

FY1996 / FY1997  
BIENNIAL BUDGET ESTIMATES  
*AIR NATIONAL GUARD*

---

---



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited



DTIC  
SELECTE  
FEB 28 1995  
S C D

19950216 023

FY 1996

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
PROGRAM

Justification Data Submitted to Congress  
February 1995

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
 AIR NATIONAL GUARD  
 JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST	i - v
NEW MISSION vs CURRENT MISSION	I - III
SECTION I BUDGET APPENDIX EXTRACT	
Language	a-i
Special Program Considerations	a-ii - a-iii
Program and Financing Schedule	a-iv - av
Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)	a-vi
SECTION II INSTALLATION AND PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DATA	
DD Form 1390s and 1391s	b-1 - b-183

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By <i>Per A277391</i>	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
<i>A-1</i>	

**SUMMARY PROJECT LIST  
AIR NATIONAL GUARD  
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM -- FY 1996**

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT (000)</u>	<u>DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.</u>
Alabama	<b>Birmingham Municipal Airport (ANG)</b> Alter KC-135 Aircraft Shops	4,400	b - 3
	<b>Dannelly Field (ANG)</b> Fire Station	<u>1,445</u>	b - 8
	Sub-Total Alabama	<b>5,845</b>	
Arizona	<b>Tucson International Airport</b> Add to and Alter Aircraft Support Equipment Shop	<u>600</u>	b - 13
	Sub-Total Arizona	<b>600</b>	
California	<b>Sepulveda Air National Guard Station</b> Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>320</u>	b - 175
	Sub-Total California	<b>320</b>	
Colorado	<b>Buckley Air National Guard Base</b> Base Engineer Pavements and Grounds Facility	450	b - 20
	Upgrade Heating Systems	<u>950</u>	b - 22
	Sub-Total Colorado	<b>1,400</b>	
Georgia	<b>Glynco Air National Guard Station</b> Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	b - 175
	<b>Hunter ANG Station No. 2</b> Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	400	b - 175
	<b>Savannah International Airport</b> Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops	<u>1,300</u>	b - 31
	Sub-Total Georgia	<b>2,020</b>	
Idaho	<b>Boise Air Terminal (Gowen Field)</b> Remove Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>320</u>	b - 175
	Sub-Total Idaho	<b>320</b>	

STATE/  
COUNTRY

INSTALLATION AND  
PROJECT

AUTH/APPROP  
AMOUNT (000)

DD FORM 1391  
PAGE NO.

<b>Illinois</b>	<b>Greater Peoria Airport (ANG)</b>		
	Add to Aircraft Parking Apron	630	b - 38
	Aircraft Deicing Facility	400	b - 176
	Add to and Alter Squadron Operations Facility	970	b - 40
	Alter Aerial Port Training Facility	710	b - 42
	Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops	1,450	b - 44
	Add to Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	<u>1,200</u>	b - 46
	Sub-Total Illinois	5,360	
<b>Kansas</b>	<b>McConnell Air Force Base</b>		
	Alter B-1 Squadron Operations Facility	<u>800</u>	b - 50
	Sub-Total Kansas	800	
<b>Massachusetts</b>	<b>Barnes Municipal Airport (ANG)</b>		
	Vehicle Maintenance Complex	2,000	b - 54
	<b>Worcester ANG Station</b>		
	Add to and Alter Vehicle Maintenance Facility	<u>350</u>	b - 176
	Sub-Total Massachusetts	2,350	
<b>Michigan</b>	<b>Selfridge ANG Base</b>		
	Upgrade Heating Systems	<u>2,900</u>	b - 61
	Sub-Total Michigan	2,900	
<b>Minnesota</b>	<b>Minneapolis St. Paul International Airport</b>		
	Aircraft Deicing Facility	400	b - 176
	Upgrade Heating System	<u>780</u>	b - 66
	Sub-Total Minnesota	1,180	
<b>New Jersey</b>	<b>Atlantic City Airport (ANG)</b>		
	Upgrade Sanitary and Water Systems	650	b - 70
	<b>McGuire Air Force Base</b>		
	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility	5,700	b - 75
	<b>Warren Grove Range</b>		
	Composite Range Operations Facility	<u>1,100</u>	b - 80
	Sub-Total New Jersey	7,450	

STATE/  
COUNTRY

INSTALLATION AND  
PROJECT

AUTH/APPROP  
AMOUNT (000)

DD FORM 1391  
PAGE NO.

<b>New Mexico</b>	<b>Kirtland Air Force Base</b>		
	Alter Aircraft Maintenance Hangar and Shops	900	b - 85
	Composite Engine and NDI Shop	2,700	b - 88
	Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility	1,800	b - 91
	LANTIRN Maintenance Facility	<u>620</u>	b - 94
	Sub-Total New Mexico	6,020	
<b>New York</b>	<b>Hancock Field (ANG)</b>		
	Composite Medical Training Facility	1,990	b - 98
	<b>Niagara Falls International Airport</b>		
	Upgrade Runway Overrun	1,950	b - 103
	Upgrade Storm Water and Sanitary Sewer System	<u>400</u>	b - 176
	Sub-Total New York	4,340	
<b>Ohio</b>	<b>Blue Ash ANG Station</b>		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	380	b - 177
	<b>Camp Perry ANG Station</b>		
	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	b - 177
	<b>Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base</b>		
Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	<u>310</u>	b - 177	
	Sub-Total Ohio	1,010	
<b>Oklahoma</b>	<b>Tulsa International Airport</b>		
	Composite Communications Facility	1,900	b - 113
	<b>Will Rogers World Airport</b>		
	Petroleum Operations Facility	400	b - 177
	Aerial Port Training Facility	2,550	b - 118
Composite Fire Station	<u>1,950</u>	b - 121	
	Sub-Total Oklahoma	6,800	
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	<b>Greater Pittsburg International Airport (ANG)</b>		
	Fuel Systems Maintenance Facility	<u>5,332</u>	b - 126
	Sub-Total Pennsylvania	5,332	
<b>South Dakota</b>	<b>Joe Foss Field (ANG)</b>		
	Base Supply Complex	<u>4,000</u>	b - 131
	Sub-Total South Dakota	4,000	

<u>STATE/ COUNTRY</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH/APPROP AMOUNT (000)</u>	<u>DD FORM 1391 PAGE NO.</u>
Tennessee	<b>McGhee Tyson Airport</b>		
	PMEC School Training Quarters	4,400	b - 136
	<b>Memphis International Airport</b>		
	Add to and Alter Base Engineer Maintenance Complex	990	b - 141
	Add to and Alter Security Police Operations Facility	<u>1,100</u>	b - 144
	Sub-Total Tennessee	6,490	
Texas	<b>Kelly Air Force Base</b>		
	Upgrade Heating and Cooling Systems	<u>1,400</u>	b - 149
	Sub-Total Texas	1,400	
Virginia	<b>Camp Pendleton Military Reservation</b>		
	Vehicle Maintenance Complex	2,000	b - 153
	<b>Richmond International Airport (Byrd Field)</b>		
	Add to and Alter F-16 Aircraft Maintenance Complex	<u>2,700</u>	b - 158
	Sub-Total Virginia	4,700	
Wisconsin	<b>Truax Field</b>		
	Alter Munitions Facilities	<u>670</u>	b - 163
	Sub-Total Wisconsin	670	
<b>SUB-TOTAL INSIDE THE UNITED STATES</b>		<b>71,307</b>	
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES			
Puerto Rico	<b>Puerto Rico IAP</b>		
	Munitions Maintenance and Storage Complex	3,800	b - 167
	Add to and alter Composite Support Facility	510	b - 170
	Upgrade Security System	<u>1,350</u>	b - 173
	Sub-Total Puerto Rico	5,660	
<b>SUB-TOTAL OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES</b>		<b>5,660</b>	

STATE/  
COUNTRY

INSTALLATION AND  
PROJECT

AUTH/APPROP  
AMOUNT (000)

DD FORM 1391  
PAGE NO.

	<b>SUB-TOTAL - ALL BASES</b>	<b>76,967</b>	
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,580	b - 178
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	<u>4,100</u>	b - 181
	<b>SUB-TOTAL - SUPPORT COSTS</b>	<b>8,680</b>	
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>85,647</b>	

**SUMMARY PROJECT LIST  
AIR NATIONAL GUARD  
NEW MISSION VERSUS CURRENT MISSION -- FY 1996**

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST (000)</u>	<u>NEW OR CURRENT</u>
Birmingham MAP AL	Alter KC-135 Aircraft Shops	4,400	N
Dannelly Field AL	Fire Station	1,445	C
Tuscon IAP AZ	Add to and Alter Aircraft Support Equipment Shop	600	C
Sepulveda ANGS CA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	C
Buckley ANGB CO	Base Engineer Pavements and Grounds Facility	450	C
	Upgrade Heating Systems	950	C
Glynco ANGS GA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	C
Hunter ANGS No. 2 GA	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	400	C
Savannah IAP GA	Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops	1,300	C
Boise Air Terminal (Gowen Field) ID	Remove Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	C
Greater Peoria AP IL	Add to Aircraft Parking Apron	630	N
	Aircraft Deicing Facility	400	N
	Add to and Alter Squadron Operations Facility	970	N
	Alter Aerial Port Training Facility	710	N
	Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops	1,450	N
	Add to Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	1,200	N
McConnell AFB KS	Alter B-1 Squadron Operations Facility	800	N
Barnes MAP MA	Vehicle Maintenance Complex	2,000	C
Worcester ANG Station MA	Add to and Alter Vehicle Maintenance Facility	350	C
Selfridge ANG Base MI	Upgrade Heating Systems	2,900	C
Minneapolis St. Paul IAP MN	Aircraft Deicing Facility	400	C
	Upgrade Heating System	780	C
Atlantic City Airport NJ	Upgrade Sanitary Water Systems	650	C



LOCATION	PROJECT	COST (000)	NEW OR CURRENT
McGuire AFB NJ	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility	5,700	N
Warren Grove Range NJ	Composite Range Operations Facility	1,100	C
Kirtland AFB NM	Alter Aircraft Maintenance Hangar and Shops	900	N
	Composite Engine and NDI Shop	2,700	N
	Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility	1,800	C
	LANTIRN Maintenance Facility	620	N
Hancock Field NY	Composite Medical Training Facility	1,990	C
Niagara Falls IAP NY	Upgrade Runway Overrun	1,950	N
	Upgrade Storm and Sanitary Sewer System	400	C
Blue Ash ANG Station OH	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	380	C
Camp Perry ANG Station OH	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	320	C
Rickenbacker ANGB OH	Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks	310	C
Tulsa International Airport OK	Composite Communications Facility	1,900	C
Will Rogers World Airport OK	Petroleum Operations Facility	400	C
	Aerial Port Training Facility	2,550	C
	Composite Fire Station	1,950	C
Greater Pittsburg IAP PA	Fuel Systems Maintenance Facility	5,332	N
Joe Foss Field (ANG) SD	Base Supply Complex	4,000	C
McGhee Tyson Airport TN	PMEC School Training Quarters	4,400	C
Memphis IAP TN	Add to and Alter Base Engineer Maintenance Complex	990	C
	Add to and Alter Security Police Operations Facility	1,100	C
Kelly Air Force Base TX	Upgrade Heating and Cooling Systems	1,400	C
Camp Pendleton MR VA	Vehicle Maintenance Complex	2,000	C
Richmond IAP VA (Byrd Field)	Add to and Alter F-16 Aircraft Maintenance Complex	2,700	N
Truax Field WI	Alter Munitions Facilities	670	C

LOCATION	PROJECT	COST (000)	NEW OR CURRENT
Puerto Rico IAP PR	Munitions Maintenance and Storage Complex	3,800	N
	Add to and Alter Composite Support Facility	510	C
	Upgrade Security System	<u>1,350</u>	N
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,580	
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,100	
	TOTAL NEW MISSION	35,612	
	TOTAL CURRENT MISSION	41,355	
	<b>GRAND TOTAL - FY 1996 REQUEST</b>	<b>85,647</b>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996**

**APPROPRIATION**

**MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD**

---

**SECTION 1**

---

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Air National Guard, and contribution there for, as authorized by Chapter 133 of Title 10, United States Code, and military construction authorization Acts, \$85,647,000 (\$249,056,000) to remain available until September 30, 2000 (September 30, 1999)

( ) Individual FY 96 Appropriation Language

## **SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS**

### **Pollution Abatement**

The military construction projects proposed in this program will be designed to meet environmental standards. Military construction projects proposed primarily for abatement of existing pollution problems at installations have been reviewed to ensure that corrective design is accomplished in accordance with specific standards and criteria.

### **Energy Conservation**

Military constructions projects specifically for energy conservation at installations have been developed, reviewed, and selected with prioritization by energy savings versus investment cost. Projects include improvements to existing facilities and utility systems to upgrade design, eliminate waste, and install energy saving devices. Projects are designed for minimum energy consumption.

### **Flood Plain Management and Wet Land Protection**

Proposed land acquisitions, disposals, and installation construction projects have been planned to allow the proposed management of flood plains and the protection of wet lands by avoiding long and short-term adverse impacts, reducing the risk of flood losses, and minimizing the loss or degradation of wet lands. Project planning is in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order Numbers. 11988 and 11900.

### **Design for Accessibility of Physically Handicapped Personnel**

In accordance with Public Law 90-400, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provide for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

### **Preservation of Historical Sites and Structures**

Facilities included in this program do not directly or indirectly affect a district, site, building, structure, object or setting listed in the National Register of Historic Places, except as noted on the DD Form 1391.

### **Environmental Protection**

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Military Construction Program.

### **Economic Analysis**

Economics are an inherent aspect of project development and design of military construction projects. Therefore, all projects included in this program represent the most economical use of resources. Actual economic analysis have been or will be prepared for all projects over \$2,000,000.

## **SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS**

(continued)

### **Reserve Manpower Potential**

The reserve manpower potential to meet and maintain authorized strengths of all reserve flying/non-flying units in those areas in which these facilities are to be located has been reviewed. It has been determined, in coordination with all other Services have reserve flying/non-flying units in these areas, that the number of units of the reserve components of the Armed Forces presently located in those areas, and those which have been allocated to the areas for future activation, is not and will not be larger than the number that reasonably can be expected to be maintained at authorized strength considering the number of persons living in the areas who are qualified for membership in those reserve units.

### **Potential Use of Vacant Schools and Other State and Local Facilities**

The potential use of vacant schools and other state and local owned facilities has been reviewed and analyzed for each facility to be constructed under this program.

### **Construction Criteria Manual**

Unless otherwise noted, the projects comply with the scope and design criteria prescribed in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

Mil. Con.: Air National Guard  
 Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

Budget Plan (amounts for MILITARY  
 CONSTRUCTION actions programmed)

1994 actual 1995 est. 1996 est. 1997 est.

Identification code 57-8830-0-1-051

Program by activities:

00.0101	Direct program:	226,436	229,768	76,967	76,546
00.0201	Major construction	4,000	4,000	4,100	4,100
00.0301	Planning	10,868	14,823	4,580	4,725

00.9101	Total direct program	241,304	248,591	85,647	85,371
---------	----------------------	---------	---------	--------	--------

10.0001	Total	241,304	248,591	85,647	85,371
---------	-------	---------	---------	--------	--------

Financing:

21.4002	Unobligated balance available, start of year:				
	For completion of prior year budget plans				
21.4009	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans	-1,023			
24.4002	Unobligated balance available, end of year:				
	For completion of prior year budget plans	1,023			
25.0001	Unobligated balance expiring				

39.0001	Budget authority	241,304	248,591	85,647	85,371
---------	------------------	---------	---------	--------	--------

Budget authority:

40.0001	Appropriation	241,304	249,056	85,647	85,371
40.7903	Reduction pursuant to P.L. 103-307 (-)		-465		

43.0001	Appropriation (adjusted)	241,304	248,591	85,647	85,371
---------	--------------------------	---------	---------	--------	--------

Relation of obligations to outlays:

71.0001	Obligations incurred				
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year				
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year				
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)				

90.0001	Outlays (net)				
---------	---------------	--	--	--	--

Mil. Con., Air National Guard  
 Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

Obligations

Identification code	57-3830-0-1-051	1994 actual	1995 est.	1996 est.	1997 est.
Program by activities:					
Direct program:					
00.0101	Major construction	253,413	215,934	133,987	117,006
00.0201	Minor construction	4,372	4,538	4,555	4,101
00.0301	Planning	14,586	15,781	10,926	6,655
00.9101	Total direct program	272,371	236,253	149,468	127,762
10.0001	Total	272,371	236,253	149,468	127,762
Financing:					
Unobligated balance available, start of year:					
21.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans	-269,723	-237,634	-249,972	-186,151
21.4009	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plans				
24.4002	Unobligated balance available, end of year:	237,634	249,972	186,151	143,760
25.0001	For completion of prior year budget plans	1,023			
25.0001	Unobligated balance expiring	241,304	248,591	85,647	85,371
39.0001	Budget authority				
Budget authority:					
40.0001	Appropriation	241,304	249,056	85,647	85,371
40.7903	Reduction pursuant to P.L. 103-307 (-)		-465		
43.0001	Appropriation (adjusted)	241,304	248,591	85,647	85,371
Relation of obligations to outlays:					
71.0001	Obligations incurred	272,371	236,253	149,468	127,762
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year	186,657	228,299	192,699	82,612
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year	-228,299	-192,699	-82,612	-54,427
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)	77			
90.0001	Outlays (net)	230,805	271,853	259,555	155,947

Mil. Con., Air National Guard  
 Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

Identification code	57-3830-0-1-051	1994 actual	1995 est.	1996 est.	1997 est.
<b>Direct obligations:</b>					
125.203	Other services with the private sector	14,422	31,660	24,320	15,775
132.001	Contracts with the private sector	243,632	194,058	115,059	101,797
	Land and structures				
199.001	Total Direct obligations	258,054	225,718	139,379	117,572
<b>Allocation Accounts</b>					
325.203	Other services with the private sector	164	675	644	652
332.001	Contracts with the private sector	14,153	9,860	9,445	9,538
	Land and structures				
399.001	Total Allocation Accounts	14,317	10,535	10,089	10,190
999.901	Total obligations	272,371	236,253	149,468	127,762
<b>Obligations are distributed as follows:</b>					
	Defense-Military:Army	1,135	290	329	300
	Defense-Military:Navy	13,182	10,250	8,260	9,890
	Defense-Military:Air Force	258,054	225,713	140,879	117,572
	Total Obligations	272,371	236,253	149,468	127,762



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG), ALABAMA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.77		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 9 Army National Guard Armories, 3 Army Reserve, 1 Marine and Naval Reserve Center					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C MPL</u>
217-712	ALTER KC-135 AIRCRAFT SHOPS	68,100 SF	4,400	DEC 91	MAY 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					21 JUL 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
171-450	JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY (ANG/ARNG)	22,500 SF	2,200		
219-944	BASE ENGINEER AND DISASTER PREPAREDNESS FACILITY	21,700 SF	3,850		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (ANG), ALABAMA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 21 JUL 94							
	PERMANENT			GUARD/RESERVE			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	316	6	46	264	975	120	855
ACTUAL	287	7	42	238	1,085	146	939
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>				
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	106	REF SQ	69	112			
	117	REF WG	55	50			
	117	MSS SQ	34	32			
	117	MNT SQ	236	316			
	117	TAC HP	50	46			
	117	LOG SQ	107	99			
	117	CE SQ	129	123			
	117	SP SQ	75	58			
	117	COMMFL	41	46			
	117	OPS GP	6	4			
	117	INT SQ	82	78			
	117	SER FT	30	32			
	117	STU FT	0	39			
	117	TAC OL	6	6			
	117	LOG GP	12	17			
	117	OPS FT	38	22			
	117	SPT GP	5	5			
		TOTALS	975	1,085			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	KC-135R Aircraft		9	9			
	Support Equipment		103	55			
	Vehicle Equivalents		330	300			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ALABAMA			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER KC-135 AIRCRAFT SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 51411F	6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER BRKR919594	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$4,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER AIRCRAFT SHOPS		SF	68,100		3,429
GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP		SF	21,600	50	( 1,080)
AVIONICS AND SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP		SF	10,700	60	( 642)
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP		SF	8,000	45	( 360)
WEAPON SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT		SF	7,400	45	( 333)
SECURITY POLICE AND PHYSICAL FITNESS		SF	6,800	50	( 340)
ENGINE AND NDI SHOPS		SF	6,500	60	( 390)
CCTV, GRAPHICS, A/V, AND OPS AND TRNG		SF	7,100	40	( 284)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					560
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS AND UTILITIES		LS			( 560)
SUBTOTAL					3,989
CONTINGENCY (5%)					199
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,188
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					209
TOTAL REQUEST					4,397
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Convert hangar bays into shop areas. Rearrange and construct interior walls. Relocate, upgrade and extend utility systems. Provide fire protection and other exterior support. Air Conditioning: 200 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 68,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 64,450 SF PROJECT: Alter KC-135 Aircraft Shops (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires adequately sized and properly configured aircraft shops and related administrative areas to support the conversion from RF-4C's to KC-135 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has grossly insufficient hangars and shops to support the KC-135 operations and training requirements. Hangars and aircraft shops are configured to support RF-4C aircraft operations. The RF-4C is a much smaller jet with significantly different facility requirements and shop configurations. To support the conversion to KC-135 aircraft, Hangar 140 was demolished to make way for a new aircraft maintenance hangar and a fuel cell/corrosion control dock. The shops in Hangar 140 were also demolished. The bays in Hangars 141, 142, and 30 are too small to support KC-135 aircraft. The hangars are structurally sound and vacant. The most cost effective solution to satisfy the requirement for aircraft shops is to convert the undersized bays in these hangars into shop space. Temporary workarounds are being used. These include: shipping parts to other locations; the use of leased commercial space on the opposite side of the runway; doing the work on the ramp, weather permitting. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to properly maintain the aircraft. Adverse impact on the unit's training and its ability to maintain mission					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER KC-135 AIRCRAFT SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER BRKR919594	
<p>readiness. Unable to reach full operational capability.  <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates that alteration is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BIRMINGHAM INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ALABAMA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER KC-135 AIRCRAFT SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER BRKR919594																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1414 726"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 DEC 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 AUG 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 MAY 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 793 1333 852"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 919 1414 1079"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>115</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>315</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>315</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 DEC 18	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	70%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 AUG 18	(d) Date Design Complete	95 MAY 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	200	(b) All Other Design Costs	115	(c) Total	315	(d) Contract	315	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 DEC 18																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	70%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 AUG 18																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 MAY 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	200																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	115																							
(c) Total	315																							
(d) Contract	315																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD, ALABAMA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.74		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Active AFB, 1 Marine Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve, 3 Army Reserves, 5 Army National Guard Units and 2 Air National Guard Units					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
730-142	FIRE STATION	10,600 SF	1,445	DEC 92	FEB 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				21 JUL 94 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
171-445	OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	20,000 SF	3,900		
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING AND SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	24,800 SF	2,000		
216-642	MUNITIONS COMPLEX AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	25,200 SF	4,500		
442-758	UPGRADE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITIES	63,800 SF	2,700		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD, ALABAMA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 20 JUN 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	282	8	43	231	1,041	106   935
ACTUAL	272	7	42	223	1,009	97   912
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	160 FS SQ	50	53			
	187 MSS SQ	80	71			
	187 CLINIC	31	32			
	187 GP HQ	57	58			
	187 CAM	461	397			
	187 CE SQ	127	114			
	187 WSSF	57	58			
	187 RMS	121	113			
	187 COM FT	20	20			
	187 MSS	37	36			
	187 STU FT	0	57			
	TOTALS	1,041	1,009			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	15	25			
	Support Equipment	194	225			
	Vehicle Equivalentents	120	120			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD ALABAMA				4. PROJECT TITLE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER FAKZ000419		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,445	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRE STATION		SF	10,600	110	1,166	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					144	
UTILITIES		LS			( 55)	
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 85)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 4)	
SUBTOTAL					1,310	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					66	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,376	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					69	
TOTAL REQUEST					1,445	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,445	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, steel framed masonry walls and built-up roof. All necessary utilities, access pavements, site improvements and support. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 10,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,500 SF PROJECT: Fire Station (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured facility to support fire and crash/rescue operations. This includes apparatus bays, storage space, extinguisher maintenance shop, kitchen and dining area, control room, classroom and administrative areas, and bunkrooms for 24 hour operations. CURRENT SITUATION: The 1953 vintage fire station is deteriorated beyond economic repair and is much too small to properly accommodate the fire protection vehicles which cannot fit into the undersized apparatus bays. The facility is less than one-fourth of the minimum required space. The administrative areas are located in another building. The control room cannot be manned 24 hours per day. There are no areas for bedding or adequate personnel accommodations. The roof leaks. The air-conditioning is old and not working properly. The interior and exterior electrical wiring has insufficient capacity. The mechanical systems are antiquated and do not function properly. There are numerous health and safety hazards in the building. A facility expansion is not possible since the building is poorly located. There are insufficient apparatus bays so trucks are parked on the pavement outside of the building exposed to the elements. There have been numerous complaints from the fire crews on the bunk room accommodations. They are grossly inadequate and do not meet minimum health standards for the separations between bunk beds. The						



