



10

ONR/URI FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

Principal Investigators:

Victor R. Lesser

Paul Cohen

Wendy Lehnert

University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA

(413) 545-1322

Lesser@cs.umass.edu

Title: Center for Artificial Intelligence

Contract #: N00014-86-K-0764

Reporting Period: September 15, 1986 - March 14, 1992
(includes 6-month no-cost extension)

Program objectives:

The primary objective of our research program was the development and integration of enabling technologies for the development of distributed decision support systems. This involved four major research areas: Knowledge Acquisition and Learning, Sophisticated Control, Situation Assessment and Accessing Large Memories. Due to significant budget reductions from the initial proposed levels, the proposed integration of these different research areas was removed as an objective, the research area of Learning and Accessing Large Memories was dropped as a major focus of the research program, and the work on Knowledge Acquisition was limited to semantic analysis of text documents.

URI has also provided the infrastructure to allow us to build a environment in terms of computing and support staff to perform AI research that has a significant emphasis on large system building and extensive empirical evaluation of research. As a result of URI funding, University of Massachusetts has been able to build up an AI research program that is considered one of the top programs in the world. Based on current research funding, we have the fourth largest program in the country. During or right after the termination of this grant, three of the principal researchers on this grant (Wendy Lehnert, Victor Lesser and Edwina Rissland) were made fellows of the AAAI, and two other researchers who benefited from the infrastructure provided by this grant (Robin Popplestone and Edward Riseman) were also made fellows.

Another benefit of the URI has been its ability to nurture high-risk research in its infancy that would not normally get funded through usual channels--explicitly, the work of Paul Cohen on AI methodologies and Wendy Lehnert on

This document has been approved
for public release and subject to
distribution is unlimited.

31/2

94-22553

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

994 7 19 038,

semantically-oriented sentence analysis. Both of these research efforts are now being recognized as important contributions to the field.

Finally, URI funded post-doctoral positions for two of our Ph.D. students, Kevin Ashley and Edmund Durfee, allowing them to further expand their thesis research before taking academic positions. It is our feeling that this extra time allowed their Ph.D. research to mature significantly. Both of these students subsequently received Presidential Young Investigator Awards.

The new research areas that have been stimulated by URI include:

- The development of a suite of experimental tools that will assist knowledge engineers as they evaluate and improve the design of AI planning systems.
- Techniques for monitoring of agents working with limited attentional resources under time pressure and its use in plan steering.
- Using Failure Recovery Analysis in iterative design of agents and in the design of large software systems. FRA helps the agent designer find and fix those aspects of the agent design that are not suitable to the task.
- The integration of statistical information retrieval techniques and semantically-oriented sentence analysis for intelligent access to large text data bases.
- The development of generic frameworks for the implementation of coordination and negotiation strategies for distributed planning, scheduling and resource allocation applications.
- Techniques for adaptive signal processing (signal reprocessing) based on a bi-directional interaction between the signal processing subsystem and signal understanding subsystem.
- The development of mixed paradigm systems, including one based on a blackboard architecture and Cased-Based Reasoning.

Accomplishments, organized by objective.

Knowledge Acquisition - Natural Language Text Analysis

The major accomplishment in this area was the demonstration of a robust language analysis at MUC-3 (see Lehnert et al. papers). This system outperformed all other systems in the evaluation. This represents a breakthrough result for the management of complex syntax within a semantically-oriented sentence analyzer.

In the work on natural language processing, we completed an important performance evaluation process. We were one of fifteen research sites that participated in MUC-3, a DARPA-sponsored evaluation of sophisticated text analyzers operating on unconstrained news articles. Each system was required to extract detailed information about specific terrorist activities described in wire service stories, newspaper articles, interview transcripts, terrorist communiques, and other text sources. The UMass system was based on the CIRCUS sentence analyzer, and incorporated multiple architectures to enable selective concept extraction. In the final MUC-3 evaluation, UMass posted the highest recall score of all participating systems, as well as the highest combined scores for recall and precision.

On a theoretical level, our MUC-3 system was notable for two important innovations in text analysis. First, a powerful formalism for handling complex sentence structures within a semantically-oriented parser was put to the test with positive results. We have determined that 75% of the texts from the MUC-3 development corpus contained multiple clauses. Any system not capable of handling complex syntax would therefore experience severe difficulties in this evaluation. Given the overall performance of our system, we have established that a semantically-oriented parser can compete with more syntactically oriented systems very effectively. Second, we also incorporated a case-based reasoning component in our MUC-3 system for managing discourse analysis. This component operated in conjunction with a rule-based discourse analyzer, but nevertheless provided us with a level of performance that could not be duplicated with the rule base alone. This signifies a first attempt at handling discourse analysis with CBR technologies, and it appears to be a very promising direction for future research.

Historically speaking, it has been very difficult to obtain empirical evaluations for natural language processing technologies. Researchers routinely publish claims and speculative comparisons, but data to support these claims is typically unavailable or difficult to assess. The MUC-3 performance evaluation provided the NLP community with a remarkable opportunity to attempt an empirically-based evaluation. UMass was one of three university sites and 12 industry sites that completed the evaluation. Roughly one year of preparation went into this undertaking.

With our strong showing in the final evaluation, we have established that selective concept extraction is a highly viable strategy for detailed information extraction from full text. Our approach assumes only minimal dictionary coverage (6,000 words as opposed to 60,000 in at least one other system), and is also notable for achieving high performance with minimal syntactic analysis. Our system produces no syntactic parse trees for sentences or fragments of sentences, operating instead on a small set of buffers designed to capture only localized syntactic constructs. Semantically-oriented sentence analyzers are frequently criticized in the literature as ineffective in the face of realistically long and

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	
A 275812	
ility Codes	
Dist A-1	Avail and/or Special

complex sentences. Our MUC-3 evaluation shows that semantically-oriented sentence analysis is indeed a viable approach, at least as far as goal-oriented information extraction is concerned.

Remarkably, MUC-3 has taught us that sentence analysis may no longer be the primary stumbling block in the way of sophisticated text analysis. We have not been able to analyze our performance with respect to sentence analysis per se, but we suspect that more difficult problems occur at the level of discourse analysis, where information across multiple sentences must be collected and merged, or distinguished and pulled apart. Given this scenario, our success with a case-based reasoner for handling discourse analysis is extremely exciting, because the CBR component holds a number of advantages over its rule-based counterparts. Whereas a rule base for discourse analysis will be heavily domain-dependent, only minor modifications are needed to port a CBR discourse component from one text domain to another. In addition, all rule-based systems are difficult to scale up beyond some threshold of system complexity. But a CBR component can scale up very readily since the extraction of a case base can be fully automated (at least for our system), and thereby reconstructed to cover a larger text corpus as needed without any additional knowledge engineering. We expect a rich vein of research will now emerge with respect to text analysis and case-based reasoning.

Because DARPA was not able to fully support all the sites participating in MUC-3, we would not have been able to participate ourselves without the support available to us through URI. MUC-3 proved to be an extremely stimulating and positive experience for our natural language processing group, and we are now eager to pursue a variety of new research directions that became apparent as a result of our MUC-3 involvement.

Sophisticated Control - Real-Time and Distributed Coordination

There were four major accomplishments in this area. The first was the development and experimental validation of a new paradigm called Approximate Processing, for implementing real-time AI systems. This work presents an important alternative approach to the any-time algorithms approach to real-time AI.

We have made important progress towards both completing and generalizing real-time research started in the early stages of this grant. After a five-year research effort involving numerous intermediate steps, we have now fully implemented a real-time blackboard architecture for approximate processing. This architecture trades off precision, completeness and certainty of the solution against the time to generate a solution by having a set of different methods that can be applied in situation-specific contexts. This architecture includes approximate representations for intermediate stages of processing, a parameterized low-level control loop that permits the system to be dynamically

configured for specific approximation strategies, a high-level control planning architecture that permits the system to explicitly represent and reason about its goals and appropriate approximations, and a design-to-time scheduling algorithm and execution subsystem that schedules tasks based on hard deadlines and alerts the high-level controller to unexpected changes in task characteristics. This new real-time architecture has been implemented in an extended version of the Distributed Vehicle Monitoring Testbed (DVMT).

