UNCLASSIFIED	
	UNITED STATES ATC
	CARBONATE TREAT PRECIPITATES
	By Gilman Y. Murray John Dasher
	June 21, 1948 Mineral Engineering Massachusetts Institu Watertown, Massachu
THE REY COMPANY	Technical Information E
UNCLASSIFIED	

MITG-A49

Subject Category: CHEMISTRY

# OMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

TMENT OF U3O8



STERNER TO THE T Contract in a state Loop Contract Contractions

Laboratory ute of Technology usetts

xtension, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

1 087

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

Date Declassified: April 11, 1956.

#### - LEGAL NOTICE -

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission to the extent that such employee or contractor prepares, handles or distributes, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Issuance of this document does not constitute authority for declassification of classified material of the same or similar content and title by the same authors.

Printed in USA, Price 25 cents. Available from the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D. C.

MITG-A49

# TOPICAL REPORT A-49

## CARBONATE TREATMENT OF 803 PRECIPITATES

By

Gilman Y. Murray and John Dasher

Work performed under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-85

Mineral Engineering Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology June 21, 1948

Accession For	୍ୟୁଞ୍ଚ
SIIS GRADI	
DTIC TAR	
Unannousced [	्यः
Just Lites Lon	-
an a	
Pre	
Restrictions,	N
ivoliability Codes	]
zo/ors first	~~~~
Slat Spacial	

,

iii

## MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL COOPERATION CAMBRIDGE 39, MASS.

June 21, 1948

Attached is Topical Report A-49 entitled "Carbonate Treatment of 803 Precipitates" by Gilman Y. Murray and John Dasher. This report describes work conducted by Mr. Murray for a course in Advanced Mineral Dressing and in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Metallurgy from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The course was given by Professor A. M. Gaudin, our Director. As in the case of Mr. Sollenberger's report (Topical Report A-38) Mr. Murray completed the first draft before leaving our group last February, but is not available now to sign the final copy.

Precipitation of simple leach solutions, obtained from 396-5 ore, with magnesia gives a precipitate which contains only 2 per cent 803. Even the solutions from cyclic tests give precipitates which contain only 4 per cent Although these precipitates represent a concentration ratio of over 803. 100:1. they were not considered to be a suitable final product for shipment to existing plants of the Atomic Energy Commission. It is known that X forms a soluble complex ion with sodium carbonate. This reaction is rather If treatment of the low-grade precipitate with a reasonable exspecific. cess of sodium carbonate would result in extraction of the 803 contained, we would have a simple, inexpensive method of getting the 803 into suitable The tests in this report show that most of the 803 in form for shipment. the precipitates can be extracted with reasonable quantities of sodium carbonate; however, extraction of over 90 per cent of the 803 was not obtained consistently under any of the conditions tried.

In an attempt to find the reasons for poor extractions, tests were run on precipitates from various synthetic solutions containing 803 alone and with ferrous and ferric iron, aluminum and magnesia. The results of the experiments on precipitates from artificial solutions are also inconsistent, and complete extractions were not consistently obtained.

The results are sufficiently good to be promising, and test work is being continued.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		rage
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	2
III.	EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	3
IV.	ANALYSES OF LEACH LIQUORS, PRECIPITATES, AND PRECIPITANTS	4
٧.	EXPERIMENTAL WORK ON CARBONATE TREATMENT	5
VI.	ACID TREATMENT OF PRECIPITATES	18
VII.	SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	21

v

#### TOPICAL REPORT A-49

# CARBONATE TREATMENT OF 803 PRECIPITATES

By

### Gilman Y. Murray and John Dasher

### I. INTRODUCTION

This is a report on preliminary attempts to refine a low-grade precipitate of 803 by treatment with carbonate solutions.

Extraction of 803 from 396 ores may be accomplished by leaching with cold, dilute, ferric sulfate and sulfuric acid. The 803 in the resulting leach solution can then be precipitated with any one of several alkalies such as sodium hydroxide, ammonia, calcium oxide, or magnesium oxide. A precipitate of most desirable characteristics is obtained by using magnesia as the precipitant, but this precipitate will settle to only 10 per cent solids, filter to 25 per cent solids, and, when oven-dried, still contains 25 to 35 per cent water of hydration. The oven-dried product usually contains from 2 to 3 per cent 803, and greater percentages of iron, aluminum, silicon and magnesium. This cake contains about 150 pounds of water per pound of 803. If dried it would contain 30 pounds of other oxides per pound of 803. This latter product contains precipitated iron, some of which may be needed in the leaching process. If sodium hydroxide or ammonia is used as a precipitant, the precipitate settles to 1 per cent solids, or less, and if lime is used, the precipitate will be almost half gypsum.

It would be desirable to treat the 803 precipitate in such a way that the resulting product would have a high content of 803. The object of this investigation was to study the treatment of low-grade 803 precipitates with sodium carbonate to redissolve the 803 while leaving the major contaminating elements insoluble. Uranium is known to form a soluble complex ion with carbonate -  $UO_2(CO_3)_3$ . As this reaction is rather specific for uranium, the method was considered promising.

The investigation was started by treating 803 precipitates from liquors obtained by leaching the 396-5 and 396-50 ores. When this treatment did not proceed satisfactorily, the work on precipitates from the leach liquors was halted temporarily, and artificial solutions of 803 were used to obtain precipitates for recreatment. These artificial solutions also contained ferrous, ferric, magnesium or aluminum ions along with the 803.

#### II. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Precipitates were obtained by neutralizing leach liquors or artificial solutions containing some of the major constituents of leach liquors with magnesia, ammonia, sodium hydroxide, or sodium carbonate. The resultant precipitates were treated with various water-soluble carbonates under different conditions in an attempt to dissolve the uranium contained. A few tests were run in which the precipitates were oxidized and acidified to pH 3 followed by carbonate treatment of the resulting solution.

This attack has not solved the problem. In a few of the tests on the simpler artificial systems, over 90 per cent of the uranium was dissolved in carbonate solutions, but in most of the tests the uranium extraction was 10 to 80 per cent. Although there are many seeming inconsistencies in the data, some implications were noted which may be helpful in the continued investigation of this problem.

