of the New York Academy of Sciences, Ser II, Vol 27, (1965), 477-487.
- 369. Mauerer, H-H, Design Considerations for Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare (LATMW), Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A038 621), M S Thesis, (September 1976), 135 pp.
- 370. Maybee, J S, *Models of Military Combat with Logistics, a General Approach*, Department of Maths, Colorado Univ, Boulder, Colorado, (AD-A105 950), Interim Rep No ARO-16566.1-M, (August 28, 1981), 50 pp.
- 371. Maybee, J S, On Qualitative Lanchestrian Models of Combat Incorporating Logistics, Department of Maths, Colorado Univ, Boulder, Colorado 80309, (AD-A069 336), Rep No ARO-16105.1-A-M, (May 1979), 27 pp.
- 372. Mayberry, J, Inclusion of Detection in Lanchester Equations, Proc NATO Conf Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, (1967).
- 373. McCloskey, J F, Of Horseless Carriages, Flying Machines, and Operations Research: A Tribute to Frederick William Lanchester (1868-1946), *Opns Res*, Vol 4, No 2, (April 1956), 141-147.
- 374. McKenzie, C J & Pawlowski, T J, Deterministic Aggregated Model of 'STAR' on the Apple Computer (DAMSTAC), Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (March 1982), 179 pp.
- McQuie, R, Military History and Mathematical Analysis, *Mil Rev*, Vol 50, No 5, (May 1970), 8-17.
- 376. McQuie, R, The 3:1 Rule in Theory and in Fact, *PHALANX*, (December 1989), 7.
 + Comments McQuie on the 3:1 Rule by Bross, P J, and by Murphy, J, *PHALANX*, (March 1990), and by Huber, R K, *PHALANX*, (March 1992), 28-29.
- 377. Mearsheimer, J, Conventional Deterrence, Cornell Univ Press, Ithaca, New York, (1983), 44 + 181-183.
- 378. Mesak, H I & Darrat, A F, A Competitive Advertising Model: Some Theoretical and Empirical Results, Department of Business Analysis Communication, College of Administration and Business, Louisiana Technical Univ, Ruston, Los Angeles 71272-0046, California, (93-0467365).
 + Also in J Opnl Res Soc, Vol 44, No 5, (May 1993), 491-502.
- 379. Metkarunchit, T, A Lanchester-Based Model for Investigation of Tactical Decision Rules for Two-Stage Ambushes, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-749 061), FDOK-93 951, M S Thesis, (September 1972), 44 pp.
- 380. Mills, G M, The Enrichment of Smoler's Model of Land Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A092 196), M S Thesis, (September 1980), 83 pp.

- 381. Mjelde, K M, Extensions of a Time-Dependent Stochastic Model for a Combat Between Submarines and Defended Convoys, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-757 453), Rep No SACLANTCEN-SM-1, (January 1, 1973), 58 pp.
- 382. Mjelde, K M, An Analytical Approach to a Class of Battles, Opns Res, Vol 22, No 1, (January-February 1974), 93-99.
- 383. Mjelde, K M, On a Supplementary Time Variable Approach to Battle Analysis, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 22, No 1, (March 1975), 189-196.
- 384. Mjelde, K M, A War of Attrition and Attack with Decreasing Rates of Weapons Supply, Cahiers du Centre d'Etudes de Recherche Opérationnelle, Bruxelles, Vol 22, (1980), 111-123.
- 385. Mjelde, K M, The Defence of a Valuable Target A Control Theoretical Analysis, MIC, Vol 3, (1982), 1-10.
- 386. Mjelde, K M & Widerkehr, R R V, The Approximate Solution of a Generalized Set of Deterministic Lanchester Equations, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-594 100), Rep No SACLANTCEN-TR-172, (September 1970).
- 387. Moglewer, S & Payne, C, A Game Theory Approach to Logistics Allocation, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 17, No 1, (March 1970), 87-97.
- 388. Moose, P H, Evolution Equations of C(3) I: Canonical Forms and Their Properties, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A135 293), (October 1983), 44 pp.
- 389. Morath, J, *Epsteins modell för markstridsavdömning* (Epstein's Model of Land Combat Assessment) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep D 10177, (October 1990), 42 pp.
- 390. Morrison, D G & Schmittlein, D C, Jobs, Strikes and Wars: Probability Models for Duration, Organizational Behaviour in Human Performance, Vol 25, (???), 224-251.
- 391. Morse, Ph M, Mathematical Problems in Operations Research, Bull Amer Mathl Soc, Vol 54, (July 1948), 602-621.
- 392. Morse, Ph M & Kimball, G E, *Strategical Kinematics* (Chapter 4 in Methods of Operations Research, (1981), [R16]), 61-80.
- 393. Mortagy, B E, References on the Lanchester Theory of Combat, Roy Mil College Sci, Shrivenham, England, Working Paper, (198???), 9 pp.
- 394. Murphy, K L, Comparative Analysis of Attrition Generation Methodologies Utilized in Aggregate Combat Models, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A186 964), M S Thesis, (September 1987), 129 pp.
- 395. Newby, M, A Further Note on the Distribution of Strike Duration, J Roy Statl Soc A, Vol 148, Part 4, (1985), 350-356.

- **396**. Newby, M & Winterton, J, The Duration of Industrial Stoppages, *J Roy Statl Soc A*, Vol 146, Part 1, (1983), 62-70.
- 397. Nicholson, M, The Statistical Description of War (Chapter 3 in Conflict Analysis, (1970), [R18]), 30-50.
- 398. Nilsson, P O, Ansats till en matematisk modell för bataljonsstrid (Attempt to a Mathematical Model of Battalion Combat) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA P Rep A 105, (June 1962), 17 pp.
- 399. Ning, A B, Equilibrium Solutions, Stabilities and Dynamics of Lanchester's Equations with Optimization of Initial Force Commitments, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A151 510), M S Thesis, (September 1984), 129 pp.
- 400. Nunn, W R, Glass, D V, Hsu, I-Ch & Perin, D A, Analysis of a Layered Defense Model, Opns Res, Vol 30, No 3, (May-June 1982), 595-599.
- 401. Oberbeck, T E, A Note on the Lanchester Equations, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-210 361), Rep No RM-12, (June 17, 1947), 2 pp.
- 402. Oberbeck, T E, A Second Note on the Lanchester Equations, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No RM-13, (June 24, 1947), 8 pp.
- 403. Oberle, R A, An Air Combat Maneuver Conversion Model, Opns Evaluation Group, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-A010 539), Rep No CRC-274, (November 1974), 25 pp.
- 404. Oh, M, Optimal Time-Sequential Distribution of Supporting Fire against Two Enemy Ground Units, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A003 535), M S Thesis, (September 1974), 39 pp.
- 405. Olsen, P, Candan, U & de Nijs, J J, *IDAHEX Version 4. Volume 2. Game Designer's Manual*, SHAPE Technical Centre, The Hague, The Netherlands, Rep No STC-TM-762, (August 1985), 239 pp.
- 406. Olsen, P, Candan, U & de Nijs, J J, *IDAHEX Version 4. Volume 3. Player's Manual*, SHAPE Technical Centre, The Hague, The Netherlands, Rep No STC-TM-763, (August 1985), 87 pp.
- 407. Osaki, S & Nakagawa, T, A Note on Stochastic Duels, Department of Electrical Engineering, Univ Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90007, (PB-229 342), Rep No RB72-40, (August 1972), 13 pp.
- 408. Osipov, M, The Influence of the Numerical Strength of Engaged Forces on Their Casualties (translated from Russian by Helmbold, R L & Rehm, A S), US Army Concepts Analysis Agency, 8120 Woodmont Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20814-2797, (AD-A241 534), Rep No CAA-RP-91-2, (September 30, 1991), 89 pp.
- 409. Overholt, J L, Fitting Korean War Data by Statistical Methods, Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-705 349), Rep No CNA-PP-32, (May 5, 1970), 15 pp.

- 410. Overholt, J L, Feder, P, Keutman, C, Miller, C, Rafferty, S & Welch, J, Study of Land/Air Trade-Offs (SLAT). Volume IX: Statistical Analysis of Korean War Data - Spring 1951, Naval Warfare Analysis Group, Center for Naval Analyses, 1401 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-869 481), Study No 64, (April 1970), xiii + 49 + 61 pp. (Cf ref 180.)
- 411. Owen, G, A Generalization of Lanchester's Laws of Combat (in Thrall, R M & Associates to US Army STAG, (1972), [R26]).
- 412. Park, S D, An Operational Lanchester-Type Model of Small-Unit Amphibious Operations, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A109 689), M S Thesis, (September 1981), 101 pp.
- 413. Perkins, R, Requirements for Field Artillery Models of Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, ???.
- 414. Perla, P P, Approximation Techniques and Optimal Decision Making for Stochastic Lanchester Models, Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon Univ, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, (AD-A050 416), Interim Rep No TR-137, (January 1978), 56 pp.
- 415. Perla, P P, A Decision Theoretic Approach to Lanchester Processes. I. The One Period Problem, Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon Univ, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, (AD-A061 055), Interim Rep No TR-146, (April 1978), 36 pp.
- 416. Perla, P P & Lehoczky, J P, A New Approach to the Analysis of Stochastic Lanchester Processes. I. Time Evolution, Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon Univ, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, (AD-A045 176), Interim Rep No TR-135, (September 1977), 37 pp.
- 417. Peterson, F K, A Mathematical Model of Infantry Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-709 099), FDOK-63 869, M S Thesis, (April 1970), 69 pp.
- 418. Peterson, R H, On the 'Logarithmic Law' of Attrition and Its Application to Tank Combat, Opns Res, Vol 15, No 3, (May-June 1967), 557-558.
- 419. Phipps, T E, Application of Lanchester Analysis to a Mining Campaign, Naval Ordnance Lab, Rep No NOL-TR 69-204, (November 15, 1969).
- 420. Pizer, R, A Comparison of Casualty Rates Recorded in Field Trial and Those Predicted by a Computer Program (in Huber, R K (ed), Systems Analysis and Modeling in Defense: Developments, Trends, and Issues, (1984), [R11]), 441-446.
- 421. Pospischil, O, Mathematisierung der Kriegswissenschaften (The Mathematisation of the Science of War) (in German), Unternahmensbereich Apparate, Messerschmitt-Boelkow-Blohm G m b H, Ottobrunn, Germany, (TIB/B88-82032/XAD), Rep No MBB-Z-139/87-PUB, (1987), 4 pp.
 + Also in (N88-17834/8/XAD).
- 422. Powers, R L, Comparison of Optimal Target Selection Rules Based on Deterministic and Stochastic Lanchester Models of Heterogeneous Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-758 505), (December 1972), 63 pp.

