OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH



Contract N00014-92-J-1433

R & T Code 4132038

Technical Report No. 25

Exploring Factors that Determine Cis/Trans Structure and Tacticity in Polymers Prepared by Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerizations Involving Initiators of the Type Syn and Anti Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OR)₂. Observation of a Temperature Dependent Cis/Trans Ratio

by

R. R. Schrock, J.-K. Lee, R. O'Dell, J. H. Oskam

in press

in

Macromolecules

Department of Chemistry 6-331 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

June 14, 1995

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

19950626 048

Public reacting burgen for this gathering and maintaining the a collection of information, includ	•	UN PAGE	OMB No. 0704-0188
De-& High way, Sure 1204, Arkin	collection of information is estimated to average lata needed, and completing and reversing the ing suggestions for reducing this burden. To was glon, VA 22282-4302, and to the Drike of Mana	e 1 hour per response, including the time fi collection of information. Send comments r shington Headquarters Services. Directorati Igement and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	or reviewing Instructions, searching existing data source egarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of the Tor Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferso Project (0704-0188), Washington, OC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY	(Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 6-14-95	3. REPORT TYPE / Technical	IND DATES COVERED Report
4. TRUE AND SUBTICLE Tacticity in Polyme Involving Inititator Observation of a Te 6. AUTHOR(S) R. R. Schi	Exploring Factors that Determi rs Prepared by Ring Opening Me s of the Type Syn and Anti Mo(N emperature Dependent Cis/Trans cock, JK. Lee,R. O'Dell, J. H. (ine Cis/Trans Structure and tathesis Polymerizations (Ar)(CHCMe2Ph)(OR)2. s Ratio Oskam	5. FUNDING NUMBERS Contract N00014-92-J-143 R&T Code 4132038 Kenneth Wynne
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZ	ATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
Massachusetts I Department of C 77 Massachusett Cambridge, MA	nstitute of Technology hemistry, 6-331 s Avenue 02139	,	REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITOR Department of t Office of Naval 800 North Quinc Arlington, VA	ung Agency NAME(S) AND ADDR he Navy Research y Street 22217-5000	E\$\$(E\$)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
12. DISTRIBUTION 'AVAILA Reproduction in purpose of the U	» BILITY STATEMENT whole or in part is pe nited States Governmen	rmitted for any t. This document	26. DISTRIBUTION CODE
has been approve distribution is 1 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200	d for public release a unlimited. Twords)	nd sale; its	
The factors that det by ring opening me type Mo(NAryl)(CF of alkoxides) have b structure on temper high temperatures (consistent with form polymer from anti a can interconvert.	ermine the structure (cis, tathesis polymerization (HCMe ₂ Ph)(OR) ₂ (Aryl = a been explored. The main rature; at low temperature (65°C) up to 90% trans po nation of cis polymer from lkylidene rotamers in cir	/trans and tacticity) of (ROMP) using well-de a substituted aryl grou finding is a dependen es (-35°C) all cis polyn lymers are formed. Th m syn alkylidene rotar cumstances where syr	polymers prepared fined initiators of the up and OR = a variety ce of cis/trans her is formed and at hese results are hers and trans and anti rotamers
SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
•			16. PRICE CODE

298-102

Exploring Factors that Determine Cis/Trans Structure and Tacticity in Polymers Prepared by

Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerizations With Initiators of the Type

Syn and Anti Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OR)₂.

Observation of a Temperature Dependent Cis/Trans Ratio.

by

Richard R. Schrock,* Jin-Kyu Lee, Richard O'Dell, and John H. Oskam

Department of Chemistry 6-331 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139

Accesi	on For					
NTIS	CRA&I	5				
DTIC	TAB					
Unann	ounced					
Justification						
By Distrib	ution /					
Availability Codes						
Dist	Avail and Specia	l/or I				
A-)						

Abstract

The factors that determine the structure (cis/trans and tacticity) of polymers prepared by ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) from 2,3-bis-(trifluoromethyl)norbornadiene) (NBDF6) and several dicarboalkoxynorbornadienes using well-defined initiators of the type $Mo(NAryl)(CHCMe_2Ph)(OR)_2$ (Aryl = a substituted aryl group and OR = a variety of alkoxides) have been explored. The main finding is a dependence of cis/trans structure on temperature; at low temperatures (-35°C) all cis polymer is formed and at high temperatures (65°C) up to 90% trans polymers are formed. These results are consistent with formation of cis polymer from syn alkylidene rotamers and trans polymer from anti alkylidene rotamers in circumstances where syn and anti rotamers can interconvert. Several all cis polymers prepared from achiral initiators that contain various fluorinated alkoxides were found to be biased toward isotacticity. In one case, however, the polymer was biased toward syndiotacticity.

INTRODUCTION

Ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) is a method of making polymers from a wide variety of cyclic olefins.^{1,2} If the olefins are relative reactive compared to ordinary olefins (e.g., norbornenes and norbornadienes), and "well-defined" initiators are employed, then the polymerization can be living.^{3,4} Well-defined initiators for polymerizations of this type that have been used often are complexes of the type Mo(NAryl)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OR)₂ (Aryl = a substituted aryl group such as 2,6-Me₂C₆H₃).⁵ A feature of such species, and perhaps classical metathesis catalysts also,¹ is the presence of syn and anti alkylidene rotamers that may, or may not, interconvert readily compared to the rate of polymerization (equation 1). We recently proposed⁶ that syn and anti rotamers of complexes of this type play a major role in determining



whether ROMP polymers prepared from several norbornenes or norbornadienes^{4,7,8} contain cis or trans double bonds. Syn and anti rotamers whose reactivities could be evaluated (OR = $OCMe(CF_3)_2$) were found to have vastly different reactivities and to interconvert at rates that differed by up to six orders of magnitude, relatively rapidly ($k_{s/a} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 25°) when OR = O-t-Bu, but relatively slowly ($k_{s/a} = 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 25°) when OR = OCMe(CF₃)₂. In most cases that were examined K_{eq} was found to be >10³. The rate of rotamer interconversion was found to be faster by approximately three orders of magnitude in *ortho*-monosubstituted phenyl imido complexes such as Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C₆H₄)(CH-t-Bu)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ than in 2,6-disubstituted phenylimido complexes.⁶ The single bulky substituent in the ortho position was proposed to promote bending of the imido ligand and therefore a lowering of the barrier to rotamer interconversion by making the orbital that lies in the N-Mo-C plane, the one that is believed to stabilize an alkylidene in the process of rotating 180°, more readily accessible.

