AD-A281 770

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT N00014-89-J-1828

R&T Code 3132080

Abstract Report #1

CHIRAL RECOGNITION IN MOLECULAR AND MACROMOLECULAR PAIRS OF LIQUID CRYSTALS OF (2R, 3S)- AND (2S, 3S)-2-FLUORO-3-METHYLPENTYL 4'-(11-VINYLOXYUNDECANYLOXY)BIPHENYL-4-CARBOXYLATE DIASTEREOMERS

by

V. Percec, H. Oda, P. L. Rinaldi and D. R. Hensley

Published

in the

Macromolecules, 27, 12 (1994)

Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH 44106-7202

June 30, 1994

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.







8 V43

| | | | - | | i | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| x REPORT | | UMENTATION F | PAG | iE | | OMB No 0704-0183 |
| a the state of the second | 2202-4102 | ion is estimated to everage 1 hour o lifting and reviewing the collection o during the ourgen, to washington b and to the Office of Management as | | onie including the time for r mation - linnd comments regi ortens linnikes, Directorate fo let Paper work Reduction Pro | eviewing in Meing this t Minformationetic | structions searching existing a risk sources burden estimate or any other incert of this on Operations and Reports (715) tetresion 1883 Washington (62,000) |
| A AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave I | (ank) | 2. REPORT DATE | | I REPORT TYPE AN | O DATE | COVERED |
| | | Tune 30 100 | | Abetraet | Dono | |
| | | 0 ulle 30, 199 | 4 | ADSLIDCT | Repo | |
| Chiral Recognit molecular Pairs and (25, 35)-2-2 | ion i of I Fluor | n Molecular an Liquid Crystals 10-3-methylpent | d M of yl | acro- (2R, 3S)- 4'-(| NO | 0014-89-J-1828 |
| V. Percec, H. Od D. R. Hensley | a, P. | L. Rinaldi an | d | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Department of Ma Case Western Per | | 5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10 lecular Scien | ce | | 8. PERI Repo | ORMING ORGANIZATION ORT NUMBER |
| Cleveland, OH | 44106 | -7202 | | | NO | 0014-89-J-1828 |
| | C 1 1 2 1 | | | | | |
| Department of Navy | GENCT | NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E | 5) | | 10. SPO AGE | NSORING/MONITORING NCY REPORT NUMBER |
| Office of Naval Re 800 North Quincy S Arlington, VA 222 | searci treet 17-50(| י 00 | | | Ab | stract Report #1 |
| 11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | | |
| Macromolecules, | <u>27</u> , | 12 (1994) | | | | |
| 122. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT | Y STATE | MENT | | | 12b. DIS | TRIBUTION CODE |
| | | | | | | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo | rds) | | | | | |
| (2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro and (2S, 3S)-2-fluoro-3- diastereomers and their com molecular weight distribut identical to those of 16 and compared only by superim Both monomers display polymers exhibit enantious binary mixtures of 15 and within all their mesophase: of the monomers whose ph 16) shows that the structur enantiotropic SA, SC [*] and copolymeric systems show the absence of chiral molec of diastereomers are most fr | b-3-meth methylp respond tion we posing t enantion ropic S, 16 and s and ov ase diag ral unit d SX m s that th ular rece equently | hylpentyl 4'-(11-vinyloxy ventyl 4'-(11-vinyloxyun ing homopolymers and co re synthesized and charac 6) respectively. The pha he dependence of their tra- tropic SA, monotropic SA, SC [*] and SX (unidenti poly(15) with poly(16) se rer the entire range of con- ram displays an eutectic. s derived from the two of esophases. The phase bo- test form ideal solutions work ognition between the 2R and y different, these results and | rundec ndecan polym cteriz ase be ansitio SC [*] a ified s shows mposi The i diaste ehavio within and 2S re of u | canyloxy)biphenyl-4 nyloxy)biphenyl-4- ners with well define ed. The phase beha havior of the two di on temperatures as a and crystalline phase smectic) mesophase is that they are misci- tions. This is in con- investigation of the te- reomeric monomer- or of all these binar- all their mesophase is stereogenic centers. | -carboxy carboxy d molecu aviors o function ses, whi s. The bible and ntrast to binary co s are iso ry mono s and th Since t | ylate (15) (2R 92%ee) late (16) (2S 92%ee) lar weight and narrow f 15 and poly(15) are meric polymers can be n of molecular weight. Ile the corresponding phase behavior of the therefore isomorphic the crystalline phases polymers poly(15-co- omorphic within their meric, polymeric and erefore, demonstrated the physical properties |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS | | | وست البوسي | r | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES |
| | | | | | | 16. PRICE CODE |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT | 18. SE OF | CURITY CLASSIFICATION THIS PAGE | 19. | SECURITY CLASSIFIC | ATION | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT |
| unciassified | ' | unclassified | 1 | unclassifie | d | UL |

45

Chiral Recognition in Molecular and Macromolecular Pairs of Liquid Crystals of (2R, 3S)- and (2S, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-methylpentyl 4'-(11-Vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4-carboxylate Diastereomers

> V. Percec* and H. Oda Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH 44106

P. L. Rinaldi and D. R. Hensley Department of Chemistry University of Akron Akron, OH 44325

| Accu | ssion For | |
|-------|-----------|----------------|
| MTIS | GRA&I | IT |
| DTIC | TAB | й |
| Unam | nounced | Ē |
| Just | fication_ | |
| | ····· | |
| By | | |
| Distr | ibution/ | €. |
| Avai | lability | Codes |
| | Avail and | /or |
| Dist | Special | . |
| . 1 | | |
| Q-1 | 1 | 1 |
| ľ | 1 1 | ш _е |
| | | |

* To whom all correspondence should be addressed

٩

Ł

ŧ

(2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-methylpentyl 4'-(11-vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4carboxylate (15) (2R 92%ee) and (2S, 3S)-2-fluoro-3-methylpentyl 4'-(11vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4-carboxylate (16) (2S 96%ee) diastereomers and their corresponding homopolymers and copolymers with well defined molecular weight and narrow molecular weight distribution were synthesized and characterized. The phase behaviors of 15 and poly(15) are identical to those of 16 and poly(16) respectively. The phase behavior of the two diastereomeric polymers can be compared only by superimposing the dependence of their thermal transition temperatures as a function of molecular weight. Both monomers display enantiotropic S_A , monotropic S_C^* and crystalline phases, while the corresponding polymers exhibit enantiotropic S_A , S_C^* and S_X (unidentified smectic) mesophases. The phase behavior of the binary mixtures of 15 with 16 and poly(15) with poly(16) shows that they are miscible and therefore isomorphic within all their mesophases and over the entire range of compositions. This is in contrast to the crystalline phases of the monomers whose phase diagram displays an eutectic. The investigation of the binary copolymers poly(15-co-16) shows that the structural units derived from the two diastereometric monometrs are isomorphic within their enantiotropic S_A , S_C^* and S_X mesophases. The phase behavior of all these binary monomeric, polymeric, and copolymeric systems shows that they form ideal solutions within all their mesophases and therefore, demonstrates the absence of chiral molecular recognition between the 2R and 2S stereogenic centers. Since the physical properties of diastereomers are most frequently different, these results are of unusual interest.

Introduction

Stereochemistry is the most sensitive tool for probing the structural details on how molecules "see" each other as they come together to form crystals and transition states. Therefore, it represents the most powerful tool available in chemistry for the study and manipulation of molecular shapes and symmetry properties.¹ The most notable series of studies in this field refers to the investigation of chiral molecular recognition in monolayers of pure enantiomers and their pairs as well as in monolayers of pure diastereomers and their mixtures.^{1a} Enantiomers are considered to be perfect physical and chemical models for each other since their properties, with the exception of the rotation of polarized light and those that involve their interactions with other chiral systems, are identical. Chiral discrimination between the properties of monolayers formed by pure enantiomers and their mixtures was always observed,^{1, 2} with the notable exception of phosphatidylcholines.³

In the field of molecular thermotropic liquid crystals, there are only few examples in which the phase diagrams of binary mixtures of enantiomeric compounds were investigated.^{1c, 4, 5} Only in three of these cases^{4a, c, d} it has been observed that chiral molecular recognition occurs and it increases the phase transition temperatures of the 1/1 molar mixture by comparison to the one of the pure enantiomers.

