<u>19</u>71

PROJECT PLAN

for

Support to the U.S. Army Computer Generated Forces Assessment Working Group (CGFAWG)

Task 1, BAA93-002, Contract N61339-95-C-0029

March 28, 1995, Rev 0¹



1. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this plan is to outline tasks, define responsibilities, and identify the technical requirements and schedule for support to the U.S. Army Simulation, Training, and Instrumentation Command (STRICOM) for the Computer Generated Forces Assessment Working Group (CGFAWG).

2. BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK

2.1 Background

Computer Generated Forces (CGF) are a class of constructive computer simulations that replicate the outward behavior of combat units, entities, and their systems. The provide a degree of realism that is impossible to replicate short of all-out large scale field exercises. The U.S. Army is using CGFs to satisfy the spectrum of applications in three Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) domains:

- Advanced Concepts and Requirements (ACR)
- Research, Development and Acquisition (RDA)
- Training, Exercises, and Military Operations (TEMO)

The development and several different CGF have raised questions as to which are best suited for what application. As a result, The U.S. Army Material Systems Analysis Agency (AMSAA) has been tasked by the Deputy Under Secretary of the Army for Operations Research (DUSA/OR) to chair a CGF Assessment Working Group (CGFAWG). The objective of this group is to assess the state of development, maturity, and V&V of the candidate M/S. At the present time, these candidates include:

- ModSAF
- ITEMS
- CCTT SAF
- JANIS (linked to BDS-D)
- BEWSS

19950727 021

¹ Changes from the previous version are marked by a vertical bar in the margin.

- JCM
- JTS

Other M/S and related tasks may be also involved, such as the evaluation of how each of the above models meet, or fail to meet, the entity-based requirements being devleoped by TRADOC. STRICOM is supporting this assessment as a member of the working group and by providing ISTI resources to conduct the assessment.

2.2 Scope of Work

The scope of this work includes the participation in CGFAWG meetings, travel to model developer sites to participate in demonstrations, writing the final assessment report, and preparation of supporting material for the final briefing.

3. CUSTOMER AND POINTS OF CONTACT

The customer for this task is the U.S. Army STRICOM.

Points of contact are:

CONTRACTUAL:

Ms. Vallerie Redd Naval Air Warfare Center Training Systems Division Code 27331 12350 Research Parkway Orlando, FL 32826-3224 (407) 380-4625 (Voice)

TECHNICAL:

Mr. Stan Goodman U.S. Army Simulation, Training, and Instrumentation Command ATTN: AMSTI-E 12350 Research Parkway Orlando, FL 32826-3224 (407) 380-8165 (Voice), (407) 380-4258 (Facsimile) goodman@stricom.army.mil (e-mail)

Mr. Will Brooks U.S. Army Material Systems Analysis Agency ATTN: AMXSY-CE Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD 21005-5071 (410) 278-4969 (Voice), (410) 278-6585 (Facsimile) wbrooks@arl.mil (e-mail)

Accession For 調告する GEAST DTIO TAR П Unannounced Π Justification 202110011 ity Codes all appiar 6) e 2 Special

<u>9</u>71

4. TASK DURATION

The period of performance for this task is March 1, 1995 through September 31, 1995.

5. TASK SUMMARY

ISTI will provide support to the CGFAWG committee, Chaired by Mr. Will Brooks. At the present time, this involves the following technical requirements:

- Reviewing the self-assessment reports of each of the CGF under evaluation
- Attending CGFAWG meetings as required. The following meetings are currently tentatively scheduled:
 - 14 March 1995 at Orlando, FL for initial coordination (completed)
 - 19-20 April 1995 at AMSAA for site visit preparation
 - 24-28 July 1995 at AMSAA to work on final report
 - TBD September to work on presentation to DUSA/OR
 - Attending the demonstrations tentatively scheduled as follows:
 - 15-19 May 1995 to Monterey, CA (JLINK) and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories (LLNL) (JTS and JCM)
 - 5-9 June 1995 to Huntsville, AL (BEWSS) and Orlando, FL (CCTT SAF)
 - 19-23 June 1995 to Boston, MA (ModSAF) and Montreal, Canada (ITEMS)
- Making technical contributions to the following sections of the report:
 - Section 6.4 an independent assessment of JLINK based on the submitted self-assessment, observation of the demonstration, and answers to questions provided by the developers.
 - Section 7.8 an accross-the-board comparison of all CGF with regard to "System Operation, Maintenance, and Expansion."
 - Possible assistance in the writing of Section 7.4, "System Verification and Validation."
 - Other tasking as required
- Making technical contributions to the final briefing to DUSA/OR in September 1995.

6. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The ISTI charge number for this project is 9502-001. For the purpose of cost tracking and management, the following subtask numbers have been established and are to be used by all personnel working on this task.

Charge NumberTask9502-001-39All technical work

7. RESPONSIBILITIES

The following individuals are assigned the responsibilities and allocated the hours indicated. The technical hours shown are for planning purposes only, and should not be construed as firm. It is the responsibility of the individual to inform the PM of the job cannot be accomplished within the hours indicated. It should be the goal of each to complete the task using less hours than indicated. Where technical or task reports are shown as due by a specific date, these dates are contract deliverables. Completion of these reports should be planned such that they can be delivered to the PM for final review and administrative processing two weeks prior to the deliverable due date. Submission will be via a cc:Mail attachment in Word for Windows 6.0 format. All reports will follow the standard ISTI PRIMES format, a template copy of which is available in the Word for Windows 6.0 library.

<u>Name</u>

D. Gledhill

<u>Tasks</u> Project Manager and Primary Investigator

9. SCHEDULE

The following products are due on the dates indicated. See Figure 1 for a master task schedule.

Product/Report

Initial draft of Section 7 input based on self-assessment reports Draft of Section 6 input Final draft of Section 6 and 7 input Due Date April 7, 1995 July 7, 1995 August 1, 1995



Figure 1. Schedule



10. REMARKS

The members of the CGFAWG are listed at Inclosure #1.

Approved by:

4 95 Date

Dave Gledhill Project Manager

Distribution:

cc: M. Mandrell (F&C) J. Illgen

PD

	כל	r Assessificite Working Oroup received	Telephones
Organization	Name	V(III) (23	2401 0LC (0177
AMSAA	Will Brooks (Chairman)	AMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-CD (W. Brooks)	(410) 2/8-4340 (410) 278-6585 (FAX)
		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5071	
		(wbrooks@arl.mil)	
ARI	Steven Goldberg	ARI	
		Field Office	
		12350 Research Parkway	
		Orlando, FL 32826-3270	
ATCOM	Dr. Nancy Bucher	Director, AFDD	(415) 604-5161
		U.S. Army ATCOM	(412) 004-940 (714)
		ATTN: AMSAT-R-AB (Dr. Bucher, M/S 243-4)	
		Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000	
		(bucher@merlin.arc.nasa.gov)	
1 The	Dr. Peter Brooks	Institute for Defense Analysis	(703) 845-2170
		1801 N. Beauregard St.	(703) 845-2588 (FAX)
		Alexandria, VA 22311	
		(pbrooks@ida.org)	
ISTI	Dave Gledhill	Illgen Simulation Technologies, Inc.	(805) 562-3872
		250 Storke Road, Suite 10	(805) 968-8661 (FAX)
		Goleta, CA 93117	
		(dgledhill@illgen.com)	
MITRE	Dr. Lashon Booker	The MITRE Corporation	(703) 883-7609
		7525 Colshire Dr.	(703) 883-6435 (FAX)
		McLean, VA 22102-3481	
		(booker@mitre.org)	
STRICOM	Stan Goodman	STRICOM	(407) 380-8165
		ATTN: AMSTI-E (S. Goodman)	(VAJ) 280-4728 (LAV)
		12350 Research Parkway	
		Orlando, FL 32826-3270	
		(goodman@stricom.army.mil)	
TRAC	Kent Pickett (Deputy)	TRAC	(913) 684-4595
		ATTN: ATRC-FM	(A13) 084-9232 (FAA)
		Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027	
		(pickettk@tracer.army.mil)	
NSC	Annette Ratzenberger	NSC	
Davi			

CGF Assessment Working Group Members

4

9