And an analysis of a final of a final of a series is an analysis in the final of a final a final a final a final a final a final a fin	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
ACCOUNT AND CONTRACT ON THE INFORMATION INTERCOMPOSITION IN CONTRACTOR IN THE AND DATES CONTEND IN CONTRACTOR IN CONTRACTOR INFORMATION INTERCED IN CONTRACTOR INFORMATION INTERCED IN CONTRACTOR INFORMATION INTERCED INFORMATION INTERCED INFORMATION INTERCED INFORMATION INTERCED INFORMATION INTERCEDING INFORMATION INFOR	utile reporting burden for this collection a nd maintaining the data needed, and ea formation, including suggestions for redu	I information is estimated to average 1 hour per resp replating and reviewing the collection of information ring this burden, to Washington Headcounters Service a Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Ped	ones, including the time for revie Send comments regarding the se, Directorale for information O justion Project (0704-0185), West	wing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering is burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of perdions and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Su2e shington, DC 20503.	
16 October 1995 Final Of June 2010 International Action 1995 Final Of June 2010 Standard Counce 1995 Final Of June 2010 Observations F1995 Front-Boundary Layer Models from STORM-FEST F1995 Observations F1995 FAMPORIMUM FROM ACCOUNCATION NAME(5) NO ACCESSES F1995 Final Of June 2010 F1995 Campus Box 19 F1995 Boulder, CO 80309-0019 F1000 SPENSORING ACCENT NAME(5) NO ACCESSES F1995 James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM F0000 AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 F1100 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 F1000 Its. DEFINION/AVALABENY STATEMENT F2000 DEFINION STATEMENT F2000 DEFINION STATEMENT F2000 DEFINION STATEMENT A Approved for public releases FE Difficuence Observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Resear Material Cology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data was analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont. the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-5000) compared well with the predictions, but not	I. AGENCY USE ONLY (Laura bian	2. REPORT DATE	S. REPORT TYPE N	ND DATES COVERED	
Intravo scampe Front-Boundary Layer Models from STORM-FEST Observations AUTHORS William Blumen FREFORMER GROUNDATION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) The Regents of the University of Colorado Campus Box 19 Boulder, CO 80309-0019 Spensorand Audmender Active NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite Bill5 Bolling AFB DC 2032-0001 N.SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Distribution Julimited Distribution Julimited Distribution Julimited Distribution Box 10 According and box of 20022-0001 N.SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Distribution Julimited Distribution Julimited Distribution Julimited Distribution Box of 20 word) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Researt Mateorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data was analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont. the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the spredictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well w		16 October 1995	Final OI J	TE FUNDING NUMBERS	
Front-Boundary Layer Models from STORM-FEST Observations AUTHOR(S) William Blumen PEPCOMUNA CACANDATION NUME(S) NO ACCRESS(ES) The Regents of the University of Colorado Campus Box 19 Soulder, CO 80309-0019 SPONSCHIMA INCOMPANA AGENCY NUME(S) NO ACCRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSK/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 SPONSCHIMA INCOMPANA AGENCY NUME(S) NO ACCRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSK/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 SPONSCHIMA INCOMPANA AGENCY NUME(S) NO ACCRESS(ES) Distribution Statticent K Approved for public release Distribution Unlimited BASSTRUCT (Maximum 300 socie) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presenced in a Fh.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 15 NUMBEROF PAGES	TITLE AND SUBTTILE			E40620 03-1-0/16 P00001	
AUTHOR(S) William Blumen PERFORMENT OF CAMEATION NAME(S) AND ACCRESS(ES) The Regents of the University of Colorado Campus Box 19 Soulder, CO 80309-0019 SPONSORMA (MONTORNA ACENCY NAME(S) AND ACCRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFORS/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 TSUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DIFFIGURATION STATEMENT A Approved tor public release Difficution STATEMENT A Approved tor public release Difficution Unlimited The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in Strumented Observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Resear Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data was analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont the basic physical mechanisms of dry and invisid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Fh.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 13. NUMEEROF PAGES Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test	Front-Boundary Lay Observations	ver Models from STURM-FE	.51	F49020-95-1-0410 100001	
William Blumen PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NUME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) The Regents of the University of Colorado Campus Box 19 Boulder, CO 80309-0019 SPONSCRIMG/MONTORMA AGENCY NUME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 SPONSCRIMG/MONTORMA AGENCY NUME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) Distribution of the B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 SUPPREMENTARY NOTES Distribution of the Statement Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data was analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that continue and the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the compresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Fh.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. SUBJECT FEMS	AUTHOR(S)				
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) I PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Campus Box 19 APOSYLATION Boulder, CO 80309-0019 APOSYLATION AFOSK/MK, 110 Duncan Agency NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) APOSYLATION James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSK/MK, 110 Duncan Agency NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Boulder, CO 80309-0019 DESTABLE VIEW STATEMENT MAFOSK/NM, 110 Duncan Agency NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) DESTABLE VIEW STATEMENT Distribution Stratement Systems Test Distribution Distribution Distribution Distribution The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data waaalyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont. the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. H: SUBECT TEMS Is NUMBERCF PAGES <td>William Blumen</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	William Blumen				