The synthetic 803 and ferric-iron solution, when precipitated with ammonia, yielded a precipitate which, upon agitation for eighteen hours with a small amount of sodium carbonate, gave complete extraction of 803. Precipitates from 803-ferrous-iron solutions did not give good extraction with sodium carbonate except after 4 days' agitation. Oxidation of ferrous iron by aeration did not consistently improve the extraction of 803 from precipitates. None of the few tests run on precipitates from artificial solutions containing magnesium or aluminum gave good extractions. Tests on precipitates from leach liquors gave extractions of less than half the 803 when treated with sodium carbonate for 24 hours.

With the exception of the last few tests, the maximum agitation time for carbonate leaching of precipitates was 24 hours. This was thought to be an adequate time; however, the last few tests indicated that the reaction rate between the carbonate and 803 in the precipitate is extremely slow. If this is so, many of the tests might have given complete 803 extraction with a four- to eight-fold increase in agitation time.

Usually, better extraction of 803 by carbonate was obtained in treating precipitates made with ammonia than in treating those obtained with magnesia.

Heat slightly increased the extraction of 803 from precipitates by means of carbonate.

After oxidation of iron by aeration, the acid treatment of the precipitate at pH 3 for 17.5 hours resulted in 70 to 77 per cent extraction of 803 while rejecting most of the impurities. Subsequent carbonate treatment recovered 60 to 100 per cent of this amount while effecting additional purification.

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

#### Preparation of 803 Solutions

The artificial 803 solutions were made by dissolving uranyl sulfate  $(UO_2 \circ SO_4 \circ 3\frac{1}{2}H_2O)$  in sulfuric acid and diluting to the strength desired. Other ions were also obtained from c.p. sulfates, except for some of the tests in which the ferric iron was obtained from c.p. ferric ammonium sulfate.

The leach liquors used in this investigation were obtained by leaching 396-50 ores with sulfuric acid and Ferrisul. Charges of ore (2.5 kg.) were ground in an Abbé pebble mill at 67 per cent solids to about 80 per cent minus 200 mesh. The ground ore was leached for 24 hours with a pulp density of 50 per cent solids using 20 pounds of sulfuric acid and 10 pounds of Ferrisul per ton of ore. Leaching was done in glass carboys which were agitated on rolls. The leached ore was filtered and washed by repulping three times with distilled water and filtering after each repulping. The pregnant and wash solutions were combined to give a total volume of approximately ten liters.

#### Precipitation Procedure

Precipitation was carried out on 500-milliliter samples of leach liquor, except where otherwise specified. The solution was mechanically agitated with a glass stirring rod in an 800-milliliter beaker. The pH readings were taken continuously by a MacBeth pH meter. When magnesia or lime was used as the precipitant, small portions were weighed out and made into slurries. Successive portions of these slurries were added to the leach liquor until the desired pH was reached. Concentrated solutions of sodium hydroxide or ammonia were added dropwise from a burette. Except where otherwise noted, the total precipitation time was from ten to fifteen minutes.

#### Precipitate Dewatering Procedure

Usually the precipitates were allowed to settle to a thick pulp and the clear liquor was decanted, but some of the precipitates were filtered. The thickened pulp or filter cake was then weighed.

#### Carbonate Treatment Procedure

Sodium carbonate was added to the wet precipitate as a 5.5 per cent solution except in a few preliminary tests where a 6.5 per cent solution was used. The sodium bicarbonate and ammonium carbonate were added as 4.33 and 5 per cent solutions respectively. The pH of the pulp was taken after the carbonate addition. Then the pulp was transferred to closed bottles and agitated on rolls. After the desired agitation period, the pulp was filtered on Büchner filter and the residue washed.

### IV. ANALYSES OF LEACH LIQUORS, PRECIPITATES, AND PRECIPITANTS

A typical leach-liquor analysis from 396-5 ore is as follows: 0.104 gram 803, 0.25 gram ferric iron, 1.23 gram ferrous iron, 0.48 gram silica, and 0.28 gram alumina per liter. This leach liquor was precipitated by neutralizing to pH 7 with Dow sea-water magnesia (I-2-35). The precipitate obtained, after drying at  $105^{\circ}$ C, has the following analysis: 2.2 per cent 803, 35 per cent iron as ferric oxide, 10.5 per cent magnesia, 10.5 per cent alumina, 10.7 per cent silica, and 28.2 per cent ignition loss.

Analysis of an ammonia precipitate of the 396-50 leach liquor was similar to the foregoing precipitate analysis except that there was no magnesia.

The spectrographic analysis of the 396-50 leach liquor was as follows:

Major constituents -- Fe, Ca, Si, Mg, and Al. Minor constituents -- Mn, Cu, Ni, Co, Ti, Cr, Sr, and V.

The analyses of the two Dow sea-water magnesias used were as follows:

<u>1-2-35</u>	<u>L-2-75</u>
×	%
83.3	96 <b>.7</b>
1.08	2.25
4.5	0.26
1.3	0.59
9.2	0.59
	<u>L-2-35</u> % 83.3 1.08 4.5 1.3 9.2

### Precipitates from an Artificial 803 Solution

The leach liquor from the ore is a very complex system. To study the reactions of 803 and carbonate, it is best to start with simple systems and work up to more complex systems.

The simplest system is an artificial solution of uranyl sulfate. Data in Table 1 (Test ARTM2) shows that gradual addition of sodium carbonate to an artificial uranyl sulfate solution raised the pH from 1.75 to 10.0 without the formation of any precipitate.

# Table 1. Carbonate Treatment of Artificial 803 Solution

Test	No.	Solutien Analysis g/l 803	Initial pH	NazCOz Added (grams)	Final pH	Agitation Tim <b>e</b> (minutes)	Remarks
ART	M2	0.10	1.75	3.03	10.0	40	No ppt. formed.