- 423. Powers, R L, & Taylor, J G, Comparison of a Deterministic and a Stochastic Formulation for the Optimal Control of a Lanchester Type Attrition Process, Proc Int Symp on Applications of Computers and Opns Res to Problems of World Concern, Washington D C, 20-21 August 1973, (1973).
- 424. Protopopescu, V & Santoro, R T, On the Validation of Combat Models, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, Rep No ORNL/TM-11353, (1990).
- 425. Protopopescu, V, Santoro, R T & Azmy, Y Y, PDE (Partial Differential Equations) Models for Combat: Potential Applications to LIC (Low Intensity Combat), Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6363, (DE90 003713), Rep No CONF-891257-1, (1989), 18 pp.
- 426. Protopopescu, V, Santoro, R T & Azmy, Y Y, Recent Advances in Analytic Combat Simulation: From Modeling to Validation and Beyond, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE90 012786), Rep No CONF-9006206-1, (1990), 19 pp.
- 427. Protopopescu, V, Santoro, R T, Cox, R L & Rusu, P, Combat Modeling with Partial Differential Equations: The Bidimensional Case, Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, (DE90 004465), Rep No ORNL/TM-11343, (January 1990), 33 pp.
- **428**. Protopopescu, V, Santoro, R T & Dockery, J, Combat Modeling with Partial Differential Equations, *European J Opnl Res*, Vol 38, No 2, (January 25, 1989), 178-183.
- 429. Protopopescu, V, Santoro, R T, Dockery, J, Cox, R L & Barnes, J M, Combat Modeling with Partial Differential Equations, Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, (DE88 004764), Rep No ORNL/TM-10636, (November 1987), 62 pp.
- 430. Przemieniecki, J S, Mathematical Modeling of Combat Engagements by Heterogeneous Forces, US Air Force Inst Techno, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433, (AD-A213 274), Rep No AFIT/TR/EN-88-OV2, (September 1988), 36 pp.
- 431. Quade, E S & Boucher, W I, Systems Analysis and Policy Planning. Applications in Defense, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Elsevier, New York, (1968), 453 pp.
 + Also in abridged form as RAND R-439-PR, (June 1968).
- 432. Rao, S S, Applications of Lanchester's Equations to a Tactical Problem, Defence Sci J, Vol 15, (1965).
- 433. Rapoport, A, Lewis Richardson's Mathematical Theory of War, *J Conflict Resolution*, Vol 1, (1957), 249-299.
- 434. Rashevsky, N, Outline of a Mathematical Theory of War (Chapter XXIII in Mathematical Theory of Human Relations, (1947), [R21]), 187-195.
- 435. Rashevsky, N & Trucco, E, A Mathematical Study of the Causes and Origins of Wars, The Boxwood Press, Pittsburgh, Quadrangle Books, Chicago, (1960), 307 pp.
- **436.** Reddoch, R, Lanchester Combat Models with Suppressive Fire and/or Unit Disintegration, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-761 759), M S Thesis, (March 1973), 110 pp.

- 437. Reed, F C, Probability Distribution Associated with m on n Aerial Duels, Systems Engineering and Synthesis Division, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California 93555, (AD-B017 412), Rep No NWC-TP-5815, (December 1976), 52 pp.
- 438. Reese, T F, A Finite Markov Chain Model of the Combat Process, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-736 113), M S Thesis, FDOK-109 242, (September 1971), 63 pp.
- 439. Restrepo, R, Tactical Problem Involving Several Actions Contributions to the Theory Games III, Annals Mathl Studies, Vol 39, (1957), 313-335.
- 440. Ricardo, H R, Frederick William Lanchester, Obituary Notices of the Fellows of Roy Soc, Vol 5, (1948), 757-765.
- 441. Richardson, L F, Statistics of Deadly Quarrels, The Boxwood Press, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, (1960), xlvi + 373 pp.
- 442. Richardson, L F, Arms and Insecurity (in Rashevsky, N & Trucco, E, A Mathematical Study of the Causes and Origins of Wars, (1960), [R22]).
- 443. Riddell, J M, A Gaming Analysis of Counter-Infiltration Operations, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-710 723), M S Thesis, (April 1970), 83 pp.
- 444. Robbins, J J, Military Applications of Operations Research in Sweden, *Opns Res*, Vol 4, No 3, (June 1956), 347-352.
- 445. Roberts, D M & Conolly, B W, An Extension of the Lanchester Square Law to Inhomogeneous Forces with an Application to Force Allocation Methodology, *J Opnl Res Soc*, Vol 43, No 8, (August 1992), 741-752.
- 446. Robertson, J I, A Method of Computing Survival Probabilities of Several Targets Versus Several Weapons, *Opns Res*, Vol 4, No 5, (October 1956), 546-557.
- 447. Rodrigues, F C, A Proposed Entropy Measure for Assessing Combat Degradation, *J Opnl Res* Soc, Vol 40, No 8, (August 1989), 789-793.
- 448. Rosenzweig, H, TAFCOM A Computer Model of Theater Wide Tactical Fighter Combat Operations, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No RM-4868-PR, (1967).
- 449. Rowland, D, The Use of Historical Data in the Assessment of Combat Degradation, J Opnl Res Soc, Vol 38, No 2, (February 1987), 149-162.
- 450. Royalty, D R, Colby Kegley, J, David, H T & Berger, R W, An Asymptotic Stochastic View of Anticipation in a Noisy Duel (1), Department of Statistics, Iowa Univ, Iowa City, Iowa, (AD-A111 955), Rep No AFOSR-TR-82-0090, (November 1981), 25 pp.
- 451. Ruckle, W H, Ambushing Random Walks II: Continuous Models, *Opns Res*, Vol 29, No 1, (January-February 1981), 108-120.
- 452. Ruckle, W, Fennell, R, Holmes, P T & Fennemore, Ch, Ambushing Random Walks I: Finite Models, *Opns Res*, Vol 24, No 2, (March-April 1976), 314-324.

- 453. Ruckle, W H & Reay, J R, Ambushing Random Walks III: More Continuous Models, *Opns Res*, Vol 29, No 1, (January-February 1981), 121-129.
- 454. Rustagi, J S & Laitinen, R, Moment Estimation in a Markov-Dependent Firing Distribution, Opns Res, Vol 18, No 5, (September-October 1970), 918-923.
- 455. Rustagi, J S & Srivastava, R C, Parameter Estimation in a Markov Dependent Firing Distribution, Opns Res, Vol 16, No 6, (November-December 1968), 1222-1227.
- 456. Rusu, P, Two-Dimensional Combat Modeling with Partial Differential Equations, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE89 004303), Rep No ORNL/TM-10973, (December 1988), 11 pp.
- 457. Sahin, I & Hendrick, D J, On Strike Durations and a Measure of Termination, Quality Control and Appl Statistics, Vol XXIV, No 6, (1979), 463-465
 + Also in Appl Stats, Vol 27, No 3, (1978), 319-324.
- 458. Sakaguchi, M, A Sequential Allocation Game for Targets with Varying Values, J Opns Res Soc Japan, Vol 20, No 3, (1977), 182-193.
- **459.** Salikupta, N, A Factorial Parameter Analysis of Schaffer's Ambush Combat Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A003 521), M S Thesis, (September 1974), 114 pp.
- 460. Samz, R W, Some Comments on Engel's "A Verification of Lanchester's Law", Opns Res, Vol 20, No 1, (January-February 1972), 49-52.
- 461. Santoro, R T, Azmy, Y Y & Protopopescu, V, Oak Ridge Cross Validates Modeling Versus War Gaming, Signal, Vol 44, No 11, (July 1990), 49-52.
- 462. Santoro, R T, Rusu, P & Barnes, J M, Mathematical Descriptions of Offensive Combat Maneuvers, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE89 004730), Rep No ORNL/TM-11000, (January 1989), 21 pp.
- 463. Schaffer, M B, Lanchester Models for Phase II Insurgency, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-624 457), (1965).
- 464. Schaffer, M B, Lanchester Models of Guerrilla Engagements, Opns Res, Vol 16, No 3, (May-June 1968), 457-488.
 + Also in RAND RM-5053-ARPA, ((January 1967).
- 465. Schmieman, W A, The Use of Lanchester-Type Equations in the Analysis of Past Military Engagements, Georgia Inst Techno, Atlanta, Georgia, Ph D Dissertation, (August 1967).
- 466. Schoderbek, J J, Some Weapon System Survival Probability Models I. Fixed Time Between Firings, *Opns Res*, Vol 10, No 2, (March-April 1962), 155-167.
- 467. Schoderbek, J J, Some Weapon System Survival Probability Models II. Random Time Between Firings, *Opns Res*, Vol 10, No 2, (March-April 1962), 168-179.
- 468. Schreiber, T S, Note on the Combat Value of Intelligence and Command Control Systems, Opns Res, Vol 12, No 3, (May-June 1964), 507-510.