The Regents of the University of Colorado Campus Box 19 Boulder, CO 80309-0019 3. SPONSORMA / MONITORMA AGENCY NAME(S) AND ACCRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Mapproved for public release Distribution Unlimited 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 20) worde) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data wi analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont. the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the strugent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 14. SUBJECT IEMAS Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NALLE(S) AND ADORESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Boulder, CO 80309-0019 3. SPONSOGING / MONITORING AGENCY NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DESTRIBUTION / AVAILABLITY STATEMENT DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public released Distribution Unlimited 13. AdSIGNACT (Maximum 200 evold) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont. the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscial frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 13. SUBJECT TEAMS Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test	The Regents of the Uni Campus Box 19	versity of Colorado		ABOXIZ-11-93	
 SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME (S) AND ADDRESS(ES) James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DETRIBUTION STATEMENT DETRIBUTION STATEMENT DETRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public releases Distribunca Unimuted DETRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public releases Distribunca Unimuted ABSTRACT (Madron 200 words) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Fh.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. Hauser Fornt, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test 	Boulder, CO 80309-00	19		6708	
James T. Kroll, Major, USAF/NM AFOSR/NM, 110 Duncan Ave. Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public releases Distribution Unlimited I3. ABSTRACT (Maxim 200 words) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Researd Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont. the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test	9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			
 Bolling AFB DC 20332-0001 II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DESTRIEUTION STATEMENT Approved for public released Distribution Unlimited DESTRIEUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public released Distribution Unlimited ABSIFACT (Maximum 200 words) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that conta the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Fh.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. INUMMEER OF PAGES Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test 	James T. Kroll, M. AFOSR/NM, 110 Dun	ajor, USAF/NM can Ave. Suite B115			
 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABLITY STATEMENT Approved ico public relectses Distribunce Unlimited 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data was analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that contained the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 14. SUBJECT TERMS Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test 14. Hence cooe 	Bolling AFB DC 20.				
 12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABLITY STATEMENT Approved for public releases Distribution Unlimited 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 works) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that control the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 14. SUBJECT TERMS Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14 16. PRICE CODE 16. PRICE CODE 16. PRICE CODE 17. NUMBER OF PAGES 14 18. PRICE CODE 14 18. PRICE CODE 14 19. Price Code 14 19. Price Code 19. Price Price Pages 19. Price Pages 19. Price Pages 19. Price Pages 19. Price Pages	11. SUPPLEMENTART NOTES			BELECTE	
Approved for public releases Distribution UnlimitedF13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 works)The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data way analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that conta the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Fh.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek.15. NUMBER OF PAGES 1414.IS. NUMBER OF PAGES 1414.16. PRICE CODE	12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT	TION STATEMENT A		NOV 2 9 1995	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that conta the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 14. SUBJECT TERMS Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test	Äpprove Disp	d for public released		F	
The cold frontal event of 20-21 February 1992 that occurred in a densely in strumented observational domain during the Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test (STORM-FEST) was examined. Data we analyzed and the observed event was compared to a theoretical model that cont- the basic physical mechanisms of dry and inviscid frontogenesis. The actual frontal evolution above the boundary layer (400-500m) compared well with the predictions, but not in the boundary layer itself. The source of the discrep is shown to be associated with frictional retardation near the ground and the omnipresent inertial oscillations revealed in both surface and wind profiler These results were presented in a Ph.D thesis (May 1995) at the University of Colorado by Vern Ostdiek. 14. SUBJECT TERMS Atmospheric Front, Boundary layer, Storm-scale Operational and Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment Systems Test	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo	cie)			
	The cold front strumented observ Meteorology-Front analyzed and the the basic physica frontal evolution predictions, but is shown to be as omnipresent inert These results wer Colorado by Vern 14. SUBJECT TERMS Atmospheric Front and Research Mete	al event of 20-21 Febru ational domain during t s Experiment Systems Te observed event was comp l mechanisms of dry and a above the boundary lay not in the boundary lay sociated with frictiona ial oscillations reveal te presented in a Ph.D t Ostdiek.	ary 1992 that of he Storm-scale st (STORM-FEST) ared to a theo inviscid from er (400-500m) er itself. Th ed in both sur thesis (May 199 scale Operation ent Systems Tes	Operational and Research) was examined. Data were retical model that contains togenesis. The actual compared well with the model e source of the discrepancy near the ground and the face and wind profiler data 5) at the University of 15. NUMBER OF PAGES I4 16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABS REPORT THIS PAGE OF ABSTRACT	17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION REPORT	OF 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLA	SSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	