In two other tests using artificial 803 solutions, precipitates were made with ammonia and magnesia and then treated with sodium carbonate. Results are shown in Table 2. Test ART M12 shows the result of precipitating the 803 solution with ammonia and treating the precipitate with sodium carbonate. The precipitate was a cloudy, yellow colloid which settled after 66 hours to a compact wet precipitate. The water was removed by decantation. Sodium carbonate solution was added to the precipitate and the solution agitated for 24 hours, but there still remained a little cloudy material which did not go into solution. These fine particles were filtered off, but not washed. The resulting filtrate contained only 67.8 per cent of the 803 in the original precipitate. This indicates that the rate of reaction of carbonate with the precipitate is slow.

In Test ARTM13 the same procedure was followed, except that the precipitating reagent was magnesia. Less 803 was precipitated from the leach liquor, but the 803 extraction from the precipitate increased to 78 per cent.

#### Precipitates from an Artificial 803 and Ferric-Iron Solution

The leach liquors from the leaching of ores 396-5 and 396-50 contain approximately 1.2 grams ferrous iron per liter and 0.2 gram ferric iron per liter. As the carbonate treatment of precipitates from these liquors did not give complete extraction of 803, the next system studied was an artificial solution of 803 and ferric iron. The artificial solution was made from ferric ammonium sulfate and uranyl sulfate and its iron content was 0.4 gram per liter, or one-third as much iron as is present in leach liquors from ores. In leach liquors from ores, however, the iron is predominantly ferrous. Table 3 shows the data from the first series of tests using an artificial 803 and ferric-iron solution.

Complete extraction of the 803 was obtained from the ammonia precipitate of the 803 and ferric-iron solution with as little as 8 equivalents of sodium carbonate per equivalent of 803. Reduction of the amount of carbonate to 2 equivalents resulted in only 46.5 per cent extraction of 803 from this ammonia precipitate.

When the artificial solution was precipitated with magnesia (Dow L=2=35), the 803 in the resulting precipitate was not readily dissolved by the carbonate. As seen in Table 3, Test ART M8, the extraction of 803 in the sodium carbonate solution was only 43.9 per cent compared to the complete extraction obtained in Test ART M6A, a similar test, in which ammonia was the precipitating reagent. This comparison indicates that magnesia is harmful to the extraction of the 803 precipitate by sodium carbonate.

In Table 4, two tests are shown in which another artificial 803 and ferric-iron solution was precipitated with sodium hydroxide and the precipitate treated with sodium carbonate.

This new artificial solution was made from ferric sulfate, with a three-fold increase in ferric iron concentration to 1.14 grams per liter. Tests ART M31 and ART M32 were run on this solution with sodium hydroxide as the precipitating reagent. Addition of 32 equivalents of sodium carbonate to the thickened precipitates gave 82.9 and 81.9 per cent 803 extraction respectively, as shown in Table 4. The decrease in extraction to 82.9 per cent from complete extraction (Test ART M6A, Table 3) might be attributed either to the use of NaOH in place of NH4OH or to the increased ratio of iron to 803.

Tests ART M28 and ART M29, Table 5, were run using the same artificial 803 and ferric-iron solutions described above. The solution was precipitated with sodium carbonate and agitated for one hour. Presumably the 803 was in solution but other elements were precipitated as hydroxides or carbonates. In Test ART M28 the precipitate was settled one hour and filtered. The filtrate contained only 53.1 per cent of the 803. In Test ART M29, the precipitated pulp was agitated 24 hours before filtering. This filtrate contained 66.6 per cent of the 803. The 803 extractions in these tests were lower than in the tests where sodium carbonate was added after ammonia or sodium hydroxide were used as the precipitating reagents. The low extractions in these tests may have been the result of using a pH of 8.0 during carbonate agitation instead of a pH of 9 or more as in the tests where complete extraction of 803 was attained. Moreover, in the dilute pulps of Tests ART M28 and ART M29 the carbonate concentration was low.

	uon 2		l			1				<b>≜</b> -49-7
	Extract of 803.	67.8 78.0 ed were		107.1	77.8 46.5 43.9 27.6		82.9 81.9		53 <b>.</b> 1 66 <b>.</b> 6	, Pđ
	gitation fime (Hours)	24 24 ces form		81 18 51 18	181 1889 1989	ន្ត	18 16. <i>5</i>		٥ħ	sumed to nt.
-t-Q	DH DH	recipitat		10°2 9°5 10°5	8.75 8.3 11.1 10.75	erric Irc	11.2 2.11	urbonate	0°8°0	l, but as cetreatme
lution	03 Iquitv.	32 32 The p		. 6 æ ÿ	4 02 02 00	<b>)3 and Fe</b>	32	kodium Ce		ttes. ttermineo ot as a r
1 803 So	Na <sub>2</sub> C( g. 1	1.76 1.76 ter 803.	pitates	1.76 0.44 0.33	20°-1 12°-0 12°-0	te of 80	1.76 1.76	n with S	4.30 <u>3</u> / 4.393/	l 15 minu s not de n and nc
tificia	Ppt. Wet Wt. g.	6 6 5. per li 3d.	ila Preci	56.0 59.0 55.0	75.0 75.0 25.0	recipita	158 <b>•0</b> 228•5	Solutio	578 580	en 5 and en it wa ipitatio
ate from A1	% of <u>803</u>	95.4 76.0 nt to 0.1 g ind decante	and Magnes			lydroxfde P		erric-Iron		was betwe is not giv test work. ginal prec
f Precipits Precivita	Agltation Time (Hours)1/	4.3 4.0 e equivaler six hours e	of Ammonia			of Sodium H		L 803 and F	чч	ion time it dipitation r reported for the ord
eatment o	pH	8.7 10.85 yl sulfate ed sixty-:	reatment o	6.85 7.4 7.15 7.0	7.1 9.45 8.0	reatment o	7.6 7.4	Artificia]	8°0	on agitati f 803 prec previously as added f
oonate Tr	Reagent	NH40H Mg0 ined uran sre settl	bonate T	HO HN HO HN HO HN	HO HU OB MgO	bonate T	NaOH NaOH	tion of	Na2CO3 Na2CO3	e given teness of asis of j bonate w
2. Carl	<u> </u>	0 0 s contai tíons we	3. Car	7°0°47	0°4 0.0	4. Car	5 1 <b>.</b> 14 5 1.14	recipita	5 1.14	data ar e comple on the b dium car
Table Soluti	Analysis 03 Fe <sup>t</sup>	.1 0 .1 0 solution The solu	Table	- 102 0 - 102 0 - 102 0	102 0 102 0	Table	.103 0.0	le 5. P	.103 0.0	where no where th er cent ht of so
	Test No. 8	ART M12 0 ART M13 0 *Artificial colloidal.		ART MÉA ART MÉE ART M11 O ART M11 O ART M7E	ART MGC 0 ART MB 0 ART M9 0		ART M31 0 ART M38 0	Tab	ART M28 0 ART M29 0.	L In tests 2 In tests over 95 p 7 This weigh

