

Technical Report GL-94-13 May 1994

US Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station



# Post Eruption Hydrology and Hydraulics of Mount Pinatubo, The Philippines

by Hilaire W. Peck, Karl W. Eriksen U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland

Monte L. Pearson

K. Malcolm Leytham Northwest Hydraulics Consultants, Inc.



VES

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

Я

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED S



Prepared for U.S. Agency for International Development

5

093

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional parposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

FRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

ė,

# Post Eruption Hydrology and Hydraulics of Mount Pinatubo, The Philippines

by Hilaire W. Peck, Karl W. Eriksen

U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland P.O. Box 2945 Portland, OR 97208-2945

Monte L. Pearson

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

K. Malcolm Leytham

Northwest Hydraulics Consultants, Inc. Kent, WA 98032

1000010	a For		-1	
Accesion For				
NTIS	CRA&I	4	1	
DTIC	TAB	<b>1</b>		
Unanno	beanue		- [	
Justification				
By Dist ibution !				
Availability Codes				
Avail and/or				
Dist	Avail at Spec			
A-1				

Final report

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

- Prepared for

U.S. Agency for International Development U.S. Embassy, Manila, The Philippines



## Waterways Experiment Station Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Peck, Hilaire W.

Post eruption hydrology and hydraulics of Mount Pinatubo, The Philippines / by Hilaire W. Peck ... [et al.]; prepared for U.S. Agency for International Development, U.S. Embassy, Manila, The Philippines.

406 p. : ill. ; 28 cm. -- (Technical report ; GL-94-16) Includes bibliographical references.

1. Runoff -- Philippines -- Pinatubo, Mount. 2. Pinatubo, Mount (Philippines) 3. Hydrology -- Philippines. I. Peck, Hilaire W. II. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. III. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. IV. United States. Agency for International Development. V. Series: Technical report (U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station); GL-94-16. TA7 W34 no.GL-94-16

# CONTENTS

5

1

- 000 V

The Case of the State of the St

Preface	iii
1Introduction	A-1
Authorization	A-1
Purpose and Scope	A-1
System of Units	A-1
2-Regional Analyses	A-2
Study Area	A-2
Physiography	A-2
Pre-eruption conditions	A-2
Impacts of the June 1991 eruption	A-3
Climatology	
General	
Climatic records	A-5
Precipitation	
Air temperature	A-15
Winds	A-13
Hydrology	
Discharge records	<b>A-14</b>
Streamflow characteristics	A-21
Runoff	A-22
Frequency analyses	A-22
Design storms	
•	
3-Basin Analyses	A-34
Introduction	
Rainfall/Runoff Modeling Methodology	A 24
Introduction	A 24
HEC-1 rainfall/nunoff model parameters	A-34
Calibration of HEC-1 model	
HEC-1 basin models	A-42
Confidence limits on computed frequency events	A-45
Flow Duration Curve Modeling Methodology	A-45
Flow duration curve	A-45
Confidence limits on flow duration curves	▲-47
River Hydraulic Modeling Methodology	A-47
Hydrologic Results	
Unit hydrographs	A-48
Sacobia-Bamban basin	A_49
Abacan River basin	
O'Donnell basin	

Gumain/Porac basin A-5	2
Pasig-Potrero basin A-5	4
Santo Tomas basin A-5	6
Bucao basin A-5	8
Maloma basin A-5	9
Confidence limits A-6	1
River Hydraulic Modeling Results A-6	2
HEC-1 Input A-6	2
sclosure Plate and Exhibit A for Technical Appendix A;	
ydrology and Hydraulics <sup>1</sup> 1-	1

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>This report was initially published as "Appendix A: Hydrology and Hydraulics" to the report entitled "Mount Pinatabo Recovery Action Plan, Long-Term Report," published in March 1994 by the U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland and submitted to the Department of State in March 1994.

#### PREFACE

Under authority of the Economy Act (31 U.S.C. 1535) and Section 632 of the Foreign Assistance Act (22 U.S.C. 2357), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) requested the Department of the Army, acting through the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), to prepare a comprehensive Recovery Action Plan (RAP) for Mount Pinatubo and subsequent hydrologic events. The RAP is being prepared in accordance with a Participating Agency Service Agreement (PASA) signed on June 18, 1992, between USAID/Philippines and the Department of the Army.

This investigation, "Post Eruption Hydrology and Hydraulics of Mount Pinatubo, The Philippines," was begun and the report was prepared by Mr. Hilaire W. Peck and Mr. Karl W. Eriksen, U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland; MAJ Monte L. Pearson, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES); and Dr. K. Malcolm Leytham, Northwest Hydraulic Consultants, Inc., Kent, Washington, during the period June 1992 to March 1994. Data were collected and analysis was conducted by the authors. A number of field trips were made to the study site, Mount Pinatubo, The Philippines, during the study period.

This \cport was initially published as Appendix A: Hydrology and Hydraulics to the report entitled "Moent Pinatubo Recovery Action Plan, Long-Term Report," published in March 1994 by the U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, and submitted to the Department of State in March 1994.

This investigation was performed under the direct supervision of Mr. Ron Mason, Chief, River and Coastal Engineering Branch, and Mr. Mike Roll, Program Manager, U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, and Mr. Jerry Cornell, Project Manager, U.S. Army Engineer Division, Pacific Geean; and Dr. W. F. Marcuson III and Paul F. Hadala, Director and Assistant Director, Geotechnical Laboratory, WES, directly supervised MAJ Pearson.

At the time of publication of this report, Director of WES was Dr. Robert W. Whalin. Commander was COL Bruce K. Howard, EN. Commander of the U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, was COL Charles Hines, EN.

#### POST ERUPTION HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS OF MOUNT PINATUBO, THE PHILIPPINS

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Authorization

Under authority of the Economy Act (31 U.S.C. 1535) and Section 632 of the Foreign Assistance Act (22 U.S.C. 2357), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) requested the Department of the Army, acting through the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), to prepare a comprehensive Recovery Action Plan (RAP) for controlling sedimentation and flooding resulting from the June 1991 volcanic eruption of Mount Pinatubo, and subsequent hydrologic events. The RAP is being prepared in accordance with a Participating Agency Service Agreement (PASA) signed on June 18, 1992 between USAID/Philippines and the Department of the Army.

#### 1.2 Purpose and Scope

This appendix to the Long-term Action Report is to present hydrology and meteorology pertinent to the design of measures to address long-term flooding and sediment control measures for all eight major river basins impacted by Mount Pinatubo.

1.3 System of Units

The hydrologic output from this study is reported in SI units. Volumes are most often reported in cubic decameters (dam<sup>3</sup>). One decameter is equal to 10 meters; therefore, 1 dam<sup>3</sup> is equal to 1,000 m<sup>3</sup>. Table 1.3.1 provides SI/English conversions for all SI units used in this appendix.

#### 2. REGIONAL ANALYSES

#### 2.1 Study Area

Mount Pinatubo is located approximately 100 km northwest of Manila in the Zambales Mountains on the west coast of Central Luzon. The eruption of Mount Pinatubo in June 1991 deposited enormous volumes of easily erodible fine-grained pyroclastic material on the flanks of the mountain. Debris flows and shallow flooding worsened by blockage of natural drainages have caused significant economic damage and loss of life.

Mount Pinatubo is drained by eight principal river systems. Clockwise from the north, they are:

O'Donnell-Bangat Rivers (tributary to the Tarlac River) Sacobia-Bamban Rivers Abacan River Pasig-Potrero Rivers Gumain-Porac Rivers Santo Tomas River Maloma River Bucao River

Drainage basins for these river systems are shown on Plate 1.

The principal drainages can be conveniently split into two groups: west-side and east-side drainages. The west-side drainages (the Santo Tomas, Maloma, and Bucao) drain directly to the South China Sea. Of the east-side drainages, the O'Donnell-Bangat Rivers join the Bulsa River to form the Tarlac River, which flows north to the Agno River and thence to Lingayen Gulf. The remaining east-side drainages (Sacobia-Bamban, Abacan, Pasig-Potrero, and Gumain-Porac) are all tributary to the Pampanga River and the Pampanga Delta which flow south into Manila Bay.

Data used in the analyses were largely obtained from the eight principal drainages and from their immediate surrounding areas. The area considered in the regional analyses generally lies between 14\*45' N to 15\*45' N and 119\*45' E to 121\*00' E of each drainage. A small amount of additional data was obtained from more distant locations.