The monomer that has been employed often in investigations of this general type is 2,3bis(trifluoromethyl)norbornadiene (NBDF6). On the basis of detailed NMR studies⁶ it was proposed that syn-Mo(NAr)(CH-t-Bu)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ (Ar = 2,6-i-Pr₂C₆H₃) reacts with NBDF6 by coordinating to the exo face of the unsubstituted double bond to generate a syn first insertion product having a cis double bond (syn+1_c; equation 2), while the anti rotamer reacts (at least 100 times more rapidly) to give a syn first insertion product having a trans double bond (syn+1_t; equation 3). Trans-poly(NBDF6) prepared from Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(O-t-Bu)₂



was proposed to arise from reactions involving only (unobservable) anti rotamers, since the anti rotamer is kinetically accessible at room temperature ($k_{s/a} \approx 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$; equation 1), and since studies involving Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ suggest that the anti rotamer is much more

reactive than the syn rotamer. Since $K_{eq} \approx 10^3$ the anti rotamer actually must be at least 10^5 more reactive than the syn rotamer in order to generate >99% trans double bonds.⁶ On the other hand, use of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ as the initiator gave cis-poly(NBDF6).⁹ In this case syn-Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂, the predominant species present in solution at 25°, *is* reactive enough to react with NBDF6. Since a syn insertion product that contains a cis double bond is formed at each step the polymer continues to grow from syn rotamers to give all-cis poly(NBDF6). Anti rotamers of alkylidene intermediates, even though they are much more reactive, are simply *inaccessible* on the time scale of the polymerization reaction in the OCMe(CF₃)₂ system.

Tacticity is believed to be a separate issue, at least in systems that have been explored so far. The four possible regular primary structures of polynorbornene (for purposes of illustration) are shown in Scheme 1. It is believed that a molybdacyclobutane complex is formed when the monomer approaches one of the two CNO faces of the pseudo-tetrahedral catalyst (equation 2 or 3). The two CNO faces correspond to the two sides of the Mo=C bond. If the monomer approaches the same CNO face in each step, an isotactic polymer is formed; if the monomer approaches alternate CNO faces sequentially, a syndiotactic polymer is formed. If a polymerization process yields all trans or all cis polymer, then it is possible in some cases to determine the degree of tacticity in a relatively simple manner by ¹³C NMR.¹ Recently it has become possible to prepare highly tactic all cis polymers with molybdenum initiators that contain a racemic binaphtholate ligand¹⁰ and to prove that related all cis polymers that contain chiral groups in the ester of a dicarboalkoxynorbornadiene are syndiotactic.⁷ It also has been shown that all cis polymers prepared from several enantiomerically pure 2,3-disubstituted norbornenes employing molybdenum binaphtholate initiators are isotactic.⁷

Molybdenum alkylidene complexes that contain phenoxide ligands have been relatively little studied. Unlike complexes that contain t-butoxide ligands, both syn and anti rotamers are often observable and they interconvert with activation energies between 15 and 18 kcal

4

mol⁻¹.^{11,12} Molybdenum phenoxide complexes that have been briefly investigated as ROMP initiators were found to produce polymers that contain both cis and trans double bonds. On the other hand, "linked" phenoxide ligands such as binaphtholates and biphenolates often give rise to highly cis and highly tactic ROMP polymers.^{7,10} In order to explore the origin of cis and trans polymers we chose to examine phenoxide initiators in this paper. In order to explore how the tacticity of some all cis polymers varies with the monomer, the imido ligand, and the alkoxide ligand, we chose to examine polymers prepared with Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OR)₂ initiators where OR = OCMe(CF₃)₂, OC(CF₃)₃, or OC(CF₃)₂(CF₂CF₂CF₃).

RESULTS

Molybdenum 2-t-Butylphenylimido Complexes

We suspected that the rate of rotamer interconversion in molybdenum initiators that contain the 2-t-butylphenylimido ligand would be in an "intermediate" range where changes in temperature might dramatically effect the outcome of the polymerization reaction. An initiator that can be purified by recrystallization is most desirable, so $Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C_6H_4)(CH-t-Bu)(OAr)_2$,¹³ an oil, is unsuitable. $Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C_6H_4)(CHCMe_2Ph)(OR)_2$ compounds where R = 2-t-Bu-C₆H₄ or 2,4-t-Bu₂C₆H₃ were also found to be oils,¹⁴ but $Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C_6H_4)(CHCMe_2Ph)(BiphenoBu_4)$ (BiphenoBu₄ = 2,2'-[4,4',6,6'-t-Bu₄](C₆H₂)₂O₂^{15,16}) could be prepared as a crystalline THF adduct, **1(THF)**. THF could be removed in vacuo from a sample of **1(THF)** dissolved in a high boiling, non-coordinating solvent such as toluene, and THF-free **1** thereby obtained. **1, 1(THF)**, and several related new and known crystalline