There are much fewer systems in which attempts were made to detect stereochemical recognition between pairs of diastereomers in monolayers. The first systematic study of the monolayer properties of a diastereomeric series was reported in 1988.^{6a} By contrast to pairs of enantiomers^{1a, 2} none of the first eight pairs of diastereomeric surfactants investigated showed stereoselectivity at the air-water interface.^{6a} Only very recently the first example of chiral molecular recognition in monolayers of diastereomeric compounds was reported.^{6b}

The first goal of this paper is to describe the synthesis and the living cationic polymerization of (2R, 3S)-2-fluoro-3-methylpentyl 4'-(11-vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4-carboxylate (15) and (2S, 3S)-2-fluoro-3-methylpentyl 4'-(11-vinyloxyundecanyloxy)-biphenyl-4-carboxylate (16) diastereomers. The second goal of this paper is to compare the mesomorphic behavior of these two diastereomeric structural units and to investigate their isomorphism in binary monomer and polymer mixtures, and in binary copolymers. To our knowledge, this paper reports the first investigation on the chiral molecular recognition of molecular and macromolecular pairs of diastereomeric liquid crystals.

Experimental Section

Materials

4

L-Isoleucine [(2S,3S)-(+)-2-amino-3-methylpentanoic acid, 99%], tetrabutylammonium fluoride hydrate (n-Bu₄NF·xH₂O, 98%), tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate (TBAH, 98%) and pyridinium poly(hydrogen fluoride) (HF 70% by weight) (all from Aldrich) were used as received.

NaNO₂ (Fisher) was dried at 140°C under vacuum for 40 hours before each use. Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride was prepared from CF₃SO₃H (Lancaster, 98+%) and P_2O_5 according to a literature procedure.^{7a, b}

Pyridine was heated overnight at 100°C over KOH, distilled from KOH, and then stored over KOH. CH₂Cl₂ was refluxed over CaH₂ overnight and distilled from CaH₂. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was heated overnight at 100°C over CaH₂, distilled from CaH₂ under vacuum, and stored over molecular sieves 4Å. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was first distilled from LiAlH₄ and further dried over Na/benzophenone.

 CH_2Cl_2 used as polymerization solvent was first washed with concentrated H_2SO_4 several times until the acid layer remained colorless, then washed with water, dried over

MgSO₄, refluxed over CaH₂, and freshly distilled under argon before each use. S(CH₃)₂ used in polymerizations (Aldrich, anhydrous, 99+%, packed under nitrogen in Sure/SealTM bottle) was used as received. CF₃SO₃H used as a polymerization initiator (Aldrich, 98%) was distilled under vacuum.

All other materials were commercially available and were used as received.

Techniques

¢

¹H-NMR (200MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-200 spectrometer. ¹⁹F decoupled ¹H-NMR (300MHz) spectra and ¹⁹F-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-300 spectrometer. In order to determine the chemical shifts of ¹⁹F resonances a ¹⁹F spectrum of CF₃C₆H₅ was first acquired since its ¹⁹F resonance is known from literature⁸ to appear at 63.72ppm (referenced against CFCl₃ at 0.00ppm). Then sample spectra were acquired using the identical parameters.

Relative molecular weights of polymers were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). GPC analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer Series 10LC instrument equipped with an LC-100 column oven and a Nelson Analytical 900 Series data station. Measurements were made by using a UV detector, THF as solvent (1ml/min, 40°C), a set of PL gel columns of 5×10^2 and 10^4 Å, and a calibration plot constructed with polystyrene standards. High pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) experiments were performed with the same instrument.

A Perkin-Elmer DSC-4 differential scanning calorimeter equipped with a TADS 3600 data station was used to determine the thermal transition temperatures, which were reported as the maxima and minima of their endothermic or exothermic peaks respectively. In all cases heating and cooling rates were 20°C/min.

A Carl-Zeiss optical polarizing microscope equipped with a Mettler FP-82 hot stage and a Metter FP-80 central processor was used to observe the thermal transitions and to analyze the anisotropic textures.

Synthesis of monomers

Monomers 15 and 16 were synthesized according to Scheme 1. The synthesis of compounds 11 and 14 was described previously.⁹

(2S, 3S)-2-Hydroxy-3-Methylpentanoic Acid (2)¹⁰

A solution of NaNO₂ (39.4g, 0.572mol) in water (150ml) was added dropwise to a stirred, cooled (0°C) mixture of L-isoleucine (49.5g, 0.377mol), concentrated H₂SO₄ (16ml) and water (570ml). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred

overnight. The product was extracted into diethyl ether three times and the combined ethereal extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The crude product (39.5g) obtaind after the evaporation of the solvent was used for the synthsis of compound 3 without further purification. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.84 (t, J=7.2Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 0.87 (d, J=6.4Hz, 3H, -CH (CH₃)-), 1.02-1.29, 1.29-1 53 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.53-1.57 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 3.79 (d, J=4.8Hz, 1H, -CH(OH)-).

Ethyl (2S, 3S)-2-Hydroxy-3-Methylpentanoate (3)^{10a}

A stirred mixture of 2 (crude 39.5g), anhydrous ethanol (300ml) and concentrated H_2SO_4 (7.5ml) was heated under reflux overnight. Ethanol was distilled off and the residue was diluted with diethyl ether. This ether solution was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution twice and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. Ether was evaporated off and the remained crude product was distilled under vacuum to yield a colorless liquid (34.5g, 57.1% from L-isoleucine); bp 62-65°C at 6mmHg. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.91 (t, J=7.4Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 0.99 (d, J=6.7Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.13-1.53 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-CH(CH₃)-), 1.31 (t, J=7.1Hz, -COOCH₂CH₃), 1.73-1.93 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 2.78 (bs, 1H, -CH(OH)-), 4.08 (d, J=3.6Hz, 1H, -CH(OH)-), 4.26 (dq, J=7.1, 1.7Hz, 2H, -COOCH₂CH₃).

Ethyl (2S, 3S)-2-Trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy-3-Methylpentanoate (4)^{7, 10a}

Freshly prepared trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (50.0g, 0.177mol) was added dropwise to a stirred, cooled (0°C) solution of 3 (23.8g, 0.149mol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (200ml) and pyridine (18.6ml, 0.230mol) under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 hours. Then the mixture was poured into water and the product was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ twice. The combined organic layers were washed with 10% HCl twice and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated off and the remained crude product was distilled under vacuum to yield a colorless liquid (37.2g, 85.4%); bp 55-61°C at 0.4mmHg. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.95 (t, J=7.4Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 1.07 (d, J=7.0Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.19-1.64 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 1.33 (t, J=7.2Hz, 3H, -COOCH₂CH₃), 2.05-2.27 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 4.31 (dq, J=7.2, 1.9Hz, 2H, -COOCH₂CH₃), 5.01 (d, J=3.9Hz, 1H, -CH(OSO₂CF₃)-).

Ethyl (2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentanoate (5)^{10a}

A solution of 4 (36.7g, 0.126mol) in acetonitrile (50ml) was added dropwise to a stirred, cooled (0°C) solution of terabutylammonium fluoride hydrate (n-Bu₄NF·xH₂O, 40g) in acetonitrile (150ml). The stirred mixture was heated under reflux for 3 hours. Then acetonitrile was evaporated off and the remained mixture was passed through a silica gel

short column using hexane-ethyl acetate (10:1) as an eluent. The solvent vas evaporated off and the crude product was distilled under vacuum to yield a colorless liquid (16.7g, 81.9%); bp 59-63°C at 9mmHg. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃,TMS, δ , ppm): 0.95 (d, J=6.5Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 0.96 (t, J=8.3Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 1.19-1.65 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 1.32 (t, J=7.0Hz, 3H, -COOCH₂CH₃), 1.75-2.12 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 4.28 (q, J=7.0Hz, 2H, -CO-OCH₂CH₃), 4.86 (dd, J=48.5, 3.1Hz, 1H, -CHF-).

(2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentanol (6)^{10a}

A solution of 5 (16.4g, 0.101mol) in dry THF (100ml) was added dropwise to a stirred, cooled (-70°C) mixture of LiAlH₄ (5.69g, 0.150mol) and dry THF (100ml) under nitrogen atmosphere. The stirred mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then heated under reflux for 2 hours. Excess LiAlH₄ was quenched with ethyl acetate (50ml) and the mixture was treated with 10% HCl (300ml). The product was extracted into ethyl acetate twice and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated off and the remained crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel; hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1 and 5:1) to give a colorless liquid (8.51g, 70.1%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.93 (t, J=7.6Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 0.95 (d, J=7.0Hz, 3H, -CH-(CH₃)-), 1.08-1.29, 1.36-1.76 (m, 3H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 2.81 (bs, 1H, -OH), 3.56-3.92 (m, 2H, -CH₂OH), 4.25-4.39, 4.50-4.62 (m, 1H, -CHF-).