- 469. Schultz, G W, On the Theory and Applications of Stochastic Systems, Univ South Florida, (1975), 241 pp.
 + See also OR Overzicht TDCK 87499, Vol 20, No 207, (October 24, 1976), 227.
- 470. Scollan, D F, Azmy, Y Y & Protopopescu, V, Nonlinear Maps with Competitive Interactions: Fixed-Points, Bifurcations, and Chaotic Attractors, Oak Ridge National Lab, Tennessee, (DE90 001858), Rep No ORNL/TM-11315, (September 1989), 70 pp.
- 471. Shapley, L S, *The Silent Duel, One Bullet Versus Two, Equal Accuracy*, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No RM-445, (September 9, 1950).
- 472. Sheehan, W G & Scherck, P E, Sensitivity of Conflict Simulation Models to Changes in Variable Parameters, School of Systems and Logistics, US Air Force Inst Techno, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433, (AD-785 956), M S Thesis, Rep No SLSR-27-74B, (August 1974), 103 pp.
- 473. Shubik, M (ed), *Mathematics of Conflict*, North-Holland Systems and Control Series, Vol 6, (1983), x + 186 pp.
- 474. Shudde, R H, Introductions to Military Operations Research, Department of Operations Analysis, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (June 1967). (Esp. "Lanchester's Theory of Combat")
- 475. Shudde, R H, Lanchester's Theory of Combat (Chapter 6 in Zehna, P W (ed), Selected Methods and Models in Military Operations Research, (1971), [R28]), 185-225.
- 476. Shuford, J H, A New Probability Model for Lanchester's Equations of Combat, M S Thesis, (December 1971), submitted to George Washington Univ.
- 477. Shuford, J H & Grubbs, F E, Stopping Rules for War Games or Combat Simulations with Exponential Life-Times, *Opns Res*, Vol 23, No 4, (July-August 1975), 824-829.
- 478. Simmons, R L, Malinoski, F A & Hung, H M, Some Mathematical Models and Computer Programs for Small Arms Analysis, Systems Analysis Directorate Corp, US Army Weapons Command, Rock Island, Illinois, (AD-722 792), Rep No SY-TN-10-70, FDOK-86 612, (February 1971), 165 pp.
- 479. Smart, D L, Mathematical Model of Armed Helicopter vs. Tank Duel, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-753 590), FDOK-96 745, M S Thesis, (September 1972), 55 pp.
- 480. Smith, D G, The Probability Distribution of the Number of Survivors in a Two-Sided Combat Situation, *Opnl Res Quart*, Vol 16, No 4, (December 1965), 429-437.
- 481. Smith, G, A Duel with Silent Noisy Gun Versus Noisy Gun, Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol 17, (1967), 131-146.
- 482. Smith, G L, Foundations of the Intelligence Module of the Airland Research Model (ALARM), Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A176 119), M S Thesis, (September 1986), 96 pp.

- **483.** Smoler, J, Operational Lanchester-Type Model of Small Unit Land Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A078 265), M S Thesis, (September 1979), 115 pp.
- 484. Snow, R N, Contributions to Lanchester's Attrition Theory, Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc., Santa Monica, California, RAND Memo RA-15078, (April 5, 1948), 33 pp.
- 485. Springall, A, Contributions to Lanchester Combat Theory, Virginia Polytechnic Inst, Blacksburg, Virginia, Ph D Thesis, (March 1968), 205 pp.
- 486. Stahel, A A, Die Anwendung der numerischen Mathematik und der Simulationstechnik bei der Darstellung des Ablaufs einer internationalen Krise (The Use of Numerical Mathematics and Simulation Technique when Describing the Course of Events in an International Crisis) (in German), Huber & Company AG, Frauenfeld, (1973).
- 487. Stahel, A A, Die Anwendung von Lanchester Modellen für die Beschreibung und die Simulation von Interaktionen im Guerillakrieg (Use of Lanchester Models to Describe and Simulate Guerilla Warfare) (in German), Studien zur Militärgeschichte, Militärwissenschaft und Konfliktforschung, (1975), 59 pp.
- 488. Stahel, A A, Simulationen sicherheitspolitischer Prozesse, anhand von Beispielen und Problemen der schweizerischen Sicherheitspolitik (Simulation of Processes in Security Policy, on Behalf of Examples and Problems in the Swiss Security Policy) (in German), Zürcher Beiträge zur Politischen Wissenschaft, Band 2, Verlag Huber, Frauenfeld, (1980).
- 489. Stahel, A A, Simulation of Guerilla Warfare (in Rand, G K (ed), Operational Research '87. Proc 11th Int Conf Opnl Res, Buenos Aires, Argentina, August 10-14, 1987, North-Holland (1988), [R20]), 594-609.
- 490. Stahel, A A & Bucherer, P, Afghanistan, 5 Jahre Widerstand und Kleinkrieg (Afghanistan, 5 Years of Resistance and Small War) (in German), Huber & Company AG, Frauenfeld, Appendix to Allgemeinen Schweizerischen Militärzeitschrift (ASMZ), No 12, (1984).
- 491. Stahel, A A & Bucherer, P, Afghanistan 1984/85, Besetzung und Widerstand (Afghanistan 1984/85, Occupation and Resistance) (in German), Huber & Company AG, Frauenfeld, Appendix to Allgemeinen Schweizerischen Militärzeitschrift (ASMZ), No 12, (1985).
- 492. Stark, H, Bewertung von Kampfsystemen mit Hilfe von Feldversuchen (Evaluation of Combat Systems with the Aid of Field Experiments) (in German), Jahrbuch der Wehrtechnik, Vol 11, (1978), 160-165.
- 493. Steinbruner, J D & Sigal, L V (eds), Alliance Security: NATO and the No-First-Use Question, The Brookings Institution, Washington D C, (1983).
- 494. Stephens, C D, An Analytical Approach to Determining Rush Distance for an Infantry Squad in the Frontal Attack, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A070 278), M S Thesis, (March 1979), 50 pp.
- 495. Stemberg, S R, Development of Optimal Allocation Strategies in Heterogeneous Lanchester-Type Processes, Department of Industrial Engineering, Univ Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, (AD-730 485), FDOK-92 672, Rep No SRL 2147 TR 71-1, (June 1971), 180 pp.

+ See also OR Overzicht TDCK 83540, Vol 17, No 169, (August 24, 1973), 178.

- 496. Styszynski, A, A N-Silent-vs-Noise Duel with Arbitrary Accuracy Functions, Zastos Mat, Vol 14, (1974), 205-225.
- 497. Sverdlov, E E, Optimal Allocation of Tactical Missiles Between Valued Targets and Defense Targets, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A110 876), Ph D Thesis, (September 1981), 273 pp.
- 498. Swanson, J J & Gibson, J H, Combat Modeling for Command, Control, and Communications: A Primer, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A226 114), (March 1990), 27 pp.
- 499. Sweat, C W, A Duel Involving False Targets, Opns Res, Vol 17, No 3, (May-June 1969), 478-488.
- 500. Sweat, C W, A Duel Complicated by False Targets and Uncertainty as to Opponent Type, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 19, No 2, (June 1972), 355-367.
- 501. Taylor, J G, Application of Differential Games to Problems of Military Conflict: Tactical Allocation Problems Part I, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-717 577), Rep No NPS-55Tw70062A, (June 19, 1970), 201 pp.
- 502. Taylor, J G, A Note on the Solution to Lanchester-Type Equations with Variable Coefficients, Opns Res, Vol 19, No 3, (May-June 1971), 709-712.
- 503. Taylor, J G, *Mathematical Models of Combat*, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, Course Outline and Notes, (Summer 1971), 23-32.
- 504. Taylor, J G, Comments on "A Note on the Solution to Lanchester-Type Equations with Variable Coefficients", Opns Res, Vol 20, No 6, (November-December 1972), 1194-1195.
- 505. Taylor, J G, On the Isbell and Marlow Fire Programming Problem, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 19, No 3, (September 1972), 539-556.
- 506. Taylor, J G, Application of Differential Games to Problems of Military Conflict: Tactical Allocation Problems Part II, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-758 663), Rep No NPS-55Tw72111A, (November 1972), 506 pp.
- 507. Taylor, J G, A Lanchester-Type Aggregated-Force Model of Conventional Ground Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (November 1973).
- 508. Taylor, J G, Target Selection in Lanchester Combat: Linear-Law Attrition Process, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 20, No 4, (December 1973), 673-697.
- 509. Taylor, J G, Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare and Optimal Control, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 21, No 1, (March 1974), 79-106.
- 510. Taylor, J G, Some Differential Games of Tactical Interest, Opns Res, Vol 22, No 2, (March-April 1974), 304-317.

- 511. Taylor, J G, Survey on the Optimal Control of Lanchester Type Attrition Processes, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-778 630), Rep No NPS-55Tw74031, (March 1974), 41 pp.
 + Presentation at the Symp on the State-of-the-Art of Mathematics in Combat Models, June 1973, 13-23.
- 512. Taylor, J G, Application of Differential Games to Problems of Military Conflict: Tactical Allocation Problems Part III, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-782 304), Rep No NPS-55Tw74051, (May 1974), 162 pp.
- 513. Taylor, J G, Solving Lanchester-Type Equations for 'Modern Warfare' with Variable Coefficients, Opns Res, Vol 22, No 4, (July-August 1974), 756-770.
- 514. Taylor, J G, Application of Differential Games to Problems of Military Conflict: Tactical Allocation Problems. Part III: Appendices C and D, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A005 872), Rep No NPS-55Tw74112, (November 1974), 117 pp.
- 515. Taylor, J G, Target Selection in Lanchester Combat: Heterogeneous Forces and Time-Dependent Attrition-Rate Coefficients, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 21, No 4, (December 1974), 683-704.
- 516. Taylor, J G, A Tutorial on Lanchester Type Models of Warfare, Proc Thirty-Fifth Mil Opns Res Symp, U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, 1-3 July 1975, (1975), 39-63.
- 517. Taylor, J G, Optimal Fire-Support Strategies, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A033 761), Rep No NPS-55Tw76021, (February 1976), 157 pp.
- 518. Taylor, J G, On the Relationship Between the Force Ratio and the Instantaneous Casualty-Exchange Ratio for Some Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 23, No 2, (June 1976), 345-352.
- 519. Taylor, J G, Differential-Game Examination of Optimal Time-Sequential Fire-Support Strategies, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A033 762), Rep No NPS-55Tw76091, (September 1976), 62 pp.
- 520. Taylor, J G, Optimal Commitment of Forces in Some Lanchester-Type Combat Models, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A038 753), Rep No NPS-55-77-2, (January 1977), 35 pp.
- 521. Taylor, J G, Fire Distribution in Lanchester Inertial Combat, I: "Square-Law" Attrition of Target Types, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A050 012), Rep No NPS-55-77-11, (March 1977), 54 pp.
- 522. Taylor, J G, Determining the Class of Payoffs That Yield Force-Level-Independent Optimal Fire-Support Strategies, *Opns Res*, Vol 25, No 3, (May-June 1977), 506-516.
- 523. Taylor, J G, Predicting Battle Outcome with Liouville's Normal Form for Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, *Opsearch*, Vol 14, No 3, (September 1977), 185-203.
- 524. Taylor, J G, Differential-Game Examination of Optimal Time-Sequential Fire-Support Strategies, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 25, No 2, (June 1978), 323-355.

