

Army Research Laboratory

October 1994

# Solving the "Inverse" Problem in Terrain Modeling

Joseph K. Wald

ARL-TR-605

C 100V 0 2 1994

DTIC QUALITY THOMAS 2



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

#### NOTICES

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

s,

. . . .

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE         Docume to the second and the second the second and the second and the second and the secon					T tou	m Acover
Table means based based of a decay and a statement of any statement of any statement of any statement of a statement of any statement of any statement of a stateme	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			OM	8 No U704-0188	
1       AGRECY USE INELY (Leave Data)       2       ARROTT DATE       1       REPORT TATE       November 1993-23 July 1994         1       THE AND SUBTICE       S       FUNDING NUMBERS       S       FUNDING NUMBERS         Solving the "Inverse" Problem in Termin Modeling       PR: 11.161618A1443       PR: 11.161618A1443         S       AuthORSI       PR: 11.161618A1443       PR: 11.161618A1443         S       AuthORSI       PR: 04MING ORGANIZATION CORGANIZATION TATE       PR: 04MING ORGANIZATION CORGANIZATION TATE         U.S. Amy Research Laboratory       ATTR: ANSRL.VT-WE NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(15)       10       SPONSOUNG: NONTORING ORGANIZATION TATE         U.S. Amy Research Laboratory       ATTR: ACCENTORING ORGANIZATION CORE       ARL-TR-605         S. Amy Research Lema Laboratory       ATTR: ACCENTORING ORGANIZATION TATE       10       SPONSOUNG: NONTORING ORGANIZATION TATE         U.S. Amy Research Lema Inditional Mattery       ARTR: OP AP.1.       AND ADDRESS(15)       10       SPONSOUNG: NONTONIC ORGANIZATION         U.S. Amy Research Lema Inditional Mattery       STATE       ARL-TR-605       ARL-TR-605         13. ADEFREE TOWN (for AVALCABLITY: STATEMENT	Public reporting burden for this collection of gathering and maintaining the data needed collection of informationncluding suggesti Davis Highway, Suite 1204. Arlington v4. 22	and comple ons for redu 202-4302 a	h is estimated to average. Thour b thing and reviewing the collection o using this burven. In Washington H nd to the Office of Management ar	er response including the time for I information - Send comments re leadquarters Services, Unectorate na Budget - Paperwork Reduction P	reviewing instruction arding this burden er or information Opera oject (0704-0188) Wa	is searching existing data sources stimate or any other ospect of this stions and Reports 1215 Jetterson shington: DC 20503
ITTLE AND SUBTICLE       5       FUNDING NUMBERS         Solving the "Investe" Problem in Terrain Modeling       PR: 11.161618A.H43         I authoms:       Pressent:	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave b)	lank)	2. REPORT DATE October 1994	3 REPORT TYPE A Final, 1 Nov	ND DATES COVI ember 1993-29	ered July 1994
Solving the "Inverse" Problem in Terrain Modeling       PR: ILL01018AJH3         4 AUTHORSS       Joseph K. Wald         7 HM/GEMARC ORGANU2/179N KAMI(S) AND ADDRESS(IS)       4 PERFORMING ORGANU2/179N KAMI(S) AND ADDRESS(IS)         U.S. Army Research Laboratory       4 TTM: AMSRL_WT-WE         Aberdoen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066       10 sponstomed Monitories         U.S. Army Research Laboratory       ATTM: AMSRL_WT-WE         Aberdoen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066       10 sponstomed Monitories         U.S. Army Research Laboratory       ATTM: AMSRL_OP APL         ATTM: AMSRL_OP APL       ABERLOP APL         Aberdoen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066       10 sponstomed Monitories         13: JEPREMINITARY NOTES       12b. DISTABUTION CODE         13: ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word)       12b. DISTABUTION CODE         13: ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word)       12b. DISTABUTION could fillow at any point is an any desided form a smooth surface function matches the mean athitudes and the appendix surface could be defined, the at any point the summated buildefield, both the termin athitudes and the appendix at a surface could be defined, the at any point fills information and is cervative. Such a surface could be defined, the at any point fills under a surface function result to termin the surface function result to term from time of the surface function at a sub suffice. The attem point of the surface function result to termin the surface or applies.         14 Statisfield to troop meanneston the done more simply and at any desind resolution.	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				S FUNDING	NUMBERS
	Solving the "Inverse" Proble	an in Te	arrain Modeling		PR: 1L16	1618AH43
Joseph K. Wald     7     PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RADIESS AND ADDRESS(IS)     8     PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RADIESS AND ADDRESS(IS)       U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL WT-WE Aberdees Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066     10     SPONSORIG (MONITONIC AGENCY REPORT NUMBER ACTION AFSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdees Thoring, Ground, MD 21005-5066     10     SPONSORIG (MONITONIC AGENCY REPORT NUMBER ACTION AFSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdees Thoring, Ground, MD 21005-5066       112. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULT: STATEMENT Approved for public release: desibution is unlimited.     12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE       12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULT: STATEMENT Approved for public release: desibution is unlimited.     12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE       13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)     11     12b. DISTRIBUTION available at the surge of the termin in stypically represented using of isoland, measured termin analitide. Therein a trip optimal area, the termin many direction could be obtained simply or laboration and its Activities. Such a termin complice will calculations released to term from line-of-sight to moop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to o solve the inverse problem.       14     SUBJECT TERMS       15     SUBJECT TERMS       16     SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ARSTRACT       17     SECURITY CLASSIFIED       18     SECURITY CLASSIFIED       19     SECURITY CLASSIFIED       10     10       11     SECURITY CLASSIFIED       12     SECURITY CLASSIFIED	6 AUTHOR(S)	القديد فيونو بالتاركين	ant yezht angetezhtetetetetetetetetetetetetetetetetet		1	
