

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE


Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 05/30/75		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL OFFPOST CONTAMINATION CONTROL PLAN				5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) REYNOLDS, J.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL (CO.) COMMERCE CITY, CO				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 81320R05	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) THIS DOCUMENT DESCRIBES A PLAN OF ACTION TO ALLEVIATE OFF-POST CONTAMINATION AT RMA. THIS PLAN INTEGRATES THE WORK OF ALL INVOLVED AGENCIES INTO AN ORGANIZED EFFORT TO PRECLUDE FURTHER WATER CONTAMINATION, IDENTIFY AND QUANTIFY ANY CONTAMINANTS PRESENTLY EXISTING IN THE WATER BOTH ON AND OFF ARSENAL PROPERTY, PREDICT MIGRATION OF CONTAMINANTS, AND ESTABLISH WATER STANDARDS FOR CONTAMINANTS IDENTIFIED.					
19941214 010 DTIC SELECTED DEC 19 1994 F DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1					
14. SUBJECT TERMS HISTORY, TREATMENT, GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER, SEWER LINE, DIMP CONCENTRATION, COST				15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		

81320R05
2nd Copy

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY



Rocky Mountain Arsenal
Information Center
Commerce City, Colorado

HEADQUARTERS, ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL
DENVER, COLORADO, 80240

ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL

FILE COPY


OFF-POST CONTAMINATION CONTROL PLAN

30 MAY 1975

ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL
OFF-POST CONTAMINATION CONTROL PLAN

30 May 1975

Prepared by:


JOHN W. REYNOLDS
Chief
Plans Office

Reviewed by:


WILLIAM MCNEILL
Chief Scientist

Approved by:


GERALD G. WATSON
COL, CmC
Commanding

Accession For	
NTIS CRASH	☒
DTIC TAG	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Ext. Office/	
Availability Codes	
1-1	Avail. and/or Special
A-1	

RMA OFF-POST CONTAMINATION CONTROL PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	
A.	Document Purpose	1-1
B.	Background	1-1
1.	History and current mission of RMA	1-1
2.	Chronology of Events, Off-Post Contamination	1-3
II.	Description of RMA Natural Waterflow System	2-1
A.	Surface Water	2-1
B.	Alluvial Aquifer	2-1
III.	Description of Source and Flow of Contaminants	3-1
A.	Basin A	3-1
B.	Contaminated Sewer Line	3-1
IV.	Plan of Action to Preclude Further Contamination	4-1
A.	Surface Water	4-1
B.	Subsurface Waters	4-1
V.	Water Monitoring and Survey Plan	5-1
A.	Brighton Sampling Plan	5-1
B.	Claims Sampling Plan	5-3
C.	Supplemental Efforts	5-3
VI.	Plan for Development of Computer Model to Predict DIMP Migration	6-1
VII.	Plan to Develop Water Standards for DIMP and DCPD	7-1
A.	Edgewood Arsenal Preliminary DIMP Toxicity Studies	7-1
B.	Army Surgeon General's Plan for Acute and Chronic DIMP and DCPD Toxicity Studies	7-2
C.	Studies to Determine the Relationship of DIMP Concentrations to Wheat Growth	7-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Con'd)

VIII. Integration of Overall Plan Into Milestone Schedule With Review Dates	8-1
IX. Cost Estimates	9-1
Appendix A Description of Contaminants	A-1

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Document Purpose

This document describes a plan of action to alleviate off-post contamination at Rocky Mountain Arsenal. This plan integrates the work of all involved agencies into an organized effort to preclude further water contamination, identify and quantify any contaminants presently existing in the waters both on and off Arsenal property, predict migration of contaminants, and establish water standards for contaminants identified.

B. Background

1. History and current mission of Rocky Mountain Arsenal (RMA).

a. Rocky Mountain Arsenal was established in 1942 and originally encompassed 19,776 acres northeast of the city of Denver and 7 miles south of the city of Brighton. It was built to produce toxic chemical and incendiary munitions (See Figure 1-1).

b. During World War II, Rocky Mountain Arsenal manufactured and assembled 87,000 tons of chemical intermediate and toxic end item products, and 155,000 tons of incendiary munitions. During the production period the Arsenal employed an average of 3,000 civilian and military personnel.

c. In 1945, Rocky Mountain Arsenal was placed in a standby status. During the period from 1945 to the beginning of the Korean conflict in 1950, the primary activities at the Arsenal were maintenance and renovation of Chemical Corps supplies and equipment, industrial mobilization planning, and demilitarization of obsolete hazardous and toxic munitions.

d. In 1946, certain portions of the Arsenal were leased to private industry for chemical manufacturing; at the present time a number of the original manufacturing facilities are leased to Shell Chemical Company, which manufactures various insecticides. All leased facilities are covered by a recapture clause in the event of a national emergency. The current Shell lease will expire in 1987.

e. The second large construction phase of the Arsenal was the construction of the SARIN (GB) toxic chemical agent facility. This manufacturing and filling facility was completed in 1953 and actively manufactured GB until 1957.