## Precipitates from an Artificial 803 and Ferrous Iron Solution

The extraction of 803 by carbonate from precipitates obtained from 803 and ferrous iron solutions was also studied.

In addition to its function as a solvent for 803, sodium carbonate was employed as the precipitating reagent for iron and other elements, in the three tests on these solutions. Data are given in Table 6. The test in which the largest amount of sodium carbonate was added after pH 7 had been reached (ART M23) gave the best 803 extraction. Much of the carbonate added to the acid solution was utilized in neutralizing the excess acid present. Although Test ART M24 had a 24 hour agitation period after the pH reached 7.0, the extraction of 803 in the carbonate solution was slightly lower than in Test ART M23, which had only a 45-minute agitation period.

The artificial 803 and ferrous iron solution was precipitated with ammonia or sodium hydroxide. Precipitates were retreated with carbonate as shown in Table 7. The extraction of 803 from an ammonia precipitate of 803 and ferrous iron by sodium carbonate increased from 45.5 per cent to 70.0 per cent as a result of increasing the amount of sodium carbonate. The 500-milliliter sample of artificial solution used in Tests ART MIOA and ART MIOB contained 0.05 gram 803 and 0.65 gram ferrous iron. By stoichiometry, 1.1 grams sodium carbonate is needed per gram 803 and 1.9 grams per gram iron. Therefore, the sodium carbonate necessary to react with the 803 in this solution is 0.055 gram, and with the iron 1.24 grams -a total of 1.295 grams. In Test ART MIOA, 1.4 times and in Test ART MIOB, 2.1 times, the stoichiometric equivalent for both 803 and iron was used.

As complete extraction of the 803 was not achieved in either test, it may be concluded that precipitation of ferrous carbonate is not wholly responsible for poor extraction. It is conceivable that a ferrous uranate might be formed, and that it might be very slowly soluble in sodium carbonate.

In Tests ART M30 and ART M37, in which sodium hydroxide was employed as the precipitant the 803 extraction from the precipitate was 84.5 per cent. This extraction is nearly twice as high as that in Test ART MLOA. These tests indicate that the 803 precipitated with sodium hydroxide reacts more readily with the carbonate than the 803 in a similar precipitate obtained with ammonia.

<u>olutions</u>		k 803 in Solution	30.9 48.6 43.1					Fxtraction	of 803 %	45.5	0.07 84.5 84.5	•
errous Iron S	treatment itation	ime Hours)	1.75 0.7 24.0	les 7.0 until lculated due	Precîpîtates		Retreatment	Agitation Time	(Hours)	75	18 17 17	
303 and F	oonate Re Ag	рн Н	7.2 8.05 7.9	ulp reach ts not ca	ydroxide	<u>oluti ons</u>	Jarbonate		Hq	10.85	11.65 11.65	
ificial 8	Carl onal	nate Equiv.	* * *	pH of p quîvalen	Sodium H	IS Iron S	0	c03	Equiv.	33	4 W W V 70 W	
e of Art	Addît	Carbo g.	0 0.44 9 1.43 7 0.96	rom tim∈ ened。 E	nia and	ld Ferrou		Naz	ພ	1.80 7	1.76 1.76	
Carbonat	itation	g. pH	2,33 7, 1,18 6, 1,65 6,	sidered f 10t thick	t of Ammo	al 803 ar	uo	Ppt. Wet Wt.	మీ	26.5 26.5	10 <b>9.0</b>	
h Sodium	Precip	Reagent	l <b>a2C</b> 03 ໄa2C03 ໄa2C03	M23 con ate was 1	Treatmen	Artifici	ecipitati		nt pH	0°2	н 7.9	
<u>tion wit</u> l		/1 Fe+++ ]	0.07 N 0.07 N	· for ART precipit	rbonate	from	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}$		++ Reage		7 Na01	
recipita	<u>Solutio</u>	lysis g Fe++	ччч •05 05	ion time d. The n.	e 7. Ca		ution	sis g/l	9 <del>11</del> Fet	0 C		
le 6. P		803	060°0	e agitat m stoppe alizatio	Tabl		Sol	Analy	803 F	0, 10 10 10	0.090 1.	
Tab		Test No.	ART M3 ART M23 ART M24	*Carbonat agitatic to neutr					Test No.	ART MTOA	ART M30 ART M37	

Heat was employed in a series of tests, as seen in Table 8, to speed up the reaction of the sodium carbonate with the 803 in the precipitate. At room temperature, the extraction of 803 in Test ART MIOA was 45.5 per cent; at 67°C, 64.2 per cent; and at 90°C, 77.3 per cent. In the last test the carbonate solution evaporated to dryness during the night. The residue was repulped in distilled water and agitated for two hours at 90°C. These tests indicate that heat helps to speed up the reaction of 803 with carbonate. The poor extraction in Test ART M40 may have been due to the short agitation period with sodium carbonate.

As it is possible that the reaction rate is slow, two tests were run on precipitates from artificial solutions in which the precipitates were given a long sodium carbonate agitation period. These are compared with a shorter test in Table 9.

Tests with long leaching periods gave extractions of 803 as high as 96.3 per cent. The extraction more than doubled with an increase of carbonate agitation time from one day to four days. This indicates a slow reaction rate. Further testing is certainly indicated.

