



SAND79-8185 **Unlimited Release**



DN33619

Plastic Film Performance Improvement for Heliostats FINAL REPORT

Prepared for Sandia Laboratories Livermore, California under Contract 83-0035D

DEPASTMENT OF DEFENSE MASTICS TECHNICAL EVALUATION CENTER ABRADCOM, DOVER, H. J. 07801

July 1980

19951020 002

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public research

Distribution Datambed

1. 5 . A. B.

このの

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

Issued by Sandia National Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Sandia Corporation.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability to responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Printed in the United States of America Available from National Technical Information Service U. S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Price: Printed Copy \$4.50 ; Microfiche \$3.00

PLASTIC FILM PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

FOR HELIOSTATS

FINAL REPORT



Prepared for Sandia Laboratories Livermore, California

Under

Contract No. 83-0035D

by

Boeing Engineering and Construction Company A Division of The Boeing Company Seattle, Washington 98124

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process developed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

ورجاري المتحرف ومحادثه والا			Construction of the second second
Lastrie	laton J	1. Y.S.	
15¥3.2	112813		প্র
1.203.0]
5	no-extern).		
	l Marena A	ng Na Katalan ma nanana	
		r ann de companyer parameter	
t XX and a			·····
		te de la companya de La companya de la comp	
l farai	23 (S. 20)	वङ्ग करते	
	he sak	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	an a
Dist.	(Nyma	S. S. S. A.	er aksigana
		2 1 2	1000 C
	1	4	
		1.	
And the second se	Anna ana ina ana	Same water and the second	and the second

FOREWORD

This document is the final report issued under Sandia Laboratories Contract 83-0035D. The objective of this contract is to improve the Boeing Engineering and Construction (BEC) heliostat design and hence its overall performance and cost effectiveness through the development and test of improved enclosure and reflector plastic films. Work under this contract was initiated on April 9, 1979, and was completed July 31, 1980. This report complies with Task III-e as designated in the contract work statement. Technical management at Sandia was performed by Mr. Clayton Mavis. Program management at BEC was performed by Mr. Roger Gillette. Mr. Marcus Berry was project manager at BEC, and Mr. Harry Dursch performed the majority of work in the project

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

		PAGE
NOTI	CE	i
FORE	WORD	ii
1.0	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	1
2.0	INDUSTRIAL SURVEY	.4
	2.1 Industry Contacts	4
	2.2 Screening Tests	8
3.0	DESERT EXPOSURE TESTS	12
	3.1 Apparatus	12
	3.2 Test Results (Enclosure Films)	15
	3.3 Test Results (Reflector Films)	28
4.0	CONCLUSIONS	35
5.0	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	36

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

A plastic film improvement program was initiated to improve the BEC enclosed heliostat design and hence its overall performance and cost effectiveness. The initial overall plan for completing the program tasks and for accomplishing all its objectives is represented in the Event Logic Network shown in Figure 1-1.

An industrial survey was initiated in the early weeks of the contract. The initial list of potential film suppliers was expanded from a few to 30. Suppliers were urged to participate by providing samples of materials they felt had potential. Suppliers were visited for technical discussions about their products and to become knowledgeable in the processes of plastic film manufacture. The preliminary candidate materials were screen tested in Boeing laboratories. The materials showing promise were sent to Phoenix for desert exposure testing. After 3 months of accelerated exposure, coupons were withdrawn and tested for degradation. The data were used to eliminate candidates of obvious poor weatherability, and assist the supplier in making modifications for possible second iteration materials.

Exposure of first iteration materials continued while second iteration candidates (new materials and modified previously tested materials) were being made available. After 6 months of real time and accelerated exposure first iteration samples were withdrawn and returned for lab tests. Lab testing of second iteration materials were performed after 3 months of accelerated exposure. Exposure testing of the most promising materials will be continued after the end of this contract.



Figure 1-1. Event Logic Network

.

ŗ

٠

1

ť

Response from the plastic film industry was slower than anticipated, causing a delay in the start of the outdoor testing. A major problem was that while many firms were interested, few of them could supply the material off the shelf. The majority required additional time to make special process runs. A 3 month contract extension permitted evaluation of materials after 6 months of exposure testing.

Plastic film exposure and testing initiated under a previous contract was continued in parallel with this contract, and these results are included in this report also. Outdoor exposure testing began in April, 1978. After an equivalent of over 15 years of solar exposure (24 months at \approx 7.6 suns), the two fluorocarbons, Kynar and Tedlar, have shown no appreciable degradation of mechanical or optical properties. During this period of time, the polyesters (weatherable and nonweatherable) and polycarbonate (weatherable) all exhibited severe loss of mechanical properties. Of the reflector material samples, only the OCLI* silvered UV stabilized polyester has shown promise after an equivalent of \approx 4 years solar exposure. The suppliers were notified of their respective material's exposure results.

Samples with improved weatherability characteristics were received during and after the industrial survey conducted in the early weeks of this contract. The most promising samples were sent to Arizona for exposure testing in August, 1979. While most materials displayed improved UV resistance, very few came close to meeting the mechanical and optical goals set by BEC and discussed in the following section. 3M** provided a highly specular transparent polyester (93%), but preliminary results show a roll off in properties after accelerated exposure. Dow Corning applied an anti-abrasive coating to the 3M material with the goal of improving its abrasive resistance and weatherability. The initial specularity fell to 89%; no exposure data is yet available to determine if longevity has been increased. Dunmore aluminized some ICI Melinex "OW" and achieved fairly high specular reflectance (89%), but the material showed a fairly substantial loss in ultimate elongation after 3 months of accelerated testing.

* Optical Coating Laboratories, Inc.**Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co.

2.0 INDUSTRIAL SURVEY

2.1 Industry Contacts

At the initiation of the contract, suppliers were contacted by telephone to determine if mutual interest existed. If a supplier showed interest in participating in any way, a formal invitation letter and film performance specification was sent. Goals of 92% transmittance ($.14^{\circ}$ cone angle) and 93% reflectance ($.14^{\circ}$ cone angle) with minimal optical degradation and less than a 10% loss per year of mechanical properties were set. In cases where it appeared mutually beneficial, meetings and tours were held either at the supplier's plant or at BEC.

Thirty suppliers were contacted with 19 active responses. Some suppliers sent a variety of films and coatings. In many cases, the coupons were "first cut" laboratory-made materials that fell short of the program goals but showed promise for further improvement.

The flow of candidates was continuous for several months rather than the two as originally planned. Table 2.1-1 shows the 30 suppliers contacted and their respective responses.