## 2.2 Physiography

2.2.1 <u>Pre-eruption Conditions</u>. Prior to the June 1991 eruption, the peak of Mount Pinatubo was at 1,745 meters elevation. The upper slopes of the mountain had a dense network of steep and deeply incised drainages. Above 1,000 meters elevation, slopes ranged from 20° to 65° (Pierson et al., op. cit.) with headwater channel gradients in excess of 400 meters per kilometer (m/km). Channel gradients on the lower part of the mountain flatten out to about 10 to 20 m/km at elevations of 200 to 300 meters. Channel gradients in the area of the Pampanga River and the Pampanga Delta are extremely flat, dropping to as low as 0.1 m/km to 0.2 m/km.

The upper slopes of Mount Pinatubo were generally densely covered by shrubs and tall grass before the eruption. The flatter and lower areas on the mountain supported a variety of crops including sugar cane, cassava, and maize.

Much of the low-lying region surrounding the Pampanga River east of Mount Pinatubo is intensively cultivated. This is one of the Philippines' principal rice-growing areas. The more deeply flooded parts of the delta are used for fish-farming and other types of aquaculture.

Little detailed information is available on soils and surficial geology of Mount Pinatubo. However, there is widespread evidence of past eruptions, including large expanses of old pyroclastic deposits in the Sacobia, Abacan, and Pasig-Potrero Rivers on the east side and in the Marella River valley on the southwest side of the mountain (Pierson, et al., op. cit.; JICA, 1978'). Analyses of available hydrologic data indicate that soils on Mount Pinatubo are generally highly permeable, though exposures of hard rock have been reported since the eruption in the Gumain and Bangat basins.

2.2.2 Impacts of the June 1991 Eruption. The post-eruption peak of Mount Pinatubo is approximately 1,600 meters, a reduction of more than 130 meters in peak elevation. By necessity the hydrologic analysis is based almost entirely on pre-eruption hydrometeorologic data. The eruption of Mount Pinatubo caused substantial changes in the hydrologic regime of the principal drainages. Some changes (such as reduction in infiltration due to ashfall deposits) are believed to be relatively short-lived and are not reflected in the hydrologic modeling. Other changes, such as in the gross configuration of the drainage systems, are considered to be permanent relative to the life span of possible engineering measures, and are reflected in the modeling effort. The principal hydrologic impacts of the eruption are discussed briefly below.

<u>Changes in Headwater Tributary Areas</u>. The June 1991 eruption filled much of the upper portion of five basins (O'Donnell, Sacobia, Pasig-Potrero, Santo Tomas, and Bucao) with pyroclastic deposits. The drainage patterns that developed in these deposits resulted in numerous changes in sub-basin boundaries within the Bucao Basin and resulted in much of the headwaters of the Sacobia being captured by the Abacan River. However, the Sacobia headcut upstream and recaptured its pre-eruption headwaters plus approximately 4-1/2 km of channel length at the upstream end of the Abacan headwaters (i.e., Abacan above

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Japan International Cooperation Agency, 1978, Planning Report on the Pasig-Potrero River Flood Control and Sabo Project. Main Report 78-38-1/6.

the Gates of Abacan). It appears that in October 1993 the Pasig-Potrero captured about  $21 \text{ km}^2$  of the Sacobia River headwaters.

In addition to the changes in basin and sub-basin boundaries restange from new drainage patterns through the pyroclastic deposits, the eruption itself left a 5.9 km<sup>2</sup> caldera that captured portions of the headwaters of the O'Donnell, Sacobia-Bamban, Gumain-Porac, Santo Tomas, and Bucao Rivers.

With the exception of the headwater drainage areas of the Pasig-Potrero and Sacobia Rivers, hydrologic modeling for post-eruption conditions is based on drainage patterns and drainage areas inferred from aerial photography dated November 1991 and October 1992 and assumes the October 1992 drainage patterns are relatively stable. The portion of the Sacobia River headwaters that was captured by the Pasig-Potrero in October 1993 was determined by District personnel overflights.

<u>Blockages and Lake Breakouts</u>. In the period immediately after the eruption, pyroclastic deposits caused numerous blockages of the drainage system on the upper slopes of Mount Pinatubo. The formation of lakes behind these blockages and their subsequent failure contributed to debris flows along the principal drainages. Given the large amounts of unstable material remaining in the headwater drainages, temporary blockages and sudden breakouts of debris-dammed lakes may be a continuing hazard in some basins. No attempt was made to account for lake breakouts in the hydrologic modeling.

Following the June 1991 eruption, Lake Mapanuepe formed in the Santo Tomas River basin near the mouth of the Mapanuepe River. At the invert elevation of its outlet, Mapanuepe Lake has a surface area of approximately 8 km<sup>2</sup>. The tormation of Lake Mapanuepe resulted from a blockage of the Mapanuepe River outlet caused by recurrent lahars and severe aggradation on the Marella River. This lake was the source of repeated lake breakouts in the months immediately tollowing the eruption, but a man-made outlet channel from the lake has now stabilized the situation. Attenuation of the flood wave moving through Mapanuepe Lake due to temporary storage of water in the lake was account of the Santo Tomas hydrologic model.

Channel Degradation and Aggradation. All the principal rivers draining Mount Pinatubo have been affected by extreme channel degradation or aggradation at some point along their course. Channel degradation and aggradation have significantly changed the physical configuration of some of these rivers' drainage systems.

The lower reaches of the Pasig-Potrero, Bamban, and Gumain Rivers have aggraded to the extent that they are now perched between levees approximately three to five meters above the surrounding terrain, and lateral drainage is unable to enter the main channel. These features are reflected in hydrologic modeling.

While beyond the scope of this study, siltation of the Guagua River and other very lowgradient channels leading to the Pampanga Delta has resulted in severe flooding of low-lying land around Bacolor and San Fernando, and is reported to have caused a general increase in the depth and duration of flooding throughout the lower reaches of the Pampanga River and its delta.

Reduction in Infiltration. The June 1991 eruption covered an area of approximately 4,500 km<sup>2</sup> with airfall deposits of fine ash greater than 5 cm in depth, with ash depths of between about 5 and 50 cm on the principal drainages basins covered by this study (Pierson et al., Fig. 2, op. cit.). It is generally believed that deposits of fine ash will reduce pre-eruption infiltration rates, hence causing an increase in the volumes and rates of post-eruption runoff. In the two years since the eruption, much of this widespread deposit of ash has washed off during the monsoon rains, or the low-infiltration crusted surface that forms on these fine grained deposits has been broken up by new plant growth. The reduction in pre-eruption infiltration rates is believed to be a relatively short-lived phenomenon and was not considered in the hydrologic modeling presented in this report.

Loss of Vegetation. The eruption of Mount Pinatubo buried or otherwise destroyed all vegetation over an area of approximately 300 km<sup>2</sup>. Loss of vegetation greatly reduces evapotranspiration losses and eliminates the potential for both interception storage and storage in leaf litter and surface soils high in organic material. Loss of vegetation will cause an increase in the volumes and rates of post-eruption runoff. However, the climate of the area around Pinatubo is conducive to rapid plant growth, and it is generally believed that re-vegetation of all but the most unstable parts of the mountain will be relatively rapid. No attempt was made to account for loss of vegetal cover in the hydrologic analyses.

## 2.3 Climatology

2.3.1 <u>General</u>. The Mount Pinatubo area, on the west coast of Central Luzon, at 15° N latitude, has a tropical climate dominated by the Northeast Monsoon during the winter months (November through May) and by the Southwest Monsoon during the summer months (June through October) which are the rainy-season flood-producing months. The seasonal reversal of airflow results in a pronounced seasonality in prevailing winds and rainfall. Severe weather conditions (high winds and heavy rain) are associated with typhoons, which most commonly occur during the Southwest Monsoon season (June through October).

2.3.2 <u>Climatic Records</u>. Daily rainfall data were available from 15 stations in the vicinity of Mount Pinatubo. Of these, data for 13 stations were obtained from the Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical, and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA). Data for two other stations were obtained from the U.S. Navy (Cubi Point Naval Air Station) and the U.S. Air Force (Clark Air Force Base). The stations, periods of record obtained, and other relevant information are listed in Table 2.3.1. Data availability is summarized in the time-line on Figure 2.3.1. The stations are located on Plate 1. A total of about 330 station years of daily rainfall data was obtained. The majority of the PAGASA stations have a record

length of from 15 to 20 years. Longer term records (30 to 40 years of data) are available from Iba, Zambales; Cubi Point NAS; and Clark AFB. Data on station elevations were not available. However, reference to 1:50,000 scale topographic maps published by the National Mapping and Resource Information Authority (NAMRIA) indicate that all daily rainfall stations have elevations in the range of 0 meters to 150 raters (Clark AFB). No daily data were available from stations at higher elevations. The elevation of Mount Pinatubo prior to the eruption was 1,745 meters.