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE  FIRE STATION	5. PROJECT NUMBER  FAKZ000419	
<p>facility does not represent a quality living, work or training space. Upon completion of this project, Building 1205 at 2,500 SF will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Fire fighting apparatus remains exposed to the weather and accelerates deterioration. Health and safety hazards continue. Hardship on the overall fire protection operations which jeopardizes crash/rescue and fire fighting capabilities.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
ANG		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
DANNELLY FIELD AIR NATIONAL GUARD ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
FIRE STATION	FAKZ000419	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		95%
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 JUL 26
(d) Date Design Complete		95 FEB 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		64
(b) All Other Design Costs		43
(c) Total		107
(d) Contract		107
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		96 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, ARIZONA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.96	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for pilot training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Base, 1 Naval Reserve Unit, 1 Army Reserve Unit, 1 Army National Guard Unit, 1 Air Force Reserve Unit				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u>
				<u>START</u> <u>C MPL</u>
218-712	ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	10,000 SF	600	NOV 91 FEB 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				<u>13 MAY 94</u> (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	
442-758	UPGRADE SUPPLY AND VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	83,300 SF	4,000	
722-351	UPGRADE DINING HALL	22,100 SF	2,400	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, ARIZONA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 AUG 94							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	1,017	89	915	13	1,664	175	1,489
ACTUAL	814	82	719	13	1,483	145	1,338
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	162 HQTRS	66	58				
	162 OPS GP	19	19				
	195 OPS SQ	33	28				
	152 OPS SQ	24	20				
	148 OPS FT	51	41				
	162 OSS	35	28				
	162 LOG GP	35	32				
	162 MNT SQ	832	732				
	162 LOG SQ	150	133				
	162 SPT GP	7	7				
	162 SVS FT	39	38				
	162 CES	158	149				
	162 MSSQ	84	85				
	162 COM FT	58	48				
	162 MED SQ	73	65				
	TOTALS	1,664	1,483				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	A-16 A/B Aircraft	71	71				
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	198	206				
	Vehicle Equivalents	475	475				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 218-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER XHEA001432	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$600	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	10,000		500
ADDITION TO AGE SHOP	SF	5,000	70	( 350)
ALTER AGE SHOP	SF	5,000	30	( 150)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				40
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 25)
UTILITIES	LS			( 10)
SITEWORK	LS			( 5)
SUBTOTAL				540
CONTINGENCY (5%)				27
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				567
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				28
TOTAL REQUEST				595
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: concrete foundation and floor slab, concrete block walls and roof structure. Exterior to match existing. Alteration: interior rearranging of the walls and utility systems. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 10,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,533 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Aircraft Support Equipment Shop (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a properly sized and configured facility to support inspection, maintenance, repair, and servicing of powered ground support equipment in support of the F-16 aircraft. Functional areas include: maintenance bays, tool crib, storage, battery shop, administrative area, paved equipment parking, wash, and paint areas. CURRENT SITUATION: The shop is not a quality work place. It is grossly undersized, poorly configured and not properly sited. The building is less than 50% of the required size. There is insufficient space for the maintenance and storage of the equipment. There is inadequate space for office and tool storage. The facility is located away from the aircraft parking ramp area in a remote part of the base. The equipment must be transported constantly across the base from the ramp to the AGE maintenance facility on a narrow and congested road. Training opportunities and excessive time are lost in the transportation mode. It does not make operational sense to upgrade the existing shop when space is partially available in Building 32, a vacant general purpose shop. The facility requires some upgrade to make it useable and is properly sited. This will allow the shop to be demolished and the site cleared. The demolition of this facility is important as the site is master planned for				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE  ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER  XHEA001432	
<p>future construction of munitions maintenance and weapons release facilities in accordance with the approved master development plan. Upon completion of this project, Building 48 at 3,420 SF will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Facility cannot meet the needs of proper maintenance of support equipment for mission accomplishment. Work arounds continue to be utilized, seriously degrading the effectiveness of maintenance and training. Construction of a new munitions storage complex and a weapons release facility is delayed until the function can be permanently relocated. Lost training opportunities.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TUCSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ARIZONA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER XHEA001432																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 611 1443 737"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 NOV 26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 APR 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 FEB 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1443 863"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1443 1083"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 26	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 APR 15	(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30	(b) All Other Design Costs	22	(c) Total	52	(d) Contract	52	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 26																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 APR 15																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	22																							
(c) Total	52																							
(d) Contract	52																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SEPULVEDA AIR NAT'L GUARD STATION CALIFORNIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.24		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four unit training assemblies per month, 15 days annual training per year, daily use by technician force, and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Los Angeles AF Station; Army National Guard, 2 units; Army Reserve, 2 units; Navy Reserve, 1 unit, Marine Reserve, 1 unit; Coast Guard Reserve, 1 unit.					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C MPL</u>
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	320	JUN 93	MAY 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					23 MAR 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
171-447	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY	22,600 SF	3,950		
442-758	SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	10,600 SF	1,800		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SEPULVEDA AIR NAT'L GUARD STATION CALIFORNIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 SEP 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	27	1	24	2	152	8 144
ACTUAL	26	1	23	2	144	8 136
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>			<u>STRENGTH</u>		
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
	261 CC SQ			152	144	
		TOTALS		152	144	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>	
	Support Equipment			35	35	
	Vehicle Equivalents			145	145	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.02	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Normal tenant organization admin 5 days/week; Weekend unit tng assemblies 2/3 day weekends one weekend/month tenant organization; 1 evening/week "Open House", physical fitness and administration for each tenant organ; Band practice 1 day/month, schedules ensembles practice one day/week.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 400 Person Armory, Aurora, 3 Miles; Fitzsimmons, Denver, 6 Miles; Navy (Navy, Marines, Coast Guard) Reserve Center, Aurora, 1/2 Mile; 4 ARNG Armories, Army Aviation Support Facility, Organization Maintenance Facility, USAR Armories, Denver, 4 and 6 Miles.				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
219-943	BASE ENGINEER PAVEMENTS AND GROUNDS FACILITY	3,400 SF	450	FEB 92   FEB 94
821-115	UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS	LS	950	OCT 93   JUN 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <span style="float: right;"><u>15 FEB 94</u> (Date)</span>				
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	<u>(Number of Acres)</u>	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>	
131-111	ADD TO AND ALTER COMMUNICATION FACILITY	11,200 SF	820	
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	20,200 SF	4,350	
832-266	UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	LS	310	
851-147	UPGRADE BASE INFRASTRUCTURE	LS	10,000	
871-183	UPGRADE BASE DRAINAGE SYSTEM	LS	1,000	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, COLORADO							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 18 AUG 94							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	732	63	439	230	1,571	229	1,342
ACTUAL	718	74	377	267	1,509	225	1,284
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	240 CEF FT	33	35				
	140 LOG GP	16	17				
	140 OPS GP	3	3				
	140 MSS FT	34	37				
	120 FTS SQ	42	46				
	140 SVS FT	34	31				
	140 TAC HP	73	66				
	140 MSS SQ	34	37				
	140 CAM MT	435	391				
	140 FTW WG	49	48				
	140 COM FT	37	41				
	120 WEA FT	20	19				
	140 CES SQ	134	127				
	154 ACG GP	131	124				
	227 ATC FT	69	62				
	138 ACS SQ	121	106				
	140 SP FT	57	59				
	140 SPT GP	5	4				
	140 OSF	22	33				
	140 LG SQ	107	104				
	8140 STU FT	0	1				
	200 AS	82	85				
	HQ CO ANG	33	33				
	TOTALS	1,571	1,509				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	15	26				
	T-43A Aircraft	2	2				
	Support Equipment	235	250				
	Vehicle Equivalents	751	861				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEER PAVEMENTS AND GROUNDS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-943	7. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU919737	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$450	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE ENGINEER PAVEMENTS/GROUNDS FACILITY	SF	3,400	85	289
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				120
UTILITIES	LS			( 20)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 15)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 35)
SUBTOTAL				409
CONTINGENCY (5%)				20
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				429
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				21
TOTAL REQUEST				450
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, and roof system. All utilities, pavements, site improvements, and support. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 3,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 400 SF PROJECT: Base Engineer Pavements and Grounds Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The Air National Guard is the host at Buckley for the active duty Air Force, Navy Reserves, and Army National Guard. The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured facility that will house the equipment and people necessary for base snow removal and all other daily airfield roads and grounds activities. Functional areas include offices, classroom, material storage, and vehicle storage. CURRENT SITUATION: The base engineer pavements and grounds section operate from a small temporary building and an outside storage area. Shop and storage areas are almost non-existent. The available area is poorly configured, cluttered and inefficient. The crews must work outside to maintain the equipment. An area for inside training does not exist. Equipment deterioration is accelerating due to exposure to the weather elements. Vehicle failure during sub-zero temperatures has substantially increased and has negatively impacted the snow removal and base support operations. Upon completion of this project, Building 720 at 400 SF will be demolished. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued deterioration of the equipment will adversely affects the personnel and the mission capability. Increased cost for equipment maintenance and reduced ability to support the flying mission. Very inefficient operation. Forced outside work can lead to personal injuries.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO																										
4. PROJECT TITLE BASE ENGINEER PAVEMENTS AND GROUNDS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU919737																									
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="391 604 1440 737"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>92 FEB 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 AUG 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 FEB 05</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="391 793 1440 863"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</p> <table data-bbox="391 890 1440 1087"> <tr> <td></td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start</p> <p>96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	92 FEB 05	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 AUG 11	(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 05	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A		(\$000)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	22	(b) All Other Design Costs	8	(c) Total	30	(d) Contract	30	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	92 FEB 05																									
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																									
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 AUG 11																									
(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 05																									
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																									
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																									
	(\$000)																									
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	22																									
(b) All Other Design Costs	8																									
(c) Total	30																									
(d) Contract	30																									
(e) In-house																										

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F		6. CATEGORY CODE 821-115	7. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU939853		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$950		
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS		LS			760		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					100		
UTILITIES		LS			( 50)		
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 30)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 20)		
SUBTOTAL					860		
CONTINGENCY (5%)					43		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					903		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					45		
TOTAL REQUEST					948		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					950		
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Shutdown of the existing steam distribution system serving the eleven buildings on the east side of the base requires the installation of packaged heating systems. These will be grouped to serve the affected buildings. Provide all required utilities, pavements, site improvements and support.							
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Heating Systems (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance project as a result of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The base requires adequate heating systems which are economical to maintain, operate and do not pollute the air and ground water. Buildings 809, 902, and 909 require packaged heating units. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has a central heating plant which serves eleven buildings through a system of approximately four miles of underground and above ground high temperature hot water lines. The central plant emits excessive concentrations of hazardous air pollutants and criteria pollutants which will put it in violation of air quality emissions standards. The plant is uneconomical to operate and has numerous health and safety violations. The lines serving the buildings are old, poorly insulated, and need to be replaced. There are numerous leaks and substantial loss of energy through these leaks. The pipes have friable asbestos insulation. The electrical connections are unsafe. It is uneconomical to upgrade the heating plant to meet air quality standards. The base is in a non-attainment area for Ozone and reasonably available control technology must be used. This project will construct smaller, energy efficient heating units that will meet air emissions standards and will be more economical to operate and maintain. The							

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE  UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS	5. PROJECT NUMBER  CRWU939853	
<p>grouping was determined by an extensive study and economic analysis. Upon completion of this project, Building 903 at 3,036 SF and all appurtenances will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Larger energy losses. Inadequate heating for eleven buildings. Health and safety hazards. Higher operating costs. Increased personnel costs to operate the heating plant. Environmental hazards associated with deteriorating friable asbestos throughout plant and lines. Violation of the federal and state environmental laws. Possible shut down of the system with partial shut down of the base.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been prepared comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates that the grouping of the boilers into packaged units is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE COLORADO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS	5. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU939853																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 596 1414 722"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 OCT 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JUN 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 789 1333 848"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 915 1414 1073"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 22	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 22	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	20	(b) All Other Design Costs	12	(c) Total	32	(d) Contract	32	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 22																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 22																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	20																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	12																							
(c) Total	32																							
(d) Contract	32																							
(e) In-house																								



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GLYNNCO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION, GEORGIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.86	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician force and traditional guardsmen for 365 days per year.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard, 1 Coast Guard				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST</u> (\$000)	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMP</u>
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	320	NOV 91 JUN 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION				
Unilateral Construction Approved			1 DEC 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED				
None			(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST</u> (\$000)	
442-758	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	11,000 SF	930	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GLYNNCO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION, GEORGIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	50	4	44	2	281	14
ACTUAL	52	3	47	2	252	14
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	224 JCS SQ	241		218		
	111 ACP FT	40		34		
	TOTALS	281		252		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Comm-Elec Equipment	68		66		
	Support Equipment	97		95		
	Vehicle Equivalents	417		452		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HUNTER ANG STATION, GEORGIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.84														
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician force and traditional guardsmen for 365 days per year.																
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Active Duty Army, 2 Air National Guard, 2 Army National Guard, 1 Army Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve, 1 Coast Guard																
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>124-135</td> <td>REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td>LS</td> <td>400</td> <td>NOV 91</td> <td>JUL 94</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	400	NOV 91	JUL 94
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE					COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS								
			START	CMPL												
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	400	NOV 91	JUL 94											
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																
<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>1 DEC 93</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(Date)</td> </tr> </table>				1 DEC 93		(Date)										
	1 DEC 93															
	(Date)															
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED																
None		(Number of Acres)														
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)										
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HUNTER ANG STATION, GEORGIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	48	4	42	2	299	30 269
ACTUAL	47	4	41	2	239	29 210
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	117 TAC SQ	299	239			
	TOTALS	299	239			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	Comm-Elec Equipment	29	29			
	Support Equipment	95	86			
	Vehicle Equivalents	366	425			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE																														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, GEORGIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.85																															
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.																																		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Base, 2 Air National Guard, 2 Army National Guard, 1 Army Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve and 1 Coast Guard																																		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>211-152</td> <td>ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS</td> <td>63,200 SF</td> <td>1,300</td> <td>NOV 91</td> <td>AUG 94</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	211-152	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	63,200 SF	1,300	NOV 91	AUG 94																
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS																														
				START	CMPL																													
211-152	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	63,200 SF	1,300	NOV 91	AUG 94																													
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																																		
				*1 DEC 93 (Date)																														
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None		(Number of Acres)																													
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>171-445</td> <td>OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY</td> <td>6,000 SF</td> <td>1,200</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>442-758</td> <td>BASE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX</td> <td>96,400 SF</td> <td>9,100</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>730-142</td> <td>JOINT ANG/FAA FIRE STATION</td> <td>11,000 SF</td> <td>775</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>730-835</td> <td>SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY</td> <td>5,600 SF</td> <td>1,050</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)			171-445	OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	6,000 SF	1,200			442-758	BASE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	96,400 SF	9,100			730-142	JOINT ANG/FAA FIRE STATION	11,000 SF	775			730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,600 SF	1,050		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)																															
171-445	OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	6,000 SF	1,200																															
442-758	BASE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	96,400 SF	9,100																															
730-142	JOINT ANG/FAA FIRE STATION	11,000 SF	775																															
730-835	SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,600 SF	1,050																															

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, GEORGIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	287	24	221	42	998	130 868
ACTUAL	293	23	228	42	1,006	133 873
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	165 AL GP	53	58			
	165 MSS FT	34	33			
	165 SVS FT	25	25			
	165 HOSP	64	64			
	158 AL SQ	95	105			
	165 OPS GP	6	7			
	165 LOG SQ	107	105			
	165 SP SQ	57	56			
	165 CE SQ	156	148			
	165 CMN FT	42	42			
	165 AP SQ	101	98			
	165 MNT SQ	168	175			
	165 CRTC	60	58			
	165 SUP GP	5	6			
	165 LOG GP	7	7			
	165 OSF	18	19			
	TOTALS	998	1,006			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	C-130H Aircraft	8	9			
	Support Equipment	61	58			
	Vehicle Equivalents	255	257			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA			4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-152	7. PROJECT NUMBER XDQU919576	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$1,300	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	SF	63,200		924
ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS	SF	21,600	13	( 281)
ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOPS	SF	8,000	20	( 160)
ALTER SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	4,200	25	( 105)
ALTER PHOTO LAB	SF	2,100	50	( 105)
ALTER MAINTENANCE OFFICES	SF	27,300	10	( 273)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				275
UTILITIES/FIRE SUPPRESSION	LS			( 100)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			( 75)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 100)
SUBTOTAL				1,199
CONTINGENCY (5%)				60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,259
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				63
TOTAL REQUEST				1,322
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alteration of interior by upgrading utilities, relocating partitions, providing and extending utilities, replacing floors, wall and ceiling surfaces and altering heating and air conditioning systems. Remove asbestos. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 63,200 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 63,200 SF PROJECT: Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires adequately sized, properly configured and environmentally safe aircraft maintenance shops to support C-130 aircraft. The airlift mission requires functional, energy efficient, aircraft maintenance shops and a control complex to direct aircraft repair, fabrication, calibration, servicing, and administration. A fire suppression system that complies with current regulations must be installed. CURRENT SITUATION: The hangar complex was constructed in the early 1950's. As the type of aircraft has changed, several shops have been added over the years leading to an extremely poor and inefficient interior layout. The facility is structurally safe but does not meet standards. Some shops are poorly configured and need to be relocated and improved. Some shops are too small, while others are too large. The facility does not meet energy conservation standards. There are numerous health and safety hazards. The electrical distribution system must be upgraded to meet higher demand resulting from new equipment that has been installed over the years. Electrical panels and wires are incorrectly sized and do not meet the National Electric Code. Ventilation in the shops is inadequate. Some shops are too hot while others are cold. The administrative areas must be rearranged for a more functional working				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE  ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER  XDQU919576	
<p>environment. The building has interior asbestos that must be removed during construction. The facility does not represent a quality work or training place.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Health and safety hazards continue. Increased backlog and inefficient repair of aircraft. Improper training. Decreased operational readiness of the unit and inability to properly maintain aircraft. Higher operating costs.</p>		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GEORGIA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE  ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER  XDQU919576																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1416 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 NOV 04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 JAN 14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 AUG 30</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1334 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1416 1079"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>61</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>61</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 04	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 14	(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 30	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	43	(b) All Other Design Costs	18	(c) Total	61	(d) Contract	61	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 04																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 JAN 14																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 30																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	43																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	18																							
(c) Total	61																							
(d) Contract	61																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD), IDAHO			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.19		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Facility, 1 Army Reserve Facility, 1 U. S. Signal Detachment, 1 Army Research Institute and 1 Navy/Marine Corp Reserve					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
124-135	REMOVE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	320	AUG 94	MAY 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					* 18 APR 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
171-450	JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY (ANG/ARNG)	13,000 SF	1,550		
211-111	UPGRADE MAINTENANCE HANGAR	61,000 SF	4,000		
211-179	UPGRADE FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR AND SHOPS	30,400 SF	1,300		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD), IDAHO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 JUN 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	659	61	511	87	1,331	181   1,150
ACTUAL	593	61	459	73	1,298	157   1,141
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
				STRENGTH		
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
HQ	ID	ANG		30	28	
124	SVF			27	29	
124	OPS	GP		9	7	
124	LOG	GP		18	18	
124	SPT	GP		5	5	
124	OSF			43	28	
124	MSF			35	35	
124	MNT	SQ		506	490	
124	FLT	GP		49	49	
124	MED	SQ		51	49	
190	FLT	SQ		63	51	
124	CES			128	125	
124	SPS			57	51	
124	LOG	SQ		107	101	
189	FT	FLT		120	115	
124	COM	FL		46	40	
ID	ANG			30	28	
8124	ST	FLT		7	49	
TOTALS				1,331	1,298	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
<u>TYPE</u>				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>	
F-4G Aircraft				30	30	
C-26 Aircraft				1	1	
Support Equipment				196	196	
Vehicle Equivalents				289	361	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG, ILLINOIS			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.14		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, twelve supplemental unit training assemblies, 15 days annual training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Armory, 1 Naval Reserve, 1 Marine Corps Reserve, 1 Army Reserve Center and 1 Coast Guard Reserve.					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
113-321	ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	6,900 SY	630	APR 94	JUN 95
116-672	AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY	LS	400	APR 94	MAY 95
141-753	ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	19,100 SF	970	APR 94	JUL 95
171-873	ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	17,000 SF	710	APR 94	JUL 95
211-152	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	36,300 SF	1,450	APR 94	AUG 95
211-173	ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	9,000 SF	1,200	SEP 93	AUG 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
				10 JUN 94 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None			
(Number of Acres)					
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
211-179	FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	25,400 SF	3,685		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG, ILLINOIS							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 31 JUL 94							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>			<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	320	12	60	248	1,194	127	1,067
ACTUAL	290	12	52	226	1,168	128	1,040
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	169 FS	38	44				
	182 CES	134	120				
	182 ASOC	117	111				
	182 MS	411	373				
	182 CS	42	36				
	182 MSF	33	30				
	182 LS	107	101				
	182 HQ FG	49	58				
	182 MDS	69	66				
	182 SPS	57	56				
	182 SVS FT	30	25				
	169 ACFP	61	49				
	182 OG	3	3				
	182 SG	5	5				
	182 LG	16	18				
	182 OSF	22	16				
	8182 STU FT	0	57				
	TOTALS	1,194	1,168				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	15	19				
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1				
	C-130 Aircraft	8	0				
	Support Equipment	120	120				
	Vehicle Equivalentents	709	732				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS		4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 54332F	6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLQ939890	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$630		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON		SY	6,900	75	518
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					50
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 50)
SUBTOTAL					568
CONTINGENCY (5%)					28
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					596
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					30
TOTAL REQUEST					626
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					630
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete apron and taxiway; tiedowns, pavement painting, apron lighting, asphalt edge around apron. Improve the drainage along the apron.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 52,460 SY ADEQUATE: 45,560 SY SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to Aircraft Parking Apron (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from 15 F-16 to 8 C-130 aircraft. The base requires an adequate apron to park, maintain, and operate the aircraft. The apron must be sized and configured to allow aircraft taxiing, access to maintenance facilities and parking for six aircraft. Two aircraft will be parked in hangar facilities. CURRENT SITUATION: The parking apron was constructed for fighter aircraft and is not adequate for the larger C-130 aircraft. The parking spaces are configured for F-16's and are too narrow for the much wider wing span of the C-130 aircraft. The interior taxiways were also configured for F-16's and must be widened to allow sufficient wing-tip clearance for taxiing C-130's. Both the length and width of the existing apron must be extended to provide for the wider parking spaces and taxiways. A taxiway must be extended to the crosswind taxiway. Expansion of the apron will require modifications to the apron lighting and stormwater drainage system which runs along the apron. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Insufficient space for assigned aircraft. Violation of airfield clearances and operating standards. The six aircraft cannot be parked with the required clearance. The aircraft have to be towed to and from their parking spaces. Degraded training. The squadron cannot reach full operational capability.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLQ939890																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>94 APR 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JUN 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 05	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	10	(b) All Other Design Costs	20	(c) Total	30	(d) Contract	30	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 05																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	10																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	20																							
(c) Total	30																							
(d) Contract	30																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS		4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 54332F	6. CATEGORY CODE 141-753	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLQN939874	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$970	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	SF	19,100		727
ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS	SF	3,100	90	( 279)
ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	SF	16,000	28	( 448)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				150
UTILITIES	LS			( 10)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 10)
PRE-WIRED WORKSTATIONS	LS			( 120)
SUBTOTAL				877
CONTINGENCY (5%)				44
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				921
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				46
TOTAL REQUEST				967
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				970
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, and roof structure. Alteration: Relocate walls and utilities. Exterior of building to match existing. Provide utilities, pavements and site improvements. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 19,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 16,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Squadron Operations Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from 15 F-16 to 8 C-130 aircraft. An adequately sized and properly configured squadron operations facility is required for aircrew members, flight planning and management, intelligence, operations office, contingency operations, navigators, flight engineers, load masters, and training. CURRENT SITUATION: The squadron operations building is configured to support F-16 aircraft, not the C-130 aircraft which have a much different mission. The building requires interior reconfiguration since some rooms are too small while others are too large to meet the needs of the new functions. Training rooms and briefing areas are too small for the larger sized aircrews. Provisions for classified briefings are not adequate. No rooms exists for navigators, flight engineers, or load masters. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The mission cannot be accomplished without violating the security of classified plans. Unable to reach full operational capability. Severely crowded space impacts negatively on training and readiness. Inefficient operations. The additional crew members will have to be housed in leased trailers.				