f. After the Korean emergency, RMA provided testing services and technical assistance to industry for the production of chemical, incendiary and smoke munitions. From 1959 through 1962, Rocky Mountain Arsenal produced biological anti-crop agent and, from 1965 through 1969, emptied Cyanogen Chloride (CK) and Phosgene (CG) bombs for shipment to a commercial manufacturer for filling with high explosives.

g. In 1968 an Ad Hoc Committee of the US Army Materiel Command staff made a decision that all excess and obsolete chemical stocks stored at




Figure 1-i. Rocky Mountain Arsenal Plan View

SECTION I

Rocky Mountain Arsenal be disposed of by dump at sea. This plan was called Operation Chase. Public and Congressional concern over the safety of Operation Chase resulted in its cancellation. The Department of the Army then requested the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to convene a special committee to review disposal methods for chemical agents and to make recommendations as to how the Army could accomplish this task with maximum safety.

h. In October 1973 Project Eagle was initiated which involved the demilitarization of obsolete M34 cluster bombs containing GB nerve gas (SARIN) stored at the Arsenal. Expanded Project Eagle added the demilitarization and disposal of the Army Honest John warhead, and the Navy Wet-eye bomb containing GB. (Weteye bombs stored at RMA contain no explosive). In addition, GB held in bulk underground tanks was neutralized and ton containers stored on the surface will be emptied and their contents detoxified. All demilitarizing activities are performed in the GB plant complex.

i. The Plants Area contains the buildings primarily used in WWII production or processing of Mustard, Chlorine, Phosgene and Lewisite chemical agents. The Shell Chemical Company currently leases 12 of these buildings for use in the production of pesticides. This area was the site for the Mustard Demil Program completed in March 1974 and will be the site of the Phosgene Disposal Program to be completed in 1976. A Hydrazine blending plant is operated on an as-required basis in the area to provide liquid rocket fuel to the USAF and NASA.

j. Other areas of the Arsenal contain support facilities such as Headquarters, housing, procurement and surplus disposal, Security offices, Power Plant, Laundry, QA Lab, Fire Station, air monitoring points, toxic storage yard and engineering offices for the government and contractors.

2. Chronology of Off-Post Contamination

a. The first indication of off-post contamination occurred in the summer of 1951 when some minor crop damage was observed on an irrigated farm northwest of Rocky Mountain Arsenal. At this time, the crop damage was not attributed to ground water contamination by RMA. In the summer of 1954 several farmers complained that ground water used for irrigation had damaged their crops. (The precipitation in 1954 was considerably below average and increased pumping from irrigation wells was required to produce crops). Due to the increase of complaints and subsequent claims for damage, the Department of the Army took the following actions:

(1) Retained a firm of consulting engineers to investigate the problem of ground water contamination.

(2) Requested the U.S. Geological Survey to study water quality on the Arsenal and on neighboring farmlands.

(3) Contracted the University of Colorado to undertake plant bioassay, chemical, and geological studies to determine the identity and source of contaminants causing crop damage.

b. As a result of the first action, Reservoir F was constructed and, since early October 1955, all industrial wastes have been pumped to this reservoir. To preclude ground water contamination, the bottom of Reservoir F was constructed of asphalt and lined with a waterproof membrane. The two studies conducted by USGS and the University of Colorado indicated that the primary contaminants were sodium and chlorides and that these contaminants were carried off-post by underground water which travelled in a northwesterly direction (See Figure 1-2). The authors of these studies did not investigate the possibility of ground water flow to the north. In addition to the above actions and studies, the Colorado State Department of Health, in cooperation with the Department of the Army, started to monitor selected wells.

c. In 1972 Mr. Larry Land, a farmer north of the Arsenal, filed a claim against Shell Chemical Company (SCC) for the alleged death of cattle, diminution in property value, and personal injury as a result of allegedly consuming contaminated well water. On March 23, 1973, the Honorable Fred Winner, Judge for the United States District Court for the District of Colorado ruled, among other things, that he would accept plaintiff Land's motion to dismiss, with prejudice, the claim made against Shell Chemical Company. Judge Winner also concluded before ruling on the motion to dismiss as to Shell Chemical Company that accepting the averments of the complaint as true and regarding the same as judicial admissions, he found that the alleged wrongs, whether deemed wrongful or negligent, were not the primary cause of the alleged injuries even under the position taken by plaintiff Land. Since that time Mr. Land has retained a new attorney. Commencing in January 1975, various claims have been filed on the United States Government essentially alleging the same injuries as originally pled in the above referenced case.

d. In May of 1974, diisopropylmethylphosphonate (DIMP) and dicyclopentadine (DCPD), were detected in surface water draining from a marshy bog on the northern boundary of the Arsenal. DIMP is a persistent compound produced in small quantities (less than 3%) during the manufacture of GB. DCPD is a chemical used in the production of insecticides. These compounds are described in Appendix A. Detection of these two compounds resulted in the following actions:

- (1) More wells were drilled and the well monitoring program was expanded to include tests for these and other compounds.
- (2) In September of 1974, a dike was constructed north of the marshy bog to eliminate off-post surface drainage.
- (3) Rocky Mountain Arsenal and Ft. Detrick established programs to determine the effect of DIMP on wheat growth.