A network of six automatic tipping bucket rain gages was installed at relatively high elevations on Mount Pinatubo by the Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (PHIVOLCS) after the June 1991 eruption PHIVOLCS high altitude rainfall data were obtained for the period August 1, 1991 to January 10, 1993. Data from these gages were transmitted by radio telemetry either to the Pinatubo Volcano Observatory at the former Clark AFB or to PHIVOLCS main facility in Manila in the format of cumulative bucket tips recorded at pre-selected time intervals. One bucket tip corresponds to 0.635 mm (0.025 inches) of rainfall. The station names, locations, elevations, and other relevant information are listed in Table 2.3.2. The stations are located on Plate 1. There have been considerable difficulties in operating the PHIVOLCS gage network and significant periods of data are missing due to, for example, transmission problems and accumulations of ash in the gages. When these gages are operational, data are reported at least once an hour, and more generally at 20- or 30-minute intervals.

Hourly data were sparse. Gages at Iba, Hacienda Luisita, and Porac provided hourly data, but the record at these stations is described .s "fragmentary." Hourly rainfall data were obtained for three storm events at Iba, three events at Hacienda Luisita, and five events at Porac. Information on the data obtained is provided in Table 2.3.3. The stations are located on Plate 1. Hourly rainfall data outside the immediate study area were available for one extreme 24-hour period from *Weather Bureau Technical Paper No.* 42<sup>2</sup>, which provided hourly data from Baguio City, located approximately 140 km north-northeast of Mount Pinatubo at 1,370 meters elevation (4,500 feet), for a typhoon-related event in September 1911 which generated the then world's record 24-hour rainfall of 1,168 mm (45.99 inches). The general lack of good hourly rainfall data placed severe limitations on hydrologic modeling for the present study.

Daily pan evaporation data were obtained from three stations in the vicinity of Mount Pinatubo, all operated by PAGASA. The stations, the periods of record obtained, and other relevant information are listed in Table 2.3.1. The stations are located on Plate 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weather Bureau, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, 1961, Generalized Estimates of Probable Maximum Precipitation and Rainfall-Frequency Data for Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands for Areas to 400 Square Miles, Durations to 24 Hours, and Return Periods from 1 to 100 Years. Technical Paper No. 42.

<u>Screening of Daily Data</u>. Daily rainfall data were screened to identify clearly anomalous (high or low) values and to summarize periods of missing data. The completeness of rainfall records is highly variable, with no missing data reported at Clark AFB (station USA02) and over 30 percent of the record missing at Camiling (Station R0315). The records from Cubi Point NAS (USA01), Clark AFB (USA02), and Iba, Zambales (D324), the three stations with the longest records, are all relatively complete.

The following points were noted during screening:

• The maximum reported daily rainfall of 748.5 mm for the period of record at Iba (D324) on July 10, 1980 is suspect. No other neighboring stations reported daily rainfall greater than 150 mm on that date. Examination of hourly data for this event showed that the daily amount for this day was in fact 78.5 mm, and the record was corrected eccordingly.

 The rainfall record for Magalang, Pampanga (A020) is too short to be of value. A longer record is available from Bai Magalang (R0312), approximately 5 km east of the Magalang gage.

• The record for Bai Magalang (R0312) is essentially complete between February 1977 and January 1992. However, the maximum recorded daily rainfall of 103.1 mm on November 14, 1977 is significantly lower than that at other neighboring stations.

• The record from Camiling (R0315) is too short and too fragmented to be of value.

• The record for Mayantoc, Tarlac (R0316) is quite fragmented with approximately 20 percent of the daily records reported missing. The record is particularly poor for the period 1978 through 1984, when 27 months are missing, including most records for July, August, and September.

The record for Palawig, Zambales (R0318) is highly suspect, particularly for 1982 when no rainfall was recorded even though the station was reported to be in operation. Also, the Palawig station does not report nearly as many rainfall events during the relatively dry months of January through April as do other coastal stations. Over the 15 years of record, only nine measurements, including trace rainfalls, were reported in these four months. It is possible the station is only operated on a seasonal basis.

• The record for San Felipe, Zambales (R0319) is suspect. The maximum reported daily rainfall of 162 mm for the period of record is far below that for other coastal stations.

As a result of this basic screening, data from Camiling, Palawig, and Magalang were dropped from further consideration.

<u>Double Mass Analysis</u>. Double mass analyses were conducted on daily rainfall data using the following groups of stations:

#### Long-term stations

Group 1: Clark AFB (USA02); Cubi Point NAS (USA01); Iba (D324).

#### West coast stations

Group 2: Cubi Point NAS (USA01); Z-NAS, San Marcelino (R0322); San Felipe (R0319); Santa Rita Elementary School (R0320); Iba (D324).

#### Northeast stations

Group 3: Mayantoc (R0316); CLSU, Munoz (A017); Hacienda Luisita (A016).

#### Southeast stations

Group 4: Clark AFB (USA02); Bai Magalang (R0312); Julian Subdivision (R0313); Masantol (R0314).

The entire concurrent rainfall record for each group of stations was used for the double mass analysis. In computing cumulative rainfall amounts, days were ignored for which data were missing for any station in the group. The double mass analyses of the long-term stations indicated that, with the exception of an unusually high rainfall amount recorded at Iba, Zambales (D324) in 1964, the records for these stations are fairly consistent for the period 1961-1990.

The double mass analyses of the west coast stations indicated that, with the exception of San Felipe, the records are relatively consistent over the period 1975-1990. Removing San Felipe from consideration should improve the results for other stations.

The double mass analyses of the northeast stations indicated no obvious long-term changes in slope, although the plot for Mayantoc showed an unusual shape with a number of small slope changes and an overall tendency towards diminished rainfall in later years. Considering these results and the preliminary screening noted earlier, the data from Mayantoc (R0316) are highly suspect.

The double mass analyses of the southeast stations indicated that the data for Bai Magalang underwent several major slope changes between 1977 and 1991. Again, considering the results of the screening and double mass analysis, the data for Bai Magalang are suspect. For Masantol and Clark AFB the double mass analyses do not indicate any major shifts in recorded rainfall. At Julian Subdivision there is an apparent long-term shift at about 1987. Comparatively less rainfall is recorded at Julian after this date. It is not known if station relocation or other changes took place which might explain this shift.

As a result of the double mass analysis, data from San Felipe, Mayantoc, and Bai Magalang were dropped from further consideration.

Spatial Variation of Daily Data. Spatial variations in rainfall data were examined by computing cross correlations between daily data at all stations and by examining rainfall amounts in individual major storm events. A matrix of cross-correlation coefficients for all stations is shown in Table 2.3.4. Cross-correlations were computed for individual pairs of stations using all available data for which daily rainfall exceeded 50 mm at either or both stations. The maximum cross-correlation is 0.53 between Iba (Station D324) and Santa Rita Elementary School (Station R320), which are approximately 20 km apart. If stations with suspect records are eliminated from the matrix (e.g. Palawig, San Felipe, Bai Magalang), the cross-correlations indicate substantial spatial variations in daily rainfall amounts over relatively short distances.

Relationships between daily rainfall amounts at various stations during major storm events were examined qualitatively. Major storms in western Luzon are associated with large weather systems (the Southwest Monsoon or typhoons) which affect the entire area around Mount Pinatubo. However, daily rainfall amounts within individual events show great spatial variability, especially when rainfall depths from stations on the east and west sides of Mount Pinatubo are compared. Spatial variations of rainfall during Typhoon Didang in May 1976 appear to be typical. This event produced the maximum two-day rainfall depth of 988 mm for the 40-year period of record at Iba. Significant rainfall depths were recorded at all other west coast stations, although storm depths were relatively less severe. The maximum two-day rainfall depth at Cubi Point during this event, for example, was 485 mm, a depth exceeded six times in Cubi Point's 33-year record. Comparison of rainfall depths at Iba for this event with rainfall depths from the east side of Pinatubo (for example, at Clark AFB) show even greater variation.