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLQN939874																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1438 737"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>94 APR 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JUL 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1438 863"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1438 1083"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 05	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUL 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	48	(b) All Other Design Costs	20	(c) Total	68	(d) Contract	68	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 05																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUL 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	48																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	20																							
(c) Total	68																							
(d) Contract	68																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS		4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 54332F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-873	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLQ939877	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$710		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY		SF	17,000		591
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY		SF	11,200	45	( 504)
EQUIPMENT STORAGE		SF	5,800	15	( 87)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					50
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			( 50)
SUBTOTAL					641
CONTINGENCY (5%)					32
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					673
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					34
TOTAL REQUEST					707
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					710
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove, relocate, and replace interior walls, doors, frames, and hardware; upgrade utility systems and fire protection; provide mechanical and electrical systems, cabinetry and storage bins. Remove exterior aircraft hangar doors and replace with warehouse doors. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 17,000 SF PROJECT: Alter Aerial Port Training Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from 15 F-16 to 8 C-130 aircraft. The base requires a facility for air cargo preparation training and administration of an aerial port squadron in support of C-130 aircraft. The facility must have cranes for movement of heavy loads, a parachute drying tower, parachute sewing, repair and storage areas. Space is also required for the storage of support equipment. CURRENT SITUATION: The fuel system maintenance hangar is sized for fighter aircraft. The two maintenance bays are too small for the C-130 and excess to the need. The aerial port training facility is required to be located adjacent to the aircraft apron, the maintenance bays lend themselves to aerial port functions with modifications. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to train newly assigned aerial port personnel. Equipment exposed to the elements suffer accelerated deterioration. Aerial delivery loads will not be available to train combat crews. Reduced mission capability.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLQN939877																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1414 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>94 APR 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>95 JAN 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JUL 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1333 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1414 1077"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	95 JAN 01	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUL 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	40	(b) All Other Design Costs	16	(c) Total	56	(d) Contract	56	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	95 JAN 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUL 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	40																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	16																							
(c) Total	56																							
(d) Contract	56																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS		4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 54332F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-152	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLQN939871	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	36,300	35	1,271
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					45
UTILITIES		LS			( 45)
SUBTOTAL					1,316
CONTINGENCY (5%)					66
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,382
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					69
TOTAL REQUEST					1,451
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Relocate interior walls, relocate and extend utilities, and provide fire protection. All utilities and support included. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 36,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 36,300 SF PROJECT: Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to support the conversion from 15 F-16 to 8 C-130 aircraft. Maintenance shops must be modified to accommodate changes in aircraft requirements. The shops are configured for F-16 equipment which is entirely different from that required for C-130 aircraft. This project will modify the weapons release and avionics shops to satisfy deficiencies in the general purpose and organizational maintenance shops. It will also alter general purpose shop space to allow the new support equipment to be installed. CURRENT SITUATION: Aircraft maintenance shops are not properly sized or configured to provide adequate space for maintenance support to the C-130 aircraft. The weapons release shop function is no longer necessary for the C-130 aircraft. The avionics and engine shops are also different. The C-130 needs a propeller shop. This project will rearrange and reconfigure the shops for C-130 operations. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate maintenance cannot be provided for the C-130 aircraft. Degradation of operations; inefficient training and loss of training mandays; unit is unable to meet full operational capability. Aircraft may not be properly maintained.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLQN939871																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1417 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>94 APR 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 AUG 30</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1336 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1417 1079"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>72</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 10	(d) Date Design Complete	95 AUG 30	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	72	(b) All Other Design Costs	45	(c) Total	117	(d) Contract	117	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 29																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 10																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 AUG 30																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	72																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	45																							
(c) Total	117																							
(d) Contract	117																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 54332F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-173	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLQ939872	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		SF	9,000	105	945
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					155
UTILITIES		LS			( 25)
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 20)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 10)
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM		LS			( 100)
SUBTOTAL					1,100
CONTINGENCY (5%)					55
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,155
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					58
TOTAL REQUEST					1,213
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,200
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct an addition to the maintenance hangar to fully enclose the aircraft. Provide all necessary utilities, pavements, site improvements, fire protection, and support. Upgrade hangar floor to permit aircraft jacking. Modify hangar floor drainage system.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Add to Aircraft Maintenance Hangar (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from 15 F-16 to 8 C-130 aircraft. The unit requires a maintenance hangar which entirely encloses the C-130 aircraft to perform maintenance on the aircraft and its systems. CURRENT SITUATION: The base does not have a hangar that can accommodate the C-130 aircraft. The present hangar is sized for the F-16, a much smaller aircraft. The C-130 cannot fit inside. The proposed extension is sized to cover the tail assembly of the larger C-130. Additional modifications are needed to make the hangar functionally adequate to perform maintenance on the new aircraft. These include extending the fire suppression system, installing reinforced jacking points, and relocating the existing floor drainage system. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to perform aircraft maintenance in a controlled environment. Severely degraded mission support. Unable to properly convert to the C-130 aircraft. Aircraft maintenance is accomplished outside on the ramp even in times of inclement weather. Violation of safety rules and technical orders could result in an improperly maintained aircraft.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT ANG ILLINOIS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLQN939872																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 611 1414 737"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 SEP 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 AUG 30</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 804 1333 863"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 930 1414 1087"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 SEP 20	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 10	(d) Date Design Complete	95 AUG 30	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	50	(b) All Other Design Costs	25	(c) Total	75	(d) Contract	75	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 SEP 20																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 10																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 AUG 30																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	50																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	25																							
(c) Total	75																							
(d) Contract	75																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.99		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Active Air Force Installation, 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve Center, 1 Navy Reserve and 1 Marine Corps Reserve					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
141-753	ALTER B-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	47,100 SF	800	SEP 93	JUN 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					* 5 APR 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	<u>(Number of Acres)</u>		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
211-179	B-1 FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE HANGAR	31,000 SF	5,356		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 16 AUG 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	782	84	674	24	1,416	1,247
ACTUAL	755	67	666	22	1,370	1,240
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	184 OG	119		93		
	184 SG	283		233		
	184 LG	722		790		
	184 HQ GP	49		37		
	184 MRD SQ	73		60		
	184 DET 1	47		42		
	134 ACS	123		115		
	TOTALS	1,416		1,370		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	B-1B Aircraft	10		3		
	Support Equipment	565		426		
	Vehicle Equivalents	490		545		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE KANSAS		4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER B-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 51628F	6. CATEGORY CODE 141-753	7. PROJECT NUMBER PROE929911	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ALTER B-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		SF	47,100	12	565
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					160
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			( 115)
EMERGENCY BACKUP POWER		LS			( 45)
SUBTOTAL					725
CONTINGENCY (5%)					36
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					761
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					38
TOTAL REQUEST					799
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Relocate walls and utilities. Install a secure storage vault with reinforced concrete walls and ceiling. Install emergency power. Upgrade the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system. Improve fire detection system. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 47,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 47,100 SF PROJECT: Alter B-1 Squadron Operations Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from F-16 to the B-1 aircraft. The base requires a properly configured and secure area to perform the new mission. Adequate climate control is required throughout the facility. Emergency back-up electrical power is required to insure that critical items in the combat training area remain operational when commercial power to the facility fails. CURRENT SITUATION: The squadron operations space is not properly configured for full-time and part-time B-1 flight crews. The facility is configured to support the training of a single seat F-16 fighter aircraft. There are no secure working and storage areas for the mission areas. Some of the rooms are too large while others are too small. There are insufficient crew briefing rooms. The building does not have emergency back-up power. The building does not have a classified storage area in which to train and to store operational data such as charts, maps, and computer tapes. The climate control system does not function well and is not adequate for the new room configuration and equipment and personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to properly train the aircrews for the new B-1 mission. Possible compromise of security. Unable to achieve full operational capability. Decrease in readiness. The crews will receive insufficient training and that will place them at risk.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE KANSAS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER B-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE929911																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="389 598 1429 724"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 SEP 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JUN 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="389 787 1347 850"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="389 913 1429 1071"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>27</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 SEP 20	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 01	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	36	(b) All Other Design Costs	27	(c) Total	63	(d) Contract	63	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 SEP 20																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	36																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	27																							
(c) Total	63																							
(d) Contract	63																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG MASSACHUSETTS			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.34	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblie per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by civil service technician, Active Guard/Reserve personnel, and Cooperative Service Agreement employees, 24 hour coverage by security and fire fighter personnel				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 8 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Reserve Center, 1 Air Force Reserve Base, 1 Navy Reserve and 1 Marine Reserve				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u>
				<u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,700 SF	2,000	AUG 93 AUG 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				<u>30 AUG 94</u> (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
			<u>(Number of Acres)</u>	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	30,000 SF	4,400	
722-351	DINING HALL	15,000 SF	2,800	
821-116	UPGRADE HEATING DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS	740	
871-183	UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM	LS	320	
880-232	BASEWIDE FIRE ALARM SYSTEM	LS	380	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG MASSACHUSETTS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	292	7	62	223	1,036	108   928
ACTUAL	283	7	62	214	1,008	101   907
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	104 TFG HQ	49	52			
	131 TFS	41	38			
	104 MNT SQ	399	388			
	104 LOG SQ	141	139			
	104 MED SQ	70	62			
	104 CES	145	147			
	104 MWRS	25	31			
	104 SPS	57	58			
	104 CMN SQ	40	41			
	104 OPS GP	9	4			
	104 LOG GP	18	15			
	131 WEA FT	13	11			
	104 SPT GP	5	6			
	104 OSF	24	16			
	TOTALS	1,036	1,008			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	A-10 Aircraft	18	21			
	Support Equipment	83	74			
	Vehicle Equivalents	232	232			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG MASSACHUSETTS			4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425	7. PROJECT NUMBER AXQD899748	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,000	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	SF	14,700		1,453
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	9,200	115	( 1,058)
COVERED STORAGE	SF	4,000	50	( 200)
REFUELER VEHICLE SHOP	SF	1,500	130	( 195)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				367
UTILITIES	LS			( 80)
PAVEMENTS AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 100)
RELOCATE BUILDING 32	SF	4,350	13	( 57)
RELOCATE VEHICLE REFUELING STATION	LS			( 100)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 30)
SUBTOTAL				1,820
CONTINGENCY (5%)				91
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,911
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				96
TOTAL REQUEST				2,007
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Steel framed masonry walls and roof structure. Includes pavements, utilities, and site improvements. Relocate pre-engineered metal building for vehicle storage. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 14,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 6,186 SF PROJECT: Vehicle Maintenance Complex (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a properly sized and adequately configured vehicle maintenance complex to include administrative offices, shops, bench stock, tool room, and storage to perform periodic inspections, repairs, and maintenance to the base vehicle fleet and special purpose vehicles and equipment, such as snow plows and refuelers. Facilities require compliance with health and safety codes and standards for hazardous work areas for handling fuel system and batteries and environmental regulations for the storage and disposal of lubricants, oils, batteries, and acids. Hydraulic lifts are required for regular and special purpose vehicles. An enclosed heated space is required to wash vehicles in severe cold weather and to store vehicles from inclement weather. CURRENT SITUATION: The facilities are grossly undersized. There is no vehicle washing area or a bay to service snow plows or fire fighting equipment. The building electrical system does not comply with hazardous criteria required by the National Electrical Code. Some areas that require explosion proof fixtures do not have them. Large vehicles, such as the refueler, snowplows, and fire trucks cannot fit in the maintenance bays. These must be maintained in a parking area outside, including winter weather conditions. Vehicle administration is located in building				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG MASSACHUSETTS		
4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER AXQD899748	
<p>4. This is an ex-fire station facility now into forced use as temporary space. There is no covered storage for vehicles. Building 32 used for inert munitions storage will be relocated to provide vehicle storage. This is a structurally sound pre-engineered metal building that can be relocated and converted to vehicle storage. Upon completion of this project the following will be demolished: Building 4 at 2,580 SF; building 5 at 300 SF; and building 6 at 3,306 SF for a total of 6,186 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Unable to comply with health and safety codes. The level of personnel training continues uncoordinated and piecemeal without sufficient space to support the daily requirements. Refueler maintenance is accomplished outside in a parking lot in violation of safety and environmental codes. Lack of adequate facilities affects morale, recruiting, and operational readiness. Unit is unable to provide a reasonable level of maintenance to special equipment required for fire fighting and snow plowing of the airfield and roads. Improperly maintained vehicles breakdown often and cost more to operate.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been prepared comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates that new construction is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT ANG MASSACHUSETTS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER AXQD899748																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="321 604 1352 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 AUG 16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 MAR 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 AUG 29</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="321 793 1271 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="321 919 1352 1077"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>160</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 AUG 16	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 MAR 30	(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 29	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	100	(b) All Other Design Costs	60	(c) Total	160	(d) Contract	160	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 AUG 16																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 MAR 30																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 29																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	100																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	60																							
(c) Total	160																							
(d) Contract	160																							
(e) In-house																								



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WORCESTER ANG STATION MASSACHUSETTS						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 10 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	64	0	12	52	394	38 356
ACTUAL	58	0	9	49	388	37 351
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	101	TCS SQ	244	230		
	212	EIS SQ	150	158		
		TOTALS	394	388		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	Support Equipment	121	105			
	Vehicle Equivalents	455	435			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION						2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE ANG BASE, MICHIGAN							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 8 JUL 94							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	1,104	39	527	538	1,805	228	1,577
ACTUAL	1,114	37	561	516	1,682	185	1,497
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	127 SVCS	27	24				
	107 TFS	42	39				
	127 CAMS	447	390				
	127 MSS	42	34				
	127 TAC CI	74	61				
	127 FW	49	46				
	127 COM FT	35	29				
	127 SPF	57	55				
	127 OSF	26	26				
	127 RMS	107	98				
	107 WX FLT	19	19				
	191 SVCS	34	25				
	171 FIS	95	90				
	191 MSS	33	34				
	191 CAM	208	255				
	191 FIG	46	37				
	191 CLINIC	55	49				
	191 CES	141	123				
	191 SPF	57	55				
	191 RMS	107	96				
	191 COMMS	39	35				
	191 SPTG	41	38				
	127 SPTG	24	24				
	TOTALS	1,805	1,682				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16A/B Aircraft	15	18				
	C-26B Aircraft	1	1				
	C-130E	8	3				
	Support Equipment	209	201				
	Vehicle Equivalents	902	839				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE ANG BASE MICHIGAN		4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 821-116	7. PROJECT NUMBER VGLZ929902	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$2,900	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS	LS			2,217
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				430
UTILITIES	LS			( 250)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 120)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 60)
SUBTOTAL				2,647
CONTINGENCY (5%)				132
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,779
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				139
TOTAL REQUEST				2,918
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: The shutdown of the existing steam distribution system serving the remaining ten buildings on the east side of the base requires the installation of packaged heating systems. These will be grouped to most efficiently serve the affected buildings. Provide all utilities, pavements, site improvements, and support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Heating Systems (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance project. State inspectors have determined that stack emissions exceed the regulatory level of 20% opacity. The base requires adequate heating systems which are economical to maintain, operate and do not pollute the air and ground water. Buildings 117, 118, 120, 124, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, and 140 require packaged heating units. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has a coal fired central heating plant which is antiquated and does not meet current and pending air quality emission standards. The central plant serves ten buildings through a system of approximately six miles of underground and above ground high temperature hot water lines. The central plant has old boilers which do not meet required emission control technology and are uneconomical to operate. The plant emissions do not meet federal and state air quality standards. There are numerous health and safety violations. The lines serving the buildings are old, poorly insulated, and need to be replaced. There are numerous leaks and substantial loss of energy through those leaks. The pipes have asbestos insulation. The electrical connections are old and corroded. Rain water runoff from the coal storage piles cause pollution of the groundwater. It is uneconomical to upgrade the heating plant to meet air quality standards. The base is in a non-attainment area for				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE ANG BASE MICHIGAN																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS	5. PROJECT NUMBER VGLZ929902																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 590 1419 716"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 APR 14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 DEC 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JUN 30</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 785 1338 848"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 911 1419 1068"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>145</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>195</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>195</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 APR 14	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	35%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 15	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 30	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	145	(b) All Other Design Costs	50	(c) Total	195	(d) Contract	195	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 APR 14																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	35%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 DEC 15																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JUN 30																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	145																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	50																							
(c) Total	195																							
(d) Contract	195																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L APT, MINNESOTA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.37		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force, four nights per week for night flying by aircrew members, and for other training					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 5 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Air Force Reserve Base, 2 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Naval Reserve Facility, 1 Naval Communications Facility, 1 Coast Guard Reserve Facility, 1 Marine Corps Reserve Facility, 1 Armed Forces Induction Station, 1 Naval Air Reserve Facility					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY				COST	DESIGN STATUS
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>		<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
116-672	AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY		LS	400	FEB 94 MAR 95
821-115	UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEM		LS	780	MAR 94 FEB 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					31 AUG 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None	(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY				COST	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>		<u>(\$000)</u>	
214-467	UPGRADE REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP AND VEHICLE WASHING FACILITY	2,600 SF		360	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L APT, MINNESOTA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 16 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	321	37	247	37	1,369	222 1,147
ACTUAL	311	37	240	34	1,360	216 1,144
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	133 SVF	43	36			
	133 OPS GP	6	6			
	133 LOG GP	7	8			
	133 SUP GP	5	5			
	133 OPS FT	20	19			
	133 ALCNFT	14	12			
	133 AW	51	47			
	109 AS	95	98			
	133 MNT SQ	183	176			
	133 MSF	34	34			
	133 MS	73	62			
	109 AES	131	137			
	133 COM FT	37	37			
	237 ATCF	68	58			
	208 WEA FT	25	25			
	133 CES	112	144			
	133 APS	101	91			
	133 SPS	57	53			
	133 LOG SQ	107	101			
	210 EIS	38	35			
	HQ MNANG	162	138			
	1833 STU FT	0	38			
	TOTALS	1,369	1,360			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	C-130E Aircraft	8	8			
	Support Equipment	169	161			
	Vehicle Equivalents	452	489			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L APT MINNESOTA		4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEM			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 821-115	7. PROJECT NUMBER QJKL949506	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$780		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEM		LS			600
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					110
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			( 85)
SITE RESTORATION & UTILITIES		LS			( 25)
SUBTOTAL					710
CONTINGENCY (5%)					36
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					746
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					37
TOTAL REQUEST					783
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					780
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Shutdown of the existing steam boiler and distribution system will require replacement with a new hydronic heating system, peripherals, and a distribution system. Provide asbestos removal, site restoration, and utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Heating System (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance requirement. This project will provide a heating system which is energy efficient and meets applicable clean air requirements mandated by the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990. Buildings 684 and 686 require packaged heating units. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has a central heating plant which serves four buildings through an underground steam distribution system. The old boilers do not meet federal and state air quality emission standards. There are numerous health and safety violations, including friable asbestos insulation. The lines serving the buildings are old, corroded, poorly insulated, and need to be replaced. There are numerous leaks and substantial losses of energy through these leaks. These leaks also allow the chemically treated boiler water to enter the ground. The electrical connections are old and unsafe. It is uneconomical to upgrade the heating plant to meet air quality standards. The plant must be operated throughout the year to allow the production of hot water to the various buildings. This project will provide smaller, energy efficient heating units that will meet air emission standards and will be more economical to operate and maintain. The base is in a non-attainment area for Oxides. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Violation of state and federal air and ground water environmental laws. Large energy losses. Health and safety hazards. Higher operating costs.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L APT MINNESOTA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEM	5. PROJECT NUMBER QJKL949506																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1417 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>94 MAR 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 JUL 14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1336 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1417 1077"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	94 MAR 18	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUL 14	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	36	(b) All Other Design Costs	20	(c) Total	56	(d) Contract	56	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	94 MAR 18																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUL 14																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	36																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	20																							
(c) Total	56																							
(d) Contract	56																							
(e) In-house																								



