D-A282 03			
	June 16, 1994	Reprin	:
SOLAR OBSERVATIONS	ON MAGNETO-CONVECTION		PE 61102F PR 2311
			TA G3
George W. Simon			WU 22
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a			· · · · · ·
Phillips Lab/GPSS 29 Randolph Road			
Hanscom AFB, MA 017		TIC_	PL-TR-94-2169
		.ECTE	
	J		
	•	Γ	
	Workshop on Gravitation e, Tegernsee, FRG May 28		ction and Accretion at
	ta malaanaa ddabadhubdaa	limited	
	ic release; distribution	n unlimited	
	ic release; distribution	n unlimited	
	ic release; distribution	n unlimited	
Approved for publ:	ic release; distribution	n unlimited	
Approved for publ:			stocaboro
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described	esolution observations , as well as new techni	of the solar pho ques for image 1	reconstruction
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea	esolution observations , as well as new techni ed atmospherically-dist l various large-scale v	of the solar pho ques for image f urbed images. T velocity structur	reconstruction These observations tes and their
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m	resolution observations , as well as new techni ed atmospherically-dist il various large-scale v agnetic fields and oper	of the solar pho ques for image p curbed images. T relocity structur a up new possibil	reconstruction These observations tes and their
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m magnetoconvec	resolution observations , as well as new techni ed atmospherically-dist l various large-scale v agnetic fields and oper tion studies of the sol	of the solar pho ques for image p curbed images. T relocity structur a up new possibil	reconstruction These observations tes and their
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m	resolution observations , as well as new techni ed atmospherically-dist l various large-scale v agnetic fields and oper tion studies of the sol	of the solar pho ques for image p curbed images. T relocity structur a up new possibil	reconstruction These observations tes and their
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m magnetoconvec	resolution observations as well as new technic ad atmospherically-dist al various large-scale we agnetic fields and oper stion studies of the sol 782	of the solar pho ques for image f curbed images. T relocity structur up new possibil ar surface.	reconstruction These observations res and their ities for
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m magnetoconvec <b>94-19</b>	resolution observations l, as well as new technic ed atmospherically-dist al various large-scale values magnetic fields and oper tion studies of the sol 782	of the solar pho ques for image f curbed images. T velocity structur a up new possibil ar surface.	reconstruction These observations res and their ities for
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m magnetoconvec <b>94-19</b>	resolution observations as well as new technic ad atmospherically-dist al various large-scale vi- agnetic fields and oper stion studies of the sol 782 9	of the solar photographic of the solar photographic of the solar photographic of the solar photographic of the solar surface.	econstruction these observations tes and their ities for 8 126
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m magnetoconvec <b>94-19</b>	resolution observations as well as new technic ad atmospherically-dist al various large-scale vector agnetic fields and oper stion studies of the sol <b>782</b> <b>9</b> struction, Magnetoconvector	of the solar photographic of the solar photographic of the solar photographic of the solar photographic of the solar surface.	econstruction these observations tes and their ities for 8 126
Approved for publ: Recent high-r are described of ground-bas already revea relation to m magnetoconvec <b>94-19</b> <b>14. SUBJECT TERMIS</b> Solar image recons	resolution observations as well as new techni- and atmospherically-dist al various large-scale vector agnetic fields and oper- stion studies of the sol <b>782</b> <b>9</b> struction, Magnetoconvector Granulation	of the solar pho ques for image r curbed images. The relocity structur a up new possibil ar surface. 4 6 2 ction, Velocity	teconstruction These observations tes and their titles for <b>8 126</b> (15. PLOADER OF PAGE 6 (16. PRICE CODE

J9-14 MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PHYSIK UND ASTROPHYSIK INSTITUT FÜR ASTROPHYSIK

# PROCEEDINGS

## of the Workshop on

## **GRAVITATION, MAGNETO-CONVECTION AND ACCRETION**

at the Ringberg Castle, Tegernsee, FRG May 28 - May 31, 1989

Bernd Schmidt, Hermann-Ulrich Schmidt, Hans-Christoph Thomas (eds.) Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik Institut für Astrophysik Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1 D-8046 Garching, FRG

		the second se		
Accesion For				
NTIS (		Ŋ		
DTIC 1				
Unannounced C				
Justification				
By Distribution /				
Availability Codes				
Dist	Avail a Spe			
A-1	20			

Technical Library National Solar Observatory Sunspot, NM 88349

Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 1 · 8046 Garching bei München

# Solar Observations On Magneto-Convection

George W. Simon

Geophysics Laboratory (AFSC) National Solar Observatory (Sacramento Peak) Sunspot, NM 88349 USA

# Introduction

I am very pleased that I was invited to participate in this celebration for Friedrich Meyer and Jürgen Ehlers, and I congratulate them both for their long and still ongoing very productive careers. This invitation continues a long-standing relationship between the MPI für Astrophysik and the Sacramento Peak Observatory. When I came to Sac Peak as a new Ph.D. in 1963, my first neighbor in the other half of our duplex house was Hermann Schmidt, who was shortly later visited by Friedrich Meyer. So I have known them both during my entire professional career. We have since worked and visited together on a number of occasions, most recently in 1987 at Sac Peak.

