Approximation of the Page Test Probability of Detection by the Cumulative Distribution of a Mixture of Poisson Random Variables

Douglas A. Abraham Submarine Sonar Department



19950109 163

DIIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1



Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division Newport, Rhode Island

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

 \prec

PREFACE

The research described in this report was funded under NUWC Detachment New London Project No. B10001, *Quickest Detection of Signals in the Presence of Noise With Unknown Parameters*, principal investigator D. A. Abraham (Code 2121). The work was sponsored by the Independent Research Program, program manager K. M. Lima (Code 102).

The technical reviewer for this report was A. C. Barthelemy (Code 2151).

Reviewed and Approved: 1 December 1994

2) Muster

R. J. Martin Acting Head, Submarine Sonar Department

PARE description Control of the intervent of the in	REPORT D	OCUMENTATION	PAGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
AddRov Lee OKY (Lever Band Lever And Lever Comparison of Leve	Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington,				
1 December 1994 Final 4. TITLE AND SUBTITIE Approximation of the Page Test Probability of Detection by the Cumulative Distribution of a Mixture of Poisson Random Variables FUNDWG MUMEERS 6. AUTHORIS) Douglas A. Abraham Fundom Variables Fundom Variables 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION INAMÉ(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION INAMÉ(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT Naval Undersee Warfare Center Datachment New London, Connectiout 06320 TR 10,733 TR 10,733 9. SPONSORING MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING-MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING-MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 worde) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occuring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between tables alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure to the Page test may be more of the average number of samples between tables alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure to the Page test. The substor filan, Willet, and Abraham indicate in table performance times auror to the Samples between tables alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure to the Page test. The substor filan, Willet, and Abraham indicate in table performance times auror to formapaby through the page t	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTILE Approximation of the Page Test Probability of Detection by the Cumulative Distribution of a Mixture of Peisson Random Variables ADMOR(s) Douglas A. Abraham PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Advardare Center Detachment New London New London, Connecticut 06320 SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Advardare Center Detachment New London New London, Connecticut 06320 SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Seconsorial Content of the Page test are the average number of amples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of the Page test. The results of Ham, Willet, and Abraham influid (inter hawe been suggested for the detection is a more appropriate performance measures to the Page test. The results of Ham, Willet, and Abraham influid (inter ham the average number of samples between faile atams and the average number of amples between tables atams and the average number of the Page test. The results of Ham, Willet, and Abraham influid (inter ham beer devence) and interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to the Page test. The results of Ham, Willet, and Abraham influid (inter ham beer devence) and interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test. The nother of page test i		1 December 1994	Final		
Approximation of the Page Test Probability of Dataction by the Cumulative Distribution of a Mixture of Poisson Random Variables a. AUTHOR(S) Douglas A. Abraham 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Undersea Warlare Center Detachment New London New London, Connecticut 06320 a. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NAMEER 9. SPONEORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONEORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONEORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 10. SPONEORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONEORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY STATEMENT 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals cocurring at unknown times is an appropriate Jaka alam performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection as a more appropriate performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection as a more appropriate performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection as a more appropriate performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection for signal having finite duration. Unfortunate, there have acourately approximated through the use of a con	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		to all a first the	5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
	Approximation of the Cumulative Distribution	Page Test Probability of De n of a Mixture of Poisson	Random Variables		
Douglas A. Abraham 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NAVAI Undersea Wartare Center Detachment New London New London, Connecticut 06520 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NAMEER 19. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY MANE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SEQUEDRIKGACKITORING AGENCY NAMEER 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown, times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate lates alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the average number of detection is signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining the performance measure to the Page test. The results of Han, the average number of asphes betore detection for signals baving finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining the performance measure is none appropriate performance measure time the accurately approximation in the probability of detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining the performance measure is the measure as the time to occurrence of the average number of samples before detection for accurate detection probability detection in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to botalin distribution intenered submitted for accurate detection probabi	6. AUTHOR(S)				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) TR 10,733 Naval Undersea Warfare Center Detachmen New London New London, Connecticut 06320 SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals cocurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection for signal shaving finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate thrat the probability of detection a simple submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in on-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to interest result in the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate thrat the probability of detection a signal as a function signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate thro	Douglas A. Abraham				
Naval Undersea Warfare Center Detachment New London New London, Connecticut 05320 TR 10,793 9. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME (5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 10. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME (5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 10. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME (5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maxmum 200 works) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown, threes. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples before detection is a nore appropriate performance measure than the optimum is an appropriate lake alarm performance measure the time of occurrence of the average number of samples before detection is a fine duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure she time of occurrence of the average number of samples before detection in signal is having limite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining threas the submit noted or a quantized cominuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, the teppe test, the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Reventian mobiol or a quantized continuous-time reported in the Page test. <th>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA</th> <th>AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</th> <th></th> <th>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</th>	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Detachment New London, Connecticut 05320 Interview 9. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY RAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples before detection or signals having finite duration signals have reader performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is atom exponsite performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signal having finite duration signals having finite duration signals is unknown. However, the probability of detection is diring and with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Datama indicate that the probability of detection for signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Datama indicate that the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous time process the submitted to the regulated continuous time process the probability of detection of intervent setup or probability of detection of signal with the radio detection of the regulated to the Page test. The probability of detection of signal with the regulated continuous time process with anomer matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous time process with the regulates at the tinon -of aussian sequences to be submitted to	Naval Undersea Warfare C	Center		TR 10 793	