7 PHPODALING ORGANIZATION SAMI(S) AND ADDRESS(S)       I PERFORMANC ORGANIZATION SAMI(S) AND ADDRESS(S)         U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL WT-WE Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066       I 0 SPONSORING (MONITORING AGINCY REPORT NUMBER ADDRESS)         U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: APSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066       I 0 SPONSORING (MONITORING AGINCY REPORT NUMBER ARE (MONITORING AGINCY REPORT NUMBER ARE (MONITORING ADDRESS)         12. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: APSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, SU 21005-5066       I 0 SPONSORING (MONITORING AGINCY REPORT NUMBER ARE (MONITORING COULDS)         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wordt) In the computer simulation of comba: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, measured terrain altitude. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed micrance. If such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated batteficid, both the terrain altitude and the depe of the terrain many direction could be obtained simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show I to solve the inverse problem.         14. SUNJECT TERMS (ertran, topography, terrain models       15 NUMBER OF PAGES 15 15 PINCE CODE         15. SUNJECT TERMS (ertran, topography, terrain models       15 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ASTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20 LIMITATION OF ABST	Joseph K. Waid					
U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WT-WE Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 9 SPONSOBING: NONITORING U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21025-5066 10 SPONSOBING: NONITORING AGINCY REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-605 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. DISTRIBUTION (AVAILABLIT: STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In the computer simulation of comba: over a opecific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, messared terrain altitudes. The "Inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function maches these measured values to within a prescribed "isoremore." Exuch a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitudes. Such a terrain feetorpion would allow all calculations related to terr from lan-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to a solve the inverse problem. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16. FRICE CODE 17. SEQURTY CLASSIFICATION 18. SEQURTY CLASSIFICATION 19. SEQURTY CLASSIFICATION 19. SEQURTY CLASSIFICATION 19. SEQURTY CLASSIFICATION 10. UNCLASSIFIED 10. UNCLASSIFIED 10. DISTRIBUTION CODE 11. SUBJECT TERMS 13. AUMBER OF PAGES 14. STORE OF THE PAGE 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 16. FRICE CODE 17. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 18. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 19. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 10. UNCLASSIFIED 10. UNCLASSIFIED 10. DISTRIBUTION CODE 11. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 11. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 12. SUBJECT TERMS 13. SUBJECT TERMS 14. STORE OF THE PAGE 15. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 15. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 16. FRICE CODE 17. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 17. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 18. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 19. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 10. UNCLASSIFIED 10. UNCLASSIFIED 11. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 11. SEQURTY CLASSIFIED 12. SECURTY CLASSIFIED 13. SECURTY CLASSIFIED 14. SECURTY CLASSIFIED 15. SECURTY SECURTY SECURTY SECURTY SECURTY SECU	7 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	BAMEIS	AND ADDRESS(ES)		B PERFORMI REPORT N	NG ORGANIZATION
A LTR: AMSRC VT VME Aberdsen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066    S GONSORIES INCHITCHING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laborarry ATTR: AVSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdsen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066   S GONSORIES AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laborarry ATTR: AVSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdsen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066   S GONSORIES AGENCY NOTES   I.S. DISTRIBUTION (AVAILABLIT: STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.  I.S. ANSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In the computer simulation of comba: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, measured terrain altitudes. The "Inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matchet these measured values to within a prescribed "signare." It such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitudes and the dopt of the terrain is direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatived. Such a terrain (decription vould allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to a solve the inverse problem.  IS SUMPTY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	U.S. Army Research Labora	lory				
	AFIN: AMSRL-WI-WE Aberdsen Proving Ground, I	MD 210	005-5066			
