

### OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT N00014-91-J-4057

R&T Code 4131D02

Dr. Richard S. Miller

Technical Report No. 71

ELS CRA21	
لی ۱۹۹۵ میروند محمد اینا میروند ا	LJ
. 21 j	
рания на	
Dist /	

## COMPUTATIONAL EVALUATION OF THE FEASIBILITY OF NITRATING PRECURSORS TO C<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>12</sub>.

by



Peter Politzer and M. Edward Grice

DTIC QUALTER ELEPECTED 2



Department of Chemistry University of New Orleans New Orleans, LA 70148

October 20, 1994

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

# 94 11 1 005

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No: 0704-0188		
Public reporting purden for this collection of information is estimated to average in our perresponse including the time for reviewing instructions, rearching early data sources, jathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection or information. Send comments regarding (init) our demotivate or any other appect or rink collection of information including suggestions for reducing this ourgen to Maxington neadoulders tencies. Creationation of Deristions and Reports, 1931, effective appect or rink davis fighway, Suite 1204. Arrington: (A. 2202-4302) and to re-office or Maxington redisports feature on Argient Creations for Deristions and Reports, 1933.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave old	INK) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES COVERED	
	October 20, 1994	Technical	report	
Computational Evalua Nitrating Precursors	tion of the Feasibilit to $C_{12}N_{12}O_{12}$	y of	5 FUNDING NUMBERS	
5. AUTHOR(S)			1 - Ir. Fichard J. Miller 1	
Peter Politzer and M. Edward Grice		BaT Nde 4181162		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAMES AND ADDRESSIES		B PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
University of New Or Department of Chemis New Orleans, Louisia	leans try na 70148		atarjaT vu <b>ve</b> sta 	
9. SPONSORING MONITORING AC	SENC ( NAMELS) AND ADDRESSIES	;	1 10 SPONSCRING MONITORING	
Office of Naval Rese Code 333 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	ach		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
Approved for public Unlimited distributi	release.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor	cs)		1	
In order to determine the feasibility of nitrating the immediate precursors of 1, we have computed the average local ionization energies on their surfaces and compared their minimum values to those of mono-, di- and trinitrobenzene. Our results indicate that there is a reasonable likelihood of being able to nitrate the precursors of 1.				
$1 \qquad N \qquad $				
	NO <sub>2</sub>	0	-	
14. SUBJECT TERMS $C_{12}N_{12}O_{12}$ , nitration	n, average local ioniz	ation energies	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 5 16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19 SECURITY CLASSIFI OF ABSTRACT	CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited	
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 Rev. 2.89)	

,

#### Introduction

In the preparation of 1, currently underway at the University of New Orleans (). H. Boyer and M. L. Trudell), possible problems have been encountered in the nitration of the precursor 2. It is believed that the trinitro derivative 3 is obtained, but there is uncertainty concerning 1. In response to the suggestion of R. S. Miller, we have investigated the possibility that the electronic properties of 2 and/or 3, specifically at the unsubstituted carbons, may be unfavorable for the final nitration.



#### Procedure

Our approach involved computing the average local ionization energy,  $\bar{I}(\mathbf{r})$ , on the surfaces of the relevant molecules.  $\bar{I}(\mathbf{r})$  is a measure of the average energy required to remove an electron at the point  $\mathbf{r}$ . The positions on the molecular surface at which  $\bar{I}(\mathbf{r})$  has its lowest values,  $\bar{I}_{S,min}$ , are the locations of the most reactive and easily removed electrons, and have been shown to be the sites most susceptible to interactions with electrophiles [1-5], such as NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> in nitration.

 $\overline{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{r})$  is given by eq. (1) [1],

$$I(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sum \rho_i(\mathbf{r}) |\varepsilon_i|}{\rho(\mathbf{r})}$$
(1)

1

in which  $p_i$  is the electronic der the i<sup>th</sup> molecular orbital, having energy  $\varepsilon_i$ , and  $p(\mathbf{r})$  is the total electronic density. We define the molecular surface, following Bader *et al.* [6], as the 0.001 au contour of  $p(\mathbf{r})$ .

We carried out an *ab initio* HF/6-31G\* optimization of the geometry of 1, using the Gaussian 92 code [7], and then used the same structure for 2, 3 and 4, removing the appropriate NO<sub>2</sub> groups. The molecular surface and  $\bar{l}(r)$  were computed at the HF/STO-5G level, which we have used successfully in the past for these purposes [3,5]. For reference, we also determined the HF/STO-5G  $\bar{l}_{S,min}$  of the nitrobenzenes 5 - 7, for these calculations we used the experimentally-determined geometry of benzene [8] and added the nitro moups obtained in optimizing 1. We verified that this does not introduce any inconsistenc paring the resulting  $\bar{l}_{S,min}$  for 5 with that obtained earlier for the same molecule using an HF/t. O-3G geometry [5]; the magnitude was the same, indicating that  $\bar{l}_{S,min}$  is not highly sensitive to the exact geometry used for the calculation.