9. SPONSCRING/MONITORING AGENCY MAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSCRING/MONITORING AGENCY MAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate table alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection or signals having finite duration signals haverage number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate table alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection or signals having finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham inclicate that the probability of detection or signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and process with moment maching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous-time process is the southid to the regulated continuous-time process is the southid to the regulated continuous time Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be avointed through the use of a continuous approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variables. The probability of Detection, Signal Duration is then approximated by the currulative distribution function of the stopping time random variables. The probability of Detection, Signal Duration is duration is then	Detachment New Londor New London, Connecticu	ו 1 06320		11110,700	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are haverage number of samples before detection is a nappropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the number of samples betore detection by a mixture of Poisson random wariables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution-independent methods for acocurate detection probability densi					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is ginals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham inclicate that the probability of detection a signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham inclicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation. The Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time endorm variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function. False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration <t< th=""><th>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE</th><th>NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</th><th></th><th>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY</th></t<>	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVALABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms san appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure than the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples between false alarms be on continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated continuous-time probability of detection probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function, false Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability				REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12a. Distribution of the public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability of proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability of detecting a finite duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution of the Page test, and mayized here in involves approximating the probability of detection probability of posson matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative distribution of the Page test, and the average number of samples before detection probability of detection probability of the proposed and analyzed here in involves					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 14. SUBJECT TERMS Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Perform					
12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Browniam motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated comulative summation in the Page test, is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 14. SUBJECT TERMS 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION of FAEPCAT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION of ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF A	11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	<u></u>			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection to signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test. The number of samples before detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of Detection, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 1s. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 14. SUBJECT TERMS 1a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION/CODE Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION/CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection of signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation. The methods proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability approximation. The function of the Poisson maximum of the Poisson mixture. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34. 14. SUBLECT TERMS 15. SEQURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT					
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability poroximation. The tend proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 14. SUBLECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBLECT TERMS 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION of ABSTRACT </th <th>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S</th> <th>STATEMENT</th> <th></th> <th>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</th>	12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S	STATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test; is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMEER OF PAGES 34 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 19.	Approved for public rele	ease; distribution is unlimited.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure as the time of occurrence of the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 16. PRICE CODE 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT					
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection, by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 18. <td< td=""><td colspan="3"></td><td></td></td<>					
Sequential tests like the Page test have been suggested for the detection of finite, unknown duration signals occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples before detection. The average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of Detection, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 34 <t< th=""><th>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words</th><th>3)</th><th></th><th>······</th></t<>	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words	3)		······	
occurring at unknown times. The standard performance measures for the Page test are the average number of samples between false alarms and the average number of samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 34 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	Sequential tests like the	Page test have been sugges	ted for the detection of	finite, unknown duration signals	
Samples between false alarms is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as the time of occurrence of the signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and the optimate of the obstinity of detecting a signal as a function of the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture.	occurring at unknown times.	The standard performance management of the average number of	easures for the Page to samples before detect	est are the average number of ion The average number of	
signal is unknown. However, the probability of detection is a more appropriate performance measure than the average number of samples before detection for signals having finite duration. Unfortunately, there has been minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 10. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	samples between faise alarm	is an appropriate false alarm	performance measure	as the time of occurrence of the	
minimal research related to determining this performance measure for the Page test. The results of Han, Willett, and Abraham indicate that the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 288 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 288 (Rev 2-89)	signal is unknown. However	, the probability of detection is before detection for signals h	a more appropriate pe aving finite duration. U	Infortunately, there has been	
Abranam indicate that the probability of detecting a linite duration signal with the Page test may be accurately approximated through the use of a continuous-time Brownian motion model or a quantized continuous-time process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test, is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	minimal research related to c	determining this performance r	neasure for the Page to	est. The results of Han, Willett, and	
process with moment matching when the sequence submitted to the regulated cumulative summation in the Page test is Gaussian. As many problems of interest result in non-Gaussian sequences to be submitted to the Page test, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24. 34. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34. 34. 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 20. 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED SAR SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 288 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 288 (Rev 2-89) </td <td>approximated through the us</td> <th>se of a continuous-time Brown</th> <td>nian motion model or a</td> <td>quantized continuous-time</td>	approximated through the us	se of a continuous-time Brown	nian motion model or a	quantized continuous-time	
Test is Gaussian. As many problems of merear result in molecular sequences to be submitted to the r age rest, it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24. Subject TERMS 15. Sate of Pages 25. Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	process with moment match	ing when the sequence subm	itted to the regulated c	umulative summation in the Page	
method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time random variable (i.e., the number of samples before detection) by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution function of the Poisson mixture. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 14. SUBJECT TERMS 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 34 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	it is desired to obtain distribution-independent methods for accurate detection probability approximation. The				
11 Subject terms 15 NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15 NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15 NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS 16 PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 19 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20 LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	method proposed and analyzed herein involves approximating the probability density function of the stopping time				
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS 34 14. Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34. Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	probability of detecting a signal as a function of its duration is then approximated by the cumulative distribution				
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random 34 Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	tunction of the Poisson mixt	ure.			
Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Random Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 10. UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	14. SUBJECT TERMS		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	Cumulative Distribution Function, False Alarm Performance, Page Test, Poisson Rand Variable, Probability of Detection, Sequential Test, Signal Detection, Signal Duration		dom 16. PRICE CODE		
UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED SAR NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA	TION OF 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)					
	NSN 7540-01-280-5500		UNCLASSIFIE	Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89)	