U.S. AIRLY RESERVE LABORBARY ATTN: APSRLOP-AP-L Aberdoos Proving Gruend, ND: 21005-5066 T: SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY STATEMENT Approved for public release; detribution is unlimited. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word) In the computer simulation of combat: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, measured terrain altitudes. The "Inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a predicted "vierance. It's such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulation and its cerivatives. Such a terrain detribute of the terrain in any direction could be defined simply evaluating the surface function and its cerivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show I to solve the inverse problem. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16. PRICE CODE 17. StORMY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 18. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 10. DIMER OF PAGES 10. DIMER OF PAGES 11. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 12. DIMER OF PAGES 13. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 14. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 15. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 18. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 10. DIMER OF PAGES 10. DIMER OF PAGES 11. DIMER OF PAGES 12. DIMER OF PAGES 13. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 14. DIMER OF PAGES 15. DIMER OF PAGES 15. DIMER OF PAGES 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 18. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED 10. DIMER OF PAGES 10. DIMER OF PAGES 1	S SPONSORING NICHITORYDG A	GENCY N	IAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	\$)	10 SPONSORI	NG / MONITORING
Alterdoos Proving Gruend, SQ: 21005-5066       ARL-TR-605         T2. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABLET: STATEMENT       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         Approved for public release; describution is unlimited.       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         T3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       In the computer simulation of combat: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, measured terrain altitudes. The "Inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "iterane". It such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the alope of the terrain any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the aufface function and its cerivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to ten from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to solve the inverse problem.         14 SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15. NUMBER OF PAGES       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         16. PRICE CODE       15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         17. SUPPORT       18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         18. MUMBER OF TREAS       19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       10. LIMITATION OF ABST         19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         19. SECURITY CLAS	U.S. Army Research Labora	<u>kary</u>			AGENCY	
11: SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABRITY: STATEMENT         Approved for public release; detribution is unlimited.         13: ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         In the computer simulation of comba: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isolars/measured terrain altitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "sirrance. If such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain could allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to adve the inverse problem.         14: SUBJECT TERMS       15: NUMBER OF PAGES         15: RECENTY CLASSERCATION or REPORT.       19: SECURITY CLASSERCATION or ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED         17: SECURITY CLASSERCATION or ABSTRACT ON CLASSIFIED       19: SECURITY CLASSERCATION or ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED         17: SECURITY CLASSERCATION or ABSTRACT ON CLASSIFIED       19: SECURITY CLASSERCATION or ABSTRACT ON CLASSIFIED         17: SECURITY CLASSERCATION or ABSTRACT ON CLASSIFIED       19: SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ABSTRACT ON CLASSIFIED	ALTE: ACASEL-OF-AP-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, 5	MD: 210	RIS- <b>5066</b>		ARL	-TR-605
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         122. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABLITY: STATEMENT         Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         14. Bit to computer simulation of comba: over a opecific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isolaird, measured terrain altitudes. The "Inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "bitrare. If such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain any could allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to solve the inverse problem.         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15. NUMBER OF PAGES       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         16. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ASSTRACT       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ASSTRACT         17. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ASSTRACT         18. SUBJECT TERMS       10. UNITATION OF ABST         19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       20. UNITATION OF ABSTRACT         19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       UNCLASSIFIED         19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       Standard form 298 (Rev 2)         19. SECURITY CLASSIFIED       Standard form 298 (Rev 2)	New weighter a second to the second secon		and a state of the second state		L	
