1	OCUMENTATION PA	AGE ·	OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burgen for this collection of in gathering and maintaining the data needed, at collection of informaticon, including suggestion Davis highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2220	formation is estimated to average 1 hour per in dicompleting and reviewing the collection of in is for reducing this burgen. To Washington Hea 24302, and to the Office of Management and i	esponse, including the time for review information. Send comments regarding dquarters Services, Directorate for info Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0	ng instructions, searching existing data this burden estimate or any other aspe mation Operations and Reports, 1215 704-0188), Washington, DC 20503
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave black	nk) 2. REPORT DATE July 9, 1994	3. REPORT TYPE AND D. 1/1/93 - 7/9/94	ATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	nd Its Effect on Inter	5. laminar	FUNDING NUMBERS
Fracture Toughness i	n Glass Fiber Reinforc	ed Epoxy	PE-N0014-91
Laminates 6. AUTHOR(S)			PR-1274
Timothy W.H. Wang an	d Frank D. Blum		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS	FCTE	PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO
University of Missour Department of Chemist Rolla, MO 65401 ATTN: Frank D. Blum	ri-Rolla try JU	JL 1 5 1994	UMR-FDB-42
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AG	ENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	) 10.	SPONSORING / MONITORING
Office of Naval Rese	arch - Code 5000		
800 Quincy Street			20
Arlington, VA 22217 ATTN: Kenneth J. Wvn	ne	94-215	<u> </u>
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Q P		
For publication in P	olymer Preprints	K	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	121	D. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Unlimited - Approved	for unlimited public	release	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor	ds)		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
The relationship be Mode I delamination fr	tween the interface of g acture toughness in a gl (DCB) specimen. The t	lass fabric/resin and ass fabric laminate v reatment of class wit	the resultant comp was investigated us
double cantilever beam has been shown to imp type of coupling agent i and $\gamma$ -aminobutyltriethor mobility (molecular mod critical energy release treated composites. The microscopic interfacial p	tion). Either coupling ag rate, G <sub>1C</sub> , of APS treat us we conclude that bul properties, including the coupling the coupling ag	perties of the composition of glass will perties of the composition of the composition of the similar pent improved the in ed composites was k structural properties dynamics of the coup	In silane coupling agosite as a function of opyltriethoxysilane (/ ar chemistry, but differences, but differences, but differences, bigher than that of as are a function of obling agent layer.
double cantilever beam has been shown to imp type of coupling agent i and γ-aminobutyltriethor mobility (molecular mo critical energy release treated composites. Th microscopic interfacial p	prove the mechanical pro- used. Two silane coupling xysilane (ABS) were use tion). Either coupling ag rate, $G_{1C}$ , of APS treat us we conclude that bul properties, including the o	perties of the compo g agents, γ-aminopro d. These have simila ent improved the in ed composites was k structural propertie dynamics of the coup DTIC QUALT	th silane coupling agosite as a function of pyltriethoxysilane ( ar chemistry, but differences, but differences, bigher than that of as are a function of a function of agent layer.
double cantilever beam has been shown to imp type of coupling agent i and γ-aminobutyltriethor mobility (molecular mo- critical energy release treated composites. Th microscopic interfacial p	tion). Either coupling ag rate, G <sub>1C</sub> , of APS treat us we conclude that bul properties, including the o	perties of the compo g agents, γ-aminopro d. These have simila ent improved the in ed composites was k structural propertie dynamics of the coup DTIC QUALT	th silane coupling agosite as a function of pyltriethoxysilane (/ ar chemistry, but differences, but differences, bigher than that of the sare a function of the same same same same same same same sam
<ul> <li>double cantilever beam has been shown to imp type of coupling agent i and γ-aminobutyltriethor mobility (molecular more critical energy release treated composites. The microscopic interfacial particular for the subject terms polymers, Interfaces Mechanical properties</li> </ul>	s, Fracture toughness, es, Composites	perties of the compo g agents, γ-aminopro d. These have simila ent improved the in ed composites was k structural propertie dynamics of the coup DTIC QUALT	th silane coupling agosite as a function of pyltriethoxysilane (/ ar chemistry, but differences, but differences, bigher than that of the sare a function of the same a function of the
<ul> <li>double cantilever beam has been shown to imp type of coupling agent i and γ-aminobutyltriethor mobility (molecular more critical energy release treated composites. The microscopic interfacial properties of the state of the st</li></ul>	s, Fracture toughness, es, Composites	perties of the compo g agents, γ-aminopro d. These have simila ent improved the in ed composites was k structural propertie dynamics of the coup DTIC QUALT Mobility,	h silane coupling a osite as a function of pyltriethoxysilane ( ar chemistry, but diff terfacial properties. higher than that of es are a function o oling agent layer. TY INSPECTED 3 15. NUMBER OF PAGE 7 16. PRICE CODE 20. LIMITATION OF AR

# OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

•

Grant N00014-91-J-1274

R&T Code 413m005---04

Technical Report # UMR-FDB-42

Interface Mobility and Its Effect on Interlaminar Fracture Toughness in Glass Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Laminates

by

Timothy W.H. Wang and Frank D. Blum

Department of Chemistry and Materials Research Center University of Missouri-Rolla Rolla, MO 65401

(314) 341-4451

Accesio	on For	(			
NTIS DTIC Unanne Justific	CRA&I TAB punced ation				
By Distribution /					
Availability Codes					
Dist	Avail an Speci	d / or al			
A-1					

Prepared for Publication in

**Polymer Preprints** 

July 9, 1994

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale: its distribution is unlimited.

# Interface Mobility and Its Effect on Interlaminar Fracture Toughness in Glass Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Laminates

<u>Timothy W. H. Wang</u> and Frank D. Blum Department of Chemistry and Materials Research Center University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla, MO 65401

### INTRODUCTION

Laminates based on glass fabrics are used in a variety of structural applications for reinforcement. The final properties of the laminates are influenced by the properties of their constituents and structures. In addition, the properties of the interfacial regions are also very important. It is difficult to separate the contribution of each phase and interface to composite behavior. This is particularly true with the organic interphase since their molecular level behavior can be influenced by chemical or physical interactions with inorganic surfaces.

It is possible to alter the nature of the interface between dissimilar materials. One way to achieve this is through the use of coupling agents[1]. Silane coupling agents can act as a bridge between, for example, the glass fibers and the matrix. They are also used to improve the adhesion between the two constituents in a polymer composite. A significant amount of work, based on various techniques, has been done to elucidate the structure of aminosilanes in solution and on substrates[2-4]. The majority of these studies have focused on the structure of the coupling agents at or near the surface.

In addition to structure, knowledge of the molecular motion of the coupling agents may provide a better understanding of their behavior and their relationship to the physical properties of composites. Since molecular motion may vary from system to system, some consideration of the interface mobility is appropriate in the understanding of the composite properties. The focus of the present work is to determine the role that the mobility of the coupling agents play in the physical properties of composites. To do this we have chosen to compare the physical properties of composites with two similar coupling agents of different mobility. The two used are  $\gamma$ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APS) and  $\gamma$ -aminobutyltriethoxysilane (ABS) which have similar structure and chemistry, but differ in their mobility in multilayer applications[5]. ABS is significantly more mobile than APS in multilayers.

One of the mechanical properties used in our comparison of two different silane coupling agents in treated composites, is interlaminar fracture toughness. A major concern in structural materials is resistance to delamination, and toughness in this mode is frequently assessed by using a double cantilever beam (DCB) specimen. Several recent papers have reported the use of composite DCB specimens to characterize mode I delamination fracture toughness[6-9]. The interlaminar fracture toughness of the treated-glass composites were measured and compared with those of untreated composites.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Materials. The heat-cleaned E-glass fiber fabrics were made by Owens-Corning Fiberglas. Nominal 13  $\mu$ m diameter glass fiber was used in this work.  $\gamma$ -aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APS) was purchased from Huls America (Piscatway, N.J.) and used as received.  $\gamma$ -aminobutyltriethoxysilane (ABS) was prepared by the hydrogenation of cyanopropyltriethoxysilane (CPS) under a pressure of about 100 psi in the presence of Raney nickel catalyst [10]. The product was then vacuum distilled at 30 mmHg and 85 °C. The epoxy resin, diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) and curing agent, diethylenetriamine (DETA), were obtained from Dow Chemical (labelled as DER331 and DEH20, respectively) and used as received.