e. In December of 1974, the Colorado Department of Health detected DIMP in a well near the City of Brighton. This data was published in "1974-75 Ground Water Study of the Rocky Mountain Arsenal and Some Surrounding Areas" and is based on comparison with synthesized DIMP rather




Figure 1-2. Suspected Area of Shallow Ground Water Contamination as of 1956 & 1957

than DIMP samples submitted by RMA to the Department of Health. Although the quantity of DIMP detected was extremely small (0.57 parts per billion), it indicates that ground water may travel in a northerly direction. A two year study by USCS (See Section II) tends to confirm this additional direction of ground water flow.

f. The detection of DIMP and DCPD in surface water off the Arsenal and DIMP in wells near Brighton led to the State of Colorado Department of Health issuing three Cease and Desist Orders on 7 April 1975 against Shell Chemical Company and Rocky Mountain Arsenal. In short, these orders stated that:

- (1) SCC and RMA immediately stop the off-post discharge (both surface and subsurface) of DIMP and DCPD.
- (2) Take action to preclude future off-post discharge (both surface and subsurface) of DIMP and DCPD.
- (3) Provide written notice of compliance with item (1).
- (4) Submit a proposed plan to meet the requirements of item (2).
- (5) Develop and institute a surveillance plan to verify compliance with items (1) and (2).

g. Since this order was issued, off-post contamination has received increased attention and is further addressed in the remainder of this document.

II. DESCRIPTION OF RMA NATURAL WATERFLOW SYSTEM

The natural waterflow system at Rocky Mountain Arsenal is comprised of water flowing on the land surface and water flowing in the alluvial aquifer.

A. Surface Water

1. The natural flow of surface water across RMA is shown in Figure 2-1. In general, the natural flow is in a northwest or westerly direction and, although the flow lines are solid, all flow is intermittent. First Creek flows across the eastern portion of the Arsenal and encounters no known contaminated areas. The lakes, starting with Upper Derby Lake and ending with Lake Mary, get progressively lower so that the flow is practically due west.

2. All man-made storm drainage in the old plants area flows south into Upper Derby and Derby Lakes. All storm drainage west of Lake Mary and Reservoir F flows west and is usually absorbed by arid areas before it leaves the Arsenal. All remaining storm drainage is directed to the natural flow channels.

B. Alluvial Aquifer

1. The alluvial aquifer or subsurface water flow at RMA is shown in Figure 2-2. This map shows the water table contour lines as developed by USGS during 1973 and 1974. The cross hatched areas indicate where the alluvium is absent or unsaturated (no water flow). There is seasonal variance in water table levels and consequently, the levels shown in Figure 2-2 may vary by a few feet from year to year. The general direction of ground-water flow is from regions of higher water table altitudes to lower water table altitudes and approximately perpendicular to the water table contours.

2. Deviations from the general flow pattern may occur in some areas because of variances in aquifer properties. The map indicates that ground water could flow in a northerly direction as well as in the generally accepted northwesterly direction.




Figure 2-1. Surface Water Flow Pattern




Figure 2-2. Subsurface Water Flow Pattern Based On Bedrock Highs

III. DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE AND FLOW OF CONTAMINANTS

The two most likely sources of contaminants at RMA are Basin A and the contaminated sewer lines. Another possible but less likely source is one of the two small diked areas southeast of Reservoir F. (See Figure 2-2.)

A. BASIN A

The contaminated area of Basin A, located in section 36, is approximately 300 acres. This area was used as an industrial waste basin from 1942 through October 1955, when Reservoir F was constructed. During this time period large quantities of mustard and GB byproducts were dumped in this area. In addition, this area was used as an industrial waste basin for part of the World War II production facility (Plants Area) which were leased to private contractors prior to Shell Chemical Company initiating its operation in 1950. From these production processes, waste material such as organophosphates and chlorinated hydrocarbons have been deposited in large quantities. Large claims against the Department of Army were paid from 1955 to 1960 due to aquifer contamination from waste products in this basin. Since Basin A is one of the lowest places on the Arsenal in relation to the water table elevations, it is assumed that it is the most probable source of ground water contamination.

B. CONTAMINATED SEWER LINE

The contaminated sewer line (dashed) and the utility sewer line (solid) are shown in Figure 3-1. The utility sewage line has several lift stations to permit a northerly gravity flow to the sewage disposal plant. The contaminated sewer line has one lift station denoted by the circle in section 36. This lift station pumps chemical waste from a sump in the GB Complex to Reservoir F. There is some doubt as to the integrity of the chemical waste line feeding Reservoir F and the two small diked areas southeast of Reservoir F. If there is a leak in either one of these areas, it could be a source of both surface and ground water contamination.




Figure 3-1. Contaminated Waste and Sewer Lines

IV. PLAN OF ACTION TO PRECLUDE FURTHER CONTAMINATION

A. Surface Water

To preclude the discharge of contaminated surface water from Arsenal property, the only known contaminated surface water discharge point was eliminated. The bog on the northern boundary of the Arsenal is being pumped to Reservoir F to lower the water level in the bog. This pumping operation will continue on a part time basis until the source of contamination is eliminated and the bog waters are rendered free of contaminants (See Figure 4-1).

