USAARL Report No. 95-19



Transmittance Characteristics of U.S. Army Rotary-Wing Aircraft Transparencies

By

Sean L. Wentworth Everette McGowin III Rebecca H. Ivey Clarence E. Rash William E. McLean



Aircrew Health and Performance Division

501 6130020013

March 1995

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-0577

Notice

Qualified requesters

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.

Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

<u>Disclaimer</u>

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

<u>Human use</u>

Human subjects participated in these studies after giving their free and informed voluntary consent. Investigators adhered to AR 70-25 and USAMRDC Reg 70-25 on Use of Volunteers in Research.

Reviewed:

RICHARD R. LI

LTC, MS Director, Aircrew Health and Performance Division

W

ROGER W. WILLEY, O.D., Ph.D. Chairman, Scientific Review Committee

Released for publication:

DENNIS F. SHANAHAN Colonel, MC, MFS Commanding

•

.

,

۰

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT	DOCUMENTATI	ON PAGE		For OM	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIV	E MARKINGS					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release. distribution						
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDL	LE	unlimited	1					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER USAARL Report No. 95-19	R(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)						
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) MCMR – UAD	7a. NAME OF MC U.S. Army Command	NITORING ORGANIZATION Medical Resea	rch and	Materiel			
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. BOX 620577 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-0577		7b. ADDRESS(C Fort Det Frederick	ity, State, and ZIP Code) cick c, MD 21702-50	12				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREME	NT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFIC	CATION NUM	IBER			
Re ADDRESS (City State and 7/D Code)		10. SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMBERS					
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT			
		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO. ਸਸ	ACCESSION NO.			
		0002787A	JH102/8/AA8/9	PE	104			
(U) Transmittance Characteri	stics of U.S. Arm	y Rotary-Wi	ng Aircraft Tra	inspare	ncies			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Sean Wentworth, Everette McG	owin, III, Rebecc	a Ivey, Cla	rence Rash, Wil	liam Mo	cLean			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIN Final FROM	E COVERED TO	14. DATE OF RE 1995 Marc	PORT (<i>Year, Month, Day</i>) :h	15. PAGE	COUNT 29			
16. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTATION								
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse if i	necessary and identify by blo	ck number)				
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	windscreen, t	ransparency	, optical test	ung				
14 04 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This report documents a survey of the spectral and luminous transmittance characteristics of transparencies (windscreens) used in currently fielded U.S. Army rotary-wing aircraft. The survey was conducted in two phases. In the first phase, samples of windscreens from each aircraft type were evaluated in the laboratory for phototopic (day) and scotopic (night) luminous transmittance. The spectral transmittance of each sample also was measured. Based on laboratory measurements of unused samples, all windscreens met specifications. However, field measurements on windscreens showed consistent failure of luminous transmittance requirements. This loss of transmittance is attributed to haze resulting from exposure to environmental factors.								
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		21. ABSTRACT S Unclassif	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION	I				
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Chief, Science Support Center (334) 255-6907 MCMR-IIAX-SS								
DD Form 1473 IIIN 86 Previous editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE								
			Uncla	ssified				

Table of contents

List of tables	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 2
Introduction	•	•	•	•		•	•	. 3
Specifications and requirements	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 3
Methodology	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 9
Spectral transmittance	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 9
Luminance transmittance	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
Field measurements	•		-	•	•	•	•	10
Data	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
Spectral transmittance	•	•	•	•		•	•	10
Luminous transmittance	•	•	•	•		•		19
Summary	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23
References		•	•	•				24
Appendix A - List of transparency manufacturers		•	•	•		•	•	26
Appendix B - List of equipment manufacturers .	•	•	•		•	•	•	29

•

.

.

•

List of figures

Figure	no.																			F	Pag	je	nc	>.
1.	The	AH-1 Co	obra .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
2.	The	AH-64 2	Apache	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
3.	The	CH-47D	Chinoc	ok	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
4.	The	OH-6 Ca	ayuse	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
5.	The	OH-58A	Kiowa	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	6
6.	The	OH-58C	Kiowa	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
7.	The	OH-58D	Kiowa	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7

List of figures (Continued).