RESPONSE	. COATED/SILVERED/POLYESTER . HIGH T COATING (AR) FOR POLYESTER & KYNAR . COATED/SILVERED/KYNAR	. ACRYLIC COATED/ALUMINIZED/POLYESTER . HIGH T COATING (AR) FOR KYNAR . ACRYLIC COATED/SILVERED/POLYESTER	. 2ND SURF. SILVERIZED POLYCARBONATE . 2ND SURF. ALUMINIZED POLYCARBONATE	. BIAXIALLY ORIENTED KYNAR	. HIGH T'AND ABRASION RESISTANCE COATING FOR KYNAR, TEDLAR, POLYESTER	. COATED/ALUMINIZED/POLYESTER . COATED/SILVERED/KYNAR	. 3 MIL KORAD . ALUMINIZED KORAD	. HIGH \mathcal{T} COATING FOR TEDLAR . ANTIOXIDANT COATING AND ANTIABRASION FOR ALUMINIZED POLYESTER	. ALUMINIZED/POLYCARBONATE . STABILIZED POLYCARBONATE	. COATED/ALUMINIZED/MELINEX	ntacted
PRODUCT LINE	COATINGS	FILMS & COATINGS	COATINGS	RESINS	COATINGS	COATINGS	FILM	COATINGS	RESIN	COATINGS	Table 2.1-1 Vendors Contacted
SUPPLIER	OPTICAL COATING LAB, SANTA ROSA, CA.	3M ST. PAUL, MINN.	SHELDAHL NORTHFIELD, MINN.	PENNWALT KING OF PRUSSIA, PA	DOW-CORNING MIDLAND, MICH.	NATIONAL METALIZING CRANBURY, N.J.	XCEL CORP. NEWARK, N.J.	MORTON CHEMICAL WOODSTOCK, ILL.	MOBAY PITTSBURGH, PA.	DUNMORE NEWTOWN, PA.	

77-K1803-NOV

-

.

RESPONSE	. U/V STABILIZED CELANAR (POLYESTER)	. INTERNALLY STABILIZED POLYESTER	. U/V STABILIZED POLYESTER	. U/V STABILIZED POLYCARBONATE	. ACRYLIC COATING ON U/V STABILIZED POLYCARBONATE	. POSSIBLE WEATHERABLE POLYESTER	. NO LONGER MAKING POLYCARBONATE	. POSSIBLE 2ND ITERATION U/V STABILIZED PETRA	. POSSIBLE LAMINATION SCHEME	. POSSIBLE COEXTRUSION FILM	. POSSIBLE U/V AND ABRASION RESISTANT COATING FOR METALLIZED FILM
PRODUCT LINE	FILMS	FILMS	FILM DYEING	LAMINATIONS/ COATINGS	COATINGS	FILMS	FILMS	UNORIENTED FILM	SUPPL IER	EXTRUDER	COATINGS Table 2. 1-1 Vendors Contacted
SUPPLIER	CELANESE GREER, S.C.	ICI MILMINGTON, DE	MARTIN PROCESSING MARTINSVILLE, VA.	CRYOVAC S.C.	BIXBY , INTERNATIONAL MASS.	EASTMAN CHEM. TENN.	CI OUP CLEY CINCINNATI, OHIO	ALLIED CHEMICAL MORRISTOWN, N.J.	CHEMPLAST SAN JOSE 5 CA.	PIERSON INDUSTRIES MASS.	BEE CHEMICAL CHICAGO, ILL.

•

5

77-K1803-NOV

:

e.

77-K1803-NOV

Table 2. 1-1 Vendors Contacted

RESPONSE	. POSSIBLE COEXTRUSION SCHEME	. POSSIBLY TEAR RESISTANCE IMPROVEMENT THROUGH CROSS-LAMINATION	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME	. NOTHING AT THIS TIME
PRODUCT LINE	COEXTRUDER	LAMINATION	FILMS	FILMS	FILMS	CONTROL COATINGS	RESEARCH, LAB	RESIN	FILM
SUPPL I ER	CROWN ZELLERBACH SAN FRANCISCO, CA.	VAN LEAR HOUSTON, TEXAS	AMERICAN ENKA N.C.	DOW CHEMICAL MIDLAND, MICH.	OWENS ILLINOIS TOLEDO, OHIO	SUNTEC CA.	SPRINGBORN LABS ENFIELD, CONN.	UNION CARBIDE LONG BEACH, CA.	EXXON PASEDENA, TEXAS

٦.

1

•

•

2.2 Screening Tests

As samples were received from various suppliers, they were given initial "screening" tests to determine if mechanical and optical properties were within acceptable range to warrant outdoor exposure testing. This determination was made within Boeing laboratories.

;

Microtensile coupons were tested per ASTM D1708 for determination of yield strength, ultimate strength, and ultimate elongation. The microtensile coupon is used because of the limited amount of test material that is usually available.

Specular reflectance or specular transmittance was measured on reflector or enclosure candidate films, respectively. Specularity is measured by using a modified bidirectional reflectometer utilizing a 633 nanometer wavelength laser source and a variable aperture system (0.08° to 0.59°) to determine scatter. In addition, specular transmittance can be measured using a Beckman DK-2A spectrophotometer and a Gier-Dunkle integrating sphere, to provide transmittance within an acceptance cone angle of $.5^{\circ}$ for wavelengths of 250 through 2500 nanometers. The results are integrated over an air mass 2 solar spectrum. The instrument accuracy of the transmittance and reflectance measurements is $\pm 0.5\%$.

At the start of exposure testing over 4 years ago, BEC's specularity measuring techniques determined specular transmittance on the Beckman DK-2A spectro-photometer and specular reflectance on the modified bidirectional reflectometer at aperture openings of 0.5° (8.7 mr) and 0.14° (2.5 mr) respectively. The same measurement techniques were used during this contract for the purposes of consistency and comparison.

Tables 2.2-1 and 2.2-2 show the samples that were selected for outdoor exposure testing under this contract and the material identification used in this document. Table 2.2-3 shows samples whose exposure was initiated under a previous contract.

Table 2.2-1. Enclosure Films Sent to DSET

POLYESTER
2

BEC IDENTIFIER	SUPPLIER	MATERIAL	THICKNESS (MILS)
FLUOROCARBON B	MORTON CHEMICAL	o FLUOROCARBON (TEDLAR)	
FLUOROCARBON B (AR)		O ANTI-REFLECTIVE (AR) COATED TEDLAR	
POLYESTER D	MARTIN	o UV STABILIZED POLYESTER	£
FLUOROCARBON C (ORIENTED)	PENNWAL T	<pre>o BIAXIALLY ORIENTED FLUOROCARBON (KYNAR)</pre>	ю
POLYESTER E	ICI	O INTERNALLY STABILIZED POLYESTER	3
POLYESTER F	CELANESE	o UV STABILIZED POLYESTER	3
POLYCARBONATE B	CRYOVAC	<pre>o UV STABILIZED POLYCARBONATE</pre>	9
POLYCARBONATE C	MOBAY	<pre>o UV STABILIZED POLYCARBONATE</pre>	2
ACRYLIC	XCEL	o ACRYLIC (KORAD)	3
POLYESTER G	ME	<pre>o AR COATED/INTERNALLY STABILIZED POLYESTER</pre>	4
POLYESTER H	DOW CORNING	O ABRASIVE RESISTANT COATED/AR COATED/ INTERNALLY UV STABILIZED/ DOUVESTED	4