[The Distributed Vehicle Monitoring Testbed (DVMT) has been extended to integrate approximate processing techniques for real-time performance. A new control architecture has been implemented for approximate processing that responds to time constraints on the interpretation task by combining approximate and precise data. This combination requires new ways of handling the uncertainty introduced by combining imprecise and precise data. The belief representation used in the DVMT has been enhanced to distinguish uncertainty in the domain, and in precise data, from that introduced by the use of approximate data. In addition, a framework for representing and applying different classes of approximate knowledge has been developed.]

The second major accomplishment in this area was the design and implementation of a new agent architecture to support adaptable planning and scheduling. It presents methods for reasoning about real-time constraints, and techniques for plan monitoring and failure recovery.

Ongoing work with the Phoenix system has led to formalizations for describing interactions between agents and their environments along with extensive empirical experiments for the purpose of evaluating those formal models. Because Phoenix attempts to model effective problem solving behavior in complex, real-time environments, the issue of what constitutes an acceptable solution or near-optimal solution is both challenging and crucial to meaningful system evaluations. An empirical interface to Phoenix has therefore been designed to facilitate interactive experiments and data analysis covering large numbers of simulations.

[We have also applied techniques originally developed in Phoenix, for real-time monitoring of progress and for steering plans developed, to the transportation domain. This technique, called {em envelopes}, represents the progress of plans in such a way that failure can be anticipated before it occurs, allowing the system to "steer" itself around potential problems. For the transportation problem, we model nodes in a transportation network and build demons that use envelope-like structures that recognize pathological states before they arise. Once a pathological state is predicted, the system will present a visualization of the evolving pathology to an operator, along with suggestions about how to steer around it. This approach will form the basis for a plan steering architecture, both for human-computer systems as in the transportation problem and for autonomous agents such as those in Phoenix.]

The third major accomplishment was the development of a new AI methodology emphasizing the analysis of the behavior of AI programs, using simulation to expose programs to the myriad of interacting problems found in real-world environments, and analyzing program performance using statistical methods from the behavioral sciences. This represents one of the first efforts to put the development of complex AI systems on a sound methodological basis.

During 1990 we developed the Modeling, Analysis and Design (MAD) methodology that we believe bridges the gulf between theoretical and systems-oriented AI research. This work grew in part out of a survey of papers submitted to AAAI 90 and gave impetus to a growing methodological debate. With sponsorship from NSF and DARPA, we held a workshop in June of 1991 to provide a forum for this debate and propose a common set of goals for improving AI methodology. Proceedings of the workshop and the participants' recommendations are being prepared for publication.

We have worked to apply our proposed MAD methodology within our own research and to develop the skills in our graduate students needed to conduct MAD research. We began a modeling summer school for graduate students. The curriculum was designed to teach research skills, including modeling complex systems and agent architectures, designing experiments to test the behavior of agents, and using statistical analysis techniques on experiment results. Phoenix is the "laboratory" for this work, providing a ready-made environment and agents designed to operate there. The three main goals of this summer's effort were to acquaint us with MAD in practice, to develop a complete model of Phoenix, and to lay the groundwork for a curriculum in *agentology*—the principled design of autonomous agents for complex environments.

The primary objective of our work with IGOR is to concentrate on understanding and automating the expert human process of incrementally building models from both experimental and non-experimental data. As such, this work represents the development of an enabling technology for principled Artificial Intelligence research using the MAD methodology. A computer program called IGOR is currently being designed and developed to function as a model-builder's intelligent assistant. The basic approach of IGOR is to integrate the complementary strategies of exploratory and confirmatory data analysis in a knowledge-based decision aid.

IGOR is being designed using the blackboard paradigm, where the shared blackboard data structure holds elements of the developing model, and the individual knowledge sources operate on those elements to create terms, hypothesize relationships, and perform statistical tests via library calls to the Common Lisp Analytical Statistics Package (CLASP), developed under URI in 1990. The long-term objectives for IGOR include fully automated model-building and discovery mechanisms driven by an opportunistic control strategy. We expect to develop the automated strategies from our experience with the system

as a manual analysis decision aid, letting human analysts provide the initial reasoning control strategy.

Many methods and algorithms have been developed for data analysis and model-building problems, including some parametric and non-parametric statistical techniques, automated discovery approaches from machine learning research, and attempts to develop purely data-driven methods for inferring causal structure. In developing IGOR we will consider methods of two primary types. First, there are exploratory methods, which are data-driven procedures for discovering patterns. The exploratory methods often emphasize the use of graphical displays, relying on human visual skills for pattern recognition. Since IGOR is being developed first as a model-builder's decision aid, these visualization techniques are particularly important. Second, there are confirmatory methods, which are goal- or theory-driven methods for answering specific questions, such as the likelihood that a particular observed pattern could have arisen by chance alone.

The fourth major accomplishment in this area was the development of a unified approach to distributed coordination, called Partial Global Planning. It represents a major new paradigm. A presidential young investigator award was given to Ed Durfee based on this research.
[insert PGP]

Real-Time Control

The major developments this year in real-time control have been to introduce into a real-time blackboard architecture the ability to: divide the problem up into tasks; divide tasks up into subtasks that have distinct earliest start times; control the execution of each task separately so that different tasks (including different instances of the same kind of task) can use different approximations; estimate the time and solution quality for a task given a particular problem-solving method; monitor problem solving to notice the arrival of new tasks and notice unexpected behavior in existing tasks. Our real-time blackboard architecture implementation has two main components: a controller that decides which tasks to perform, what problem-solving methods to use for those tasks and when each task should be worked on; and an execution subsystem that micro-schedules the execution of steps of tasks and ensures that tasks perform as predicted.

Situation Assessment -- Interpretation and Case-Based Reasoning

One major accomplishment in this area was the extension of Case-Based Reasoning (CBR) techniques to incorporate precedence-based reasoning and traditional rule-based systems. This work significantly extends the domains in which CBR can be applied. A presidential young investigator award was given to Kevin Ashley based on the precedence-based reasoning aspects of this research.

A new project was begun to investigate the effectiveness of using inductive learning techniques in a case-based reasoning (CBR) system. CBR systems make mistakes for one of three reasons: the case base lacks a case, the wrong case was retrieved, or the retrieved case was not adapted properly to the current situation. This project focused on using inductive learning to reduce or eliminate errors in a CBR system's retrieval and adaptation mechanisms. Preliminary results from the domain of OTHELLO suggested that the addition of inductive learning yields both a performance improvement and a smaller case base.

Another major accomplishment in this area was the development of a new blackboard-based architecture for building complex signal understanding systems. It solves in an elegant and general way many of the evidential and control limitations present in the original Hearsay-II architecture.

We made major progress in the development of a new paradigm to integrate signal processing and signal understanding. We call this approach IPUS (Integrated Processing and Understanding of Signals). This paradigm permits sophisticated interaction between the theory-based problem solving at the signal processing levels and heuristic problem solving at the interpretation levels. The need for such a paradigm and its realization in an architecture arises in signal understanding domains with complicated interacting signals under variable signal-to-noise ratios. An implementation of this paradigm for use in an abstracted version of a sound understanding task has been completed. This system indicates that the basic functionality of IPUS can be implemented in a computationally efficient way, and is effective.

The IPUS architecture is built on top of the RESUN framework that was developed and enhanced under URI funding. The RESUN framework has two key components: an evidential representation that includes explicit, symbolic encodings of the sources of uncertainty (SOU) in the evidence for hypotheses and a script-based, incremental control planner. Interpretation is viewed as an incremental process of gathering evidence to resolve particular sources of uncertainty.

In traditional signal understanding systems, the front-end signal processing is usually fixed for all input signals, and input signals are not reprocessed on the basis of the dynamics of the higher-level problem solving. Thus, the interaction between the interpretation problem solving and the signal processing is limited to a simple sequential scheme in which the former accepts the latter's output data. In contrast, we have developed a paradigm (IPUS) and implemented it in an architecture to achieve such interactions in a more general way, with an emphasis on utilizing the sophisticated signal processing theories that underlie many signal processing tasks in order to structure the cooperation between signal processing and signal understanding. An iterative technique for converging to the appropriate control parameter values is at the heart of the IPUS architecture. The technique begins by using the best available guess for the

control parameter values (in the worst case, the system resorts to arbitrary control parameter values). The input signal is then processed using the chosen control parameter values. The output is then analyzed through a discrepancy detection mechanism that indicates the presence of distorted output data. A diagnosis is then performed to obtain an "inverse" mapping from the detected discrepancies to distortion hypotheses. This diagnosis process utilizes the formal theory that underlies the signal processing carried out by the signal understanding system. The availability of such a formal theory is a major criterion for determining the IPUS architecture's applicability to any particular problem domain. A signal reprocessing planning phase then proposes a search plan for finding a new set of values for the control parameters of the signal processing with the aim of eliminating the hypothesized distortions.