(2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl Tosylate (7)

A solution of 6 (4.0g, 33.3mmol) in dry pyridine (15ml) was added dropwise to a stirred, cooled (0°C) solution of p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (9.53g, 50.0mmol) in dry pyridine (30ml). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was poured into water and the product was extracted into diethyl ether twice. The combined ethereal extracts were washed with 10% HCl twice and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated off and the remained crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel; hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1 and 5:1) to give a colorless liquid (8.88g, 97.2%). Purity >99% (HPLC). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.88 (t, J=6.4Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 0.90 (d, J=6.0Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.08-1.31, 1.31-1.52 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.52-1.78 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 2.45 (s, 3H, -PhCH₃), 4.15 (dd, J=23.3, 4.3Hz, 2H, -CH₂OSO₂-), 4.51 (dq, J=49.1, 4.9Hz, 1H, -CHF-), 7.37 (d, J=8.0Hz, 2ArH, o to -CH₃), 7.81 (d, J=8.0Hz, 2ArH, o to -SO₂-).

(2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl 4'-Hydroxybiphenyl-4-Carboxylate (12)

A mixture of 7 (7.80g, 28.4mmol), 11 (7.17g, 28.4mmol), TBAH (1.42g) and dry DMSO (100ml) was stirred at 80°C under nitrogen atmosphere for 20 hours. The resulting clear yellow solution was poured into water. The product was extracted into diethyl ether twice and the combined ethereal extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was evaporated off and the remained crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel; hexane-ethyl acetate 4:1) to give a colorless solid which was recrystallized from hexane-ethyl acetate (40:1) to yield colorless crystals (5.77g, 64.3%). Purity >99% (HPLC). mp 95.4°C (DSC). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.96 (t, J=7.0Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.04 (d, J=6.7Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.20-1.48, 1.48-1.67 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.67-2.07 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 4.40-4.67, 4.79-4.91 (m, 3H, -CHFCH₂OCO-), 4.85 (bs, 1H, -PhOH), 6.96 (d, J=8.7Hz, 2ArH, o to -OH), 7.52 (d, J=8.7Hz, 2ArH, m to -OH), 7.61 (d, J=8.5Hz, 2ArH, m to -COO-), 8.11 (d, J=8.5Hz, 2ArH, o to -COO-).

(2R,3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl 4'-(11-Vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4-Carboxylate (15)

A mixture of 12 (4.50g, 14.2mmol), anhydrous K₂CO₃ (4.91g, 35.5mmol) and acetone (90ml) was stirred at 60°C under nitrogen atmosphere for 2 hours. To the resulting vellow solution was added a solution of 14 (3.94g, 14.2mmol) in dry DMSO (5.0ml) and stirring was continued at 60°C for 20 hours. The mixture was poured into water and the product was extracted into diethyl ether twice. The combined ether extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated off and the remained crude product was purified by column chromatography twice (silica gel; hexane-ethyl acetate 30:1, 20:1 and 10:1) to yield a white solid (4.23g, 58.1%). Purity >99% (HPLC). The thermal transition temperatures (°C) are: K 52.1 S_A 60.5 I on heating and I 52.9 S_A 38.9 S_C^{*} 19.7 K on cooling (DSC). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.97 (t, J=7.9Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.04 (d, J=7.0Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.31 (bs, 15H, CH₃CH₂-, CH₂=CHOCH₂CH₂(CH₂)7-), 1.54-1.71 (m, 3H, CH₃CH₂-, CH₂=CHOCH₂CH₂-), 1.71-1.99 (m, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-, -CH₂CH₂OPh-), 3.67 (t, J=6.5Hz, 2H, CH₂=CHOCH₂-), 3.97 (dd, J=6.9, 1.9Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHO- trans), 4.00 (t, J=6.5Hz, 2H, -CH₂OPh-), 4.17 (dd, J=14.3, 1.9Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHO- cis), 4.37-4.67, 4.78-4.88 (m, 3H, -CHFCH₂O-), 6.48 (dd, J=14.3, 6.9Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHO-), 6.99 (d, J=8.8Hz, 2ArH, o to -(CH₂)₁₁O-), 7.57 (d, J=8.8Hz, 2ArH, m to -(CH₂)₁₁O-), 7.64 (d, J=8.5Hz, 2ArH, m to -COO-), 8.11 (d, J=8.5Hz, 2ArH, o to -COO-).

Precooled (0°C) dry pyridine (60ml) was added dropwise to pyridinium poly(hydrogen fluoride) (HF 70% by weight, 100g) in a Teflon flask at 0°C. L-Isoleucine (7.87g, 60.0mmol) was dissolved in this solution and NaNO₂ (6.21g, 90.0mmol) was added in three portions over a period of 30 min at 0°C with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirring was continued for 5 hours. The mixture was poured into water and the product was extracted into diethyl ether three times using a Teflon separatory funnel. The combined ethereal extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated off and the remained crude product was distilled under vacuum to yield a slightly yellow liquid (2.22g, 27.6%); bp 78-80°C at 4mmHg. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.89 (t, J=7.3Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 0.97 (d, J=7.0Hz, 2H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.06-1.32, 1.32-1.56 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.71-2.13 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 4.81 (dd, J=48.8, 4.0Hz, 1H, -CHF-).

(2S, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentanol (9)

9 was synthesized by the same procedure as the one used for the preparation of 6. Starting from 4.50g (33.5mmol) of 8, 2.52g (67.0mmol) of LiAlH₄ and 80ml of dry THF, 2.40g (59.6%) of **9** was obtained as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.90 (d, J=6.8Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 0.93 (t, J=7.1Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.09-1.37, 1.47-1.90 (m, 3H, CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)-), 2.48 (s, 1H, -CH₂OH), 3.61-3.85 (m, 2H, -CH₂OH), 4.15-4.29, 4.40-4.53 (m 1H, -CHF-).

(2S, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl Tosylate (10)

10 was synthesized by the same procedure as the one used for the preparation of 7. Starting from 1.80g (15.0mmol) of 7, 4.38g (23.0mmol) of p-toluenesulfonyl chloride and 25ml of dry pyridine, 3.88g (94.3%) of 10 was obtained as a colorless liquid. Purity 98.0% (HPLC). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.86 (d, J=7.3Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 0.88 (t, J=7.8Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.09-1.32, 1.42-1.62 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.62-1.89 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 2.46 (s, 3H, -PhCH₃), 4.00-4.35, 4.47-4.59 (m, 3H, -CHFCH₂O-), 7.37 (d, J=8.1Hz, 2ArH, o to -CH₃), 7.81 (d, J=8.1Hz, 2ArH, o to -SO₂-).

(2S, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl 4'-Hydroxybiphenyl-4-Carboxylate (13)

13 was synthesized by the same procedure as the one used for the preparation of 12. Starting from 3.00g (10.9mmol) of 10, 2.52g (10.0mmol) of 11, 0.50g of TBAH and 40ml of dry DMSO, 2.27g (71.7%) of 13 was obtained as colorless crystals. Purity >99% (HPLC). mp 127.5°C (DSC). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.97 (t, J=7.7Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.00 (d, J=7.1Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.19-1.45, 1.45-1.75 (m, 2H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.75-2.03 (m, 1H, -CH(CH₃)-), 4.33-4.90 (m, 3H, -CHFCH₂O-), 5.59 (bs, 1H, -PhOH), 6.95 (d, J=8.6Hz, 2ArH, o to -OH), 7.53 (d, J=8.6Hz, 2ArH, m to -OH), 7.62 (d, J=8.2Hz, 2ArH, m to -COO-), 8.11 (d, J=8.2Hz, 2ArH, o to -COO-).