# Air-Oxidized Precipitates from an Artificial 803 and Ferrous Iron Solution

Since the carbonate extraction of 803 from ferric iron and 803 precipitates was higher than from ferrous iron and 803 precipitates under similar conditions, an investigation was made in which the 803-ferrous iron precipitate was air-oxidized before the carbonate treatment.

Test ART M25 was run to determine the completeness of the air oxidation of the ferrous iron to ferric. Aeration for 20 hours with the pH between 6.8 and 7.9 resulted in complete oxidation of the ferrous iron.

Table 10 shows the results of Tests ART M17, ART M16, and ART M14, . which were run using magnesia, sodium hydroxide and ammonia, respectively, as precipitants. After air oxidation, the thickened precipitates were treated with sodium carbonate. The poorest 803 extraction was obtained in the test which employed magnesia as the precipitating reagent. In the other two tests the sodium hydroxide precipitate of 803 yielded a slightly higher 803 extraction than the ammonia precipitate. None of the extractions was good.

As 803 is more soluble in bicarbonate than in carbonate solution, two tests were run to see if bicarbonate improved 803 extraction. Results are shown in Table 11. An extraction of 51.3 per cent of the 803 was obtained from an oxidized precipitate treated with sodium carbonate, but the oxidized precipitate treated with sodium bicarbonate gave an extraction of 70.4 per cent. These tests indicate that sodium bicarbonate may be the better reagent for dissolving the 803 from the precipitate.

£-i	able 8.	Effect	of Heat	on Sodiu	n Carb	onate Tre	atment of 8	303 - Fe	rrous I	ron Prec	ipitates		
	Sol	ution		Pré	scipits	ation				Carbonate	e Retreat	tment	
Test No.	Ana 803	<u>lysis g</u> Fett	/1 Fe +++	Reagent	Ħq	Ppt. Wet Wt. g.	Aeration Time (Hours)	Ra.2 B	cO3 Equiv.	ပ္ပ	, Hq	Agitation Time (Hours)	Extraction of 803, <b>E</b>
ART MICOA ART M34 ART M36 ART M40	9666 0000	1.05 1.05 1.05	0 0.07 0.07 0.07	HO <sup>4</sup> HN HO <sup>4</sup> HN HO <sup>4</sup> HN HO <sup>4</sup> HN	6.9 7.05 7.1	26.5 89.0 41.0 46.5		1,80 1,76 1,76 3,52	35 35	Room Temp 67 90 100*	0.10.85 10.85 10.8	7 8 8 ×	45.5 64.2 77.3 2.5
NOTE: AF *ART M40	t <b>r M</b> 36 e heated :	vaporaté In boili	ed to dr ing water	yness afte r bath.	er sixt	seen hour.	3, 120 ml.	distill	ed wate:	r added,	agitatec	l two hour	ŕ
Table 9.	Effect	of Lone	r Agitati	ion Period	on So	dium Carl	oonate Trea	tment of	f 803 -	Ferrous	Iron Pre	sci pi tates	
ART M10A ART M42A ART M42B	060°0 060°0	н. 05 05	0.07 0.07 0.07	HO <sup>7</sup> HN HO <sup>2</sup> HN NH2	7.0	26.5 47.5 56.9		н. 80 1. 76 1. 76	35 35 35		10.85 10.7 10.7	772	45°5 96°3 80°3
Table 10.	Carboi	ate Tre	atment c	l Air-Oxi	dîzed	Precipits	ates from a	n Artif	icial &(	13 and Fc	T.BIIOTA	Col.:+1.	
				Using Di	fferen	t Precipi	tating Rea	gents			TT	TO TO TO TTO	3
ART M17 ART M16 ART M14	0.10 0.10 0.10	а а а н н н	000	MgO NaOH NH/OH	6.65 7.3 7.5	19.0 107.0 63.0	17* 22 22	1.76 1.76	32.25			2 22 25	12.5 57.3 51.3
*pH after	aeratic	on 10.0.	-	t				) 	ł		2	2	(•+/
T B B	ble ll.	Carbon	ate Trea	tment of	Air-Ox	idized Pr	ecipitates	from A1	tificia	al 803 an	d Ferrou	ŭ	
		비	on Solut	tion Using	Sodiu	m Carbons	te and Sod	ium Bica	rbonate	0		1	
ART M14 ART M15	0.10 0.10	х х 	00	H0 <sup>4</sup> HN	7.0	63.0 58.0	22 22	1.761 1.381/	88 88 19		10.2 7.95	22 22	51.3 70.4
<u>l</u> / Sodium	Bicarbc	nate.											

1

The tests shown in Table 12 were run with and without the air oxidation step. The aeration step caused a reduction in 803 extraction from 84.5 per cent to 57.3 per cent. The indication is that the aeration step is harmful to the extraction of 803 by carbonate. Perhaps the iron should be oxidized before neutralization. This could be done with sulfur dioxide and air.

Table 13 shows the results of aerating, not aerating, and drying an ammonia precipitate of 803 and ferrous iron, before treating with sodium carbonate. In these tests, the extraction of 803 by carbonate was highest on precipitates which had been oxidized with air. This is the opposite to what took place with a sodium hydroxide precipitate of 803 and ferrous iron. It was thought that drying the precipitate before treating it with carbonate might cause a change in solubility. However, as seen in Table 13, the extraction in Test ART M35 was only 50.7 per cent, so it is indicated that the drying step had little effect on the extraction of the 803.

# Precipitates from Artificial Solutions Containing Magnesium and Aluminum

A few tests were run on artificial solutions to which either magnesium or aluminum had been added. Table 14 shows the results of these tests. Tests ART M18 and ART M19 were duplicate tests in which an artificial solution of 803 and magnesium was precipitated with ammonia. The 803 extractions in the sodium carbonate filtrates of these tests were 66.8 and 60.3 per cent. These are about the same extractions as were obtained in the tests of Table 2 on pure 803 solutions.