Parallelism in AI Problem Solving

We have been studying the control issues involved in parallel scheduling in two different AI architectures: blackboard and production systems (OPS5). The work on parallel blackboard systems involves introducing new types of control knowledge for effective scheduling in the parallel asynchronous execution of knowledge sources. This control knowledge is aimed at avoiding excessive conflicts for blackboard access; exploiting the interdependencies among knowledge sources; reordering knowledge source executions to avoid sequential bottlenecks; and not executing knowledge sources if currently executing knowledge sources will invalidate their results. The basis of this knowledge is goal relationships among the different knowledge sources on the agenda. In our work, we exploit several major relationships including inhibits, cancels, constrains, enables, and supergoal/subgoal. We have shown experimentally that the use of specialized control knowledge based on these relationships contributes to more effective utilization of processor resources.

The work on parallel production systems argues that the conventional conflict resolution algorithm is not suitable as a control mechanism for parallel rule-firing systems. Examining all eligible rules within a system imposes a synchronization delay that limits processor utilization. Rather than perform conflict resolution, we propose that rules should be executed asynchronously as soon as they become enabled. However, this approach leaves the problem of controlling the computation unsolved. We have identified three distinct types of control, {it program sequencing, heuristic control}, and {it dynamic scheduling}, which are required for efficient and correct parallel execution of rules. To support these control activities, we have developed an agenda manager that provides support for enforcing consistency of the database, allows the user to specify rule types and groups for sequencing rule executions, and allows both asynchronous and synchronous execution of rules. We are currently adding to the agenda manager the capability to perform dynamic scheduling using meta-level heuristics about rule priorities.

For more detailed discussions of our accomplishments, please see the individual Project Summaries and End-of-the-Fiscal-Year Reports for this contract for each of the five fiscal years 1987 – 1992.

Detailed Summary of Technical Results - October 1, 1990 - September 30, 1991

In this fifth and last year of our URI grant, our focus has been on bringing to maturity important ideas that were developed in previous years. The key research areas that we have focused on are real-time control and planning, sophisticated situation assessment, medium-grain parallelism in AI architectures, natural language processing, and the development of a new methodology for AI research. The last research area was unanticipated in our original research program but grew out of our experience in building a complex real-time problem-solving system (i.e., Phoenix). Other research in the areas of cooperative distributed problem solving, learning, information retrieval, and case-based reasoning, software for building blackboard systems (GBB) that have been important focuses of previous years were given little or no resources during this year and thus are not mentioned. We do, however, list papers published this last year on these areas which represent results of research funded by URI in previous years. The major reason for the elimination of these areas was either the lack of resources (we had a significant decrease in funding) compensated by other sources to continue their support, or the research had reached sufficient maturity that investing further funds seemed unwarranted (e.g., GBB).

Additionally, we have made important progress in exploring the role of parallelism in AI systems. We now have two fully implemented systems on the Symmetry Sequent Multiprocessor. One system involves an asynchronous version of OPS5 and the other a parallel blackboard system. Preliminary results from both systems are encouraging with regard to the application of medium-grain parallelism to AI problem solving.

We have also made important strides in our development of methodology for designing AI systems in a principled way. This methodology bridges what we see as a growing gulf between theoretical and systems-oriented work in AI, but requires a time-consuming rigor that would not be possible without URI support. We have reported new results from research in Phoenix, based on predictive models of Phoenix agent behavior that are verified through empirical analysis. In the second new development, work with this methodology has led to the design of an automated, model-building, researcher's assistant called IGOR that analyzes large data sets and infers causal relationships useful for modeling the underlying phenomena.

Refereed Papers Published

Anderson, S.D., Hart, D.M., and Cohen, P.R. "Two Ways to Act," in *SIGART Bulletin*, 2(4):20-24. (Also in 1991 AAAI Spring Symposium on Integrated Intelligent Architectures.)

Ashley, K.D. and Rissland, E.L. (1988). "A Case-Based Approach to Modeling Legal Expertise," in *IEEE Expert*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 70-77.

Ashley, K.D., and Rissland, E.L., "Compare and Contrast; A Test of Expertise," in *Proceedings of AAAI-87*, Seattle, WA, July 1987, pp. 273-278.

Ashley, K.D., and Rissland, E.L., "But, See, Accord: Generating Blue Book Citations in HYPO," in *Proceedings of the First International Conference on AI and Law*, Northeastern University, Boston, May 1987, pp. 67-74.

Bhandaru, N. and Croft, W.B. 1990. An Architecture for Supporting Goal-Based Cooperative Work. In *IFIP WG8.4 Conference on Multi-User Interfaces and Applications*, Crete, September.

Callan, J. P., and Utgoff, P. E. "Constructive Induction on Domain Information," in *Proceedings of the Ninth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Anaheim, CA: AAAI Press/The MIT Press, July 1991, pp. 614-619.

Callan, J. P., and Utgoff, P. E. "A Transformational Approach to Constructive Induction," in *Proceedings of the Eighth International Workshop on Machine Learning*, pp. 122-126, Evanston, IL: Morgan Kaufmann, 1991.

Cardie, C. and Lehnert, W. "Learning Syntax Within a Semantic Parser," *Working Notes of the Machine Learning of Natural Language and Ontology Symposium*, AAAI Spring Symposium Series, Stanford University, March 1991.

Cardie, C. and Lehnert, W. "A Cognitively Plausible Approach to Understanding Complicated Syntax," in *Proceedings of the Ninth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, AAAI Press / MIT Press, Anaheim, 1991.

Carver, N. and Lesser, V. "A Planner for the Control of Problem-Solving Systems," in *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics—Special Issue on Planning, Scheduling and Control* (Forthcoming).

Carver, N., Cvetanovic, Z., and Lesser, V.R. "Sophisticated Cooperation in FA/C Distributed Problem-Solving Systems," *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, July 1991, pp. 191-198.

Carver, N. and Lesser, V.R. "A New Framework for Sensor Interpretation: Planning to Resolve Sources of Uncertainty," *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, July 1991, pp. 724-731.

Carver, N. and Lesser, V. "Blackboard-Based Sensor Interpretation Using a Symbolic Model of the Sources of Uncertainty in Abductive Inferences," in *Proceedings of the [AAAI] Workshop Towards Domain-Independent Strategies for Abduction*, July 1991, pp. 18-24.

Carver, N. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Control for Interpretation: Planning to Resolve Sources of Uncertainty. In *Proceedings of the 4th Annual AAAI Workshop on Blackboard Systems*, Boston, MA.

Cohen, P.R., St. Amant, R. and Hart, D.M. 1992. Early Warnings of Plan Failure, False Positives and Envelopes: Experiments and a Model. *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. L. Erlbaum Associates, pp. 773-778.

Cohen, P. and Day, D. (1988). "The Centrality of Autonomous Agents in Theories of Action Under Uncertainty." *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*. Fall.

Cohen, P., DeLisio, D. and Hart, D. 1988. "A Declarative Representation of Control Knowledge." In *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 546-557.

Cohen, P. and Howe, A. 1988. "Toward AI Research Methodology: Three Case Studies." In *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 634-646.

Cohen, P. and Howe, A. 1988. "How Evaluation Guides AI Research." In *AI Magazine*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 35-42.

Cohen, P., Shafer, G. and Shenoy, P., "Modifiable Combining Functions." in *AI-EDAM (Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design and Manufacturing)*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 47-57.

Cohen, P.R. "Designing and Analysing Strategies for Phoenix from Models," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Innovative Approaches to Planning, Scheduling and Control*, San Diego, CA. November 1990, pp. 9-21.