Figure	no.	Ρ	ag	re	no.	
8.	The TH-67 Creek	•	•	•	. 7	
9.	The UH-1 Iroquois	•	•	•	. 8	
10.	The UH-60 Black Hawk	•		•	. 8	
11.	Spectral transmittance curve for AH-1 Cobra	•	•		11	
12.	Spectral transmittance curve for AH-64 Apache .	•	•	•	12	
13.	Spectral transmittance curve for CH-47D Chinook	•	•		13	
14.	Spectral transmittance curve for OH-58A/D Kiowa	•		•	14	
15.	Spectral transmittance curve for OH-58C Kiowa .	•	•	•	15	
16.	Spectral transmittance curve for TH-67 Creek	•	•	•	16	
17.	Spectral transmittance curve for UH-1 Iroquois .	•			17	
18.	Spectral transmittance curve for UH-60 Black Hawk			•	18	
19.	Example of surface abrasion present in an AH-64 windscreen		•	•	23	

List of tables

Table	no.	Pag	Je	no.
1.	Luminous transmittance (in percent)	•••	•	20
2.	Field measurements of photopic luminous transmitted (in percent)	ance •••	• •	21
3.	Comparison of laboratory and field photopic luminor transmittance measurements	ous • •	•	22



2

<u>Introduction</u>

This report documents a survey of the spectral and luminous transmittance characteristics of transparencies (windscreens) used in currently fielded U.S. Army rotary-wing aircraft (AH-1 Cobra, AH-64 Apache, CH-47 Chinook, OH-6 Cayuse, OH-58A/C/D Kiowa, TH-67 Creek, UH-1 Iroquois, and UH-60 Black Hawk [Figures 1-10]). These characteristics are essential to addressing issues related to aviator and crewman visual performance. In addition, spectral transmittance characteristics impact the performance of helmet-mounted imaging systems, such as the AN/AVS-6 Aviator's Night Vision Imaging System (ANVIS).

Previous investigations of the optical characteristics of U.S. Army rotary-wing aircraft transparencies (Chiou, 1975, 1976; Chiou, Park, and Moser, 1976; Crosley, 1968) may no longer be representative of currently fielded transparencies. Manufacturers of U.S. Army aircraft transparencies often change with each procurement contract. Appendix A provides a list of current manufacturers.

The survey was conducted in two phases. In the first phase, samples of windscreens from each aircraft type were evaluated in the laboratory for photopic (day) and scotopic (night) luminous transmittance. The spectral transmittance of each sample also was measured.

Installed transparencies are exposed continuously to the environment, collision with airborne particulate matter, and the abuses which often accompany aircraft maintenance. Therefore, to provide a more realistic assessment of transmittance values as experienced in the field, a second phase consisting of field measurements of photopic luminous transmittance for windscreens installed on aircraft on the flight line was conducted.

The laboratory measurements were taken on new (or not previously used) transparency samples. Due to limited availability of such transparencies, only a single sample of each forward windscreen could be obtained for each aircraft type. [An exception to this was the inability to obtain any front windscreens of the OH-6 or the right front windscreen for the UH-60.] Therefore, the data reported herein should be considered only representative of transparency performance. Field measurements (photopic transmittance only) were made on six aircraft per type.

Specifications and requirements

MIL-W-81752A(AS), "Military specification: Windshield systems, fixed wing aircraft, general specification for,"



Figure 1. The AH-1 Cobra.



Figure 2. The AH-64 Apache.



Figure 4. The OH-6 Cayuse.



Figure 5. The OH-58A Kiowa.



Figure 6. The OH-58C Kiowa.



Figure 7. The OH-58D Kiowa.



Figure 8. The TH-67 Creek.



Figure 9. The UH-1 Iroquois.



Figure 10. The UH-60 Black Hawk.

requires attack type aircraft to have an average luminous transmittance of not less than 80 percent when measured at normal angles of incidence to the surface. Other aircraft are required to have an average luminous transmittance of not less than 60 percent when measured at normal angles of incidence to the surface.