B. Subsurface Waters

1. Basin A and a possible leak in the chemical waste sewer line at Reservoir F are the probable sources of contamination in the alluvial aquifer.

2. To prevent water from leaching contaminants from the soil in Basin A, the basin will be graded and contoured to allow for immediate runoff of rain water. A ditch will be constructed running south to north along the west side of Basin A which will provide a drainage bypass for surface water runoff from the plants area (See Figure 4-1). Four wells will be drilled across the bedrock draw just northwest of Basin A. Water will be pumped out of the wells into the chemical sewer line which leads to Reservoir F. This will eliminate some contaminated water from the aquifer under Basin A and effectively lower the level of the aquifer under Basin A. Lowering of the aquifer will further reduce the leaching of surface contamination. A bentonite dam may be constructed downstream from the line of wells by digging a trench to shale bedrock and backfilling the trench with a bentonite/mud slurry. This proposal is being evaluated but no decision to proceed has been made at this time. This dam would prevent contaminated water from bypassing the line of wells.




Figure 4-1. Construction For Contamination Control

V. WATER MONITORING AND SURVEY PROGRAM

The water monitoring and survey plan consists of two separate plans being conducted simultaneously. The Brighton Sampling Plan is being conducted to comply with the Cease and Desist Orders. The Claims Sampling Plan is being conducted to gather contamination data in regards to existing claims against RMA.

A. Brighton Sampling Plan

In conjunction with the State of Colorado Department of Health and Shell Chemical Company, RMA has developed an extensive sampling plan to define aquifer contamination both on and off-post. All on-post and some off-post wells to be monitored are shown in Figure 5-1. Roman numeral designators were assigned by the State. Wells carrying an NS designator are new wells scheduled to be drilled. Cost factors and negotiation difficulties with land owners preclude listing well completion dates at this time.

Collection of samples from Arsenal wells is the responsibility of RMA and collection of samples from off-post wells is the responsibility of the Tri-County Health Department. On-post sample collection was started on 5 May 1975, and is based on a four-week cycle as listed in Table 5-1. As of 15 May, no off-post collection schedule had been established; therefore, no off-post wells have been listed. Sample collection will continue, as scheduled, for a minimum of six months. At the end of six months, the plan will be reviewed, revised (if required), and probably extended for an additional six months. On and off-post samples will be analyzed by all three participants for the contaminants listed in Table 5-2. At the completion of each analysis, results from all three participants will be compared. In addition, the State Department of Health will analyze off-post samples for chlorate, nitrate, sodium, sulfate, and total hardness. Qualitative and quantitative analysis for both DIMP and DCPD will be by the gas-liquid chromatographic method with a flame ionization detector. Analysis for the remaining contaminants will be accomplished by using standard laboratory methods.

WEEK	DAY	WELL NUMBERS
1	Mon.	38, 37, 23, 22
	Wed.	103, 67, 17, 126
2	Mon.	142, 41, 141, 145, 11
	Wed.	62, 73, 127, 117
3	Mon.	104, 124, 3A, 118
	Wed.	105, 72, 132, 133, 134
4	Mon.	75, 115, 122, 123
	Wed.	121, 60, 79 45

Table 5-1. Schedule for Brighton Sampling Plan

5-2

<u>TEST FOR:</u>	<u>SHELL CHEM. CO.</u>	<u>RMA</u>	<u>DEPT. OF HEALTH</u>
DIMP	X	X	X
DCPD	X	X	X
Copper	X	X	X
Fluoride	No	X	X
Chloride	X	X	X
pH	X	X	X
Pesticides	X	X	X

Table 5-2. Analysis For Brighton Sampling Plan

B. Claims Sampling Plan

Due to claims filed against RMA, a Claims Sampling Plan is being conducted in cooperation with the State Department of Health. This plan was initiated on 5 May and is scheduled to last six weeks. At the end of six weeks, the plan will be reviewed. Weekly samples are collected by RMA from the on-post wells shown in Figure 5-2. Again, off-post sample collection schedule has been established. Due to this and the relatively short time frame, RMA has randomly collected off-post samples at the convenience of the land owners. Both RMA and the State Department of Health analyze all samples for the following:

DIMP	Fluoride
DCPD	Nitrate
Chlorate	pH
Chloride	Sulfate
Copper	Total hardness

Analytical procedures are the same as those used for the Brighton Sampling Plan.

C. Supplemental Efforts

Prior to initiation of the two sampling plans, RMA was (and is currently) collecting and analyzing samples from other wells. This effort is directed to detection of contamination, if it occurs, emanating from waste basins and reservoirs. Also, infra-red aerial photographs have been taken of RMA and surrounding areas. These photographs are presently being studied to evaluate the use of this technique for determining aquifer flow, or other subsurface features which may affect the migration of possible contaminants.




Figure 5-2. Wells for Claims Sampling Plan

VI. PLAN FOR DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER MODEL TO PREDICT DIMP MIGRATION

A. The movement of chloride at the Rocky Mountain Arsenal has been studied by the US Geological Survey as part of a program to develop models of transport of non-reactive^{soluble} chemical constituents in ground water. Chloride was chosen for modeling because it was known to exist in large concentrations in the liquid wastes and because its concentration had been measured in observation wells several times since 1955. Basic hydrogeologic information necessary for modeling the aquifer was also available.