initiators used in this work are listed in Table 1.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** at 23°C showed one sharp alkylidene resonance at 10.87 ppm, while the ¹³C NMR spectrum showed one sharp alkylidene resonance at 279 ppm with a value for ${}^{1}J_{CH}$ (120 Hz) characteristic of a syn rotamer.^{5,6} No significant change was observed upon cooling the sample to -65°C. However, the proton NMR spectrum of 1(THF) in toluene-d₈ at 26°C showed a broad alkylidene H_{α} resonance at 11.03 ppm (Figure 1). When the NMR sample of 1(THF) was cooled, two new alkylidene resonances grew in at 14.08 ppm and 12.85 ppm (Figure 1) and sharpened at the expense of the 11.03 ppm resonance upon further cooling, ultimately to give a spectrum containing two alkylidene resonances in a 1:3 ratio. Addition of THF (~10 equiv) to a sample of 1 in toluene-d₈ at -65°C generated a sample having the same two alkylidene resonances found in the spectrum of 1(THF) at low temperature. Therefore we propose that the H_{α} resonances at 14.08 and 12.85 ppm are due to THF adducts of anti and syn rotamers, respectively, i.e., 1_{anti} (THF) and 1_{syn} (THF). (It is well-documented that H_{α} resonances in alkylidene complexes in this family move downfield upon adduct formation, and that anti H_{α} resonances are found downfield of syn H_{α} resonances.⁵) The two unidentified tiny resonances at 12.78 and 14.00 ppm observed near the resonances for 1_{anti} (THF) and 1_{syn} (THF) could not be identified. They could possibly be structural isomers in which THF coordinates to the metal in a position other that what is believed to be the favored CNO face.⁶ As the temperature of the sample containing 1_{anti} (THF) and 1_{syn} (THF) is raised, THF appears to come off the syn rotamer more readily than the anti rotamer, as judged by broadening of the 12.85 ppm resonance first at -15°C (Figure 1) and loss of intensity as Keq shifts toward the THF-free complex. At higher temperatures all resonances coalesce into a single broad alkylidene H_{α} resonance between 11.0 and 11.1 ppm as the equilibrium shifts virtually entirely toward the basefree form of 1 and THF exchange is rapid on the NMR time scale.

Samples of 1 were photolyzed at -75°C in toluene in an attempt to produce an observable amount of 1_{anti} . (Photolysis has been found to cause interconversion of syn and anti rotamers of Mo⁶ and Re¹⁷ alkylidene rotamers.) However, no 1_{anti} accumulated after 18h of photolysis.

Either back reaction of $\mathbf{1}_{anti}$ to $\mathbf{1}_{syn}$ (photochemical or thermal) is too fast on the chemical time scale at -75°C, or photolysis is not an effective method of effecting anti/syn interconversion in phenoxide complexes. The former seems more likely to be the case, in part since bending of the mono-substituted phenylimido ligand is known to reduce the barrier to alkylidene rotation significantly in OCMe(CF₃)₂ complexes.⁶ Therefore we suspect that $\mathbf{1}_{syn}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{anti}$ can equilibrate on the chemical time scale even at -75°C and that the equilibrium lies well toward the syn rotamer.

It is interesting to note that for the analogous 2,6-diisopropylphenylimido complex (3(THF); Table 1) the anti:syn ratio is 1:3 at room temperature in toluene-d₈. (On the basis of chemical shifts it is likely that the anti form is the THF adduct and the syn form the THF-free form of 3.) Perhaps the additional steric hindrance caused by the 2,6-disubstituted phenyl ring decreases the stability of the THF-free syn rotamer relative to the THF adduct of the anti rotamer in addition to significantly slowing the rate of interconversion of anti and syn forms.

Polymerizations Employing 1 as the Initiator

Polymerizations of NBDF6 or DCMNBD (2,3-dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene) were carried out with 1 as the initiator in THF, toluene, or dichloromethane (Table 2). In discussing these results we will assume that 1_{anti} is in equilibrium with 1_{syn} on a time scale of the order of the polymerization reaction, that trans double bonds are formed only from anti rotamers and cis double bonds only from syn rotamers, and that only pseudo four-coordinate THF-free complexes are reactive.⁶ (We will later discuss whether these assumptions are valid.)

The first six entries in Table 2 show data for samples of $poly(NBDF6)_{100}$. Homogeneous polymerizations were observed when THF was employed as a solvent, but in toluene another phase formed as polymerization progressed. Yields were high at room temperature or above, but low at low temperatures, even with a polymerization time of 48 hr. At temperatures below -10° we propose that polymerization is slowed down to a significant degree simply by coordination of THF (competitive inhibition), most strongly to the anti rotamer. (The anti rotamer is known to have a greater affinity for σ -donor bases such as phosphines.⁵) THF therefore selectively

7

"poisons" the anti rotamer by competing more effectively with the monomer for the coordination site in the anti rotamer than in the syn rotamer. Consequently only cis bonds are produced via syn rotamers at -35°C since effectively only the THF-free syn alkylidene is accessible. However, the reaction is extremely slow since so little THF-free alkylidene is available. Conversely, at 65° THF is dissociating readily to give both anti and syn four-coordinate alkylidene intermediates. Since syn/anti interconversion is believed to be rapid, the majority of the polymer arises via anti intermediates, and 76% trans polymer is formed. Poly(NBDF6) prepared at 23° in THF has approximately a 1:1 ratio of trans and cis double bonds. In toluene at 23° reaction of the monomer with the anti form is no longer as inhibited by THF as it is at low temperature and the % trans content therefore increases from what it is in pure THF, from 42% to 68% (Table 2).

Polymerization of 2,3-dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene with 1 in toluene yielded analogous results (Table 2). Polymerization at -35° was carried out in dichloromethane in order to enhance solubility of the polymer at that temperature. At -35° a 36% yield of 100% cis polymer was realized in 12 hr. At higher temperatures in toluene the % trans steadily increased to 81% at 80°. A control experiment ruled out the possibility that trans polymer results from isomerization of cis double bonds in the polymer (secondary metathesis). All-cis poly(DCMNBD) (100 mer) that had been prepared using 2(THF) as the initiator¹⁰ was added to the living alkylidene generated by adding 10 equivalents of DCMNBD to 1, and the mixture was heated for 2h at 80°C. The trans content did not increase to any significant degree, consistent with slow secondary metathesis, even at 80°.