(2S, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl 4'-(11-Vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4-Carboxylate (16)

Monomer 16 was synthesized by the same procedure as the one used for the preparation of 15. Starting from 1.94g (6.1mmol) of 13, 1.66g (6.0mmol) of 14, 2.11g (15.3mmol) of anhydrous K_2CO_3 , 2.5ml of dry DMSO and 50ml of acetone, 1.28g (40.8%) of 16 was obtained as a white solid. Purity >99% (HPLC). The thermal transition temperatures (*C) are: K 54.7 S_A 60.2 I on heating, and I 54.6 S_A 39.8 S_C^{*} 20.7 K on cooling (DSC). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, δ , ppm): 0.97 (t, 7.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂-), 1.00 (d, J=6.9Hz, 3H, -CH(CH₃)-), 1.31 (bs, 15H, CH₃CH₂-, CH₂=CHOCH₂CH₂(CH₂)7-), 1.56-1.72 (m, 3H, CH₃CH₂-, CH₂=CHOCH₂CH₂-, CH₂=CHOCH₂CH₂OPh-), 3.67 (t, J=6.6Hz, 2H, CH₂=CHOCH₂C-), 3.97 (dd, J=6.9, 1.9Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHO- trans), 4.01 (t, J=6.5Hz, 2H, -CH₂OPh-), 4.17 (dd, J=14.1, 1.9Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHO- cis), 4.29-4.79 (m, 3H, -CHFCH₂O-), 6.48 (dd, J=14.1, 6.9Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHO-), 6.99 (d, J=8.8Hz, 2ArH, o to -(CH₂)₁₁O-), 7.57 (d, J=8.8Hz, 2ArH, m to -(CH₂)₁₁O-), 7.63 (d, J=8.5Hz, 2ArH, o to -COO-).

Cationic Polymerizations

Polymerizations were carried out in a three-necked round bottom flask equipped with a Teflon stopcock and rubber septa under argon atmosphere at 0°C for 1 hour. All glassware was dried overnight at 140°C. The monomer was further dried under vacuum overnight in the polymerization flask. After the flask was filled with argon, freshly distilled dry CH₂Cl₂ was added via a syringe and the solution was cooled to 0°C. S(CH₃)₂ and CF₃SO₃H were then added via a syringe. The monomer concentration was about 0.224 M and the S(CH₃)₂ concentration was 10 times larger than that of CF₃SO₃H. The polymer molecular weight was controlled by the monomer/initiator ($[M]_0/[I]_0$) ratio. After quenching the polymerization with a mixture of NH₄OH and methanol (1:2), the reaction mixture was poured into methanol to give a white precipitate. The obtained polymer was purified by reprecipitation (chloroform solution, methanol) and dried under vacuum.

Results and Discussion

Determination of the optical purities of monomers 15 and 16

The synthesis of two diastereomeric monomers 15 and 16 is outlined in Scheme 1. The starting material, L-isoleucine (1), is one of the naturally occurring α -amino acids and has (2S, 3S) configurations at the two chiral centers. In the course of transformation of Lisoleucine 1 into the fluorinated ester 5 and subsequently into the fluorinated acid 8, the amino group of L-isoleucine was first converted into a hydroxy group¹⁰ (compound 2) and a fluorine atom¹¹ (compound 8) respectively via a diazonium salt. These substitution reactions proceed with the retention of configuration at the C2 position because of the anchimeric assistance of the carboxylate group.¹¹ Compound 2 was further converted into triflate 4 which was substituted with a fluorine atom by using n-Bu₄NF.¹⁰ As this substitution reaction proceeds with Walden inversion of configurations, while compounds 8 has (2S, 3S) configurations. Compounds 5 and 8 are expected to be transformed into monomers 15 and 16 without any change of their configurations during the other steps of the synthesis.

The 200 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of monomers **15** and **16** are shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 presents the 200 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of corresponding homopolymers, poly(**15**) (DP=6.0) and poly(**16**) (DP=5.5). These two figures clearly demonstrate that the signals due to the k and the l protons (4.3-4.8ppm) differ in their splitting patterns from each other between monomers **15** and **16**, and between polymers poly(**15**) and poly(**16**). The peaks of poly(**15**) and poly(**16**) are similar to those of monomers **15** and **16** respectively, although the polymers show broadened peaks.

In order to elucidate the assignment of these peaks ¹⁹F decoupled ¹H-NMR analysis was performed by using 300 MHz NMR spectroscopy. Figure 3 presents the ¹⁹F decoupled ¹H-NMR spectra (top) and the normal ¹H-NMR spectra (bottom) for the k and the l protons of monomers 15, 16 and polymers poly(15) and poly(16). In the ¹⁹F decoupled spectra the peaks show an AMNX pattern where A corresponds to the l proton, MN corresponds to the two k protons and X corresponds to the fluorine atom. For 15 and poly(15) A (the l proton) can be assigned to the peaks at 4.71ppm and MN (the two k protons) can be assigned to the peaks at 4.53ppm and 4.45ppm, while for 16 and poly(16) A and M can be assigned to the peaks at 4.52-4.70ppm and N can be assigned to the peaks at 4.44ppm. Thus it is demonstrated that these two pairs of diastereomers have different structures and each diastereomer is expected to have high optical purity.

The optical purity arising from the C2 chiral center was successfully determined by a ¹⁹F-NMR technique. The results are summarized in Figure 4. These spectra exhibit two clear clusters at -63.0ppm and at -69.5ppm and it is obvious that the former corresponds to

2S configuration and the latter corresponds to 2R configuration. The optical purities calculated from the integration of these two clusters are 2R 96% (92%ee) for monomer 15 and 2S 98% (96%ee) for monomer 16. The corresponding polymers poly(15) and poly(16) have the optical purity of 2R 98% (96%ee) and 2S 98% (96%ee) respectively, and it is demonstrated that no racemization has occurred at the C2 chiral center during the polymerization.

The optical purity arising from the C3 chiral center was not determined because the signal of the m proton was burried under the large signals due to the alphatic spacer and the terminal alkyl chains. However it is believed that the original optical purity of L-isoleucine is maintained at the C3 chiral center because of its chemical stability.

Homopolymerization of 15 and 16

The homopolymerizations of 15 and 16 are presented in Scheme 2. All polymerizations were carried out at 0°C in CH₂Cl₂ by a living cationic polymerization technique using CF₃SO₃H/(CH₃)₂S as an initiation system. Previous work in our laboratory¹² and others¹⁴ has shown that the CF₃SO₃H initiated polymerization of vinyl ethers in the presence of a Lewis base such as (CH₃)₂S gives well defined polymers with controlled molecular weights and narrow polydispersities. The polymerization mechanism is discussed in detail in previous publications.⁹, 12, 13

The characterization of poly(15) and poly(16) by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) is summarized in Tables I and II respectively. The low polymer yields are the result of the loss of polymer during purification. Relative number-average molecular weights of polymers determined by GPC exhibit a linear dependence on the initial concentration ratio of monomer to initiator $([M]_0/[I]_0)$ as shown in Figure 5. All polydispersities are less than 1.20. The $[M]_0/[I]_0$ ratio provides a very good control of the polymer molecular weight. All these features demonstrate the typical characteristics of the living polymerization mechanism. The absolute number-average folecular weights were difficult to determine by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy from the chain ends of the polymer owing to signal overlap.

The mesomophic behaviors of poly(15) and poly(16) were investigated by DSC and thermal optical polarized microscopy. Figures 6 and 7 present the DSC thermograms of poly(15) and poly(16) with various degrees of polymerization (DP) respectively. The phase behaviors of the two diastereomeric homopolymers can be compared by superimposing the plotts of the dependencies of their thermal transition temperatures as a function of DP (Figure 8). As observed from this figure the phase behavior of poly(15) is identical to that of poly(16). The DSC curves of first heating scan differ from those of second heating scan (Figures 6 and 7). However, second and subsequent heating scans exhibit identical DSC traces. First and subsequent cooling scans also exhibit identical DSC traces. On the first heating scan all polymers exhibit a crystalline phase. In polymers with DP \leq 8.3 the crystalline phase melts into a S_C^{*} phase which is followed by S_C^{*}-S_A and S_A-I phase transitions. In polymers with DP \geq 8.4 another higher order smectic phase (S_X) is observed between K and S_C^{*} phases. The nature of this S_X phase was not identified. On the second heating and cooling scans all polymers exhibit the S_X-S_C^{*}-S_A-I phase sequence. The polymers with DP \leq 6.0 have an additional crystalline phase. Especially polymers with DP=3.4 crystallize on the second heating scan. This represents a classic example of the "polymer effect" which shows how the kinetically controlled crystallization process affects the stability of the thermodynamically controlled mesophase¹³. The low molecular weight polymers exhibit a high rate of crystallization and therefore, can crystallize on the second heating scan, while the higher molecular weight polymers have much lower rate of crystallization and, subsequently, they do not crystallize on the second heating scan. Similar examples of this behavior are available both from our^{9a}, ^{12a}, ¹⁵ and from other laboratories.¹⁶

Representative optical polarized micrographs of the texture exhibited by the S_A and the S_C^* phases of poly(15) (DP=7.7) and the S_C^* and the S_X phases of poly(16) (DP=8.3) are presented in Figure 9.