•

.

TR 10,793

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
POISSON MIXTURE APPROXIMATION	4
	5 5
MODEL DIMENSION CHOICE	6
PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	7
PAGE TEST DETECTION PROBABILITY COMPARISON	14
CONCLUSIONS	20
REFERENCES	21

Accesio	n For			
NTIS	CRA&I	Ø		
DTIC	TAB			
Unanno	unced			
Justific	ation			
By Distribution /				
Availability Codes				
Dist	Avail a Spe	nd / er cial		
A-1				

i

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

.

.

•

Actual CDF, Sample CDF, and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 1 With $n = 50$ Observations	11
MSE for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based CDF Estimate Using AIC, MDL, and Actual Model Order for Example 1	11
Actual CDF, Sample CDF, and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 2 With $n = 200$ Observations	12
MSE for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based CDF Estimate Using AIC and MDL Model Orders for Example 2	12
MSE Estimate With Three Standard Deviation Confidence Bounds for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 1	13
MSE Estimate With Three Standard Deviation Confidence Bounds for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 2	13
Page Test Probability of Detection for a Gaussian Signal via Brownian Motion Approximation, Moment Matching Method, Sample CDF of 2000 Observa- tions, and Poisson Mixture Approximation From 500 Observations	17
MSE as a Function of SNR for Poisson Mixture, Brownian Motion, Moment- Matching, Asymptotic, and Fixed Sample Size Approximations for a Gaussian Signal	17
Page Test Probability of Detection for an Exponential Signal via Brownian Motion Approximation, Moment-Matching Method, Sample CDF of 2000 Ob- servations, and Poisson Mixture Approximation From 500 Observations	18
MSE as a Function of SNR for Poisson Mixture, Brownian Motion, Moment- Matching, Asymptotic, and Fixed Sample Size Approximations for an Expo- nential Signal	18
Page Test Probability of Detection for a Noncentral Chi-Squared Signal via Brownian Motion Approximation, Moment-Matching Method, Sample CDF of 2000 Observations, and Poisson Mixture Approximation From 500 Observations	19
MSE as a Function of SNR for Poisson Mixture, Brownian Motion, Moment- Matching, Asymptotic, and Fixed Sample Size Approximations for a Noncen- tral Chi-Squared Signal	19
	Actual CDF, Sample CDF, and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 1 With $n = 50$ Observations

LIST OF TABLES

Table]	Page
1	Proportion and Mean Parameters for Example 1	8
2	Proportion and Mean Parameters for Example 2	8
3	Pertinent Signal Information	14

LIST OF SYMBOLS

- $ar{T}$ Average number of samples between false alarms
- \overline{D} Average number of samples before detection
- $P_d(L)$ Probability of detecting a signal of duration L
- ϕ_i Mean parameter for Poisson or geometric random variable
- α_i Proportion parameter for Poisson mixture
- Φ Vector of mean and proportion parameters
- *n* Number of data observations
- *m* Number of components in mixture model
- Δ_{Par} Change in parameter estimates during EM update
- Δ_{LLF} Change in log-likelihood function during EM update
- TOL_1 Stopping tolerance on change in log-likelihood function
- TOL_2 Stopping tolerance on change in parameter estimates
- TOL_3 Stopping tolerance on change in log-likelihood function for model estimation
- X_{max} Maximum value to include error between estimated and actual CDF
- r(z) Locally optimal nonlinearity
- τ_s Asymptotically optimal bias
- s Signal strength or signal-to-noise ratio
- W_k Page test statistic
- [·] Floor function

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

- AIC Akaike's information criterion
- CDF Cumulative distribution function
- EM Estimate-maximize
- LLF Log-likelihood function
- MDL Minimum descriptive length
- MLE Maximum likelihood estimate
- MSE Mean squared error
- PDF Probability density function
- SNR Signal-to-noise ratio

