INVERSION FOR MASS SPECTROMETER MULTICOMPONENT SAMPLING

Christopher Sherman

22 March 1995



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

19950809 020



PHILLIPS LABORATORY Directorate of Geophysics AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MA 01731-3010

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

"This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication"

And Signatúre

DAVID ANDERSON Branch Chief

Signature

WILLIAM K. VICKERY Division Director

This report has been reviewed by the ESC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC). All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify PL/TSI, 29 Randolph Road, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligation or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

Date apply the solution is advanced to apply and apply apply and apply apply apply apply apply and apply appl	REPORT	DOCUMENTATION	N PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
caleboorderstart and approximation to make approximation based based of the function approximation based base	Public reporting for this collection of information is estim gethering and maintaining the data needed, and compl	ated to average 1 hour per response, including the time sting and reviewing the collection of information. Send	tor reviewing instructions, searchi comments regarding this burden e	ng existing data ac stimate or any oth	urces, er aspect of this
LABENCY USE ONLY & SAN UNK 2 REPORT DATE 3 REPORT THE WORKS OWNED 2.2 March 1995 Scientific Scientific Inversion for Mass Spectrometer Multicomponent Sampling PR 4643 TA GL PR 4643 TA GL Christopher Sherman PLTR-95-2041 ERR, NO. 1167 PLTR-95-2041 ERR, NO. 1167 Phillips Laboratory/CPIM 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 PLTR-95-2041 ERR, NO. 1167 SPECIENTION WARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER SPECIENTIAL REPORT NUMBER Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE SECTION NUMBERS Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE SECTION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE SECTION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE SECTION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE SECTION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUMBER ADDITION WARE SECTION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUME(S) ADDRESS(ES) ADDITION WARE SECTION NUME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is SPECIENT NUME(S) ADDRESS(ES) <td>collection of information, including suggestions for reduc Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4303</td> <td>ring this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services 2, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Pap</td> <td>birectorate for Information Operation Operation Operation Project (0704-0)</td> <td>itions and Reports (188), Washingtor</td> <td>, 1215 Jeffenson 1, DC 20503.</td>	collection of information, including suggestions for reduc Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4303	ring this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services 2, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Pap	birectorate for Information Operation Operation Operation Project (0704-0)	itions and Reports (188), Washingtor	, 1215 Jeffenson 1, DC 20503.
CHILE AND SUBMILE FUNCTION RANGE NUMBERS Inversion for Mass Spectrometer Multicomponent Sampling PR 4643 TA GL Christopher Sherman WU 01 Christopher Sherman a Performance on construction Phillips Laboratory/OPIM 29 Randolph Road a Performance on construction Phillips Laboratory/OPIM 29 Randolph Road Phillips Laboratory/OPIM 29 Randolph Road PL-TR-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 SPONSONROMONTONIC ACCENT NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is. Sponsonrow on construction accent report number SPONSONROMONTONIC ACCENT NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is. Sponsonrow on construction accent report number SPONSONROMONTONIC ACCENT NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) Is. Sponsonrow on construction on component. Number Is. Suppresention Note: A CENT NEW CONSTRUCTION NUMBER Is. Distribution NUMBER Is. Suppresention Note: A CENT NEW CONSTRUCTION NUMBER Is. Distribution NUMBER Is. Suppresention Note: Construct Number Is. Distribution NUMBER Is. Suppresent in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses of the original components. In addition to spectral intensity ratios, the following is assumed to be known: the fragments not present in the original component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method of dependent of th	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 22 March 1995	3. REPORT TYPE AND Scientific	DATES COVE	RED
Inversion for Mass Spectrometer Multicomponent Sampling PR 4643 TA GL WU 01 Christopher Sherman PPDPOTWING CROWERTION INAMESI AND ADDRESS(S) PLT ROTATION RECORD CROWERTION INAMESI AND ADDRESS(S) PLT ROTATION RECORD INAMESI Phillips Laboratory/GPIM 29 Randolph Road PLT R-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS(S) IN SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS IN PLT R-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS(S) IN SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS IN PLT R-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS(S) IN SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS IN PLT R-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 IN SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS IN INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS IN PLT R-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 IN SPONSOMROMORTIONED ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS IN PLT R-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION INFORMATION INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE ADDRESS INFORMATION CODE INFORMATION CODE	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDI	NG NUMBERS
ALTINGE(S) INCOM Christopher Sherman PEPFORMANG ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PL-TR-95-2041 ERP. No. 1167 PL-TR-95-2041 ERP. No. 1167 SPONSORREGAMONTORREG AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PL-TR-95-2041 ERP. No. 1167 SPONSORREGAMONTORREG AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) IN SPPLEMENTARY NOTES SPONSORREGAMONTORREG AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) IN SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES A DETINEUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINEUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT ADDITION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINEUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINEUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINEUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINEUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINEUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A DETINE AND ADDRESS(ES) A DETINE	Inversion for Mass Spect	rometer Multicomponent	Sampling	PR TA WI	4643 GL 01
Christopher Sherman * PEPPONNING ORANIZATION NAME(8) AND ADDRESS(5) * PEPPONNING ORANIZATION Phillips Laboratory/GPIM 29 Randolph Road PL-TR-95-2041 29 Randolph Road PL-TR-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167	6. AUTHOR(S)				01
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(S) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	Christopher Sherman				
Phillips Laboratory/GPIM 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010 PL-TR-95-2041 ERP, No. 1167 :sponsonmicamonic addition and the second	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND	DADDRESS(ES)		8. PERI REPO	FORMING ORGANIZATION DRT NUMBER
SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 15. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 126. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: distribution unlimited 126. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A mass spectrometer samples a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus introducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses the resultant measured spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original component; the branching is assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method dependent of the variable, and often time dependent, characteristics of individual instruments. ISUBJECT TERMS 16. ISUBJECT TERMS 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THE SPAGE OF RESPECT 16. INCLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED	Phillips Laboratory/GPI 29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 0173	M 1-3010		PL-' ERI	TR-95-2041 P, No. 1167
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 2a. DBTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release: distribution unlimited 1 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A mass spectrometer samples a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus introducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses the resultant measured spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original components. In addition to spectral intensity ratios, the following is assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component in the branching ratios of these fragments; and the ratios of ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization ratios, it is in principle (although in practice, not completely) independent of the variable, and often time dependent, characteristics of individual instruments. ISUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES ISUBJECT TERMS 16 Mass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 16 ISUBJECT TERMS 16 Mass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THE FAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPO AGE	NSORING/MONITORING NCY REPORT NUMBER
2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Approved for Public Release: distribution unlimited 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 3 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A A mass spectrometer samples a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus introducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses the resultant measured spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original components. In addition to spectral intensity ratios, the following is assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization ratios, it is in principle (although in practice, not completely) independent of the variable, and often time dependent, characteristics of individual instruments. L SUBJECT TERMS 16 Mass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 16 N. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFICED	11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
Approved for Public Release: distribution unlimited ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A mass spectrometer samples a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus introducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses the resultant measured spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original components. In addition to spectral intensity ratios, the following is assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component; the branching ratios of these fragments; and the ratios of ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization <i>ratios</i> , it is in principle (although in practice, not completely) independent of the variable, and often time dependent, characteristics of individual instruments. I SUBJECT TERMS I S	I2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMEN	T		12b. DiS	TRIBUTION CODE
A ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A mass spectrometer samples a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus introducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses the resultant measured spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original components. In addition to spectral intensity ratios, the following is assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component; the branching ratios of these fragments; and the ratios of ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization <i>ratios</i> , it is in principle (although in practice, not completely) independent of the variable, and often time dependent, characteristics of individual instruments. Is SUBJECT TERMS Is NUMBER OF PAGES If a security classification of this page. If	Approved for Public Relea	se: distribution unlimited	l		
A mass spectrometer samples a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus introducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. A method has been developed that uses the resultant measured spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original components. In addition to spectral intensity ratios, the following is assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component; the branching ratios of these fragments; and the ratios of ionization efficiencies for each component pair. Since this method depends only on spectral and ionization <i>ratios</i> , it is in principle (although in practice, not completely) independent of the variable, and often time dependent, characteristics of individual instruments. I SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16 16 Nass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16 16 VSECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLA	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
A. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Mass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 16 7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 0F THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	A mass spectrometer samp sampling, the components fragments not present in ti measured spectral intensit components. In addition to fragments produced from a ratios of ionization efficient spectral and ionization ration the variable, and often time	oles a neutral gas consisti species are not only ionize he original gas. A method ty ratios to find the densi to spectral intensity ratios each original component; icies for each component tios, it is in principle (alth he dependent, characteris	ng of more than ed, but also frag has been devel- ty or partial pre- s, the following i the branching r pair. Since this hough in practic tics of individua	one com mented, oped tha ssure ra s assum atios of t s method e, not co al instrum	apponent. During thus introducing mass t uses the resultant tios of the original ed to be known: the these fragments; and the depends only on ompletely) independent of ments.
Mass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 16 Mass spectroscopy, Gas release sampling 16. PRICE CODE 7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF BEPORT 0F THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	4. SUBJECT TERMS		<u> </u>		15. NUMBER OF PAGES
7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UL 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	Mass spectroscopy, Gas re	lease sampling			16 16. price code
UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UL	7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
	UNCLASSIFIED		UNCLASSI	FIED	UL