Miscibility Studies

Monomers 15 and monomer 16 were mixed in various compositions and the phase behavior of their mixtures was investigated by DSC. Mixtures were prepared by dissolving the two monomers in CH_2Cl_2 followed by evaporation of the solvent under vacuum. The phase behavior of binary mixtures of poly(15) (DP=6.0) with poly(16) (DP=12.6) was also investigated in the same manner. The DSC thermograms of the monomer and the polymer mixtures are presented in Figures 10 and 11 respectively. The thermal transition temperatures collected from these DSC themograms are summarized in Tables III and IV. In Figures 12 and 13 the transition temperatures are plotted as a function of the composition of the binary mixtures.

The phase behavior of monomer 15 is identical to that of monomer 16. Both monomers display enantiotropic S_A , montropic S_C^* and crystalline phases, while the corresponding polymers display enantiotropic S_A , S_C^* and S_X mesophases. Upon mixing the crystalline phase of the monomer system was suppressed and an eutectic was observed. The theoretical temperatures for the K-S_A (the first and the second heating scans) and S_C^* -K (the first cooling scan) transitions were calculated from the Schröder-van Laar equation^{17, 18} for an ideal solution and are also plotted in Figure 12. The theoretical values show a linear

11

dependence of composition and are in contrast to the experimental values which show an eutectic composition. In the S_C^* and S_A mesophases, on the contrary, the two diastereomeric structural units of the monomer system are miscible and isomorphic across the full composition range and all transition temperatures show a linear dependence on composition.

In the polymer mixtures the two diastereomeric structural units are miscible and isomorphic within all their mesophases and over the entire range of composition. Since the degrees of polymerization of poly(15) and poly(16) used for the preparation of the polymer mixtures are different, the phase diagrams in Figure 13 show linear dependences. The theoretical transition temperatures for the S_A-I transition were calculated by the Schröder-van Laar equation and are plotted in Figure 13. As observed from this figure the experimental data are in good agreement with the theoretical values.

A representative texture of the S_c^* mesophase displayed by the binary mixture of poly(15) (DP=6.0) with poly(16) (DP=12.6) (50/50) is presented in Figure 14.

Copolymerization of 15 with 16

Copolymerization of 15 with 16 was performed to cover the entire range of compositions. Attempts were made to synthesize poly(15-co-16) with DP=16. Since the transition temperatures of polymers are strongly dependent on their molecular weights, it is essential to synthesize polymers having identical molecular weights in order to compare their transition temperatures. This can be achieved only by a living polymerization. The copolymerization results are listed in Table V. The yields reported in Table V are lower than quantitative due to polymer losses during the purification process. However, all conversions were quantitative and therefore, the copolymer composition is identical to that of the monomer feed^{13b}. The number-average molecular weights determined by GPC are *ca*. 12.

The first and the second heating, and the first cooling DSC traces of all polymers and copolymers are presented in Figure 15. The thermal transition temperatures collected from Figure 15 are summarized in Table V and plotted against copolymer composition in Figure 16. In Figure 17 the S_A-I transition temperatures obtained during the first heating scans are compared with those calculated from the Schröder-van Laar equation for an ideal solution. The copolymers poly(15-co-16) exhibit the same phase behavior as their parent homopolymers over the entire range of compositions. The isotropization temperatures of the copolymers are identical to those predicted by the Schröder-van Laar equation, although there are slight deviations due to the molecular weight fluctuation of the copolymers. These results demonstrate that the two diastereomeric structural units of 15 and 16 are isomorphic within their enantiotropic S_A, S_C^{*} and S_X mesophases and behave as an ideal solution in the

copolymer. A representative texture of the S_C^* mesophase displayed by poly(15-co-16) (50/50) with DP=12.0 is presented in Figure 18.

Isomorphism of 15 with 16 and of their homopolymers and copolymers

The phase behaviors of 15 and poly(15) are identical to those of 16 and poly(16) respectively. Miscibilty studies and copolymerization studies revealed that the two structural units derived from the diastereomeric monomers 15 and 16 are isomorphic¹⁹ and form ideal solutions within all liquid crystalline phases over the entire range of compositions. Within experimental error no chiral molecular recognition between the 2R and the 2S stereogenic centers has been observed in their liquid crystalline phases since no deviation from ideal solution behavior was observed at their 50/50 composition. The only exception is the crystalline phase exhibited by the monomer mixtures where crystallization temperatures are suppressed to show an eutectic composition. Since the physical properties of diasteromers are most frequently different, these results are of unusual interest.

The synthesis and the phase behavior of two low molar mass diastereomeric liquid crystals, 4'-(n-dodecanyloxy)-4-biphenylyl 2-fluoro-3-methylpentanoate (17), were reported by Arakawa et al.^{10a} Their structures are related to those of monomers investigated in the present paper. The major difference between 17 and our monomers 15 and 16 is that 17 is based on 4, 4'-dihydroxybiphenyl mesogen whereas 15 and 16 are based on 4'-hydroxybiphenyl-4-carboxylate mesogen. It should be noted that the phase behaviors of (2R, 3S)-17 and (2S, 3S)-17 are quite different, i.e., (2R, 3S)-17 exhibits S_C^* and S_A phases.

The phase diagram of binary mixtures of enantiomeric liquid crystals as a function of enantiomeric excess was investigated for some antiferroelectric liquid crystals.⁵ In all these studies, with decreasing enantiomeric excess the temperature range of S_C^* phase increases whereas the temperature range of S_{CA}^* (antiferroelectric S_C^* phase) decreases. However no attention is paid to the isotropization temperature and no quantitative data are available. Chiral molecular recognition between two enantiomeric antipodes is observed in liquid crystals with a chorine atom on their chiral centers.^{4c, d} In these examples racemic mixtures show a higher isotropization temperature than that of the optically active enantiomers. The temperature difference is only 3°C^{4d} or even less than 1°C.^{4c} Bahr et al. attributed this temperature shift to steric packing effects of chiral moiety.^{4c} They also reported that for transitions involving chiral smectic phases (e.g., S_C -S_A, S_G -S_C, S_G -S_A) dependence of the transition temperature on chirality was observed, whereas for transition temperature on chirality was observed.^{4b, c}

Currently we have no definitive explanation for the absence of chiral recognition in these liquid crystalline systems. However, it is possible to speculate that the less bulky fluorine atom of the chiral center compared to the chlorine atom is responsible for the absence of chiral recognition.

Acknowledgment

Financial support by the Office of Naval Research and Asahi Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Japan is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Arnett, E. M.; Harvey, N. G.; Rose, P. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 131. (b) Pirkle, W. H.; Pochapsky, T. C. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 347. (c) Jacques, J.; Collet, A. en, S. H. Enantiomers, Racemates and Resolutions; Krieger Publishing Co.: Mala 1991.
- (2) (a) Arnett, E. M.; Thompson, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 968. (b) Harvey, N. G.;
 Rose, P. L.; Mirajovsky, D.; Arnett, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3547.
- (3) Arnett, E. M.; Gold, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 636.
- (4) (a) Leclercq, M.; Billard, J.; Jacques, J. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1969, 8, 367. (b) Bahr, CH.; Heppke, G.; Sabaschus, B. Ferroelectrics 1988, 84, 103. (c) Bahr, CH.; Heppke, G.; Sabaschus, B. Liq. Cryst. 1991, 9, 31. (d) Slaney, A. J.; Goodby, J. W. Liq. Cryst. 1991, 9, 849.
- (5) (a) Yamada, Y.; Mori, K.; Yamamoto, N.; Hayashi, H.; Nakamura, K.; Yamawaki, M.; Orihara, H.; Ishibashi, Y. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 28, L1606. (b) Takezoe, H.; Lee, J.; Chandani, A. D. L.; Gorecka, E.; Ouchi, Y.; Fukuda, A.; Terashima, K.; Furukawa, K. Ferroelectrics 1991, 114, 187. (c) Takezoe, H.; Fukuda, A.; Ikeda, A.; Takanishi, Y.; Umemoto, T.; Watanabe, J.; Iwane, H.; Hara, M.; Itoh, K. Ferroelectrics 1991, 122, 167.
- (6) (a) Harvey, N.; Rose, P.; Porter, N. A.; Huff, J. B.; Arnett, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4395. (b) Heath, J. G.; Arnett, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4500.
- (7) (a) Burdon, J.; Farazmand, I.; Stacey, M.; Tatlow, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1957, 2574. (b) Stang, P. J.; Hanack, M.; Subramanian, L. R. Synthesis 1982, 85. (c) Beard, C. D.; Baum, K.; Grakauskas, V. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 3673.
- (8) Dungan, C. H.; Van Wazer, J. R. Compilation of Reported ¹⁹F NMR Chemical Shifts; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1970.