APPROXIMATION OF THE PAGE TEST PROBABILITY OF DETECTION BY THE CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF A MIXTURE OF POISSON RANDOM VARIABLES

INTRODUCTION

The detection of finite, unknown duration signals that occur at unknown times has often been a problem in the fields of active and passive sonar signal processing. Examples of signals requiring such detection include those caused by an active sonar return in a shallow water environment and by the onset of a range bias in target motion analysis. Also important is the capability for the *rapid* detection of a torpedo or ship closing in range. It has been suggested ([1], [2]) that sequential detectors, such as the Page test [3], be used. This report investigates a technique for approximating the probability of detecting signals using the Page test as a function of their duration and strength.

The Page test is implemented by the update equation

$$W_k = \max\{0, W_{k-1} + Y_k\},$$
(1)

where $W_0 = 0$. The sequence $\{Y_k\}$ is the Page test update, which is optimally the loglikelihood ratio of the observed data for time sample k. However, this update may be any function of the data whose mean is negative when no signal is present. The stopping time of a sequential test is defined as the first time or index when the stochastic test statistic process or sequence crosses a given threshold, indicating a detection, false or otherwise. Thus, the stopping time for the Page test is defined as

$$N = \inf\left\{k \ge 0 : W_k \ge h\right\},\tag{2}$$

where h is the threshold. The Page test is actually designed to rapidly determine when a sequence permanently changes from following one distribution law to an alternative law. Thus, its traditional performance measures are the average number of samples between false alarms denoted, \bar{T} , and before detection, \bar{D} . From a sonar operator's perspective, \bar{T} is an appropriate false alarm performance measure as it relates to how often action is falsely required. For finite duration signals, the probability of detection as a function of signal duration and strength is a more appropriate detection performance measure than \overline{D} .

Theoretical results bounding or approximating the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test may be found in Broder [1] and Han, Willett, and Abraham [4]. Broder discusses approximating the Page test probability of detection by exploiting the asymptotic normality of the stopping time (as established by Khan [5]), by deriving a lower bound based on the performance of a fixed sample size test, and by approximating the Page test sequence by use of an *unregulated* continuous-time Brownian motion [6]. In reference [4], the Page test statistic sequence was approximated by a regulated, continuous-time Brownian motion, or by a quantized continuous-time process where the first two moments are matched to those of the Page test. The characteristic function of the stopping time of the continuous-time processes may then be determined analytically. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the stopping time, obtained numerically from the characteristic function, provides an approximation to the Page test probability of detection. The results of reference [4] indicate that both methods are accurate when the Page test update has a Gaussian random character and that the moment-matching method may provide adequate estimation for non-Gaussian updates.

Using analyzable alternatives to model the statistical character of the stopping time random variable provides good results. This approach is practical because if the probability density function (PDF) of the stopping time random variable is available, the resulting CDF . provides a lower bound on the probability of detecting a signal using the Page test:

$$P_d(L) \ge \Pr\{N \le L | \text{Signal present} \}.$$
(3)

Here, N is the stopping time random variable of equation (2) and L is the duration of the signal. This CDF is a lower bound because a valid detection may occur in the Page test after the signal has stopped due to memory in the test statistic and because it is typically assumed that the Page test statistic is zero when the signal starts, which is the worst possible value.

Many problems of interest, particularly those with unknown noise or "nuisance" parameters, result in statistics that may be distinctly non-Gaussian. Thus, there is a need for determining the Page test probability of detection when the Page test update is non-Gaussian.

3

The results of reference [4] may be used as a first order approximation, depending on how well a Gaussian random variable approximates the non-Gaussian Page test update. An alternative approach is to estimate the CDF of the stopping time random variable through simulation. Accurate estimation, however, may require substantial amounts of data. The technique proposed herein is to approximate the PDF of the stopping time by a mixture of Poisson random variables. The CDF of the observed data is then estimated by the CDF of the Poisson mixture. The estimate-maximize (EM) algorithm [7] is used to obtain maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) of the proportion and mean parameters for the individual Poisson random variables. This estimator for the CDF of a non-negative integer-valued random variable is described, analyzed, and compared to the sample CDF. The Poisson mixture method, the Brownian motion and moment-matching approximations of [4], and the fixed sample size and asymptotic approximations of [1] are then compared for approximating the Page test probability of detection for several common signal types.

POISSON MIXTURE APPROXIMATION

Suppose that n observations of a non-negative integer-valued random variable distributed according to the PDF f(x) are observed:

$$x_i \sim f(x); \quad x \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$
 (4)

It is desired to estimate the CDF of the observed data,

$$F(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{x} f(j),$$
 (5)

by approximating the PDF by a mixture of Poisson random variables. A Poisson random variable is parameterized by its mean value, λ , and has PDF

$$p(x|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}, \quad \text{for} \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(6)

A mixture of *m* Poisson random variables with means ϕ_i and mixture proportions α_i has a PDF with the form

$$g(x|\phi,\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i p(x|\phi_i), \qquad (7)$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1 \tag{8}$$

and ϕ and α are length *m* vectors of the mean and proportion parameters, respectively. Because of the constraint of equation (8), the dimension of the parameter space is 2m - 1.