In order to confirm that syn and anti rotamer accessibility is the origin of the dependence of polymer structure on temperature, it would be desirable to show that the cis/trans structure of polymers prepared with $OCMe(CF_3)_2$ initiators, where a syn rotamer is known to generate $syn+1_{cis}$ (equation 2) while an anti rotamer generates $syn+1_{trans}$ (equation 3)⁶, is also temperature dependent. It is already known that both syn and anti rotamers of $OCMe(CF_3)_2$ complexes are qualitatively much more reactive in toluene than they are in THF and that the reactivity of an anti rotamer decreases more significantly in THF than the reactivity of a syn rotamer in THF, relative to their reactivities in toluene.

The best known OCMe(CF₃)₂ initiator is Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ (7), which generates high cis poly(NBDF6) in toluene or THF at 25°C (Table 3).⁹ At 65° the cis content drops to 88% for poly(NBDF6) prepared in toluene and to 83% for poly(NBDF6) prepared in THF (Table 3), consistent with greater participation of the anti rotamer (to give trans polymer) in reactions at the higher temperatures. Polymerizations employing Mo(N-2-t-BuC₆H₄)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ (4) must be carried out at -35°C in order to achieve a similarly high cis content because rotamer interconversion in Mo(N-2-t-BuC₆H₄) complexes is about three orders of magnitude faster than in NAr complexes.⁶ When the temperature is raised to 65° the cis content drops to 29%. These results are consistent with the proposal that a higher rate of rotamer interconversion leads to higher trans polymer as a consequence of a greater accessibility of anti rotamers, and that THF reduces the reactivity of an anti rotamer more strongly than a syn rotamer to produce a polymer with a higher cis content compared to a polymer prepared in toluene. Predictably, polymer yields are lower in THF or at low temperatures as a consequence of slow and incomplete polymerization.

Two other new 2,6-diisopropylphenylimido phenoxide initiators (3 and 5) were used to make $poly(NBDF6)_{100}$ at various temperatures and the results compared with those obtained employing **6** as the initiator (Table 3). The trend of the effect of temperature on cis/trans structure using all three initiators is toward higher trans content of the polymer at higher temperature and in toluene. The formation of predominantly cis polymer employing **3** was expected since the analogous neopentylidene initiator also yields virtually all cis polymer.⁶ At 65° some decrease in the cis content is observed, analogous to the results employing **7** (Table 3).

The Tacticity of High Cis Polymers Prepared from $Mo(NAryl)(CHCMe_2Ph)(OR)_2$ Initiators (OR = OCMe(CF₃)₂, OC(CF₃)₃, or OC(CF₃)₂(CF₂CF₂CF₃)).

The tacticity of all cis or all trans polymers prepared from norbornenes and norbornadienes in many cases can be determined by ¹³C NMR methods.¹ For example, the

resonance for what was C(7) in a norbornadiene monomer is often sensitive to the orientation of the five-membered rings on each side of a given ring in the polymer; therefore for an all cis polymer three resonances for C(7) (in an isotactic, a syndiotactic, or an atactic triad (Scheme 1)) are often observed, usually in a nonstatistical fashion. Additional structure is usually attributed to sensitivity of C(7) to structural variations beyond the triad level. In this section we examine how tacticity varies with the alkoxide and monomer for initiators which lead to high cis polymers. In some cases polymers begin to precipitate during the course of the polymerization reaction, but so far we have found that a heterogeneous polymerization reaction (e.g., in toluene) does not yield results (in terms of PDI, cis content, or tacticity) that are significantly different from those found for a homogeneous reaction (e.g., in THF). We will assume that all polymerizations described here that give rise to a polymer with a high cis content and low PDI are living, although that has not been proven in every case. In several cases the reaction time has been doubled or trebled without consequence in terms of cis content or PDI, consistent with slow secondary metathesis reactions. The yields of all polymerization reactions are high.

In Figure 2a is shown the C(7) resonances in the ¹³C spectrum of high cis (98%) poly(NBDF6)₂₀₀ (PDI = 1.10; Table 4) prepared using **7** as the initiator in THF.⁹ On the basis of analogous polymers prepared from dicarboalkoxynorbornadiene monomers that contain chiral groups in the ester⁷ it has been suggested that the most downfield C(7) resonance is in a ring in a m,m or isotactic triad. The bias toward isotacticity is a consequence of some chain end control. Virtually the same result is obtained for poly(NBDF6) prepared in toluene (run 2, Table 4; Figure 2b). (The additional structure on the isotactic resonance for C(7) at ~38.5 ppm is most likely ascribable to a sensitivity to structure beyond the triad level.) Polymers prepared in solvent mixtures (runs 3 and 4; Table 4) are analogous to those prepared in runs 1 and 2. The % mm triads drops slightly (from 62% in run 2 to 52% in run 11) when Mo(N-2,6-Me₂C₆H₃)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ is employed as the initiator.

Polymerization of NBDF6 by the Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OC(CF_3)₃]₂ initiator in toluene (run 5, Table 4) produces a virtually all cis polymer that contains only ~40% isotactic triads (Figure 2c), while polymer produced by the Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OC(CF₃)₂(CF₂CF₂CF₃)]₂ initiator (run 10) produces a high cis polymer that contains 77% *syndiotactic* triads (Table 4, Figure 2d). There is no way to rationalize such results on the basis of our present knowledge of these catalyst systems. The effect of several solvents on the resulting polymer prepared from the Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OC(CF₃)₃]₂ initiator was minimal (runs 6 through 9). Polymers prepared from N-2,6-Me₂C₆H₃ or N-2-t-BuC₆H₄ initiators (runs 11 through 14) again were between 52 and 88% *iso*tactic. The contrast between the syndiotacticity of the polymer prepared in run 10 and the isotacticity of the polymer prepared in run 13 is dramatic. The fact that polymer prepared in run 14 is still virtually all cis, and therefore most likely arises from syn rotamers, is interesting in view of the fact that the rate of syn to anti conversion is probably approximately three orders of magnitude higher than the rate of syn to anti conversion in a complex that contains a NAr or a N-2,6-Me₂C₆H₃ ligand.⁶

Polymerization of dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene by $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_2Ph)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2$ gives essentially an all cis polymer whose ¹³C NMR spectrum in the C(7) region (Figure 3a) is similar to the spectrum of poly(NBDF6) shown in Figure 2a, except the three C(7) resonances in poly(dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene) are closer together than they are in poly(NBDF6). It has been argued that both polymers are biased toward isotacticity (mm).⁷ The poly(dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene) prepared with the F9 and F13 initiators (runs 16 and 17) is essentially the same as that in run 15. Similar polymers are prepared with an initiator that contains the N-2,6-Me₂C₆H₃ ligand (runs 18, 19, 20). The dramatic change in tacticity can sensitively depend upon the nature of the monomer, and at the same time, of course, the intermediate alkylidenes formed from it in the polymerization reaction.