12a. DISTRIGUTION / AVAILABLITY: STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       14. Subject (Maximum 200 words)         13. In the computer simulation of comba: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isolated, measured terrain alitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "sierance. If such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain alitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its cervatives. Such a terrain (terrain (terrain of any direction could be obtained simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show 1 to solve the inverse problem.         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. In this report, we show 1 to solve the inverse problem.         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. In this report, we show 1 to solve the inverse problem.         15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED       18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED         15. NOUNDER OF PAGES 15. Standard Form 298 (Rev 2)       20. UNITATION OF ABST UNCLASSIFIED       20. UNITATION OF ABST UNCLASSIFIED	33. SHAPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         In the computer simulation of comba: over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, measured terrain altitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "bierarce. If such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain may direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to solve the inverse problem.         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15. Builder Terms       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         16. PRICE CODE       15.         17. SEQUENTY CLASSERCATION of THIS PAGE       18. SECUENTY CLASSERCATION of THIS PAGE         18. Standard form 298 (Rev 2       Standard form 298 (Rev 2)		STATES			126 DISTRIBU	TION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         14. Subject terrain altitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "bierance. If such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to solve the inverse problem.         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15. NUMBER OF PAGES       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         16. PRICE CODE       16. PRICE CODE         17. SEQURITY CLASSERCATION of THIS PAGE       18. SECURITY CLASSERCATION of THIS PAGE         18. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF THIS PAGE       19. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF THIS PAGE         19. Standard form 298 (Rev 2)       20. UMITATION OF ABST         20. Theorem 298 (Rev 2)       20. UMITATION 298 (Rev 2)	Approved for public release;	distribu	tion is anlimited.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         14. Subject mining the average structure in a triange of isolated, measured terrain a triange. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "bierance. It' such a surface could be defined, then at any point the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description voold allow all calculations related to ter from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to solve the inverse problem.         14. Subject Tteams       15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15         15. NUMBER OF PAGES       15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15         16. Subject Tteams       18. Steparty classercation of THIS PAGE         17. SEQuerty classercation of THIS PAGE       19. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED         17. Stopper 200, 180, 200       18. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED         20. UMITATION OF ABST       20. UMITATION OF ABST         21. Stopper 200, 200, 200       Standard form 298 (Rev 2)						
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         14. Subject simulation of combat: over a opecific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isoland, measured terrain altitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "dierance. It's such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to aolive the inverse problem.         14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15. NUMBER OF PAGES       15.         16. SUBJECT TERMS       18. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF THIS PAGE         17. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF THIS PAGE       19. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ABSTRACT         18. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ASSTRACT       19. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ABSTRACT         19. SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF ASSTRACT       20. LIMITATIOH OF ABST         15. THE PAGE OF 280 (Sec 2)       20. Standard Form 298 (Rev 2)						
In the computer simulation of combat over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using of isolated, measured terrain altitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "pierance. It's such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show to solve the inverse problem.  15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. terrain, topography, terrain models  15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED  16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED  16. Standard form 298 (Rev 2)  Standard form	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor	rots)	n gine anna a successi a chairtean an anna an anna an an		L	
of isolated, measured terrain altitudes. The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a smooth surface function matches these measured values to within a prescribed "olerance. It'such a surface could be defined, then at any poin the simulated battlefield, both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show it to solve the inverse problem.         ta       SUBJECT TERMS         ta       Standard Porm 298 (Rev 2.         ta       Standard Form 298 (Rev 2.         ta	In the computer simulation	on of co	mba: over a specific geo	ographical area, the terri	in is typically	represented using sets