Analysis of PHIVOLCS Data. Review of the daily rainfall records and monthly totals recorded at PHIVOLCS stations indicates significant problems with many of the stations. PHIVOLCS data do not include a flag to indicate missing data or equipment malfunction. Therefore any gaps in the record were filled with a value of 0.0. This may lead to inconsistencies when comparing PHIVOLCS data to PAGASA or other neighboring daily rainfall gages. Following is a review of the available PHIVOLCS data. <u>Station RG-1 — Mt. Caudrado</u>: Data for August and September 1991 appear to be fairly complete. Monthly totals are not significantly greater than those recorded at lower elevations but individual storm events show increased daily precipitation. Data beyond October 1991 are fragmented and are not useful in the hydrologic analyses.

<u>Station RG-2 – BUGZ</u>: Data for August and September 1991 are relatively complete. Similar to station RG-1, the monthly totals are not substantially larger than the lower elevation PAGASA stations. Data from mid-August 1992 to mid- September 1992 may also be of some value. As was the case for RG-1, the data for much of 1992 were recorded as no rainfall. As noted earlier, there is no way of distinguishing between missing data due to station malfunction and data that actually register 0.0.

Station RG-3 — PI2: Data for all months are unrealistically low. The maximum monthly precipitation recorded was 535 mm in August 1992. This is significantly lower than the 804 mm recorded at Bai Magalang, for instance.

Station RG-4 — Mt. Culianan: Data for all months are unrealistically low. The maximum single day rainfall in 1991 was 37.4 mm. In comparison, the PAGASA station at Iba, Zambales reported six events greater than 100 mm during August and September 1991.

<u>Station RG-5 — Gumain</u>: Rainfall records for the August 20, 1991 event appear to be complete through midday on August 21, 1991. With the exception of this event, the Gumain data are fragmented, unrealistically low, and probably not of value.

<u>Station RG-6 — Sacobia</u>: Data collection did not begin until mid-September 1991. Rainfall totals for July and August 1992 are substantially less than those reported at low elevation stations. The record is fragmented and is not useful in the hydrologic analyses.

The PHIVOLCS records are too short and fragmented to be used for determining variations of rainfall with elevation on Mount Pinatubo.

<u>Evaporation Data</u>. Daily pan evaporation data were obtained from three stations in the vicinity of Mount Pinatubo, all operated by PAGASA. The stations, the periods of record obtained, and other relevant information are listed in Table 2.3.1. The stations are located on Plate 1.

Most of the missing data in the evaporation records can be attributed to pan overflows during wet weather. Examination of the available data showed the record at

Hacienda Luisita to be fragmented and occasionally reporting unusually large (in excess of 30 mm/day) daily evaporation depths. Data from this station were consequently dropped from further consideration. The data from Magalang were also dropped because the record was too fragmented and too short to be of value.

The data from CLSU, Munoz are believed to be the most reliable pan evaporation data available. Missing periods in this record were filled with mean daily values for each month to obtain estimates of annual pan evaporation. The estimated annual pan evaporation is given in Table 2.3.5.

2.3.3 <u>Precipitation</u>. The rainfall regime of the Mount Pinatubo area is highly seasonal, with a pronounced wet season from approximately June through October, coincident with the Southwest Monsoon, and a dry season from approximately November through May. Rainfall amounts along the west coast of Central Luzon are enhanced by orographic uplift during the Southwest Monsoon. Mountains to the west and southwest of Mount Pinatubo, with peaks at about 1,000 meters, act as partial orographic barriers during the Southwest Monsoon, with the result that the west and southwest flanks of Mount Pinatubo probably receive somewhat less rainfall than would otherwise be expected. Mount Pinatubo itself, and the Cabusilan Mountains to the south, present a more significant orographic barrier during the Southwest Monsoon, such that the east and northeast sides of Mount Pinatubo lie in a rain shadow, with low-lying interior areas east of Mount Pinatubo receiving only about half of the total annual rainfall experienced on the coast. Plots of mean monthly rainfall at Cubi Point NAS and Clark AFB (Figures 2.3.2 and 2.3.3) illustrate the seasonal distribution of rainfall totals on the west side and east side of Mount Pinatubo, respectively. The mean annual rainfalls at Cubi Point NAS and Clark AFB are about 3,600 mm and 2,000 mm, respectively.

Maximum daily rainfall amounts at stations in the Mount Pinatubo area are generally caused directly or indirectly by tropical cyclones, which are most prevalent between May and November. Data available from PAGASA indicate that between 1948 and 1991, an average of 16 tropical cyclones per year (tropical depressions, tropical storms, or typhoons) affected weather conditions at various regions in the Philippines. Of these, typically three or four per year affected weather conditions around Mount Pinatubo.

While large one-day rainfall amounts can be caused by the direct passage of a typhoon over the area (the maximum recorded one-day rainfall at Cubi Point NAS of 442 mm in May 1966 is believed to be one such example), rainfall events of longer duration with greater total event volumes and comparable one-day depths may result from intensification (or surges) in the Southwest Monsoon flow during passage of typhoons to the northeast of Luzon.

The Southwest Monsoon flow can bring long periods of near-continuous heavy rain. Intense localized rainfall is associated with intense convective activity in groups or pockets of storm cells embedded in the general southwesterly flow. Surges in the southwesterly flow due to

the influence of typhoons may increase wind speeds by 10 to 20 knots with a concomitant increase in rainfall.

<u>Normal Annual Precipitation (NAP) Map</u>. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of mean annual rainfall as determined from assessments of available long-term rainfall and streamflow data. Long-term rainfall records are available only for elevations generally less than 100 meters near the coast and in the interior lowland regions. However, the study area includes much higher elevations: the pre-eruption summit elevation of Mount Pinatubo, for example, was 1,745 meters. Streamflow and evaporation records were used to estimate mean annual rainfall at the higher elevations which correspond to the watershed areas of interest, as described in the following paragraphs:

1) The annual rainfall data, summarized by Table 2.3.6, were sufficient to determine an isohyetal line corresponding to 1,900 mm/yr of rainfall in the lowland areas east of the Zambales and Cabusilan mountain areas, and to show that rainfall decreases with eastward distance from the mountains. Coastal rainfall gages to the west of the mountains indicate rainfall of around 3,600 mm/yr to 4,200 mm/yr.

2) Annual yields from watersheds with streamflow gages were determined in terms of annual depth of runoff over the basin area as summarized by Table 2.3.7. Data for the Bagsit and (upper) Camiling stations, key stations for rainfall assessment because they have relatively high-elevation basins, show average annual runoff amounts of approximately 3,400 mm and 3,700 mm, respectively.

3) Average basin rainfalls were estimated by adjusting the runoff data by 1,550 mm to account for the estimated average annual evapotranspiration in the basins. This evapotranspiration amount was computed as being equal to about 80 percent of pan evaporation reported for lower elevations, assuming there would be no significant moisture deficit in the mountains. In transposing the estimated basin rainfall amounts to the maps, it was assumed that the average basin rainfall would occur at the centroid of the basin, and that rainfall would increase with elevation.

4) Knowing the rainfall at the lower basin elevations from the rain gages, and having assumed the rainfall for the centroid of the basin, rainfall at the upper basin was determined as the amount necessary to generate the observed runoff.

The analysis resulted in findings that, for mountains next to the coast, without any intervening topographic barriers, rainfall isohyetal lines of 5,000 mm/yr and 6,000 mm/yr correspond approximately to elevations of 750 meters and 1,200 meters, respectively. At Mount Pinatubo, which is separated from the coast by other mountains and may experience a

rain shadow effect, isohyetal lines of 4,000 mm/yr and 5,000 mm/yr correspond approximately to elevations of 500 meters and 1,000 meters, respectively.

The NAP map in Figure 2.3.4 differs significantly from those published with other studies in the area. Other available NAP maps show no significant increase of rainfall with elevation over Mount Pinatubo. Most of the annual rainfall in this region is associated with the Southwest Monsoon, which brings moist air from the southwest across the South China Sea during the months of June through October, striking the west coast of Luzon. An intensification of monsoon rain on the west side of the Zambales and Cabusilan Mountains due to orographic uplift is expected, and hence an increase of rainfall with elevation. The role of orography in increasing monsoon rainfall has been confirmed by meteorologists formerly stationed at Cubi Point NAS.

Additional support for the role of orography causing increasing rain with elevation is found in *Weather Bureau Technical Paper No.* 42<sup>3</sup>. This paper verified the orographic component of a hurricane model using wind and rain data recorded at Baguio City, Philippines in September 1911. Baguio City is located approximately 140 km NNE of Mount Pinatubo, at elevation 1,370 meters (4,500 feet). The rainfall event was associated with a typhoon and prevailing southwest winds.