19951122 057

.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED &

Final Report Front-Boundry Layer Models from STORM-FEST Observations 1 June 1993-31 August 1995

Principal Investigator: William Blumen University of Colorado, 206 Armory CB 19, Boulder, CO 80309-0019*

Grant no. F49620 - 93 - 1 - 0416 Amend. P00001 (Supplement to F49620 - 92 - J - 0137)

*Principal Investigator personal address : CB 391 phone no. (303) 492-8770 Fax no. (303) 492-3524 e-mail blumen@paradox.colorado.edu

Table of Contents

٠

Section

•

.

1.0	Executive Summary
	1.1 Objectives
	1.2 Status of effort
	1.3 Accomplishments
	1.4 Personnel involved in the research effort
	1.5 Publications
	1.6 Papers presented at conferences and seminars
	1.7 Data sources for STORM-FEST
2.0	Research on boundary layer processes
3.0	Final Remarks
	References
	Figures
	Accession For
	Justification

Unannounced Justification By______ Distribution / Availability Codes Dist Avail and / or Special A - (

W.Blumen F49620-93-1-0416 Amend. P0001

1.0 Executive summary

1.1 Objectives

This grant (F49620-93-1-0416, Amend. P0001), which was initiated on 1 June 1993, represents an AASERT grant to support the Ph.D. thesis research of Vern Ostdiek in the Astrophysical, Planetary and Atmospheric Sciences Department of the University of Colorado in Boulder.

The objectives were to:

- Collect and analyze observational data for the front that passed into the Storm-scale Operational Research Meteorology-Fronts Experiment and Systems Test (STORM-FEST) array during the period 20-21 February 1992.
- 2. Compare the frontal characteristics to a theoretical model of a front produced by a deformation wind field.
- 3. Compare the boundary layer processess that occurred in association with this front with theoretical models of motions in nightime neutrally stratified boundary layers over relatively level terrain.

1.2 Status of effort

Dr. Ostdiek completed work on his thesis, and was awarded the Ph.D. degree by the University of Colorado on 12 May 1995. Both objectives 1) and 2) were completed and this work appeared in the thesis [Ostdiek (1995)] and in an article in the Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences (Ostdiek and Blumen, 1995). The majority of work on objective 3) was also completed, and appears in the thesis. The final part of the theoretical model comparisons are, however, not completed. Dr. Ostdiek and the P.I., W. Blumen, are presently completing this work, and expect to submit it for publication during the late fall of 1995. The details are provided in section 2.0.

1.3 Accomplishments

The event of 20-21 February 1992 represented a case of frontogenesis produced by a deformation wind field acting on a relatively weak temperature gradient. The isotherms are essentially aligned with the deformation axis (see Figure 1 a,b). This is a classic case of deformation frontogenisis explored extensively in theoretical and numerical models, but apparently there has not been observational verification of the model predictions until now. The observed case of low-level frontogenesis was compared to the Hoskins and Bretherton (1972) model for inviscid flow, and quantitative comparisons between theory and observation show very satisfactory agreement, at least above the nighttime boundary layer of 400-500 meter depth.

Analyses of the boundary layer data from the surface reports and boundary layer wind profilers show that low-level jets, with speeds of 12-13 m/s occur at several stations near

W.Blumen F49620-93-1-0416 Amend. P0001

the front. The peak speeds occur at the top of the boundary layer, and the explanation for its occurrence seems to be the mechanism provided by Blackadar (1957) and Thorpe and Guymer (1977). This mechanism relies on the occurrence of inertial oscillations in the boundary layer, seemingly unaffected by viscous or turbulent processes, that reinforce the existing steady flow essentially balanced by pressure gradient forces. There are some differences between the observed case and the prototype models that have been developed, e.g., absence of a shallow surface inversion. The theory is being modified to explain these discrepancies.