Arne Fransén

Lars Wigg

- 525. Taylor, J G, Error Bounds for the Liouville-Green Approximation to Initial-Value Problems, Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik (ZAMM), Vol 58, No 12, (December 1978), 529-537.
- 526. Taylor, J G, On Liouville's Normal Form for Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare with Variable Coefficients, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A063 173), Rep No NPS-55-78-024, (September 1978), 40 pp. + Also Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, Rep No NPS-55-Tw76031, (March 1976).
- 527. Taylor, J G, A Lanchester-Type Aggregated Force Model of Conventional Ground Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, Proc Los Angeles Joint National Meeting, November 13-15, 1978.
- 528. Taylor, J G, Overview of a Lanchester-Type Aggregated-Force Model of Conventional Large-Scale Ground Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A096 839), Rep No ARO-14961.15-M, (1978), 13 pp. + Also in Proc 17th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, Fort Lee, Virginia, (1978), 551-562.
- 529. Taylor, J G, Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory of Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A096 789), Rep No ARO-14961.3-M, (1979), 36 pp.
 + Also in Haley, K B (ed), Proc Eighth IFORS Int Conf on Opns Res, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979), [R10], 773-806.
- 530. Taylor, J G, Optimal Commitment of Forces in Some Lanchester-Type Combat Models, *Opns Res*, Vol 27, No 1, (January-February 1979), 96-114.
- 531. Taylor, J G, Force-Annihilation-Prediction Conditions for Lanchester-Type Equations for Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (April 1979).
- 532. Taylor, J G, Prediction of Zero Points of Solutions to Lanchester-Type Differential Combat Equations for Modern Warfare, SIAM J Appl Maths, Vol 36, No 3, (June 1979), 438-456.
- 533. Taylor, J G, Some Simple Victory-Prediction Conditions for Lanchester-Type Combat Between Two Homogeneous Forces with Supporting Fires, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A096 842), Rep No ARO-14961.9-M, (1979), 13 pp.
 + Also in Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 26, No 2, (June 1979), 365-375.
- 534. Taylor, J G, Attrition Modeling (in Huber, R K, Niemeyer, K & Hofmann, H W (eds), Operationsanalytische Spiele für die Verteidigung (Operational Research Games for Defense), (1979), [R13]), 139-189.
- 535. Taylor, J G, Optimization of Combat Dynamics, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A089 954), Rep No ARO-14961.17-M, (November 30, 1979), 10 p.
- 536. Taylor, J G, Dependence of the Parity-Condition Parameter on the Combat-Intensity Parameter for Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A096 790), Rep No ARO-14961.12-M, (1979), 8 pp. + Also in Opns Res Spektrum, Vol 1, (1980), 199-205.

- 537. Taylor, J G, Theoretical Analysis of Lanchester-Type Combat Between Two Homogeneous Forces with Supporting Fires, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A097 074), Rep No ARO-14961.18-M, (1979), 15 pp. + Also in Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 27, No 1, (March 1980), 109-121.
- 538. Taylor, J G, Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare. Volume I, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A090 842), Rep No ARO-16403.1-M-VOL-1, (October 1980), 606 pp.
- 539. Taylor, J G, Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare. Volume II, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A090 843), Rep No ARO-16403.1-M-VOL-2, (October 1980), 820 pp.
- 540. Taylor, J G, Force-on-Force Attrition Modeling, Mil Applications Section, Opns Res Soc, Arlington or Alexandria, Virginia, (1980).
- 541. Taylor, J G, Mathematical Analysis of Nonlinear Helmbold-Type Equations of Warfare, J Franklin Inst, Vol 311, No 4, (April 1981), 243-265.
- 542. Taylor, J G, Analysis of the Functional Dependence of the Modified Parity-Condition Parameter on Its Argument for an Important Class of Lanchester-Type Equations for Modern Warfare, Int J Systems Sci, Vol 13, (???), 115-136.
- 543. Taylor, J G, Improved Error Bounds for the Liouville-Green (or WKB) Approximation, J Mathl Analysis and Applications (JMAA), Vol 85, No 1, (January 1982), 79-89.
- 544. Taylor, J G, Tutorial on the Determination of Single-Weapon-System-Type Kill Rates for Use in Lanchester Combat Models, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A124 937), (August 1982), 173 pp.
- 545. Taylor, J G, Theoretical Analysis of the General Linear Model for Lanchester-Type Combat Between Two Homogeneous Forces, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 29, No 4, (December 1982), 617-633.
- 546. Taylor, J G, Annihilation Prediction for Lanchester-Type Models of Modern Warfare with Logistics Constraints, *Mathl Modeling*, Vol 3, (1982), 323-340.
- 547. Taylor, J G, "Simple-Approximate" Battle-Outcome-Prediction Conditions for Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 30, No 1, (March 1983), 113-131.
- 548. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, A Mathematical Theory for Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, Rep No NPS-55Tw74111, (November 1974), 44 pp.
- 549. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, An Examination of the Effects of the Criterion Functional on Optimal Fire-Support Policies, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A033 760), Rep No NPS-55Tw76092, (September 1976), 48 pp.
- 550. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, Numerical Determination of the Parity-Condition Parameter for Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A037 619), Rep No NPS-55-77-10, (March 1977), 38 pp.

- 551. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, Canonical Methods in the Solution of Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, *Opns Res*, Vol 24, No 1, (January-February 1976), 44-69.
- 552. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, Further Canonical Methods in the Solution of Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A044 302), Rep No NPS-55-77-27, (June 1977), 45 pp.
- 553. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, A Table of Lanchester-Clifford-Schläfli Functions, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A050 248), Rep No NPS-55-77-39, (October 1977), 92 pp.
- 554. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, A Short Table of Lanchester-Clifford-Schläfli Functions, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A049 863), Rep No NPS-55-77-42, (October 1977), 64 pp.
- 555. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, Numerical Determination of the Parity-Condition Parameter for Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A067 841), Rep No ARO-14961.16-M, (1978), 17 pp.
 + Also in Computational and Opns Res, Vol 5, (1978), 227-242.
- 556. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, Further Canonical Methods in the Solution of Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare: A New Definition of Power Lanchester Functions, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, TP14.6, (November 1976).
- 557. Taylor, J G & Brown, G G, Annihilation Prediction for Lanchester-Type Models of Modern Warfare, Opns Res, Vol 31, No 4, (July-August 1983), 752-771.
- 558. Taylor, J G & Comstock, C, Force-Annihilation Conditions for Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, I. Mathematical Theory, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A030 035), Rep No NPS-55Tw76081, (August 1976), 39 pp.
- 559. Taylor, J G & Comstock, C, Error Bounds for the Lanchester Equations with Variable Coefficients, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A038 012), Rep No NPS-53Cs77031, (March 1977), 12 pp.
- 560. Taylor, J G & Comstock, C, Force-Annihilation Conditions for Variable-Coefficient Lanchester-Type Equations of Modern Warfare, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 24, No 2, (June 1977), 349-371.
- 561. Taylor, J G & Parry, S H, Force-Ratio Considerations for Some Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare, Opns Res, Vol 23, No 3, (May-June 1975), 522-533.
- 562. Taylor, J G & Powers, R L, Comparison of a Deterministic and a Stochastic Formulation for the Optimal Control of a Lanchester-Type Attrition Process, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A041 150), Rep No NPS-55-77-18, (April 1977), 60 pp. (Cf ref 423.)
- 563. Taylor, Th C, Principles of Modern Tactics the n-Square Law and Beyond, Defense Analysis, Vol 6, No 3, (September 1990), 277-288.

- 564. Teraoka, Y, Silent-Noisy Duel with Uncertain Existence of the Shot, *Bull Mathl Statistics*, Vol 19, No III/IV, (1981), 43-52.
- 565. Thomas, C J, *The Estimation of Bombers Surviving an Air Battle*, Opns Analysis Technical Memo 49, DDC 112989, (August 1956).
- 566. Thompson, D E, Stochastic Duels Involving Reliability, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 19, No 1, (March 1972), 145-148.
- 567. Thompson, J R & Thrall, R M, A Note on the Thor Index of Combat Effectiveness, Proc 11th Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, (1972).
- 568. Tiede, R V & Leake, L A, A Method for Evaluating the Combat Effectiveness of a Tactical Information System in a Field Army, *Opns Res*, Vol 19, No 3, (May-June 1971), 587-604.
- 569. Tkachenko, P N (ed), Mathematical Models of Combat Operations. Mathematical Methods for Modeling Combat Operations in Tactical Units (Podrazdeleniye) with the Aid of Electronic Computers (translated from Russian), Foreign Science and Technology Center, Army Material Command, (AD-764 109), Rep No FSTC-HT-23-270-73, (April 1973), 242 pp. (Original printed 1969.)
- 570. Tompkins, C, Probabilistic Problems and Military Evaluation: An Example, George Washington Univ, Washington D C, Logistics Papers No 2, (1950).
- 571. Tonguc, K, Modeling the Effect of Information on Conflict Outcome, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A078 411), M S Thesis, (September 1979), 88 pp.
- 572. Vuksich, J M, Low Intensity Conflict Combat Attrition Methodology, Combined Arms Opns Res Activity, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, (AD-A167 024), Rep No CAORA/TP-1-85, (February 1985), 57 pp.
- 573. Walker, W E, Mathematical Modeling Applied to the Relocation of Fire (Lecture 6 in Gass, S I (ed), Operations Research: Mathematics and Models, (1981), [R9]).
- 574. Wallis, P R, Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Opnl Res Quart, Vol 19, No 2, (June 1968), 191-195.
- 575. Wallis, P R, A Model for Force Attrition, Admiralty Underwater Weapons Establishment, Portland, England, AUWE Technical Note 191/65, (May 1967), 22 pp.
- 576. Wallman, H, Stjernberg, B & Elgeskog, E, Kampekvationer och CTH:s elektriska differentialanalysator (Combat Equations and the Electric Differential Analyzer of Chalmer's Institute of Technology) (in Swedish), Teknisk Tidskrift, Vol 83, No 40, (November 3, 1953), 833-841.
- 577. Wand, K, Humble, S & Wilson, R J T, Explicit Modeling of Detection within a Stochastic Duel, Naval Res Log, Vol 40, (1993), 431-450.
- 578. Wang, P C C, A Statistical Procedure for Determining Battle Outcome Utilizing Semi-Markov Process, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-778 627), Rep No NPS-58Wg74031, (March 10, 1974), 15 pp.