During day flights, pilotage and other external tasks are primarily accomplished by naked eye viewing through the windscreens and windows. However, current U.S. Army doctrine requires pilots and crewmen to perform missions successfully during periods of low illuminance, e.g., at night and in foul weather. To achieve acceptable performance under these conditions, devices based on the principle of image intensification are used in the cockpit and crew areas. The most prominent of these devices is the ANVIS. This night vision system has a spectral response of 450-950 nanometers (nm) with an enhanced sensitivity from 625-900 nm (MIL-L-85762A). Windscreens and windows must provide adequate spectral transmittance over this latter spectral range to optimize ANVIS performance.

MIL-W-81752A(AS) states the windshield shall be (ANVIS) compatible over the wavelength range of 600-900 nanometers.

Methodology

Spectral transmittance

Spectral transmittance data were obtained in a darkened laboratory using an EG&G Gamma Scientific* model C-9 spectral scanning system and a model RS-1 tungsten source. Spectroradiometric data were measured over the wavelength range of 350-950 nanometers in 5-nm steps for the reference tungsten source alone and for each transparency sample/source combination. The transmittance curves were obtained by performing a division, by wavelength, of the transparency/source combination data by the source data.

A sample of the left front windscreen was measured in each aircraft with side-by-side seating. A lower front windscreen sample was measured for the attack aircraft, which have tandem seating. In order to minimize scratching of the unused transparencies during measurement, the protective sheeting was removed from as small an area as possible. Therefore, measurements were taken at arbitrary and different points on each samples. [Note: This was not considered to be a relevant factor since an investigation of several samples showed a variation of less than 5 percent across the sample. A similar investigation

*See Appendix B.

of the effect of slant (deviation from normal) also showed a variation of less than 5 percent.] Settings of 900 volts photomultiplier tube anode voltage and 1-degree aperture size on the collection optics were used.

Luminous transmittance

Photopic and scotopic luminous transmittance values were measured in a darkened laboratory using a Photo Research* model 1980A photometer and EG&G Gamma Scientific model RS-1 tungsten source. Following a prescribed warm-up period for the photometer and the reference lamp, luminous transmittance measurements were taken for each sample using the photopic and scotopic filters integral to the photometer. Each measurement consisted of reading the luminance of the reference lamp, placing the respective transparency sample normal to the optical path, and taking a second luminance reading. The transmittance was calculated by dividing the luminance value obtained of the sample/source combination by the value obtained of the source alone. Three readings were obtained for each sample. The mean of these three values was calculated and reported.

Field measurements

Field measurements of photopic transmittance values were acquired for six of each aircraft type on flight lines at U.S. Army airfields at Fort Rucker, Alabama. [Note: An exception was the OH-58C aircraft, where only four aircraft were measured.] Measurements were made using an EG&G Gamma RS-1 tungsten source powered by a field generator and a Minolta* 1-degree aperture luminance meter. Each measurement consisted of reading the luminance of the reference source alone and reading the reference source luminance from a position of the left seat for aircraft with side-by-side seating and from the front seat of aircraft with tandem seating. The transmittance was calculated by dividing the value obtained from the cockpit by the value of the source alone.

<u>Data</u>

Spectral transmittance

The transmittance curves for the windscreen sample are provided in Figures 11-18. The samples from AH-64 (except aft windscreen), CH-47, UH-1, and UH-60 aircraft were of glass composition. The AH-1, OH-58A/C/D, and TH-67 samples were of acrylic composition. All samples were of "clear" material except for the TH-67, which had a bluish tint.



Spectral transmittance curve for AH-1 Cobra. Figure 11.







Spectral transmittance curve for CH-47D Chinook. Figure 13.



Spectral transmittance curve for OH-58A/D Kiowa.

14



Spectral transmittance curve for OH-58C Kiowa. Figure 15.



Spectral transmittance curve for TH-67 Creek. Figure 16.

.



Spectral transmittance curve for UH-1 Iroquois. Figure 17.



Spectral transmittance curve for UH-60 Black Hawk. Figure 18. The spectral curves in Figures 11-18 correspond to the descriptions above. The curves for the AH-1, OH-58, and TH-67 samples demonstrate the spectral transmittance characteristics of acrylic materials. These include an ultraviolet cut-off between 350-380 nm and excellent spectral neutrality (flatness of transmittance) over the visible spectral range 400-780 nm. These acrylic windscreens also provide high, relatively flat, transmittance over the spectral response range of the ANVIS, 450-930 nm. The TH-67 sample has a deviation from neutrality over the range 560-780 nm (Figure 16). This decrease in transmittance of the red wavelengths produces the bluish color of the tint.