The particular value of this research is associated with quantitative evaluation of both frontal and boundary layer models from a unique data set available from the STORM-FEST observational program (see Figure 2 a,b). The data provided by National Weather Service surface and upper air networks, as well as special observations from the STORM-FEST network, permit evaluation of model predictions on spatial and temporal scales that have not been possible in the past. This is considered to be the principal result of this research effort. Further, these model evaluations show some model limitations that need to be addressed. In particular, existing theoretical models of frontogenesis do not employ boundary layer dynamics in their description, e.g., the Hoskins-Bretherton (1972) model. Alternatively, numerical models often use either crude boundary layer parameterizations or sometimes detailed boundary layer dynamics. Lack of verification data has left open the question of the ability of various mesoscale models to produce relatively accurate predictions of low-level frontal characteristics. The present theoretical model of deformation frontogenesis will be modified in future work to incorporate the type of boundary layer processes observed during the event of 20-21 February 1992. This would be a step in the development of improved mesoscale predictions, particularly of internal waves, low-level jets and turbulence often observed in assosciation with fronts, that would be useful for both commercial and military flight operations.

1.4 Personnel involved in the research effort

Vern Ostdiek, graduate research assistant, Astrophysical, Planetary and Atmospheric Sciences Department, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309.

William Blumen, professor and thesis supervisor, Astrophysical, Planetary, and Atmospheric Sciences Department, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309.

1.5 Publications

Ostdiek, V., 1995: Deformation frontogenesis and related boundary layer processes: Observation and theory, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado, Boulder, 164pp.

Ostdiek, V. and W. Blumen, 1995: Deformation frontogenesis: Observation and theory. J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 1487-1500.

-4-

1.6 Papers presented at conferences and seminars

- 1. American Meteorological Society Severe Storms Conference. St. Louis, Missouri, October 5, 1993.
- American Meteorological Society Mesoscale Processes Conference, Portland, Oregon, July 20, 1994.
- 3. Reading University, United Kingdom seminar, May 31, 1995.
- 4. Cyclone Workshop, Pacific Grove, California, Presentation to be made December 7, 1995.

1.7 Data sources for STORM-FEST

All data used in the research that has been accomplished are available from the following sources:

- 1. STORM-FEST data available on mosaic: open URL http://www.ofps.ucar.edu
- 2. STORM-FEST cd, available from:

Office Field Project Support UCAR, P.O. Box 3000 3300 Mitchell Lane Boulder, CO 80301

2.0 Research on boundary layer processes

This section describes the work carried out on objective 3 in the Executive Summary, boundary layer processes that occurred in association with the frontal passage during 20– 21 February 1992. Details of the frontal structure, evolution and theoretical analysis are covered by Ostdiek and Blumen (1995) and more extensively by Ostdiek (1995).

The most significant feature of the boundary layer, both before and after frontal passage, was the ubiquitous presence of inertial oscillations, particularly in the wind profiler data. These are wind oscillations with a period of 18.8 hours at 40° latitude. There were five 915 MHz wind profilers in the boundary layer array that provided data from approximately 167 m to about 3,000 m above the ground, about two dozen 403 MHz NOAA Demonstration wind profilers in the STORM-FEST domain providing data from approximately 320 m above the ground through the troposphere. The distribution of profilers is presented in Figure 2, with a typical example of the hourly data shown in Figure 1b. Data at 10 meters are available from surface observations.

The existence of an inertial oscillation in the Seneca boundary layer profiler (Figure 2b) data is strongly suggested in the vertical profiles presented in Figure 3a, where Topeka National Weather Service (NWS) and Seneca radiosonde data are also displayed. The confirmation that the inertial oscillation provides a relatively strong presence in these data is provided in Figure 3b, which displays hourly data from the Seneca profiler.

-5-

W.Blumen F49620-93-1-0416 Amend. P0001

The profiler data from Seneca and other boundary layer profilers were analyzed by fitting those data to a steady and a time dependent wind profile. The time dependent variability was assumed to be a height dependent inertial oscillation (amplitude and phase vary with height). The steady state profile is still to be determined. The various models being used are 1) a barotropic Ekman profile, 2) a barotropic Ekman-Taylor solution, in which a shallow surface layer is matched to the Ekman layer, and 3) a baroclinic boundary layer profile. The various models represent models presented for the barotropic and baroclinic boundary layer by MacKay (1971) and by Bannon and Salem (1995). We have established that the barotropic Ekman profile does not fit any of the data, and are now examining the remaining cases.