- 579. Watson, R K, An Application of Martingale Methods to Conflict Models, *Opns Res*, Vol 24, No 2, (March-April 1976), 380-382.
- 580. Weale, T G, *The Mathematics of Battle I. A Bivariate Probability Distribution*, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 7129, (December 1971), 38 pp.
- 581. Weale, T G, The Mathematics of Battle II. The Moments of the Distribution of Battle States, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 7130, (October 1972), 65 pp.
- 582. Weale, T G, The Mathematics of Battle V. Homogeneous Battles with General Attrition Functions, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 7511, (August 1975), 83 pp.
- 583. Weale, T G, The Mathematics of Battle VI. The Distribution of the Duration of Battle, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 76126, (June 1976), 84 pp.
- 584. Weale, T G, *The Mathematics of Battle VIII. The Heterogeneous Battle Model*, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 78106, (April 1978), 69 pp.
- 585. Weale, T G, *Stochastic Lanchester Theory Using Numerical Methods*, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, Proc Opns Res Soc Annual Conf, Stirling, September 4-7, 1979, (1979), 17 pp.
- 586. Weale, T G, Two Numerical Methods for Computing the Probability of Outcome of a Battle of Lanchester Type, *J Opnl Res Soc*, Vol 43, No 8, (August 1992), 797-807.
- 587. Weale, T G & Peryer, E, The Mathematics of Battle VII. Moments of the Distribution of States for a Battle with General Attrition Functions, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M 77105, (January 1977), 65 pp.
- 588. Weiss, H K, Requirements for a Theory of Combat: Lanchester Models, Ballistic Res Labs, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, (AD-013 717), BRL Memo Rep No 667, (April 1953).
- 589. Weiss, H K, Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare (in Davies, M, Eddison, R T & Page, T (eds), Proc 1st Int Conf Operational Res, (1957), [R4]), 82-99.
- 590. Weiss, H K, Some Differential Games of Tactical Interest and the Value of a Supporting Weapon System, *Opns Res*, Vol 7, No 2, (March-April 1959), 180-196.
- 591. Weiss, H K, The Ending of War Considered as a Markov Process, Proc Mil Opns Res Symp, (Fall 1961).
- 592. Weiss, H K, The Fiske Model of Warfare, Opns Res, Vol 10, No 4, (July-August 1962), 569-571.
- 593. Weiss, H K, Stochastic Models for the Duration and Magnitude of a "Deadly Quarrel", Opns Res, Vol 11, No 1, (January-February 1963), 101-121.

- 594. Weiss, H K, Combat Models and Historical Data: The U. S. Civil War, *Opns Res*, Vol 14, No 5, (September-October 1966), 759-790.
- 595. West, T A, Comparative Analysis of a Generalized Lanchester Equation Model and a Stochastic Computer Simulation Model, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-A183 358), M S Thesis, (March 1987), 145 pp.
- 596. Wiederkehr, R R V, Analysis of Losses in ASW Defence of Shipping Campaigns, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-A004 783), Rep No SACLANTCEN-SM-56, (October 1, 1974), 38 pp.
 + See also OR Overzicht TDCK 65448, Vol 19, No 186, (January 24, 1975), 13.
- 597. Willard, D, Lanchester as a Force in History: An Analysis of Land Battles of the Years 1618-1905, Res Analysis Corp, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-297 375L), Rep No RAC-TP-74, (November 1962), 37 pp.
- 598. Willard, D, Lanchester Attrition of Interpenetrating Forces, Naval Res Log, Vol 37, No 1, (February 1990), 31-59.
- 599. Williams, G T, Stochastic Duels II, System Developments Corp, Santa Monica, California, Rep No SP-1017/003/00, (September 13, 1963), 61 pp.
- 600. Williams, G T, Stochastic Duels III, System Developments Corp, Santa Monica, California, Rep No SP-1017/006/00, (June 22, 1964), 72 pp.
- 601. Williams, G T, Stochastic Duels with Homing, System Developments Corp, Santa Monica, California, Rep No SP-1017/106/00, (May 18, 1965), 34 pp.
- 602. Williams, T & Ancker Jr, C J, Stochastic Duels, *Opns Res*, Vol 11, No 5, (September-October 1963), 803-817.
- 603. Williams, G T & Ancker Jr, C J, Stochastic Duels with Displacements (Suppression), Proc Los Angeles Joint National Meeting, Mil Applications II, MAB 18.1, November 13-15, 1978.
 + Also in Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 28, No 3, (September 1981), 519-524.
- 604. Wise, B P, Lanchester Equations as Decision Heuristics for Automated Battle Planning, DTIC, (1990).
- 605. Wise, B P, Lanchester Equations for Automated Planning, PHALANX, (March 1991), 24-36.
- 606. Woodcock, A E R, An Investigation of Catastrophe Theory as a Command and Control Device, Synectics Corp, 111 East Chestnut Street, Rome, New York 13440, Rep No WH-85-KF-00, (March 31, 1986), 55 + 53 + 37 + 32 pp.
- 607. Woodcock, A E R & Dockery, J T, Application of Catastrophe Theory to the Analysis of Military Behavior, SHAPE Technical Centre, The Hague, The Netherlands, Consultants Rep No STC CR-56, (1984).
- 608. Woodcock, A E R & Dockery, J T, Models of Combat I: Catastrophe Theory and the Lanchester Equations (in Woodcock, A E R, An Investigation of Catastrophe Theory as a Command and Control Device, (1986), [R27]), Appendix A, 53 pp.

- 609. Wozencraft, J M & Moose, P H, Lanchester's Equations and Game Theory, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (AD-P002 877), (December 1983), 6 pp. + Also in Proc 6th MIT/ONR Workshop on C3 Systems, Cambridge, Massachusetts, July 25-29, 1983, (AD-A138 614), 24-29.
- 610. Wrigge, S, En matematisk analys av några differentialekvationssystem som beskriver strid med hänsyn taget till avståndet mellan de stridande parterna - Del 1 (Mathematical Analysis of Some Differential Equation Systems which Describe Combat Taking into Account the Distance Between the Combatants - Part 1) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep C 10066-M6, (February 1977), 53 pp.
- 611. Wrigge, S, En matematisk analys av några differentialekvationssystem som beskriver strid med hänsyn taget till avståndet mellan de stridande parterna - Del 2 (Mathematical Analysis of Some Differential Equation Systems which Describe Combat Taking into Account the Distance Between the Combatants - Part 2) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep C 10071-M6, (July 1977), 48 pp.
- 612. Wrigge, S, En matematisk analys av några differentialekvationssystem som beskriver strid med hänsyn taget till avståndet mellan de stridande parterna - Del 3 (Mathematical Analysis of Some Differential Equation Systems which Describe Combat Taking into Account the Distance Between the Combatants - Part 3) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep C 10086-M6, (February 1978), 18 pp.
- 613. Wrigge, S, Analysis of the Effect of Separation Distance in Lanchester Models, National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep C 10109-M6, (November 1978), 16 pp.
- 614. Wrigge, S & Löfstedt, H, Lanchesterteori en kritisk granskning (Lanchester Theory -Critical Look) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), S-172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep D 10160, (February 1991), 24 pp.
- 615. Wrigge, S & Wigg, L, Lanchesterteori och andra matematiska beskrivningar av strid. En bibliografi (Lanchester Combat Theory and Related Topics. A Bibliography) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA Rep C 10035-M6, (September 1975), 35 pp.
- 616. Youngren, M A, Handling Uncertainty in Input to Expected Value Models, US Army Concepts Analysis Agency, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-A218 062), Rep No CAA-RP-89-5, (September 1989), 29 pp.
- 617. Zachrisson, L E, En stridsvagnsmodell med spelteoretiska konsekvenser (A Tank Model with Game Theoretic Implications) (in Swedish), *Artilleri Tidskrift*, Vol 84, No 3, (1955), 112-121.
- 618. Zachrisson, L E, A Tank Duel with Game Theoretic Implications, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 4, (1957), 131-138.
- 619. Zachrisson, L E, Myggsvärmsanalogin en pansargenombrottsmodell (The Moth Clustering Analogy - a Tank Penetration Model) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA 2 Paper 21122-2990, (November 3, 1959), 10 pp.