Today I want to show you some examples of the very exciting new observations of motions at the solar surface. They have opened a new era in the study of solar magnetoconvection. I regret very much that Prof. Biermann, who played such a key role in developing our ideas of stellar convection, is not here to enjoy these results. The observations began with the Space Shuttle flight of Spacelab 2 in July 1985, and continue now with high-quality ground-based data from Sac Peak. Pic du Midi, and La Palma. Almost all of the work described below was done by Alan Title and his collaborators at the Lockheed Palo Alto Research Laboratory, in some cases together with Larry November and me at Sac Peak. Peter Brandt at the Kiepenheuer Institut, and Goran Scharmer of the Swedish Solar Observatory (SSO). Alan has generously permitted me to present these observations to you. Much of the material of this talk is shown as movies. In this written version I will describe results from the movies and include some figures to illustrate the major points.

#### Background

First a little background: With the invention of the spectroheliograph by Hale (1) in 1892, photographs of chromospheric lines like CaK and H $\alpha$  showed a network structure, as though God had draped a sort of fish-net over the Sun. A typical mesh element or cell has a diameter of 30 Mm. No one understood the source of the network until 1960, when Leighton modified Hale's spectroheliograph so that one could obtain Doppler and Zeeman (i.e., velocity and magnetic) photographs. Immediately he and his collaborators (2,3) discovered both the now-well-known five-minute oscillations and a large-scale convective flow pattern which he named supergranulation. Supergranules lie within the network pattern, have the same diameter, and it seemed likely then that these cellular flows push magnetic fields to their boundaries where they congregate into the observed network pattern. Unfortunately supergranules were hard to observe, since they have primarily horizontal motions, and therefore cannot be studied at disc center with the Doppler effect. In 1965 at the MPI für Astrophysik I attempted to use granules as tracers of the large-scale flows, but managed to show only statistically that granules tend to move from the center to the boundaries of supergranules. The granules are distorted and shifted from their real positions by the Earth's atmosphere. Typically these distortions (noise) were  $100 \times$  larger than the actual motions (signal) of the granules, so it appeared impractical to pursue this matter further. Therefore, after this paper was published (4) as part of Prof. Biermann's 60th birthday Festschrift, no work was done in this area for 20 yr. It seems very appropriate then, at this similar occasion 23 yr later, that I can at last show you what the granule motions really are.

# **Observations**

Let us start with Spacelab 2 observations of the quiet Sun obtained with the Lockheed Solar Optical Universal Polarimeter (SOUP) (5). If one observes a time-series of such photographs in "slow" motion (say 10× real-time), one can scarcely discern any change at all between successive snapshots, since these data are essentially free of distortions; i.e., we now have a S/N ratio larger than unity (perhaps  $300 \times$  bigger than in the earlier ground-based photos). If we now speed up such a movie to about 500× real-time, we do indeed see lots of granule motions, but at first glance they seem to be random, without a well-defined pattern. If one looks more carefully, however, many patterns emerge. For example, one sees many "exploding" granules, first noted by Rösch and Hugon (13) at Pic du Midi 30 yr ago. The new observations indicate that there are far more exploders then previously thought. Rösch had realized that exploders tend to repeat (or new ones emerge) at the same locations on the Sun. Now we see that these special loci are at or near the centers of the diverging flows which define supergranules and mesogranules. The mesogranules, an intermediate scale of convection (5-10 Mm in diameter) between granules and supergranules, were first seen by November (6) in 1981, but not well observed until these Spacelab 2 observations. Now it appears that they, rather than the better-known supergranules, may be the dominant scale of convection, other than granules, at the surface.

The method for seeing granule motions most easily is to compute the horizontal velocity vectors at each point in the field-of-view by measuring the shifts in positions of granules between successive photographs taken a few seconds apart. From the vector components  $(v_x \text{ and } v_y)$ , one then calculates the divergence:

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} \tag{1}$$

from which it follows obviously that sources are the loci of maxima of  $\Delta$ , and sinks minima. In Figure 1 are shown six examples of sources (on the left) and six sinks (on the right) with the divergence shown as contours. The Sun is covered by such objects. To gain further insight about these motions, we place a uniform distribution of test particles (corks) over the field-of-view, and let each move according to the local velocity vector at its position. The corks move rapidly (in less than 1 hr) from source regions into the surrounding network, and then slowly (over many hours) congregate at sinks. This is illustrated in Figure 2 for a  $65 \times 65$  arcsec area of the SOUP image. The significance of the cork motions will be discussed later.