Application of the EM algorithm [7] to determine the MLEs of the mixture parameters is straightforward, as the Poisson distribution is a member of the exponential family. The following update equations of the EM iteration for the Poisson mixture may be found in Redner and Walker [8]. Let the current estimates of the proportion and mean parameters be α_i^c and ϕ_i^c , respectively, for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Define the intermediate variables

$$w_{i,k} = \alpha_i^c p\left(x_k | \phi_i^c\right) = \frac{\alpha_i^c e^{-\phi_i^c} \left(\phi_i^c\right)^{x_k}}{x_k!},$$
(9)

for i = 1, ..., m, and for k = 1, ..., n. The update for the mean parameters is

$$\phi_i^+ = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \frac{w_{i,k}}{\sum_{j=1}^n w_{j,k}}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{w_{i,k}}{\sum_{j=1}^n w_{j,k}}},\tag{10}$$

and the update for the proportion parameters is

$$\alpha_i^+ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{w_{i,k}}{\sum_{j=1}^m w_{j,k}} \right)$$
(11)

for i = 1, ..., m. Note that the constraint of equation (8) is satisfied by the update equation for the mixture proportion parameters.

Equations (9)-(11) describe how to update estimates of the mixture parameters given a specified model order and an initial estimate of the parameters. In the following three sections, the initialization, stopping, and model order choice are discussed.

INITIALIZATION

There is little discussion in Redner and Walker [8] about initialization of the EM algorithm for mixtures of exponential family densities, except to note a linear (slow) convergence from most all points to a local maxima of the likelihood function. It is assumed that the mean parameters of the individual Poisson random variables in the order m mixture are unique and may be ranked in ascending order. Thus, a natural initial estimate of the i^{th} ranked mean parameter from n samples of unlabeled data is the average of the i^{th} set of $\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor$ ranked data observations, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function. The mixture proportions are initialized to equal values of $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{m}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. This initialization procedure was suggested by T. E. Luginbuhl (Code 2121) of the Naval Undersea Warfare Center Detachment in New London, Connecticut.

ALGORITHM STOPPING

The objective of the EM algorithm is to determine parameter estimates maximizing the Poisson mixture PDF. Thus, a combination of the change in the likelihood function (the joint PDF) of the data and a distance measure between successive parameter vector estimates is used as a stopping criterion. Let the superscripts ^c and ⁺ on the vectors ϕ and α ,

 $\mathbf{5}$

TR 10,793

respectively, indicate the old and new parameter estimates. The distance measure between successive parameter estimates is

$$\Delta_{Par} = \frac{\|\alpha^+ - \alpha^c\|_2}{1 + \|\alpha^+\|_2} + \frac{\|\phi^+ - \phi^c\|_2}{1 + \|\phi^+\|_2},\tag{12}$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the 2-norm of a vector. The change in the log-likelihood function (LLF) was measured by

$$\Delta_{LLF} = \frac{\log g\left(\mathbf{x}|\phi^{+},\alpha^{+}\right) - \log g\left(\mathbf{x}|\phi^{c},\alpha^{c}\right)}{1 + \left|\log g\left(\mathbf{x}|\phi^{+},\alpha^{+}\right)\right|},\tag{13}$$

where \mathbf{x} is the *n*-by-1 vector of the observed data and

$$g(\mathbf{x}|\phi,\alpha) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} g(x_k|\phi,\alpha)$$
(14)

is the joint PDF of the observed data under the mixture model parameterized by ϕ and α . The EM iteration is halted when the change measures are less than a specified tolerance:

$$\Delta_{LLF} < TOL_1 \tag{15}$$

and

$$\Delta_{Par} < TOL_2 . \tag{16}$$

MODEL DIMENSION CHOICE

The above EM algorithm initialization and implementation requires that the dimension of the mixture model be specified. As this is assumed to be unknown, Akaike's information criterion (AIC) [9] and Rissanen's minimum descriptive length (MDL) [10] are used to determine the best model dimension. As there are 2m - 1 model parameters, the AIC and MDL objective functions to be minimized are

AIC
$$(m) = -\log\left[g\left(\mathbf{x}|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right), \hat{\alpha}_{m}\right] + 2m$$
 (17)

and

$$MDL(m) = -\log\left[g\left(\mathbf{x}|\hat{\phi}_{m}, \hat{\alpha}_{m}\right)\right] + m\log n , \qquad (18)$$

where $g(\mathbf{x} | \phi, \alpha)$ is as shown in equation (14), n is the number of data observations, and $\hat{\phi}_m$ and $\hat{\alpha}_m$ are the MLEs of the mixture parameter vectors when there are m mixture components.