- 620. Zachrisson, L E, Lanchestermodell med hänsyn till eldöverflyttningstider (A Lanchester Model Considering Fire Transferring Times) (in Swedish), National Defence Res Establishment (FOA), 172 90 Stockholm, Sweden, FOA 2 Paper 2639-291, (June 5, 1962), 27 pp.
- 621. Zachrisson, L E, *Markov Games* (in Dresher, M, Shapley, L S & Tucker, A W (eds), Advances in Game Theory, Annals of Mathematics Studies 52, (1964), [R5]), 211-253.
- 622. Zehna, P W (ed), Selected Methods and Models in Military Operations Research, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, (1971), vii + 412 pp.
- 623. Zhukov, V N, Mathematics in Combat (translated from Russian), FDOK-87 262, (October 1971), 93 pp.
- 624. Zinger, A, Concentrated Firing in Many-Versus-Many Duels, *Naval Res Log Quart*, Vol 27, No 4, (December 1980), 681-695.
- 625. Zuidweg, J K & van Dam, J, On the Optimal Allocation of Crewmen aboard a Warship, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 28, No 3, (September 1981), 503-517.

Additional references:

Before finishing this bibliography an extra effort was made to cover the subject more completely. This has resulted in the following complement. This is organized in the same way as the main bibliography but has received its own numbering sequence. The reference numbers are preceded with the letter "C" (short for Complement). Many of the additional references are descriptions of computer models of battles from battalion to theater level.

- C1. Anderson, L B, Benett, D & Hutzler M, *Modifications to IDAGAM I*, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-B001 980L), Rep No IDA P-1034, (June 1974).
- C2. Anderson, L B, Bracken, J, Healy, J, Hutzler M J & Kerlin, E P, *IDA Ground-Air Model I (IDAGAM I)*, Volume 1: Comprehensive Description, Program Analysis Division, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A017 202), Rep No IDA R-199, FDOK-108 018, (October 1974), 135 pp.
- C3. The BDM Corp, McLean, Virginia, COMBAT II. A Methodology for Analysis of Mixed Combined Arms Combat at Theater Level, Rep No BDM W-74-048-BR, (September 19, 1974).

+ Also in Cordesman, A H, Developments in Theater Level War Games, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Intelligence), (AD-A039 960), (December 1974), 570 pp.

- C4. Bellman, R & Dreyfus, S, On a Tactical Air-Warfare Model of Mengel, Opns Res, Vol 6, No 5 (January-February 1958), 65-78.
- C5. Berkovitz, L, A Survey of Differential games (in Balakrishnan, A & Neustadt, L (eds), Mathematical Theory of Control, Academic Press, New York, 1967), 342-372.

Arne Fransén Lars Wigg 1995-09-01

- C6. Berkovitz, L & Dresher, M, A Game Theory Analysis of Tactical Air War, *Opns Res*, Vol 7 (1959), 599-620.
- C7. Berkovitz, L & Dresher, M, A Multimove Infinite Game with Linear Payoff, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No P-1151 (September 22, 1958).
 + Pacific J Maths, Vol 10 (1960), 743-765.
- C8. Best, R J, Casualties and the Dynamics of Combat, Res Analysis Corp, (AD-372 260), Rep No RAC-TP-185 (Confidential), (March 1966).
- C9. Bishop, A B & Clark, G M, *The Tank Weapon System*, Systems Res Group, Department of Industrial Engineering, The Ohio State Univ, Columbus, Ohio, Rep No AR 69-2A, (October 1969).
- C10. Bonder, S, Summary of a Verification Study of VECTOR-2 with the Arab-Israeli War (in Huber, R K (ed), Systems Analysis and Modeling in Defense: Development, Trends, and Issues, Plenum Press, New York, (1984)).
- C11. Bonder, S & Howland, D, The Tank Weapon System, 2 parts, Systems Res Group, Department of Systems Engineering, The Ohio State Univ, Columbus, Ohio, Report Nos RF 573 AR 64-1 (1964) and AR 65-1 (1965).
- C12. Caldwell, W J & Meiers, W D, An Air to Ground and Ground to Air Combined Arms Combat Simulation, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (1979).
- C13. Command and Control Tech Center, Defense Communications Agency, Washington D.C., *VECTOR-2 System for Simulation of Theater-Level Combat. User's Manual*, 2 parts, Rep No CSM UM 244-78, (February 1978).
- C14. Concepts Analysis Agency, Bethesda, Maryland, Firepower Potential Methodology Review, (AD-529 179), Rep No CAA TP-73-7 (Confidential), (December 1973)
- C15. Dadant, P M, Measures of Effectiveness and the TALLY-TOTEM Methodology, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No P-5062, FDOK-91185, (July 1973).
- C16. Dare, D P, On a Hierarchy of Models (in Huber, R K, Niemeyer, K & Hofman, H W (eds), Operationsanalytische Spiele für die Verteidigung, Oldenburg Verlag, Munich, (1979)), 285-307.
- C17. Dondero, L J, NATO Combat Capabilities Study. Vol VI: Rates of Advance of Theater Forces, Res Analysis Corp, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-901 744), Rep No RAC CR-56, (June 1972).
- C18. Dupuy, T N & Hayes, G P, Opposed Rates of Advance of Large Forces in Europe (ORALFORE), Historical Evaluation and Res Organization, Dunn Loring, Virginia 22027, (AD-902 830), (August 28, 1972), 364 pp.
- C19. Dupuy, T N et al, Historical Trends Related to Weapon Lethality, (AD-458 760), Historical Evaluation and Res Organization, Dunn Loring, Virginia 22027, (October 1964).
- C20. Fain, W W, Fein, J & Karr, H, A Tactical Warfare Simulation Program, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 13, No 4 (December 1966), 413-436.

- C21. Fend, A V, A Note on Lanchester's Model, US Army CDCEC, Fort Ord, California, Rep No USACDCEC RO-RM 14, (June 1961).
- C22. General Accounting Office, Washington D.C., Models, Data, and War: A Critique of the Foundation for Defense Analyses, (AD-A086 708), (March 1980), 165 pp. (Esp Chapter 4)

C23. Goad, R, Predictive Equations for Opposed Movement and Casualty Rates, SHAPE Technical Centre, P.O. Box 174, The Hague, The Netherlands, Rep No STC PP-90, (August 1974).
+ See also Goad, R, Prediction of Opposed Movement and Casualty Rates, Presented at NATO Conf on Statistical Design of Experimental Field Trials, Rapallo, Italy, 10-14 September 1973, Rep No STC PP-75, 29 pp.

- C24. Graves, H K, FEBA Movement and Attrition Process, (in Final Proc of the Fourteenth Annual US Army Opns Res Symp, Vol II, (AD-B009 956L), (1976)), pp 1053-1066
- C25. Greyson, M, Farrell R L et al, VECTOR-1, a Theater Battle Model. Vol 1: User's Guide, Vector Res, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, Rep No WSEG 251, (July 1974).
- C26. Harris, K & Wegner, L H, Tactical Airpower in NATO Contingencies. A Joint Air-Battle/Ground Battle Model (TALLY-TOTEM), RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-A017 121), Rep No RAND R-1194-PR, FDOK-97261, (May 1974), 116 pp.
- C27. Hawkins, J H, The AMSAA War Game (AMSWAG) Computer Combat Simulation, US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005, (AD-A028 052), Rep No TR-169, (May 1976), 24 pp.
- C28. Hayward, P, The Measurement of Combat Effectiveness, Opns Res, Vol 16, No 2 (March-April 1968), 314-323.
- C29. Helmbold, R L, Combat History Analysis Study Report (CHASE): A Progress Report, paper presented to the Second Int Symp on Mil Opns Res, (September 1985)).
- C30. Ho, Y C, Bryson, A & Baron, S, Differential Games and Optimal Pursuit-Evasion Strategies, IEEE Trans on Automatic Control, Vol AC-10 (1965), 385-389.
- C31. Huber, R K, Approximating a Theory of Combat, paper presented at "Theory of Combat" Workshop, Naval Postgr School, (July 1979).
- C32. Huber, R K, Low, L J & Taylor J G, Towards a Theory of Combat, paper presented at "Theory of Combat" Workshop, Naval Postgr School, (July 1979).
- C33. Jaiswal, N K & Bhashyam, N, Stochastic Duels with Flight Time and Replenishment, Opsearch, Vol 3, No 4, (1966), 169-186.
- C34. Karr, A F, Attrition Processes with Several Types of Targets and Searchers, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, New Methods Study Working Paper WP-68, (1973).
- C35. Karr, A F, On the Vector-I Combat Model, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A030 220), Rep No IDA P-1181, (August 1976), 37 pp.
- C36. Karr, A F, On the IDAGAM I Combat Model, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-A043 530), Rep No IDA P-1265, (June 1977), 58 pp.

- C37. Kerlin, E P, NATO Combat Capabilities Study. Vol IV: Impact of Losses and Replacements on Unit Combat Capability, Res Analysis Corp, Bethesda, Maryland, (AD-901 743), Rep No RAC CR-56, (June 1972).
- C38. Kerlin, E P & Cole R H, ATLAS: A Tactical, Logistical, and Air Simulation, Documentation and User's Guide, Military Gaming Department, Res Analysis Corp, McLean, Virginia 22101, (AD-850 355), Rep No RAC TP-338, (April 1969), 100 pp.
- C39. Livingston, R L, Review of Problem Areas in the U.S. Army Combat Modeling Community and Examination of War Games Currently Used as Training Devices by Active Army Units, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (December 1980).
- C40. Louer, P E, Conceptual Design for the Army in the Field Alternative Force Evaluation. CONAF Evaluation Model IV. Part I: Model Description, General Res Corp, McLean, Virginia 22101, (AD-923 581L), Rep No OAD-CR-60-I, (September 1974).
- C41. Low, L J, Theater-Level Gaming and Analysis Workshop for Force Planning. Vol II -Summary, Discussion of Issues and Requirements for Research (Workshop held at Xerox Int Center for Training and Management Development, Leesburg, Virginia, 27-29 September 1977), SRI International, Menlo Park, California 94025, (AD-A101 846), (May 1981), 132 pp
- C42. Lulejian and Associates. Inc., The Lulejian-I Theater-Level Model, Vol II: Model Logic and Equations, (AD-B003 8114L), Rep No WSEG R-259-II, (October 1974).
- C43. Martray, R A, Development of an Aggregated Lanchester-Type Model for the Evaluation of Air-War Allocation Strategies in a Theater Sector, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (March 1976).
- C44. McQuie, R, Cassaday, G & Chapman, R, Multivariate Analysis of Combat (A Quantitative Analysis), Planning Res Corp, Washington, D.C., Rep No PRC R-1143, (July 1979).
- C45. Means, E H, Phillips C L & Young S E, BALFRAM (Balanced Force Requirements Analysis Methodology) User Manual for the Staff of the Commander in Chief, Pacific, Stanford Res Inst, Menlo Park, California 94025, (AD-A004 297), (September 1974), 221 pp.
- C46. Miller, G (ed), *The VECTOR-2 Theater-Level Combat Model. Vol I: User's Manual*, Vector Res, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, Rep No WSEG-4, 5 FR 77-1(R), (February 1977).
- C47. Mjelde, K M, A Time Dependent Stochastic Model for a Combat Between Submarines and Defended Convoys, SACLANT ASW Res Centre, Viale San Bartolomeo 400, I 19026 La Spezia, Italy, (AD-906 277), Rep No SACLANTCEN TR-220, (1972).
- C48. Mulholland, R P & Specht, R D, The Rate of Advance of the Front Line in Some World War II Campaigns, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, Rep No RM-1072-PR, (April 1953).
- C49. Perla, P & Lehoczky, J, A New Approach to the Analysis of Stochastic Lanchester Processes: II. The Terminal State, Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon Univ, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, (1978).