Polymerization of ethyl, isopropyl, and t-butyl dicarboalkoxynorbornadiene derivatives (runs 21 - 25) yields high cis polymers whose ¹³C NMR spectra (Figure 3b, c, d) in the region of the resonance for C(7) appear to be analogous to that for the dicarbomethoxy derivative (Figure 3a). However, we cannot be certain that the spectrum shown in Figure 3d can be ascribed to

highly isotactic polymer, i.e., that the rm/mr C(7) resonance does not simply overlap with the mm C(7) resonance to give what only appears to be highly isotactic polymer. The results shown in Table 4 (runs 23, 24, 25) make the assumption that no C(7) resonances overlap. If this is true then there would appear to be some tendency toward isotacticity as the size of the alkyl group in the dicarboalkoxynorbornadiene derivative increases.

DISCUSSION

The most important result reported here is that the cis/trans structure of ROMP polymers is temperature dependent in a manner that is consistent with the proposed equilibrium between a less active syn form (that gives cis polymer) and a more reactive anti form (that gives trans polymer), as shown in equation 4. Confirmation would require detailed knowledge of the



temperature dependencies of the rates of the first order reactions, $k_{a/s}[anti]$ and $k_{s/a}[syn]$, as well as the second order propagation reactions, $k_a[anti][monomer]$ and $k_s[syn][monomer]$. Unfortunately, none actually is known. Nevertheless, circumstances that would give rise to the observed result can be imagined, in part because the temperature dependencies of the first order reactions (rotamer interconversion) and second order reactions (reactions with monomer) are likely to be significantly different; ΔS^{\ddagger} for the second order propagation reactions should be relatively large and negative, which should lead to a less dramatic dependence of the rate on temperature, as shown in Figure 4. Therefore at low temperatures the polymer chain can grow more rapidly than syn and anti rotamers can interconvert. Since the predominant rotamer (in the absence of THF) is syn, and the reaction between a syn rotamer and monomer is proposed to produce a syn rotamer, polymerization at low temperature in toluene or dichloromethane would give rise to cis polymer, as observed. In THF at low temperature the anti form can be observed, but it binds THF more strongly. The syn rotamer, which dissociates THF more readily than the anti rotamer, therefore becomes the more reactive. Above the "crossover" point (the temperature where the rates of rotamer interconversion and chain growth are equal, at some monomer concentration) equilibration of syn and anti rotamers becomes fast relative to chain growth, so ultimately chain growth is rate limiting, k_a [anti][monomer] is roughly of the same magnitude as k_s [syn][monomer], and both cis and trans polymers form.

The circumstance shown in equation 4 is analogous to the situation encountered in catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation of amino acid precursors by cationic rhodium catalysts.¹⁸ In that case the enantiomeric product that is observed near room temperature arises via reaction of molecular hydrogen with the unobservable minor rhodium diastereomer. A significant difference between the Rh system and the system discussed here (in the *absence* of a coordinating solvent) is that the two diastereomeric rhodium complexes equilibrate via loss and recoordination of substrate, not a simple first order interconversion as proposed here. Nevertheless, at low temperatures (or high dihydrogen pressures) interconversion of rhodium diastereomeric complexes cannot compete with reaction of the "wrong" (major) rhodium complex with dihydrogen, and the observed ee consequently decreases. (It is assumed that the chirality of the product that results from the major diastereomer is opposite that arising from the minor diastereomer.)

A good example of a "crossover" of the rate of first and second order reactions with temperature is the "trapping" of an alkyl hydride intermediate in a rhodium hydrogenation catalyst system.¹⁹

Some confirmation of the proposed behavior of syn and anti rotamers of $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_2Ph)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2$ for forming cis and trans polymers, respectively, is the polymerization of 1,7,7-trimethylnorbornene.^{20,21} The (extremely slow) rate of polymerization is independent of monomer concentration, the observed rate constant corresponds to the value for $k_{s/a}$, and the polymer that is formed contains trans double bonds, all consistent with chain growth via anti rotamers. Apparently 1,7,7-trimethylnorbornene is so bulky that it does not react with

syn-Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂, but it does react rapidly with anti-Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂. Since a syn form is the result of the reaction between an anti form and monomer, the slow conversion of a syn form to an anti form becomes the rate limiting step in this particular polymerization reaction. This result emphasizes the extent to which the nature of the monomer can change the mechanism of polymerization. It also illustrates that selective formation of a syn rotamer from *either* a syn or anti rotamer is a key feature that leads to the observed phenomena.

Unfortunately, none of what we propose in equation 4 can be confirmed independently for phenoxide-containing initiators, since we cannot measure rotamer interconversion or test relative reactivities of syn and anti rotamers. Therefore, we cannot discount the possibility that both cis and trans polymers can form from only the syn rotamer, or even both syn and anti rotamers, and that cis selectivity simply breaks down at higher temperatures. It should be noted that even if the source of cis and trans double bonds in these ROMP polymers is what we propose, there is some indication that the arylimido functionality plays a major role in directing the approach of the monomer to the CNO face of the propagating alkylidene, and therefore that selectivity will not be independent of the imido group.⁶

We have found all cis polymers prepared by a variety of achiral initiators to be biased toward giving isotactic polymers by chain end control. However, it is not possible at this stage to rationalize the degree of isotacticity, or why it reverses in one case (run 10). Ideally we would have to know (via molecular mechanics calculations) the relative ease of a given monomer approaching the two faces of the syn-Mo=C bond in the living alkylidene formed from a given monomer. That possibility does not seem likely in the near future.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

All experiments were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmospheres drybox or by standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise specified. Reagent grade diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen. Polymerization grade THF was vacuum transferred twice from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Polymerization grade toluene was stored over NaK alloy and passed through alumina prior to use.