- (9) (a) Percec, V.; Zheng, Q.; Lee, M. J. Mater. Chem. 1991, 1, 611. (b) Percec, V.;
 Zheng, Q.; Lee, M. J. Mater. Chem. 1991, 1, 1015. (c) Percec, V.; Zheng, Q. J. Mater.
 Chem. 1992, 2, 475. (d) Percec, V.; Zheng, Q. J. Mater. Chem. 1992, 2, 1041.
- (10) (a) Arakawa, S.; Nito, K.; Seto, J. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1991, 204, 15. (b) Chan, L. K. M.; Gray, G. W.; Lacey, D.; Scrowston, R. M.; Shenouda, I. G. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1989, 172, 125.
- (11) (a) Olah, G. A.; Welch, J. Synthesis 1974, 652. (b) Olah, G. A.; Welch, J. T.; Vankar, Y. D.; Nojima, M.; Kerekes, I.; Olah, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3872. (c) Keck, R.; Rétey, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1980, 63, 769. (d) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K.; Chao, Y. L. Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 2528. (e) Faustint, F.; Munari, S. D.; Panzeri, A.; Villa, V.; Gandolfi, C. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4533. (f) Barber, J.; Keck, R.; Rétey, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 1549.
- (12) (a) Percec, V.; Lee, M.; Jonsson, H. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1991, 29, 327.
 (b) Percec, V.; Lee, M. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 1017.
- (13) (a) Percec, V.; Lee, M.; Rinaldi, P.; Litman, V. E. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.
 1992, 30, 1213. (b) for a brief review on the molecular engineering of side chain LCP by living cationic polymerization see: Percec, V., Tomazos, D., Adv. Mater., 1992, 4, 548.
- (14) (a) Cho, C. G.; Feit, B. A.; Webster, O. W. Macromolecules 1990, 23, 1918. (b) Cho,
 C. G.; Feit, B. A.; Webster, O. W. Macromolecules 1992, 25, 2081. (c) Lin, C. H.;
 Matyjaszewsky, K. Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Polym. Chem. 1990, 31, 599.
- (15) (a) Percec, V.; Tomazos, D.; Pugh, C. Macromolecules 1989, 22, 3259. (b) Rodriguez-Parada, J. M.; Percec, V. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1986, 24, 1363. (c)
 Rodenhouse, R.; Percec, V.; Feiring, A. E. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed. 1990, 28, 345. (d) Percec, V.; Lee, M. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 2780. (e) Percec, V.; Lee, M. Polymer 1991, 32, 2862. (f) Percec, V.; Lee, M. Polym. Bull. 1991, 25, 123. (g)
 Jonsson, H.; Percec, V.; Hult, A. Polym. Bull. 1991, 25, 115. (h) Percec, V.; Gomes, A. D. S.; Lee, M. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1991, 29, 1615. (i) Percec, V.; Wang, C. S. Polym. Bull. 1991, 26, 15.
- (16) (a) Sagane, T.; Lenz, R. W. Polym. J. 1988, 20, 923. (b) Sagane, T.; Lenz, R. W.
 Polymer 1989, 30, 2269. (c) Sagane, T.; Lenz, R. W. Macromolecules 1989, 22, 3763.
- (17) (a) Van Hecke, G. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1979, 83, 2344. (b) Achard, M. F.; Mauzac, M.;
 Richard, H.; Sigaud, G.; Hardouin, F. Eur. Polym. J. 1989, 25, 593.
- (18) (a) Percec, V.; Lee, M. J. Mater. Chem. 1991, 1, 1007. (b) Percec, V.; Lee, M.; Zheng,
 Q. Liq. Cryst. 1992, 12, 715. (c) Percec, V; Johansson, G. J. Mater. Chem. 1993, 3, 83.
- (19) Percec, V.; Tsuda, Y. Polymer, 1991, 32, 661.

Scheme and Figure Captions

- Scheme 1. Synthesis of monomers 15 and 16.
- Scheme 2. Cationic polymerization of 15 and 16.
- Figure 1. ¹H-NMR spectra of monomers. (a) 15; (b) <u>16</u>.
- Figure 2. ¹H-NMR spectra of polymers. (a) Poly(15) (DP=6.0); (b) poly(16) (DP=5.5).
- Figure 3. ¹⁹F decoupled ¹H-NMR spectra (top) and normal ¹H-NMR spectra (bottom) of monomers and polymers. (a) 15; (b) 16; (c) poly(15) (DP=6.0); (d) poly(16) (DP=5.5).
- Figure 4. 19 F-NMR spectra of monomers and polymers. (a) 15; (b) 16; (c) poly(15) (DP=6.0); (d) poly(16) (DP=5.5).
- Figure 5. The dependence of the number-average molecular weight (Mn) and polydispersity (Mw/Mn) determined by GPC on the [M]₀/[I]₀ ratio.
- Figure 6. DSC thermograms (20[°]C/min) of poly(15) with different DP. (a) First heating scans; (b) second heating scans; (c) first cooling scans.
- Figure 7. DSC thermograms (20°C/min) of poly(16) with different DP. (a) First heating scans; (b) second heating scans; (c) first cooling scans.
- Figure 8. The dependence of phase transition temperatures on the degree of polymerization of poly(15) (open) and poly(16) (closed). (a) Data from the first heating scans; (b) data from the second heating scans; (c) data from the first cooling scans.
- Figure 9. Representative optical polarized micrographs of: (a) the S_A mesophase displayed by poly(15) (DP=7.7) upon cooling to 100°C (100x); (b) the S_C* mesophase displayed by poly(15) (DP=7.7) upon cooling to 69°C (400x); (c) the S_C* mesophase displayed by poly(16) (DP=8.3) upon cooling to 85°C (400x); (d) the S_X mesophase displayed by poly(16) (DP=8.3) upon cooling to 43°C (400x).
- Figure 10. DSC thermograms (20°C/min) of the binary mixtures of monomer 15 (X) with monomer 16 (Y). (a) First heating scans; (b) second heating scans; (c) first cooling scans.
- Figure 11. DSC thermograms (20°C/min) of the binary mixtures of poly(15) (DP=6.0) (X) with poly(16) (DP=12.6) (Y). (a) First heating scans; (b) second heating scans; (c) first cooling scans.
- Figure 12. The dependence of phase transition temperatures on the composition of the binary mixtures of 15 with 16. (a) Data from the first heating scans; (b) data from the second heating scans; (c) data from the first cooling scans. (\Box, Δ, O) Experimental data; (\blacktriangle) data calculated by the Schröder-van Laar equation.

- Figure 13. The dependence of phase transition temperatures on the composition of the binary mixtures of poly(15) (DP=6.0) with poly(16) (DP=12.6). (a) Data from the first heating scans; (b) data from the second heating scans; (c) data from the first cooling scans. (\Box , Δ , \bigcirc , \blacksquare , \bigoplus) Experimental data; (\blacktriangle) data calculated by the Schröder-van Laar equation.
- Figure 14. Representative optical polarized micrographs of the S_C* mesophase displayed by the binary mixture of poly(15) (DP=6.0) with poly(16) (DP=12.6) (50/50) upon cooling to 72°C (400x).
- Figure 15. DSC thermograms (20°C/min) of the copolymers of 15 (X) with 16 (Y). (a) First heating scans; (b) second heating scans; (c) first cooling scans.
- Figure 16. The dependence of phase transition temperatures on the composition of poly(15-co-16) (DP=11.6~12.8). (a) Data from the first heating scans; (b) data from the second heating scans; (c) data from the first cooling scans.
- Figure 17. The dependence of isotropization temperature on the composition of poly(15co-16) (DP=11.6~12.8). Experimental data from the first heating scan (open); data calculated by the Schröder-van Laar equation (closed).
- Figure 18. Representative optical polarized micrographs of the S_{C}^{*} mesophase displayed by poly(15-co-16) (50/50) with DP=12.0 upon cooling to 70°C (400x).