Note that these functions only depend on the mixture parameter estimates through the LLF. It has been observed that Δ_{LLF} converges rapidly, whereas Δ_{Par} may take a substantial amount of iterations for convergence. Thus, the model dimension is determined by estimating the mixture parameters for $m = 2, 3, \ldots$ components, stopping the EM iteration each time when

$$\Delta_{LLF} < TOL_3 , \qquad (19)$$

until equation (17) or (18) produces a minimum. The mixture parameter estimates resulting from the best model dimension are then used to continue the EM iteration until $\Delta_{LLF} < TOL_1$ and $\Delta_{Par} < TOL_2$.

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The primary objective in approximating the PDF of the stopping time random variable by use of a mixture of Poisson random variables is to approximate the Page test probability of detection by the CDF of the Poisson mixture. Thus, it is worthwhile to explore the performance of the above described algorithm for estimating a CDF. The fundamental questions are

- 1. How much observed data are required to obtain an accurate estimation?
- 2. Should the AIC or the MDL criteria be used to choose the model order?
- 3. How does the performance compare to the sample CDF estimator and MLEs for a mixture model with the correct order?
- 4. How well does the algorithm work when the underlying distribution is not a mixture of Poisson random variables?

To address these questions, a Poisson mixture example and a Poisson-geometric mixture example are considered. In example 1, the observed data are distributed as a mixture of five

Poisson random variables with proportion and mean parameters as found in table 1. Example 2 consists of a mixture of a Poisson and two geometric random variables, as described in table 2. For the geometric distribution, ϕ_i is equal to $\frac{1}{p_i}$, where p_i is the probability of stopping at the next sample. Sample CDF estimates and the mean-squared error (MSE) between the estimated CDF and the actual CDF for these examples are found in figures 1–4.

Table 1: Proportion and Mean Parameters for Example 1

α_i	ϕ_i
0.2	3
0.1	5
0.2	8
0.4	10
0.1	20

Table 2: Proportion and Mean Parameters for Example 2

Type	α_i	$ \phi_i $	
Poisson	0.2	5	
Geometric	0.4	5	
Geometric	0.4	10	

The actual CDF, the sample CDF, and the mixture-model-based AIC CDF estimate (model order chosen according to the AIC objective function) are found in figures 1 and 3 for each example, where n = 50 data observations is used in example 1 and n = 200 data observations is used in example 2. The AIC criteria chose a model order of m = 3 for each example, underestimating the actual model order in example 1. This may be attributed to the clumping of the actual mean parameters into three groups centered at 4, 9, and 20. These plots illustrate that both the sample CDF and the mixture-model-based CDF estimate only approximate the actual CDF.

Of more interest is the quality of the approximation as the amount of data observations varies. The MSE between the estimated CDF and the actual CDF is used as a performance measure:

$$MSE(X_{max}) = E\left[\sum_{x=0}^{X_{max}} \left(F\left(x \left| \hat{\phi}, \hat{\alpha} \right.\right) - F\left(x\right)\right)^2\right],$$
(20)

where F(x) is the CDF of the observed data and

$$F(x | \phi, \alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{x} g(x | \phi, \alpha)$$
(21)

is the Poisson mixture CDF given the parameters in ϕ and α . Here, the expectation occurs over the random estimate of the mixture parameters $\hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\alpha}$, and X_{max} is taken large enough so that the probability of observing a value exceeding X_{max} is nearly zero.

The MSE measure of equation (20) is estimated by forming the sample mean of the squared error (the term within the expectation in equation (20)) over 100 trials. The results are shown in figure 2 for example 1, where $X_{\text{max}} = 50$, and in figure 4 for example 2, where $X_{\text{max}} = 100$, for the sample CDF, the mixture model with the AIC and MDL criteria for model order choice, and the mixture model with the correct number of components (example 1 only). Note that the methods utilizing the AIC and MDL criteria achieve nearly the same MSE as the Poisson mixture method with the correct model order in example 1. Upon closer examination, it is observed that the AIC criteria yields slightly better performance in both examples. However, as seen in figures 5 and 6, this result is not statistically significant because the MDL method lies within the three-standard-deviation confidence region of the estimated mean for the AIC method. For the values of n considered, the sample CDF estimator has an MSE more than one order of magnitude greater than the mixture-model-based estimators.

Comparison of the performance of the mixture-model-based CDF estimators for the correctly modeled Poisson mixture and the incorrectly modeled Poisson-geometric mixture shows that a larger number of data observations are required to obtain equivalent accuracy for the incorrectly modeled data. As this is also true for the sample CDF estimator, it is not clear if this result is due to the algorithm or to the Poisson-geometric mixture of example 2. However, adequate estimation is achievable, even for distributions that are not Poisson mixtures, as long as the amount of data used to estimate the mixture parameters is large enough (e.g., n = 500).