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW JERSEY		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.20	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Coast Guard Training Center			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996			
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	COST <u>(\$000)</u> <u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
840-000	UPGRADE SANITARY AND WATER SYSTEMS	LS	650    APR 94    MAY 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			<u>15 NOV 93</u> <sup>2</sup> (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	<u>(Number of Acres)</u>
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	COST <u>(\$000)</u>
171-447	TELECOMMUNICATION AND SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	13,000 SF	2,200
422-264	STORAGE IGLOOS	6,400 SF	1,100

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW JERSEY						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 30 JUN 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	337	4	50	283	1,037	933
ACTUAL	337	4	50	283	995	895
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	177 FG	74	65			
	119 FS	38	39			
	177 MSQ	385	356			
	177 LSQ	107	99			
	177 COM	43	41			
	177 MSS FT	34	32			
	177 CES	140	155			
	177 SPS	85	88			
	177 MED SQ	55	52			
	177 SVF	30	25			
	177 OPS GP	3	2			
	177 LGS GP	16	15			
	177 SPT GP	5	5			
	177 OPS FT	22	21			
	TOTALS	1,037	995			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	15	23			
	(Converting to C Model 95/2)	0	0			
	Support Equipment	115	103			
	Vehicle Equivalents	267	293			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY		4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY AND WATER SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 840-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER AQR949677	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$650	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE SANITARY AND WATER SYSTEMS	LS			540
EXTEND WATER LINES	LS			( 300)
EXTEND SANITARY SEWER LINES	LS			( 100)
CONSTRUCT COVERED VEHICLE WASHRACK	LS			( 140)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				50
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 40)
SUBTOTAL				590
CONTINGENCY (5%)				30
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				620
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				31
TOTAL REQUEST				651
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install 3,200 LF of potable water lines. Install 8,000 LF of sanitary sewer system. Construct a covered vehicle washrack area. Provide pavements and site improvements.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Upgrade Potable Water and Sanitary Sewer System (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This is a Level I environmental requirement. The base requires environmentally safe drinking water and sanitary sewer systems to comply with 57 FR 31776, which is promulgating maximum contaminant level goals and national drinking water regulations for organic and inorganic chemicals, the State of New Jersey 7.10 Safe Drinking Water Act, and the State of New Jersey 7.9A Standards for Individual Subsurface Sewage Disposal Systems. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The munitions storage and the F-16 alert areas are not contiguous to the main base and have inadequate drinking water and sewer systems. They have six septic tanks to dispose of the sewage while the rest of the base is connected to the city sanitary treatment plant. The septic tanks are old and do not work properly. There have been frequent repairs and malfunctions. Temporary facilities have had to be used frequently until the system can be made to work again. This project will connect the area to the rest of the base and the septic tanks can be removed. The areas also have their own water wells. Water quality fluctuates and frequently does not meet state drinking water quality standards. The well water is treated but this is insufficient to remove the impurities. Tests show high copper content in some of the buildings. This project proposes the connection of the water line to the nearby Federal Aviation Administration system which has a water treatment plant.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY AND WATER SYSTEMS	5. PROJECT NUMBER AQRC949677	
<p>The base also does not have an area for performing corrosion control on oversized vehicles. The work is being done in parking lots and inside hangars. Vehicle wash water containing detergent and grease/oils is not being properly contained and treated.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Unable to comply with federal and state clean water environmental requirements. Unable to comply with state drinking water and sewage disposal standards. The state may fine the base. The Air National Guard could receive unfavorable publicity.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW JERSEY																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SANITARY AND WATER SYSTEMS	5. PROJECT NUMBER AQRC949677																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="381 609 1412 745"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>94 APR 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 NOV 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 MAY 31</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="381 808 1331 871"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="381 924 1412 1092"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 05	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 NOV 30	(d) Date Design Complete	95 MAY 31	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	35	(b) All Other Design Costs	18	(c) Total	53	(d) Contract	53	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 05																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 NOV 30																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 MAY 31																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	35																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	18																							
(c) Total	53																							
(d) Contract	53																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.19	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Two Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily training by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Naval Facility and 1 Active Army Post.					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY					
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN START</u>	<u>STATUS CMPL</u>
211-179	FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	29,400 SF	5,700	OCT 92	FEB 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					
				15 NOV 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None		
			(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY					
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>		
141-753	ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	26,400 SF	750		
141-753	CONSOLIDATED SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	44,700 SF	6,600		
171-450	MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	6,000 SF	760		
211-111	CONSOLIDATED AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	51,100 SF	8,600		
219-944	COMPOSITE BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	24,000 SF	3,250		
871-183	INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY	LS	750		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 9 AUG 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	567	128	395	44	1,552	230   1,322
ACTUAL	504	125	335	44	1,725	248   1,477
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	HQ NJ ANG	32	29			
	HQ 108ARW	65	87			
	108 OPS FT	44	34			
	141 ARS	69	62			
	141 AGU	0	0			
	150 ARS	65	72			
	108 OPS GP	8	1			
	108 LOG GP	18	0			
	108 MNT SQ	544	592			
	108 LOG SQ	145	194			
	108 SPT GP	5	6			
	108 MSS	43	56			
	108 COMMFT	36	62			
	108 SPS	118	125			
	108 CES	132	190			
	108 SVC	52	45			
	108 CLINIC	57	55			
	170 CLINIC	55	50			
	108 DET 2	40	39			
	204 WEA FT	24	26			
	TOTALS	1,552	1,725			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	KC 135 Aircraft	19	21			
	C-135B	1	1			
	C-26A	1	1			
	Support Equipment	430	410			
	Vehicle Equivalentents	380	380			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE NEW JERSEY			4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 51411F		6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER PTFL949564		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		SF	29,400		4,271		
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		SF	23,800	150	( 3,570)		
FUEL SYSTEMS SHOPS		SF	2,500	125	( 313)		
CORROSION CONTROL SHOPS		SF	1,500	125	( 188)		
MEDIA STRIPPING AREA		SF	1,600	125	( 200)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					900		
UTILITIES		LS			( 250)		
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 200)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 100)		
FIRE SUPPRESSION		LS			( 350)		
SUBTOTAL					5,171		
CONTINGENCY (5%)					259		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,430		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					272		
TOTAL REQUEST					5,702		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,700		
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab; structural steel and masonry with insulated panel walls and roof structure. All utilities, access pavements, fire suppression, and support. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.							
11. REQUIREMENT: 48,900 SF ADEQUATE: 19,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: The project supports the conversion of F-16 to KC-135 aircraft and the consolidation of the two squadrons and two locations into one squadron and one location. The facility is needed to provide control of fugitive paint and volatile and abrasive particulates, in compliance with New Jersey environmental regulation Title 7, Chapter 27, Air Pollution control for Emission of VOC and Fugitive Paint, and the Federal Clean Air Act of 1990. Both the act and the regulation prohibit practices that allow particulates to become airborne. Functional areas include fuel cell hangar, bladder repair shop, and associated support shop areas which must meet air quality control standards. Additionally, secondary containment is needed to meet spill containment requirements in accordance with 40 CFR 122.6. In the associated support shop areas, paint stripping and blasting operations require controlled containment in a centralized area that complies with proper environmental air quality and controls. CURRENT SITUATION: The unit has only one facility to perform fuel cell maintenance and corrosion control on 19 KC-135 aircraft. This has been found to be grossly inadequate. Weather conditions and environmental regulations mandate that fuel cell maintenance be performed indoors since it requires that the aircraft have fuel bladders and cells open for a considerable time. The work is now being performed in a hangar and on the							



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER PTFL949564	
<p>ramp, weather permitting. Both locations are violations of aircraft technical orders. The ramp does not have the proper containment for fuel spills, which is in violation of Federal and State spill containment standards. Fuel on the ramp is washed down and ends up in the nearby stream which runs off base. The building does not have explosion proof fixtures, volatile organic carbon extraction system, or a containment drain to collect fuel. Upon completion of this project, the following buildings will be returned to the host base for disposition: 19-30, 19-31, 19-32, and 19-37 totaling 31,690 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Fuel cell maintenance and corrosion control is not being performed on time. The unit operational readiness is degraded. Compliance with Federal and State environmental regulations are not met subjecting the unit to fines and notices of violations. Inadequate maintenance and inadequate training. The Air Force and Air National Guard could receive unfavorable publicity if a fuel spill is not contained.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> As a result of BRAC 93 realignment, all facilities are being fully utilized. An exception to the economic analysis requirement has been prepared for this project showing that there is no alternative other than new construction.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE NEW JERSEY																								
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER PTFL949564																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 617 1414 741"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>92 OCT 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 JUN 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 28</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 810 1333 867"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 936 1414 1087"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>280</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>380</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>380</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 10	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUN 01	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 28	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	280	(b) All Other Design Costs	100	(c) Total	380	(d) Contract	380	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 10																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUN 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 28																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	280																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	100																							
(c) Total	380																							
(d) Contract	380																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WARREN GROVE RANGE, NEW JERSEY		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.15														
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Two unit training assemblies per month, 15 days annual training per year, daily use by military members, DoD agencies, and supports the NJARNG night flying activities.																
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS Fort Dix 20 miles, US Naval Air Engineering Center, Lakehurst, NJ 20 miles.																
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>179-481</td> <td>COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY</td> <td>8,625 SF</td> <td>1,100</td> <td>NOV 91</td> <td>AUG 94</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	179-481	COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,625 SF	1,100	NOV 91	AUG 94
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE					COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS								
			START	CMPL												
179-481	COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,625 SF	1,100	NOV 91	AUG 94											
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																
<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 NOV 93 (Date)</td> </tr> </table>				15 NOV 93 (Date)												
	15 NOV 93 (Date)															
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	(Number of Acres)														
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)										
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WARREN GROVE RANGE, NEW JERSEY						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 12 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	11	2	9	0	11	2 9
ACTUAL	11	2	9	0	11	2 9
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	DET1 HQ WGR	11		11		
	TOTALS	11		11		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Support Equipment	0		0		
	Vehicle Equivalentents	29		29		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WARREN GROVE RANGE NEW JERSEY			4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 179-481	7. PROJECT NUMBER YKSX919683	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	8,625		860
RANGE OPERATIONS	SF	3,300	105	( 347)
VEHICLE OPERATIONS	SF	2,500	100	( 250)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE	SF	1,600	100	( 160)
SUPPLY STORAGE	SF	1,000	80	( 80)
TRAINING AREA	SF	225	100	( 23)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				150
UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS/SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 95)
REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS			( 25)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 30)
SUBTOTAL				1,010
CONTINGENCY (5%)				51
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,061
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				53
TOTAL REQUEST				1,114
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations, floor slabs, steel frame and roof, paved access, fire protection, and all necessary utilities and support. Replace underground storage tanks. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,625 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,732 SF PROJECT: Composite Range Operations Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This range, although operated by the Air National Guard, is used by the Total Force and is one of the few bombing ranges on the east coast. The range requires a properly sized and configured facility to support the range operations. Functional areas include: vehicle maintenance, vehicle operations, supply storage and range operations. CURRENT SITUATION: Range operations are split between a leased temporary facility and a very small WWII quonset hut. Vehicle maintenance is performed in a facility not designed for this type of operation. Both operations and maintenance activities are done in extremely small areas not appropriate for the needs. All the facilities have outlived their economic life. They are poorly insulated and grossly undersized. There are health and safety hazards. Effective command and control of the range operation does not exist. The facilities do not represent quality work areas. The range is manned by two officers and nine enlisted personnel and is extensively used on weekdays and also on weekends. This range provides training and operational capabilities to the Defense Department at a low operational cost. Upon completion of this project, the following will be demolished: Building 2 at 882 SF and Building 15 at 88 SF. Temporary facility lease will be terminated. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Ineffective command and control of range				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WARREN GROVE RANGE NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER YKSX919683	
<p>operation could result in an accident. The split operation will continue. Higher operating costs and loss of training opportunities. Poor facility conditions could effect personel who are controlling the training aircraft over the range.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WARREN GROVE RANGE NEW JERSEY																								
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE RANGE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER YKSX919683																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="381 609 1412 735"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 NOV 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 DEC 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 AUG 09</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="381 798 1331 861"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="381 924 1412 1081"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 22	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 DEC 12	(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 09	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	45	(b) All Other Design Costs	25	(c) Total	70	(d) Contract	70	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 22																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 DEC 12																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 09																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	45																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	25																							
(c) Total	70																							
(d) Contract	70																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.02		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 2 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Naval/Marine Reserve Facility					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
211-111	ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	32,200 SF	900	MAR 91	AUG 94
211-157	COMPOSITE ENGINE AND NDI SHOP	24,600 SF	2,700	AUG 91	JAN 95
211-159	AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	11,300 SF	1,800	NOV 91	FEB 95
217-713	LANTIRN MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,300 SF	620	NOV 91	FEB 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					29 JAN 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
131-111	COMPOSITE COMMUNICATION AND STATE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	10,400 SF	2,300		
141-753	ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	22,300 SF	3,000		
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	17,900 SF	2,900		
442-758	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE	41,000 SF	1,950		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 16 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	363	40	319	4	1,054	123   931
ACTUAL	354	40	310	4	1,072	120   952
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	HQ NM ANG	28	28			
	150 FG	49	48			
	150 MED SQ	32	36			
	150 MSS SQ	34	32			
	150 MNT SQ	464	483			
	150 CES	110	99			
	150 SVS FT	34	32			
	150 SPS	57	57			
	150 LOG SQ	107	104			
	150 CMN FT	35	39			
	150 SUP GP	5	5			
	188 FS	42	50			
	8150 STU FT	5	20			
	150 OPS GP	3	3			
	150 LOG GP	16	15			
	150 OSF	33	21			
	TOTALS	1,054	1,072			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	18	25			
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	171	150			
	Vehicle Equivalents	179	86			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO				4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 52620F		6. CATEGORY CODE 211-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV899520		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$900	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS		SF	32,200		445	
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR		SF	24,000	10	( 240)	
ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS		SF	4,200	25	( 105)	
ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	4,000	25	( 100)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					370	
UTILITIES		LS			( 30)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			( 100)	
FIRE SUPPRESSION		LS			( 210)	
TEMPORARY FACILITY		LS			( 30)	
SUBTOTAL					815	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					41	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					856	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					43	
TOTAL REQUEST					899	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Relocate interior walls and extend and upgrade utilities. Remove asbestos. Provide a fire suppression system, and extend and upgrade the fire detection system. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 55,000 SF ADEQUATE: 22,800 SF SUBSTANDARD: 32,200 SF PROJECT: Alter Aircraft Maintenance Hangar and Shops (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft. Adequate facilities are necessary to support the general aircraft maintenance functions associated with the F-16. The aircraft requires functionally adequate, energy efficient aircraft maintenance shops and a maintenance control complex to accomplish aircraft repair, fabrication, calibration, training, servicing, and administration. The facility and equipment need to be protected from potential fires. CURRENT SITUATION: The hangar and shop complex was constructed in the early 1950's. Several shops have been added and several modified as the unit converted from one aircraft to another over the years, leading to an inefficient interior layout. The facilities' infrastructure needs to be upgraded to accommodate the highly complex equipment required to keep the F-16 aircraft and all its components operational. Shops are not properly sized, organized or arranged and need to be relocated, resized and upgraded to provide for efficient and quality F-16 maintenance. The facility does not meet energy conservation standards; the electrical system needs to be upgraded in order to provide adequate power; the ventilation in the shops is inadequate and non-existent in altered/resized areas; the administrative area needs upgrading to provide a more functional working environment. The building has interior asbestos that needs removal. The facility has numerous health, safety and fire code						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV899520	
<p>violations. Facility has inadequate fire detection and fire suppression systems in the shops and administration areas. The hangar bay area has an inadequate fire detection system and no fire suppression system.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Increased backlog and inefficient repair of aircraft. Improper training. Decreased operational readiness of the unit and inability to maintain the F-16 aircraft. Increased energy costs. Health and safety hazards. Lack of adequate fire detection and suppression systems continue to leave multi-million dollar resources inadequately protected.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Temporary administration space will be provided under this project during the time the building is being altered. Upon completion of the construction these facilities will be removed from base.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE  ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	5. PROJECT NUMBER  MHMV899520																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="381 667 1421 800"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 MAR 02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>92 JUL 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 AUG 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="381 863 1339 926"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="381 989 1421 1146"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 MAR 02	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	92 JUL 01	(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	50	(b) All Other Design Costs	26	(c) Total	76	(d) Contract	76	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 MAR 02																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	92 JUL 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	50																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	26																							
(c) Total	76																							
(d) Contract	76																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO			4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE ENGINE AND NDI SHOP	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 52620F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-157	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV899517	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,700	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
COMPOSITE ENGINE AND NDI SHOP	SF	24,600		2,182
ENGINE SHOP	SF	12,000	120	( 1,440)
NDI SHOP	SF	3,500	125	( 438)
ENGINE STORAGE SHELTER	SF	1,000	85	( 85)
ALTER AGE AND GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS	SF	8,100	27	( 219)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				270
UTILITIES	LS			( 120)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 50)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 100)
SUBTOTAL				2,452
CONTINGENCY (5%)				123
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,575
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				129
TOTAL REQUEST				2,704
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and built up roof. Provide overhead cranes, all utilities, access pavements, and site improvements. Convert engine shop to AGE by rearranging interior walls and by moving, upgrading, and extending the utilities. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 24,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,912 SF PROJECT: Composite Engine and NDI Shop (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft. Adequate facilities are necessary to support the engine maintenance functions associated with the F-16 aircraft. The jet engines require disassembly, inspection, minor and major repairs, and reassembly in a safe a properly configured area with sufficient lighting and ventilation. An engine trailer storage shelter and a Non Destructive Inspection (NDI) shop are also required. Adequately sized and properly configured maintenance areas are needed for inspection, repair, service, and storage of aircraft ground support equipment. An area is required for the electro-environmental, battery, and wheel/tire shops. Training, administration, and storage space to complement the maintenance areas are also required. CURRENT SITUATION: The engine shop is a structurally sound facility but is grossly undersized and poorly configured. The work stations, engine storage, and tools, occupy most of the floor space. The remaining area is crowded with administrative offices, bearing room, parts cleaning, tool crib, shop chief, and toilets. Manhours are lost moving engines/equipment and improvising. Environmental controls, lighting, and ventilation are substandard. The engine shop is only 8,000 SF while the minimum required				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE ENGINE AND NDI SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV899517	
<p>is 12,000 SF. Since 1992 there has been a significant decrease in the the capability of the personnel to maintain and repair the engines in the severely overcrowded space. The NDI shop is less than 50% of the required size. There is insufficient space for all the equipment. This results in work backlog due to waiting time for the availability of equipment. Training opportunities are lost. There is a need for a larger NDI shop but it cannot be expanded. The engine shop area will be altered to support AGE and other aircraft maintenance functions which are also extremely short of space as a result of the aircraft conversion. This will allow the disposal of other older buildings. Upon completion of this project the following will be demolished: Building 1051 at 6,000 SF and Building 1040 at 812 SF for a total of 6,812 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit is unable to reach full operational capability. Readiness is degraded. Training and productive time is lost. Unsafe and poor working conditions continue. This directly impacts the output of these shops and degrades the capability. Training sorties are lost. Lack of space adversely affects the quality of maintenance that needs to be performed on the F-16 aircraft.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economical analysis has been prepared comparing various alternatives. Based on that analysis new construction is the best option over the expected life of the facilities.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE ENGINE AND NDI SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV899517																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="389 661 1429 798"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 AUG 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 MAY 31</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 JAN 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="389 850 1429 924"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="389 976 1429 1144"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 AUG 30	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 MAY 31	(d) Date Design Complete	95 JAN 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	97	(b) All Other Design Costs	53	(c) Total	150	(d) Contract	150	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 AUG 30																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 MAY 31																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 JAN 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	97																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	53																							
(c) Total	150																							
(d) Contract	150																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-159	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV929686	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,800	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	SF	11,300		1,174
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	SF	6,000	135	( 810)
COMPOSITE MATERIALS SHOP	SF	300	145	( 44)
ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS SHOP	SF	5,000	64	( 320)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450
UTILITIES	LS			( 75)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 75)
FIRE SUPPRESSION	LS			( 200)
SUBTOTAL				1,624
CONTINGENCY (5%)				81
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,705
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				85
TOTAL REQUEST				1,790
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls with structural steel framing and roof system. Provide all utilities, pavements and site improvements and an oil/water separator. Relocate a paint spray booth insert. Exterior to match existing of Building 1063. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,300 SF ADEQUATE: 6,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 6,940 SF PROJECT: Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This is a Level II environmental compliance requirement. The base requires a facility for the control of fugitive emissions, volatile organic compounds, paint and abrasive particulates, in accordance with the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990, which enforces the practice of controlling hazardous air pollutant emissions associated with the manufacturing and reworking of military and commercial aircraft, subassemblies, and aircraft parts. In the associated shop area, paint stripping and blasting operations require controlled containment in a centralized area with proper environmental air quality controls. This project will replace and consolidate uncontrolled sand blasting activities and provide a single facility which will establish and maintain proper environmental controls and meet pollution and safety standards. CURRENT SITUATION: The facility is insufficiently sized and cannot accommodate both simulation functions of fuel cell and corrosion control. Aircraft corrosion control is being performed in widely separated areas. Washing of aircraft is outside. The work can only be done when the weather permits; when it is not too hot or too cold or there is no wind blown dust. The oil/water separator in the facility does not meet state and federal regulations and is inadequate in size to handle fuel spills.				