HPLC grade solvents were used in Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) runs and were degassed prior to use. GPC was carried out using a Waters Ultrastyragel 10573, Shodex KF-802.5, 803, 804, 805, and 800P columns, a Viscotek Differential Refractometer / Viscometer H-500, and a Spectroflow 757 absorbance detector on a samples 0.1 - 0.3% (w/v) in THF which were filtered through a Millex-SR $0.5 \mu m$ filter in order to remove particulates. GPC columns were calibrated versus polystyrene standards (Polymer Laboratories Ltd.) which ranged from MW = 1260 - 2.75 x 10⁶. NMR data were obtained at 300 MHz and are listed in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane. Chemical shifts vary somewhat from sample to sample in the case of THF adducts, perhaps as a consequence of some chemical shift dependence on concentration and temperature. Spectra were obtained at 25°C unless otherwise noted. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer.

All the chemicals used were reagent grade and purified by standard methods. Benzaldehyde was purchased from Aldrich, and was distilled over Na and pass over alumina $Mo(N-2-t-BuC_6H_4)(CHCMe_2Ph)(OTf)_2(DME)^{13}$, Mo(N-2-tbefore use. $BuC_6H_4)(CHCMe_2Ph)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2^{13}$ (4), $Mo(N-2,6-Me_2C_6H_3)(CHCMe_2Ph)[Bipheno(t-1)_2]_2^{13}$ Bu_{4}^{10} (2), $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_{2}Ph)(O-2-t-Bu-C_{6}H_{4})_{2}^{12}$ (5), $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_{2}Ph)[OCMe_{2}-Ph)(O-2-t-Bu-C_{6}H_{4})_{2}^{12}$ (7), $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_{2}Ph)[O-2-t-Bu-C_{6}H_{4})_{2}^{12}$ (7), $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_{2}Ph)[O-2-t-Bu-C_{6}H_{4})_{2}^{12}$ $Mo(NAr)(CHCMe_2Ph)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2$ 2 2 (7), $(CF_3)]_2$ 1 (6), Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OC(CF₃)₃]₂,¹³ and Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[OC(CF₃)₂(CF₂CF₂CF₃)]₂¹³ were prepared as described in the literature.

 $Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C_6H_4)(CHCMe_2Ph)[Bipheno(t-Bu)_4](THF) [1(THF)].$ K₂[Bipheno(t-Bu)₄]·THF (390 mg, 0.70 mmol), prepared from 4,4',6,6'-tetra-t-butyl-2,2'-biphenol and KO-t-Bu, was added as a solid to the pre-cooled (-30°C) solution of Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C₆H₄)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OTf)₂(DME) (500 mg, 0.65 mmol) in 50 mL of THF. The mixture was stirred for 6h and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and the insoluble potassium salts were removed by filteration. The filtrate was concentrated and

layered with pentane in order to crystallize the product; yield 0.38 g (68%): ¹H NMR (toluened₈) δ 11.03 (br s, 1, H_{α}), 7.80-6.70 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 3.55 (m, 4, OCH₂CH₂), 1.86 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.61 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.47 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.44 (m, 4, OCH₂CH₂), 1.31 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.28 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.24 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.17 (s, 9, CMe₃); ¹H NMR (toluene-d₈, -60°C) δ 14.08 (s, anti H_{α}), 12.85 (s, syn H_{α}); partial ¹³C NMR (toluene-d₈, -60°C) δ 311.2 (CHCMe₂Ph, anti), 299.5 (CHCMe₂Ph, syn).

Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C₆H₄)(CHCMe₂Ph)[Bipheno(t-Bu)₄] (1). The THF-free complex was obtained quantitatively as a dark-red powder by dissolving 1(THF) in toluene and removing all solvent in vacuo: ¹H NMR (toluene-d₈) δ 10.87 (s, 1, H_α), 7.80-6.75 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 1.87 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.61 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.47 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.30 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.28 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.17 (s, 9, CMe₃), 1.14 (s, 3, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (toluene-d₈) δ 279.9 (d, ¹J_{CH} = 120, CHCMe₂Ph), 156.7, 155.9, 153.0, 151.9, 144.8, 144.7, 141.7, 141.6, 132.9, 132.3, 129.7, 128.9, 128.8, 127.3, 126.7, 126.6, 126.5, 126.3, 126.0 (C_{aryl}), 55.2 (CMe₂Ph), 37.0 (CMe₃), 36.6 (CMeMePh), 35.8 (CMeMePh), 35.2 (CMe₃), 35.1 (CMe₃), 33.3 (CMe₃), 32.1 (CMe₃), 32.0 (CMe₃), 31.9 (CMe₃), 31.0 (CMe₃), 30.4 (CMe₃). Anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₆₅NO₂Mo: C, 73.54; H, 8.36; N, 1.79. Found: C, 73.87; H, 8.18; N, 1.51.

Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)[Bipheno(t-Bu)₄](THF) [3(THF)]. The synthesis was similar to that of 1(THF) employing Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OTf)₂(DME) in place of Mo(N-2-t-Bu-C₆H₄)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OTf)₂(DME): ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 14.06 (s, anti H_α), 11.46 (s, 1, syn H_α), 7.80-6.90 (m, 12, H_{aryl}), 3.81 (sept, 2, CHMe₂), 3.52 (br s, 2, OCH₂CH₂), 1.90 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.60 (d, 6, CHMe₂), 1.55 (s, 18, CMe₃), 1.42 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.29 (br s, 13, CMe₃and OCH₂CH₂), 1.20 (s, 9, CMe₃), 0.96 (d, 6, CHMe₂). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₇₇NO₃Mo: C, 73.36; H, 8.78; N, 1.58. Found: C, 73.23; H, 9.49; N, 1.43.