Figure 1: Actual CDF, Sample CDF, and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 1 With n = 50 Observations



Figure 2: MSE for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based CDF Estimate Using AIC, MDL, and Actual Model Order for Example 1



Figure 3: Actual CDF, Sample CDF, and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 2 With n = 200 Observations



Figure 4: MSE for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based CDF Estimate Using AIC and MDL Model Orders for Example 2



Figure 5: MSE Estimate With Three Standard Deviation Confidence Bounds for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 1



Figure 6: MSE Estimate With Three Standard Deviation Confidence Bounds for Sample CDF and Mixture-Model-Based AIC CDF Estimate of Example 2

PAGE TEST DETECTION PROBABILITY COMPARISON

In this section, the Brownian motion and moment-matching approximations of Han, Willett, and Abraham [4], the asymptotic and fixed sample size methods of Broder [1], and the Poisson mixture method are compared to the sample CDF for determining the Page test probability of detection for three common signal types. The locally optimal nonlinearity, r(z), for each of three signal types is submitted to the Page test with a bias chosen to optimize the asymptotic Page test performance for the specified signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), s, as described in [11]. The Brownian motion, moment-matching, and asymptotic approximations require the mean and variance of the Page test update when a signal is present. These parameters, the locally optimal nonlinearity, and other pertinent signal information are found in table 3. Here, $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ represents a Gaussian random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2 , $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ represents an exponential random variable with mean λ , and $\mathcal{X}_n^2(\delta)$ represents a noncentral chi-squared random variable with n degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter δ .

Type	r(z)	Bias $ au_s$	$\mathrm{E}_{s}\left[r(z) ight]$	$\operatorname{Var}_{s}\left[r(z)\right]$
$\mathcal{N}(\sqrt{s},1)$	$\sqrt{s}z - \tau_s$	<u>s</u> 2	$\frac{s}{2}$	S
$\operatorname{Exp}\left(1+s\right)$	$\frac{s}{s+1}z - \tau_s$	$\log\left(1+s\right)$	$s - \tau_s$	s^2
$\mathcal{X}_{2}^{2}\left(s ight)$	$z - au_s$	$2\left(1+\frac{2}{s}\right)\log\left(1+\frac{s}{2}\right)$	$s+2-\tau_s$	4(s+1)

Table 3: Pertinent Signal Information

The data observations used in the sample CDF generation and the Poisson mixture model approximation are stopping times for the Page test. They are generated according to

$$x_i = \inf \{k \ge 0 : W_k \ge h\} ,$$
 (22)

where

$$W_{k} = \max\{0, W_{k-1} + r(z_{k})\}, \qquad (23)$$

 $W_0 = 0$, and the $\{z_k\}$ are distributed as described in the first column of table 3. This is done for i = 1, ..., n, with independent observations of the $\{z_k\}$ sequence. The threshold for all simulations was arbitrarily set to h = 10. CDFs for two SNR values as a function of signal duration and the MSE as a function of SNR between the sample CDF and the Poisson mixture method, Brownian motion, moment-matching, asymptotic, and fixed sample size test approximations are determined for each of the signal types. These results are found in figures 7 and 8 for the Gaussian shift in mean signal, in figures 9 and 10 for the exponential signal, and in figures 11 and 12 for the noncentral chi-squared signal. The sample CDF is generated using 2000 data observations (independent of those used in the mixture model estimation) and is considered to be representative of the actual Page test probability of detection. The Poisson mixture method was allotted n = 100, 250, and 500 data observations to estimate the mixture parameters with the stopping tolerances

$$TOL_1 = 10^{-4}$$
, (24)

$$TOL_2 = 10^{-2}$$
, (25)

and

$$TOL_3 = 10^{-3}$$
 (26)

The values for these tolerances were chosen after simulation results indicated minimal improvement in the MSE between the actual and estimated CDF for smaller values. The MSE plots for the Poisson mixture method of figures 8, 10, and 12 are the averages of 50 samples of the squared error between the sample CDF (computed once with 2000 data samples) and the Poisson mixture approximation CDF (computed 50 times using the AIC-based model order). The squared error is the term inside the expectation in equation (20), with $X_{\text{max}} = 100$, $F(x | \phi, \alpha)$ as the estimated CDF, and F(x) as the sample CDF.

Note that, as expected, the Brownian motion provides inadequate approximation for the non-Gaussian signals, and, for the Gaussian signal, overestimates the detection probability as a function of duration because the *continuous-time* model can regulate and declare a detection at any time, whereas the Page test cannot. The moment-matching technique provided excellent performance for the Gaussian signal but performed poorly on the exponential and noncentral chi-squared signals. The Poisson mixture model method provides the best

TR 10,793

approximation of Page test detection probability for all three signal types as long as enough data samples (n = 500) are used in the estimation of the model parameters. The method based on the asymptotic distribution of the stopping time and the lower bound based on the fixed sample size test are seen to provide the worst overall performance.