The glass samples of the AH-64, CH-47, UH-1, and UH-60 also provide a relatively neutral transmittance over the measured spectral range with a similar UV cutoff around 360 nm, but present some relative falloff in transmittance beyond 600 nm. This is of little significance to naked eye vision, which peaks at 550 nm. It also has little effect on ANVIS performance, which has enhanced sensitivity over the spectral range of 625-900 nm.

Note 1: The OH-6, while not available for laboratory measurement of spectral transmittance, is manufactured from acrylic material and should have optical characteristics similar to the AH-1, OH-58A, and TH-67 samples.

Note 2: The apparent increase of transmittance below 360 nm present in the curves is an artifact of the collection optics and spectral sensitivity of the spectroradiometer.

Luminous transmittance

Clear glass materials typically provide 80 to 92 percent photopic luminous transmittance; acrylic typically provides 85 to 92 percent (IES, 1984). The photopic and scotopic luminous values obtained in the laboratory measurements are presented in Table 1. The photopic values ranged from 73 to 93 percent; scotopic values ranged from 81 to 91 percent. When the TH-67 tinted samples are excluded, the photopic values for the glass samples ranged from 82 to 88 percent and the values for the acrylic samples ranged from 90 to 93 percent. The lowest photopic values, 73 and 77 percent, were for the tinted TH-67 samples.

The scotopic values generally tracked within a few percentage points of their corresponding photopic values. This was due to the flatness of the transmittance properties of glass and acrylic. The exception, as noted, of the TH-67 samples and their attenuation of red light produced higher scotopic values. Based on the laboratory measurements, all of the tested windscreen samples met the requirements of MIL-W-81752A(AS).

The photopic luminous transmittance values obtained for flight line aircraft are presented in Table 2. These values ranged from 58 to 84 percent.

<u>Table 1</u>.

Aircraft	Panel po	osition and FSN*	Photopic	Scotopic
AH-1	front	1560-01-028-0476	92	91
AH-64	forward	1560-01-170-7475	82	81
AH-64	center	1560-01-170-7474	82	81
AH-64	aft	1560-01-165-9621	88	89
CH-47	right	1560-00-133-7158	83	82
CH-47	center	1560-00-113-7857	85	87
CH-47	left	1560-00-133-7157	82	82
OH-6	left right	1560-00-133-6186 1560-00-133-6229	**	**
OH-58A	right	1560-00-127-3179	90	91
OH-58A	left	1560-00-127-3181	92	91
OH-58C OH-58C (curved)	right left	1560-01-070-5360 1560-01-070-5359	92 92	91 91
OH-58D	right	1560-00-127-3179	90	91
OH-58D	left	1560-00-127-3181	92	91
TH-67	right	206-031-115-105	73	82
TH-67	left	206-031-115-0335	77	85
UH-1	right	1560-00-433-7271	89	89
UH-1	left	1560-00-433-7321	93	89
UH-60	right	1560-01-084-2250	**	**
UH-60	center	1560-01-207-7485	82	82
UH-60	left	1560-01-084-2249	84	81

Luminous transmittance (in percent).

* Federal stock number; for TH-67, manufacturer part number is given.

** Samples of OH-6 and OH-58 flat windscreens and the right front UH-60 windscreen were not available.

т	ab	le	2	

Aircraft windscreen	Photopic transmittances (in percent)	Mean	Standard deviation
AH-1 front bottom	83, 75, 76, 81, 77, 82	79	3.4
AH-64 front bottom	71, 67, 76, 72, 73, 70	72	3.0
CH-47 front left	, 62, 68, 70, 63, 48*	66	3.9
OH-6 front left	80, 70, 67, 70, 71, 75	72	4.6
OH-58A front left	77, 77, 75, 78, 76, 75	76	1.2
OH-58C curved front left	53, 62, 59, 58*	58	3.7
OH-58C flat front left	70, 60, 58, 63, 58, 66	63	4.8
OH-58D front left	71, 72, 73, 74, 70, 76	73	2.2
TH-67 front left	65, 64, 62, 64, 63, 67	64	1.7
UH-1 front left	83, 86, 84, 82, 84, 84	84	1.3
UH-60 front left	5, 75, 75, 71, 74, 75	74	1.6

Field measurements of photopic luminous transmittance (in percent).