**Preparation.** A 2% solution of the coupling agent was hydrolyzed in acetone/distilled water (10/1) for 24 hrs. The glass fabrics which were cut into 6 by 6 square inch pieces then immersed into the this solution for 24 hrs at room temperature. The treated glass fabrics were washed several times with distilled water and then dried in vacuum oven at 110 °C for half an hour.

The epoxy/hardener (10:1) was well stirred for several minutes, laminates were obtained by hand lay-up with 16-24 treated or untreated glass fabric layers in a 6"x 6" aluminum mold which was cured in a hot press at 115 °C for 30 min with a pressure about 1000 psi. To ensure complete chemical reaction, the laminates were further postcured at 140 °C for 1 hour. The laminates were then cooled slowly to reduce the residual stresses inside.

Testing. The size and geometry of our DCB specimens are shown in Figure 1. A very sharp starter crack was introduced by inserting a sheet of aluminum foil between the center laminate of the composite. The specimens were loaded at a crosshead speed of 2 mm/min using an Instron model 4204 through the copper hinge glued to the specimen. The fracture toughness of the specimen was estimated by the critical strain energy release rate. At the point of crack initiation or arrest, this value is known as the critical strain energy release rate,  $G_{IC}$  [11].

 $G_{1c} = (P_c^2/2B)(\partial C/\partial a) \tag{1}$ 

where P, B, C and a are the applied load, the specimen width, compliance and crack length, respectively. From the loaddisplacement curves, the compliance, C, can be obtained and plotted against the crack length, a. Using the values of  $\partial C/\partial a$ obtained from the compliance-crack growth (C-a) curve,  $G_{lc}$  can be calculated using equation (1). The relationship between C and a was approximated by:

$$C(a) = R a^{n}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where R and n are constants which are experimentally determined. From Equations 1 and 2, the critical strain energy release rate is given by:

 $G_{lc} = (nP_c^2 C(a)/2Ba)$  (3)

where  $P_c$  is the load measured at the point where the crack initiates or reinitiates.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The compliance, C, was calculated from the loaddisplacement curve and plotted against the crack length. Figure 2(a) and 2(b) shows the typical C-a curves in double logarithmic plot which are based on either the point of crack initiation or crack arrest. These relationships can be approximated by equation (2). For both crack initiation and arrest, the values of n increase from untreated < ABS < APS treated composites. With the use of the calculated n values in equation 3, the critical strain energy release rate is obtained. In the case of unstable crack growth, the values of  $G_{lc}$  may be calculated at the point of crack initiation, reinitiation (propagation) and crack arrest.

Shown in Figures 3(a-c) are the critical strain energy release rates of the three types of composites as a function of the crack length.  $G_{lc}$  values were calculated at the point where the crack

initiated or reinitiated. The values of  $G_{lc}$  of the untreated composites were approximately independent of the crack length as shown in Figure 3(a). In contrast, the values of  $G_{1c}$  for ABS and APS treated composites are more dependent on the crack length. In the range of small crack length,  $G_{lc}$  of the ABS-treated composites is almost equal to that of the untreated composite. But, when the crack length above 50 mm, higher  $G_{lc}$  values were obtained for ABS treated composites. This was probably due to increased probability of fiber bridging or fiber breakage in the propagating region over that the initiation region of the crack. Increased  $G_{lc}$ 's were also found in APS-treated composites with increasing crack length. The value for APS treated material was the highest measured for all three samples.