B. The model studies have been completed. The ground water model was calibrated to accurately predict the transport of non-reactive^{soluble} contaminants by comparison of observed changes in chloride distribution with modeled changes in chloride distribution. Because the natural background concentration of chlorides in the aquifer may exceed 150 mg/l in the vicinity of the Arsenal, the boundaries of the model study did not extend beyond the area in which chloride concentrations were reduced by more than a factor of about 50 from the source concentration.

C. Recently, DIMP has been detected in ground water in and north of the Arsenal. Since DIMP does not naturally occur in ground water, the probable source is Arsenal waste. The presence of DIMP in any detectable concentrations is evidence that contaminants have travelled from Arsenal lands to the points of detection.

D. The transport of DIMP for such distances characterizes it as a non-reactive^{soluble} constituent. The transport of DIMP may then be amenable to analysis and prediction using the ground water chemical transport model developed by the U.S. Geological Survey.

E. It is planned to modify the model (developed for chloride distribution) to predict the ground water transport of DIMP from the Arsenal and to predict changes in its concentration. Specifically, the U.S. Geological Survey ~~will~~ conduct a study in three phases as follows:

1. Phase I

a. Incorporate chemical transport features in current chloride model. DIMP will be modeled using historical information available from Arsenal records on disposal and chemical character of wastes. Analysis will be made to compute present distribution of DIMP in the aquifer. Duplication of the present-day observed distribution of DIMP will constitute calibration of the model for the following step.

b. Predict the future movement and dispersion of DIMP in the aquifer. This analysis will include projections of the maximum extent of DIMP within detectable limits. Projections will be made to trace the rate of travel of the plume of DIMP, defining maximum concentrations and arrival times.

2. Phase II

a. Extend existing ground water model boundaries north beyond the extent of mapped chloride contamination, bounding the model on the west by the South Platte River and on the east by impermeable deposits. Data on transmissivity, depth to bedrock, and water table configuration in the area of extension have been previously compiled as a part of U.S. Geological Survey studies in the South Platte River valley.

b. Hydraulic calibration of the model will be made to assure that hydrogeologic parameters modeled are correct and consistent with one another. This will be an intermediate check prior to verification of the total ground water chemical transport model.

c. Chemical transport features will be incorporated in the model. DIMP will be modeled using historical information available from Arsenal records on disposal and chemical character of wastes. Analyses will be made to compute present distribution of DIMP in the aquifer. Duplication of presently observed distribution of DIMP will constitute calibration of the model for the following step.

d. Predictions of DIMP migration in the expanded model will be developed. Special attention will be given to Brighton and other potentially sensitive points in the aquifer.

3. Phase III

Formulate and model analysis of alternate plans for measures to alleviate ground water contamination.

VII. PLAN TO DEVELOP WATER STANDARDS FOR DIMP AND DCPD

A. Edgewood Arsenal Preliminary DIMP Toxicity Studies

1. Background

DIMP has been found in well water on and around RMA. Concentration levels of 10-12 ppm DIMP has been found on the Arsenal and levels of 0.6 to 7.0 ppb have been found off-post. Based on acute oral toxicity information in animals, human consumption would have to exceed thousands of gallons of water containing these concentration levels of DIMP to receive a lethal or near-lethal dose. No information is available about the affects of repeated exposures to low concentration levels of DIMP. To provide some insight into this aspect of toxicity, the study outlined below has been initiated.

2. Procedure

a. A total of 200 albino Edgewood Arsenal colony rats (100 male and 100 female) are in use in this study. Animals are housed five per cage for the first 30 days and four per cage thereafter, except during the period of reproduction evaluation, for a total exposure period of 26 weeks.

b. DIMP is being given to the animals in various concentration levels in drinking water. No other source of water will be available to these animals throughout the six month exposure period so that all animals will drink as much water as required for survival. Water consumption for each cage (four to five animals) is monitored daily. Body weight for each animal is monitored weekly.

c. Animals are divided into five groups of 40 animals each (20 males and 20 females) for exposure to the following concentration levels of DIMP water.

DIMP Concentration	Number of Animals	
	Male	Female
1,000 ppm	20	20
10 ppm	20	20
6 ppb	20	20
0.6 ppb	20	20
Control	20	20
TOTAL	100	100

Table 7-1. DIMP Concentrations In Drinking Water

d. After approximately 30 days of continuous exposure, four males and four females from each tested group will be sacrificed for gross and microscopic examination. The remaining animals will continue on exposure for a total of six months, at which time they will be sacrificed for gross and microscopic examination.

e. During the period between 10 weeks and 26 weeks of exposure, all animals in the higher two exposure concentration groups will be used to evaluate the reproduction effects of DIMP. By delaying reproduction until after 10 weeks of exposure, it can be assured that all male animals have been exposed. During the period of one complete spermatogenetic cycle, should DIMP have effect at any particular part of the cycle, it can be assured that exposure occurred during that period. Males and females will be placed together on a one-to-one basis per cage. They will be allowed to breed, whelp and wean their young. During this entire period the water supplied to the animals will contain the same concentration of DIMP as before breeding. This procedure will cover all aspects of reproduction including dominant lethal mutagenesis and teratogenesis.