2.3.4 <u>Air Temperature</u>. The mean annual air temperature at Cubi Point NAS (at sea level on the southwest of Mount Pinatubo) is approximately  $26-28^{\circ}$  C<sup>4</sup>. The annual average maximum temperature at Cubi Point NAS is  $31.4^{\circ}$  C and the annual average minimum is  $23.7^{\circ}$  C. A plot of mean monthly temperatures at Cubi Point NAS is given in Figure 2.3.5. Temperatures near the summit of Mount Pinatubo (pre-eruption elevation of 1,745 meters) are expected to be about 5 to  $10^{\circ}$  C cooler than those at Cubi Point and Munoz, by consideration of adiabatic lapse rates.

2.3.5 Winds. Wind speed data are available from Cubi Point NAS and annual monthly wind roses have been published by the Naval Weather Service Environmental Detachment. The annual wind rose is shown in Figure 2.3.6. The numbers shown on the wind rose (e.g. 19.6, 9.9, .9, etc.) represent the percent of time that wind comes from the indicated directions. The various ranges of wind speed and the symbols that represent these ranges are shown in the lower right hand corner of Figure 2.3.6. The percent of time that wind in a given speed range comes from a given direction can be scaled from the appropriate symbol on the wind rose (e.g. 19.6 percent of the time wind comes from the northeast and approximately 4 percent of the time wind comes from the northeast at a speed between 11 and 16 KTS). As indicated in the center of the wind rose, 25.9 percent of the time wind

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Footnote 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Wernstedt, F.L, 1972, World Climatic Data, Climatic Data Press.

speed is less than 4 knots (KTS). The direction of winds less than 4 KTS is not indicated by the wind rose. As previously indicated, the winds come from two predominant directions: from the southwest during June through October and from the northeast during November through May

# 2.4 Hydrology

2.4.1 <u>Discharge Records</u>. Daily streamflow data were available from 18 stations in the vicinity of Mount Pinatubo. Data were obtained from the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) and the Bureau of Research and Standards (BRS), which currently have responsibility for collection and dissemination of streamflow data. Responsibility for streamflow data collection has changed hands several times in past years and most of the data obtained were originally collected by either the Bureau of Public Works or the National Water Resources Council (NWRC). The stations, drainage areas, periods of record obtained, and other relevant information are listed in Table 2.4.1. No daily streamflow data were obtained prior to 1957, although published records indicate that earlier data are available for some stations, as indicated in Table 2.4.1. The last year for which data were officially published was 1972. A small amount of post-1972 data were obtained.

Peak annual flow data were obtained at the 18 stations providing daily streamflow data. Station names, periods of record, and other relevant information are given in Table 2.4.1. For the most part, peak annual flows were extracted from two publications:

> Philippine Water Resources Summary Data, Volume 1 — Streamflow and Lake or River Stage Ending December 31, 1970. Partial copy. Exact title, publisher, and date of publication unknown.

Philippine Water Resources Summary Data, Volume 2 — Streamflow and Lake or River Stage Ending December 31, 1980. Republic of the Philippines, Department of Public Works and Highways, Bureau of Research and Standards, Quezon City, June 1991.

The first of these publications contains peak atmual flow data for the period of record through December 1970. The second publication covers the period 1971 through 1980. Some additional peak annual flow data subsequent to 1980 were obtained from unpublished sources.

Most of the streamflow data in the study area were obtained from staff gage readings that were reportedly read two or three times per day. Data at some stations were collected using an automatic water level recorder. Attempts were made to obtain these data for selected flood events. However, no data could be obtained other than mean daily flows and published annual peak flows. <u>Review of Rating Curves</u>. Discharge measurement data and/or rating curves were available for review for about one half of the stations considered in the analysis. The available information yielded the following general observations which should apply for all stations.

> • The rating curves tend to be unstable, and most stations required a number of different rating curves over time as channel conditions changed. Substantial shifts in rating curves were most apparent in rivers subject to aggradation, where vertical shifts of 1 meter or more were observed over the period of record.

• Measured (gaged) discharge points are available only at flows significantly less than peak annual discharges. Measured data were apparently used as the basis for shifting previously defined rating curves to match the measured points as well as to define new rating curves when the extent of shifting exceeded certain (unspecified) limits. In general, the low-flow records are considered to be quite good for those periods when discharge measurements were made on a regular basis.

Prior to 1970, discharge measurements were made on a regular basis at most stations, typically at least three measurements per year. After 1970, the frequency of discharge measurements decreased significantly, with most stations showing one or more years with no measurements at all.

Piotted stage-discharge curves appear to be biased towards matching the lower limit of measured discharges for a given stage. If this observation is accurate, the implication is that available water supplies are conservatively reported but that peak discharges tend to be underestimated.

• The basis for extrapolating the rating curves beyond the measured discharges is generally not known. The following three methodologies were indicated in the literature for some of the stations:

1) "The upper portion of the curve was extended by area-velocity method."

2) "Due to the unavailability of the cross-section of the river, the upper portion of the curve was extended by logarithmic plotting."

3) There are infrequent references to some peak discharges as having been determined by "slope-area" method.

In summary, pre-1970 reported low discharges are considered to be quite accurate but the discharges may not reflect natural hydrologic conditions due to irrigation withdrawals on many of the rivers. Peak flows are subject to considerable error due to a lack of data for estimation plus shifting of the stage-discharge relationships during flood events.

<u>Screening of Daily Data</u>. Daily streamflow data were screened to identify clearly anomalous (high and low) values and to summarize periods of missing data. Data availability is summarized in the time-line in Figure 2.4.1.

The principal observations from screening the data are as follows:

• Useful streamflow data are sparse after 1972. Data available after 1972 suggest staff gages were read not on a regular daily basis, but at irregular intervals several times a month. For example, the record for Maloma for 1987 contains a period of 21 days in the wet season with a constant flow of 7.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s with an abrupt change on August 17 from 7.2 to 372 m<sup>3</sup>/s. The records for 1987 and other years contain similar periods of "constant" flow.

• As can be seen from Figures 2.3.1 and 2.4.1, there is very limited overlap of daily rainfall and daily streamflow data. This makes it impossible to reconstruct storm isohyetal maps for which reliable concurrent streamflow data are available.

Data from the two stations on the Porac River near Del Carmen and Valdez show inconsistencies. It is possible that the data near Valdez reflect irrigation diversions or diversion of flows into the Gumain Floodway. However, no information is available on the nature of diversions or the physical configuration of the floodway. As a result, data from the Porac River near Valdez and the Gumain Floodway were dropped from further consideration.

Records from many stations show unreasonable abrupt changes in flow rate. These occur at many places throughout the record and are too numerous to document individually.

<u>Double Mass Analysis</u>. Double mass analysis was conducted on daily streamflow data using, in the first instance, the following group of four stations:

Porac River near Del Carmen (084A) Gumain River (086A) Bucao River (093A) Santo Tomas River (094A)

These stations were selected because they are of direct interest to the hydrologic analyses (all are affected by the eruption of Mount Pinatubo) and have a relatively long common record. The entire concurrent record for the group of stations was used for double mass analysis. The analysis was done in terms of mean monthly cumulative "unoff in millimeters using

published drainage areas to convert from discharge rate in m<sup>3</sup>/s to runoff depth in millimeters. The plot for Porac River near Del Carmen indicates a progressive increase in flows relative to other stations throughout its period of record. The plot for the Santo Tomas indicates a dramatic reduction in flows starting in 1964. The reason for the reduction in flows is not known but could result from gage errors or irrigation diversions. However, no information was available as to whether such diversions occurred. Plots for the Gumain and Bucao Rivers showed a reasonably consistent record.

For completeness, double mass analyses were done for all other available records by plotting cumulative monthly runoff at the station of interest against the cumulative mean monthly runoff from a control group made up of stations on the Porac, Gumain, Bucao, and Santo Tomas Rivers. All plots exhibited inconsistencies in the records. Records for the two gages on the Camiling River appeared to be the most reliable outside the control group.

Review of Peak Annual Flow Data. Table 2.4.2 presents a summary of all available peak flow data expressed in m<sup>3</sup>/s. Table 2.4.3 presents the same data on a normalized yield basis computed by dividing each peak flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) by the published basin drainage area (km<sup>3</sup>) at the gage. These tables include data found to be unrepresentative of actual annual peak flow amounts.

Some of the published peak annual flow data were determined to be unrepresentative of actual annual peak flow amounts when the peak was published for:

• a year in which there was only a pan\_al record of flow, and for which there were no discharge records for significant portions of the high-flow months of July through December;

• a year or longer period for which there were serious questions as to the adequacy of the rating curve used at the station.