In Test ART M32 the artificial solution contained approximately 0.1 gram per liter 803, 1.2 gram ferric iron per liter and 0.4 gram aluminum per liter and was precipitated with ammonia. Treating the precipitate from this solution, which contained 0.4 gram aluminum per liter, with 32 equivalents of sodium carbonate resulted in 41.5 per cent extraction of 803. By increasing the aluminum to 4.0 grams per liter in the artificial solution, Test ART M33, the extraction in the resulting sodium carbonate filtrate was decreased to 3.2 per cent. It may therefore be concluded that the aluminum has a harmful effect on the carbonate extraction.

#### Precipitates from Leach Liquors

For Test 5M222, 1800 milliliters of leach liquor was precipitated with magnesia and filtered. Aliquots of the cake were weighed out. The effect of varying the equivalents of carbonate used is shown in Table 15. In this test an increase from 1.2 to 37.8 equivalents of carbonate increased 803 extraction from nil to 54.5 per cent. This test also showed a three-fold increase in extraction when the carbonate agitation period was increased from one hour to sixteen hours. In Test 5M226 (Table 15) the precipitation was carried out on six 500-milliliter samples of leach liquor individually. Here again, an increase in carbonate content increased the 803 extraction, but 64 equivalents gave only 37.5 per cent extraction. Table 12. Carbonate Treatment of Oxidized and Non-Oxidized Sodium Hydroxide Precipitates from

Artificial 803 and Ferrous Iron Solution

Precipitation Carbonate Retreatment	AerationPpt.AgitationTimeWet Wt.Na2CO3TimeExtractionentpH(Hours)g.g.Equiv.pH(Hours)of 803, \$	OH 7.0 22 107.0 1.76 32 11.3 22 57.3   OH 7.3 0 63.5 1.76 35 11.45 18 84.5   OH 7.0 0 109.0 1.76 35 11.45 18 84.5	of Dried, Aerated, and Non-Aerated 803 and Ferrous Iron Precipitates	OH     7.5     O     Drfed     1.76     35      18     50.7       OH     7.5     22     63.0     1.76     32     11.3     22     57.3       OH     7.0     0     26.5     1.80     36     10.85     24     45.5
Carc	Na2CO3 • Equîv	76 32 76 35 76 35	803 and	76 35 76 32 80 36
	an I Barte Barte	0 2 2 0 1 1 1 1	Aerated	
	n Ppt Wet ) g.	107. 63. 109.	-nol bue	D11 69°
itation	Aeratio Time (Hours)	0055	Aerated. ¿	٥٢٥
Precipi	Hq	7.0	Dr1ed. /	7.5 7.5
	Reagent	NaOH NaOH NaOH	ment of 1	HO <sup>7</sup> HN HO <sup>7</sup> HN
	<u> </u>	0 0•07 0•07	Treat	0°07 0
<u>olutior</u>	nalysis Fe <sup>++</sup>	1.2 1.05 1.05	rbonate	н. 905 1. 905
ŭ	803	0 <b>.</b> 100 0.090 0.090	13 <b>.</b> Car	0°0 0°1 0°1
	Test No.	ART M16 ART M30 ART M37	Table	*ART M35 ART M14 ART M10A

	Tal	ble 14.	Carb	onate	Treat	tment of	Precip	itates fi	rom Artif	icial S	olutions			
		Solut	ion		Jonta	ining Ma	Preci	n and Alum pitation	muni		Carbo	nate R	<u>e treatmen</u>	CL.
		'nalvsi	s e/1						Ppt. Wet W	t. Na	2 <b>0</b> 03		Agi tation Time	Extraction
Test No.	803	Mg A	L Fe	+++ Fe	н  ‡	leagent	Hd	% Recover	ŝ	ໝໍ	Equiv.	Ħd	(Hours)	of 803, <b>%</b>
ART M18	6 <del>6</del> 0°0	1.3				H0,4HN	7.9	95.3	0°8	1.76	32	1	22.5	66 <b>.</b> 8
ART M19	0•099	н С•Н	1		1	HO7HN	7.4	98 <b>.</b> 1	10.0	1.76	32		22.5	60 <b>.</b> 3
ART 1132	0,103		- - - -	• • •	S S S	H07HN	2.15	99•2	7 200 0 27T	9/.•T	22.00	0°0 ~ 4	47 47	4-1-4 0 0 0
CC II INA	CUL.U	+		5	5	unțun		77.4		2.4	30	0.4.0	0Ţ	2•K
		Tab1	e 15.	Sodiı	m Cai	rbonate	Treatme	nt of Mag	mes <b>ia</b> Pr	eci pita	tes			
					1.	from Lea	ch Ligu	lo <b>r</b>						
	ഗ്	Jution		14	recit	oi tati on			Carbon	ate Ret	reatment			
			5				Ppt.	NacC	503		Agitati	u u u	no t toonto	
Test No.	30 <b>3</b>	Fet ++	Fe +++	Mg(	~	Ho		2	Equiv.	Щd	(Hours	<b>(</b> )	f 803, %	
SN222-A	0.109	0.37	1.0 <b>1</b>	L-2-1	35	7.0	12.5	0.065	1.2	1				
5M222-B	0,109	0.37	1,01	L-2-	35	7.0	12.5	0.52	9•5	1	Ч		2.4	
5M222-0	0.109	0.37	1.01	L-2-	35	7.0	12.5	0.52	9 <b>•</b> 5	1	16		7.5	
51:222-D	0 <b>.</b> 109	0.37	1.01	L-2-1	<del>ب</del>	Z•0	7.5*	1.14	37.8	1	16		54.5	
512226-1	0.104	0 <b>.25</b>	<b>1.</b> 23	1-2-1	75 6	ۍ ه	47.0	0.1	പ	8.75			0•4	
5M226-2	0.10%	0 <b>.</b> 25	1 <b>.</b> 23	L-2-1	75 (	٥ <b>.</b>	55.0	0•2	7	0.0	18			
51226-3	0.104	0.25	1.23	I-2-1	75	5 •	49.0	7•0	<del>ک</del>	<b>7</b> •6	81		6°0	
5N226-4	0.10%	<b>.</b> 22	1.23		75	6°.	44•0	0	16	8°0	8T			
5Ni226-5	0.104	0.25	1 <b>.</b> 23	I-2-I	75	<b>6</b> .0	57.0	1 <b>.</b> 6	32	10.1	13		16.3	
5M226-6	0.104	0.25	1.23	ц <b>-</b> Х-	75	<b>6</b> •0	48.0	3 <b>•</b> 2	64	10.4	18		37.5	
*Aliquot	from 30	0 m <b>1.</b> 1	leach 1	iquor.	•									
NOTE : Th	uirtv-min	aute pr	ecipit	ation	perio	od in 5M	1222 pre	cipitate	filter c	ake use	d, carbor	late ag	ritation	A-
1. T	open b	ekaers;	1 1 5 M	226 tl	nicke.	ned prec	ipitate	e carbone	ate agita	tion in	closed h	ottles		-47