ENCLOSURE FILMS

1

REFLECTOR FILMS

BEC IDENTIFIER	SUPPLIER	MATERIAL	THICKNESS (MILS)
SILVERED/POLYCARBONATE M	SHELDAHL	<pre>o SILVERED/UV STABILIZED/POLYCARBONATE</pre>	2.5
ALUMINIZED/POLYCARBONATE N		<pre>o ALUMINIZED/UV STABILIZED/POLYCARBONATE</pre>	2.5
ALUMINIZED/POLYCARBONATE 0	MOBAY	O ALUMINIZED POLYCARBONATE	محم
ALUMINIZED ACRYLIC	XCEL	O ALUMINIZED ACRYLIC	~
ALUMINIZED/POLYESTER P	DUNMORE	O ALUMINIZED POLYESTER	N
ALUMINIZED/POLYESTER Q		o COATED/ALUMINIZED/POLYESTER	2
ALUMINIZED POLYESTER R		<pre>o ALUMINIZED/UV STABILIZED/POLYESTER</pre>	Ø
ALUMINIZED POLYESTER S	ЗМ	o ACRYLIC COATED/ALUMINIZED/POLYESTER	2
ALUMINIZED POLYESTER T	MORTON CHEMICAL	<pre>o COATED/UV STABILIZED/POLYESTER</pre>	~
ALUMINIZED POLYESTER U		<pre>o COATED/UV STABILIZED/POLYESTER (ALTERNATE COATING)</pre>	7
ALUMINIZED POLYESTER V		<pre>o COATED/UV STABILIZED/POLYESTER (ALTERNATE COATING)</pre>	ы

Table 2.2-2. Reflector Films Sent to DSET

•

÷

5

1

.

BEC Identifier	I hickness (mils)	Thickness Supplier (mils)	Material
		Enclosure	
Fluorocarbon A	\$	Du Pont	Etuorocarbon (Polished Tedlar)
Fluorocarbon C (Lab)	\$	Pennwait	Fluorocarbon (Kynar-made in laboratory)
Polyester A	9	Allied Chemical	Polyester (Petra A)
Polycarbonate A	Ø	W.R. Grace	UV Stabilized polycarbonate
Polyester B	3.5	National Metalizing	UV Stablized polyester
Polyester C	7	Martin Processing	UV stabilized polyester (Llumar)
		Reflector	
Silvered polyester J	2	Optical Coating Laboratory	Silvered/UV Stabilized/Polyester
Aluminized polyester K	2	National Metalizing	Coated/Aluminized/Polyester
Aluminized polyester P	2	Dunmore	Coated/Aluminized/Polyester

Table 2.2-3. Enclosure and Reflector Films Previously Under Test at DSET

١,

3.0 DESERT EXPOSURE TESTS

3.1 Apparatus

Plastic film samples that were selected for outdoor exposure tests were sent to Desert Sunshine Exposure Testing Facility (DSET) located in the Sonora Desert, 40 miles north of Phoenix, Arizona. Two exposure tests were conducted, accelerated and real time.

Real time exposure testing is performed on 45⁰ elevation, south facing racks providing 1 sun exposure. Accelerated testing is performed on EMMA (equatorial mount with mirrors for acceleration). EMMA acceleration factors average out to approximately 8 suns over a year's period of exposure. These machines track the sun equatorially and have an air distribution system that forces air past the samples so that their surface temperatures are approximately the same as that of a sample on a south facing rack. As EMMA machines are non-operational during periods of low insolation, the samples are protected from the environment during periods of inclement weather.

Plastic film materials were cut into 2 inch x 5 inch coupons. All reflective material coupons were placed inside of Kynar bags to simulate BEC's plastic film heliostat design. Figure 3.1-1 shows a close-up of two silvered polyester coupons inside of Kynar bags on a 45° elevation south facing rack, and Figure 3.1-2 shows reflector material coupons undergoing exposure testing on EMMA. The same testing techniques that were used to screen test the samples were used to test the samples after outdoor exposure. The samples were optically measured before and after cleaning. The materials were cleaned by immersing them 5 minutes in an ultrasonic bath with detergent, rinsing them in distilled water, then air dried. All optical values presented in this report were measured after cleaning. Microtensile tests destroy the samples, so successive measurements are made on the same material, not the same sample.



Figure 3.1-1. Reflector Coupons on Real Time Rack



Figure 3.1-2. Reflector Coupons on EMMA

3.2 Test Results (Enclosure Films)

Shown in Table 3.2-1 are the transparent, thin film materials that have been or are currently being exposure tested at DSET. Materials whose exposure testing was initiated under a previous contract have had up to 24 months of solar exposure while the materials initiated under this contract have had 6 months exposure.

After 24 months of real time and an equivalent of over 15 years of accelerated solar testing, the fluorocarbons, Kynar and Tedlar, have proven to be the most promising of the enclosure films. Figures 3.2-1 and 3.2-2 give the ultimate elongation and specular transmittance of Kynar and Tedlar respectively. Experience has shown ultimate elongation to be the first mechanical property to exhibit signs of degradation. After an equivalent of 15.2 years solar exposure (2,781,000 langleys), Kynar exhibited a negligible loss of specularity (0.5° cone angle, air mass 2), and a 35% increase in elongation. During the same time, Tedlar decreased 4% in specularity and elongation. The results of real time testing after 2 years (368,000 langleys), show that Kynar had no change in specularity and a 36% increase in elongation. Tedlar had a 2% loss in specularity and a 5% loss of elongation.

The test results of plastic films exhibit scatter in both mechanical and optical properties as can be seen in Figure 3.2-1 and 3.3-2. This seems to be an inherent problem of thin film plastics and is probably due to non-uniformities in the orientation of the basic film and non-uniformities resulting from coatings and in the case of reflective films, the metalizing process.

Shown in Figure 3.2-3 and 3.2-4 are the results from exposure testing of three polyesters and one polycarbonate that was initiated over 2 years ago. All four materials lost considerable mechanical strength in EMMA after 6 months. In all cases, elongation was reduced to near zero. The losses in transmittance ranged from 27% for the Polyester A to 60% for the Polycarbonate A. It was decided to discontinue accelerated testing after 6 months.

ć

•

IDENTIFIER	SOLAR SPECULAR TRANSMITTANCE, % @ .5º cone angle, (control value)
	0.2
Polyester G	93
Fluorocarbon A	90
Fluorocarbon C (Lab)	. 89
Polyester H	89
Polyester A	89
Polycarbonate C	89
Acrylic	87
Fluorocarbon C (Oriented)	86
Polycarbonate A	86
Polyester B	86
Polyester D	85
Polyester F	85
Polyester E	84
Fluorocarbon B (AR)	83
Polyester C	82
Fluorocarbon B	79
Polycarbonate B	73



Figure 3.2-1. Fluorocarbon C (Lab Kynar) Exposure Testing Results



¥

Figure 3.2-2. Fluorecarbon A (Tedlar) Exposure Testing Results



٠,

Figure 3.2-3. Enclosure Material Data - EMMA (8 Suns)



Figure 3.2-4. Enclosure Material Data - Real Time (45^o Rack)

Polyester C, Polyester B and Polycarbonate A samples were UV stabilized but as results show, the stabilization techniques used were inadequate. The suppliers were notified and samples with second iteration stabilization techniques are now being evaluated.

The most promising of the transparent plastic films, whose exposure was initiated under this contract, are shown in Figures 3.2-5 and 3.2-6. Martin Processing provided 5 mil Llumar which is a UV stabilized polyester (Polyester D) The Llumar that was exposure tested before was 2 mil (Polyester C, Figures 3.2-3 and 3.2-4) and it was felt that by increasing the thickness, the weatherability would be enhanced. The exposure testing data shows no loss in specularity and a 22% drop in elongation after 6 months of real time exposure. After the same exposure time, the 2 mil Llumar had shown an 82% drop in elongation. This material is also very specular with no change in transmittance through the various aperture openings of $.08^{\circ}$ to $.59^{\circ}$ (1.4 mr to 10.3 mr).