.

Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	GENERAL SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM	2
3.	EXAMPLE	7
4.	THE DEGENERATE CASE	10
5.	UNRESOLVED ISSUES	10

ETIS GRA&I Image: Constraint of the second	Acces	sion Fo	T	
DTIC TAB	MTIS	GRA&I	T	/
Unannourced Justification By Distribution/ Availability Gades Dist Speake	DILC	TAB	П	
Justification By Distribution/ Availability Gades Availability Speaks Pist Speaks	Unant	ounced	n	
By Distribution/ Availability Gades Avail and/op Spects Avail and/op	Justi	ficatio	<u></u>	-
By Distribution/ Availability Godes Avail and/or Dist Special			and the second	
Distribution/ Availability Gades Availability Gades Dist Speaks	By			
Availability Godes Avail and/or Dist Special Avail and/or	Distr	ibution	1 . Viero de	
Bist Speaks	Ava1	labilit	y. Codes	- 18 19. 18. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19
Pist Speak		Avail 8	malfag	***********
A ·1	Bist.	Speci	8 4 . j. 2	
K'I I		l.	<u>∼</u>	X].
	K V		;	
				1

ý

iv

Acknowledgements

I thank John Ballenthin for bringing this problem to my attention and Don Hunton for his encouragement and support during the course of this work.

vi

Inversion for Mass Spectrometer Multicomponent Sampling

1. INTRODUCTION

This report addresses the problem of a mass spectrometer sampling a neutral gas consisting of more than one component. During sampling, the component species are not only ionized, but also fragmented, thus indroducing mass fragments not present in the original gas. We want to use the resultant spectral intensity ratios to find the density or partial pressure ratios of the original components. In addition to the spectral intensity ratios, the following are assumed to be known: the fragments produced from each original component; the branching ratios of each of these fragments; and the ratios of ionization efficiencies for each component. In principle, all the known quantities are independent of particular mass spectrometer characteristics, such as multiplier gain for example, so that the procedure need not take into account the peculiarities of a specific instrument. It is required, however that the branching ratios and hence the electron beam energies be the same for both branching ratio and sample measurements.

Note the frequent reference to *ratios* of values rather than values per se. This is because many of these values vary from instrument to instrument, and further, for any given instrument, with time. As noted, we are seeking an inversion that is independent of instrument properties, such as ion source characteristics or multiplier gain. If these properties

Received for Publication 21 March 1995

1

are assumed to remain fixed, then inversion is quite simple. If they are not fixed, then the method described here, a more complicated procedure, or possibly some other procedure must be resorted to.

2. GENERAL SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

Let C_j be the density or partial pressure of the neutral compounds, m in number, j=1 to m. Let α_j be the ionization efficiency of the jth component.

Let the B_{ij} be the spectral intensities of the ith fragment of the jth compound. This will be an array of n rows (i runs from 1 to n) and m columns (j runs from 1 to m). The rows identify fragments of a given mass (including in particular, the mass of the unfragmented ion); the columns identify a given input component.