The reason that the comparisons are being made between boundary layer models and the observations is to provide an explanation of why the inertial oscillations are so prevalent. Blackader (1957) and Thorpe and Guymer (1977), for example, have explained the presence of inertial oscillations in the planetary boundary layer by means of a decoupling between a relatively shallow surface layer and the atmosphere above. The surface layer, characterized by a nighttime radiation inversion, is very stable and suppresses vertical motion. Most of the frictional interaction with the ground is confined to this surface layer, allowing the inertial oscillation to proceed as an inviscid phenomenon.

The radiative inversion is totally absent on 20–21 February 1995, so that another explanation for the inertial oscillation is required. The lowest 400-500 meters of the atmosphere is neutrally stable. The attempt to fit the data to various boundary layer models will provide an explanation of why the inertial oscillation is effectively inviscid. Yet it is not obvious why the atmosphere would respond in this manner when boundary layer dissipation is not confined to a shallow surface layer.

3.0 Final remarks

Completion of the final phase of the present research, that is connected with objective 3, is expected by mid-fall 1995. A manuscript will be submitted to a meteorological journal for publication.

The most significant aspect of the present observations is the presence of time- dependent motions in the planetary boundary layer, in this case below about 500 m. The accepted theory of deformation frontogenesis, used by Ostdiek and Blumen (1995) and Ostdiek (1995), excludes boundary layer processes in its formulation. Yet comparison with observations and theoretical predictions is relatively satisfactory above the boundary layer. The discrepancies are mainly confined to the boundary layer. Future work will be devoted to providing a more complete frontogenesis model that takes account of physical processes that have been omitted in the semigeostrophic development.

References

Bannon, P.R. and T.L. Salem, 1995: Aspects of the baroclinic boundary layer. J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 574-596.

Blackadar, A.K., 1957: Boundary layer wind maxima and their significance for the growth of nocturnal inversions. Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 38, 283-290.

Hoskins, B.J. and F.P. Bretherton, 1972: Atmospheric frontogenesis models: mathematical formulation and solution. J. Atmos. Sci., 29,11-37.

MacKay, K.P., 1971: Steady state hodographs in a baroclinic boundary layer. Boundary Layer Meteorol., 2, 161–168.

Ostdiek, V., 1995: Deformation frontogenesis and related boundary layer processes: Observation and theory. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Astrophysical, Planetary, and Atmospheric Sciences, Campus Box 391, University of Colorado, Boulder 80309, 164 pp.

Ostdiek, V. and W. Blumen, 1995: Deformation frontogenesis: Observation and theory. J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 1487-1500.

Thorpe, A.J. and T.H. Guymer, 1977: The nocturnal jet. Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 103, 633-653.



Figure 1a. Map showing hourly averaged surface potential temperature contours with overlay of streamlines for 2000 LST 20 February 1992. The dotted lines are state boundaries.

8



Figure 1b. Hourly averaged winds measured by the Seneca boundary layer profiler and collocated PAM 41, from 1100 LST on 20 February to 0200 LST on 21 February. Each half barb represents 5 knots (≈ 2.5 m/s) and each full barb represents 10 knots (≈ 5 m/s). The passage of the deformation flow is seen in the wind shift between 1400 and 1700 LST.



Figure 2a. Map of the Central United States showing STORM-FEST station locations. The Boundary Layer Array is in the circled region at left center.



BLP - Boundary Layer Research Wind Profiler

Figure 2b. Detailed map of instrument locations in the Boundary Layer Array (circled region in Figure 2a).



Figure 3a. Comparison of vertical profiles of zonal wind u (upper)and meridional wind v (lower) taken by the Seneca boundary layer profiler and nearest available soundings (Seneca CLASS sounding and Topeka NWS sounding). The two times, 1700 LST on 20 February and 0500 LST on 21 February, are near the beginning and near the end, respectively, of the period of inertial oscillation being discussed.



Figure 3b. Plots of observed and least-squares fit u (left) and v (right) versus time. From bottom to top: surface PAM station, lowest level of Seneca boundary layer profiler, level of jet maximum and largest-amplitude inertial oscillation, an intermediate upper level, and highest profiler level used.