Polyester E exhibited no loss of specular transmittance, but the elongation decreased by 47%, from 101% to 54%, after 6 months of real time testing. This degradation is quite typical of polyesters, as shown in Figure 3.2-5, and illustrates the importance of the ultimate elongation (% elongation at failure) values.

A 5.2 m (17 ft) diameter gore formed dome made out of the Polyester E material and fabricated by Sheldahl under contract with BEC, was installed in Boardman, Oregon on May 6, 1979. The dome has remained intact after 15 months, while surviving severe snow loading, 31 m/s (70 mph) wind storms and volcanic ash. The dome in the foreground on Figure 3.2-7 is the Polyester E dome installed at Boardman.

The **Fluorocarbon C** (oriented) shown in Figures 3.2-5 and 3.2-6 is part of a run of biaxially oriented Kynar made by Pennwalt under contract with BEC. The material exhibited little or no loss of mechanical or optical values after 6 months of accelerated or 6 months of real time exposure. A West German firm called Bruckner oriented some Kynar film provided by Pennwalt and achieved equivalent results.



Ţ

*

ł

Figure 3.2-5. Enclosure Material Data - Real Time (45⁰ Rack)



Figure 3.2-6. Enclosure Material Data - EMMA (8 Suns)



The acrylic samples on the real time rack were the only materials that were damaged during a hailstorm. Samples on EMMA failed for an unresolved reason and then were probably destroyed by buffeting caused by the air distributing system on EMMA. New samples were sent to DSET and again some failed. A 3-month EMMA sample did survive and displayed no loss of specularity; it had an unexplained increase of 24% in elongation. The real time samples were returned after the hailstorm, and while a minimal loss of specular transmittance took place, the elongation values fell 89%. The material was probably buffeted by the storm after failure and this could explain the substantial decrease in elongation. Acrylic looked promising due to its low cost and fairly high specularity, but thicker material should be sent to DSET to see if the weatherability can be improved.

Polyester G had a very high specular transmittance of 96% at the 633 nanometer wavelength, but when integrated over an air mass 2 solar spectrum, it fell off to 93%. After 3 months on EMMA, the material decreased 4% in specularity and lost 78% of its elongation. No real time testing data is yet available. The anti-reflective (AR) coating possessed a very low abrasive resistance. Samples were sent to Dow Corning for application of an abrasive resistance coating. The coating decreased the specularity from 93% to 89%. The material, called Polyester H, was recently sent to DSET and exposure data was not available in time for publication in this report.

The Polycarbonate C material had fairly high specular values; and after 6 months of real time testing, the material exhibited a negligible loss of transmittance. The elongation decreased substantially from 104% to 37%. The samples did not survive the EMMA testing, and were not replaced because of the substantial decrease in elongation after 6 months of real time exposure.

Morton developed a coating that when applied to unpolished Tedlar, improved the specularity by 5% from 79% to 83% (Fluorocarbon B (AR)). After 6 months of real time and accelerated exposure, the coating retained its effectiveness. The Tedlar had an initial low specular transmittance (79%) and it will have to be seen if the coating is as effective when applied to roll polished Tedlar.

After 6 months of real time exposure, the elongation of Polyester F decreased 85% from 106% to 16%. The specularity decreased from 85% to 83%. The accelerated testing results showed a decrease of 90% in elongation and 12% in specularity. The samples tested at DSET were taken from the roll of Polyester F that was shipped to Sheldahl for fabrication of the dome shown in Figure 3.2-7. The dome was installed at the same time as the other dome, but after 10 months, a 10 cm tear was noticed in the polar cap, away from the seams. The tear was patched and the dome remained intact until 3 months later, when during the wind gusts of 8 - 10 m/s (18 - 23 mph), the dome failed. The dome will be returned and tested for specularity and mechanical properties at the polar cap, seams and base. It is somewhat surprising that the dome lasted as long as it did with the DSET results showing a substantial decrease in elongation after 6 months of real time exposure.

ľ

Polycarbonate B is a second iteration of UV stabilized polycarbonate that has shown surprising good weatherability characteristics. The material showed no change in elongation after 6 months of real time testing and an unexplained 10% increase in specularity from 73% to 82%.

Table 3.2-2 shows the results of the Beckman DK-2A spectrophotometer transmittance test integrated over an air mass 2 solar spectrum with an aperture opening of 0.5°. The control values of Polyester G and exposed Fluorocarbon C (Lab) after exposure of 2 years real time are shown. This table illustrates one of the main differences between fluorocarbons and polyesters or polycarbonates. The polyester G material has the highest transmittance of any material that has been received by BEC to date, but at the wavelength of 341 nanometers (UV), the polyester has no transmittance as opposed to the 77% transmittance that the fluorocarbon has. The absorption of the UV band wavelengths by the polyesters and polycarbonates helps explain why the longevity of the materials is **inferior** to that of fluorocarbons.