Let I=I (j) index the mass of the jth unfragmented component, so that B_{Ij} when I is written without an argument is a one dimensional array, dependent on j only. $B_{I(\ell)j}$, however (I(ℓ) written with an argument) is once again a member of a two dimensional array, with subscripts I(ℓ) and j.

At this point, to clarify the notation, we illustrate by displaying a specific example. For this purpose we choose a gas having four components: CO, CO_2 , $C_2H_4O_2$, and O_2 , and assume that the first two and last of these, but not the third, is decomposed into every possible fragment. Let the compounds be sequenced in the order given above. Then, the array for the B_{ii} looks as follows. (M is the fragment mass number).

Ci	C1	C2	C ₃	C ₄
м	(CO)	(CO ₂)	$(C_2H_4O_2)$	(O ₂)
12	B ₁₁	B ₁₂	B ₁₃	$B_{14} = 0$
16	B ₂₁	B ₂₂	B ₂₃	B ₂₄
28	B ₃₁	B ₃₂	B ₃₃	B ₃₄ = 0
32	$B_{41} = 0$	B ₄₂	B ₄₃	B44
44	$B_{51} = 0$	B ₅₂	B ₅₃	B ₅₄ = 0
60	$B_{61} = 0$	$B_{62} = 0$	B ₆₃	$B_{64} = 0$

Table 1. Illustrative Table of Symbolic Values for B_{ij}.

In general, most of the B_{ij} will be zero, but for this relatively small system they are not. We have, m = 4, n = 6, and I(1) = 3: I(2) = 5: I(3) = 6: I(4) = 4.

This example will be used again in Section 3 to demonstrate a sample solution.

Returning now to the general development, we have the component conservation relations

$$\alpha_{1}C_{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{i1}$$

$$\alpha_{2}C_{2} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{i2}$$

$$(1b)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha_{j}C_{j} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{ij}$$

$$(1c)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha_{m}C_{m} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{im}$$

$$(1d)$$

Let $\rho_{ij} = \frac{B_{ij}}{B_{ij}}$ and $\beta_j = \frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\alpha_j}$. The ρ_{ij} are relative branching ratios, and the β_j the ionization

efficiency ratios referred to in the introduction.

Let
$$y_j = \frac{C_j}{C_{j+1}}$$

3

Then

$$y_{1} = \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i1} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i2} = \beta_{1} \frac{B_{11}}{B_{12}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i1} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i2}$$
(2a)

$$y_{2} = \beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i3} = \beta_{2} \frac{B_{12}}{B_{13}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i3}$$
(2b)

:

$$y_{j} = \beta_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i,j+1} = \beta_{j} \frac{B_{lj}}{B_{l,j+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i,j+1}$$
 (2c)

$$\mathbf{y}_{m-1} = \beta_{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{B}_{i,m-1} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{B}_{im} = \beta_{m-1} \frac{\mathbf{B}_{I,m-1}}{\mathbf{B}_{Im}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i,m-1} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{im}$$
(2d)

Let
$$B_{Ij}/B_{I,j+1} = x_j$$
 : $j = 1$ to $m - 1$: $x_m = 1$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i,j+1} = S_j.$

Then $y_1 = \beta_1 x_1 S_1$

$$y_{2} = \beta_{2}x_{2}S_{2}$$

$$y_{k} = \beta_{k}x_{k}S_{k}$$

$$y_{m-1} = \beta_{m-1}x_{m-1}S_{m-1}$$

(3)

The reason for the condensation of notation is that all β_k and S_k are known. We now need to obtain the x_k to complete the solution.

Let $\Omega_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m B_{I(1)j} / \sum_{j=1}^m B_{I(2)j}$

$$\Omega_{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{I(2)j} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{I(3)j}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Omega_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{I(k)j} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{I(k+1)j}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Omega_{m-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{I(m-1)j} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{I(m)j}$$

The Ω_k are the intensity ratios of the MEASURED SPECTRAL LINES, having the masses of the unfragmented input compounds. We now show that the right hand sides of the expressions for Ω_k can be expressed in terms of the ρ_{ij} and x_k . Since the Ω_k are m-1 in number these then constitute a closed set of simultaneous implicit equations for x_k . When solved for the x_k they give us the solutions for the required values of y_k .