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV929686	
<p>It must be upgraded before contamination of the soil and water occurs. Painting of aircraft parts on and off the aircraft and x-ray examination of the structural parts occur in another facility. The F-16 aircraft is more fuel cell intensive and requires a dedicated fuel cell bay, leaving no facility for corrosion control and related tasks. The painting is done outside or in temporary paint spray booths. These interim solutions are not acceptable for the long term and lead to air pollution. There is no composite material shop associated with the current aircraft. Upon completion of this project, Building 1053 at 1,940 SF will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inefficient training and poor working conditions. Mission capability of the corrosion control/fuel cell shop and the health and welfare of the personnel are adversely affected. Environmental statutes are violated through air pollution, water pollution and soil contamination. If a fuel spill should occur, the Air Force and Air National Guard may receive unfavorable publicity.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Due to on going commitments to other DoD agencies, this unit annually flies 50% more flights than similiar units. These additional flights, in support of Defense Systems Evaluations (DSE), put an additional strain on the unit when inadequate facilities exist.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE  AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER  MHMV929686																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 674 1443 800"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 NOV 26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 AUG 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 867 1443 926"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 993 1443 1150"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>141</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>141</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 26	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 AUG 01	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	83	(b) All Other Design Costs	58	(c) Total	141	(d) Contract	141	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 26																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 AUG 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	83																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	58																							
(c) Total	141																							
(d) Contract	141																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO		4. PROJECT TITLE LANTIRN MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 52620F	6. CATEGORY CODE 217-713	7. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV929502	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$620	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
LANTIRN MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	5,300		447
LANTIRN MAINTENANCE SHOP	SF	2,000	120	( 240)
ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	SF	2,100	70	( 147)
COVERED AREA FOR AIR MOBILE EQUIPMENT	SF	1,200	50	( 60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				115
UTILITIES	LS			( 55)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 50)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 10)
SUBTOTAL				562
CONTINGENCY (5%)				28
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				590
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				30
TOTAL REQUEST				620
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				620
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition to the avionics building with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Steel reinforced block walls and roof structure. Alteration: rearrange walls, and extend and upgrade utilities. Provide exterior utilities, pavements and site improvements and a covered area. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 5,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,100 SF PROJECT: Lantirn Maintenance Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft. The base requires a facility to maintain the avionics equipment, train personnel and provide administration and work space associated with the assigned Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night (LANTIRN) pod targeting and navigation system. Associated with the LANTIRN system are air mobile equipment that require a covered concrete slab adjacent to the facility so that training can be performed in the field deployable shelters and field conditions. CURRENT SITUATION: The avionics building is inadequately sized to accommodate the new LANTIRN mission. There are no covered slabs to provide support and protection for the air mobile maintenance shelters that are an integral part of this mission. The utilities and the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems require upgrades to accommodate the new mission requirements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inefficient and ineffective training of the crews. Crowded working conditions and poor training conditions for both full time and weekend forces. Inability to properly maintain the LANTIRN pods or utilize the mobile shelters. The unit is not be able to support their mission. Reduced readiness.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE NEW MEXICO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE LANTIRN MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER MHMV929502																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 678 1417 800"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 NOV 26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 JUN 16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 867 1336 926"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 993 1417 1150"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 26	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUN 16	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	26	(b) All Other Design Costs	17	(c) Total	43	(d) Contract	43	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 NOV 26																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUN 16																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	26																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	17																							
(c) Total	43																							
(d) Contract	43																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG, NEW YORK		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.20			
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army Telecommunications Center, 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Naval Reserve Center, 1 Marine Reserve Center and 2 Army Reserve Centers					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	COST <u>(\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>C MPL</u>	
171-450	COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	15,400 SF	1,990	NOV 93	FEB 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			30 AUG 94 (Date)		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	<u>(Number of Acres)</u>		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	COST <u>(\$000)</u>		
171-445	COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	40,000 SF	6,600		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG NEW YORK				4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-450	7. PROJECT NUMBER HAAW909833	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$1,990			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		SF	15,400		1,495	
MEDICAL TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATION		SF	10,500	120	( 1,260)	
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING AREA		SF	1,000	110	( 110)	
ALTER OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY		SF	3,900	32	( 125)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					310	
UTILITIES		LS			( 100)	
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 75)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 35)	
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			( 100)	
SUBTOTAL					1,805	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					90	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,895	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					95	
TOTAL REQUEST					1,990	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,990	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab; concrete block with exterior metal or masonry veneer and roof system. Includes site work, pavement, access road, parking lot, utilities, and support. Alter vacated space in Building 617 for Operational Training by rearranging and extending walls and utilities. Air Conditioning: 35 Tons.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 15,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,700 SF PROJECT: Composite Medical Training Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a properly sized facility for medical and dental examination rooms and offices, laboratories, administration of personnel medical records, training, and storage space to maintain proficiency and to perform preventative medical services. These services include physical exams, lab work, immunizations, optical and audio testing, and other medical and dental support to maintain unit readiness. Facility must accommodate nine additional medical personnel from three communications electronics units. Physical fitness space provides an area for the unit to conduct medical aerobic testing and provides daily exercise and fitness for base personnel. CURRENT SITUATION: The wartime medical training services are being performed in two facilities. Building 617 is 3,900 SF and is physically connected to Building 613, which houses the base operations and training offices and the Wing Headquarters. Building 780 is 5,400 SF of which 2,435 SF is used for Medical Training. It is a WWII wood barracks converted to accommodate the medical clinic functions. It is in poor condition with a leaking roof, deteriorated siding, single pane windows, and antiquated plumbing, mechanical and electrical systems. Both of these facilities are grossly undersized to accommodate the requirements for						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG NEW YORK		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER HAAW909833	
<p>medical training and clinic functions. Nine doctors use two exam rooms, which are also used as offices for the clinic commander and chief of medical services. The vacated medical area in Building 617 will be upgraded at minimal cost and retained until it will be disposed in a future replacement project. This project is in accordance with the Approved Base Master Development Plan. Upon completion of this project the following will be demolished: Buildings 779 and 780 each at 5,400 SF for a total of 10,800 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inadequate and inefficient training and operations, poor working conditions will continue. Morale and recruiting continues to be affected. Increased costs to operate and maintain antiquated facilities. Degraded fitness and readiness. Unable to comply with the approved master plan.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HANCOCK FIELD ANG NEW YORK																								
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER HAAW909833																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="389 588 1429 735"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 NOV 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 JUL 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="389 777 1429 861"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="389 903 1429 1081"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>99</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>167</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>167</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 NOV 08	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUL 30	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	99	(b) All Other Design Costs	68	(c) Total	167	(d) Contract	167	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 NOV 08																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JUL 30																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	99																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	68																							
(c) Total	167																							
(d) Contract	167																							
(e) In-house																								



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.05		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, and daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air Force Reserve - On Base 1 Army National Guard - Niagara Falls, 4 Miles					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
111-115	UPGRADE RUNWAY OVERRUN	12,400 SY	1,950	DEC 91	FEB 95
832-266	UPGRADE STORM WATER AND SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	LS	400	APR 94	MAY 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				30 AUG 94 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		<u>(Number of Acres)</u>	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 AUG 94							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	365	25	335	5	958	118	840
ACTUAL	344	25	315	4	917	107	810
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	107 HQ GP	55	57				
	107 MED SQ	55	52				
	107 OPS GP	6	4				
	107 LOG GP	12	11				
	107 ARS	75	66				
	107 OSF	33	21				
	107 MNT SQ	288	286				
	107 LGS	107	106				
	107 SUP GP	5	5				
	107 MSF	34	33				
	107 CES	145	127				
	107 SPS	75	72				
	107 SVS FT	25	21				
	107 COMMFT	43	40				
	8107 STU FT	0	16				
	TOTALS	958	917				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	KC-135 Aircraft	9	6				
	Support Equipment	94	77				
	Vehicle Equivalents	210	201				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW YORK			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE RUNWAY OVERRUN	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 51411F	6. CATEGORY CODE 111-115	7. PROJECT NUMBER RVKQ919599	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,950	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE RUNWAY OVERRUN	SY	12,400	85	1,054
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				735
RELOCATE AND ADD LIGHTS	LS			( 200)
SHOULDERS	LS			( 125)
UTILITIES	LS			( 165)
UPGRADE TAXIWAY F AND CULVERTS	LS			( 245)
SUBTOTAL				1,789
CONTINGENCY (5%)				89
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,878
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				94
TOTAL REQUEST				1,972
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,950
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete surfaces. Relocate and extend runway lights. Upgrade Taxiway "F" and culverts. All utilities and support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Runway Overrun (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: Project supports the conversion of F-16 to KC-135 aircraft. The base requires the runway and taxiways of proper length and strength for the operational requirement of fuel loaded tanker aircraft. Provide adequate airfield lighting in accordance with FAA airfield standards. CURRENT SITUATION: The commercial runway is only 9,125 LF with 1,000 LF understrength overruns at each end. In addition, 500 LF of the runway is unusable when taking off to the West because of Taxiway "F" being located down the runway and the runway's width does not allow KC-135 aircraft to safely turnaround. This is insufficient to operate a fully loaded KC-135 aircraft. The aircraft now operate from the base without a full fuel load. This is operationally insufficient and degrades training. This project strengthens 500 LF of the east end of the overrun. Also included is the strengthening of Taxiway "F", including replacement of deficient culverts, and a wide turnaround at the east end of the runway so the KC-135 aircraft can safely turnaround. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fully loaded aircraft cannot take off. The aircraft will have to take off without the required load. Degraded training and unable to provide fully mission capable aircraft. Unable to achieve full operational capability. Degraded readiness.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT NEW YORK																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE RUNWAY OVERRUN	5. PROJECT NUMBER RVKQ919599																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1419 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 DEC 23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 FEB 16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 793 1338 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 919 1419 1087"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>88</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>179</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>179</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 DEC 23	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 FEB 16	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	91	(b) All Other Design Costs	88	(c) Total	179	(d) Contract	179	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 DEC 23																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 FEB 16																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	91																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	88																							
(c) Total	179																							
(d) Contract	179																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BLUE ASH ANG STATION, OHIO		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.02	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Eight Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.			
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Naval Reserve Center, 2 Army National Guard Units, 3 Army Reserve Units, 1 Marine Corps Reserve Center and 1 Coast Guard Center			
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996			
CATEGORY			
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u> <u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	380    SEP 92    MAY 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved			
			<u>1 JUN 94</u> (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	<u>(Number of Acres)</u>
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS			
CATEGORY			
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BLUE ASH ANG STATION, OHIO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 29 JUL 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	41	3	34	4	183	19   164
ACTUAL	39	3	32	4	188	19   169
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>			<u>STRENGTH</u>		
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
	123	TACCSQ		94	99	
	124	TACCSQ		89	89	
		TOTALS		183	188	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Prime Equipment		84	65		
	Support Equipment		16	16		
	Vehicle Equivalents		295	285		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PERRY ANG STATION OHIO				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.04																		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force for training.																						
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Training Center																						
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CATEGORY</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>CODE</th> <th></th> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>124-135</td> <td></td> <td>REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td>LS</td> <td>320</td> <td>JAN 94</td> <td>MAY 95</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		CODE		START	CMPL	124-135		REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	320	JAN 94	MAY 95
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS																	
CODE					START	CMPL																
124-135		REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	320	JAN 94	MAY 95																
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved <span style="float: right;">1 JUN 94 (Date)</span>																						
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED <span style="float: right;">None (Number of Acres)</span>																						
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CATEGORY</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>CODE</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	CODE												
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)																		
CODE																						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PERRY ANG STATION OHIO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 19 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	39	0	7	32	221	10   211
ACTUAL	36	0	7	29	196	8   188
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	200 RHCES	221		188		
	200 STU FT	0		8		
	TOTALS	221		196		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>		<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Vehicles	28		28		
	Support Equipment	28		28		
	Vehicle Equivalentents	175		166		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBAKER AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, OHIO		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.91															
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Two unit training assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training																	
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS HQ Army Reserve, 3 ONG Armories, 3 USAR Centers, 1 Marine Corps Center, 1 Naval Reserve Center, 1 Naval Intell Center.																	
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																	
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>124-135</td> <td>REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td>LS</td> <td>310</td> <td>MAR 94</td> <td>MAY 95</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	310	MAR 94	MAY 95
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)					DESIGN STATUS									
				START	CMPL												
124-135	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	LS	310	MAR 94	MAY 95												
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																	
<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 JUN 94</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(Date)</td> </tr> </table>								1 JUN 94					(Date)				
				1 JUN 94													
				(Date)													
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED																	
		None															
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																	
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)										
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)														

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBAKER AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, OHIO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 AUG 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	525	60	419	46	1,443	191   1,252
ACTUAL	647	59	456	132	1,567	208   1,359
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	121 ARW	69	102			
	121 OG	8	0			
	121 OSS	41	3			
	166 ARS	69	78			
	145 ARS	69	63			
	121 LG	18	0			
	121 LS	146	205			
	121 MS	472	560			
	121 SG	5	3			
	121 MSS	51	80			
	121 HSP	54	47			
	160 CLN	54	51			
	121 SPF	118	129			
	121 CS	56	51			
	121 MWRS	30	43			
	121 CES	124	117			
	8121 STU FT	59	35			
	TOTALS	1,443	1,567			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	KC-135 Aircraft	19	21			
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	392	355			
	Vehicle Equivalentents	484	688			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, OKLAHOMA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.92
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army National Guard Medical Company, 1 Combined Reserve		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996		
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u> <u>COST (\$000)</u> <u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
131-111	COMPOSITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	18,600 SF      1,900      JAN 90      OCT 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved		<u>6 OCT 93</u> (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED	None	<u>(Number of Acres)</u>
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u> <u>COST (\$000)</u>
171-445	OPERATIONS AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	17,300 SF      3,150

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, OKLAHOMA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 15 JUL 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	375	28	321	26	1,205	1,095
ACTUAL	310	22	267	21	1,018	911
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	138 FG	49	49			
	138 OPS GP	3	2			
	138 LOG GP	16	15			
	138 SPT GP	5	4			
	138 OPS SQ	22	19			
	138 MNT SQ	447	369			
	138 LOG SQ	107	72			
	138 SPS	57	50			
	138 CES	127	111			
	138 COM SQ	42	42			
	138 MSF FT	33	32			
	138 SVS FT	34	29			
	138 TAC CL	35	34			
	125 FGT SQ	42	40			
	125 WEA FL	14	12			
	219 EI SQ	172	138			
	TOTALS	1,205	1,018			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	15	21			
	Support Equipment	167	147			
	Vehicle Equivalents	275	279			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 131-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER XHZG001331	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$1,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
COMPOSITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		SF	18,600		1,501
COMMUNICATIONS		SF	8,300	115	( 955)
BASE PHOTO LABORATORY		SF	2,100	105	( 221)
OPS AND TRAINING AREA		SF	1,600	100	( 160)
ALTER OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITIES		SF	6,600	25	( 165)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					225
UTILITIES		LS			( 50)
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 45)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 30)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			( 100)
SUBTOTAL					1,726
CONTINGENCY (5%)					86
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,812
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					91
TOTAL REQUEST					1,903
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls,*concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame and built-up roof, asphalt driveway and storage area. Functional areas include computer and communications vaults, training areas, and mechanical room. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,036 SF PROJECT: Composite Communications Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured facility for communications, data automation, audio-visual services, and customer support. It incorporates a raised floor, secure vault and environmental controls for the data automation function including the message center. CURRENT SITUATION: The communication vault is extremely small and not constructed to security standards. The telephone center is also too small with inadequate air conditioning and is too crowded for the equipment. The excess heat causes fire alarm activation and violates the National Electric Code and communications and computer safety standards. Required programmed equipment expansion cannot be accommodated. The communications interrelated functions are scattered in six deficient buildings. This degrades training and impedes proper command and control. Three of these buildings cannot be economically upgraded. Upon completion of this project, the following will occur: demolition of building 309 at 718 SF and building 310 at 716 SF in addition to the disposition of a temporary leased facility at 2,115 SF. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The operational training and communications facilities remain overcrowded without adequate office or shop space. Safety, security and base support continue to suffer. The twenty man					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE  COMPOSITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER  XHZG001331	
<p>communications team remains split-up with no training area. The mission support squadron commander and technician continue to be without work and training space. Degraded training and higher operating costs continue. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project also includes the renovation of 814 SF in building 305 for the Judge Advocate and Chaplain functions; 3,354 SF in building 313 for the 125th Weather Flight; and 2,434 SF in building 501 for the Historian, Safety, Public Affairs, and Headquarters functions.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TULSA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OKLAHOMA																																															
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER XHZG001331																																														
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="3">(1) Status:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td></td> <td>90 JAN 09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td></td> <td>92 JUL 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> <td>94 OCT 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(2) Basis:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td>72</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td>65</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td></td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td></td> <td>96 MAY</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:			(a) Date Design Started		90 JAN 09	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		100%	(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 08	(d) Date Design Complete		94 OCT 15	(2) Basis:			(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		72	(b) All Other Design Costs		65	(c) Total		137	(d) Contract		137	(e) In-house			(4) Construction Start		96 MAY
(1) Status:																																															
(a) Date Design Started		90 JAN 09																																													
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		100%																																													
(c) Date 35% Designed		92 JUL 08																																													
(d) Date Design Complete		94 OCT 15																																													
(2) Basis:																																															
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO																																													
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A																																													
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)																																															
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		72																																													
(b) All Other Design Costs		65																																													
(c) Total		137																																													
(d) Contract		137																																													
(e) In-house																																															
(4) Construction Start		96 MAY																																													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.92		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Army National Guard Facilities, 4 Army Reserve Facilities, 1 Air Force Reserve Facility, 1 Naval Reserve Facility and 1 Marine Reserve Facility.					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
121-111	PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,650 SF	400	DEC 93	APR 95
171-873	AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	17,400 SF	2,550	JAN 93	APR 95
730-142	COMPOSITE FIRE STATION	11,800 SF	1,950	DEC 93	APR 95
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				6 OCT 93 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
730-835	ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	6,700 SF	500		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 11 AUG 94							
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	305	30	240	35	1,281	189	1,092
ACTUAL	282	30	218	34	1,167	184	983
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	137 ALW	51	51				
	137 ALS	95	101				
	137 MNT SQ	169	156				
	137 MSF	34	34				
	137 MED SQ	52	51				
	137 APF	65	53				
	137 CES	134	109				
	137 SVF	34	30				
	137 SPS	57	55				
	137 LGS	107	94				
	137 AEROMD	146	130				
	205 EIS	220	190				
	137 COM FT	40	36				
	137 OPS GP	6	6				
	137 OSF	18	18				
	137 LOG GP	7	6				
	137 SPT GP	5	6				
	HQ OKANG	27	29				
	137 ALCEFT	14	12				
	TOTALS	1,281	1,167				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	C-130H (PAA)	8	8				
	C-130H (BAI)	2	2				
	C-130H (OSA)	2	2				
	Support Equipment	126	100				
	Vehicle Equivalents	450	449				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-873	7. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU899778	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,550	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	SF	17,400		1,843
AERIAL PORT TRAINING	SF	14,200	105	( 1,491)
AIRLIFT COMMAND ELEMENT	SF	3,200	110	( 352)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				480
UTILITIES	LS			( 200)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 190)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 20)
DEMOLITION	LS			( 20)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 50)
SUBTOTAL				2,323
CONTINGENCY (5%)				116
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,439
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				122
TOTAL REQUEST				2,561
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,550
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab with masonry and steel framed walls and roof structure. Includes interior and exterior utilities, pavements and site improvements. Building 1017 at 6,720 SF must be demolished to clear the site for the aerial port training facility. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,200 SF PROJECT: Aerial Port Training Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a facility for air cargo preparation training and administration of an aerial port squadron in support of 8 C-130H aircraft. For training purposes, cargo is dropped from aircraft, recovered from drop zones, repaired, reassembled, refitted with parachutes and stored for reuse in another training exercise. Preparation area must have cranes for movement of heavy loads, parachute drying tower, parachute sewing, repair and storage space. The facility is also required for the administrative and mobility storage functions of the airlift command element. CURRENT SITUATION: The aerial port function is conducted in Buildings 1017 and 1023 which are both substandard, semi-permanent sheet metal buildings with a total of 11,200 SF. The buildings are poorly insulated, improperly configured and grossly inadequate for the mission. There are numerous health and safety hazards. The interior utility systems are undersized. The wiring is old and brittle. There are numerous electric and life safety code violations. The mechanical systems are old. Spare parts are no longer available. The roofs leak. The buildings do not have the height or maneuvering space for inside fork lift operation. The movement of the air cargo and equipment is done in a hazardous manner.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU899778	
<p>The size of the aerial port squadron has increased in both the number of personnel and equipment. The interior configuration does not lend itself to today's concept of operation and training standards. The two facilities do not represent a quality work and training place. Upon completion of this project, Building 1023 at 4,480 SF will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Overcrowded facilities contribute to ineffective and hazardous training of aerial port personnel and a reduced number of aerial delivery loads to train combat crews. Training opportunities are lost. Higher operating costs. Untrained crews could result in missed dropped zones and damage to equipment. Decreased efficiency and readiness.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates new construction is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU899778																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 JAN 04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>65%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 SEP 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 APR 28</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>154</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>154</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 JAN 04	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	65%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 SEP 30	(d) Date Design Complete	95 APR 28	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	100	(b) All Other Design Costs	54	(c) Total	154	(d) Contract	154	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 JAN 04																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	65%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 SEP 30																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 APR 28																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	100																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	54																							
(c) Total	154																							
(d) Contract	154																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE FIRE STATION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU001609	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$1,950	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST (\$000)
COMPOSITE FIRE STATION		SF	11,800	1,339
FIRE STATION		SF	10,600	115 ( 1,219)
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING AREA		SF	1,200	100 ( 120)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				430
UTILITIES		LS		( 200)
PAVEMENTS		LS		( 150)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS		( 50)
DEMOLITION		LS		( 30)
SUBTOTAL				1,769
CONTINGENCY (5%)				88
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,857
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				93
TOTAL REQUEST				1,950
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,950
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab with steel framed masonry walls and roof structure. Access pavements, utility systems, site improvements and support. Building 1015 at 1,247 SF must be demolished to clear the site for the fire station. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 11,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,194 SF PROJECT: Composite Fire Station (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility to support fire and crash/rescue operations. It includes apparatus bays, extinguisher maintenance, alarm room, chief's office, technical services, day room, lockers, kitchen and dining areas, classroom and administrative areas, bunkrooms for 24 hour operation of the 8 full time and 24 Unit Training Assembly fire fighters. Also provides space for total base physical fitness program. CURRENT SITUATION: The 1959 vintage fire station is too small to properly support the fire fighting and crash/rescue operations. Only three of the eight fire vehicles fit into the undersized apparatus bays. The building does not have adequate space for storage of fire fighting agent, bunker gear, and mobility bags. The alarm room is substandard and the facility does not have a classroom. Living conditions for fire fighters working extended hours are grossly substandard. The kitchen area is located in the truck bay area, the bathroom sink is used to wash dishes, and there are no shower facilities. The single bathroom is used by men and women. Risk Assessment Code (RAC) of 2 and a Fire Safety Deficiency (FSD) code of 1 have been assigned to the facility by the authority having jurisdiction. This facility is not a quality work place and will be demolished. The base does not have any indoor physical training area. The small area will				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE FIRE STATION	5. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU001609	
<p>allow for a few pieces of aerobic and exercise equipment as part of the base physical training program. Upon completion of this project, Building 1014 at 2,707 SF and Building 1021 at 240 SF, will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Fire fighting apparatus remains exposed to the weather which accelerates deterioration. Firefighters continue to work in a substandard and unsafe facility. Hardships on the overall fire protection operation continue and jepordizes crash/rescue and fire fighting capabilities. Accept the safety and health risks. Unable to properly train.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT OKLAHOMA																																															
4. PROJECT TITLE COMPOSITE FIRE STATION	5. PROJECT NUMBER YZEU001609																																														
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="2">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td></td> <td>93 DEC 23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td></td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td></td> <td>94 DEC 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> <td>95 APR 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td>81</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td></td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td></td> <td>96 JUN</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:			(a) Date Design Started		93 DEC 23	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		40%	(c) Date 35% Designed		94 DEC 08	(d) Date Design Complete		95 APR 30	(2) Basis:			(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		81	(b) All Other Design Costs		36	(c) Total		117	(d) Contract		117	(e) In-house			(4) Construction Start		96 JUN
(1) Status:																																															
(a) Date Design Started		93 DEC 23																																													
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		40%																																													
(c) Date 35% Designed		94 DEC 08																																													
(d) Date Design Complete		95 APR 30																																													
(2) Basis:																																															
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO																																													
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A																																													
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)																																													
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		81																																													
(b) All Other Design Costs		36																																													
(c) Total		117																																													
(d) Contract		117																																													
(e) In-house																																															
(4) Construction Start		96 JUN																																													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PITTSBURGH INT'L APT ANG, PENNSYLVANIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.02		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Two Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Naval Reserve Center, 1 Army Reserve Support Center, 1 Air Force Reserve Station, 1 Army National Guard Armory					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C MPL</u>
211-179	FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	26,300 SF	5,332	MAY 91	OCT 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					30 SEP 93 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None	(Number of Acres)		
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	5,500		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PITTSBURGH INT'L APT ANG, PENNSYLVANIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 12 AUG 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>
AUTHORIZED	481	61	420	0	1,500	199
ACTUAL	464	58	406	0	1,577	229
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	171 SVS	45	43			
	171 OPS	8	12			
	171 LGS	18	18			
	171 SPT	5	7			
	171 OPSPT	44	41			
	146 ARS	69	74			
	112 CLINIC	55	48			
	171 AR	65	87			
	147 ARS	69	76			
	171 MS	41	65			
	171 MAINT	544	548			
	171 CLINIC	55	51			
	171 COMM	57	55			
	171 CES	134	147			
	171 SP	118	117			
	171 LOG	154	170			
	146 WEA FT	19	18			
	TOTALS	1,500	1,577			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	KC-135E Aircraft	19	20			
	Support Equipment	257	255			
	Vehicle Equivalents	450	450			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (ANG) PENNSYLVANIA				4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 51411F		6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER JLSQ899539		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,332			
9. COST ESTIMATES								
ITEM					U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY					SF	26,300		3,751
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK					SF	23,800	145	( 3,451)
FUEL SYSTEMS SHOPS					SF	2,500	120	( 300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES								1,085
UTILITIES					LS			( 355)
PAVEMENTS					LS			( 230)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS					LS			( 100)
FIRE SUPPRESSION					LS			( 400)
SUBTOTAL								4,836
CONTINGENCY (5%)								242
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								5,078
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)								254
TOTAL REQUEST								5,332
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)								5,332
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab; structural steel and masonry with insulated panel walls and roof structure. Concrete retaining walls. All utilities, access pavements, site improvements, fire suppression and support. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.								
11. REQUIREMENT: 26,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Fuel Systems Maintenance Facility (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base needs a facility for the repair of aircraft fuel systems and the washing of aircraft. Functional areas include fuel cell hangar bay/washrack, fuel bladder repair shop, support shop space, and approach aprons to the hangar. Work must be performed indoors to keep dust and debris from entering the fuel cells/bladders and to meet environmental statutes. CURRENT SITUATION: The unit does not have a facility to perform fuel cell maintenance on the KC-135 aircraft. Weather conditions and environmental regulations mandate that fuel cell maintenance be performed indoors since it requires that the aircraft have fuel bladders and cells open for a considerable time. The work is now being performed in a hangar and on the ramp, weather permitting. Both locations are violations of aircraft technical orders and result in environmental non-compliance. The ramp does not have the proper containment for fuel spills. Fuel on the ramp is washed down and ends up in the nearby stream which runs off base. This violates federal and state regulations involving the Clean Water Act. If fuel cell work is done in the hangar, other hangar operations must be totally shut down. The building does not have explosion proof fixtures, a fume extraction system, or a containment system for fuel spills. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fuel cell maintenance is not being performed on								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (ANG) PENNSYLVANIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE  FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER  JLSQ899539	
<p>time. The unit operational readiness is degraded. Unable to comply with environmental regulations. Violation of technical orders. Inadequate maintenance and inadequate training. The Air National Guard could receive unfavorable publicity if a fuel spill is not contained.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An exception to the economic analysis requirement has been prepared for this project showing that there is no alternative other than new construction.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (ANG) PENNSYLVANIA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER JLSQ899539																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status;</p> <table data-bbox="386 583 1419 709"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 MAY 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 JAN 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 OCT 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 779 1338 835"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 905 1419 1058"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 MAY 07	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JAN 30	(d) Date Design Complete	94 OCT 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	200	(b) All Other Design Costs	70	(c) Total	270	(d) Contract	270	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 MAY 07																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JAN 30																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 OCT 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	200																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	70																							
(c) Total	270																							
(d) Contract	270																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE														
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG, SOUTH DAKOTA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.10															
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year along with necessary local annual field training days are utilized for required readiness training. Daily use is made of all facilities by technician/AGR force.																		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 2 Army National Guard Armory and 1 Army/Navy Reserve Facility																		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>442-758</td> <td>BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX</td> <td>35,400 SF</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>SEP 91</td> <td>FEB 94</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	35,400 SF	4,000	SEP 91	FEB 94
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS														
				START	CMPL													
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	35,400 SF	4,000	SEP 91	FEB 94													
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																		
				9 NOV 93 (Date)														
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None	(Number of Acres)														
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>214-425</td> <td>VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND AGE COMPLEX</td> <td>17,200 SF</td> <td>3,150</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND AGE COMPLEX	17,200 SF	3,150						
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)															
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND AGE COMPLEX	17,200 SF	3,150															