Air a	nass 2. (F	Spectroph leference	handbook of	Geophysi	Sample: « cs 1960)	HERCL	nsr				
ror.	пакку	DURSCH	7)		TR	ANSMITTANC	e - 88,8				
λ	1002	Sampla	Correct	A	1002	Sample	Correct	<u>ک</u>	100%	Sample	Corre
341	99.4	76.5	7710	685	97.3	8'0.9	88.4	1253	99.5	92.0	921
399	101.2	81.1	81.1	700	98.4	1872	88,6	1301	97.4		-1210
424	97.8	830	83/2	714	97.4	4714	8818	1437	973	91,9	92.
442	99.8	838	\$4,0	729	98.5	881	89,4	1580	98.4	91,4	9.21
457	17.6	24.0	84.3	745	991	88.3	8411	1660	197,4	90.1	72.
471	17.5	84.7	85.1	763	97.2	82.5	89,2	1923	91.1	8414	721
484	79.4	75.0		780	99.4	87.2	89.3	1923		Total = 4	a la companya da la c
496	79.4	854	85,9	797	99.5	89.3	89.7	NBS 🖡		Average =	<u>22 76</u>
508		195.0	86.1	815	120.0	90.0	70.0			Average .	00,10
521	1 7	25.7		1		90.3	90.3	Correc	tion =		an an an air an
533		86.4	86.9	<u>833</u> 851		91.0	91.0				
546	1		86.4	T		31.1	91.1	Fluo	rocar	bon C (Lab)
			86.9	870		91.0	91.4				
558 571	+		8619	894		91.7	9119	3	68.00	0 langl	evs
571	+		76,9	932		1 // /	91,9		,		-, -
583	+		86.9	968			91.9		(2 v	ears)	
596	97.8	1	87.14	991			41.9		·- J		
609	48.4		37.8	1015		71.9	91.9				
621	178.2		8810	1040		42.0	42.0				
634	1.01-		88.0	1067		172.0	92.0				
647		26.5	88.1	1114	999						
659		the second s	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Designation, or other Designation, or other Designation, or other D	1171	and the second se		921				
672		86,9	88.5	1213	99,8		122				
	physics L n DK-2A S		cometer.		Sample:	GEOM 2 COMPANY 2	5744 - 4 VII 1	SHEET	07 5 D	ate2/	/- 79
Beckma	n DK-2A S	pectrophot	cometer. andbook of (Geophysic	Sample: 8 1960)	G f 03	• + 2 5	SHEET /		ate2/	/- 77
Beckma Air ma For.	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re	pectrophot ference he	andbook of (Sample: s 1960) THAN	STON	P		ם		•
Beckma Air ma For.	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 100%	pectrophot ference he Sample	Correct	<u>ک</u>	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 100%	sros SHITTANCE	Correct	λ	D 1002	Sample	Correc
Beckma Air ma For.	n DK-2A S 88 2. (Re 1002 50.0	pectrophot ference he Sample	Correct	<u>ک</u> 685	Sample: 8 1960) TRAN 100% 7 ⁷ ./	ishiTTANCE Sample	Correct 763	<mark>ک</mark> 1253	ם 100 2 17 אי	Sample	Correc 94.
Beckma Air ma For. 41 29	n DK-2A S 88 2. (Re 1002 1002 1003 1003	Sample	Correct	入 685 700	Sample: 8 1960) TRAN 1007 727	ishirtance Sample 777 7 7 6	Correct 763 155	ک 1253 1301	100 7 17. 2 17. 2	Sample (7-, 9 (7-, 5)	Correc 94. 72.7
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 -71.5 -71.5	sample	Correct Correct Correct	<u>ک</u> 685 700 714	Sample: B 1960) TRAN 1007 72.7	ishii TTANCE Sampia Y 4 Y 5 Z	Correct 76.3 15.5	ک 1253 1301 1437	1003 17.2 77.4 71.6	Sample 9-9 735	Correc 94. 72.7 72.7
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42	n DK-2A S 88 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.5 -11.5 -11.5	Sample	Correct S SQ.41	λ 685 700 714 729	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 7/./ 77 95 72.5	Sample 7 4.5 7 5.1 7 5.1 7 5.1 7 5.2 7 5.7	Correct 76.3 75.6 75.6 26.2	ک 1253 1301 1437 1580	1003 17.2 71.6 44.0	Sample (7:9 (7:5 (7:5) (7:5)	Correc 94. 72.7 72.1 11.2
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 <u>(0,1)</u> <u>-11-5</u> <u>-11-5</u> <u>-11-5</u> <u>-11-5</u> <u>-11-5</u> <u>-11-5</u> <u>-11-5</u>	Sample Sample O SO.1 70.1 71.3 12.0	Correct Image: Correct Image: I	A 685 700 714 729 745	Sample: s 1960) TRA: 1002 72.1 77.5 72.5 72.5 72.5 72.5 72.5	Shittance Sample 74.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7	Correct 763 155 756 26.2 768	ک 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660	100 7 17.2 77.4 74.6 44.0 73.4	Sample 47.9 43.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5	Corree 94. 92.7 72.7 11.2 8-12
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71	1002 1002 1002 1003 100 100	Sample Sample Source he Source Sou	Correct O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ک 685 700 714 729 745 763	Sample: 8 1960) TRAN 1002 77.1 77.5 77.5 75.6 -77.5 75.6 -77.5	Sample √ √ - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - /	Carrect 763 755 756 756 762 767 767	ک 1253 1301 1437 1580	1003 17.2 71.6 44.0	Sample 9-9 945 725 725 727 -2.7	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71 34	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 5075 47	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sample} \\ \hline \\ $	Correct O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780	Sample: 8 1960) TRAN 1007 72.1 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.6 -77.5 77.6 -77.5 77.6 -77.5 77.6 -77.5 77.5 77.6 -77.5 7	sros Sample 7 4 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 2 7 4 7 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7	Correct 76.3 15.6 15.6 15.2 15.1 15.7 15.1 15.7 15.1 15.7 15.1 15.7	X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660	100 7 17.2 77.4 74.6 44.0 73.4	Sample 47.9 43.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5	Corree 94. 92.7 72.1 11.2 8-2 10.1 10.1
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71 34	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 5075 47	pectrophol ference he Sawple O SO_I 41.3 12.0 12.7 12.4 12.4 12.7 12.4 12.7	Correct D 20 20 4 20 20 4 20 20 4 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 21 7 7 22 7 7 23 6 7 24 7 7	ک 685 700 714 729 745 763	Sample: 8 1960) THAN 1007 72.1 77.5	sros shittance Sample √ √	Correct 763 155 755 752 455 752 455 752 455	ک 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923	100 7 17.2 77.4 74.6 44.0 73.4	Sample 9-9 945 725 725 727 -2.7	Corree 94. 92.7 72.1 11.2 8-2 10.1 10.1
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71 34 96	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.75 47.3 47.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3 77.3	Sample Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1	Correct O O O	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.5 75.5 75.6 77.5 75.6 75.6 75.6 77.5 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.6 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.7 75.6 75.7 75.7 75.6 75.7	sros Sample 7 4 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 2 7 4 7 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7	Correct 763 156 252 156 252 156 156 157 157 762 763 157	ک 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923	1007 17.2 77.6 74.6 72.4 72.4	Sample 9-9 935 155 155 155 157 77 77 70 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	Correc 94. 92.7 12.1 11.2 ***2 10.1 :
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71 34 96 98	n DK-2A S 88 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3	Sample Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 17 .7 .7 .7 .7 .7 .7 .7 .7 .7	Correct D D D	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797	Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 74.1 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.5 77.6 77.7 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.7	sros shittance Sample √ √	Correct 763 156 262 156 156 156 157 167 160 160 160 160	X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS	1007 17.2 77.6 74.6 72.4 72.4	Sample 9-9 935 155 155 155 157 77 77 70 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	Corree 94. 92.7 72.1 11.2 8-2 10.1 10.1
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71 34 96 08 21	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 -74.5 -74.	Sample Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	Correct D D D </td <td>λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815</td> <td>Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.5 74.5 74.5 7.6 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.5 77.4 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5</td> <td>sros shittance Sample √ √</td> <td>Correct 763 156 262 156 262 107 107 107 107 100 100 100 100 100 100</td> <td>X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS</td> <td>1007 17.2 77.6 74.6 72.4 72.4</td> <td>Sample 9-9 935 155 155 155 157 77 77 70 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7</td> <td>Correc 94. 92.7 12.1 11.2 ***2 10.1 :</td>	λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.5 74.5 74.5 7.6 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.5 77.4 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5 77.5	sros shittance Sample √ √	Correct 763 156 262 156 262 107 107 107 107 100 100 100 100 100 100	X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS	1007 17.2 77.6 74.6 72.4 72.4	Sample 9-9 935 155 155 155 157 77 77 70 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	Correc 94. 92.7 12.1 11.2 ***2 10.1 :
Beckma Air ma For. 41 99 24 42 57 71 34 96 08 21 33	n DK-2A S 88 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.3	Sawple Sawple 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Correct D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 </td <td>λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833</td> <td>Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 7/1 775 776 776 776 776 776 776 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 775 776 777 775 776 777 775 776 776</td> <td>sros shittance Sample √ √</td> <td>Correct 763 156 156 162 167 167 169 169 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160</td> <td>X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS # Correct</td> <td>1007 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.4 12,0</td> <td>Sample 9-9 9-5 72-5 72-7 75-7</td> <td>Correc 94. 72.7 72.1 1/.2 8-12</td>	λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833	Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 7/1 775 776 776 776 776 776 776 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 775 776 777 775 776 777 775 776 776	sros shittance Sample √ √	Correct 763 156 156 162 167 167 169 169 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160	X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS # Correct	1007 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.4 12,0	Sample 9-9 9-5 72-5 72-7 75-7	Correc 94. 72.7 72.1 1/.2 8-12