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15       NUMBER OF PAGES         14       SUBJECT TERMS       15       NUMBER OF PAGES         14       SUBJECT TERMS       15       15         15       NUMBER OF PAGES       15         16       SUBJECT TERMS       15         17       SEQURITY CLASSERCATION OF REPORT       18         18       SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF REPORT       18         19       SECURITY CLASSERCATION OF REPORT       18         10       YEAD OF 280 5500       Standard form 298 (Rev 2	of isolated, measured terrain a matches these measured value	altitudes es to vi	. The "inverse" problem thin a prescribed takerar	n is to construct in close and the surface of	i form a smooti	h surface function that
evaluating the surface function and its derivatives. Such a terrain description would allow all calculations related to terr         from line-of-sight to troop movement, to be done more simply and at any desired resolution. In this report, we show ito active the inverse problem.         is subject teams         is security classification         is security classification         or respont         uncl_assification         or respont         uncl_assification         is security classification         or respont         uncl_assification         is standard form 298 (Rev 2 - Precision to ANS is 219:18         is 13:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:	the simulated battlefield, both	the terr	ain altitude and the slop	e of the terrain in any d	irection could	be obtained simply by
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         16. PRICE CODE       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT       18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT       18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         17       SECURITY CLASSIFIED       UNCLASSIFIED       UNCLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         28       A 7540.0: 280 35300       Standard Form 298 (Rev 2)       Standard Form 298 (Rev 2)	evaluating the surface function	n and its	cerivatives. Such a terr	ain description would al	low all calculat	ions related to terrain,
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15       15         15       15         16       PRICE CODE         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED       18         18       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST 20. LIMITATION OF ABST 2	to solve the inverse problem.					
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         Serrain, sopography, serrain models       15         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT       18         0F       REPORT       0F THIS PAGE         UNCLASSIFIED       UNCLASSIFIED       UL         54       7540.01 (28) 35300       Standard Form 298 (Rev 2)						
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15       15         16       PRICE CODE         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFICATION       18         07       REPORT UNCLASSIFIED       18         54       7540.01 280 5500       Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2- Prestroad by ANSI Std. 2018						
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15       IS       15         16. PRICE CODE       16. PRICE CODE         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED       18       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST ULL         54       7540.01 280 3500       Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2- Prescreed by ANSI Std. 239-16						
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15       15         15       15         16       PRICE CODE         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED       18         18       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST         54       7540.01 280 3500       Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2- Prescroed by ANSI 5rd 239-18						
14       SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         15       15         16       PRICE CODE         17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFICED       18         18       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST UL         54       7540.01 280 3500       Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18						
14 SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         Serrain, sopography, serrain models       15. NUMBER OF PAGES         17 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFICED       18 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED       19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20. LIMITATION OF ABST UL         54 7540.01 280 3500       54 00 000000000000000000000000000000000						
IT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABST OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED ULL Sh 7540.01 200 3500 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2. Prescreed by ANSI Std 239-18	14 SUBJECT TERMS			15. N 1	UMBER OF PAGES	
17       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED       18       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED       19.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED       20.       LIMITATION OF ABST         54       7540.01 200 3500       Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2- Prescreed by ANSI Std. 239-18       Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2- Prescreed by ANSI Std. 239-18	vertain, topography, tertain m	ogeis			16. P	
UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UL SA 7540.01 200 3500 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18	17 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18 380	URITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI	CATION 20. L	IMITATION OF ABSTRACT
54 7540-01 283 5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2 Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18	UNCLASSIFIED	UN	KCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	L u	л.
298 102	54 7540-01 289 5500				Standard Prescribed 298 102	1 Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) by ANSI Std. 239-38