Cohen, Paul R. 1990. Modelling How Interactions Between Agents' Architectures and Environments Produce Behaviors, for the Purpose of Design and Analysis. Abstract in *The Workshop on Automated Planning for Complex Domains at the Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Boston, MA.

Cohen, Paul R., Anderson, Scott D., Hart, David M. 1990. Scheduling Agent Actions in Real-Time. Abstract in *The Interdisciplinary Workshop on the Design Principles for Real-Time Knowledge Based Control Systems at The Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Boston, MA.

Cohen, P. and Kjeldsen, R., "Information Retrieval by Constrained Spreading Activation" in *Information Processing and Management*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1987.

Cohen, P., Shafer, G. and Shenoy, P., "Modifiable Combining Functions" in *Proceedings of the Uncertainty in AI Workshop*, University of Washington, Seattle. July 10-12, 1987.

Cohen, P., 1987. "The Control of Reasoning Under Uncertainty: A Discussion of Some Programs," in *Knowledge Engineering Review*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 5-25.

Corkill, D.D. "Embedable Problem-Solving Architectures: A study of integrating OPS5 with UMass GBB," in *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 3(1):18-24. March 1991.

Corkill, D.D. 1990. Embedable Problem Solving Architectures: A study of integrating OPS5 with GBB. *Proceedings of the Sixth IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications*, 176-182, Santa Barbara, CA.

Corkill, D.D. 1990. Blackboard Architectures and Control Applications. *Proceedings of the Fifth IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control*, Volume 1, 36-38, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Corkill, D.D. and Gallagher, K.Q. (1988). "Tuning a Blackboard-based Application: A case study using GBB," in *Proceedings of the Seventh National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 671-676, St. Paul, Minnesota, August 1988.

Croft, W.B., Krovetz, R. and Turtle, H. 1990. Interactive Retrieval of Complex Documents. *Information Processing and Management*, Vol. 26(5), pp. 593-613.

Croft, W.B. and Das, R.A. 1990. Experiments with Query Acquisition and Use in Document Retrieval Systems. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 349-368, Brussels, Belgium.

Croft, W.B., Lucia, T.J., Cringean, J. and Willett, P. 1989. Retrieving Documents by Plausible Inference: An Experimental Study. *Information Processing and Management*, 25:599-614.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A., Humphrey, M. and Lesser, V. 1992. A Blackboard System for Real-Time Control of Approximate Processing. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence* (Forthcoming).

Decker, K.S. and Lesser, V.R. 1992. Generalized Partial Global Planning. *International Journal on Intelligent Cooperative Information Systems*, Issue 2 (Forthcoming).

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A., Humphrey, M. and Lesser, V. 1992. Control Heuristics for Scheduling in a Parallel Blackboard System. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence* (Forthcoming).

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A., Humphrey, M. and Lesser, V. 1992. A Blackboard System for Real-Time Control of Approximate Processing. *Proceedings of the 25th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences: Blackboard Systems Minitrack*, Vol. III: 532-541, January.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A.J., Humphrey, M.A. and Lesser, V.R. "Effects of Parallelism on Blackboard System Scheduling," *Proceedings of the Twelfth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Sydney, Australia, August 1991, pp. 15-21.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A.J., Humphrey, M.A. and Lesser, V.R. "Real-Time Control in a Blackboard System," in *Proceedings of the Fifth [AAAI] Workshop on Blackboard Systems*, Anaheim, CA, July 1991.

Decker, K.S. and Lesser, V.R. Extending the Partial Global Planning Framework for Cooperative Distributed Problem-Solving Network Control. *Proceedings of the 1990 DARPA Workshop on Innovative Approaches to Planning, Scheduling, and Control*, November 1990.

Decker, K.S. and Lesser, V.R. A Scenario for Cooperative Distributed Problem Solving. *The 10th Workshop on Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, October 1990.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A., Humphrey, M. and Lesser, V. 1990. Effects of Parallelism on Blackboard System Scheduling. *Proceedings of the 4th Annual AAAI Workshop on Blackboard Systems*, Boston, MA.

Decker, K.S., Lesser, V.R. and Whitehair, R.C. 1990. Extending a Blackboard Architecture for Approximate Processing. *The Journal of Real-Time Systems—Special Issue on AI*, 2:47-79, J. Stankovic, W. Halang, and M. Tokoro (eds.), Kluwer Publishers.

Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R. 1991. "Partial Global Planning: A Coordination Framework for Distributed Hypothesis Formation," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 21(5): 1167-1183.

Durfee, E. and Lesser, V.R. 1988. "Incremental Planning to Control a Time-Constrained, Blackboard-Based Problem Solver," in *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronics Systems*, 24(5): 647-662.

Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R. 1988. "Predictability Versus Responsiveness: Coordinating Problem Solvers in Dynamic Domains," in *Proceedings of the Seventh*

National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 66–71, St. Paul, Minnesota, August.

Durfee, E. and Lesser, V., "Incremental Planning to Control a Blackboard-Based Problem Solver," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Space Telerobotics*, Vol. 3, pp. 91–99, Pasadena, California. January 1987.

Fawcett, T. E., and Utgoff, P. E. "A Hybrid Method for Feature Generation," in *Proceedings of the Eighth International Workshop on Machine Learning*, pp. 137–141, Evanston, IL: Morgan Kaufmann, 1991.

Forster, D. and Krovetz, R. Natural Language Generation and Machine Readable Dictionaries. *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Conference of the UW Centre for the New Oxford English Dictionary*, October 1990.

Gay, L. and Croft, W.B. 1990. Interpreting Nominal Compounds for Information Retrieval. *Information Processing and Management*, 26(1):21–38.

Gruber, T. and Cohen, P., "Knowledge Engineering Tools at the Architecture Level" (revised version) in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Milan, Italy. August, 1987.

Gruber, T. 1987. "Acquiring Strategic Knowledge from Experts," in *Proceedings of the Second AAAI Knowledge Acquisition Workshop*, Banff, Canada.

Gruber, T. and Cohen, P., "Principles of Design for Acquisition," in *Third IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications*, Orlando, Florida. February 1987.

Hart, D.M. and Cohen, P.R. 1992. Predicting and Explaining Success and Task Duration in the Phoenix Planner. *Artificial Intelligence Planning Systems: Proceedings of the First International Conference (AIPS92)*. Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 106–115.

Hart, D.M., Anderson, S.D. and Cohen, P.R. 1990. "Envelopes as a Vehicle for Improving the Efficiency of Plan Execution," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Innovative Approaches to Planning, Scheduling and Control*, Katia Sycara (ed.). CA: Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 71–76.

Howe, A.E. and Cohen, P.R. 1992. Isolating Dependencies on Failure by Analyzing Execution Traces. *Artificial Intelligence Planning Systems: Proceedings of the First International Conference (AIPS92)*. Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 277–278.

Howe, A.E. 1992. Analyzing Failure Recovery to Improve Planner Design. *Proceedings of the Tenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. MIT Press, pp. 387–392.

Howe, A.E., and Cohen, P.R. "Failure Recovery: A Model and Experiments," in *Proceedings of the Ninth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Anaheim, CA, July 1991, pp. 801-808.

Howe, Adele E., Hart, David M., Cohen, Paul R. 1990. Designing Agents to Plan and Act in Their Environments. Abstract in *The Workshop on Automated Planning for Complex Domains at the Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Boston, MA.

Howe, Adele E. 1990. Integrating Adaptation With Planning to Improve Behavior in Unpredictable Environments. In *Working Notes of the AAAI Spring Symposium on Planning in Uncertain, Unpredictable, or Changing Environments*. Palo Alto, CA.

Howe, Adele E., Hart, David M., Cohen, Paul R. 1990. Addressing Real-Time Constraints in the Design of Autonomous Agents. *The Journal of Real-Time Systems*, Vol. 1, 81-97.

Howe, Adele E. and Cohen, Paul R. 1990. Responding to Environmental Change. *Proceedings of the Workshop on Innovative Approaches to Planning, Scheduling and Control*, San Diego, CA, November, pp. 85-92.