Beckmaa Air ma For. 24 41 22 41 22 42 24 42 24 42 24 42 24 13 34 16 13 13 16	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50:0 97:3 97:3 97:3 77	Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.9 72.4 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7	Correct O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 </td <td>λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851</td> <td>Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 74.1 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.5</td> <td>sros shittance Sample √ √</td> <td>Correct 763 156 262 156 262 107 107 107 107 100 100 100 100 100 100</td> <td>X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS # Correct</td> <td>1007 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.4 12,0</td> <td>Sample 9-9 935 155 155 155 157 77 77 70 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7</td> <td>Correc 94. 92.7 72.7 11.2 ×-2 10.1 10.1</td>	λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851	Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 74.1 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.5	sros shittance Sample √ √	Correct 763 156 262 156 262 107 107 107 107 100 100 100 100 100 100	X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS # Correct	1007 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.4 12,0	Sample 9-9 935 155 155 155 157 77 77 70 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 70 71 9 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	Correc 94. 92.7 72.7 11.2 ×-2 10.1 10.1
Beckmaa Air ma For. 41 99 24 41 24 24 42 57 71 34 36 21 33 33 33 34 66 88	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 77.2 77.2	Sawple Sawple 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Correct J 3 36.4 7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 73.6 7.7 74.7 7.6 75.6 7.7 75.0 7.7 75.0 7.7 75.6 75.7	λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 7/1 77.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.	sros shittance Sample √ √	Correct 763 156 156 162 167 167 169 169 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160	X 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS # Correct	1007 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.4 12,0	Sample 9-9 9-5 72-5 72-7 75-7	Correc 94. 92.7 72.7 11.2 ×-2 10.1 10.1
Beckma Air ma For.	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 -74.2 -74.	Sample Sample O 80.1 90.1 91.3 12.9	Correct D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 </td <td>λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 894</td> <td>Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 74.1 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.5</td> <td>Sample 7 7 7 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 7 7 7</td> <td>Correct 763 155 756 756 762 767 767 762 762 762 767 767 767 76</td> <td>2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct</td> <td>1002 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.7 10n -</td> <td>Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -</td> <td>Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1</td>	λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 894	Sample: s 1960) THAN 1002 74.1 77.5 77.6 77.6 77.5	Sample 7 7 7 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 7 7 7	Correct 763 155 756 756 762 767 767 762 762 762 767 767 767 76	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1002 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.7 10n -	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckma Air ma For. A 41 99 92 44 12 57 71 134 14 14 157 71 133 16 16 18 133	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 47.2	Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 7.7 7.7	Correct J 3 36.4 7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 71.7 7 73.6 7.7 74.7 7.6 75.6 7.7 75.0 7.7 75.0 7.7 75.6 75.7	λ 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 994 932	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 7/1 77.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.	Sampla 9 4:20 12 2 12 2 1	Correct 74.3 15.5 75.2 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.8	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1002 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.7 10n -	Sample 9-9 9-5 72-5 72-7 75-7	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckmaa Air ma For. A 41 99 92 24 42 57 71 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 66 58 87 71 33 56 58 87 71 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 -74.2 -74.	Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.9 13.9 14.9 15.9	Correct O 0 0.6.4 1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.6 7 71.4 7 95.0 7 75.0 7 95.6 7 75.7 9 95.8 1 10.8 7	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 994 932 968 991	Sample: 8 1960) TRAN 1002 1/2/1 1/7/2 9/25	Sampla 9 4:20 12 2 12 2 1	Correct 76.3 15.6 76.2 45.6 76.1 45.6 76.1 45.0 4.5 75.4 75.8 75.7	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1007 17.2 77.2 77.2 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 7	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckmaa Air ma For. A 41 99 92 44 41 99 92 44 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 34 35 6 58 87 21 33 36 58 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.3 47.2	Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7 7.7 7.7	Correct O 0 0.6.4 1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.1 7 41.6 7 71.4 7 95.0 7 75.0 7 95.6 7 75.7 9 95.8 1 10.8 7	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 894 932 968 991 1015	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 7/1 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 77	Sample 7 7 7 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 7 7 7	Correct 763 156 756 756 756 762 762 762 762 762 761 750 759 759 759 759 759 759 759 759 759 759	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1002 17.2 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.6 77.4 72.7 10n -	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckmaa Air ma For. A 41 999 24 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 34 99 99 92 21 33 31 33 21 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.0 47.5 47.5 47.3 47.2 47	Sample Sample O So.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7	Correct O 0 0.6.4 7 7 7.6 7 7.6 7 7.6 7 7.6 7 7.6 7 7.7 7 7.6 7 7.7 7 7.6 7 7.7 7 9.5 0 7.7 9 9.5 7 9.5 8 7.7 8	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 994 932 968 991 1015 1040	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.2 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 75.6 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.5 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7	Sample 74.7 74.7 74.7 74.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Correct} \\ 76.3 \\ 75.5 \\ 75.6 \\ 75.2 \\ 75.4 \\ 76.2 \\ 76.1 \\ 76.2 \\ 76.1 \\ 76.2 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.7 \\ 75.7 \\ 75.5 \\ 75.6 \\ 75.$	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1007 17.2 77.2 77.2 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 7	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckmaa Air ma For. A 41 99 24 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 42 57 71 34 34 26 58 87 71 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.7 77.5 77.3 77.7 77	Sample Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 42.0 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1.3 12.0 12.7 12.7 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Correct 0 26.4 7.6 7.6 7.6 7.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 75.0 75.7 95.8 75.7 95.7 95.7 95.7	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 994 932 968 991 1015 1040 1067	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.2 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 75.6 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.5 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7	Signal a Signal a 74.7 74.7 74.7 74.7 74.7 75.7 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.7 95.7	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Correct} \\ 76.3 \\ 75.5 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 76.2 \\ 76.2 \\ 76.4 \\ 76.2 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.$	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1007 17.2 77.2 77.2 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 7	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Corree 94. 72.7 72.7 72.7 72.7 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckma Air ma For. Ai Ai Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi Bi	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50.75 47.3 47.4 47.3 47.3 47.4 47.3 47.4 47.3 47.4 47.4 4	Sample Sample 0 80.1 90.1 41.3 42.0 70.4 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7 71.7	Correct 0 26.4 7.6 7.6 7.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.7 95.0 75.7 95.8 75.8 75.7 95.7 95.7 95.7 15.7	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 994 932 968 991 1015 1040 1067 1114	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.2 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 75.6 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.5 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7	Sishi TTANCE Sainple 7977 791 797 797 797 797 797 797 797 79	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Correct} \\ 76.3 \\ 75.5 \\ 15.6 \\ 35.2 \\ 15.6 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.$	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1007 17.2 77.2 77.2 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 7	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Correc 94. 72.7 72.1 11.2 70.1 70.1 70.1
Beckma Air ma For.	n DK-2A S ss 2. (Re 1002 50% 47.5 47.5 47.3 47.2 47.3 47.3 47.3 47.2 47.3 47.5 47.	Sample Sample O So.1 90.1 41.3 12.0 12.7	Correct 0 26.4 7.6 7.6 7.6 7.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 75.0 75.7 95.8 75.7 95.7 95.7 95.7	X 685 700 714 729 745 763 780 797 815 833 851 870 994 932 968 991 1015 1040 1067	Sample: s 1960) TRAN 1002 74.1 77.2 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 75.6 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.4 97.5 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7	Signal a Signal a 74.7 74.7 74.7 74.7 74.7 75.7 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 75.7 95.7	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Correct} \\ 76.3 \\ 75.5 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.4 \\ 76.2 \\ 76.2 \\ 76.4 \\ 76.2 \\ 75.4 \\ 75.$	2 1253 1301 1437 1580 1660 1923 NBS Correct	1007 17.2 77.2 77.2 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 77.4 7	Sample 9-9 945 725 727 727 Total - 4 Average -	Correc 94. 72.7 72.1 11.2 70.1 70.1 70.1