Scheme 1



15 (2R,3S) 16 (2S,3S)

Scheme 2

Table I. Cationic Polymerization of (2R, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl 4'-(11-Vinyloxyundecanyloxy)biphenyl-4-Carboxylate (15) and Characterization of

•

| | the Resu | Iting Pol | ymers ^a | | | | |
|--------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-------|------|--|---|
| Sample | oliNolMj | Polymer | Mnx10-3 | Mw/Mn | B | Phase transitions (°C) and corresponding | , enthalpy changes (Iccal/mru) ^b |
| Š | | Yield(%) | | | | heating | cooling |
| - | 7 | 31.7 | 1.8 | 1.07 | 3.4 | K 45.3(3.16) K 56.9(0.34) S _C * 81.7(0.07) S _A 90.6(1.67) I 1 84.(| 0(-1.62) S _A 76.4(-0.07) S _C * 29.8(-0.40) S _X 18.2(-0.93) K |
| | | | | | | S _X 36.2(0.82) K 55.3(1.99) S _C * 80.5(0.07) S _A 89.8(1.65) I | |
| 2 | e | 54.8 | 2.2 | 11.1 | 4.3 | K 47.0(2.91) S _C [•] 85.7(0.06) S _A 97.0(1.62) I | 3(-1.58) S _A 81.0(-0.06) S _C * 34.1(-0.45) S _X 20.7(-0.50) K |
| | | | | | | K 34.5(0.59) S _X 38.6(0.52) S _C * 85.3(0.07) S _A 96.4(1.64) I | |
| £ | Ś | 65.5 | 3.1 | 1.12 | 6.0 | K 49.8(2.35) S _C * 90.9(0.03) S _A 106.5(1.59) I | 6 (-1.55) S _A 85.8(-0.06)S _C * 41.6(-0.52) S _X 30.4(-0.31) K |
| | | | | | | K 38.8(0.44) S _X 46.6(0.58) S _C * 90.4(0.06) S _A 105.9(1.55) I | |
| 4 | 80 | 80.1 | 4.0 | 1.13 | 7.7 | K 54.0(2.09) S _C * 94.3(0.03) S _A 116.1(1.57) I | 9.5(-1.53) S _A 90.0(-0.06) S _C * 48.9(-0.92) S _X |
| | | | | | | K 49.7(0.32) S _X 54.1(0.81) S _C * 94.2(0.05) S _A 115.9(1.57) I | |
| Ś | 12 | 76.2 | 4.3 | 1.17 | 8.4 | K 54.8(1.27) S _X 58.6(0.78) S _C ⁺ 95.3(0.04) S _A 118.0(1.55) I I 111 | 1.9(-1.49) S _A 90.9(-0.06) S _C * 51.7(-1.05) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 57.9(1.17) S _C * 95.3(0.05) S _A 118.4(1.51) I | |
| Q | 8 | 82.4 | 6.2 | 1.20 | 12.1 | K 58.2(0.72) S _X 64.8(1.05) S _C * 97.6(0.05) S _A 126.4(1.45) I 1 120 | 0.0(-1.44) S _A 93.3(-0.06) S _C * 59.0(-1.04) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 64.7(1.15) S _C * 97.5(0.04) S _A 126.2(1.45) I | |
| ٢ | 8 | 83.3 | 8.1 | 1.19 | 15.7 | K 59.9(0.57) S _X 67.9(1.10) S _C [•] 99.0(0.04) S _A 130.5(1.39) I I 123 | 3.4(-1.39) S _A 94.0(-0.06) S _C * 62.1(-1.13) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 67.9(1.15) S _C * 99.0(0.04) S _A 130.4(1.40) I | |
| | . | | | . | . | | |

^a Polymerization temperature: 0°C; polymerization solvent: methylene chloride; [M]₀=0.224; [Me₂S]₀/[I]₀=10; polymerization time: 1hour. ^b Data on first line are from first heating and cooling scans. Data on second line are from second heating scan. Table II. Cationic Polymerization of (2S, 3S)-2-Fluoro-3-Methylpentyl 4'-(11-Vinyloxyundecanyloxy) biphenyl-4-Carboxylate (16) and Characterization Dal f the Deciline

| | sponding enthalpy changes (kcal/mru) ^b | cooling | l 80.8(-1.60) S _A 73.9(-0.06) S _C * 28.0(-0.33) S _X 13.2(-0.61) K | | I 87.2(-1.59) S _A 79.0(-0.06) S _C ⁺ 32.9(-0.45) S _X 15.8(-0.31) K | | I 96.9(-1.57) SA 84.7(-0.05) Sc* 39.9(-0.57) Sx 25.2(-0.31) K | | I 107.6(-1.52) S _A 88.4(-0.05) S _C * 47.5(-0.83) S _X | | 1 112.7(-1.48) S _A 90.2(-0.05) S _C * 52.4(-0.95) S _X | | I 119.6(-1.40) S _A 92.0(-0.05) S _C * 56.4(-1.01) S _X | | I 122.7(-1.38) S _A 93.8(-0.06) S _C * 59.8(-1.09) S _X | |
|-----------|---|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Phase transitions (°C) and corre | heating | K 43.5(2.60) K 55.6(0.86) S _C * 78.0(0.06) S _A 86.9(1.64) I | S _X 34.5(0.65) K 55.0(2.19) S _C ⁺ 78.2(0.07) S _A 86.9(1.64) I | K 45.9(2.81) S _C * 83.3(0.06) S _A 93.3(1.65) I | K 30.5(0.67) S _X 37.5(0.60) S _C ⁺ 83.4(0.06) S _A 93.4(1.64) I | K 48.9(2.44) S _C * 89.4(0.06) S _A 103.8(1.70) I | K 34.0(0.36) S _X 45.4(0.61) S _C * 89.5(0.05) S _A 104.0(1.62) I | K 52.1(1.98) S _C * 92.7(0.04) S _A 114.1(1.55) I | K 49.2(0.28) S _X 52.8(0.76) S _C * 93.0(0.04) S _A 114.3(1.53) I | K 59.4(1.88) S _C * 95.0(0.04) S _A 119.7(1.51) I | S _X 59.2(1.21) S _C + 95.1(0.03) S _A 119.8(1.50) I | K 57.6(0.58) S _X 63.1(1.13) S _C * 96.6(0.03) S _A 126.4(1.42) I | S _X 64.3(1.12) S _C * 97.9(0.04) S _A 126.5(1.36) I | K 59.0(0.43) S _X 66.3(1.15) S _C * 97.4(0.03) S _A 128.8(1.37) I | S _X 66.4(1.17) S _C ⁺ 97.8(0.04) S _A 129.3(1.36) I |
| | 2 | | 3.4 | | 4.1 | | 5.5 | | 8.3 | | 9.5 | | 12.6 | | 14.6 | |
| | uw/wu | | 1.06 | | 1.10 | | 1.16 | | 1.12 | | 1.16 | | 1.13 | | 1.17 | |
| r urymens | Mnx 10 ⁻³ | | 1.8 | | 2.1 | | 2.8 | | 4.3 | | 4.9 | | 6.5 | | 7.5 | |
| Summer | Polymer | Yield(%) | 46.1 | | 54.0 | | 70.1 | | 75.3 | | 74.9 | | 76.9 | | 79.7 | |
| | [M]o/II | | 7 | | Ē | | S | | 90 | | 12 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| | Sample | ÖZ | 1 | | 2 | | £ | | 4 | | s | | 9 | | 7 | |

^a Polymerization temperature: 0°C; polymerization solvent: methylene chloride; [M]₀=0.224; [Me₂S]₀/[1]₀=10; polymerization time: 1hour. ^b Data on first line are from first heating and cooling scans. Data on second line are from second heating scan.