#### **Results and Discussion**

The relevant  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$  are shown in Figure 1. Looking first at the three reference molecules, 5-7, it is seen that in 5 the most reactive position for interaction with an electrophile, as in nitration, is **b** (lowest  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$ ), while in 6 it is **c**. These conclusions are in accord with the wellestablished *meta*-directing tendency of the nitro group. As is to be expected, reactivity toward electrophiles decreases in going from 5, for which the lowest  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$  is 15.1 eV, to 6, for which it is 15.8 eV. This reflects the strong electron-withdrawing power of the additional NO<sub>2</sub> in 6. However the direct nitration of 6 to 7 can still be made to occur [9]. There is an even greater increase in  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$  in proceeding to 7, in which it is 17.2 eV at the ring positions that can be substituted. This is consistent with the known resistance of 7 to further nitration; 1,2,3,5tetranitrobenzene, **8**, is prepared by indirect means [10].



Molecule 4 is not really relevant to the present discussion because the synthetic pathway introduces the two NO<sub>2</sub> groups of 2 at an early stage in the synthesis, prior to generation of the furoxan rings [11]. It is notable, however, that we find an  $\bar{I}_{S,min}$  at position **b** but not at **a**; this is in agreement with the observation that the preferred site for the nitration of benzofuroxan, 9, is at position 4 (or 7) [12].

In 2, the  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$  at the two unsubstituted carbons (positions a) is 16.3 eV; these are accordingly less reactive than the sites in 5 and 6 that are known to undergo direct nitration (positions b and c, respectively). Nevertheless, nitration at one of the available sites in 2 does occur [11], yielding 3.

It is interesting to note that  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$  at the remaining site in 3, position **a**, is higher in 3 than in 2; evidently the effect of the third NO<sub>2</sub> group is felt despite the four intervening rings! Thus it is more difficult to introduce the fourth NO<sub>2</sub>, and produce 1, than it is to go from 2 to 3. However the difference between the  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$  values in 2 and 3 is small, 0.3 eV (7 kcal/mole), and the  $\overline{I}_{S,min}$ of 3 is still significantly below that of 7, which is known to resist nitration. Accordingly it seems reasonable to continue with efforts to nitrate 3.

#### References

- 1. P. Sjoberg, J. S. Murray, T. Brinck and P. Politzer, Can. J. Chem., 68, 1440 (1990).
- 2. T. Brinck, J. S. Murray, P. Politzer and R. E. Carter, J. Org. Chem., 56, 2934 (1991).
- 3. P. Lane, J. S. Murray and P. Politzer, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), 236, 283 (1991).
- 4. T. Brinck, J. S. Murray and P. Politzer, J. Org. Chem., 56, 5012 (1991).
- 5. J. S. Murray, T. Brinck and P. Politzer, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), 255, 271 (1992).
- R. F. W. Bader, M. T. Carroll, J. R. Cheeseman and C. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 7968 (1987).
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, M. Head-Gordon, P. M. W. Gill, M. W. Wong, J. B. Foresman, B. G. Johnson, H. B. Schlegel, M. A. Robb, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, J. L. Andres, K. Raghavachari, J. S. Binkley, C. Gonzalez, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. J. P. Stewart and J. A. Pople, *GAUSSIAN 92*, Revision C ed., Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1992.
- M. D. Harmony, V. W. Laurie, R. L. Kuczkowski, R. H. Schwendeman, D. A. Ramsay,
  F. J. Lovas, W. J. Lafferty and A. G. Maki, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 8, 619 (1979).
- 9. G. A. Olah and H. C. Lin, Synthesis, 444 (1974).
- 10. A. T. Nielsen, R. L. Atkins and W. P. Norris, J. Org. Chem., 44, 1181 (1979).
- 11. M. L. Trudell, private communication.
- 12. A. R. Katritzky and J. M. Lagowski, *Chemistry of the Heterocylic N-Oxides*, Academic Press, New York, 1971, p. 243.

Figure 1. Some Computed (STO-5G) Minima of Average Local Ionization Energies on Molecular Surfaces



4

2

3



.

**a**: no minimum;  $\bar{I}_{S}(\mathbf{r}) = approx. 15.9 \text{ eV}.$ 

b = 15.2 eV

a = 16.3 eV



a = 16.6 eV