- Arne Fransén Lars Wigg
- C50. Pugh, G E, Theory of Measures of Effectiveness for General-Purpose Military Forces. Part II: Lagrange Dynamic Programming in Time-Sequential Combat Games, *Opns Res*, Vol 21, No 4, (July-August 1973), 886-906.
- C51. Pugh, G E & Mayberry, J P, Analysis of General-Purpose Force Procurement, Lambda Corp, Arlington, Virginia 22209, (AD-729 411), Paper No 59, (Aug 16, 1971), 139 pp.
- C52. Pugh, G E & Mayberry, J P, Theory of Measures of Effectiveness for General-Purpose Military Forces. Part I: A Zero-Sum Payoff Appropriate for Evaluating Combat Strategies, *Opns Res*, Vol 21, No 4, (July-August 1973), 876-885.
- C53. Riddhiroj, S, A Lanchester-Based Model for Investigating Alternative Ambusher Deployment Tactics in Small Unit Ambushes, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (June 1974).
- C54. Schroeder, R L, A procedure for Utilizing Historical Data to Develop System Performance Measures, The Ohio State Univ, Columbus, Ohio, unpublished M S Thesis, (1963).
- C55. Shupack, S L, An Examination of the Conceptual Basis of the Attrition Processes in the Institute for Defense Analyses Ground-Air Model (IDAGAM), Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, M S Thesis, (AD-B035 539L), (March 1979).
- C56. Spaulding, S L, User's Manual for Bonder/IUA Combat Model, Weapons Systems Analysis Directorate, (July 1971).
- C57. Stockfisch, J A, Models, Data, and War: A Critique of the Study of Conventional Forces, RAND Corp, Santa Monica, California 90406, (AD-A017 609), Rep No R-1526-PR, (May 1975), 151 pp.
- C58. Stockton, R G, CARMONETTE Division Battle Model Interface, General Res Corp (in Proc Twelfth U.S. Army Opns Res Symp, (October 1973)), 23-32.
- C59. Taylor, J G, Derivation of Lanchester Formulation of Combat, Litton Systems, Inc., unpublished memorandum, (March 6, 1968).
- C60. Taylor, J G, Comment on Some Differential Games of Tactical Interest, presented at Spring Meeting of the Opns Res Soc of America, San Diego, March 20, 1970.
- C61. Taylor, J G, Lanchester-Type Models of Warfare and Optimal Control, presented at 37th National Meeting of the Opns Res Soc of America, Washington, D.C., April 20-22, 1970.
- C62. Taylor, J G, Application of Differential Games to Problems of Naval Warfare: Surveillance-Evasion - Part I, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, Rep No NPS-55Tw0061A, (June 1970).
- C63. Taylor, J G, Selected Bibliography on the Lanchester Theory of Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, unpublished, (December 1972).
- C64. Taylor, J G, On the Treatment of Force-Level Constraints in Time-Sequential Combat Problems, Naval Res Log Quart, Vol 22, (1975), 617-650.

- C65. Taylor, J G, Attrition Modelling, presented at Third Systems Science Seminar at Hochschule der Bundeswehr, Munich, April 28, 1978.
- C66. Taylor, J G, A Review of Some Previous Attempts to Establish a Theory of Combat, Naval Postgr School, Monterey, California 93940, paper presented at "Theory of Combat" Workshop, (July 1979).
- C67. US Air Force Assistant Chief of Staff, Methodology for Use in Measuring the Effectiveness of General Purpose Forces (An Algorithm for Approximating the Game Theoretic Value of N-Staged Games), SABER GRAND (ALPHA), Studies and Analysis Division, (March 1971).
- C68. Vector Res, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, Test and Application of New Ground Combat Analysis Methodology, Ann Arbor, Michigan, Rep No VRI-1, FR70-1, (1970).
- C69. Vector Res, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, Analytic Models of Air Cavalry Combat Operations, 2 vols, (AD-762 432, AD-762-433), Rep No VRI SAG-1 FR 73-1, (May 1973), 140 + 653 pp.
 + See also Proc Twelfth U.S. Army Opns Res Symp 2-5 October 1973, pp 123-132.
- C70. Wainstein L, Some Allied and German Casualty Rates in the European Theater of Operations, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-779 850), Rep No IDA P-989, (December 1973).
- C71. Wainstein L, Rates of Advance in Infantry Division Attacks in the Normandy Northern France and Siegfried Line Campaigns, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-779 882), Rep No IDA P-990, (December 1973).
- C72. Wainstein L, An Examination of the Parsons and Hulse Papers on Rates of Advance, Inst for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (AD-779 848), Rep No IDA P-991, (December 1973).
- C73. Walker, L G, Higgins, M S & Svetlich, W G, Comparison and Evaluation of Four Theater-Level Models: CEM IV, IDAGAM I, LULEJIAN-I, VECTOR-I, Weapons System Evaluation Group, 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, (AD-A036 325), Rep No WSEG R-299, (September 1976), 278 pp.
- C74. Weiss, H K, Lanchester Models (in Proc 14th Mil Opns Res Symp, October 1963).
- C75. Weiss, H K, *Review of Lanchester Models of Warfare*, presented at 30th National Meeting of the Opns Res Soc of America, Dunham, North Carolina, 1966.
- C76. Whittaker, A J, A Method for Computing the Effects of Air Combat on Army Support Operations, Defence Operational Analysis Establishment, West Byfleet, England, DOAE Memo M7042, (December 1970), 24 pp.
- C77. Willis, R F, Stochastic Models, Non-Linear Models and Time-Variable Deterministic Models of Combat, US Army TRASANA (in Transactions of the Twenty-Fourth Conf of Army Mathematicians, May 31 Jun 2, 1978, US Army Res Office, Res Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, (AD-A064 433), Rep No ARO 79-1, (January 1979)), 39-52.

- R1. Avi-Itzhak, B, Passy, U, Shamir, U & Yadin, M (eds), *Developments in Operations Research*, 2 Volumes, Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, (1971), xvi + 622 pp.
- R2. Bonder, S & Farrell, R (eds), Development of Analytical Models of Battalion Task Force Activities, Department of Industrial Engineering, Systems Research Laboratory, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, (AD-714 677), Rep No SRL-1957-FR-70-1, SRL-2147-TR-70-2, (September 1970), 653 pp.
- R3. Bonder, S & Farrell, R (eds), *Development of Models for Defense Systems Planning*, Systems Research Laboratory, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, (AD-715 646), Rep No SRL-2147-TR-70-2, (September 1970), 653 pp.
- R4. Davies, M, Eddison, R T & Page, T (eds), Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Operational Research, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1957).
- R5. Dresher, M, Shapley, L S & Tucker, A W (eds), Advances in Game Theory, Annals of Mathematics Studies Number 52, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, (1964), x + 679 pp.
- R6. Engel, J H (ed), Proceedings of the NATO Conference on Recent Developments in Lanchester Theory, Munich, West Germany, (1967), to be published.
- R7. Gass, S I (ed), Utility and Use of Large-Scale Mathematical Models, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland, Special Publication 0083-1883, 534, (1979), 223 pp.
- R8. Gass, S I (ed), Operations Research: Mathematics and Models, Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics, Volume 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, (September 1981), viii + 198 pp.
- R9. Haley, K B (ed), Proceedings of the Eighth IFORS International Conference on Operations Research, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).
- R10. Hertz, D B & Melese, J (eds), Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Operational Research, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1966).
- R11. Huber, R K (ed), Systems Analysis and Modeling in Defense: Developments, Trends, and Issues, Plenum Press, New York, (June 1984), xv + 913 pp.
- R12. Huber, R K, Jones, L F & Reine, E (eds), Military Strategy and Tactics: Computer Modeling of Land War Problems, Plenum Press, New York, (November 1975), ix + 368 pp.
- R13. Huber, R K, Niemeyer, K & Hofmann, H W (eds), Operationsanalytische Spiele f
 ür die Verteidigung (Operational Research Games for Defense), R Oldenbourg Verlag, M
 ünchen, West Germany, (1979), 424 pp.
- R14. Lanchester, F W, Aircraft in Warfare: The Dawn of the Fourth Arm, Constable and Co, London, (1916).