B. Army Surgeon General's Plan

The Office of the Surgeon General has been tasked to develop standards for several compounds (including DIMP and DCPD) located at RMA. Since the off-post detection of DIMP and DCPD, the standards development for these two compounds has been accelerated. The revised program schedule is presented in Figure 7-1. As shown, the literature research has been completed; however, additional data may be located when conducting literature research for other compounds. The remaining tasks are mammalian toxicology and vegetation studies. These studies will receive periodic review and coordination by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) Advisory Committee on Military Environmental Research, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW). Close technical review will be provided by members of the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (USAMRDC) Source Selection Boards established to evaluate research proposals and recommend contractors for contract award.

1. Mammalian Toxicity

Normally a toxicity study is separated into three phases to evaluate a compound following single, multiple, and lifetime exposure. These phases, referred to as acute, sub-acute and chronic testing, characteristically involve a progression to lower doses and longer test periods. Due to the urgency of the situation, the acute and sub-acute studies will be consolidated in a Phase I contract and the chronic studies will be conducted under a Phase II contract. This will allow a faster generation of toxicity data by eliminating the administrative decision step normally necessary when proceeding from acute to sub-acute studies. The specific tasks to be conducted during Phase I are oral LD50 (lethal dosage for 50 percent of test animals) in mice and rats, dermal LD50 in mice and rats, dermal irritation in rabbits, ocular irritation in rabbits, comparative absorption, distribution, biotransformation, excretion and pharmacokinetics, and 90 day feeding studies in mice, rats, and dogs. Phase II tasks are assessments of carcinogenicity, teratogenicity, and mutagenicity, reproduction studies, and detailed metabolism studies with emphasis on the isolation and identification of metabolites.

HEALTH EFFECTS EVALUATION OF DIMP & DCPD IN GROUND WATER



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

2. Vegetation Studies

Studies will be conducted under contract following competitive procurement with contract award prior to 15 September 1975. Two parallel efforts will be conducted to determine the direct effects on plant growth and production and to determine the uptake of the test compounds by vegetative species. The scheduled activities are outlined in Figure 7-1. The vegetation studies will be conducted on several plant species representative of those cultivated in the vicinity of RMA. Comprehensive phytotoxicity studies will determine the dose response relationship of DIMP and DCPD as they affect plant germination, growth and productivity. Radioactively tagged compounds will be used to determine the site of toxic effects and evaluate the potential for plant uptake and accumulation of sub-lethal concentrations.

C. Studies to Determine Relationship of DIMP Concentrations to Wheat Growth

Two studies have been conducted concerning the interaction of Wichita wheat and DIMP. One study was conducted at Ft. Detrick, Maryland and the other study was conducted at RMA. To date (1 May 1975) summaries of both studies are presented below.

1. Ft. Detrick Study

a. This study was started in September 1974 to determine the effect of 0.5, 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 parts per million (ppm) aqueous solutions of DIMP on the development of wheat from germination to maturity. Ordinary tap water was used for the control wheat. During the study, data collected was limited to external, visual observation of the plants. This study was completed in February and no difference in plant maturation or mortality was observed between wheat watered with tap water and wheat watered with DIMP solutions.

b. A second study was initiated in December 1974 to determine the effect of 10.0 and 40.0 ppm aqueous solutions in both DIMP and DCPD. Again, tap water was used for the control wheat. This study will be completed in May 1975. Results to date are as follows:

(1) Wheat watered with DIMP solutions developed browning at the distal ends of their leaves (a natural occurrence) before the control wheat developed browning.

(2) No difference in plant mortality has been observed between wheat watered with tap water and wheat watered with DIMP solutions.

(3) No difference in plant maturation or mortality has been observed between wheat watered with tap water and wheat watered with DCPD solutions.

c. A third study was initiated in November '74 to determine the effect of various concentrations and mixtures of DIMP and DCPD on two species of black valentine beans. This study was completed in January '75. It appears that some leaf wilting and browning occurs with the lower concentrations and increases with higher concentrations; however, results of this study are considered inconclusive.

2. RMA Study

Two small fields of Wichita wheat were planted at RMA on 30 September 74 at a rate of sixty (60) pounds per acre. These fields were located in the section of land due north of Reservoir F. The southerly field was planted with 1973 seed and the northerly field was planted with 1974 seed. Weekly observations were started in February '74 for plant color, maturation and mortality. Results to date are as follows:

a. Plants germinated from 1973 seed appeared normal. Leaf browning occurred in April but had disappeared by 1 May.

b. In the northeast half of the field planted from 1974 seed, the plants appeared normal but slightly behind the maturation rate of 1973 seed wheat. Again, leaf browning occurred in April but had disappeared by 1 May. The southwest half of this field exhibited surface dampness and practically 100 percent mortality. The surface dampness is caused by low ground and high aquifer.

3. Future Studies

a. The studies at Ft. Detrick will be completed by 31 May 75.

b. The field study at RMA will be completed by 31 August 75. At harvest time (July), yield will be determined and the plants analyzed for DIMP. The milling and baking qualities of grain will be evaluated. Soil samples will be collected in June and July and analyzed for DIMP. Identical procedures will be conducted on control wheat selected from an off-post field.