We could just as easily have selected any other of the (m-1)! sets of spectral ratios formable from the intensities of the m unfragmented species. However, the choice made is readily identifiable and seems a natural choice. The manipulation of the expressions for Ω_k to put them in terms of ρ_{ij} and x_k will be done for k = 1. The other relations then follow by augmentation of the k index.

$$\Omega_{1} = \frac{B_{I(1)1} + B_{I(1)2} + \dots + B_{I(1)j} + \dots + B_{I(1)m}}{B_{I(2)1} + B_{I(2)2} + \dots + B_{I(2)j} + \dots + B_{I(2)m}}$$
(4a)

$$= \frac{B_{I1} + B_{I2} \rho_{I(1)2} + \dots + B_{Ij} \rho_{I(1)j} + \dots + B_{Im} \rho_{I(1)m}}{B_{I1} \rho_{I(2)1} + B_{I2} + \dots + B_{Ij} \rho_{I(2)j} + \dots + B_{Im} \rho_{I(2)m}}$$
(4b)

Note in the subscripts of B, the switch from argumented I (I(1), I(2)) in Eq. (4a) to UNARGUMENTED I in Eq. (4b). This is preparatory to expressing ratios of B_{Ij} in terms of x_j . Next, divide numerator and denominator by B_{Im} and observe that

$$B_{ij}/B_{im} = x_j x_{j+1} \dots x_{m-1} = \prod_{i=j}^{m-1} x_i$$

so that

$$\Omega_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(1)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_{i} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(2)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_{i}.$$
(6a)

(5)

(In these as well as the remaining sums, we have

$$\rho_{I(1)1} = \rho_{I(2)2} = \dots \rho_{I(j)j} = \dots \rho_{I(m-1),m-1} = 1$$
.

In like manner,

$$\Omega_{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(2)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_{i} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(3)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_{i}$$
(6b)

$$\vdots \\ \Omega_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(k)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_{i} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(k+1)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_{i}$$
(6c)

$$\Omega_{m-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(m-1)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_i / \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(m)j} \prod_{i=j}^{m} x_i$$
(6d)

Let
$$z_j = \prod_{i=j}^m x_i$$
: $1 \le j \le m$.

Then, clearing the kth equation of fractions

$$\Omega_{k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(k+1)j} z_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{I(k)j} z_{j}.$$
(7)

Let $A_{jk} = \Omega_k \rho_{I(k+1)j} - \rho_{I(k)j}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{jk} z_{j} = 0 \qquad 1 \le k \le m-1$$
(8)

form a set of m-1 linear equations for the m-1 unknowns z_j which can be solved by one of the usual methods. Following this, the x_j are recovered from

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{z}_{i} / \mathbf{z}_{i+1} \qquad 1 \leq j \leq m-1.$$

This completes the solution.

3. EXAMPLE

To illustrate these ideas, we first assign values to the array for the B_{ij} shown in Table 1 and also to the required values of α_j the ionization efficiencies. Here, the units for the values of B_{ij} (the spectral intensities of the ith mass fragment from the jth compound) may be taken as microamperes; and those for the ionization efficiencies, when normalized to a pressure of one Torr, as microamperes per Torr. Note that the column sum of spectral intensities is set equal to the corresponding value of α_j . This assignment is somewhat arbitrary. Strictly, the sum of the branching ratios for each component is unity, and dividing each value of B_{ij} by α_j will yield this result. If the B_{ij} are the actual fragment spectral intensities due to an arbitrary mixture of gases, neither of these is true; each column will be multiplied by an (unknown) constant, dependent on the partial pressure of that particular component. Only with this assignment will Eq. (1) be true. These constant values however, are precisely those we are seeking with

this treatment, and so are not known. However, since all equations except Eq. (1) deal only with RELATIVE values for all variables, the actual values as well as the units turn out to be of no import. Only the RELATIVE VALUES in each column are required. To the right of the array, are listed the intensities of the MEASURED mass spectrum. From these, will be formed the three (= m-1) values of Ω_k required for solution. We note that none of the values given here are actual measured values; those for CO, CO₂ and O₂ are reasonable, but all have been fabricated for purposes of illustrating the method of solution.