In addition to sources and sinks we have also discovered vortex motions in the SOUP data. In this case we can compute the vertical component of the vorticity from the horizontal velocity vectors:

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y}$$
(2)

Twelve such examples are shown in Figure 3. which contains six clockwise swirls on the left, and six counterclockwise on the right. Recently Brandt *et al.* (7) have described a particularly strong vortex which they observed at the SSO on La Palma. This vortex was associated with a strong sink, whereas many of the SOUP vortices have no sinks or sources in their immediate vicinity.

Up to now we have been discussing convection on the quiet Sun, away from magnetic regions. Let me turn now to magneto-convective phenomena. It seems to me that only the strong magnetic fields of sunspots are very effective in inhibiting convection at the solar surface. With SOUP we observed for the first time that normal photospheric convection is diverted by the sunspot, resulting in a strong radial outflow in the photosphere immediately adjacent to the penumbra-photosphere boundary. Granules observed in this roughly 5 arcsec wide annulus around the sunspot follow this pattern. Pores, which have field strengths only half as large as sunspots, say 1500 G compared to 3000 G, are barely able to withstand encroachment by photospheric granules. have continually changing shapes and sizes. and lifetimes of minutes or hours, compared to sunspots which may exist for many days. One also has a visual impression that granules are flowing into pores, just opposite the pattern around a sunspot. The presence of magnetic field in a region of pores does have another effect on the convection, because supergranules, which have diameters of 30 Mm in the quiet Sun, are only half that size, or even less, near pores and sunspots.

Unfortunately the SOUP instrument produced only white-light movies but no Doppler or Zeeman images. It was possible, however, to compare the SOUP data with a 9-hr series of magnetograms obtained at Big Bear Solar Observatory (BBSO) which ran from about 4 hr before, until 4 hr after, the SOUP observations. The results (8) are striking. To describe them, we again utilize the corks discussed earlier. The question we have in mind is whether we can use the corks as surrogates for magnetic flux elements; i.e., do the motions and positions of corks generated purely by granule motions have any relation to the behavior of the actual magnetic field? The answer is an unqualified yes, and comes from several correlations:

- 1) Both corks and field are excluded from mesogranular and supergranular source regions.
- 2) Both aggregate at network boundaries and sinks.
- 3) Wherever two opposing velocity flows meet, the field and corks pile up at this intersection. along the line perpendicular to both flow patterns.

These results are summarized in Figure 4, a superposition of a BBSO magnetogram (with opposite polarities shown is bright and dark) and corks after 12 hr of evolution from an initially uniform distribution. Four supergranules are labeled in Figure 4. The sunspot lies to the right of number 4 centered in the dark region where two corks are seen; a number of pores lie to the right of number 2, in the dark region centered on a line drawn from number 1 to 3. The correlation between cork and magnetic field locations is readily apparent, and is quite remarkable when one considers that the cork motions were all derived from only 27 min of granulation data, and the velocity field was then artificially extended 4 hr backwards and forwards in time to match the length of the BBSO movie. This is an important result, because it strongly suggests that the flow patterns at the solar surface change very little over a time-scale of 5–10 hr. Thus the underlying convection phenomena must have at least comparable lifetimes.

I close this section on observational results with some additional results obtained by the Lockheed group at Sac Peak (9) and La Palma (10). The Sac Peak and one of the La Palma time-series show sunspot groups in which several of the sunspots (consider them to be "foot points" of the magnet.c field) separate from others in the group, or move in a circle about the others. Such motions in earlier observations (Neidig et al. (14) at Sac Peak and Moore et al. (15) in Huntsville) are known to result in stress buildup in the magnetic configuration, leading to flares. In these new observations the same occurs. Simultaneous H $\alpha$  data show small flares just in the areas of maximum footpoint motion. It may thus become possible to predict when and where flares will occur simply by measuring granule motions in active regions. One of the La Palma time-series also shows magnetic field reconnection, in which flux streaming outward from a sunspot meets opposite polarity flux in the surrounding region. The latter flux disappears as a result of the contact. Another phenomenon is the emergence of new flux within supergranules, which then moves quickly toward the nearest cell boundary. The final La Palma time-series is of a sunspot, obtained by Scharmer (11) last summer. In this excellent movie, which was processed by Shine at Lockheed, one has several visual impressions:

- 1) Dark features are moving radially outward.
- 2) Bright features are moving inward, bend down sharply at the umbra, and connect to bright umbral dots within the umbra.
- 3) Granules stream outward into the surrounding photosphere from the outer edge of the penumbra (as noted earlier with SOUP data).