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

ŀ

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF FIGURES	¥
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	THE VRT MODEL	1
3.	CONSTRUCTING T (x,y)	3
4.	FUTURE WORK: OPTIMIZATION OF THE ALGORITHM	9
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	11

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

•

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure			Page
1.	Sample VRT surface		4
2.	Sample contour map:	DTAs	5
3.	Sample contour map:	δ=5	6
4.	Sample contour map:	δ=2	7
5.	Sample contour map:	δ=1	8

v



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

4

ł

1

#### 1. Incroduction: Statement of the Problem

In the computer simulation of combat over a specific geographical area, the terrain is typically represented using finite sets of isolated data points that we will call digitized terrain altitudes (DTAs). These DTAs are obtained by measurement, either direct or indirect, and are generally arranged in a rectangular grid. A 100-meter grid spacing has been typical for many combat models, although the current trend is toward somewhat smaller grid sizes, especially for applications in the area of distributed interactive simulation (DIS). In any case, when a terrain altitude is needed at an (x, y)-coordinate in between grid points, it must be approximated using the surrounding DTAs. Moreover, since the DTAs are isolated points, there is no direct method for obtaining terrain slope information even at the DTA coordinates.

The "inverse" problem is to construct in closed form a "smooth" surface z = T(x, y) (with the properties that T(x, y) is continuous everywhere and has continuous partial derivatives  $T_x(x, y)$  and  $T_y(x, y)$  almost everywhere [i.e., everywhere except a set of measure zero]) that "matches" the DTAs to within a prescribed tolerance,  $\delta$  (i.e., for each DTA point (x, y, d),  $|T(x, y) - d| \leq \delta$ ). If such a function could be defined, then at any point on the battlefield both the terrain altitude and the slope of the terrain in any direction could be obtained simply by evaluating T(x, y),  $T_x(x, y)$ , and  $T_y(x, y)$  at the desired (x, y)-coordinate.

The benefits of such a terrain description in combat simulation are obvious. All calculations related to terrain, from line-of-sight calculation to troop movement, could be done more simply and at **any desired resolution**. In this report, we show how to construct a function T(x, y) with all of the above properties.

Once T(x, y) has been constructed, it is possible to add realistic "micro-terrain" to the surface. We indicate how this can be accomplished. The critical tool in this process is the Variable Resolution Terrain (VRT) model.

#### 2. The VRT Model

The VRT model, developed at the U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (now the U.S. Army Research Laboratory) in the early 1990s, is a model that represents basic topography as a continuous surface, capable of being viewed at any desired resolution.<sup>1</sup> This surface is the superposition of individual terrain features or "hills," each of which is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Wald, Joseph K. and Patterson, Carolyn J. "A Variable Resolution Terrain Model for Combat Simulation." BRL-TR-3374, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, July 1992.

described by a closed form mathematical function that is continuous everywhere and has continuous partial derivatives almost everywhere. While examples of many different types of terrain have been constructed using the VRT model, they are all "generic" in the sense that they were developed from basic principles and do not represent any particular piece of Earth topography. For generic terrain creation, the distribution of the sizes of the hills is based on the idea of self-similarity (i.e., invariance with respect to scale) which is embodied in the power law

$$D = Ks^{-2},$$
 (2.1)

in which s is a dimensionless scale factor associated with a hill, K is a constant that depends on terrain type, and D is the areal density of hills as a function of s. The choice of exponent in this power law ensures self-similarity in the density of terrain features. Integration of this power law produces the cumulative distribution function:

$$\int_{t=s_{\min}}^{t=s} Kt^{-2}dt = K(1 / s_{\min} - 1 / s).$$
(2.2)

In practice, there is a range of scales,  $[s_{\min}, s_{\max}]$ , for which the power law holds. Thus, to build each hill, we need only draw a uniform random number u from the interval  $(0, 1 - [s_{\min} / s_{\max}])$ , with the hill scale factor being  $s = s_{\min} / (1 - u)$ . The location of the hill is randomly chosen in the desired area. The complete terrain surface is defined by the superposition of all of the hills, i.e.,

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_k(x, y).$$
 (2.3)

The form of a single hill function,  $f_k(x, y)$ , is given by:

$$f_{k}(x, y) = s_{k} h_{k} exp(-\left\{\frac{1}{s_{k} \rho_{k}} \left[q_{k}(x, y)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{\sigma_{k}}), \qquad (2.4a)$$

where

. . .

$$q_k(x, y) = a_1(x - \xi_k)^2 - a_2(x - \xi_k)(y - \eta_k) + a_3(y - \eta_k)^2, \qquad (2.4b)$$

$$a_1 = \varepsilon_k - \left(\varepsilon_k - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k}\right) \cos^2 \lambda_k, \qquad (2.4c)$$

$$a_2 = \left(\varepsilon_k - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k}\right) \sin 2\lambda_k, \qquad (2.4d)$$

$$a_3 = \varepsilon_k - \left(\varepsilon_k - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k}\right) \sin^2 \lambda_k.$$
 (2.4e)

This formulation is a revision of the original hill function definition.<sup>2</sup> Varying the parameters  $\xi_k$ ,  $\eta_k$ ,  $h_k$ ,  $\rho_k$ ,  $\varepsilon_k$ ,  $\lambda_k$ ,  $\sigma_k$ , and  $s_k$  produces hills in a variety of sizes and shapes. Figure 1 shows a simple "3D wire mesh" of a VRT surface generated by this procedure.

#### 3. Constructing T(x, y)

The first step in the process of "fitting" a smooth surface to a set of DTAs consists of detrending the DTAs by fitting a plane through them and subtracting the height of this plane from each of the DTAs. One way to define this plane (but not the only way) is via a least-squares procedure. This produces "residual" DTAs.