Polymerization reactions. The following is a typical procedure. A solution of NBDF6 (310 mg, 1.36 mmol) in 4 mL of toluene was added to a solution of 4 (10.0 mg, 13.6 μ mol) in 1 mL of toluene and the mixture was heated to 65°C in an oil bath. After 5h at 65°C benzaldehyde (14.0 μ L, 134 μ mol, 10 equiv) was added to react with any alkylidene present. The mixture was

stirred for 1h and the polymer was precipitated in methanol and dried in vacuo to give 300 mg of polymer (97%). For polymerizations at low temperature, a pre-cooled (-35°C) solution containing the monomer was added to the cold solution of the initiator and the mixture was kept at approximately -35°C in the drybox freezer. The mixture was stirred several times during the polymerization reaction.

Acknowledgements. R.R.S. thanks the Navy for supporting research on ring opening metathesis polymerization.

References

(1) Ivin, K. J. "Olefin Metathesis"; Academic Press: New York, 1983.

(2) Grubbs, R. H.; Tumas, W. Science 1989, 243, 907.

(3) Schrock, R. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 158.

(4) Schrock, R. R. in "Ring-Opening Polymerization"; D. J. Brunelle, Ed.; Hanser: Munich, 1993; pp 129.

(5) Feldman, J.; Schrock, R. R. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 39, 1.

(6) Oskam, J. H.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 11831.

(7) O'Dell, R.; McConville, D. H.; Hofmeister, G. E.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3414.

(8) Bazan, G.; Khosravi, E.; Schrock, R. R.; Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; O'Regan, M. B.;

Thomas, J. K.; Davis, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8378.

(9) Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; Marshall, E. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1157.

(10) McConville, D. H.; Wolf, J. R.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4413.

(11) Schrock, R. R.; Crowe, W. E.; Bazan, G. C.; DiMare, M.; O'Regan, M. B.; Schofield, M. H. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1832.

(12) Schrock, R. R.; Murdzek, J. S.; Bazan, G. C.; Robbins, J.; DiMare, M.; O'Regan, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3875.

(13) Oskam, J. H.; Fox, H. H.; Yap, K. B.; McConville, D. H.; O'Dell, R.; Lichtenstein, B. J.;

Schrock, R. R. J. Organometal. Chem. 1993, 459, 185.

- (14) Lee, J.-K. Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1995.
- (15) Schaverien, C. J.; Meijboom, N.; Orpen, A. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 124.
- (16) van der Linden, A.; Schaverien, C. J.; Meijboom, N.; Ganter, C.; Orpen, A. G. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3008.

(17) Toreki, R.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3367.

(18) Landis, C. R.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1746.

(19) Chan, A. S. C.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 838.

- (20) Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; Ivin, K. J.; Kenwright, A. M.; Khosravi, E. J. Chem. Soc.,
- Chem. Commun. 1994, 1399.

(21) Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; Ivin, K. J.; Kenwright, A. M.; Khosravi, E. J. Molec. Catal. 1994, 90, 87.

(22) Fox, H. H.; Lee, J.-K.; Park, L. Y.; Schrock, R. R. Organometallics 1993, 12, 759.



Scheme 1. The four possible regular primary structures of polynorbornene.

Complex		$\delta(H_\alpha)^b$	yield(%)	ref
Mo(N-2-t-BuC ₆ H ₄)(CHR)[Bipheno(t-Bu) ₄]	1	10.87		-
Mo(N-2-t-BuC ₆ H ₄)(CHR)[Bipheno(t-Bu) ₄](THF)	1(THF)	11.15	78	-
$Mo(N-2,6-Me_2C_6H_3)(CHR)[Bipheno(t-Bu)_4](THF)$	2(THF)	11.52° 14.10		13
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHR)[Bipheno(t-Bu)_4](THF)$	3(THF)	11.43 ^d 14.30	68	-
$Mo(N-2-t-BuC_6H_4)(CHR)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2$	4	11.79	-	19
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHR)(O-2-t-Bu-C_6H_4)_2$	5	11.79 ^e 13.37	91	-
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHR)[OCMe_2(CF_3)]_2$	6	11.68	-	19
Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr ₂ C ₆ H ₃)(CHR)[OCMe(CF ₃) ₂] ₂	7	12.12	-	20
Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr ₂ C ₆ H ₃)(CHR)[OC(CF ₃) ₃] ₂	8	12.12	-	20
Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr ₂ C ₆ H ₃)(CHR)[OC(CF ₃) ₂ (CF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₃)]	2 9	12.12	-	20

Table 1. Crystalline Mo(VI) initiators employed in this work.^a

(a) $R = CMe_2Ph$ (b) Values are reported in ppm in C₆D₆ at ~23°C (c) 88% syn at ~23°C in C₆D₆. (d) 75% syn at ~23°C in C₆D₆. (e) 93% syn at ~23°C in C₆D₆.