In Table 16, the effect of various precipitating reagents on 803 extraction from the precipitates of leach liquor is shown. The ammonia precipitate had the highest 803 extraction by sodium carbonate. Both the magnesia and lime precipitates had an appreciably lower extraction of 803 by carbonate. However, the magnesia was slightly better than the lime. None of the tests showed a good extraction of 803.

As extraction of 803 in the carbonate solution had increased with increase in sodium carbonate, a precipitate obtained with ammonia was treated with a large excess of sodium carbonate. The results are shown in Table 17. Even with 214 equivalents of sodium carbonate, the extraction of 803 in carbonate solution was under 50 per cent. Approximately 5.3 times the stoichiometric equivalent of sodium carbonate for the 803 plus ferrous iron present did not dissolve half of the 803 in 18 hours.

An attempt was made to oxidize all of the iron in the leach liquor to ferric iron by the addition of an excess of hydrogen peroxide before precipitation. This technique may have had other effects on the solution besides oxidizing the ferrous iron to ferric iron, for hydrogen peroxide forms an 803 complex similar to the carbonate complex. The precipitate appeared to be oxidized but no analysis for ferrous iron was made. Results of these tests are shown in Table 18. Even with the assistance of the peroxide complex the extraction of 803 from the precipitate did not improve. Test 5M235 showed a decrease in 803 extraction with increased agitation time.

In Tests 5M234A and 5M234B (Table 19), aeration after precipitation was employed to oxidize the ferrous iron to ferric iron. The four-hour aeration period may have been too short.

	1			1				e	e	- F	
	S	lution		P1	ectpication	1			arbonate	retreatment	C.
	Ana	lysis	g/1			Ppt. Weh Wt.	Na2(	603		Agi tation Time	Extraction
Test No.	803	Fte++	Fe+++	Reagent	ħq	g.	් ස	Equiv.	ЪĤ	(Hours)	of 803, %
5M226-6 5M228 5M231	0.104 0.104 0.104	н. 23 1. 23 1. 23	0.25 0.25 0.25	Mg0 CaO NH4,0H	6.6 6.4 - 5.0*	43.0 86.0 191.0	3.25 3.25 3.25	65 65 65	10.4 10.05 10.25	18 24 16	37.5 32.0 54.0
*In Test	<b>511228</b> a	t one	hour 6	•4, at se	venteen hou	ırs 5.0.					
Table 17.	Effec	t of ]	arge E	xcess of	Sodium Cart	onate on	1 Extra	ction of	803 fro	m Leach Ligt	ior Precipitate
6TM05	<b>0°07</b> 04	1•3	0 <b>°</b> 3	H0 <sup>7</sup> HN	7.15	123 <b>.</b> 0	8 <b>.</b> 25	514	10.7	13	43.2
Tab.	e 18.	Carbol	nate Tr	eatment c	of Precipits	ites from	ı Leach	Låquor	Oxidized	wîth Hydrof	gen Feroxide
<b>511</b> 232 511233 511235	0.104 0.104 0.104	1-23 1-23	0 <b>•25</b> 0 <b>•</b> 25 0 <b>•</b> 25	HO <sub>4</sub> HN HO <sub>4</sub> HN HO <sub>4</sub> HN	7.0 7.35	169.0 251.0 152.0	1.76 0.44 1.76	32 8 32	6 8 6 4 7 0	4 17 17	46 <b>.7</b> 10 <b>.</b> 8 35.6
NOTE : 1(	0 mJ. 30	per (	cent hy	drogen pe	eroxide add	ed before	preci	pitation	•		
	Б	ble 1	). Car	bonate Tr	eatment of	<b>A1r-0x1</b> o	lized L	each Lig	uor Prec	ipitate with	đ
				Sodiu	n Carbonate	and Ammc	onium C	arbonate			
5M234A	0.104	<b>1.</b> 23	0.25	HH40H	7.0	159.0	0 <b>.</b> 055 7 ke*	1 - 31	8 <b>.</b> 6	2.5	22.0
5M234B	0°104	1.23	0.25	HI140H	7 <b>°</b> 0	160.0	0.055 1.555	<b>1 -</b> 31	8.6	4	22.0
*(NH4)20	da 4 hou	rs <b>t</b> al	și tatio	n time ir	ı precipitat	tion used	l for a	eration.			

Table 16. Effect of Various Precipitating Reagents on 803 Carbonate Recovery 100 Ŕ )-01 کے م +

A-49-17

A series of twelve tests (50M3-50M14) was run to determine the effect of the various carbonate compounds. Six liters of leach liquor was precipitated with ammonia to pH 7, and aerated 182 hours while holding the pH at 7. The thickened pulp volume, after 4 hours' settling, was 1200 milliliters. Twelve 100-milliliter samples were pipetted to obtain the precipitate feed for the tests. Four series of three tests each were run, using a different carbonate solvent in each series: sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, ammonium carbonate and a combination of sodium carbonate and ammonium carbonate. The concentrations of the carbonates were varied from 3 to 53 equivalents per one equivalent of the 803 present in the precipitate. Another series of 3 tests was run on precipitates obtained with sodium hydroxide. Results are given in Table 20.