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG, SOUTH DAKOTA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 8 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	307	26	280	1	1,042	112   930
ACTUAL	307	26	280	1	991	109   882
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	114 OG	3	3			
	114 SVF	27	26			
	114 LG	16	13			
	114 SG	5	4			
	114 OSF	25	19			
	114 FG	49	45			
	175 FS	42	43			
	114 MSF	33	31			
	114 MAS	447	397			
	114 MED SQ	35	34			
	114 CES	131	124			
	114 SPS	57	56			
	114 LS	107	96			
	114 CF	42	36			
	114 HQSDNG	23	22			
	8114 STU FT	0	42			
	TOTALS	1,042	991			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	15	22			
	C-12 Aircraft	1	1			
	Support Equipment	309	285			
	Vehicle Equivalents	391	391			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG SOUTH DAKOTA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758	7. PROJECT NUMBER LUXC001389	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,000	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	SF	35,400		3,035
BASE SUPPLY AND EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	SF	29,000	95	( 2,755)
BASE SUPPLY AND EQUIPMENT SHED	SF	4,000	40	( 160)
ALTER BASE HAZARDOUS STORAGE BUILDING	SF	2,400	50	( 120)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				600
UTILITIES	LS			( 100)
PAVEMENTS AND ACCESS ROAD	LS			( 250)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 50)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 200)
SUBTOTAL				3,635
CONTINGENCY (5%)				182
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,817
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				191
TOTAL REQUEST				4,008
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Steel framed masonry walls and insulated roof structure. Shed shall be pre-engineered metal building. Provide all utilities, pavements/road and site improvements. Alter Building 44 for hazardous storage by rearranging walls and utilities and providing fire protection. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 35,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 31,067 SF PROJECT: Base Supply Complex (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a properly sized and adequately configured supply and equipment warehouse with adequate floor space and height to accommodate the day to day storage of spare parts, war readiness supply kits (WRSK), mobility bags, administrative space, and other miscellaneous supply functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The base supply facility does not have enough space to support the mission. The structure is approximately 40 years old with a floor to ceiling height of only 12 feet. There is no loading/ unloading dock. The low ceiling space prevents the proper shelf space necessary to store aircraft spare parts and other support supply items. Administration space is inadequate. The heating and air conditioning systems are not correctly sized. The severe shortage of floor space results in supply items being stored outside. These materials normally should be stored inside. As a temporary workaroud to the storage space, the shelving units have been moved closer together. This has compromised safety by reducing the safety clearance for fork lift operation between the aisles. The personnel must do the jobs manually versus using machines and result in the potential for personnel injuries. In addition, space for other supply functions are forced to double up in their assigned work spaces.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG SOUTH DAKOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER LUXC001389	
<p>This is barely acceptable during the normal work week, but becomes unworkable during weekend training periods. The facility is not a quality work place. Upon completion of this project, the following will be demolished: Building 42 at 20,452 SF, Building 43 at 630 SF, and Building 63 at 7,585 SF for a total of 28,667 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The supply functions continue in an overcrowded and poorly functioning facility degrading the units training, mission effectiveness and support. Some supplies continue to be stored outside subject to spoilage and degradation. Safety hazards continue. Unit morale is affected. Safety is compromised and efficiency is lost.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates that new construction is the most economical alternative.</p>		



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION JOE FOSS FIELD ANG SOUTH DAKOTA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER LUXC001389																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 SEP 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 DEC 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 FEB 18</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>190</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>71</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>261</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>261</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 SEP 10	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 DEC 30	(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 18	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	190	(b) All Other Design Costs	71	(c) Total	261	(d) Contract	261	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 SEP 10																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 DEC 30																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 18																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	190																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	71																							
(c) Total	261																							
(d) Contract	261																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE																			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.90																			
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four unit training assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.																						
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 3 Army National Guard Armories, 1 Army Aviation Support Facility, 1 Marine Corps Reserve Unit and 1 Coast Guard Reserve Unit																						
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																						
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>721-000</td> <td>PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS</td> <td>40,000 SF</td> <td>4,400</td> <td>SEP 89</td> <td>JUN 91</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	721-000	PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS	40,000 SF	4,400	SEP 89	JUN 91				
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS																		
				START	CMPL																	
721-000	PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS	40,000 SF	4,400	SEP 89	JUN 91																	
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				24 FEB 94 (Date)																		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None		(Number of Acres)																	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																						
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>217-712</td> <td>AVIONICS SHOP</td> <td>5,400 SF</td> <td>910</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>750-581</td> <td>PMEC TRAINING FACILITIES</td> <td>LS</td> <td>1,200</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)			217-712	AVIONICS SHOP	5,400 SF	910			750-581	PMEC TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	1,200		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)																			
217-712	AVIONICS SHOP	5,400 SF	910																			
750-581	PMEC TRAINING FACILITIES	LS	1,200																			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 29 JUN 94						
	PERMANENT				GUARD/RESERVE	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>
AUTHORIZED	429	57	359	13	1,380	148
ACTUAL	424	56	356	12	1,314	1,232
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>		<u>STRENGTH</u>			
			<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>		
	134	OPG	6	6		
	134	OSF	33	28		
	134	ARG	55	56		
	134	ARS	73	70		
	134	LGP	12	11		
	134	MAS	290	279		
	134	LMS	107	99		
	134	SGP	5	5		
	134	SVF	27	27		
	134	MSF	34	33		
	134	CF	44	39		
	134	SPS	75	73		
	134	CES	141	143		
	134	MED	59	61		
	572	AFB	36	28		
	228	CCS	172	147		
	110	ACS	90	92		
	119	ACS	121	117		
		TOTALS	1,380	1,314		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	KC-135 Aircraft		10	10		
	Support Equipment		92	92		
	Vehicle Equivalents		342	342		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE				4. PROJECT TITLE PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 721-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE001345		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,400	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS		SF	40,000	85	3,400	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					600	
UTILITIES		LS			( 200)	
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 100)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 100)	
FIRE SUPPRESSION		LS			( 200)	
SUBTOTAL					4,000	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					200	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,200	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					210	
TOTAL REQUEST					4,410	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundations and floor slab, steel framed masonry walls and built-up roof. Includes utilities, access pavements, site improvements, fire protection, and support. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 130,000 SF ADEQUATE: 90,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 23,270 SF PROJECT: PMEC School Training Quarters (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: In FY 87 Congress directed that beginning in FY 88 the ANG MILCON program include projects to expand and upgrade the Professional Military Education Center (PMEC). The ANG conducts the education and management programs for its enlisted/officer personnel and specialized courses tailored to the needs of the citizen soldier work force. Proper facilities are needed to meet the training. The facility upgrade program has been stretched out over the period due to decreased MILCON funds in the budget. This project completes the student training quarters construction program. Expanded and new ANG missions have generated a significant increase in students attending PMEC and doubled the required courses they take. As the Active Forces reduce in size, many personnel leave the service and join the Air National Guard. They must be trained in the unique mission of the ANG. CURRENT SITUATION: The facility is a temporary wood framed structure built in the early 1950's. It is grossly substandard in terms of construction, function, efficiency, and space. It has numerous health and fire code violations. The quarters are not considered a quality living and training area. All other student quarters have been replaced with the exception of this facility. The base is receiving numerous complaints from students who are forced to occupy these grossly antiquated buildings. The rooms are poorly configured and cannot be economically modified for						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS	5. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE001345	
<p>student training. The rooms are poorly insulated have very poor acoustics. The facility does not have a fire protection system that meets the fire codes. It is poorly insulated and has asbestos. The electrical system violates the code and cannot support the load. The interior and exterior utilities are old and deteriorated. Frequent roof leaks have caused extensive interior water damage. The building siding is made of asbestos. Parts of the siding are broken. Matching tiles cannot be found. The bathrooms have antiquated fixtures and old and corroded utility lines. The windows allow considerable air infiltration. The heating system is deteriorated. The boilers are undersized. Upon completion of this project, Building 225 at 23,270 SF will be demolished.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Improper accommodations for the students impedes the training environment and degrades readiness. Health and safety hazards remain. Excessive costs to operate and maintain the structure.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle economic analysis has been prepared comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates that new construction is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT TENNESSEE																																															
4. PROJECT TITLE PMEC SCHOOL TRAINING QUARTERS	5. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE001345																																														
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="3">(1) Status:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td></td> <td>89 SEP 14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td></td> <td>90 DEC 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> <td>91 JUN 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(2) Basis:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td>145</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td>66</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td></td> <td>211</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td>211</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td></td> <td>96 MAR</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:			(a) Date Design Started		89 SEP 14	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		100%	(c) Date 35% Designed		90 DEC 30	(d) Date Design Complete		91 JUN 30	(2) Basis:			(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		145	(b) All Other Design Costs		66	(c) Total		211	(d) Contract		211	(e) In-house			(4) Construction Start		96 MAR
(1) Status:																																															
(a) Date Design Started		89 SEP 14																																													
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		100%																																													
(c) Date 35% Designed		90 DEC 30																																													
(d) Date Design Complete		91 JUN 30																																													
(2) Basis:																																															
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO																																													
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A																																													
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)																																															
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		145																																													
(b) All Other Design Costs		66																																													
(c) Total		211																																													
(d) Contract		211																																													
(e) In-house																																															
(4) Construction Start		96 MAR																																													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TENNESSEE				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.91	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Facility, 1 Naval Reserve Facility, 1 Army Reserve Facility, 1 Marine Corps Facility, 1 Naval Base and 1 Army General Depot					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
219-944	ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	18,700 SF	990	MAY 93	JUN 95
730-835	ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	6,620 SF	1,100	NOV 92	FEB 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				24 FEB 94 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TENNESSEE							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 1 AUG 94							
	PERMANENT			GUARD/RESERVE			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	236	4	55	177	1,113	125	988
ACTUAL	223	4	51	168	1,041	119	922
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	155 AS	113	109				
	164 MAPS	101	99				
	164 CS	42	42				
	164 SPS	57	61				
	164 MEDS	69	56				
	164 MS	320	283				
	164 LS	107	100				
	164 MPF	32	30				
	164 AG	55	51				
	164 CES	134	118				
	164 SVF	25	21				
	164 OG	6	6				
	8164 STU FT	8	25				
	164 LG	7	7				
	164 SPG	5	4				
	164 OSS	32	29				
	TOTALS	1,113	1,041				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	C-141 Aircraft	8	8				
	Support Equipment	128	128				
	Vehicle Equivalents	274	262				



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TENNESSEE		4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER PYKL919594	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$990	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	SF	18,700		731
ADD TO BASE ENGINEER SHOPS	SF	4,500	85	( 383)
ADD TO STORAGE SHED	SF	250	72	( 18)
ALTER BASE ENGINEER SHOPS	SF	10,200	25	( 255)
ALTER STORAGE SHED	SF	3,750	20	( 75)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				170
UTILITIES	LS			( 40)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 75)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 20)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 35)
SUBTOTAL				901
CONTINGENCY (5%)				45
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				946
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				47
TOTAL REQUEST				993
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				990
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry and reinforced concrete walls and roof system. Exterior to match existing. Alteration: Relocate and extend walls and utilities. Construct metal building addition with concrete floor for storage. All utilities, pavements, fencing and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 18,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 13,950 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Base Engineer Maintenance Complex (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured base civil engineering maintenance complex for the day-to-day maintenance and operation of the base facilities and to train for the wartime mission of the squadron. Functional areas are required for administration, training, work/material control, operations and planning, real property, material/ files storage, reproduction, engineering inspection; masonry, carpentry, plumbing, sheet metal/welding, HVAC, electrical, environmental, and power production shops. CURRENT SITUATION: The base civil engineering shops operate from a structurally sound but grossly undersized and poorly configured facility. Some shops are too small. Others are poorly arranged. The building has health, safety, and fire code violations. The hallways are used for storage. The utility systems are old and undersized. There are insufficient bathrooms for both male and female occupants. There is insufficient storage area. There are no training classrooms. The building was sized for a smaller work force. Construction materials, that normally should be stored inside, are stored outside. The materials				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER PYKL919594	
<p>deteriorate. The facility does not represent a quality work and training place.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The training and efficiency of engineering and services personnel is severely impacted. Very inefficient operation. Lost training opportunities. Poor supply discipline. Higher operating cost. Accept the risk for the health and safety and fire code violations.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TENNESSEE																																															
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER PYKL919594																																														
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="3">(1) Status:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td></td> <td>93 MAY 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td></td> <td>65%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td></td> <td>94 AUG 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> <td>95 JUN 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(2) Basis:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td>44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td></td> <td>62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td>62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td></td> <td>96 JUN</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:			(a) Date Design Started		93 MAY 20	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		65%	(c) Date 35% Designed		94 AUG 01	(d) Date Design Complete		95 JUN 01	(2) Basis:			(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)			(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		44	(b) All Other Design Costs		18	(c) Total		62	(d) Contract		62	(e) In-house			(4) Construction Start		96 JUN
(1) Status:																																															
(a) Date Design Started		93 MAY 20																																													
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		65%																																													
(c) Date 35% Designed		94 AUG 01																																													
(d) Date Design Complete		95 JUN 01																																													
(2) Basis:																																															
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO																																													
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A																																													
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)																																															
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		44																																													
(b) All Other Design Costs		18																																													
(c) Total		62																																													
(d) Contract		62																																													
(e) In-house																																															
(4) Construction Start		96 JUN																																													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TENNESSEE		4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 730-835	7. PROJECT NUMBER PYKL919592	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY		SF	6,620		646
ADD TO SECURITY POLICE		SF	5,300	105	( 557)
ALTER SECURITY POLICE		SF	1,200	60	( 72)
TRAFFIC CHECK HOUSE		SF	120	140	( 17)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			1	1	345
UTILITIES		LS			( 100)
PAVEMENTS/ROAD		LS			( 150)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/FENCING		LS			( 70)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			( 25)
SUBTOTAL					991
CONTINGENCY (5%)					50
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,041
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					52
TOTAL REQUEST					1,093
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete block with exterior brick veneer to match existing building. Includes offices, restrooms, classrooms, mechanical room, all utilities, parking, site improvements, traffic check house, and security fence system. Alterations to Building 472 include rearranging and extending walls plus utilities. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 6,620 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3,326 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Security Police Operations Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a centralized security police and weapons storage facility with adequate storage space for both operations near the main gate. Project includes offices, restrooms, arms vault, classroom, and CATM functions. A properly located traffic check gate house is required. CURRENT SITUATION: The security police are operating from two dispersed locations, Building 400 and Building 504. In Building 400, which is the headquarters, the security police occupy 1,976 SF out of 21,955 SF. The space in the headquarters building is needed to consolidate headquarters type functions which now are scattered in various other buildings. Building 504 is only 1,200 SF and is grossly undersized. During the training periods, as well as the day to day operations, the cramped space and split locations lead to a loss of training. The existing gate house location causes traffic to back up onto the highway right-of-way when vehicles are stopped from coming onto the installation. It is a traffic hazard. Between the two buildings the security police occupy less than 50% of the minimum required space. This project is in accordance with the approved master development plan. Upon completion of this project, the					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TENNESSEE		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER PYKL919592	
<p>following buildings will be demolished: 462 and 482 for a total of 2,126 SF.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> It will adversely affect the security police training program due to lack of training and storage area. Inadequate work place lowers unit morale and degrades training. Severe traffic hazard at the main gate continues.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TENNESSEE																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER PYKL919592																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>92 NOV 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 DEC 21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 FEB 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	92 NOV 05	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 DEC 21	(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	35	(b) All Other Design Costs	20	(c) Total	55	(d) Contract	55	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	92 NOV 05																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 DEC 21																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 FEB 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	35																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	20																							
(c) Total	55																							
(d) Contract	55																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE																																
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.87																																	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.																																				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Air Force Bases and 1 Army Installation																																				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																																				
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>821-116</td> <td>UPGRADE HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS</td> <td>LS</td> <td>1,400</td> <td>OCT 93</td> <td>APR 95</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPL	821-116	UPGRADE HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS	LS	1,400	OCT 93	APR 95																		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS																																
				START	CMPL																															
821-116	UPGRADE HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS	LS	1,400	OCT 93	APR 95																															
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																																				
				14 JAN 94 (Date)																																
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED																																				
			None																																	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																																				
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>113-321</td> <td>PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM</td> <td>LS</td> <td>6,900</td> </tr> <tr> <td>113-321</td> <td>RENOVATE TAXIWAY</td> <td>LS</td> <td>1,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>141-753</td> <td>ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS</td> <td>26,000 SF</td> <td>1,800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>171-450</td> <td>ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATION FACILITY</td> <td>14,800 SF</td> <td>930</td> </tr> <tr> <td>211-179</td> <td>FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY</td> <td>27,800 SF</td> <td>5,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>214-425</td> <td>VEHICLE AND AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY</td> <td>19,600 SF</td> <td>2,700</td> </tr> <tr> <td>422-256</td> <td>MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE</td> <td>4,000 SF</td> <td>335</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	113-321	PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	LS	6,900	113-321	RENOVATE TAXIWAY	LS	1,500	141-753	ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	26,000 SF	1,800	171-450	ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	14,800 SF	930	211-179	FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	27,800 SF	5,000	214-425	VEHICLE AND AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	19,600 SF	2,700	422-256	MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE	4,000 SF	335
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)																																	
113-321	PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	LS	6,900																																	
113-321	RENOVATE TAXIWAY	LS	1,500																																	
141-753	ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	26,000 SF	1,800																																	
171-450	ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING AND ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	14,800 SF	930																																	
211-179	FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	27,800 SF	5,000																																	
214-425	VEHICLE AND AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	19,600 SF	2,700																																	
422-256	MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE	4,000 SF	335																																	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 16 AUG 94							
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>		
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	307	23	255	29	1,003	106	897
ACTUAL	285	23	240	22	1,014	107	907
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	149 FG	50	49				
	182 OPS FT	3	4				
	182 FS	38	41				
	182 OSF	22	20				
	149 LG	16	15				
	149 MNT SQ	411	423				
	149 LOG SQ	107	107				
	149 SPTG	5	6				
	149 MSF	34	32				
	149 SPF	57	56				
	149 COMM	35	37				
	149 CES	110	117				
	149 SVF	34	37				
	149 TG	73	63				
	149 RANGE	8	7				
	TOTALS	1,003	1,014				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	15	18				
	Support Equipment	138	138				
	Vehicle Equivalents	301	301				