C _j M	C ₁ (CO)	C ₂ (CO ₂)	C ₃ (C ₂ H ₄ O ₂)	C ₄ (O ₂)	Measured Spectral Intensities
12	0.0025	0.0009	0.005	0	0.002
16	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.15	0.2
28	0.5	0.06	0.02	0	0.4
32	0	0.0009	0.005	1.5	1
44	0	0.3	0.02	0	1
60	0	0	0.2	0	2
α _i	0.5525	0.3919	0.260	1.65	

Table 2. Values o

Next we form the table of the ρ_{ij} by dividing B_{ij}/B_{lj} . We also form the Ω_i , and the β_j .

○	C1	C ₂	C ₃	C4	0
м	(CO)	(CO ₂)	(C ₂ H ₄ O ₂)	(O ₂)	32
12	0.005	0.003	0.025	0	$\Omega_1 = 0.4/1 = 0.4$
16	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.1	
28	1.0	0.2	0.1	0	$\Omega_2 = 1/2 = 0.5$
32	0	0.003	0.025	1.0	
44	0	1.0	0.1	0	$\Omega_3 = 2/1 = 2.0$
60	0	0	1.0	0	
βi	= 0.709	$\beta_2 = 0.663$	$\beta_3 = 6.35$		

Table 3. Va	lues of	ρ _{ij} , Ω _i ,	and β_j .
-------------	---------	------------------------------------	-----------------

From these,

$$S_1 = \frac{1.105}{1.360} = 0.8271;$$
 $S_2 = \frac{1.360}{1.300} = 1.046;$ $S_3 = \frac{1.300}{1.100} = 1.182$

and

$$y_1 = 0.5864 x_1;$$
 $y_2 = 0.6935 x_2;$ $y_3 = 7.506 x_3.$

To complete the solution, we next form the equations for the $\boldsymbol{x}_k.$

$$\Omega_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3 + 0.2 x_2 x_3 + 0.1 x_3 + 0}{0 + x_2 x_3 + 0.1 x_3 + 0} = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 0.2 x_2 + 0.1}{x_2 + 0.1}$$

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{0 + x_2 x_3 + 0.1 x_3 + 0}{0 + 0 + x_3 + 0} = x_2 + 0.1$$

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{0+0+x_3+0}{0+0.003x_2x_3+0.025x_3+1} = \frac{x_3}{0.003x_2x_3+0.025x_3+1}$$

Here, the system is so simple we need not introduce the $\boldsymbol{z}_{j};$ instead we find directly

$$x_1 = 0.05;$$
 $x_2 = 0.40;$ $x_3 = 2.111$

and

 $y_1 = [CO]/[CO_2] = 0.02932$

 $y_2 = [CO_2]/[C_2H_4O_2] = 0.2774$

$$y_3 = [C_2H_4O_2]/[O_2] = 15.84$$

4. THE DEGENERATE CASE

It may turn out that two (or possibly more) of the unfragmented input compounds have identical masses. A good example of this would be the presence in the measured sample of CO_2 and N_2O . Since this only appears as a single peak in the measured spectrum, we would then seem not to have the required m-1 values of Ω_k needed to complete the solution. If however, the sum of the components is treated as a single component, we can still obtain, instead of the m-1, m-2 ratios of the input components, with the sum of the degenerate components appearing as a single component.

5. UNRESOLVED ISSUES

We have tacitly assumed that real, positive solutions for the x_k can always be found. This seems to be intuitively clear on the following grounds. If instead of inversion, we start from any known mixture, we will always produce a specific spectrum containing both unfragmented and fragmented spectral lines. Thus, when the process IS inverted, we know that a solution exists; it is reasonable to assume then, that this solution will be obtained. Still, such reasoning does not constitute a proof, and no proof has yet been found.

If this reasoning is correct however, we have here a means of checking on the quality of experimental data. If a solution cannot be found, then this indicates that there is an inconsistency between the spectra measured, the measured branch ratios, and the measured ionization efficiencies. One aspect of this question might be pursued further by investigating the way assumed errors in the measured spectra are propagated backward into the calculated original components.

Another question is whether it is possible by further work to find the ratio of input compounds even when they do have the same mass. This issue is not pursued further here.