* Note: For the CH-47, the first reading was invalid due to a recording error and for the last reading, condensation on the interior of windscreen produced an erroneous value; neither value is shown in the table. For the OH-58C with curved windscreen, only four aircraft were available for measurement. These windscreens exhibited significant levels of abrasion and the obtained values were further affected by condensation and fogging. In Table 3, a comparison between the laboratory and field photopic luminous transmittance values (for front left windscreens) is presented. The percent decrease in photopic transmittance between the unused and fielded windscreens are presented in the last column. In each case, the field value decreased from the laboratory value. Percent decrease

<u>Table 3</u>.

Comparison of laboratory and field photopic luminous transmittance measurements.

Aircraft	Laboratory value	Field value	Percent decrease
AH-1	92	79	14
AH-64	82	72	12
CH-47	83	66	20
OH-6		72	
OH-58A	92	76	17
OH-58C curved	92	58	37
OH-58C flat		63	
OH-58D	92	73	21
TH-67	77	64	17
UH-1	93	84	10
UH-60	84	74	12

Note: Unused samples of OH-6 and OH-58C flat windscreens were not available.

ranged from 10 percent for the UH-1 to 37 percent for the OH-58C (curved). The mean percent decrease was 18 percent. (If the relatively large percent decrease value of 37 for the OH-58C is excluded, the mean percent decrease was 15 percent.) Several factors attributed to this decrease. As would be expected under field conditions, the windscreens were dirty both inside and outside. In addition, because the field measurements were

taken at night, condensation and fogging also were present in varying degrees. These factors, while contributing, are considered secondary to the effects of haze resulting from the highly abraded external surfaces of the windscreens. Figure 19 shows an example of an AH-64 windscreen having a significant level of abrasion.

<u>Summary</u>

All of the windscreen samples (except for the tinted TH-67) were found to be spectrally neutral over the visible spectrum. Likewise, all samples indicated sufficient spectral transmittance over the spectral range required for optimal performance of ANVIS.

For luminous transmittance, all of the unused samples measured in the laboratory met the requirements of MIL-W-81752A(AS). However, an analysis of the field measurements of



Figure 19. Example of surface abrasion present in an AH-64 windscreen.

luminous transmittance, while qualified by the small sample size, shows significant decreases in transmittance for all windscreen types. These decreases are considered to be caused by haze resulting from the physical abuse to which the windscreens are subjected.

The governing specifications require attack aircraft to have an average luminous transmittance of not less than 80 percent and nonattack aircraft to have not less than 60 percent. All windscreen samples met this requirement in the laboratory measurements. However, based on field measurements, neither attack aircraft, the AH-1 or AH-64, met the 80 percent requirement. The OH-58C curved windscreens, with a mean value of 58 percent, failed to meet the 60 percent requirement for nonattack aircraft. The conclusion which can be drawn from this study seems to be that all windscreen samples meet the specification for luminous transmittance upon delivery, but during usage degrade in performance. Since data were not available to correlate performance degradation with length of service, it is not possible to formulate a recommendation on how often to replace the windscreens. However, it is obvious from the data that in the harsh environments of military flight, the optical performance of the windscreens does degrade below that required by the specification and this situation warrants a policy of closer inspection at the unit level.

References

- Chiou, W. C. 1975. <u>Visible spectral transmission characteris-</u> <u>tics of windscreens in Army aircraft</u>. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL LR-75-29-7-8
- Chiou, W. C. 1976. <u>Visible and near infrared spectral</u> <u>transmission characteristics of windscreens in Army</u> <u>aircraft</u>. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL Report 76-14.
- Chiou, W. C., Park, C. K., and Moser, C. E. 1976. <u>Attenuation</u> of light transmission in Army aircraft transparencies due to <u>slating</u>. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL Report 76-23
- Crosley, J. K. 1968. <u>Tinted windscreens in U.S. Army</u> <u>aircraft</u>. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARU Report No. 68-7
- Department of Defense. 1988. <u>Military specification: Lighting,</u> <u>aircraft, interior, night vision imaging system compatible</u>. Washington, DC: Department of Defense. MIL-L-85762A.