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55256F	6. CATEGORY CODE 821-116	7. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB939633	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$1,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS		LS			1,030
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					240
UTILITIES		LS			( 200)
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 30)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 10)
SUBTOTAL					1,270
CONTINGENCY (5%)					64
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,334
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					67
TOTAL REQUEST					1,401
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Shutdown of the existing steam boilers and distribution system serving Buildings 935, 920, and 916 requires the installation of packaged heating and cooling systems. Also includes all utilities, pavements, site improvements, and support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Heating and Cooling Systems (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. The base requires an energy efficient heating and cooling system which meets applicable clean air requirements mandated by the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990. CURRENT SITUATION: The central heat plant does not meet air quality emission standards. The oil fired boilers are antiquated and not energy efficient. Controls and monitoring systems are unreliable. Steam lines, plant piping, valves and stacks are corroded beyond tolerances. Sections of piping need frequent replacement. They often fail due to corrosion. Nondestructive testing of many sections of piping verified that the wall thickness below acceptable engineering tolerances. The chillers are over 20 years old and use refrigerant R 113 which is in con-compliance with the current law and is no longer manufactured. Kelly AFB is in an area that may be designated as non-attainment and reasonably available control technology will have to be implemented on existing sources. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Possible failure of the heating and cooling system. Higher operating costs. Unable to meet local air quality standards. The Air National Guard could be fined and receive unfavorable publicity.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KELLY AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS	5. PROJECT NUMBER MBPB939633																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 604 1417 730"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>93 OCT 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 NOV 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 APR 30</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 800 1336 856"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 926 1417 1077"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 22	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 NOV 01	(d) Date Design Complete	95 APR 30	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70	(b) All Other Design Costs	28	(c) Total	98	(d) Contract	98	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 22																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 NOV 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 APR 30																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	28																							
(c) Total	98																							
(d) Contract	98																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION, VIRGINIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.92	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 4 Naval Installations, 1 Army Installation, 5 Army National Guard Facilities and 2 Army Reserve Facilities				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>CMPL</u>
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	17,800 SF	2,000	DEC 92 JUN 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				
				7 JUL 94 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		
			(Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS				
CATEGORY				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>COST (\$000)</u>	

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON MILITARY RESERVATION, VIRGINIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 17 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	29	3	26	0	220	209
ACTUAL	29	3	26	0	198	187
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>			<u>STRENGTH</u>		
				<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>	
	203 RHCEF			220	198	
		TOTALS		220	198	
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>		
	Mobility Equipment		76	54		
	Support Equipment		7	4		
	Vehicle Equivalents		230	166		

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON VIRGINIA			4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425	7. PROJECT NUMBER ERVD889506		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		SF	17,800		1,552		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		SF	7,200	110	( 792)		
VEHICLE OPERATIONS PARKING SHED		SF	6,000	50	( 300)		
CIVIL ENGINEERING HEAVY EQUIPMENT SHOP		SF	4,000	100	( 400)		
TRAINING AREA		SF	600	100	( 60)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					275		
UTILITIES		LS			( 100)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/PAVEMENT/FENCING		LS			( 150)		
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			( 25)		
SUBTOTAL					1,827		
CONTINGENCY (5%)					91		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,918		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					96		
TOTAL REQUEST					2,014		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,000		
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Walls of masonry with a steel joist and metal pan roof covered with rigid insulation and built-up roofing. Provide overhead crane/hoist. Parking shed shall be covered, three sided pre-engineered metal building on reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Provide utilities, pavements, fire protection, and support. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons.							
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 15,638 SF PROJECT: Vehicle Maintenance Complex (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized and properly configured facilities are required for operational and training purposes to repair, maintain, and park organizational vehicles which include cars, trucks, and a variety of construction vehicles to completely beddown the assigned Rapid Engineering Deployment Heavy Operating Equipment Engineer (RED HORSE) squadron. The RED HORSE construction squadron has world wide mobility status on very short notice. The vehicles require maintenance bays for mechanical work, washrack for cleaning, fuel fill stands, parts/tool storage, paint booth, battery shop, and cover for heavy equipment and fleet vehicles. Training and administrative space for full-time and part-time personnel. Parking shed is required to protect unit resources from the weathering effect. CURRENT SITUATION: The vehicle maintenance, training, and administrative operations are housed in scattered World War II temporary facilities exccessed by the Army National Guard. These facilities are undersized poorly configured and remote from the RED HORSE squadron training area. Adequate space is not available for training of personnel or for proper maintenance of vehicles. The building is energy inefficient. The utility systems are undersized, old and deteriorated. The latrines are not							

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER ERV889506	
<p>configured for the number of occupants or for the male/female ratio. Electrical and mechanical systems are not economical to repair due to age and lack of spare parts. The facilities do not represent a quality work and training place. This is the last project of a phased program to provide adequate facilities for this unit.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Inability to properly train for the world wide commitment. Mission accomplishment, combat readiness, personnel recruiting and retention are degraded. Energy use continues to be excessive. Safety and environmental concerns continue to disrupt the work place.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The existing vehicle maintenance facility (Building 428 at 4,450 SF) shall be returned to the Army National Guard for their use or disposal. Buildings 417 (5,460 SF), 418 (3,328 SF), and 424 (2,400 SF) for 11,188 SF will be demolished. Demolition costs will be minimal as the local fire departments will burn the facilities in controlled training exercises.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP PENDLETON VIRGINIA																																															
4. PROJECT TITLE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER ERVD889506																																														
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="2">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td></td> <td>92 DEC 21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td></td> <td>93 NOV 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> <td>94 JUN 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td>88</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td>44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td></td> <td>132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td>132</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td></td> <td>96 APR</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:			(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 21	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		100%	(c) Date 35% Designed		93 NOV 08	(d) Date Design Complete		94 JUN 01	(2) Basis:			(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		88	(b) All Other Design Costs		44	(c) Total		132	(d) Contract		132	(e) In-house			(4) Construction Start		96 APR
(1) Status:																																															
(a) Date Design Started		92 DEC 21																																													
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95		100%																																													
(c) Date 35% Designed		93 NOV 08																																													
(d) Date Design Complete		94 JUN 01																																													
(2) Basis:																																															
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO																																													
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A																																													
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)																																													
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		88																																													
(b) All Other Design Costs		44																																													
(c) Total		132																																													
(d) Contract		132																																													
(e) In-house																																															
(4) Construction Start		96 APR																																													

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE																	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD), VIRGINIA			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 0.86																	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.																				
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 7 Army National Guard, 3 Army Reserve, 1 Marine Corps Reserve, 1 Naval Reserve, 1 Military Entrance Processing Station, and 1 Defense General Supply Center.																				
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996																				
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>START</th> <th>CMPLE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>211-152</td> <td>ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX</td> <td>23,100 SF</td> <td>2,700</td> <td>JAN 92</td> <td>FEB 95</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	CMPLE	211-152	ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	23,100 SF	2,700	JAN 92	FEB 95		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS																
				START	CMPLE															
211-152	ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	23,100 SF	2,700	JAN 92	FEB 95															
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved																				
				7 JUL 94 (Date)																
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED			None																	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS																				
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CATEGORY CODE</th> <th>PROJECT TITLE</th> <th>SCOPE</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>214-425</td> <td>VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX</td> <td>14,300 SF</td> <td>1,550</td> </tr> <tr> <td>442-758</td> <td>BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX</td> <td>32,400 SF</td> <td>4,900</td> </tr> <tr> <td>871-183</td> <td>UPGRADE BASE DRAINAGE</td> <td>LS</td> <td>460</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,300 SF	1,550	442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	32,400 SF	4,900	871-183	UPGRADE BASE DRAINAGE	LS	460
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)																	
214-425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	14,300 SF	1,550																	
442-758	BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	32,400 SF	4,900																	
871-183	UPGRADE BASE DRAINAGE	LS	460																	



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD), VIRGINIA						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 9 AUG 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	312	11	59	242	1,126	140   986
ACTUAL	298	11	59	228	1,092	141   951
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	192 FG	53	42			
	192 SPS	57	59			
	192 OSF	25	25			
	192 MNT SQ	447	439			
	192 MSF	34	33			
	192 MED SQ	73	62			
	192 COM FT	40	36			
	192 CES	140	114			
	192 SVS FT	36	27			
	192 LOG GP	16	15			
	8192 STU FT	0	35			
	200 WEA FT	25	21			
	HQ VA ANG	23	26			
	149 OPS SQ	42	47			
	192 OPS GP	3	3			
	192 LOG	107	103			
	192 SPT GP	5	5			
	TOTALS	1,126	1,092			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	F-16 Aircraft	15	25			
	Support Equipment	395	365			
	Vehicle Equivalents	224	328			

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA				4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 211-152	7. PROJECT NUMBER CVVM000942		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,700			
9. COST ESTIMATES								
ITEM					U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX					SF	23,100		1,198
ADD TO GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS					SF	2,900	95	( 276)
ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS					SF	15,700	42	( 659)
ALTER NON-DESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION SHOP					SF	500	86	( 43)
ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL/DCM COMPLEX					SF	4,000	55	( 220)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES								1,260
UTILITIES					LS			( 450)
REPLACE ROOF/REMOVE ASBESTOS					LS			( 200)
REPLACE WINDOWS/SIDING/HANGAR DOORS					LS			( 410)
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS					LS			( 200)
SUBTOTAL								2,458
CONTINGENCY (5%)								123
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								2,581
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)								129
TOTAL REQUEST								2,710
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)								2,700
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and built up roof. Alteration: Rearrange interior walls, extend utilities. Replace roof, siding, windows and hangar doors. Upgrade interior and exterior electrical service. Provide fire protection, utility connections, site improvements and paving. Remove asbestos. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.								
11. REQUIREMENT: 33,100 SF ADEQUATE: 10,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 20,200 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter F-16 Aircraft Maintenance Complex (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft. Adequate facilities are necessary to support the aircraft maintenance functions associated with the F-16 aircraft. This includes the aircraft's general purpose shops, organizational maintenance shop, control, planning, scheduling, documentation, material control, quality control, records, and administration functions that tie the maintenance organization together. The utilities, HVAC, building envelope, and fire protection systems need to be upgraded to meet current safety and environmental criteria. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient shop space to support the F-16 aircraft. The general purpose maintenance shops occupy a structurally sound building that has not been significantly upgraded from its originally designed purpose of maintaining Korean War vintage aircraft. There are numerous health and safety violations. The electrical system is undersized and cannot support the new equipment load. The shop space is approximately 50% of the minimum required. The shops are configured for A 7 aircraft which is no longer in the inventory. The								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER CVVM000942	
<p>F-16 shops are considerable different than the A-7. This project will add and upgrade the shop spaces so that the unit is able to safely and efficiently support the F-16 aircraft. The siding is asbestos. The single pane windows are energy inefficient and the roof leaks. The electric service that feeds the hangar is old and cannot be upgraded to meet the expanded needs of the new equipment. The heating system is also antiquated and needs to be reconfigured for the new shop layout. The hangar doors do not work properly. They are a constant source of maintenance and safety related problems. The hangar and shops are not a quality work and training place. This project has been assigned a Risk Assessment Code (RAC) of 3 by the authority having jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Crowded and unsafe conditions. The potential remains high for a safety and/or environmental accident to occur. Lost, inefficient and degraded training. Unit is unable to reach full operational capability. Energy continues to be lost through an inefficient building envelope.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> A life cycle cost analysis has been performed comparing all reasonable options for accomplishing this project. The analysis indicates that an addition to and renovation of the existing is the most economical alternative.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICHMOND IAP (BYRD FIELD) VIRGINIA																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER F-16 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER CVVM000942																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="389 567 1429 693"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>92 JAN 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 AUG 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="389 756 1429 819"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="389 882 1429 1039"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>135</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>189</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>189</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUL</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	92 JAN 29	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 AUG 01	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	135	(b) All Other Design Costs	54	(c) Total	189	(d) Contract	189	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	92 JAN 29																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 AUG 01																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	135																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	54																							
(c) Total	189																							
(d) Contract	189																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD, WISCONSIN			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.00		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Army National Guard Center, 2 Army Reserve Centers and 1 Naval Reserve Center					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
216-642	ALTER MUNITIONS FACILITIES	14,000 SF	670	JUL 92	SEP 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved				19 MAY 94 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None		<u>(Number of Acres)</u>	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
124-135	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	LS	4,000		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD, WISCONSIN							
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 17 JUL 94							
	PERMANENT			GUARD/RESERVE			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	390	27	296	67	1,077	122	955
ACTUAL	342	27	250	65	1,007	119	888
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA							
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>					
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	115 SER FT	30	27				
	115 OPS GP	3	1				
	115 LG	16	16				
	115 SG	5	5				
	115 OSF	25	27				
	128 FW	50	43				
	176 FS	42	45				
	115 MSF	34	30				
	115 MEDS	66	67				
	115 CES	134	125				
	115 CF	42	38				
	115 MS	434	398				
	115 LS	107	101				
	115 SPS	57	51				
	HQ WIANG	32	33				
	TOTALS	1,077	1,007				
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT							
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>				
	F-16 Aircraft	15	21				
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1				
	Support Equipment	127	122				
	Vehicle Equivalents	332	345				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD WISCONSIN				4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER MUNITIONS FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 216-642	7. PROJECT NUMBER XGFG899736		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$670	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ALTER MUNITIONS FACILITIES		SF	14,000		536	
ALTER MUNITIONS SHOP		SF	10,800	36	( 389)	
ALTER MAGAZINE STORAGE		SF	3,200	46	( 147)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					75	
UTILITIES		LS			( 25)	
PAVEMENTS		LS			( 40)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			( 10)	
SUBTOTAL					611	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					31	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					642	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					32	
TOTAL REQUEST					674	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					670	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Change the interior and exterior configuration of the building. Reslope the roof line. Modify and extend the interior and exterior utility and fire protection system. Construct RAMS Pad. All utilities, site improvements and support.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 14,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 14,000 SF PROJECT: Alter Munitions Facilities (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: The base requires a facility for the training and safe handling of munitions. Functional areas include: maintenance bays, equipment storage, tool room, locker rooms, classrooms, administrative areas, and secure munitions storage. CURRENT SITUATION: The munitions shop is located in Building 1212 which is a 1954 vintage rocket check-out and assembly building constructed of 12 inch thick reinforced concrete walls. The building was not configured for modern munitions. The building is poorly configured and has many violations of safety practices for maintenance and servicing of missile and munitions systems. The F-16 munitions are considerably different than previous munitions. The shop space needs to be reconfigured for safe handling of and training on munitions. Some shops are too small and others are too large. A pad for the rapid assembly of munitions does not exist. The electrical system is not in accordance with the National Electric Code. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Training and maintenance is difficult under the crowded, unsafe conditions. Lack of adequate areas directly impacts unit capability to support the F-16 and could result in a serious munitions accident. Unable to reach full operational capability.						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRUAX FIELD WISCONSIN																								
4. PROJECT TITLE ALTER MUNITIONS FACILITIES	5. PROJECT NUMBER XGFG899736																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>92 JUL 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 NOV 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 SEP 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 APR</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 07	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 NOV 29	(d) Date Design Complete	94 SEP 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	32	(b) All Other Design Costs	21	(c) Total	53	(d) Contract	53	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	92 JUL 07																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 NOV 29																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 SEP 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	32																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	21																							
(c) Total	53																							
(d) Contract	53																							
(e) In-house																								



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP, PUERTO RICO			4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.25		
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Four Unit Training Assemblies per month, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force, and for training.					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS 1 Air National Guard Unit, 1 Active Army Unit, 8 Army National Guard Units, 3 Army Reserve Units and 2 Naval Units.					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1996					
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
216-642	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	17,900 SF	3,800	FEB 91	APR 95
610-287	ADD TO AND ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	11,800 SF	510	OCT 91	FEB 95
872-841	UPGRADE SECURITY SYSTEM	LS	1,350	OCT 92	JUN 94
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION Unilateral Construction Approved					23 SEP 93 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		None			
(Number of Acres)					
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS					
CATEGORY			COST		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>		
214-467	REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP AND PAINT BAY	2,700 SF	460		
722-351	DINING HALL AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	33,600 SF	4,400		
730-142	FIRE STATION	10,600 SF	1,900		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP, PUERTO RICO						
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 22 JUL 94						
	<u>PERMANENT</u>				<u>GUARD/RESERVE</u>	
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZED	402	37	322	43	1,088	115   973
ACTUAL	306	19	244	43	1,015	104   911
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA						
	<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	<u>STRENGTH</u>				
		<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>			
	156 FG	49	44			
	156 FGDET1	9	7			
	156 OG	3	3			
	156 OSF	25	19			
	198 FS	42	42			
	156 SPTG	5	4			
	156 MSF	34	33			
	156 LG	16	13			
	156 MS	447	438			
	156 LS	107	96			
	156 MOS	73	71			
	156 MOS OL	3	3			
	156 CES	134	114			
	156 SPS	57	61			
	156 CF	50	34			
	156 SVF	34	33			
	TOTALS	1,088	1,015			
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT						
	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ASSIGNED</u>			
	C-26 Aircraft	1	1			
	F-16 Aircraft	15	20			
	Support Equipment	110	92			
	Vehicle Equivalents	73	70			

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUERTO RICO		4. PROJECT TITLE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 52620F	6. CATEGORY CODE 216-642	7. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR899533	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$3,800	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE/STORAGE COMPLEX	SF	17,900		2,394
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE	SF	12,100	135	( 1,634)
STORAGE IGLOOS	SF	3,600	150	( 540)
SEGREGATED MAGAZINE	SF	2,200	100	( 220)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,000
UTILITIES	LS			( 100)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 50)
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 750)
SUBTOTAL				3,394
CONTINGENCY (5%)				170
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,564
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				232
TOTAL REQUEST				3,796
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry and reinforced concrete walls, and built-up roof. Metal building with concrete floor for storage. Earth covered igloos. All utilities, security measures and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 4,002 SF PROJECT: Munitions Maintenance and Storage Complex (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft. The base requires properly sited and configured facilities for the storage of training and live munitions and missiles. Also facilities to house administrative and maintenance personnel performing day to day munitions disassembly, inspection, cleaning, and repair are required. Functional areas include administration, training, and storage. CURRENT SITUATION: The munitions maintenance and storage complex does not satisfy the safety and quantity distance (Q-D) or the munitions storage requirements for the F-16 weapons systems. Numerous safety Q-D waivers are necessary to operate in the facility. The building is grossly undersized and cannot be expanded or modified in this location. The safety zone extends outside the ANG property and impacts the airport and other recreational areas. Storage of the munitions is done, on an interim basis, at Camp Santiago, which is located over an hour away (50 miles). This is operationally unacceptable. The Army National Guard needs these igloos back and has asked for their return. Training and live missile storage is severely curtailed. It requires traveling to Camp Santiago on a daily basis. Upon completion of this project, Building 7 at 4,002 SF will be demolished. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The munitions maintenance and storage complex				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUERTO RICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR899533	
<p>cannot safely and efficiently support the F-16 aircraft weapons systems. The inspection, repair, maintenance, and storage of munitions and associated training is severely impaired, resulting in significant degradation of the mission. The unit is unable to return the storage igloos to the Army National Guard. The unit cannot reach full operational capability. Substantial loss of training opportunities.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An exception to the economic analysis requirement has been prepared for this project. The paper presents the rationale for only one alternative, which is to build a new facility due to safety and security criteria.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUERTO RICO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR899533																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 FEB 04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 OCT 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 APR 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>121</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>164</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>164</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 FEB 04	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 OCT 15	(d) Date Design Complete	95 APR 15	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	121	(b) All Other Design Costs	43	(c) Total	164	(d) Contract	164	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 FEB 04																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	40%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 OCT 15																							
(d) Date Design Complete	95 APR 15																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	121																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	43																							
(c) Total	164																							
(d) Contract	164																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO		4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-287	7. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR909776	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$510	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ADD TO AND ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FAC	SF	11,800		406
ALTER HEADQUARTERS	SF	2,700	25	( 68)
ALTER GROUP HEADQUARTERS	SF	4,100	25	( 103)
ALTER DISASTER PREPAREDNESS TRAINING	SF	3,000	25	( 75)
ADD DISASTER PREPAREDNESS STORAGE	SF	1,000	60	( 60)
ADD PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	SF	1,000	100	( 100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				50
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			( 50)
SUBTOTAL				456
CONTINGENCY (5%)				23
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				479
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				31
TOTAL REQUEST				510
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				510
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alterations: Remove, replace, relocate interior walls; upgrade existing central air conditioning system; upgrade mechanical and electrical systems; upgrade utility systems and fire protection; and provide pre-wired work stations. New construction to match existing pre-engineered type building. Air Conditioning: 60 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 11,800 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 9,800 SF <u>PROJECT</u> : Add to and Alter Composite Support Facility (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : An adequately sized and properly configured composite facility for the State Headquarters staff, the Group Commander and his staff, and the Disaster Preparedness training section. A storage area is also required for Disaster Preparedness and the base needs a Physical Fitness Center. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : Building 22, the current Squadron Operations facility, requires interior reconfiguration since some rooms are too small while others are too large to meet the needs of the new functions. Disaster Preparedness is squeezed into a 731 SF classroom, has no secure storage area, and is short 2,269 SF. State Headquarters occupies 910 SF in a facility that has to be returned to the city in FY96 and is 1,790 SF short. Group Headquarters is spread over six buildings, lacks adequate training classrooms, briefing areas, office space and is short 4,880 SF. The base currently has no physical fitness area where a small number of aerobics equipment can be placed. The utilities in this area provide marginal service at best and are constantly breaking down. The latrines areas are antiquated and not properly configured for the number of male and female using the facility. The facility does not represent a quality work and training place.				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO		
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR909776	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> °The various base functions would remain in severely crowded space which negatively affects training and readiness. The Air National Guard would not be able to return a building to the city in FY96. The utilities in these areas cause unscheduled outages and compromising safety. All of these factors affect the performance of the base, lower mission capability, affect morale, decrease retention rate and compromise safety.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO IAP PUERTO RICO																				
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR909776																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="381 604 1412 735"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>91 OCT 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>94 JAN 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>95 FEB 15</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</p> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="381 919 1412 1081"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 MAY</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	91 OCT 18	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%	(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JAN 15	(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 15	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	18	(b) All Other Design Costs	11	(c) Total	29	(d) Contract	29	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	91 OCT 18																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	95%																			
(c) Date 35% Designed	94 JAN 15																			
(d) Date Design Complete	95 FEB 15																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	18																			
(b) All Other Design Costs	11																			
(c) Total	29																			
(d) Contract	29																			
(e) In-house																				