Next the highest residual DTA (x, y, d) is found (or the lowest, if the largest DTA happens to have a negative value) and we define a hill whose center is at or near (x, y) and whose height is equal to or close to d. An iterative loop is used to find the best values of the parameters in the construction of this hill. [Although, we use equation 2.4 to define the hill, any function with the flexibility of equation 2.4 and the same smoothness properties could be used.] Here, the best hill is the one that leaves the smallest modified residual DTAs in the neighborhood of (x, y) when the hill is subtracted from the residual DTAs. This neighborhood is user-definable and will, in general, depend upon terrain type. This hill is subtracted from each of the residual DTAs to create a new set of residual DTAs.

We now proceed to find the new highest residual DTA and repeat the above hill fitting process until all of the residual DTAs are smaller in absolute value than  $\delta$ . The smooth surface T(x, y) is then defined to be the sum of the fitting plane and all of the fitting hills. By the method of construction, T(x, y) is guaranteed to satisfy all of the conditions of the inverse problem.

To illustrate the terrain fitting process, we selected a 1 kilometer square of terrain located near Denver, Colorado. The terrain data were provided by the U.S. Army Topographic Engineering Center.<sup>3</sup> The grid spacing for the DTAs is 5 meters, resulting in a total of 40,401 DTAs in the set. Figure 2 contains a contour map of the sample DTAs.

and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>op. cit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ray Norvelle, U.S. Army Topographic Engineering Center. Private communication, March, 1994.



Figure 1. Sample VRT Surface.





We proceeded to construct three smooth surfaces, which we denote by  $T_5(x, y)$ ,  $T_2(x, y)$ , and  $T_1(x, y)$  corresponding, respectively, to  $\delta = 5$ ,  $\delta = 2$ , and  $\delta = 1$ . The contour maps of these surfaces are shown in Figures 3, 4, and 5.

The increasing quality of the fit as  $\delta$  decreases is evident from the contour maps. However, the best value of delta for the fitting process need not always be the smallest. Rather, it should be the **largest value consistent with the user's intended application**. This is due to the fact that as  $\delta$  decreases, the computational burden, both in constructing T(x, y) and in using it, increases. Table 1 contains the computational statistics for the construction of  $T_5(x, y)$ ,  $T_2(x, y)$ , and  $T_1(x, y)$ . The computations were done on a CRAY Y-MP.

Table 1. Computation Statistics for Three Smooth Surfaces.						
Smooth Surface	Maximum Deviation (meters)	Average Deviation (meters)	Number of hills	Computation Time (seconds)		
$T_5(x,y)$	5	2.01	630	48		
$T_2(x, y)$	2	0.88	3799	236		
$T_1(x, y)$	1	0.34	17,960	1079		

If T(x, y) is to be precomputed and then used in a simulation, the difference in computation times in the creation of the smooth surfaces will probably not be too important. However, since the computational burden of using T(x, y) is directly proportional to the number of hills comprising the surface, "real time" applications may be quite sensitive to this factor.

It should be pointed out that although T(x, y) was constructed using the VRT hill format (equation 2.4), the strict self-similarity of the "ideal" VRT surface was not used. While we are free to determine for ourselves the distribution of terrain features when building generic terrain, when we construct a T(x, y) to match a given set of DTAs, the distribution of terrain features must conform to the peculiarities of that particular piece of terrain. Thus, the best we can hope for is approximate self-similarity. Even this may not exist if, for example, the set of DTAs under consideration includes two or more different types of terrain.

However, when it comes to adding micro-terrain to T(x, y), we are, in effect, creating a miniature set of generic terrain. Here, the full power of the VRT theory can be used. In general terms, the empirical distribution of the VRT parameters comprising













T(x, y) can be analyzed and used to randomly generate small terrain features, whose scale distribution is governed by equation 2.1.

#### 4. Future Work: Optimization of the Algorithm

ĺ

It is for just such applications that optimization of the algorithm will be important. Here, optimization means creating T(x, y) (for a given  $\delta$ ) using the fervest hills possible. Unfortunately, for a given set of DTAs, we will not know what that minimum number of hills is. However, there are some techniques we can apply in the surface construction process that will tend to reduce the number of hills. One method is to increase the number of iterations in the process of selecting the parameters for each hill. Early experiments indicate that this does, in fact, yield a reduction in the number of hills, although it comes with an increase in calculation time.