Table 20. Carbonate Treatment of Air-Oxidized Precipitate from

Leach Liquor with Different Soluble Carbonates

Test Numbers	Type Carbonate	<u>E</u> <u>3</u> % 803 1	uivalents o <u>13</u> Extraction f	of Carbonat <u>53</u> from Precip	<u>e</u> itate
50MI.6A, -B, -C	Na2CO3*	0.0	0.5	13.0	
50M3, -4, -5	Na2CO3	0.5	11.9	50.0	
50M6, -7, -8	NaHCO2	0.4	10.9	10.9	
50M9, 10, -11	$(NH_{1})_{2}CO_{3}$	5.0	8.3	21.8	
50M12, -13, -14	$(NH_{1})_{2}CO_{3}^{**}$	0.5	5.0	17.9	
*Precipitated wi **1.5 equivalents the (NH4)2CO3.	th sodium hydroxi of sodium carbon	de. ate in	these three	e tests in	addition to
Leach liquor ana	lysis: 0.063 g/l iron.	. 803, ]	.3 g/l fern	cous iron,	0.17 g/l ferr
Six liters leach hours, thickened with carbonate for	liquor precipita , and 12 aliquot or 16 to 17 hours	ted wit 100-ml	;h ammonia t , samples, 5	to pH 7.2, 50M3 to 50M	aerated 18.5 14, treated

The sodium carbonate gave the best 803 extraction. The sodium bicarbonate gave comparable results for the first two tests with low carbonate addition, but with the last increase in the concentration of sodium bicarbonate there was no improvement in 803 extraction. The ammonium carbonate and sodium carbonate plus ammonium carbonate gave lower 803 extractions than the sodium carbonate alone.

The aerated sodium hydroxide precipitates (Tests 50M16A, -B, -C) gave lower extractions of 803 with sodium carbonate than the ammonia precipitates.

This condition is not consistent with that found using artificial 803 and ferrous iron solution (Section V, Table 7), but is in accord with the results on artificial 803 and ferric iron solutions (Chapter III, Tables 3 and 4).

#### VI. ACID TREATMENT OF PRECIPITATES

In Topical Report A-25, Modified Leaching Process II was proposed for eliminating the iron from the leach liquor by precipitating at pH 5.5 or higher, aerating until all of the iron was oxidized to the ferric condition, and acidifying the pulp to pH 3.3 with sulfuric acid. The precipitated 803 would redissolve, while the iron would remain undissolved.

Two tests using this principle were run on an artificial 803 and ferrous iron solution. Results are shown in Table 21.

# Table 21. Acid Treatment on Oxidized 803, Ferrous Iron Precipitates

I Test No.	Precipitate Reagent	рH	Aeration Time (Hours)	Acidified to pH	Time in Acid (Hou <b>rs)</b>	% Extraction in Acid Filtrate
ART M26 ART M27	NH₄ОН NH₄ОН	7.6 7.8	23 23	3.15 2.9	0.16 23.0	6•4 75•0
Leach liquo	or analysis:	0.090	g/1 803,	1.05 g/l :	ferrous iro	on.

NOTE: Test ART M27, nil iron in acid filtrate. Precipitate settled in acid twenty-one hours, agitated two hours, pH crept up from 3.0 to 4.1. Acid added again, pH held at 2.9 for two hours' agitation.

Agitation time was two hours after the precipitate had settled in acid solution twenty-one hours. There was no iron in either of the acid filtrates.

The next test was run using an artificial solution of ferric iron and 803. The ammonia precipitate of 803 and ferric iron was filtered, the filter cake pulped in distilled water, treated with sulfuric acid and agitated seventeen and one-half hours at pH 2.9. The 803 extraction in the acid filtrate of this test, ART M39 was 86.2 per cent, and the iron content was nil.

Four tests were then run using 396-50 leach liquor, precipitated to pH 7 with ammonia, aerated, and filtered. The precipitates were repulped with distilled water, agitated with sulfuric acid seventeen and one-half hours at pH 2.5 to 3, and filtered. A sample of each acid filtrate was analyzed for 803. The first acid filtrate was also analyzed for iron, aluminum, silicon, and magnesium. The remainder of the acid filtrates were reprecipitated to pH 7 and treated with sodium carbonate. Results are shown in Table 22.

Total 803 Recovery \$	41.4 50.7 75.3	ered, 1th complete 37 g. 1trate
\$ 803 Extraction from Acid Filtrate	 59.6 65.2 102.2	) hours, filt acidified w Sample A - alumina, O ml. acid fi
Na2003 Agitation Time (Hours)	17.55 17.55 17.55	aerated 20 filted water, filtered. on, 0.37 g. and D - 250 e.
ЪЩ	10.05 10.45 10.3	o pm 7, 。 distirs rs, and race ir B, C, arbonat
2003 Idad Re- Fulp Equiv.	206.80 206.80	nonia t 150 ml 7.5 hou 803, t Samples Samples
Potto	3.52 3.52 3.52	ith am ped in ated 1' 183 g. ter. S
рН	7.15	ated w ke pul agit s: 0. per 11
Precîpîtatîng Reagent	 NH4,0H NH2,0H Na2603	umples precipit tate filter ca c acid to pH 3 .ltrate analysi nil magnesia .pitated and tr
% 803 in Acid Residue*	0.364 0.398 0.359 0.336	<pre>% Four ss precipi sulfuri acid fj silica, repreci</pre>
% 803 Recovery in Acid Filtrate	74•0 77•7 73•7	or analysis
Test No.	50M20A 50M20B 50M20C 50M20D	Leach liqu

Table 22. Carbonate Treatment of Acid Filtrate from 803 Precipitates

Hgnited basis.

A**-**49-20

The extraction of 803 in the acid filtrate was between 69.4 and 77.7 per cent. Most of the silica and alumina from the leach liquor are contained in the acid filtrate. Precipitation of this filtrate with ammonia gave milky-white, viscous precipitate. Treatment of this precipitate with 80 equivalents of sodium carbonate gave 59.6 per cent extraction of 803 in the carbonate filtrate, while treatment with 206 equivalents increased the 803 extraction to 65.2 per cent. However, when the acid filtrate was neutralized by precipitation with sodium carbonate and the precipitated pulp treated with an additional 70 equivalents of sodium carbonate, the assay showed that all of the 803 in the acid filtrate was recovered in the sodium carbonate filtrate.