- R15. Low, L J (ed), Theater-Level Gaming and Analysis Workshop for Force Planning, Vol I -Proceedings, SRI International, Menlo Park, California 94025, (September 1977).
- R16. Morse, Ph M & Kimball, G E, Methods of Operations Research, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1981), 158 pp.
- R17. Newman, J B (ed), The World of Mathematics, Simon & Schuster, Inc., New York, (1956).
- R18. Nicholson, M, Conflict Analysis, UNIBOOKS English Universities Press, (1970), 168 pp.
- R19. Quade, E S & Boucher, W I, Systems Analysis and Policy Planning. Applications in Defense, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California 90406, Elsevier Publishing Co, Inc., New York, (1968), 453 pp.
- R20. Rand, G K (ed), Operational Research '87. Proc 11th Int Conf Opnl Res, Buenos Aires, Argentina, August 10-14, 1987, North-Holland, (1988).
- R21. Rashevsky, N, Mathematical Theory of Human Relations, The Principia Press, Inc., Bloomington, Indiana, (1947), xiv + 202 pp.
- R22. Rashevsky, N & Trucco, E, A Mathematical Study of the Causes and Origins of Wars, The Boxwood Press, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Quadrangle Books, Chicago, (1960), 307 pp.
- R23. Robinson, S S & Robinson, M L, A History of Naval Tactics from 1530 to 1930, US Naval Institute, Annapolis, Maryland, (1942).
- R24. Shubik, M (ed), *Mathematics of Conflict*, North-Holland Systems and Control Series, Vol 6, (1983), x + 186 pp.
- R25. Steinbruner, J D & Sigal, L V (eds), Alliance Security: NATO and the No-First-Use Question, The Brookings Institution, Washington D C, (1983).
- R26. Thrall, R M, Thompson, J R, Tapia, R A, Owen, G & Howes, D, US Army Strategy and Tactics Analysis Group (STAG), (AD-759 279), Rep No RMT-200-R4-33, (May 1, 1972), 153 pp.
- R27. Woodcock, A E R, An Investigation of Catastrophe Theory as a Command and Control Device, Synectics Corporation, 111 East Chestnut Street, Rome, New York 13440, Rep No 0002AC, (March 1986), 55 + 53 + 37 + 32 pp.
- R28. Zehna, P W (ed), Selected Methods and Models in Military Operations Research, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California 93940, (1971), vii + 412 pp. (Esp Chapter 6)

<u>APPENDIX B</u>

In this Appendix ordinary numbers and numbers preceded by the letter "C" refer to section 7 of Appendix A. Numbers preceded by an "R" refer to section 8.

1. References classified according to subject matter

a. <u>Allocation of fire etc</u>

(21), (28), (159), (224), (330), (346), (387), (445), (458), (495), (497), (501), (506), (512), (514), (625), (C4), (C43), (C61)

b. Analyses of historical data, validation etc.

(6), (19), (29), (49), (50), (79), (99), (112), (119), (155), (156), (162), (164), (173), (174), (176), (180), (217), (237), (238), (240), (241), (242), (244), (248), (249), (250), (251), (252), (254), (256), (260), (261), (262), (263), (266), (293), (339), (340), (362), (375), (376), (397), (409), (410), (420), (424), (426), (441), (449), (460), (461), (465), (492), (592), (594), (597), (C10), (C18), (C19), (C22), (C48), (C54), (C57), (C70), (C71)

c. <u>Biographical data</u>

(264), (308), (338), (350), (351), (373), (408), (440)

d. <u>Command, control, communication and information</u> (175), (207), (372), (388), (468), (498), (511), (571), (606), (608), (R27)

comparison of deterministic and stochastic models
(83), (91), (234), (320), (342), (360), (394), (422), (423), (562), (595)

f. Deterministic Lanchester models

 Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

(526), (527), (531), (532), (533), (536), (537), (541), (542), (543), (545), (546), (547), (548), (550), (551), (552), (553), (554), (555), (556), (557), (558), (559), (560), (561), (563), (575), (576), (588), (589), (598), (608), (610), (611), (612), (613), (619), (620), (R24)

g. <u>Duels</u>

(8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (20), (41), (42), (44), (45), (51), (52), (53), (127), (186), (189), (190), (191), (193), (196), (197), (199), (200), (209), (221), (257), (332), (336), (337), (346), (347), (353), (354), (407), (437), (450), (471), (479), (481), (496), (499), (500), (564), (566), (577), (599), (600), (601), (602), (603), (C33)

h. Duration of battles and strikes

(18), (83), (278), (349), (390), (395), (396), (457), (583), (593)

i. <u>Games</u>

(30), (31), (57), (79), (107), (159), (169), (181), (197), (228), (283), (288), (289), (291), (298), (303), (304), (330), (331), (345), (387), (439), (443), (458), (461), (477), (501), (506), (510), (512), (514), (519), (524), (590), (609), (617), (618), (621), (C5), (C6), (C7), (C30), (C60), (C62), (C67), (R5)

j. Markov chains and similar approaches

(41), (42), (44), (45), (294), (335), (438), (454), (455), (578), (591), (621), (C64)

k. Models of air combat

(109), (160), (178), (179), (180), (194), (195), (197), (210), (220), (223), (259), (303), (304), (350), (352), (357), (359), (403), (410), (437), (482), (566), (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4), (C6), (C10), (C13), (C15), (C16), (C25), (C26), (C35), (C36), (C38), (C40), (C42), (C43), (C46), (C55), (C73)

1. Models of guerilla and small units combat

(1), (5), (121), (134), (144), (182), (284), (307), (412), (464), (478), (483), (487), (489), (490), (C53)

m. Models of naval operations

(87), (98), (101), (129), (136), (183), (184), (220), (231), (232), (290), (293), (347), (381), (596), (625), (C47), (C62)

n. Overviews, surveys and bibliographies

(10), (13), (16), (19), (40), (65), (68), (69), (100), (116), (149), (151), (158), (177), (187), (213), (226), (229), (246), (262), (301), (302), (393), (473), (474), (475), (485), (516), (485), (516), (485), (516), (485), (516), (485), (516), (485), (516), (485), (516), (485), (516), (

(528), (529), (538), (539), (540), (544), (574), (614), (615), (C22), (C41), (C57), (C63), (R24)

o. Stochastic models and simulation

(7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (20), (32), (36), (41), (42), (51), (52), (53), (55), (56), (77), (78), (79), (80), (81), (93), (94), (95), (96), (111), (113), (121), (123), (124), (130), (138), (139), (142), (145), (153), (181), (186), (198), (199), (200), (214), (215), (216), (220), (222), (258), (276), (277), (286), (294), (295), (299), (300), (305), (307), (313), (314), (315), (316), (317), (319), (320), (321), (331), (335), (341), (344), (348), (366), (368), (381), (407), (414), (415), (416), (438), (446), (447), (450), (451), (452), (453), (454), (455), (466), (467), (469), (472), (476), (477), (480), (486), (487), (488), (489), (566), (570), (578), (579), (580), (581), (582), (583), (584), (585), (586), (587), (591), (593)

o. <u>Strikes, struggle for market shares</u>

(110), (115), (278), (349), (378), (390), (385), (396), (457)

2. References classified according to publishing institution

Institutions with fewer than four publications have not been included here. Underlined numbers refer to M S theses.

a. <u>Aberdeen Proving Ground</u> (124), (125), (126), (221), (588), (C27)

b. <u>Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT)</u> (112), (355), (357), (430), (472)

c. <u>Carnegie-Mellon University</u> (142), (414), (415), (416)

d. <u>Center for Naval Analyses (CNA)</u>
(87), (164), (176), (180), (231), (232), (364), (403), (409), (410)

e. <u>Concepts Analysis Agency (CAA)</u> (262), (263), (266), (408), (616), (C14)

f. <u>Defence Operational Analysis Establishment (DOAE)</u>
(83), (137), (145), (215), (230), (299), (300), (580), (581), (582), (583), (584), (587), (C76).
Cf also (324).

Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

g. FOA (National Defence Research Establishment)

(77), (78), (80), (167), (181), (193), (219), (223), (227), (290), (298), (389), (398), (610), (611), (612), (613), (614), (615), (619), (620)

h. Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA)

(21), (22), (23), (24), (25), (26), (27), (28), (54), (171), (311), (312), (313), (314), (315), (316), (317), (318), (319), (321), (322), (323), (324), (325), (326), (327), (328), (C1), (C2), (C35), (C36), (C70), (C71), (C72)

i. Johns Hopkins University

(49), (50), (57), (95), (105), (119), (122), (201), (236), (320), (358)

j. <u>Naval Postgraduate School</u>

(2), (29), (46), (47), (55), (56), (91), (98), (102), (103), (104), (106), (111), (114), (131), (132), (133), (134), (146), (159), (177), (182), (192), (207), (224), (229), (234), (235), (273), (282), (284), (333), (342), (359), (360), (361), (363), (369), (374), (379), (380), (388), (394), (399), (404), (412), (413), (417), (422), (436), (438), (443), (459), (474), (479), (482), (483), (494), (497), (498), (501), (503), (506), (507), (511), (512), (514), (517), (519), (520), (521), (526), (527), (528), (529), (531), (533), (535), (536), (537), (538), (539), (544), (548), (549), (550), (552), (553), (554), (555), (556), (558), (559), (562), (571), (578), (595), (609), (622), (C12), (C31), (C32), (C39), (C43), (C53), (C55), (C62), (C63), (C66), (R28)

k. Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)

(33), (34), (35), (135), (237), (285), (424), (425), (426), (427), (429), (456), (462), (470)

<u>Ohio State University</u>
 (61), (120), (121), (C9), (C11), (C54)

m. RAND Corporation

(141), (160), (210), (258), (259), (260), (267), (269), (270), (289), (401), (402), (431), (448), (463), (471), (484), (C7), (C15), (C26), (C48), (C57)

n. <u>Research Analysis Corporation (RAC)</u> (331), (597), (C8), (C17), (C37), (C38)

o. <u>SACLANT ASW Research Centre</u>
(92), (129), (172), (245), (347), (381), (386), (596), (C47)

p. <u>SHAPE Technical Centre</u> (113), (405), (406), (607), (C23) Lars Wigg Staffan Wrigge

q. <u>System Development Corporation</u>
(8), (11), (599), (600), (601)

r. <u>Technical Operations, Inc.</u> (143), (248), (250), (251)

s. <u>University of Michigan</u> (63), (64), (74), (75), (94), (495), (R2), (R3)

t. <u>Vector Research, Inc.</u> (C25), (C46), (C68), (C69)