Figure 7: Page Test Probability of Detection for a Gaussian Signal via Brownian Motion Approximation, Moment Matching Method, Sample CDF of 2000 Observations, and Poisson Mixture Approximation From 500 Observations



Figure 8: MSE as a Function of SNR for Poisson Mixture, Brownian Motion, Moment-Matching, Asymptotic, and Fixed Sample Size Approximations for a Gaussian Signal



ŧ.

Figure 9: Page Test Probability of Detection for an Exponential Signal via Brownian Motion Approximation, Moment-Matching Method, Sample CDF of 2000 Observations, and Poisson Mixture Approximation From 500 Observations



Figure 10: MSE as a Function of SNR for Poisson Mixture, Brownian Motion, Moment-Matching, Asymptotic, and Fixed Sample Size Approximations for an Exponential Signal



Figure 11: Page Test Probability of Detection for a Noncentral Chi-Squared Signal via Brownian Motion Approximation, Moment-Matching Method, Sample CDF of 2000 Observations, and Poisson Mixture Approximation From 500 Observations



Figure 12: MSE as a Function of SNR for Poisson Mixture, Brownian Motion, Moment-Matching, Asymptotic, and Fixed Sample Size Approximations for a Noncentral Chi-Squared Signal

TR 10,793

CONCLUSIONS

The approximation of the probability of detecting a finite duration signal with the Page test through the use of a mixture of Poisson random variables has been explored. The EM algorithm has been utilized to determine the MLEs of the proportion and mean parameters of the individual Poisson random variables in a mixture of a specified order. The AIC and Rissanen's MDL were considered for choosing the best model order based on the data, with Akaike's method providing slightly better results. The MSE between the CDF of the estimated Poisson mixture and mixtures of either Poisson random variables (i.e., the data is correctly modeled) or of Poisson and geometric random variables (i.e., the data is not necessarily correctly modeled) was seen to decrease as the amount of data used to estimate the mixture parameters increased. The dominance of the Poisson mixture method over the sample CDF was demonstrated for these two types of mixtures.

The Page test probability of detection was evaluated for Gaussian, exponential, and noncentral chi-squared signals using the Brownian motion and moment-matching approximations of Han, Willett, and Abraham [4], the asymptotic and fixed sample size methods of Broder [1], and the proposed Poisson mixture model method and was then compared to the sample CDF. The Poisson mixture method utilizing the AIC for model order choice yielded the best performance provided enough data were used to estimate the mixture parameters. The Brownian motion and moment-matching approximations were seen to deteriorate for non-Gaussian signal types and for weak Gaussian signals. However, when the Page test update is Gaussian, the moment-matching method of [4] provides adequate performance with minimal computational effort.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Broder, *Quickest Detection Procedures and Transient Signal Detection*. Ph.D thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [2] D. A. Abraham, "Sequential vs. Sliding Fixed Block Detection of Finite Unknown Duration Signals," in Proceedings of The Technical Cooperation Program, Twenty-Third Annual International Meeting, Auckand, New Zealand, November 1994.
- [3] E. S. Page, "Continuous Inspection Schemes," Biometrika, vol. 41, 1954, pp. 100-114.
- [4] C. Han, P. K. Willett, and D. A. Abraham, "Some Bounds and Approximations to the Probability of Detection of a Transient Signal," Technical Report 94-4, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, October 1994.
- [5] R. A. Khan, "A Note on Page's Two-sided Cumulative Sum Procedure," Biometrika, vol. 68, no. 3, 1981, pp. 717-719.
- [6] S. M. Ross, Introduction to Probability Models, 5th ed., Academic Press, Inc., NY, 1993.
- [7] A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin, "Maximum Likelihood From Incomplete Data Via the EM Algorithm," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, vol. 2, 1977, pp. 1–38.
- [8] R. A. Redner and H. F. Walker, "Mixture Densities, Maximum Likelihood and the EM Algorithm," *SIAM Review*, vol. 26, no. 2, April 1984.
- [9] H. Akaike, "A New Look at the Statistical Model Identification Problem," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, December 1974, pp. 716–723.
- [10] J. Rissanen, "Modeling by Shortest Data Description," Automatica, September 1978, pp. 465-471.
- [11] D. A. Abraham, "Asymptotically Optimal Bias for a General Non-linearity in Page's Test," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, 1995 (To be published, July 1995).

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

Addressee	No. of Copies
Coast Guard Academy, New London, CT	
J. Wolcin	1
Naval Undersea Warfare Center Detachment, West Palm Beach	
R. Kennedy	1
Office of Naval Research, Code 451	
T. G. Goldsberry	1
N. Harned	1
M. Shipley	1
The Pennsylvania State University	
R. Young	1
Program Executive Officer USW ASTO	
J. Polcari	. 1
Princeton University	
S. Schwartz	1
Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command	
R. Holland	1
University of Connecticut	1
Y. Bar Shalom	
D. Dey	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
N. Muknopadnyay	· 1
P. Willett	1
D Type	1
D. 10105 Defense Technical Information Contar	1 19
Defense rechnical information Oentei	14