Tables 2.4.4 and 2.4.5 summarize peak flow and normalized peak flow data which have been screened to exclude doubtful records.

Examination of data in Tables 2.4.2 through 2.4.5 show very low peak flow yields for the Pasig-Potrero and O'Donnell Rivers and very high yields for the Caulaman River. The low yields on the Pasig-Potrero and O'Donnell could result from local geologic conditions although no information is available to confirm this. The reason for the unusually high yield on the Caulaman is unknown.

Most streamflow gaging stations used in the hydrologic analyses rely on a staff gage (which is read between once and three times a day, depending on the gage site) and its associated rating curve. The reported "peak annual flow" at these stations appears to be the largest of the discrete number of available observations. There are no known crest-stage gages at the gage sites, and few, if any, of the reported peak flow measurements appear to be based on observed high water marks. The following points are noted:

 Because continuous stage records are lacking at many sites, many reported "peak annual flows" may understate true instantaneous peak annual flows.
However, due to the considerable uncertainty in the magnitude of high flows resulting from extrapolation of rating curves, it cannot be determined if the reported "peak annual flows" are in fact understated.

• Measurement procedures appear to be inconsistent from year to year. In some years, some stations report the peak annual flow as having the same value as the maximum daily annual flow, implying that only one stage measurement was made on that day, even though the gage was reported to be read two or three times a day.

<u>Review of Annual Runoff Data</u>. The annual runoff (mm) for each complete year of streamflow record was computed for all stations and is shown in Table 2.3.7. Some serious inconsistencies are evident:

> • The Porac River near Valdez (drainage area 118 km<sup>2</sup>) shows a significantly lower yield than the Porac River near Del Carmen (drainage area 111 km<sup>2</sup>). The Valdez record is presumably affected by irrigation diversions or diversions to the Gumain Floodway.

- The Santo Tomas River shows an abrupt drop in yield in 1967 (drop was also indicated on the double mass plot).
- The Pasig-Potrero River at Hacienda Dolores (drainage area 28 km<sup>2</sup>) shows an abrupt drop in yield in 1969.

Because of significant periods of missing data, the annual runoff data from several stations could only be estimated for two or three years and were not considered to be of value to the hydrologic analyses.

Due to lack of overlapping rainfall and streamflow data, only limited comparison of annual runoff and annual rainfall data was possible. Plots of annual rainfall at Clark AFB against annual runoff on the Gumain, Porac, and O'Donnell Rivers are given in Figures 2.4.2 through 2.4.4, and a plot of annual rainfall at Cubi Point NAS against runoff on the Santo Tomas is given in Figure 2.4.5. With the exception of the Gumain River (Figure 2.4.2), these plots do not show a good relationship between annual rainfall and annual runoff. The plot for the Santo Tomas River (Figure 2.4.5) would improve somewhat if suspect data after 1967 were dropped from the analysis.

<u>Review of Gaging Stations.</u> The records available for analyses are listed in Table 2.4.6. The principal findings of the review of stations from which these records were obtained are provided below for each gage site:

> <u>Bulsa River</u> (Station W010A): The headwaters for the Bulsa River are on the east slopes of the Zambales Mountains, 15 to 45 km north of Mount Pinatubo. The record shows unusually high yield (i.e. normalized peak flow in m'/s/km') relative to other stations whose headwaters originate on Mount Pinatubo, with some periods reporting extreme (and likely erroneous) monthly runoff (e.g. runoff for July 1972 was reported as 3.8 meters, with monthly rainfall at Iba of 1.7 meters and at Hacienda Luisita 1.6 meters). The high yield relative to basins on Mount Pinatubo may result in part from different geologic conditions. However, the Bulsa's double mass curve is concave upward, indicating a progressive increase in flows with time relative to other stations, and its rating curves show a progressive upward shift due to aggradation.

> <u>O'Donnell and Bangat Rivers</u> (Stations W011A, W011B, and W012A): The records from the O'Donnell and Bangat Rivers show very significant and irreconcilable inconsistencies. Peak flows on the Bangat (Station W012A, drainage area 90 km') are invariably much higher (by as much as a factor of 6) than those recorded at the downstream gage on the O'Donnell (drainage area 240 km'). A possible high flow diversion exists from the O'Donnell above gage W011B into the Bangat above gage W012A. However, no diversion has been identified out of the system between the Bangat River gage W012A and the O'Donnell River gage W011A. Records for these stations are less than 10 years in length.

<u>Camiling River</u> (Stations W023A and W023B): The headwaters of the Camiling River are on the east slopes of the Zambales Mountains from 40 to 60 km north of Mount Pinatubo. The records show an unusually high yield (i.e. normalized peak flow in m'/s/km') relative to other stations originating on Mount Pinatubo. The record for both these stations is less than 10 years in length.

Pasig-Potrero River (Stations W081A and W082A): The record from station W081A (drainage area 242 km) may have been tidally affected and stage records only are available for much of the record. Discharge records are available for only five years from Station W081A and six years from Station W082A.

<u>Porac River</u> (Stations W083A and W084A): The records from the two Porac River gages (Station W083A with drainage area 118 km' and W084A with drainage area 111 km') show significant and irreconcilable inconsistencies, with the downstream gage frequently showing significantly higher peak flows than the upstream gage despite the very small difference in drainage area. It is likely that the records are affected by the operation of both irrigation and flood control projects. However, no information could be obtained on the configuration or operation of these schemes.

<u>Gumain Floodway</u> (Station W085A): This station is at the downstream end of the Gumain Floodway and the record is affected by upstream flood control and irrigation projects. No information could be obtained on the configuration or operation of these projects and how they affect the flow record at gage W085A.

<u>Gumain River</u> (Station W086A): The headwaters for the Gumain River are on the southeast slopes of Mount Pinatubo. The available daily record is 15 years in length. There are no known upstream diversions into or out of the system. The record appears to be of reasonable quality. A water level recorder was in operation for most of the period of record.

Caulaman River (Station W087A): The Caulaman River originates on the east slopes of Mount Bitnung 15 km south of Mount Pinatubo. It has not been possible to determine the exact location of this gage. Assuming the reported drainage area is correct, the records show an extremely high yield relative to other stations. Reported monthly and event runoff is occasionally (and likely erroneously) extremely high. For example, the reported runoff for September 1963 was 1.85 meters with reported rainfall at Cubi Point NAS of 0.93 meters and at Clark AFB of 0.51 meters.

<u>Colo River</u> (Staticn W088A): The Colo River basin lies approximately 30 km south of Mount Pinatubo. The station is downstream from an irrigation dam. The effect of this data on the record is not known.

<u>Bagsit River</u> (Station W092A): The Bagsit river originates on the west slopes of the Zambales Mountains approximately 40 km north of Mount Pinatubo. The basin was judged to be too far from Pinatubo to be representative of hydrologic conditions of interest. <u>Bucao River</u> (Station W093A): The Bucao River originates on the northwest slopes of Mount Pinatubo. The available record of daily flows is 15 years in length. Although the record quality is uncertain because of extreme extrapolation of the available rating curves, the record prior to 1972 appears to be relatively consistent. There are occasional periods of suspiciously high reported daily runoff volumes. A water level recorder was apparently in operation for part of the record.

Santo Tomas (Station W094A): The Santo Tomas River originates on the southwest slopes of Mount Pinatubo. The available record of daily flows is 15 years in length. The double mass curve for the Santo Tomas showed discharge volumes after 1967 to be significantly reduced by irrigation diversions upstream of the gage. However, the 11 years of data prior to 1967 appears to be relatively consistent.

<u>Maloma River</u> (Station W099B): The Maloma River originates on the west slope of Mount Pinatubo. Seven years of flow data are available; however, the record is judged to be exceedingly poor and too unreliable to be of use.

2.4.2 <u>Streamflow Characteristics</u>. Table 2.4.7 lists the 15 streamflow gages considered in the hydrologic analyses, together with rainfall and evaporation gages. The list excludes those gages determined to be unreliable or not useful to the analyses. Gage locations are shown on Plate 1. The data available for each streamflow gage consist of reported mean daily discharges and annual peak instantaneous discharges. No continuous or short-duration flow hydrograph data are available.

The convention adopted to identify streamflow gage data consists of a five-character code:

• the first character is "W" to signify a streamflow gage;

• the second through fourth characters identify the stream gage number, based where possible on gage numbers published by Philippine agencies;

• the fifth (and final) "A" or "B" character signifies whether the gage actually had a published gage number: "A" signifies that the number was published, and "B" signifies that no gage number had been published and that one was arbitrarily assigned for purposes of this analysis.