T (°C)	solvent	time (h)	cis/trans ^b	PDIc	yield (%)
-35	THF	48	100/0		~5
-10	THF	48	100/0		~5
15	THF	24	83/17	1.38	43
23	THF	5	58/42	1.17	90
23	toluened	5	32/68	1.34	98
65	THF	5	24/76	1.10	99
-35	CH_2Cl_2	12	100/0		36
23	toluene	1	54/46		93
50	toluene	1	35/65		96
80	toluene	1	19/81		93
	T (°C) -35 -10 15 23 23 65 -35 23 50 80	T (°C)solvent -35 THF -10 THF 15 THF 23 THF 23 toluene ^d 65 THF -35 CH ₂ Cl ₂ 23 toluene 50 toluene 80 toluene	T (°C)solventtime (h)-35THF48-10THF4815THF2423THF523toluened565THF5-35CH2Cl21223toluene150toluene180toluene1	T (°C)solventtime (h)cis/transb-35THF48100/0-10THF48100/015THF2483/1723THF558/4223toluened532/6865THF524/76-35CH2Cl212100/023toluene154/4650toluene135/6580toluene119/81	T (°C)solventtime (h)cis/transbPDIc-35THF48100/010THF48100/015THF2483/171.3823THF558/421.1723toluened532/681.3465THF524/761.10-35CH2Cl212100/023toluene154/4650toluene135/6580toluene119/81

 Table 2. NBDF6 and DCMNBD 100mers prepared employing 1 as the initiator.^a

(a) NBDF6 = 2,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)norbornadiene; DCMNBD = 2,3dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene. (b) Measured by ¹H and ¹³C NMR as described elsewhere.³ (c) In THF versus polystyrene standards. (d) Immiscible phase formed during polymerization. One equivalent of THF present.

T (°C)	solvent	time (h)	cis/transa	PDI ^b	yield(%)				
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHCMe_2Ph)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2$ (7)									
25	toluene	5	97/3	1.28 ^c	100				
65	toluene	5	88/12	1.29 ^c	94				
23	THF	5	96/4	1.29	27 ^d				
65	THF	5	83/17	1.98	45 ^d				
$Mo(N-2-t-BuC_6H_4)($	CHCMe ₂ Ph)[O	CMe(CF ₃) ₂]	₂ (4)						
-35	toluene	24	97/3	1.56 ^c	83				
23	toluene	5	78/22	1.18	100				
	THF	5	87/13		21 ^d				
65	toluene	5	29/71	1.32 ^c	97				
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHCMe_2Ph)[Bipheno(t-Bu)_4]$ (3)									
-35	THF	48			0				
23	THF	5	99/1	1.33	98				
65	THF	5	90/10	1.19	96				
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHCMe_2Ph)(O-2-t-Bu-C_6H_4)_2$ (5)									
-35	THF	50	78/22		23				
23	THF	5	69/31	1.28	90				
	toluene	5	65/35	1.82	92				
65	THF	5	39/61	1.14	100				
$Mo(N-2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3)(CHCMe_2Ph)[OCMe_2(CF_3)]_2$ (6)									
-35	toluene	48	56/44	1.99	63 ^d				
23	toluene	5	36/64	1.24	100				
65	toluene	5	9/91	1.61 ^c	95				
23	THF	5	50/50	1.32	50 ^d				
65	THF	5	13/87	1.34 ^c	99				

 Table 3. Poly(NBDF6) 100mers prepared with various initiators at various temperatures.

(a) Measured as described elsewhere.³
(b) In THF versus polystyrene standards.
(c) Significant amount of double molecular weight peak was detected. The PDI value includes the double molecular weight peak.
(d) Incomplete polymerization.

		•		-	Ś		1	1		
Run	Monomer	Aryl	ЯО	Solv	equiv	time(h)	M _n (found) ^a	PDIa	cis(%)	tacticity
-	$(CF_3)_2^b$	(i-Pr)2	F6	thf	200	5	45700	1.10	98	75(mm)
5			F6	tol	100		19500	1.05	98	62(mm)
Э			F6	tol(10thf)	100		18600	1.12	86	60(mm)
4			F6	tol(10MeCN)	100		15800	1.06	98	57(mm)
5			F9	tol	100	2	21100	1.04	66	40(mm)
9			F9	pentane	100		17300	1.07	98	56(mm)
٢			F9	CF3C6H5	100		16200	1.04	66	53(mm)
8			F9	CH ₂ Cl ₂	100		13200	1.04	66	59(mm)
6			F9	DME	100		16700	1.03	66	44(mm)
10			F13	tol	40	4	6300	1.08	95	77(rr)
11		Me ₂	F6	tol	100		23200	1.09	66	52(mm)
12			F9	tol	100		11200	1.07	66	85(mm)
13			F13	tol	39		5400	1.11	66	88(mm)
14		t-Bu	F9	tol	100	0.4	18300	1.10	66	67(mm)
15	(CO ₂ Me) ₂ c	(i-Pr) ₂	F6	tol	100		16400	1.04	98	60(mm)
16			F9	tol	100		15400	1.19	76	61(mm) ^d
17			F13	tol	100		14900	1.20	66	54(mm)

Table 4. Tacticities of Polynorbornadienes Prepared Using Mo(NAryl)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OR)₂ Initiators.

.

52(mm)	71(mm)	69(mm)	71(mm)	65(mm)	88(mm)	95(mm)	90(mm)
96	66	66	76	76	66	66	66
1.16	1.17	1.12	1.26	1.27	1.15	1.16	1.42
20100	18900	0066	20200	11800	21300	21700	18700
100	100	50	100	100	100	100	100
la	l	l	l	l	lo	P	Ю
ţ	Ę	Ę	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ę	4
F6	F9	F13	F9	F9	F6	F9	F13
Me ₂			(i-Pr)2				
			(CO ₂ Et) ₂ ^e	$(CO_2i-Pr)_2^f$	(CO ₂ t-Bu) ₂ g		
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25

including end groups. ^d at 213 K, PDI = 1.09, 99% cis, 73%(mm). ^e 100 mer MW = 23822, including end groups. ^f 100 mer ^a By GPC viscometry employing refractometer data. ^b 100 mer MW = 23032, including end groups. ^c 100 mer MW = 21042, MW = 26652, including end groups. ^g 100 mer MW = 239462, including end groups.







Figure 2. 125 MHz ¹³C NMR spectra of the methylene region (C7) region of highcis poly(NBDF6) prepared in (a) run 1, (b) run 2, (c) run 5, and (d) run 10 in Table 4.



Figure 3. Four polymers prepared in (a) run 16, (b) run 21, (c) run 22, and (d) run 24; Table 4.

.



1

Figure 4. Possible relative temperature dependencies of the rate of second order chain growth versus first order rotamer interconversion.