1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUERTO RICO		4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SECURITY SYSTEM			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 52620F	6. CATEGORY CODE 872-841	7. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR929918	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,350		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UPGRADE SECURITY SYSTEM		LS			1,220
SUBTOTAL					1,220
CONTINGENCY (5%)					61
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,281
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					83
TOTAL REQUEST					1,364
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,350
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide and install modern, state-of-the-art security system including all equipment and controls.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Upgrade Security System (New Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project supports the conversion from A-7 to F-16 aircraft. The base requires a complete and modern security system to protect the F-16's parked on the apron. CURRENT SITUATION: In 1981 the base was attacked by terrorists and nine A-7 aircraft were destroyed on the ramp. Subsequently, security measures were taken to protect the aircraft parking apron. These include: a double security fence with controlled entry gates, interior and exterior perimeter lights, visual control tower, fence sensors, Closed Circuit Television (CCTV), and response teams. The existing aircraft parking apron and adjacent operational areas are totally enclosed behind a protection system. The expansion of the apron and operational areas by a FY 94 MILCON project for the F-16 aircraft conversion, makes it necessary to expand the security system to enclose the new facilities and expanded areas. It is also necessary to upgrade the existing security system based on current technology. Another incident in Spring of 1991 damaged two more A-7 aircraft. Security officials have verified that the threat is still a valid concern. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to secure the F-16 aircraft parking apron and the adjacent operational area. Possible compromise, damage or loss of aircraft. Existing security system not fully operational and has out dated equipment that cannot fully protect the aircraft. Easier to bypass outdated technology. New apron area cannot be used for operations.					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PUERTO RICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUERTO RICO																								
4. PROJECT TITLE UPGRADE SECURITY SYSTEM	5. PROJECT NUMBER TUMR929918																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table data-bbox="386 600 1414 726"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>92 OCT 01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>93 OCT 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete</td> <td>94 JUN 01</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table data-bbox="386 793 1333 852"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table data-bbox="386 919 1414 1077"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 96 JUN</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 01	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%	(c) Date 35% Designed	93 OCT 15	(d) Date Design Complete	94 JUN 01	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70	(b) All Other Design Costs	28	(c) Total	98	(d) Contract	98	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	92 OCT 01																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 95	100%																							
(c) Date 35% Designed	93 OCT 15																							
(d) Date Design Complete	94 JUN 01																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70																							
(b) All Other Design Costs	28																							
(c) Total	98																							
(d) Contract	98																							
(e) In-house																								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES																																																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 96	5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																																																																					
<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="240 464 545 491"><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></th> <th data-bbox="310 493 561 522"><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th data-bbox="810 491 1019 518"><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th data-bbox="1344 489 1414 516"><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 579 412 606"><b>CALIFORNIA</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 606 824 634">SEPULVEDA AIR NAT'L GUARD STATION</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 640 461 667">VHRJ939778</td> <td data-bbox="787 636 1187 695">REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 636 1398 663">320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 726 1414 856">Removes and replaces 2 underground storage tanks and removes only 6 tanks to preclude contamination of soil and the aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 913 371 940"><b>GEORGIA</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 940 837 968">GLYNCO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 974 456 1001">JASR929751</td> <td data-bbox="787 970 1187 1029">REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 970 1398 997">320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1062 1414 1192">Replaces 4 fuel storage tanks, fueling systems, and appurtenances to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1247 371 1274"><b>GEORGIA</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 1274 695 1302">HUNTER ANG STATIONS NO. 2</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 1308 464 1335">UZYZ909632</td> <td data-bbox="787 1304 1117 1362">REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 1304 1398 1331">400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1394 1414 1524">Replaces 6 tanks and remove only 5 other tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1579 339 1606"><b>IDAHO</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 1606 797 1633">BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="310 1640 477 1667">BXRH939586</td> <td data-bbox="787 1635 1114 1694">REMOVE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 1635 1398 1663">320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1730 1414 1829">Removes 15 underground fuel storage tanks. The base has no use for these tanks, and state and local environmental protection agencies require they be removed. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	<b>CALIFORNIA</b>					SEPULVEDA AIR NAT'L GUARD STATION				VHRJ939778	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320	Removes and replaces 2 underground storage tanks and removes only 6 tanks to preclude contamination of soil and the aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available (Current Mission)				<b>GEORGIA</b>					GLYNCO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION				JASR929751	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320	Replaces 4 fuel storage tanks, fueling systems, and appurtenances to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)				<b>GEORGIA</b>					HUNTER ANG STATIONS NO. 2				UZYZ909632	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	400	Replaces 6 tanks and remove only 5 other tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)				<b>IDAHO</b>					BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD)				BXRH939586	REMOVE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320	Removes 15 underground fuel storage tanks. The base has no use for these tanks, and state and local environmental protection agencies require they be removed. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil. (Current Mission)			
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																																																																			
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>																																																																						
	SEPULVEDA AIR NAT'L GUARD STATION																																																																					
	VHRJ939778	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320																																																																			
Removes and replaces 2 underground storage tanks and removes only 6 tanks to preclude contamination of soil and the aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available (Current Mission)																																																																						
<b>GEORGIA</b>																																																																						
	GLYNCO AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION																																																																					
	JASR929751	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320																																																																			
Replaces 4 fuel storage tanks, fueling systems, and appurtenances to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)																																																																						
<b>GEORGIA</b>																																																																						
	HUNTER ANG STATIONS NO. 2																																																																					
	UZYZ909632	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	400																																																																			
Replaces 6 tanks and remove only 5 other tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)																																																																						
<b>IDAHO</b>																																																																						
	BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD)																																																																					
	BXRH939586	REMOVE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320																																																																			
Removes 15 underground fuel storage tanks. The base has no use for these tanks, and state and local environmental protection agencies require they be removed. This is a level II environmental compliance project and includes disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil. (Current Mission)																																																																						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES																																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 96	5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																																																					
<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="240 478 548 508"><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></th> <th data-bbox="313 512 565 541"><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th data-bbox="813 512 1019 541"><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th data-bbox="1344 512 1414 541"><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 611 367 640"><b>ILLINOIS</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="313 642 740 669">GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT (ANG)</td> <td data-bbox="313 674 461 701">JLQN939878</td> <td data-bbox="789 669 1159 697">AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY</td> <td data-bbox="1357 669 1398 697">400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 737 1414 863">Provides a deicing apron for the aircraft in winter months that will comply with all environmental rules. The apron will also serve as an outside washrack. This is a Level II environmental compliance project and includes site preparation, paving, and a deicing glycol recovery/recycling system which will meet environmental requirements. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 932 477 961"><b>MASSACHUSETTS</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="313 963 659 991">WORCESTER ANG STATION</td> <td data-bbox="313 995 472 1022">ZHAH939614</td> <td data-bbox="789 991 1159 1052">ADD TO AND ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY</td> <td data-bbox="1357 991 1398 1018">350</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1089 1414 1215">Provides a sufficiently sized and properly configured vehicle maintenance facility with a properly sized refueler bay and paint spray booth. The shop will comply with hazardous location criteria and be configured to meet environmental requirements. This is a Level I environmental compliance project and includes site work, asbestos removal, pavements, and utilities. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1285 407 1314"><b>MINNESOTA</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="313 1316 802 1344">MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L AIRPORT</td> <td data-bbox="313 1348 461 1375">QJKL949505</td> <td data-bbox="789 1344 1159 1371">AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY</td> <td data-bbox="1357 1344 1398 1371">400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1409 1414 1535">Provides a deicing apron for the aircraft in winter months that will comply with all environmental rules. The apron will also serve as an outside washrack. This is a Level I environmental compliance project and includes site preparation, paving, and a deicing glycol recovery system which will meet environmental requirements. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1604 396 1633"><b>NEW YORK</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="313 1635 865 1663">NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT</td> <td data-bbox="313 1667 472 1694">RVKQ949647</td> <td data-bbox="789 1663 1214 1724">UPGRADE STORM AND SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM</td> <td data-bbox="1357 1663 1398 1690">400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1761 1414 1887">Upgrades storm drain and sanitary sewer system by providing new, adequately sized, sanitary sewer lines and storm drainage pipes. This is a Level I environmental compliance project which expands both systems and installs the catch basins and oil/water separators required to comply with the environmental requirements of the clean water act. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	<b>ILLINOIS</b>				GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT (ANG)	JLQN939878	AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY	400	Provides a deicing apron for the aircraft in winter months that will comply with all environmental rules. The apron will also serve as an outside washrack. This is a Level II environmental compliance project and includes site preparation, paving, and a deicing glycol recovery/recycling system which will meet environmental requirements. (Current Mission)				<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>				WORCESTER ANG STATION	ZHAH939614	ADD TO AND ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	350	Provides a sufficiently sized and properly configured vehicle maintenance facility with a properly sized refueler bay and paint spray booth. The shop will comply with hazardous location criteria and be configured to meet environmental requirements. This is a Level I environmental compliance project and includes site work, asbestos removal, pavements, and utilities. (Current Mission)				<b>MINNESOTA</b>				MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L AIRPORT	QJKL949505	AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY	400	Provides a deicing apron for the aircraft in winter months that will comply with all environmental rules. The apron will also serve as an outside washrack. This is a Level I environmental compliance project and includes site preparation, paving, and a deicing glycol recovery system which will meet environmental requirements. (Current Mission)				<b>NEW YORK</b>				NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT	RVKQ949647	UPGRADE STORM AND SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	400	Upgrades storm drain and sanitary sewer system by providing new, adequately sized, sanitary sewer lines and storm drainage pipes. This is a Level I environmental compliance project which expands both systems and installs the catch basins and oil/water separators required to comply with the environmental requirements of the clean water act. (Current Mission)			
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																																																			
<b>ILLINOIS</b>																																																						
GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT (ANG)	JLQN939878	AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY	400																																																			
Provides a deicing apron for the aircraft in winter months that will comply with all environmental rules. The apron will also serve as an outside washrack. This is a Level II environmental compliance project and includes site preparation, paving, and a deicing glycol recovery/recycling system which will meet environmental requirements. (Current Mission)																																																						
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>																																																						
WORCESTER ANG STATION	ZHAH939614	ADD TO AND ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	350																																																			
Provides a sufficiently sized and properly configured vehicle maintenance facility with a properly sized refueler bay and paint spray booth. The shop will comply with hazardous location criteria and be configured to meet environmental requirements. This is a Level I environmental compliance project and includes site work, asbestos removal, pavements, and utilities. (Current Mission)																																																						
<b>MINNESOTA</b>																																																						
MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL INT'L AIRPORT	QJKL949505	AIRCRAFT DEICING FACILITY	400																																																			
Provides a deicing apron for the aircraft in winter months that will comply with all environmental rules. The apron will also serve as an outside washrack. This is a Level I environmental compliance project and includes site preparation, paving, and a deicing glycol recovery system which will meet environmental requirements. (Current Mission)																																																						
<b>NEW YORK</b>																																																						
NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT	RVKQ949647	UPGRADE STORM AND SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	400																																																			
Upgrades storm drain and sanitary sewer system by providing new, adequately sized, sanitary sewer lines and storm drainage pipes. This is a Level I environmental compliance project which expands both systems and installs the catch basins and oil/water separators required to comply with the environmental requirements of the clean water act. (Current Mission)																																																						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WITHIN THE UNITED STATES																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE PROJECTS \$400,000 AND UNDER - FY 96	5. PROJECT NUMBER VARIOUS																																					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="240 457 545 485"><u>STATE AND LOCATION</u></th> <th data-bbox="313 489 561 516"><u>PROJECT NUMBER</u></th> <th data-bbox="813 489 1019 516"><u>PROJECT TITLE</u></th> <th data-bbox="1344 489 1414 516"><u>COST</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="240 573 318 600"><b>OHIO</b></td> <td data-bbox="313 604 626 663">BLUE ASH ANG STATION BVGM929908</td> <td data-bbox="789 632 1187 690">REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 632 1401 659">380</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 722 1406 884">Replaces 7 tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="240 936 318 963"><b>OHIO</b></td> <td data-bbox="313 968 667 1026">CAMP PERRY ANG STATION EUBC939780</td> <td data-bbox="789 995 1187 1054">REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 995 1401 1022">320</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1085 1406 1247">Replaces 4 tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="240 1299 318 1327"><b>OHIO</b></td> <td data-bbox="313 1331 659 1390">RICKENBACKER ANG BASE NLZG909546</td> <td data-bbox="789 1358 1187 1417">REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS</td> <td data-bbox="1357 1358 1401 1386">310</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1451 1406 1612">Replaces 2 tanks and 3 oil/water separators. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="240 1665 410 1692"><b>OKLAHOMA</b></td> <td data-bbox="313 1696 716 1755">WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT YZEU949739</td> <td data-bbox="789 1724 1247 1751">PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY</td> <td data-bbox="1357 1724 1401 1751">400</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="240 1787 1406 1906">Replaces the existing building with an adequately sized and properly configured facility. The present inadequate facility must be demolished to provide proper access to the new fire station. This project includes modern fuel testing equipment in an explosion proof environment, and provides utilities, pavements, and site improvements. (Current Mission)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>	<b>OHIO</b>	BLUE ASH ANG STATION BVGM929908	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	380	Replaces 7 tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)				<b>OHIO</b>	CAMP PERRY ANG STATION EUBC939780	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320	Replaces 4 tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)				<b>OHIO</b>	RICKENBACKER ANG BASE NLZG909546	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	310	Replaces 2 tanks and 3 oil/water separators. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)				<b>OKLAHOMA</b>	WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT YZEU949739	PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	400	Replaces the existing building with an adequately sized and properly configured facility. The present inadequate facility must be demolished to provide proper access to the new fire station. This project includes modern fuel testing equipment in an explosion proof environment, and provides utilities, pavements, and site improvements. (Current Mission)			
<u>STATE AND LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>COST</u>																																			
<b>OHIO</b>	BLUE ASH ANG STATION BVGM929908	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	380																																			
Replaces 7 tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)																																						
<b>OHIO</b>	CAMP PERRY ANG STATION EUBC939780	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	320																																			
Replaces 4 tanks. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)																																						
<b>OHIO</b>	RICKENBACKER ANG BASE NLZG909546	REPLACE UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS	310																																			
Replaces 2 tanks and 3 oil/water separators. This work is needed to conform to EPA regulations and to preclude contamination of the soil and aquifer. This is a level II environmental compliance project, and includes excavation; removal of the tanks; disposal of the tanks, tank residue, and contaminated soil; and all site work and restoration. Tank replacement system should use the most economic and environmentally efficient fuel source available. (Current Mission)																																						
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>	WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT YZEU949739	PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	400																																			
Replaces the existing building with an adequately sized and properly configured facility. The present inadequate facility must be demolished to provide proper access to the new fire station. This project includes modern fuel testing equipment in an explosion proof environment, and provides utilities, pavements, and site improvements. (Current Mission)																																						

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)			4. PROJECT TITLE PLANNING AND DESIGN			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F	6. CATEGORY CODE 010-000	7. PROJECT NUMBER AAAA929930	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$4,580			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PLANNING AND DESIGN		LS			4,580	
SUBTOTAL					4,580	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,580	
TOTAL REQUEST					4,580	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested will provide for the final design of facilities and achieve full evaluation for each project in terms of technical adequacy and estimated cost. In addition, the funds are required to prepare working drawings, specifications, and project reports for the design of construction projects to be included in future Military Construction Programs.						
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The ANG needs planning and design funds for projects to be included in future MILCON programs The FY 96 design funds are needed to complete the design for projects to be included in FY 97 and begin the design for projects to be included in FY 98. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The SECDEF bottom up review and the downsizing of the Air Force has resulted in the transferring of additional missions such as the B-1, KC-135, C-130, and others to the ANG. The MILCON for these aircraft conversions are included in the FY 97-99 programs. The ANG requires the design money in FY 96 to insure the design milestones for FY 97 and FY 98 as mandated by DODI 1225.7 are met. The ANG design dollars have been totally depleted. This is the result of past congressional MILCON adds to the program without a corresponding increase in design money. In order to preclude a design work stoppage, ANG was forced to reprogramm \$5.8 Mil. However, this was only a short term stop gap measure. Additional reprogrammings are anticipated to resolve the shortfall resulting from the appropriated FY 95 MILCON program. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The ANG will not be able to execute the FY 96 and FY 97 design programs. Since the majority of the programs are in support of new missions, conversions, and environmental compliance, the projects cannot be included in the MILCON programs and submitted to Congress.						

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)		
4. PROJECT TITLE PLANNING AND DESIGN	5. PROJECT NUMBER AAAA929930	
<p>Conversions will be delayed; high risk and costly workarounds will occur. Inability to program environmental compliance projects will result in violation of County, State, and Federal statutes. The ANG may receive fines and the DoD, AF, and ANG may receive adverse publicity. It will be hard to explain that this was caused by insufficient planning and design.</p>		

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)		
4. PROJECT TITLE  PLANNING AND DESIGN	5. PROJECT NUMBER  AAAA929930	
<p>Conversions will be delayed; high risk and costly workarounds will occur. Inability to program environmental compliance projects will result in violation of County, State, and Federal statutes. The ANG may receive fines and the DoD, AF, and ANG may receive adverse publicity. It will be hard to explain that this was caused by insufficient planning and design.</p>		



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996

APPROPRIATION:	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- AIR NATIONAL GUARD	
PROGRAM 313:	PLANNING AND DESIGN	\$4,580,000

PART I -- PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds estimated in this program are to provide financing for project planning and design of the construction requirements for the Air National Guard

PART II -- JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds required for Planning and Design will provide for establishing project construction design of the facilities and for achieving a full evaluation of each designed project in terms of technical adequacy and estimated costs.

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)				4. PROJECT TITLE UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55296F		6. CATEGORY CODE 999-999	7. PROJECT NUMBER AAAA929931		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,100			
9. COST ESTIMATES								
ITEM					U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION					LS			4,100
SUBTOTAL								4,100
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								4,100
TOTAL REQUEST								4,100
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)								4,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provides a lump sum for construction projects not otherwise authorized by law. Includes construction, alteration, or conversion of permanent or temporary facilities. The Secretary of the Air Force has the authority to approve projects of this nature under the provisions of 10 U. S. Code 2233a or 10 U. S. Code 2805								
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This program provides the means of accomplishing projects costing over \$300,0000 but not exceeding \$1,500,000 that are not now identified, but which are anticipated to arise during late Fy 1995, FY 96 or early FY 97 to satisfy critical, unforeseen and urgent mission or environmental requirements. It would be too late to include these projects in the fy 96 Milcon and these projects cannot wait for inclusion in the FY 97 MILCON. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> During this period, as the Air Force is cutting back force structure, the ANG is undergoing numerous aircraft conversions and beddowns. These include: conversions from F-15 and F-16 to B-1 at 2 locations; conversion of the F-4G and RF-4C to C-130 at two locations; conversions of the F-16 and RF-4C to KC 135 at 6 locations. Many facility requirements not now identified may need to be done on an urgent basis to support the arrival of new aircraft and equipment. Past records indicate that additional conversion projects are identified by the Site Activation Task Force. This is a management team that arrives on a base selected for a conversion and conducts a program review to insure the conversion is successful and on time. Unforeseen and urgent environmental requirements to meet the State and Federal laws are also typical projects that must be accomplished. The funds requested in this budget are not a percent of the								

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  VARIOUS LOCATIONS (UNSPECIFIED)		
4. PROJECT TITLE  UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5. PROJECT NUMBER  AAAA929931	
<p>budget but are based on past history and account for inflation only. Routine and non urgent projects are not funded by this account. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Unable to complete the beddowns. Will require formal reprogramming if savings are available. Urgent environmental requirements cannot be satisfied. More expensive workarounds will have to be used.</p>		

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996

APPROPRIATION:	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- AIR NATIONAL GUARD	
PROGRAM 341:	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	\$4,100,000

PART I -- PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds estimated in this program are to provide financing for new construction and alteration projects having cost estimates over \$300,000 but not exceeding \$1,500,000 which are not otherwise authorized by law.

PART II -- JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds required for Minor Construction will finance projects for which the justification is such that they should not be included in the regular Military Construction Program for the Air National Guard and such that they exceed the minor construction work authorization in the Operations and Maintenance Appropriation.