Periods of record of available daily streamflow data are summarized by Table 2.4.7 and Figure 2.4.6 together with rainfall and evaporation data. Record lengths vary from five to 16 years and are mostly within the period 1957 to 1972. Peak instantaneous streamflow data considered in this analysis are summarized by Table 2.4.8. Record lengths vary from five to 33 years, with very little data available after 1972.

Summary hydrographs at each of the gages are presented on Figures 2.4.7 through 2.4.21. The high points shown on the figures reflect discrete major flood events; differences in the presence or absence of specific peaks from gage to gage are due in part to differences in the periods of record.

Plots of mean monthly minimum, average, and maximum daily discharges are presented on Figures 2.4.22 through 2.4.36. The mean monthly maximum daily discharge for the month of June, for example, was determined by averaging the maximum daily values for June from each year of record.

The plots on Figures 2.4.7 through 2.4.36 indicate a strong seasonal pattern of low flows during the months of January through April and high flows during the months of June through October. High flows indicated for May mostly reflect a major storm in May 1966. The Bucao River (W093A) has no data for this month; hence, the Bucao River data as shown on Figures 2.4.19 and 2.4.34 are misleading by wrongly suggesting that high flows have not occurred on this river in May.

Plots on Figures 2.4.37 through 2.4.51 show the daily flow duration curves for each of the streamflow gages. Plots on Figures 2.4.52 and 2.4.53 show normalized flow duration curves for all gages, in which the curves were normalized by dividing the curve ordinates by the average daily flow for each gage.

The normalized flow duration curves show pronounced differences between the gages at higher discharges. While the reasons for these differences are not known, inaccuracies in the reported peak discharges are probably one factor.

2.4.3 <u>Runoff</u>. Figures 2.4.54 through 2.4.68 summarize the annual runoff for each of the streamflow gages.

2.4.4 <u>Frequency Analyses</u>. The HEC-FFA Flood Frequency Analysis program Version 3.0, which computes flood frequencies using a Log Pierson Type 3 fit in accordance with guidelines described in *Bulletin 17B* of the U.S. Water Resources CounciP, was used to assess frequency characteristics of both flood flow and rainfall data.

Rainfall Data. HEC-FFA frequency analyses of maximum annual 1, 2, 5, 10, and 15-day duration rainfall amounts were conducted for all daily data rain gage stations.

Tables 2.4.9 through 2.4.13 summarize the rainfall frequency data as computed from the raw data and also after multiplication by factors to convert from observational day data to n-hour

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Water Resources Council, March 1982, Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequencies. Bulletin 17B.

<u>n-days</u>	<u>n-hours</u>	conversion factor
1	24	1.13
2	48	1.04
5	120	1.02
10	240	1.01
15	360	N/A

8

amounts. The following empirical factors suggested by the U.S. Weather Bureau<sup>47</sup> were used:

The plotted analytical frequency curves fit the data well for all n-day durations at all gages as typified by Figures 2.4.69 and 2.4.70.

The frequency data support the earlier observation that daily and multi-day rainfall is significantly greater along the coast than in the interior.

Streamflow Data. The criteria for selecting stations for frequency analysis were generally as follows:

minimum of 10 years record (excluding periods of clearly erroneous data);

 headwaters originating on Mount Freatubo (records from streams originating in other areas were not selected for frequency-based calibration because of lack of information on surficial geology and coccern about whether such records would be representative of hydrologic conditions on Mount Pinatubo);

• clearly defined drainage area with consistent records and no significant upstream diversions into or out of the system (e.g., for irrigation or flood control).

It was determined in the review of gaging stations in Section 2.4.1 that three stations met the above criteria. These were the Gumain River (W086A), the Bacao River (W093A), and the Santo Tomas River (W094A).

<sup>\*</sup> Weather Bureau, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, no date, Railfall Frequency Aslas of the United States for Durations from 30 Minutes to 24 Hours and Return Periods from 1 to 160 Years. Technical Paper No. 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Weather Bureau, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, 1964, Two- to Ten-Day Presipitation for Return Periods of 2 to 100 Years in the Contiguous United States. Technical Paper No. 49.

For each of these gages, frequency analyses were conducted on the following maximum annual data:

A) Peak instantaneous discharge

•

- B) Mean daily discharge (and corresponding 1-day volume)
- C) Mean 3-day discharge (and corresponding 3-day volume)

Also for each of the gages, different data sets were assessed according to data availability and reliability. as follows:

A) Screened data set within the period 1957 through 1972. As previously discussed, these data were screened by double-mass analysis and other methods to eliminate obvicusly unreliable data.

B) Extended data set including the screened data plus additional pre-1957 and post-19/2 annual maximum peak instantaneous and daily discharges. The extended portion of this data set was obtained from Philippine summary sheets listing only annual maximum peak instantaneous and daily discharges. Data outside the 1957 through 1972 period are considered "not screened" and therefore of unknown quality. Table 2.4.7 shows periods of record available at each gage using the extended data set.

Relative to the screened data set, the extended data set added 16 data points for frequency analyses of peak and daily flows on the Gumain River and nine data points for frequency analysis of peak and daily flows on the Santo Tomas River. No additional data points were gained for the Bucao River or for analysis of routhi-day (i.e., 3-day) flows and volumes at any of the gages.

C) Subsets of the above data sets (A and/or B), created by excluding years in which data anomalies were noticed on Gr near the day of maximum annual flow. In practice, data subsets were analyzed for the Santo Tomas and Bucao Rivers only, and in each case excluded data for year 1962.

#### Guappin River Frequency Analysis.

Two date sets were analyzed for the Gumain River (W085A): 1) screened data for 1957 through 1971 and 2) extended data for 1947 through 1979. The screened data set is believed to be of high quality because the data were collected using an automatic water level recorder. Post-1971 data, and possibly much of the pre-1957 data, were based on staff gage readings only. Furthermore, all posi-1972 data are subject to greater uncertainty due to a significant deterioration in the gaging program after that date. The most significant difference between the two data sets is that the maximum peak instantaneous discharge reported under the extended data set, 740 m<sup>3</sup>/s in 1976, is nearly double the highest value reported under the screened data set, 375 m<sup>3</sup>/s in 1964.

Figures 2.4.71 through 2.4.76 show the results of the frequency analyses on data for the Gumain River (W086A), plotting the maximum event data together with expected probability frequency curves as computed by the HEC-FFA program. These figures are discussed briefly in the paragraphs which follow.

1) Figure 2.4.71 shows the frequency analyses results arranged to show the peak instantaneous, 1-day, and 3-day flows together for the screened data set. Extended data set results (for which 3-day data were not available) are shown by Figure 2.4.72. Figure 2.4.71 shows that the computed frequency curves fit the peak, 1-day, and 3-day values from the screened data set reasonably well, although the lines are not parallel as might be expected. For the extended data set, Figure 2.4.72 shows a good fit for the 1-day data but only a fair fit for the peak instantaneous data, due to the extraordinarily high peak instantaneous data point for year 1976, which has a considerable influence on the shape of the frequency curve and on estimates of flood flows.

2) Figures 2.4.73 and 2.4.74 show the frequency analyses results arranged to compare the effects of the alternative data sets for the peak instantaneous and 1-day flows respectively. The figures show that flows estimated for a given return period are sensitive to the data set used. The frequency curves computed for the extended data set are steeper than those for the screened data set, and differences between the curves are greatest at higher return periods. For example, expected probability estimates of the 100-year peak instantaneous flow vary from about 500 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the screened data set to 660 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the screened data set to 420 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the extended data set.

3) Figures 2.4.75 and 2.4.76 show the 1- and 3-day volume frequency analyses. These data and curves are the same as for 1- and 3-day flows, after conversion to volume units.

## Bucao River Frequency Analysis.

Two data sets were analyzed for the Bucao River (W093A): 1) screened data for 1957-1965 and 1967-1971 and 2) a data subset consisting of the same screened data in (1) but excluding data from year 1962. Data from year 1966 were excluded from both of the data sets because there were no data for that year's peak flow month (based on other gages) of May.

The year 1962 was excluded from the second Bucao River data set because a single value, 2,220 m<sup>3</sup>/s, was reported to be both the peak instantaneous discharge and the maximum

average daily discharge for the year. As a peak instantaneous discharge, 2,220 m'/s ranks second in the station's history. However, as a maximum average daily discharge, this value would be more than double the second highest daily value of 992 m'/s reported in 1961. Furthermore, total runoff from the basin for the five-day period in 1962 of July 20-24 was 942 mm, compared to a total rainfall at Iba for the same period of only 530 mm. Even allowing for spatial variations in rainfall and increases in rainfall with elevation, the total runoff volume appears unreasonably large in comparison to Iba rainfall.