Another idea is to increase the variability of the hill parameters in the iteration loop. This will allow us to explore more varied hill shapes and, presumably, find a better fit. However, the rate of convergence will be reduced, making it necessary to increase the number of iterations per hill to find that better fit. We will have to find the proper balance between increased parameter variability and number of iterations.

It is also possible to make the iterative loop process adaptive. By this we mean that the rate of improvement of fit during the iteration loop will be monitored, and the iteration automatically terminated when the rate of improvement falls below a specified threshold value.

Finally, instead of treating the hill fitting process locally (i.e., by fitting the hill at the site of single highest residual DTA), we could look at the process on a "regional" basis. Here, we would do a global statistical analysis of the residual DTAs, find the largest "coherent" topographical feature, and try to find a hill to fit the whole thing. If this could be done, it would clearly speed up the entire process. The open question is how to define the largest "coherent" topographical feature.

Also, additional work is necessary to fully develop the capability to superimpose micro-terrain on T(x, y).

#### NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 2 ADMINISTRATOR DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: DTIC-DDA CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA VA 22304-5145
- 1 COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND A'ITN: AMCAM 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
- 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: AMSRL-OP-SD-TA/ RECORDS MANAGEMENT 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
- 3 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: AMSRL-OP-SD-TL/ TECHNICAL LIBRARY 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
- 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: AMSRL-OP-SD-TP/ TECH PUBLISHING BRANCH 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
- 2 COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TDC PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
- 1 DIRECTOR BENET LABORATORIES ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050
- 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY ADVANCED SYSTEMS RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS OFFICE ATTN: AMSAT-R-NR/MS 219-1 AMES RESEARCH CENTER MOFFET1 FIELD CA 94035-1000

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 COMMANDER US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5010
- 1 COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE COMMAND ATTN: AMSTA-JSK (ARMOR ENG BR) WARREN MI 48397-5000
- 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY TRADOC ANALYSIS COMMAND ATTN: ATRC-WSR WSMR NM 88002-5502
- 1 COMMANDANT US ARMY INFANTRY SCHOOL ATTN: ATSH-WCB-O FORT BENNING GA 31905-5000

#### ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

- 2 DIR, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP/H COHEN
- 1 CDR, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-TC
- 1 DIR, USAERDEC ATTN: SCBRD-RT
- 1 CDR, USACBDCOM ATTN: AMSCB-CII
- 1 DIR, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-SL-I
- 5 DIR, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-OP-AP-L

No. of Copies Organization

- 1 HQDA (SARD-TR/MS K KOMINOS) WASH DC 20310-0103
- 1 HQDA (SARD-1R/DR R CHAIT) WASH DC 20310-0103
- 1 US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION MOBILITY SYSTEMS DIVISION ATTN: CEWES-GM/ANDREW W. HARRELL 3909 HALLS FERRY ROAD VICKSBURG MS 39180-0631
- 2 U.S. ARMY TOPOGRAPHIC ENGINEERING CENTER ATTN: CETEC-PD-DA/ RAY NORVELLE GEORGE LUKES 7701 TELEGRAPHIC ROAD ALEXANDRIA VA 22310-3864
- 1 INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSIS ATTN: WARREN K. OLSON ROOM 242W 1801 N BEAUREGARD ST ALEXANDRIA VA 22311-1772

#### **ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND**

- 58 DIR, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-WT-W/CHARLES H MURPHY AMSRL-WT-WE/ JUDITH TEMPERLEY MARYANNE FIELDS CAROLYN PATTERSON JOSEPH WALD (50 CP) AMSRL-CI-SB/ VIRGINIA KASTE THOMAS KENDALL CHARLES HANSEN TERRY PURNELL
- 2 DIR, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-ED/JACK MEREDITH AMXSY-CD/DWAYNE NUZMAN

#### **USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS**

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number ARL-TR-605 Date of Report October 1994

2. Date Report Received \_\_\_\_\_

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)\_\_\_\_\_

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)\_\_\_\_\_

Organization

Name

CURRENT ADDRESS

OLD

ADDRESS

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

Organization
Name

Street or P.O. Box No

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.) (DO NOT STAPLE)

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

# BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 0001, APG, MD

Postage will be paid by addressee

Director U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-OP-AP-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