VIII. MILESTONE CHART

A. All work currently planned is addressed in the Milestone Chart (Table 8-1). The review/decision dates provided for reassessing this plan are tentative and subject to adjustment at any time. The review dates were selected for the following reasons:

1. 1 Sep 75 --
 - a. Most actions completed to preclude further water contamination.
 - b. Initial results of water sampling/analysis available.
 - c. Phase I of computer model completed.
 - d. Initial EA DIMP toxicity results available.
 - e. RMA/Ft. Detrick vegetation study results available.
2. 1 Dec 75 --
 - a. Initial results of revised water sampling/analysis program available.
 - b. Phase II of computer model completed.
 - c. EA DIMP toxicity studies completed.
3. 1 Mar 76 --
 - a. Acute/sub-acute DIMP and DCPD toxicity studies completed by Surgeon General.
4. 1 Jun 76 --
 - a. Initial results of 2nd revised water sampling/analysis program available.
 - b. Phase III of computer model completed.
5. 1 Aug 76 --
 - a. Surgeon General vegetation studies for DIMP and DCPD completed.

B. The off-post contamination program will undergo continual evaluation as new data is produced. The review dates are only offered as check points where various data should be integrated and analyzed to reassess the scope of the overall off-post contamination program.

RMA OFF POST CONTAMINATION PROGRAM
MILESTONE CHART
27 MAY 1975




Table 8-1. RMA Milestone Schedule

IX. ESTIMATED COST

1. A detailed breakdown of the estimated costs for the off-post contamination program are presented in Table 9-1.
2. In addition to the FY 76 costs shown in the Table, FY 77, 78, 79 and 80 costs for water sampling and analysis have been estimated as follows:

<u>FY</u>	<u>ESTIMATED COST</u>	<u>STATE SAMP/ANALYSIS COSTS</u>
77	182,000	(93,600)
78	182,000	(83,200)
79	125,000	(72,800)
80	125,000	(52,000)

These estimated costs assume a 10 percent reduction in the number of wells (currently 50) to be sampled at each six month reassessment period until the level reaches ten wells. The ten-well sampling and analysis program is considered a minimum water monitoring requirement in future years. State sampling and analysis costs are shown in the event the Army must pay for this effort.

3. FY 77 costs for the Surgeon General's DIMP and DCPD toxicity studies are estimated at \$1,000,000. Both FY 76 and FY 77 Surgeon General funds have been programmed in the PMO's RDT and E projected budget.

CONTROL
OFF-POST CONTAMINATION PROGRAM
ESTIMATED COSTS

FY 75, FY 76
\$ \$

ACTIONS TO PRECLUDE FURTHER WATER CONTAMINATION

PUMP NORTH BOG WATER TO BASIN F	5,000	12,000
GRADE & CONTOUR BASIN A	5,000	
DRILL FOUR WELLS (BASIN A)	20,000	8,000
CONSTRUCT DIKE TRENCH AT (BASIN A) WELLS		250,000
REPLACE & EXTEND SEWER LINE	5,000	
WATER MONITORING & SURVEY		
DEVELOP SAMPLING PLAN	18,000	
CONDUCT SAMPLING & ANALYSIS	16,500	320,000 (104,000)*
REASSESS PLAN		
CONDUCT AERIAL SURVEY	8,000	
DEVELOP COMPUTER MODEL	66,300	30,000 (Phase III)
DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS		
SURGEON GENERAL (DIMP-DCPD)		
ACUTE/CHRONIC STUDIES		400,000
EA DIMP STUDY	30,000	30,000
RMA WHEAT TOXICITY STUDIES	10,000	101,000
WHEAT TOXICITY STUDY (DIMP)	15,000	
	<u>\$198,800</u>	<u>\$1,151,000 (104,000)</u>

*NOTE: Needed if required to pay for State Sampling and Analysis of Off-Post Wells

Table 9-1. Estimated Costs

DESCRIPTION OF CONTAMINANTS

I. DIMP

A. DIMP is the commonly accepted abbreviation for the compound Diisopropylmethylphosphonate, and it is produced as a 2-3 percent impurity in the manufacture of the nerve gas, GS or SARIN (isopropylmethylphosphonofluoride). There is no scientific evidence that DIMP is a metabolic or environmental product of GB.

B. DIMP is a colorless liquid with a b.p. 174°C at atmospheric pressure. A gas-liquid chromatographic analysis method with a flame ionization detector has been used by both Edgewood Arsenal and Shell Chemical Company for the identification and determination of DIMP at levels of less than 1 ppm.

C. A comprehensive search of the published literature for toxicological data on DIMP was negative. Likewise, a search of Defense Documentation Center records was negative.

D. Unpublished data on the acute toxicity of DIMP is as follows:

intravenous rabbit, LD ₅₀	100 but 200 mg/kg
dermal rabbit, LD ₅₀	200 mg/kg
subcutaneous rat, LD ₅₀	200 mg/kg
intraperitoneal mouse, LD ₅₀	250 md/kg
eye irritation rabbit - 0.25 mg	caused irritation and moderate degree of corneal damage which was reversible
inhalation mice - CT 25000	(no deaths after 14 days)

Consideration of this limited toxicity data indicates that DIMP is fairly toxic to experimental animals, and could be irritating or corrosive to the eyy.