Table 3.2-2 Typical Transmittance Data

3.3 Test Results (Reflector Films)

Shown in Table 3.3-1 are the reflector materials that have been or are being exposure tested at DSET and their respective specular reflectance control values. Of the three reflector materials whose desert exposure was initiated under the previous contract (Figures 3.3-1 and 3.3-2), only the silvered Polyester J shows promise. After an equivalent of almost 4 years solar exposure, the material exhibited the inherent problem of polyester. Its specular reflectance has remained the same (94% at .14° cone angle) but, the ultimate strength has dropped from 168 MPa to 75.5 MPa (24,400 psi to 10.950 psi), and the ultimate elongation dropped from 79% to 8%. Accelerated testing of aluminized Polyester P and aluminized Polyester K was discontinued after 6 months due to low reflectance values. The suppliers were notified and a second iteration of aluminized Polyester P is currently being tested.

Figures 3.3-3 and 3.3-4 show the reflector films whose exposure was initiated under this contract. Aluminized Polyester R retained its specular reflectance of 88% after an equivalent of 2 years solar exposure, but decreased from 84% to 44% in ultimate elongation. No real time data is yet available.

Aluminized Polyester S is highly polished on one side, the polished side aluminized, and then coated with acrylic to protect the aluminum against moisture and oxidation. After an equivalent of almost 4 years solar exposure, it lost 5% of its specularity and the ultimate elongation decreased 12% (Figure 3.3-3). The 6 month real time was not available.

IDENTIFIER	REFLECTANCE, % @ .14 ⁰ CONE ANGLE (control value)
	(concron varue)
Silvered Polyester J	94
Aluminized Polyester R	88
Aluminized Polyester K	86
Silvered Polycarbonate M	85
Aluminized Polyester T	83
Aluminized Polyester U	79
Aluminized Polyester P	76
Aluminized Polyester S	75
Aluminized Polyester V	73
Aluminized Polycarbonate N	66
Aluminized Polycarbonate O	58
Aluminized Polyester Q	43
Aluminized Acrylic	27

Table 3.3-1. Reflector Materials Undergoing Exposure Testing at DSET

9

ŝ.



Figure 3.3-1. Reflector Material Data - Real Time (45°Rack)

1

£.


Figure 3.3-2. Reflector Material Data - EMMA (8 Suns)



.

"^

ĩ

Figure 3.3-3. Reflector Material Data · EMMA (8 Suns)



Figure 3.3-4. Reflector Material Data - Real Time (45⁰ Rack)

Aluminized Polyester T, shown in Figures 3.3-3 and 3.3-4, experienced a loss in specular reflectance from 83% to 72% while the elongation decreased from 69% to 60% after 6 months of real time exposure. A second material (Polyester V, not shown in Figures 3.3-3 or 3.3-4) exhibited a substantial drop in elongation from 56% to 5% and its specular reflectance fell from 73% to 60%. The supplier was notified of the exposure results and since provided a third iteration material (Polyester U). The substrate is a second generation, Melinex "OW" which has improved UV resistance. Also, the material was aluminized to a high optical density which will eliminate the pin holes in the aluminum coating. The material had an initial specular reflectance of 79% and exposure testing has been initiated.

Silvered Polycarbonate M is composed of 2.5 mil, UV stabilized polycarbonate + silver + adhesive + 14 mil polyester. Aluminized Polycarbonate N is composed of 2.5 mil, UV stabilized polycarbonate + aluminum + adhesive + 14 mil polyester. The silvered material had an initial specular reflectance of 85% which decreased to 80% after 6 months of real time testing. During this same period of time, the aluminized material's specular reflectance increased a minimal amount from 66% to 69%. As Figure 3.3-3 shows, both elongation values increased. The aluminum and especially the silvered material showed substantial amounts of degradation for some unresolved reasons after being on EMMA for a couple of months. The silvered material had no reflectance and was too brittle to subject to a microtensile test. As Figure 3.3-3 shows, the specular reflectance of the aluminum fell from 66% to 6%, but the elongation increased from 136% to 177%. EMMA exposure of the materials has been discontinued.

Two more reflector films (not shown on graph) were sent to DSET to determine if aluminized acrylic or aluminized polycarbonate had good weatherability characteristics even though both their initial specular reflectance values were low. Aluminized acrylic had a very low initial specular reflectance of 27% and this decreased to 11% after 6 months of real time exposure. The elongation value increased for an unresolved reason from 19% to 52%. Elongation values decreased from 63% to 39% while the specularity showed a minimal increase for the aluminized polycarbonate.

٤

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The fluorocarbons, Kynar and Tedlar, continue to exhibit the best weatherability characteristics. Accelerated and real time exposure testing has shown them to be resistant to UV degradation. After an equivalent of over 15 years solar exposure, Kynar and Tedlar have shown little or no mechanical or optical degradation.

The polyesters, polycarbonates and acrylics to date have not demonstrated adequate UV degradation resistance. While changes made in UV stabilization techniques and weatherable coatings have improved the weatherability characteristics, the materials still fall short of the goal of a 10 year life.

The plastic industry recognizes the need for improving the weatherability of polyesters. For example, ICI has recently developed a second generation polyester that is expected to have substantially improved UV resistance. Several vendors are currently working on improving the longevity of reflective materials by coatings or increasing the density of the aluminum deposited on the substrate.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

The success of fluorocarbons in maintaining optical and mechanical properties during weather exposure is encouraging. These materials merit additional development in both the enclosure and reflector applications.

Further attempts should be made to improve production techniques of biaxially oriented Kynar. The first attempt produced material of marginal specular transmittance (86%). The Kynar had poor surface quality and non-uniformities in thickness which made bonding of gores difficult.