Iwanska, L. (GE), Appelt, D. (SRI), Ayuso, D. (BBN), Dahlgren, K. (ITP), Glover Stalls, B. (LSI), Grishman, R. (NYU), Krupka, G. (GE), Montgomery, C. (LSI) and Riloff, E. (UMass) 1991. "Computational Aspects of Discourse in the Context of MUC-3," *Proceedings of the Third Message Understanding Conference*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., pp. 256-285.

Kjeldsen, R. and Cohen, P. "The Evolution and Performance of the GRANT System." *IEEE Expert*. Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 73-79.

Krovetz, R. and Croft, W.B. 1992. Lexical Ambiguity and Information Retrieval. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, Vol. 10(2), pp. 115-141.

Krovetz, R. Viewing the Dictionary as a Classification System. *Proceedings of the ASIS Workshop on Semantic Classification*, November 1990.

Krovetz, R. 1991. Lexical Acquisition and Information Retrieval. *Lexical Acquisition: Exploiting On-Line Resources to Build Lexicon* U. Zernik (ed), LEA Press, pp. 45-64.

Krovetz R. 1990. Information Retrieval and Lexical Ambiguity. In *Working Notes of the AAAI Spring Symposium on Text-Based Intelligent Systems*. Palo Alto, CA.

Kuwabara, K. and Lesser, V.R. 1989. Extended Protocol for Multistage Negotiation, *Proceedings of the Ninth Workshop on Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, 129-161.

Laasri, B., Laasri, H. and Lesser, V. R. "Negotiation and Its Role in Cooperative Distributed Problem Solving," in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Workshop on Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, October 1990.

Lander, S.E. and Lesser, V.R. 1992. Customizing Distributed Search Among Agents with Heterogeneous Knowledge. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, Baltimore, MD (Forthcoming).

Lander, S.E., Lesser, V.R. and Connell, M.E. "Multi-Agent Search and Negotiation in Cooperative Expert Problem Solving," in *Proceedings of the [AAAI] Workshop on Cooperation Among Heterogeneous Intelligent Systems*, Anaheim, CA, July 1991.

Lander, S. and Lesser, V.R. The Use of Problem-Solving Context in Choosing Conflict Resolution Strategies for Cooperating Expert Agents. *Proceedings of the International Working Conference on Cooperating Knowledge-Based Systems*, Keele, United Kingdom, October 1990.

Lander, S. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Knowledge-Based Conflict Resolution for Cooperation Among Expert Agents. *Concurrent Engineering Design Workshop*, AAAI-90, Boston, MA.

Lander, S. and Lesser, V.R. 1989. A Framework for Cooperative Problem Solving Among Knowledge-Based Systems. *Proceedings of the MIT-JSME Workshop on Cooperative Product Development*, MIT, Cambridge.

Lehnert, W., Cardie, C., Fisher, D., Riloff, E. and Williams, R. 1991. "University of Massachusetts: Description of the CIRCUS System as Used for MUC-3" *Proceedings of the Third Message Understanding Conference*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., pp. 223-233.

Lehnert, W., Cardie, C., Fisher, D., Riloff, E. and Williams, R. 1991. "University of Massachusetts: MUC-3 Test Results and Analysis," in *Proceedings of the Third Message Understanding Conference*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., pp. 116-119.

Lehnert, W.G. and Sundheim, B. 1991. "A Performance Evaluation of Text Analysis Technologies," *AI Magazine*, Fall, pp. 81-94.

Lehnert, W.G., Cardie, C. and Riloff, E. 1990. Analyzing Research Papers Using Citation Sentences. In *The Twelfth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 511-518, Boston.

Lehnert, W.G. and Vine, E. (1987). "The Role of Affect in Narrative Structure," in *Cognition and Emotion*, pp. 299-322.

Lehnert, Wendy, "Case-based Problem Solving with a Large Knowledge Base of Learned Cases," in *Proceedings of the Sixth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Seattle, WA, 1987.

Lehnert, Wendy, "Learning to Integrate Syntax and Semantics," in *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Machine Learning*, Irvine, CA, 1987.

Lehnert, Wendy, "Word Pronunciation as a Problem in Case-Based Reasoning," in *Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, Seattle, WA, 1987.

Lesser, V.R. 1991. "A Retrospective View of FA/C Distributed Problem Solving," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics—Special issue on DAI*, 21(6): 1347–1362.

Lesser, V.R. 1990. An Overview of DAI: Viewing Distributed AI as Distributed Search. In *Journal of Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence—Special Issue on Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, ed. R. Nakano, 5(4):392–400, Japan.

Lesser, V.R. and Whitehair, R.C. 1990. Approximate Processing and Real-Time AI. *Proceedings of Real-Time AI Workshop*, AAAI-90, Boston, MA.

Lesser, V.R., Pavlin, J. and Durfee, E.H. (1988). "Approximate Processing in Real-Time Problem Solving," in *AI Magazine*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 49–61, Spring 1988.

Lewis, D. and Croft, W.B. 1990. Term Clustering of Syntactic Phrases. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 385–404, Brussels, Belgium.

Lewis, David D. 1990. Representation Quality in Text Retrieval: An Introduction and Experiment. *Proceedings of Speech and Natural Language Workshop*, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 288–295.

Lewis, D., Croft, W.B. and Bhandaru, N. 1989. Language-Oriented Information Retrieval. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, Vol. 4(3), pp. 285–318.

Mahling, D.E. and Croft, W.B. 1990. An Interface for the Acquisition and Display of Office Procedures. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Office Information Systems*.

Mazumdar, S., Stemple, D. and Sheard, T., "Resolving the Tension between Integrity and Security using a Theorem Prover," *Proceedings of SIGMOD 88*, June 1988. (Received best paper award.)

Moehlman, T., Lesser, V.R. and Buteau, B. 1992. Decentralized Negotiation: An Approach to the Distributed Planning Problem. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, K. Sycara (ed.), 2: 161-191. Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Moehlman, T. and Lesser, V.R. "Cooperative Planning and Decentralized Negotiation in Multi-Fireboss Phoenix," in *Proceedings of the 1990 DARPA Workshop on Innovative Approaches to Planning, Scheduling, and Control*, Texas, November 1990.

Neiman, D. "Control Issues in Parallel Rule-Firing Production Systems," in *Proceedings of the Ninth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Anaheim, CA, July 1991, pp. 310-316.

Rissland, E.L. and Skalak, D.B. CABARET: Rule Interpretation in a Hybrid Architecture. *The International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, June 1991, (34): 839-887.

Rissland, E.L. 1990. Artificial Intelligence and Law: Stepping Stones to a Model of Legal Reasoning. In *Yale Law Journal*, Vol 99, No. 8, 1957-1982.

Rissland, E.L. and Skalak, D.B. 1990. Opportunities for Learning With and Within CBR. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium on Case-Based Reasoning*, 75-79. Palo Alto, CA: American Association for Artificial Intelligence.

Rissland, E. L. 1988. "Example Selection: The Underlying Issues." In *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*.

Rissland, E.L. 1990. "Example-Based Reasoning," in *Informal Reasoning and Education*. Segal, Voss and Nickerson (eds.) Lawrence Erlbaum, pp. 187-208.

Rissland, E.L., "The Problem of Intelligent Example Selection," in *Proceedings Second Banff Knowledge Acquisition Workshop*, Banff, Canada, October 1987.

Rissland, E.L., and Ashley, K.D., "A Case-Based System for Trade Secrets Law," in *Proceedings of the First International Conference on AI and Law (ICAIL-87)*, Northeastern University, Boston, pp. 60-66.

Sheard, T. and Stemple, D., 1989. Automatic Verification of Database Transaction Safety. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, 14(3):322-368.

Sheard, T. and Stemple, D. 1988. "The Precise Control of Inheritance and the Inheritance of Theory in the ADABTPL Language," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Languages*, pp. 194-201.

Skalak, D.B., 1990. Learning Legal Rules from Legal Cases: An Experiment. Workshop on AI and Law, Eighth National Conference of Artificial Intelligence, Boston, MA: American Association for Artificial Intelligence.

Skalak, D.B., 1990. An Internal Contradiction of Case-Based Reasoning. In Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, 109-116, Cambridge, MA.

Skalak, D.B. and Rissland, E.L., 1990. Inductive Learning in a Mixed Paradigm Setting. In The Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 840-847. Boston, MA: American Association for Artificial Intelligence.