- Department of Defense. 1988. <u>Military specification: Windshield</u> <u>systems, fixed wing aircraft, general specification for</u>. Washington, DC: Department of Defense. MIL-W-81752A(AS), Amendment 1.
- Illuminating Engineering Society (IES) of North America. 1984. <u>IES lighting handbook</u>. J. E. Kaufman, editor. New York, NY: IES of North America.

.

Appendix A

List of transparency manufacturers. <u>AH-1</u> Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. 600 E Hurst Blvd. P.O. Box 482 Fort Worth, TX 76101-8020 (817)280-2011LP Aero Plastics Inc. Road 1 P.O. Box B Jeannette, PA 15644-9730 (412)744 - 4448AH-64 McDonald Douglas Helicopter Co. Sub of McDonald Douglas Corp. 6775 Centinela Ave. Culver City, CA 90230-6370 (310)305-6562PPG Aircraft Product Sales 1719 Highway 72E P.O. Box 040004 Huntsville, AL 35804 (205)851-7001 <u>CH-47</u> PPG Aircraft Product Sales 1719 Highway 72E P.O. Box 2200 Huntsville, AL 35804 (205)859-2500 Boeing Helicopter Division of the Boeing Co. Boeing Center Industrial Hwy Bldg 3-25 Ridley Park, PA 19078 (215)591 - 3010

<u>Appendix A</u> (Continued)

List of transparency manufacturers

<u>OH-6</u>

McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Co. 6775 Centinela Ave. Culver City, CA 90230-6370 (310)305-6562

Ten Cate Aerospace Inc. 5101 Blue Mound Rd. Fort Worth, TX 76106

Texstar 802 Ave. J East Grand Prairie, TX 75050-2552 (214)647-1366

<u>OH-58</u>

Bell Helicopter Textron Inc. 600 E Hurst Blvd. P.O. Box 482 Fort Worth, TX 76101-8020 (817)280-2011

Texstar, Inc. 802 Ave. J East Grand Prairie, TX 75050-2552 (214)647-1366

<u>TH-67</u>

Bell Helicopter Textron Inc. 600 E Hurst Blvd. P.O. Box 482 Fort Worth, TX 76101-8020 (817)280-2011

<u>UH-1</u>

PPG Industries, Inc. Aircraft Product Sales 1719 Highway 72 E P.O. Box 040004 Huntsville, AL 35804 (205)851-7001

Appendix A (Continued)

List of transparency manufacturers

<u>UH-60</u>

PPG Industries, Inc. 1 PPG PL Pittsburgh, PA 15272-0001 (412)434-3131

PPG 1719 Highway 72E P.O. Box 2200 Huntsville, AL 35804 (205)859-8500

Davis Aircraft Product Co. Inc. 1150 Walnut Avenue P.O. Box 525 Bohemia, NY 11716-2105 (516)563-1500

Appendix B

List of equipment manufacturers

EG&G Gamma Scientific Inc. 3777 Ruffin Rd. San Diego, CA 92123

Minolta Corporation 101 Williams Drive Ramsey, NJ 07446

Photo Research Division of Kollmorgen 9330 DeSoto Ave. P.O. Box 2192 Chatsworth, CA 91313-2192

Initial distribution

Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: SATNC-MIL (Documents Librarian) Natick, MA 01760-5040

Chairman National Transportation Safety Board 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20594

Commander 10th Medical Laboratory ATTN: Audiologist APO New York 09180

Naval Air Development Center Technical Information Division Technical Support Detachment Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer, Naval Medical Research and Development Command National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, MD 20814-5044

Deputy Director, Defense Research and Engineering ATTN: Military Assistant for Medical and Life Sciences Washington, DC 20301-3080

Commander, U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine Natick, MA 01760