The use of silvered Kynar as a reflective surface has met with little success due to two principal problems. The material that BEC has provided to metalizers has had poor surface quality causing a problem in achieving a high specular reflectance. Accordingly, the Kynar should be roll polished before any future metalizing attempts. Also, there is an inherent problem of adhesion of metal to Kynar. One vendor solved this problem by ion-plating, but the finished product was very non-specular. Further R&D work is needed.

Additional work with silver on various substrates is needed. Materials such as 3M's YS-91 have shown minimal degradation after short term exposure, but have low initial specular reflectance values. Silvering would increase the specularity by a minimum of 4% to 5%.

Modifications to polyesters, polycarbonates, acrylics and other films should be screened and exposure tested as they become available from suppliers, since potential cost advantages are inherent.

Exposure testing should continue on those films currently under test at DSET until it is obvious that degradation rates are excessive or no useful information can be derived.

£.

1

UNLIMITED RELEASE

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

U.S. Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20545 Attn: M. U. Gutstein L. Melamed J. E. Rannels

S. D. Elliott San Francisco Operations Office U.S. Department of Energy 1333 Broadway Oakland, CA 94612

R. N. Schweinberg Solar 10-MW Project Office SAN/STMPO U.S. Department of Energy Suite 210 9550 Flair Drive El Monte, CA 91731

Elliott L. Katz Solar Thermal Projects Energy Systems Group Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 92957 Los Angeles, CA 90009

Philip de Rienzo Aerospace Corporation El Segundo Boulevard El Segundo, CA 90274 Mr. William Sacks Allied Chemical P. O. Box 2332R Morristown, NJ 07960

Mr. Jack M. Lazar Manager Market Development American Hoechst Corporation P. O. Box 1400 Hood Road Greer, SC 29651

M. A. Lind Battelle Pacific Northwest Labs P. O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352

Ernie Lam (M/S 50/16) Bechtel National, Inc. P. O. Box 3965 San Francisco, CA 94119 Roger Gillette Boeing Engineering & Construction P. O. Box 3707 98124 Seattle, WA C. G. Howard Booz, Allen & Hamilton, Inc. 8801 E. Pleasant Valley Road Cleveland, OH 44131 G. Cottingham Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973 Ken Busche Busche Energy Systems 7288 Murdy Circle Huntington Beach, CA 92647 Mr. Harri Brax Cryovac P. O. Box 464 Duncan, SC 29334 Mr. Dennis Broderick Dow Corning Midland, MI 48640 Mr. Bill Bell Dunmore Corporation 2210 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 142 Santa Monica, CA 90403 Mr. Ed Monigan Dunmore Corporation Newton Industrial Commons Penns Trail Newtown, PA 18940 John Bigger Electric Power Research Institute P. O. Box 10412 Palo Alto, CA 94303 Howard Sund Ford Aerospace 3939 Fabian Way, T33 Palo Alto, CA 94303 Paul Tremblay Foster-Miller Associates 135 Second Avenue Waltham, MA 02154

Mr. John Garate General Electric Company 1 River Road Schenectady, NY 12345 Mr. R. N. Griffin General Electric Company 1 River Road Schenectady, NY 12345 Mr. Richard Horton General Elec-ric Company 1 River Road Schenectady, NY 12345 A. A. Koenig General Electric Company P. O. Box 8661 Philadelphia, PA 19101 Mr. Charles Allen Sr. Technical Service Representative Technical Service & Applications Development ICI Americas Inc. Plastic Division ICI Petrochemicals & Plastics Company Wilmington, DE 19897 Herman Bank Jet Propulsion Laboratory Building 502-201 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103 William Carroll Jet Propulsion Laboratory Building 502-201 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103 Edward Cuddihy Jet Propulsion Laboratory Building 502-201 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103 Mr. Richard Poinsett Korad Inc. 290 Ferry Street Newark, NJ 07105 T. R. Heaton Martin Marietta Corporation P. O. Box 179 Denver, CO 80201 Lloyd Oldham Martin Marietta Corporation P. O. Box 179

Denver, CO

80201

Mr. Robert T. LeMoine Martin Processing Inc. P. O. Box 5068 Martinsville, VA 24112 R. L. Gervais McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Co. 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, CA 92647 D. A. Steinmeyer McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Co. 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, CA 92647 L. Weinstein McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Co. 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, CA 92647 Ms. Kathy Maslyar Plastic and Coatings Division Mobay Chemical Corporation Pittsburgh, PA 15205 Mr. Howard Penn Morton Chemical Woodstock Research Center 1275 Lake Avenue Woodstock, AL 60098 Dr. Robert Hahn Optical Coating Laboratory, Inc. 2789 Giffen Avenue 95401 Santa Rosa, CA Mr. George Ruth New Business Ventures 219-1 3M Center 55101 St. Paul, MN J. A. Pietsch Northrup, Inc. 302 Nichols Drive Hutchins, TX 75141 Floyd Blake Blake Laboratory Northrup, Inc. Suite 306 7061 S. University Boulevard Littleton, CO 80122 Mark Bowman Phillips Chemical Co. 13-D2 Phillips Building Bartlesville, OK 74004

÷

í.

t.

Mr. Julius Dohany Research and Development Pennwalt Corporation 900 lst Avenue P.O. Box C King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Gerald Maas Sales Manager Vacuum Metalized Materials Sheldahl Northfiled, MN 55057

John C. Schumacher Schumacher & Associates 2550 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 120 Sacramento, CA 95825

Barry Butler Solar Energy Research Institute 1536 Cole Boulevard Golden, CO 80401

Holly Roberts Solar Energy Research Institute 1536 Cole Boulevard Golden, CO 80401

John Thornton Solar Energy Research Institute 1536 Cole Boulevard Golden, CO 80401

B. Baum Springborn Laboratories Water Street Enfield, CT 06082

Walter Moore Veda, Inc. 400 N. Mobil, Building D Camarillo, CA 90310

C. N. Vittitoe, 4231
G. E. Brandvold, 4710
T. A. Dellin, 4723
J. A. Leonard, 4725
R. G. Kepler, 5810
L. A. Harrah, 5811
J. G. Curro, 5813
J. N. Sweet, 5824, Attn: R. B. Pettit
T. B. Cook, 8000, Attn: A. N. Blackwell, 8200
B. F. Murphey, 8300
D. M. Schuster, 8310, Attn: W. R. Hoover, 8312, for M. D. Skibo
A. J. West, 8314
W. R. Even, 8315

R. L. Rinne, 8320 C. F. Melius, 8326 P. L. Mattern, 8342 L. Gutierrez, 8400, Attn:	R. A. Baroody, 8410 C. S. Selvage, 8420
	D. E. Gregson, 8440 C. M. Tapp, 8460
 H. R. Sheppard, 8424 R. C. Wayne, 8450 T. D. Brumleve, 8451 W. R. Delameter, 8451 P. J. Eicker, 8451 (5) C. L. Mavis, 8451 (5) H. F. Norris, Jr., 8451 C. J. Pignolet, 8451 W. S. Rorke, Jr., 8451 S. White, 8451 A. C. Skinrood, 8452 W. G. Wilson, 8453 	
Publications Division, 8265, for TIC (27)	
Publications Division, 8265/Technical Library Processes and Systems Division, 3141 Technical Library Processes and Systems Division, 3141 (2)	
Library and Security Classification Division, 8266-2 (3)	

3

÷

ŗ