Skalak, D.B. and Rissland, E.L. 1989. Using Case-Based Reasoning to Extend the Expertise of Expert Systems. In Proceedings of the Third International Congress, Expert Systems in Law, Florence, Italy: Istituto per la Documentazione Giuridica.

Stemple, D., Simon, E., Mazumdar, S. and Jarke, M. 1990. Assuring Database Integrity. Journal of Database Administration, 1(1):12-26, Summer.

Stemple, D., Fegaras, L., Sheard, T. and Socorro, A. 1990. Exceeding the Limits of Polymorphism in Database Programming Languages. Advances in Database Technology - EDBT '90, International Conference on Extending Database Technology, Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 416, eds. F. Bancilhon, C. Thanos, D. Tsichritzis, 269-285. Venice, Italy: Springer-Verlag.

Swaminathan, K. (1988). "Along the Y-axis: A model of Integrated Learning," Knowledge-based Systems, England.

Turtle, H. and Croft, W.B. 1990. Inference Networks for Document Retrieval. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, 1-24, Brussels, Belgium.

Utgoff, P. E., and Clouse, J. A. "Two Kinds of Training Information for Evaluation Function Learning," in Proceedings of the Ninth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Anaheim, CA: AAAI Press/The MIT Press, July 1991, pp.596-600.

Utgoff, P.E. and Brodley, C.E. 1990. An Incremental Method for Finding Multivariate Splits for Decision Trees. In Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Machine Learning, 58-65, Austin, TX: Morgan Kaufmann.

Utgoff, P.E. 1989. Perceptron Trees: A Case Study in Hybrid Concept Representations. Connection Science, 1, 377-391.

Utgoff, P.E. 1989. Incremental Induction of Decision Trees. In *Machine Learning* 4(2):161-186.

Weischedel, R. et al. 1990. Natural Language Processing. In *Annual Review of Computer Science*, 4:435-52. Palo Alto, CA: Annual Review Inc.

Wermter, S. and Lehnert, W. 1989. A Hybrid Symbolic/Connectionist Model for Noun Phrase Understanding. In *Connection Science on Hybrid Models*, 1(3):255-272.

Whitehair, R. and Lesser, V.R. 1989. Implementation of a Real-Time, Approximate-Processing System. *Proceedings of the IJCAI Real-Time Workshop*, Detroit.

Woolf, B. and Cunningham, P., "Multiple Knowledge Sources in Intelligent Tutoring Systems," in *IEEE Expert*, Summer, 1987.

Woolf, B. and Cunningham, P., "Building a Community Memory for Intelligent Tutoring Systems," *Proceedings of the Sixth National Conference of Artificial Intelligence*, Morgan Kaufmann, Inc., Los Altos, CA. 1987.

Woolf, B. and Murray, T., "A Framework for Representing Tutorial Discourse," in *Proceedings of International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Morgan Kaufmann, Inc., Los Altos, CA. 1987.

Yee, R.C., Saxena, S., Utgoff, P.E. and Barto, A.G. 1990. Explaining Temporal-Differences to Create Useful Concepts for Evaluating States. In *Proceedings of the Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 882-888. Cambridge, MA: AAAI Press/The MIT Press.

Books/Book Chapters

Ashley, K.D. 1988. "Arguing by Analogy in Law: a Case-Based Model," David H. Helman, editor, *Analogical Reasoning: Perspectives of Artificial Intelligence, Cognitive Science, and Philosophy*, Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 205-224.

Barr, A., Cohen, P. and Feigenbaum, E. 1989. *The Handbook of Artificial Intelligence*, Volume IV. Reading, Mass: Addison Wesley.

Brodie, M., Bobrow, D., Lesser, V., Madnick, S., Tsichritzis, D. and Hewitt, C. 1989. "Future Artificial Intelligence Requirements for Intelligent Database Systems," *Expert Database Systems: Proceedings from the Second International Conference*, Larry Kerschberg (ed.), Benjamin/Cummings, pp. 45-62.

Carver, N. and Lesser, V. 1992. Blackboard Architectures for Knowledge-Based Signal Understanding. In Symbolic and Knowledge-Based Signal Processing, eds. Alan Oppenheim and Hamid Nawab. Prentice Hall, pp. 205-250.

Cohen, P.R. 1990. Architectures for Reasoning Under Uncertainty. In Readings in Uncertain Reasoning, eds. Glenn Shafer and Judea Pearl. San Mateo, CA: Morgan-Kaufmann, pp. 167-176.

Conry, S. E., Meyer, R. A. and Lesser, V. R. "Multistage Negotiation in Distributed Planning," in Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence, pp. 367-384, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988.

Cooley, D., Cohen, P. and Gruber, T. 1988. "Development of an Expert System to Diagnose and Advise Treatment for Apple Tree Root Disorders." *Phytopathology* 77: 1614.

Corkill, D.D., Gallagher, K.Q., and Murray, K.E. "GBB: A Generic Blackboard Development System," in Blackboard Systems, Robert S. Englemore and Anthony Morgan, editors, pp. 503-518, Addison-Wesley, 1988.

Corkill, D.D., Gallagher, K.Q. and Johnson, P.M. "Achieving Flexibility, Efficiency, and Generality in Blackboard Architectures," in Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Alan Bond and Les Gasser, editors, pp. 451-456, Morgan Kaufmann, 1988.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A.J., Lesser, V.R. and Humphrey, M. 1992. An Approach to Modeling Environment and Task Characteristics for Coordination. In Enterprise Integration Modeling: Proceedings of the First International Conference, Charles J. Petrie, Jr. (ed.). Cambridge: MIT Press. (Forthcoming)

Decker, K.S., Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R. 1989. Evaluating Research in Cooperative Distributed Problem Solving. In Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 2, eds. M. Huhns and L. Gasser, 487-519. London: Pitman Publishing.

Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Predictability Versus Responsiveness: Coordinating Problem Solvers in Dynamic Domains. In Readings in Uncertain Reasoning, eds. G. Shafer and J. Pearl, 198-203. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers. (Previously published.)

Durfee, E.H., Lesser, V.R. and Corkill, D.D. 1990. Cooperation Through Communication in a Distributed Problem-Solving Network. In Cognition, Computing, and Cooperation, eds. S. Robertson, W. Zachary, and J. Black, 159-186. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Company.

Durfee, E.H., Lesser, V.R. and Corkill, D.D. 1989. Cooperative Distributed Problem Solving. In *The Handbook of Artificial Intelligence, Volume IV*, eds. A.B. Barr, P. Cohen, and E. Feigenbaum, 83–147. Addison Wesley.

Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R. 1989. Negotiating Task Decomposition and Allocation Using Partial Global Planning. In *Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, eds. M. Huhns and L. Gasser, Vol. 2, 229–244. London: Pitman Publishing Ltd.

Durfee, E. H. "Coordination of Distributed Problem Solvers," Kluwer Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

Durfee, E. H. and Lesser, V. R. "Using Partial Global Plans to Coordinate Distributed Problem Solvers," in *Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 285–293, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988. (Previously published)

Durfee, E.H., Lesser, V.R. and Corkill, D.D. "Coherent Cooperation Among Communicating Problem Solvers," in *Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 268–284, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988. (Previously published)

Durfee, E. H. and Lesser, V. R. "Planning to Meet Deadlines in a Blackboard-based Problem Solver," in *Tutorial on Hard Real-Time Systems*, pp. 595–608, J. Stankovic and K. Ramamritham (eds.), IEEE Computer Society Press, Washington, DC, 1988.

Erman, L.D., Hayes-Roth, F., Lesser, V.R., and Reddy, D.R. "The HEARSAY-II Speech Understanding System: Integrating Knowledge to Resolve Uncertainty," in R. Englemore and T. Morgan, editors, *Blackboard Systems*, pp. 31–86, Addison-Wesley, 1988. (Previously published)

Fennell, R.D. and Lesser, V.R. "Parallelism in AI Problem Solving: A Case Study of HEARSAY-II," in *Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 106–119, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988. (Previously published)

Howe, A.E. and Cohen, P.R. 1990. Steps toward automating decision-making. *Information and Decision Technologies*. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North Holland), pp. 161–182.