## Modeling

Nigel Weiss and I have begun simple modeling (12) of the radial and azimuthal velocities of sources, sinks, and vorticies. I will conclude my talk by describing some of our efforts to date. First consider axisymmetric radial flow from a source in the anelastic approximation. If we assume a gaussian velocity potential:

$$\phi(r) = \frac{1}{2} V R e^{-(r/R)^2},$$
(3)

so that the radial velocity is given by:

$$v(r) = V(r/R)e^{-(r/R)^2},$$
(4)

then the divergence is:

$$\Delta(r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} [rv(r)] = \frac{2V}{R} [1 - (r/R)^2] e^{-(r/R)^2}.$$
 (5)

The functions v(r) and  $\Delta(r)$  have the shapes shown in Figure 5, from which V and R are obtained simply as  $V = 2.332v_o$  and  $R = 1.414r_o$ . Note that the functions in equations 3, 4, and 5 are completely determined by the two parameters V (strength) and R (size) of the sources. (In Figure 5 we have set V = R = 1).

We use this model to fit a source seen in the vicinity of the La Palma vortex of Brandt et al. (7), and show the result in Figure 6. The observed divergence (shown as circles) and radial velocity (squares) are seen to agree well with our model (solid lines), even though the source is elongated and irregular in shape, not at all axisymmetric. Beyond about 1.5 arcsec from the source center the observational and model curves diverge; here the effects of neighboring sources and sinks distort the data (the model, of course, is for the idealized case of an isolated source).

In similar fashion we describe the azimuthal flow in a vortex by assuming a gaussian vorticity distribution:

$$\zeta(r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} [rw(r)] = \frac{V}{R} e^{-(r/R)^2},$$
(6)

so that:

$$w(r) = \frac{VR}{2r} \left[ 1 - e^{-(r/R)^2} \right],$$
(7)

with a circulation:

$$\Gamma(r) = 2\pi r w(r) = \pi V R \left[ 1 - e^{-(r/R)^2} \right].$$
(8)

These functions are illustrated in Figure 7 (again plotted with V = R = 1), from which we obtain  $V = 3.134v_o$  and  $R = 0.8921r_o$ . With these equations we fit the observed vortex, as can be seen in Figure 8. In this case the vorticity is shown as circles, the azimuthal velocity as squares, and the circulation as  $\times$ 's, each compared to a solid line representing the model. As in the previous example, the vortex is far from being isolated or axisymmetric; nevertheless, the fit is good out to a radius of 3.5 arcsec.

It is encouraging that such a simple model does such a creditable job of representing the observed flow patterns, and we plan to extend it in the near future to describe both "normal" and "exploding" granules.

Finally, I wish again to thank Hermann Schmidt for inviting me to this meeting, and offer my best wishes to Friedrich Meyer and Jürgen Ehlers that they will be able to continue their research for many more years.

#### References

- [1] Hale, G. 1892, Astr. & Astr. hys. 11, 407.
- [2] Leighton, R., Noyes, R., and Simon, G. 1962, Ap.J., 135, 474.
- [3] Simon, G. and Leighton, R. 1J64, Ap.J. 140, 1120.
- [4] Simon, G. 1967, Zs. Ap. 65, 345.
- [5] Title, A., Tarbell, T., Simon, G. and the SOUP Team 1986, Adv. Space Res. 6, 253.
- [6] November, L., Toomre, J., Gebbie, K. and Simon, G. 1981, Ap.J. 245, L123.
- [7] Brandt, P., Scharmer, G., Ferguson, S., Shine, R., Tarbell, T. and Title, A. 1988, Nature, 335, 238.
- [8] Simon, G., Title, A., Topka, K., Tarbell, T., Shine, R., Ferguson, S., Zirin, H. and the SOUP Team 1988, Ap.J. 327, 964.
- [9] Title, A., Tarbell, T. and Topka, K. 1987, Ap.J. 317, 892.
- [10] Title, A. 1988, unpublished, private communication.
- [11] Scharmer, G. and Shine, R. 1988, unpublished, private communication.
- [12] Simon, G. and Weiss, N. 1989, Ap.J. 345, (in press, 15 Oct).
- [13] Rösch, J. and Hugon, M. 1959, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 249, 625.
- [14] Neidig, D., DeMastus, H. and. Wiborg, P. 1978, AFGL-TR-78-0194.
- [15] Moore, R., Hagyard, M. and Davis, J. 1987, Solar Phys. 113, 347.