| 15/16 | Phase transitions (°C) and (| orresponding enthalpy changes (kcal/mm) ^a |
|-------------|--|--|
| (mol)/(mol) | heating | cooling |
| 0/10 | K 55.1 (5.97) S _A 60.6 (1.84) I K 54.2 (5.63) S _A 60.5 (1.77) I | I 55.2 (-1.58) S _A 39.6 (-0.05) S _C * 25.9 (-4.01) K |
| 2.1/7.9 | K 51.7 (5.49) S _A 61.0 (1.56) I K 50.9 (5.26) S _A 60.9 (1.59) I | I 55.7 (-1.62) S _A 40.0 (-0.02) S _C * 23.9 (-3.67) K |
| 3.8/6.2 | K 49.9 (5.43) S _A 61.3 (1.62) I K 48.1 (4.91) S _A 61.2 (1.63) I | I 55.7 (-1.62) S _A 40.0 (-0.03) S _C * 22.3 (-3.44) K |
| 5.1/4.9 | K 47.3 (5.14) S _A 61.2 (1.65) I K 45.2 (4.67) S _A 61.1 (1.64) I | I 55.8 (-1.65) S _A 40.1 (-0.03) S _C * 22.3 (-3.38) K |
| 5.9/4.1 | K 46.9 (5.07) S _A 61.2 (1.63) I K 44.8 (4.52) S _A 61.2 (1.62) I | I 55.8 (-1.60) S _A 40.7 (-0.04) S _C * 22.7 (-3.31) K |
| 7.8/2.2 | K 49.3 (5.08) S _A 61.4 (1.60) I K 48.1 (4.77) S _A 61.3 (1.59) I | I 55.5 (-1.60) S _A 40.9 (-0.05) S _C * 23.8 (-3.47) K |
| 10/0 | K 53.3 (5.62) S _A 60.9 (1.82) I K 51.7 (5.17) S _A 60.8 (1.57) I | I 55.4 (-1.57) S _A 41.9 (-0.06) S _C * 26.7 (-3.82) K |

Table III. Characterization of the binary mixtures of monomers 15 with 16

^a Data on first line are from first heating and cooling scans. Data on second line are from second heating scan.

. .

| poly(15) | poly(16) | poly(15)/poly(16) | Phase transitions (°C) and correspon | iding enthalpy changes (kcal/mru) ^a |
|----------|----------|-------------------|---|--|
| පී | 8 | (mol)/(mol) | heating | cooling |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 01/0 | K 51.6(0.58) S _X 63.1(1.13) S _C * 96.6(0.03) S _A 126.4(1.42) 1 | 1 119.6(-1.40) S _A 92.0(-0.05) S _C ⁺ 56.4(-1.01) S _X |
| | | | S _X 64.3(1.12) S _C * 97.9(0.04) S _A 126.5(1.36) I | |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 2/8 | K 55.9(1.84) S _C * 94.3(0.05) S _A 121.6(1.42) I | I 115.2(-1.4.) S _A 89.8(-0.06) S _C ⁺ 52.1(-1.13) S _X |
| | | | S _X 58.1(1.16) S _C * 94.0(0.06) S _A 121.2(1.47) I | |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 4/6 | K 52.4(2.03) S _C [•] 91.6(0.03) S _A 117.3(1.48) I | I 109.7(-1.45) S _A 85.6(-0.04) S _C ⁺ 45.7(-1.20) S _X |
| | | | S _X 51.6(1.32) S _C * 90.2(0.05) S _A 115.9(1.49) I | |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 5/5 | K 51.6(1.87) S _C * 92.6(0.04) S _A 116.1(1.45) I | l 109.2(-1.45) S _A 87.3(-0.05) S _C * 47.0(-0.97) S _X |
| | | | S _X 52.3(1.16) S _C * 92.0(0.05) S _A 115.5(1.49) I | |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 6/4 | K 50.3(2.04) S _C * 91.0(0.04) S _A 113.4(1.46) I | I 105.0(-1.40) S _A 84.6(-0.03) S _C * 43.2(-1.05) S _X |
| | | | S _X 48.0(1.18) S _C * 88.4(0.04) S _A 111.7(1.45) I | |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 8/2 | K 49.1(2.11) S _C * 90.5(0.05) S _A 108.9(1.56) I | 1 101.7(-1.50) S _A 85.1(-0.04) S _C * 41.8(-1.05) S _X |
| | | | S _X 46.6(1.22) S _C * 89.5(0.06) S _A 108.2(1.55) I | |
| 6.0 | 12.6 | 0/01 | K 49.8(2.35) S _C * 90.9(0.03) S _A 106.5(1.59) I | 1 99.6(-1.55) S _A 85.8(-0.06) S _C * 41.6(-0.52) S _X 30.4(-0.31) K |
| | | | K 38.8(0.44) S _X 46.6(0.58) S _C * 90.4(0.06) S _A 105.9(1.55) I | |

Table IV. Characterization of the binary mixtures of poly(15) with poly(16)

•

^a Data on first line are from first heating and cooling scans. Data on second line are from second heating scan.

| Sample | [15]/[16] | Polymer | Mnx10-3 | Mw/Mn | පී | Phase transitions (°C) and corresponding | cnthalpy changes (kcal/mru) ^b |
|--------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|------|---|--|
| Na | (mol/mol) | Yield(%) | | | | heating | cooling |
| - | 01/0 | 81.2 | 6.2 | 1.10 | 12.0 | K 58.7(0.59) S _X 64.6(1.09) S _C * 97.0(0.04) S _A 125.4(1.45) I | 1 118.8(-1.44) SA 92.8(-0.06) S _C [•] 57.5(-1.07) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 64.3(1.15) S _C * 96.7(0.03) S _A 125.0(1.44) I | |
| 7 | 2/8 | 80.0 | 6.0 | 1.12 | 11.6 | K 57.3(0.58) S _X 63.2(1.09) S _C * 96.2(0.06) S _A 124.9(1.54) I | I 118.4(-1.44) S _A 91.9(-0.05) S _C * 56.2(-1.04) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 63.0(1.19) S _C * 96.2(0.04) S _A 125.0(1.42) I | |
| ñ | 4/6 | 6.61 | 6.1 | 1.12 | 11.9 | K 58.5(0.62) S _X 63.3(1.18) S _C * 96.1(0.04) S _A 125.6(1.47) I | l 119.1(-1.47) S _A 92.0(-0.05) S _C ⁺ 56.6(-1.03) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 63.2(1.17) S _C ⁺ 96.2(0.04) S _A 125.5(1.47) I | |
| 4 | 5/5 | 69.3 | 6.2 | 1.10 | 12.0 | K 59.7(0.63) S _X 61.3(1.12) S _C * 96.0(0.04) S _A 124.9(1.45) I | I 118.6(-1.43) S _A 92.0(-0.06) S _C ⁺ 55.2(-1.05) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 61.4(1.15) S _C * 96.1(0.03) S _A 124.9(1.45) I | |
| S | 6/4 | 82.6 | 6.5 | 1.12 | 12.8 | K 59.1(0.64) S _X 64.3(1.14) S _C * 97.1(0.04) S _A 127.2(1.43) I | I 120.5(-1.43) S _A 92.7(-0.06) S _C ⁺ 58.0(-1.05) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 63.8(1.20) S _C + 96.7(0.04) S _A 126.6(1.44) I | |
| 9 | 8/2 | 82.4 | 6.0 | 1.12 | 11.7 | K 58.9(0.67) S _X 63.9(1.10) S _C * 97.2(0.04) S _A 126.2(1.44) I | l 119.2(-1.45) S _A 92.5(-0.07) S _C * 57.3(-1.07) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 63.7(1.19) S _C * 97.0(0.03) S _A 126.0(1.44) I | ſ |
| 2 | 10/0 | 78.5 | 6.0 | 1.13 | 11.8 | K 58.9(0.70) S _X 64.6(1.08) S _C * 97.8(0.04) S _A 125.8(1.44) I | I 118.7(-1.43) S _A 92.8(-0.06) S _C ⁺ 58.1(-1.00) S _X |
| | | | | | | S _X 64.6(1.20) S _C * 97.9(0.05) S _A 125.8(1.44) I | |

•

••

^b Data on first line are from first heating and cooling scans. Data on second line are from second heating scan.



.

Figure 1



(a)







•

• .

Figure 5

.







Endo ——



Figure 6

—— opu∃





Š

м

14.6

3

20

Š

М

9.5

×

12.6

ч

5.5

ч

4.1

¥

3.4

DP

(a)

¥

8.3





(a)



(b)



(c)



(**d**)









Figure 14







Eudo —

14110 15