Howe, Adele E. and Cohen, Paul R. 1990. How Evaluation Guides AI Research. Reprinted in *A Sourcebook of Applied Artificial Intelligence*, eds. Gerald Hoppole and Stephen Andriole. TAB Books, Inc. (Originally published in *AI Magazine*, Winter, 1988.)

Hudlick'a, E. and Lesser, V. "Modeling and Diagnosing Problem-Solving System Behavior," in *Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 490–502, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988. (Previously published)

Lander, S.E., Lesser, V.R., and Connell, M.E. 1991. Conflict Resolution Strategies for Cooperating Expert Agents. In *Cooperating Knowledge-Based Systems 1990*, ed. S.M. Deen, 183–198. Springer-Verlag.

Lander, S.E., Lesser, V.R. and Connell, M.E. 1991. Knowledge-Based Conflict Resolution for Cooperation Among Expert Agents. In *Computer-Aided Cooperative Product Development*, eds. D. Sriram, R. Logcher, and S. Fukuda, 253–268. Springer Verlag.

Lefkowitz, L.L. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Knowledge Acquisition as Knowledge Assimilation. In *Machine Learning and Uncertain Reasoning*, eds. B. Gaines and J. Boose, Knowledge-base Systems, Vol. 3, 37–48.

Lehnert, W. and Wermter, S. 1991. Noun Phrase Analysis with Connectionist Networks. In *Connectionist Approaches to Language Processing (Volume I)*, eds. Noel Sharkey and Ronan Reilly.

Lehnert, W. and Wermter, S. 1992. A Parallel Model for Compositional Similarity of Natural Language Concepts. In *Parallel Natural Language Processing*, eds. Udo Hahn and Geert Adriaens. (Forthcoming)

Lehnert, W.G. and Johnson, P. 1991. Beyond Exploratory Programming: a methodology and environment for conceptual natural language processing. In *Artificial Intelligence and Software Engineering*, ed. Derek Partridge, 409–422. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing.

Lehnert, W.G. 1991. Symbolic/Subsymbolic Sentence Analysis: Exploiting the Best of Two Worlds. In *Advances in Connectionist and Neural Computation Theory*, Vol. I, eds. J. Pollack and J. Barnden, 135–164. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing.

Lehnert, W. 1989. Possible Implications of Connectionism. In *Theoretical Issues in Natural Language Processing*, ed. Yorick Wilks, 86–90. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Lehnert, W. and Loiselle, C. 1989. An Introduction to Plot Units. In *Semantic Structures - Advances in Natural Language Processing*, ed. D. Waltz, 125–165. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Lehnert, W.G. "Knowledge-Based Natural Language Understanding," In *Exploring Artificial Intelligence* (ed: H. Shrobe). Morgan Kaufmann. [This book is

a collection of transcribed AAAI Survey talks from 1986 and 1987]. pp. 83-131. (also appearing as COINS TR#88-02)

Lesser, V.R., Fennell, R.D., Erman, L.D., and Reddy, D.R. 1993. "Organization of the Hearsay-II Speech Understanding System," in E. Feigenbaum (ed.), Building Blocks of AI, Addison-Wesley. (Forthcoming; Previously published)

Lesser, V.R. and Corkill, D.D. "The Distributed Vehicle Monitoring Testbed: A Tool for Investigating Distributed Problem Solving Networks," in R. Englemore and T. Morgan, editors, Blackboard Systems, pp. 353-386, Addison-Wesley, 1988. (Previously published)

Lesser, V.R. and Corkill, D.D. "Functionally-Accurate Cooperative Distributed Systems," in Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence, pp. 295-310, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988. (Previously published)

Lesser, V.R. and Erman, L.D. "Distributed Interpretation: A Model and an Experiment," in Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence, pp. 120-139, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988. (Previously published)

Lesser, V.R. and Erman, L.D. "A Retrospective View of the HEARSAY-II Architecture," in R. Englemore and T. Morgan, editors, Blackboard Systems, pp. 87-121, Addison Wesley, 1988. (Previously published)

Nawab, H. and Lesser, V.R. 1992. Integrated Processing and Understanding of Signals. In Symbolic and Knowledge-Based Signal Processing, eds. Alan Oppenheim and Hamid Nawab. Prentice Hall, 251-285.

Nirenburg, S. and Lesser, V.R. "Providing Intelligent Assistance in Distributed Office Environments," in Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence, pp. 590-598, A. Bond and L. Gasser (eds.), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, California, 1988.

Rissland, E.L. and Ashley, K.D. 1988. "HYPO: A Precedent-Based Case-Based Reasoning System," in Advanced Issues of Law and Information Technology, Guy Vandenberghe (ed.), Kluwer, pp. 215-236.

Wermter, S. and Lehnert, W. 1990. A Survey of Question Answering in Natural Language Processing. In Computer Models and Technology in Media Research, eds. R. Zwaann and D. Meutsch, 99-120. The Netherlands: Elsevier Publishers.

Unrefereed Reports and Articles

Anderson, S.D. and Hart, D.M. Monitoring Interval. EKS L Memo #11, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. November, 1990.

Arkin, R., Hanson, A. and Riseman, E. "Visual Strategies for Mobile Robot Navigation," in Proceedings of IEEE Computer Society Workshop on Computer Vision, Miami, FL, November 1987, pp. 176-181.

Carver, N., Cvetanovic, Z. and Lesser, V. Sophisticated Cooperation in FA/C Distributed Problem Solving Systems. Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Technical Report 91-23.

Carver, N. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Control for Interpretation: Planning to Resolve Sources of Uncertainty. Technical Report 90-53, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Cohen, Paul R. and Howe, Adele E. 1990. Benchmarks Are Not Enough; Evaluation Metrics Depend on the Hypothesis. Contributed paper to The Workshop on Benchmarks and Metrics, Moffett Field, CA.

Cohen, Paul R., Howe, Adele E., and Hart, David M. 1989. Intelligent Real-Time Problem Solving: Issues and Examples. Intelligent Real-Time Problem Solving: Workshop Report, ed. Lee D. Erman, Section IX, 1-34. Santa Cruz, CA.

Cohen, Paul R., Greenberg, Michael L., Hart, David M., and Howe, Adele E. 1990. Trial by Fire: Understanding the Design Requirements for Agents in Complex Environments. Reprinted in Nikkei Artificial Intelligence, 102-119, Nikkei Business Publications, Inc. (Originally published in AI Magazine, 32-48, Fall 1989.)

Cohen, P.R., Hart, D.M. and Devadoss, J.K. Models and Experiments to Probe the Factors that Affect Plan Completion Times for Multiple Fires in Phoenix. EKS L Memo #17, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. December 1990.

Cohen, P.R. Methodological Problems, A Model-Based Design and Analysis Methodology, and an Example. (Invited paper.) Proceedings of the International Symposium on Methodologies for Intelligent Systems. Knoxville, TN, October 1990, pp. 33-50.

Cohen, P. Comments on Saffiotti's "An AI View of the Treatment of Uncertainty". In The Knowledge Engineering Review. Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 70-72.

Cohen, P. 1987. Response to Review by Constantin Negoita of Heuristic Reasoning about Uncertainty: An Artificial Intelligence Approach. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, Vol. 2, No. 4.

Cohen, P. (1987). "A Brief History and Prospects of Knowledge Systems in Resource Management," Text of keynote speech to 4th Annual Meeting of Forest Resources Systems Institute. May, 1987. The Compiler. November 1987, pp. 7-12.

Corkill, D.D. "Design Alternatives for Parallel and Distributed Blackboard Systems," in Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Blackboard Systems (sponsored by AAI), St. Paul, Minnesota.

Corkill, D.D. 1988. "Design Alternatives for Parallel and Distributed Blackboard Systems," University of Massachusetts/ Amherst, Department of Computer Science Technical Report 88-38.

Dadashzadeh, M. and Stemple, D. W., "A Framework for Comparative Study of Relational Query Optimization Schemes," Proceedings of The Eighteenth Annual Meeting of the Decision Sciences Institute, Southeast Region, February 1988.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A., Lesser, V.R. and Humphrey, M. 1992. An Approach to Modeling Environment and Task Characteristics for Coordination. AAI Workshop on Enterprise Integration, San Jose.