Library Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab Box 900, Naval Sub Base Groton, CT 06349-5900 Executive Director, U.S. Army Human Research and Engineering Directorate ATTN: Technical Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander Man-Machine Integration System Code 602 Naval Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974

Commander Naval Air Development Center ATTN: Code 602-B Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer Armstrong Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-6573

Director Army Audiology and Speech Center Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307-5001

Commander/Director U.S. Army Combat Surveillance and Target Acquisition Lab ATTN: SFAE-IEW-JS Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5305

Director Federal Aviation Administration FAA Technical Center Atlantic City, NJ 08405

Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Washington, DC 20307-5100 IAF Liaison Officer for Safety USAF Safety Agency/SEFF 9750 Avenue G, SE Kirtland Air Force Base NM 87117-5671

Naval Aerospace Medical Institute Library Building 1953, Code 03L Pensacola, FL 32508-5600

Command Surgeon HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG) U.S. Central Command MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33608

Director Directorate of Combat Developments ATTN: ATZQ-CD Building 515 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT/LDEE) Building 640, Area B Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433

Henry L. Taylor Director, Institute of Aviation University of Illinois-Willard Airport Savoy, IL 61874

Chief, National Guard Bureau ATTN: NGB-ARS Arlington Hall Station 111 South George Mason Drive Arlington, VA 22204-1382

AAMRL/HEX Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433 Commander U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command ATTN: AMSAT-R-ES 4300 Goodfellow Bouvelard St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command Library and Information Center Branch
ATTN: AMSAV-DIL
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120

Federal Aviation Administration Civil Aeromedical Institute Library AAM-400A P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Commander U.S. Army Medical Department and School ATTN: Library Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research ATTN: SGRD-USM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

Air University Library (AUL/LSE) Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

Product Manager Aviation Life Support Equipment ATTN: SFAE-AV-LSE 4300 Goodfellow Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63120-1798 Commander and Director USAE Waterways Experiment Station ATTN: CEWES-IM-MI-R, CD Department 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Commanding Officer Naval Biodynamics Laboratory P.O. Box 24907 New Orleans, LA 70189-0407

Assistant Commandant U.S. Army Field Artillery School ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Mr. Peter Seib Human Engineering Crew Station Box 266 Westland Helicopters Limited Yeovil, Somerset BA20 2YB UK

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground Technical Library, Building 5330 Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground Technical Library Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library 6510 TW/TSTL Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000

Commander Code 3431 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555 Aeromechanics Laboratory U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1 Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army ATTN: SMA Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander U.S. Army Aeromedical Center Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Strughold Aeromedical Library Document Service Section 2511 Kennedy Circle Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5122

Dr. Diane Damos Department of Human Factors ISSM, USC Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands Missile Range ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

Director, Airworthiness Qualification Test Directorate (ATTC) ATTN: STEAT-AQ-O-TR (Tech Lib) 75 North Flightline Road Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-6100

Ms. Sandra G. Hart Ames Research Center MS 262-3 Moffett Field, CA 94035

Commander USAMRMC ATTN: SGRD-UMZ Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009 Commander U.S. Army Health Services Command ATTN: HSOP-SO Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

U. S. Army Research Institute Aviation R&D Activity ATTN: PERI-IR Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander U.S. Army Safety Center Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development Test Activity ATTN: STEBG-MP-P Cairns Army Air Field Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander USAMRMC ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL R. Gifford) Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

TRADOC Aviation LO Unit 21551, Box A-209-A APO AE 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

British Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Italian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362 Directorate of Training Development Building 502 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office P. O. Box 716 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander, U.S. Army Aviation Center and Fort Rucker ATTN: ATZQ-CG Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Sehchang HahDept. of Behavior Sciences and Leadership, Building 601, Room 281U. S. Military AcademyWest Point, NY 10996-1784

Canadian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

French Army Liaison Office USAAVNC (Building 602) Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Australian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund 6 Burning Tree Court Bethesda, MD 20817

Commandant, Royal Air Force Institute of Aviation Medicine Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 6SZ UK Defense Technical Information Cameron Station, Building 5 Alexandra, VA 22304-6145