E. Limited experiments, also unpublished, indicate that DIMP is a very weak inhibitor of cholinesterase activity.

F. A recent report by Bailin, et al. (Envir. Sci. Tech., 1975, 9, 254) stated that microwaves were able to decompose efficiently small quantities of DIMP in a mixture of phosphoric acid, dealkylated phosphonic acids and phosphorus pentoxide. Experiments are continuing with this method of detoxification (supported by AEHA, Edgewood Arsenal contract).

G. The Vegetation Control Division, Chem. Lab., Edgewood Arsenal, has conducted some experiments of the effect of DIMP on wheat. Ten ppm of DIMP does not effect wheat seedlings while 40 ppm is toxic. Field experiments conducted during 1955 showed that 1 ppm DIMP had no effect on numerous crop plants including beans, radishes, oats and rice.

H. The available toxicological data on DIMP is not adequate for an accurate evaluation of its toxic potential. The following experiments would appear to be necessary.

1. Determination of acute oral and dermal LD₅₀ in rats and mice.
2. Ocular and dermal irritation studies using rabbits.
3. Ninety-day feeding study in rats.
4. Studies on the metabolism in experimental animals to include absorption, distribution, biotransformation, excretion and pharmacokinetics.
5. Determination of 96 hr. TL_m for fish species.
6. Phytotoxicity studies on crop and other growing plants.
7. Measurements of possible anticholinesterase activity of blood or serum of experimental animals and of man if possible.

I. The information obtained from the above experiments should indicate the nature of further long term studies that should be undertaken for a complete assessment of toxicity of DIMP to mammals, aquatic organisms and plants.

II. DCPD

A. DCPD is the commonly accepted abbreviation for the compound Dicyclopentadine (3a, 4, 7, 7a-tetrahydro-4, 7-methanoindene). DCPD is used extensively as an intermediate by the agricultural chemical industry in the manufacture of the organo-chlorine pesticides aldrin, dieldrin, endrin and chlordane.

B. DCPD is a clear colorless liquid with a b.p. 170°C but soluble in ethyl alcohol and ether. A gas-liquid chromatographic analysis method for DCPD using a flame ionization detector has been described.

C. A search of the published literature for mammalian toxicity of DCPD yielded the following data:

1. Acute toxicity:	oral rat, LD ₅₀	353 (262-478), 410 (310-530) mg/Kg
	intraperitoneal rat, LD ₅₀	200, 310 mg/kg
	intraperitoneal mouse LD ₅₀	200 mg/kg
	dermal rabbit, LC ₅₀	5080 (3110-8290), 4460 (2440-8150). 6720 (3150-14360) mg/kg

The pathological effects in rats were typical of irritating hydrocarbons when administered orally in large doses. The compound is slightly to moderately toxic by the dermal route and highly toxic by the oral route in single dose studies.

2. Inhalation: rat, LC₅₀ (4 hr) 660 (553-817) 359, 383 ppm
 male mouse LC₅₀ (4 hr) 145 ppm
 male rabbit LC₅₀ (4 hr) 771 ppm
 rat LT₅₀ 1 hr (saturated vapor)
 2500 ppm/1 hr rat, 1 of 4 rats dead
 2000 "/4 hr rat, 4 of 6 rats dead
 1000 "/4 hr rat, 4 of 4 rats dead
 500 "/4 hr rat, 1 of 6 rats dead
 250 "/10x6 hr rat, 1 of 4 rats dead
 100 "/15x6 hr rat, 4 of 4 rats survived

3. Chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity: The carcinogenicity of DCPD by intramuscular injection in the rat is currently being investigated under an NCI contract at the Institute of Chemical Biology, San Francisco University (Dr. A. Furst).

D. To date, no TLV has been established, but Gerarde (See Patty, Vol II, p. 1217) suggested "a value of 100 ppm seems reasonable based on the limited toxicity data available and extrapolation from similar chemicals." Kinkead et al (Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 1971, 20, 552) have suggested a "hygienic standard" for man of 5 ppm. The TLV for DCPD recommended by Russian workers is 0.185 ppm (1 mg/m³).

E. The Vegetation Control Division, Chem-Lab, Edgewood Arsenal, has carried out some preliminary experiments with DCPD on bean plants. The compound appears to have no effect on growth at both 10 and 40 ppm.

F. The available toxicological data on DCPD is not adequate for an evaluation of its toxic potential. The following experiments would appear to be necessary:

1. Determination of acute oral and dermal LD₅₀ in rats and mice.
2. Ocular and dermal irritation studies using rabbits.
3. Ninety-day feeding study in rats.
4. Studies on the metabolism in experimental animals to include absorptions, distribution, biotransformation, excretion and pharmacokinetics.
5. Determination of 96 hr. TL_m for fish species.
6. Phytotoxicity studies on crop and other growing plants.

G. The information obtained from the above experiments should indicate the nature of further long-term studies that should be undertaken for a complete assessment of toxicity of DCPD to mammals, aquatic organisms, and plants.