The values of  $G_{lc}$  for the untreated-glass composite calculated at point of arrest was approximately independent of crack length. The  $G_{lc}$  value of the ABS treated composite was intermediate between untreated and APS treated composite.  $G_{lc}$  values for APS treated composite calculated at the point of arrest was shown to increase with crack length. The averaged  $G_{lc}$  values obtained at the point of crack initiation (reinitiation) and arrest were shown in Table 1.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A fracture toughness test using a DCB specimen can provide a sensitive technique by which effects such as the relative mobility of interfacial species can be probed. The interlaminate fracture toughness of glass fiber fabric epoxy composites is influenced by the type of silane coupling agent used. Untreated composites have the lowest critical energy release rate resulting from a poor interface between fiber fabrics and resin. The poor interfacial properties will result in a delamination between the resin and fibers. The ABS treated composites show improvement because of the interfacial layer. They have higher critical energy release rates than the untreated system. The APS treated composite has the highest critical energy release rate which means crack growth through the interface between fiber and resin can effectively transfer the load through the bonding of coupling agent between fibers and resins to the fibers. We believe that this is probably due to the shorter alkyl chain length of the APS which results in a less mobile material than ABS at the coupling agent/epoxy interface. This provides a better graded interface than when ABS is used. Consideration of the mobility

of the coupling agent layer should allow the most effective choice of an appropriate interfacial system for coupling agent applications.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with Prof. L. R. Dharani, Mr. P. Gopal and Mr. H. Jo and the financial assistance of the Office of Naval Research.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Plueddemann, E. P. 'Silane Coupling Agents', 2nd ed., Plenum Press, New York, 1991.
- 2. Chiang, C. H., Ishida, H. and Koenig, J. L. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1980, 74, 396.
- 3. Schrader, M. E. J. Adhes. 1970, 2, 202.
- 4. Culler, S. R., Ishida, H. and Koenig, J. L. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1985, 106, 334.
- 5. Blum, F. D., Meesiri, W., Kang, H. J. and Gambogi, J. E. J. Adhesion Sci. Technol. 1991, 5, 479.
- 6. Suzuki, Y., Maekawa, Z., Hamada, H., Yokoyama, A. and Sugihara, T. J. Mater. Sci. 1993, 28, 1725.
- Von Bradsky, G., Chivers, R. A., Crick, R. A. and Turner, R. M. Composite Sci. Tech. 1993, 47, 75.
- 8. Bazhenov, S. L. Composites, 1991, 22, 275.
- 9. Yoon, H. and Takahashi, K. J. Mater. Sci. 1993, 28, 1849.
- 10. Kang, H. J., Meesiri, W. and Blum, F. D. Mater. Sci. Eng. 1990, A126, 265.
- 11. Ewalds, H. L. and Wanhill, R. J. H. 'Fracture Mechanics' Edwald Arnold, London. 1984.



.

Figure 1. Geometry of the double cantilever beam (DCB) specimen used for the measurement of interlaminar fracture toughness



Figure 2. Double logarithmic plot of the typical C-a relations of the composites based on the point of (a) crack initiation (b) crack arrest. Bulk ( $\Box$ ), 2% ABS (O), 2% APS ( $\Delta$ ).



•

Figure 3(a-c). Critical energy release rate,  $G_{1C}$ , of the composites as a function of crack length based on point of initiation. (a) untreated (b) ABS from 2% solution (c) APS from 2% solution.

Table I. The slopes of compliance-crack growth plots (n) and average critical energy release rate ( $G_{1C}$ ) based on crack initiation (superscript i) and crack arrest (superscript a).

	n <sup>i</sup>	na	G <sub>1c</sub> i(KJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	$G_{1c}^{a}(KJ/m^{2})$
Bulk	3.45	3.44	0.31 ± 0.02	0.32 ± 0.03
2ABS	3.78	3.70	0.37 ± 0.10	0.39 ± 0.11
2APS	3.97	3.92	0.59 ± 0.05	$0.61 \pm 0.051$