Decker, K.S. and Lesser, V.R. 1992. Analyzing Quantitative Coordination Relationships. Working Papers of the 11th International Workshop on Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Ann Arbor, MI. (Also UMASS Technical Report 91-83.)

Decker, K.S. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Extending the Partial Global Planning Framework for Cooperative Distributed Problem-Solving Network Control. Technical Report 90-81, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Decker, K.S., Garvey, A.J., Humphrey, M.A. and Lesser, V.R. 1990. Effects of Parallelism on Blackboard System Scheduling. Technical Report 90-54, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Decker, K., Garvey, A., Humphrey, M. and Lesser, V. Real-Time Control of Approximate Processing. Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Technical Report 91-50.

Decker, K.S., Lesser, V.R. and Whitehair, R.C. 1990. Extending a Blackboard Architecture for Approximate Processing. Technical Report 89-115, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Draper, B., Collins, R., Brolio, J., Hanson, A. and Riseman, E. "The Schema System," University of Massachusetts/ Amherst, Department of Computer and Information Science Technical Report 88-76, October 1988.

Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R., (1988). "Negotiation Through Partial Global Planning," presented at The 1988 Workshop on Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Lake Arrowhead, California, May 1988.

Durfee, E.H. and Lesser, V.R. (1987). "Planning Coordinated Actions in Dynamic Domains" in Proceedings of the DARPA Knowledge-based Planning Workshop, pp. 18-1—18-10, Austin, Texas, December.

Dutta, R., Manmatha, R., Riseman, E. and Snyder, M. "Issues in Extracting Motion Parameters and Depth from Approximate Translation Motion," University of Massachusetts/ Amherst, Department of Computer and Information Science Technical Report 88-52, May 1988.

Fisher, David E. 1990. Common Lisp Analytical Statistics Package (CLASP). Technical Report 90-85, Experimental Knowledge Systems Laboratory, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Gallagher, K.Q. and Corkill, D.D. (1988). "Blackboard Retrieval Strategies in GBB," University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Department of Computer and Information Science Technical Report 88-39, September.

Gallagher, K.Q., Corkill, D.D. and Johnson, P.M. (1988). GBB Reference Manual, GBB Version 1.2 edition, September. Also appears as University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Department of Computer and Information Science Technical Report 88-66, September.

Garvey, A. and Lesser, V.R. Design-to-time Real-Time Scheduling Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Technical Report 91-72.

Greenberg, Michael and Westbrook, David L. 1990. The Phoenix Testbed. Technical Report 90-19, Experimental Knowledge Systems Laboratory, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Hansen, E.A. A Model for Wind in Phoenix. EKSL Memo #12, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. December, 1990.

Hart, David M. and Cohen, Paul R. 1990. Phoenix: A Testbed for Shared Planning Research. In Notes from the The Workshop on Benchmarks and Metrics. Also Notes from the Workshop on Benchmarks and Metrics, Technical Report #FIA-91-06, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA.

Hart, D.M., Anderson, S.D. and Cohen, P.R. 1990. "Envelopes as a Vehicle for Improving the Efficiency of Plan Execution," Technical Report 90-21, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Hildum, D.W., and Corkill, D.D. 1990. Solving Dynamic Sequencing Problems. Technical Report 90-63, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Howe, A.E. Did We Measure What We Thought? Problems with the Method Cost Measure. EKSL Memo #16, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst. August 1991.

Howe, Adele E. and Cohen, Paul R. 1990. Responding to Environmental Change. Technical Report 90-23, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Howe, A. and Cohen, P., "Dynamic Construction of Decisions," University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Computer and Information Science Department Technical Report 87-62.

Kulkarni, S.S., and Corkill, D.D. 1990. Use of Conceptual Clustering Techniques for Manufacturing Systems Layout Design. Proceedings of the Integrated Manufacturing Architectures Workshop, AAAI-90, Boston, MA.

Lander, S.E., Lesser, V.R. and Connell, M.E. 1992. Negotiated Search: Cooperative Search Among Heterogeneous Expert Agents. Proceedings of the Workshop on Cooperation Among Heterogeneous Intelligent Systems (AAAI-92), San Jose.

Lander, S.E. and Lesser, V.R. 1991. Negotiated Search: A Framework for Cooperative Design. University of Massachusetts Computer Science Technical Report 91-79.

Lander, S. Knowledge-Based Systems as Cooperating Experts. Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Technical Report 91-28.

Lander, S. and Lesser, V.R., "Negotiation to Resolve Conflicts Among Design Experts," in Proceedings of the 1988 Workshop on AI in Design, held in conjunction with AAAI-88, St. Paul, Minnesota, August 1988.

Lander, S. and Lesser, V.R., "Negotiation Among Cooperating Experts," in Proceedings of the 1988 Workshop on Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Lake Arrowhead, California, May 1988.

Lefkowitz, L.S. and Croft, W.B. 1990. Interactive Planning for Knowledge-based Task Management, Technical Report CSL-90-3, Collaborative Systems Laboratory, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/Amherst.

Lehnert, W.G. Case-Based Reasoning Symposium Report. AI Magazine 11(3):29, Fall 1990.

Lehnert, W.G. Natural Language Understanding and Human Memory. Computer World '90 Artificial Intelligence and HyperMedia, 96-103. Kobe, Japan, 1990.

Lehnert, Wendy 1988. "Physics, Cognition, and Connectionism: An Interdisciplinary Alchemy," an invited commentary in response to Paul Smolensky's "On the Proper Treatment of Connectionism," for Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 11(1): 40-41.

Lehnert, Wendy, "Possible Implications of Connectionism," (invited) Third Annual Conference on Theoretical Issues in Natural Language Processing, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 1987.

Lehnert, Wendy, 1988. "The Analysis of Nominal Compounds" (invited) Versus: Quaderni di studi semiotici, a special issue on Meaning and Mental Representations edited by Umberto Eco, Marco Santambrogio, and Patrizia Violi; VS 44/45, Indiana University Press, pp. 155-179.

Lehnert, Wendy, "Utilizing Episodic Memory for the Integration of Syntax and Semantics," Counselor Project Technical Memo #15, University of Massachusetts, 1986.

Lesser et al. 1991. Integrated Signal Processing and Signal Understanding. University of Massachusetts Computer Science Technical Report 91-34.

Lesser, V.R., Corkill, D.D., Hernandez, J.A., and Whitehair, R.C. (1988). "Goal Relationships in Blackboard Architectures," appeared in Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Blackboard Systems (sponsored by AAAI), pp. 73-87, St. Paul, Minnesota, August. (Also a University of Massachusetts/Amherst Computer and Information Science Department Technical Report 88-19, March 1988.)

Lesser, V.R. (1988). Foreword to E. H. Durfee's "Coordination of Distributed Problem Solvers," Kluwer Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

Mahling, D.E. 1990. A Visual Language for Knowledge Acquisition, Display and Animation by Domain Experts and Novices. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts.

Mahling, D.E., Sandvik, O.A. and Croft, W.B. 1990. Supporting Collaboration in Project Management. Technical Report 90-11, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Neiman, D. Parallel OPS5: User's Manual and Technical Report. Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Technical Report 91-1.

Neiman, D. Control in Parallel Production Systems: A Research Prospectus. Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst Technical Report 91-2.

Silvey, P.E. Phoenix Baseline Fire Spread Models. EKSL Memo #13, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. December, 1990.

Silvey, P. 1990. Relational Table Manager Users Manual. Technical Report 90-86, Experimental Knowledge Systems Laboratory, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts/ Amherst.

Stemple, D., Socorro, A. and Sheard, T. (1988). "Formalizing Objects for Databases Using ADABTPL," in Advances in Object-Oriented Database Systems--Second International Workshop on Object-Oriented Database Systems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 334, K.R. Dittrich (ed.), Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 110-128.

Stemple, D. and Sheard, T. "The Construction and Calculus of types for Database Systems," Proceedings of the Workshop on Database Programming Languages, Roscoff, Finistere, France, September, 1987.

Utgoff, P. E. and Heitman, P. S. (1987). "Learning to Predict Noise Level for PWB Layout," COINS Technical Report 87-118, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, November 1987.

Williams, L. and Hanson, A. "Translating Optical Flow into Token Matches and Depth from Looming," University of Massachusetts/ Amherst, Department of Computer and Information Science Technical Report 88-68, September 1988.