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center AIFRTA (Davis) 220 7th Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander Applied Technology Laboratory USARTL-ATCOM ATTN: Library, Building 401 Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Commander, U.S. Air Force Development Test Center 101 West D Avenue, Suite 117 Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542-5495

Aviation Medicine Clinic TMC #22, SAAF Fort Bragg, NC 28305

Dr. H. Dix Christensen Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753 Post Office Box 26901 Oklahoma City, OK 73190

Commander, U.S. Army Missile Command Redstone Scientific Information Center ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R /ILL Documents Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Aerospace Medicine Team HQ ACC/SGST3 162 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 100 Langley Air Force Base, VA 23665-1995 U.S. Army Research and Technology Laboratories (AVSCOM) Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2 NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135

Commander USAMRMC ATTN: SGRD-ZC (COL John F. Glenn) Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Dr. Eugene S. Channing 166 Baughman's Lane Frederick, MD 21702-4083

U.S. Army Medical Department and School USAMRDALC Liaison ATTN: HSMC-FR Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

NVESD AMSEL-RD-NV-ASID-PST (Attn: Trang Bui) 10221 Burbeck Road Fort Belvior, VA 22060-5806

CA Av Med HQ DAAC Middle Wallop Stockbridge, Hants S020 8DY UK

Dr. Christine Schlichting Behavioral Sciences Department Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commander Aviation Applied Technology Directorate ATTN: AMSAT-R-TV Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577 COL Yehezkel G. Caine, MD Surgeon General, Israel Air Force Aeromedical Center Library P. O. Box 02166 I.D.F. Israel

HQ ACC/DOHP 205 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 101 Langley Air Force Base, VA 23665-2789

41st Rescue Squadron 41st RQS/SG 940 Range Road Patrick Air Force Base, FL 32925-5001

48th Rescue Squadron 48th RQS/SG 801 Dezonia Road Holloman Air Force Base, NM 88330-7715

HQ, AFOMA ATTN: SGPA (Aerospace Medicine) Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, DC 20332-6128

ARNG Readiness Center ATTN: NGB-AVN-OP Arlington Hall Station 111 South George Mason Drive Arlington, VA 22204-1382

35th Fighter Wing 35th FW/SG PSC 1013 APO AE 09725-2055

66th Rescue Squadron 66th RQS/SG 4345 Tyndall Avenue Nellis Air Force Base, NV 89191-6076 71st Rescue Squadron 71st RQS/SG 1139 Redstone Road Patrick Air Force Base, FL 32925-5000

Director Aviation Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: AMSAT-R-Z 4300 Goodfellow Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander USAMRMC ATTN: SGRD-ZB (COL C. Fred Tyner) Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Commandant U.S. Army Command and General Staff College ATTN: ATZL-SWS-L Fort Levenworth, KS 66027-6900

ARNG Readiness Center ATTN: NGB-AVN-OP Arlington Hall Station 111 South George Mason Drive Arlington, VA 22204-1382

Director Army Personnel Research Establishment Farnborough, Hants GU14 6SZ UK

Dr. A. Kornfield 895 Head Street San Francisco, CA 94132-2813

ARNG Readiness Center AATN: NGB-AVN-OP Arlington Hall Station 111 South George Mason Drive Arlington, VA 22204-1382 Commander, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command Directorate for Test and Evaluation ATTN: AMSTE-TA-M (Human Factors Group) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5055

Naval Air Systems Command Technical Air Library 950D Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20361

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Chemical Defense ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5425

Commander USAMRMC ATTN: SGRD-RMS Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O) 5109 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22041-3258

Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: Technical Information Branch 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Agency ATTN: AMXSY-PA (Reports Processing) Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center and School Library Simpson Hall, Building 3071 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency ATTN: HSHB-MO-A Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research and Development Center Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Commander U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Disease ATTN: SGRD-UIZ-C Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological Sciences Division Office of Naval Research 600 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217

Commandant U.S. Army Aviation Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Headquarters (ATMD) U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command ATTN: ATBO-M Fort Monroe, VA 23651 Cdr, PERSCOM ATTN: TAPC-PLA 200 Stovall Street, Rm 3N25 Alexandria, VA 22332-0413

т

HQ, AFOMA ATTN; SGPA (Aerospace Medicine) Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, DC 20332-6188