The Bucao River data are of mixed quality. An automatic water level recorder was in place for 1957 through 1964; post-1964 data are based on staff gage readings made twice daily. The reported maximum annual discharge for year 1962 was measured/computed by the "slope-area" method.

Figures 2.4.77 through 2.4.83 show the results of the frequency analyses on data for the Bucao River (W093A), piotting the maximum event data together with expected probability frequency curves as computed by the HEC-FFA program. These figures are discussed briefly in the paragraphs which follow.

1) Figures 2.4.77 and 2.4.78 show the frequency analyses results arranged to show the peak instantineous, 1-day, and 3-day flows together for each of the data sets, i.e. the screened data set with and without year 1962 data. The curves generally fit the data best for the data set which excludes year 1962 data.

2) Figures 2.4.79 through 2.4.81 show the frequency analyses results arranged to compare the effects of the alternative data sets for the peak instantaneous, 1day, and 3-day flows respectively. The figures show that all estimated flows, and the 1- and 3-day flows in particular, are sensitive to the data set used, and that curves compared on the data sets including year 1962 data are steeper than these for which that year was excluded. Differences between the curves are greatest at higher return periods. For example, expected probability estimates of the 160-year peak instantaneous flow vary from about 3,740 m/s, excluding year 1962 data. Estimates of the 100-year l-day flow very from about 1,260 m/s excluding 1962 data to 2.730 m/s when including 1962 data.

3) Figures 2.4.82 and 2.4.83 show the 1- and 3-day volume frequency analyses. These data and curves are the same as for 1- and 3-day flows, after conversion to volume units.

Santo Tomas River Frequency Analysis.

Four data sets were analyzed for the Santo Tomas Rive (W094A): 1) screened data for 1957 through 1967; 2) extended data for 1948 through 1967; 3) screened data set (1) excluding year 1962 data; and 4) extended data set (2) excluding year 1962 data.

All Santo Tomas River data are based on staff gage readings. Year 1962, which includes the historical maximum peak instantaneous and daily values, was excluded from the final sets of data because of anomalies in the daily data: the minimum daily discharge for 1962 is reported to occur just two days after the maximum flow. As the Santo Tomas River gage was located 400 meters downstream of a structure that is believed to be a major irrigation project, it is possible that high flows during the flood may have been supplemented by releases of reservoir storage, and that the very low flows after the flood reflect the recovery of reservoir storage.

Figures 2.4.84 through 2.4.92 show the results of frequency analyses on data for the Santo Tomas River (W094A), plotting the maximum event data together with expected probability frequency curves as computed by the HEC-FFA program. These figures are discussed briefly in the paragraphs which follow.

1) Figures 2.4.84 and 2.4.85 show the frequency analyses  $r_c$  ults arranged to show the peak instantaneous, 1-day and 3-day flows together for the screened data set with and without year 1962 data. Extended data set results (for which 3-day data were not available) are shown by Figures 2.4.86 and 2.4.87. The curves are generally more parallel for the extended data sets than for the screened data sets. The best fit of expected probability curve to the data is for 1-day discharges with the extended data set excluding 1962 (Figure 2.4.87).

2) Figures 2.4.88 through 2.4.90 show the frequency analyses results arranged to compare the effects of the alternative data sets for the peak instantaneous, 1day, and 3-day flows respectively. The figures show that all estimated flows are sensitive to the data set used, and that curves computed on the data sets including year 1962 data are steeper than those in which that year was excluded. Differences between the curves are greatest at higher return periods. For example, expected probability estimates of the 100-year peak instantaneous flow vary from about 800 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the extended data set excluding year 1962 data to 1,560 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the screened data set including year 1962 data. Estimates of the 100-year 1962 data to 1160 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the screened data set including year 1962 data.

3) Figures 2.4.91 and 2.4.92 show the 1- and 3-day volume frequency analyses. These data and curves are the same as for 1- and 3-day flows, after conversion to volume units.

Summary.

Frequency analyses of the maximum streamflow data are greatly complicated by the uncertain quality of the available data and related uncertainty over which of the possible data sets is more representative of "true" conditions. The choice of data set significantly influences estimated high return period flows and volumes.

1

For all three gages assessed, the fit of the computed curves to the data was generally improved by disregarding high peak values over which there was some justifiable uncertainty. However, a review of rainfall data showed that these and other (uncertain) extreme flows were associated with very heavy rainfall expected to result in extreme flows. It is inappropriate to either fully accept or disregard the extreme value data.

Given the available record of uncertain data and the sensitivity of the analyses to single data points, single-value estimates of 100-year or other return period flows are not made. Instead, the families of expected probability frequency curves shown by Figures 2.4.71 through 2.4.92 are used to indicate a plausible range of reasonable values. Ranges for 2- and 100-year return periods are summarized in Table 2.4.14. The results of this analysis were used to calibrate the hydrologic model, HEC-1, which was used to generate design flood hydrographs.

2.4.5 <u>Design Storms</u>. The objective of the design storm analysis was to develop rainfall hyetographs for 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year hypothetical storms of appropriate duration to result in similar return period flooding of streams affected by the Mount Pinatubo eruption. The assessment was complicated by the fact that no rainfall data are available to describe conditions in the mountain watershed areas of interest.

The approach taken to develop the design storms is described in the following report sections. In summary:

1) Isopluvial maps cf 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year rainfall over durations of 1-, 2-, and 5-days were developed from the frequency analysis of available rain data and with the assumption that the ratios of coastal-to-mountain rainfall amounts would be the same as for annual rainfall amounts shown by the NAP map.

2) U.S. Weather Bureau area-reduction factors were reviewed in light of the available rainfall data, and a methodology for applying area-reduction factors jointly with elevation-based variations in rainfall was developed.

3) Short-duration (less than 24-hour) storm characteristics were assessed from available published intensity-duration-frequency data and from the limited available hourly data. A methodology was developed to construct and distribute design storm hyetographs throughout the basins of interest.

<u>Frequency-Duration Isoplevial Maps</u>. Figures 2.4.93 through 2.4.107 show isoplevial maps of 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year rainfall over durations of 1-, 2-, and 5-days.

The isopluvial lines shown for the coast and interior lowlands are based on the rainfall frequency analyses results summarized by Tables 2.4.9 through 2.4.13. Isopluvial lines for the mountain watershed areas are based on the assumption that rainfall near the 1,000-meter contour around the summit of Mount Pinatubo is, for all return periods, equal to the average rainfall at the coastal gages multiplied by a factor of 1.35 for all durations and return periods. The 1,000-meter contour follows the top of a narrow ridge to the south of Mount Pinatubo and an irregular circular patn about 5 km in diameter around Pinatubo's 2.5 km diameter (post-eruption) crater rim. The 1.35 factor is based on average annual data for coastal rain gages and on the NAP map.

Tables 2.4.15 through 2.4.17 provide data to examine the assumption that the ratio of samereturn-period rainfall at the coast, at high elevations, and in the interior is relatively constant for all durations and return periods. Table 2.4.15 presents data and ratios of interior gages to coastal gages for multi-day duration events. Tables 2.4.16 and 2.4.17 present shortduration data and ratios for stations representing interior, coastal, and high-elevation sites. Figure 2.4.108 plots the ratios for the short-duration data; Figure 2.4.109 plots the ratios between Hacienda Luisita and Iba for both short- and long-duration events.

Several observations are made from the data:

1) For durations of six hours and longer, the ratio of same-frequency-duration reinfall between any two stations is essentially constant, independent of the duration. This ratio is approximately equal to the ratio of average annual rainfall between any two stations.

2) For durations of one hour or less, rainfall depth is essentially independent of station location or elevation. For example, the 100-year 1-hour rainfall depth at coastal sites is essentially the same as the depth at sites in the mountains and the interior.

3) There is no clear indication of the effect of return period on the ratio of same-frequency-duration rainfall between two stations. The short-term data suggest that differences between two stations become slightly greater (ratios further from 1.0) with increasing return period. However, the long-term data suggest the opposite, that differences become slightly less (ratios closer to 1.0) with increasing return period. The discrepancy may result from the fact that the data analyses were conducted using different theoretical distributions. The short-duration data were assessed by PAGASA using a Gumbell distribution, which has a fixed skew. The long-duration data were assessed as part of this
study using a Log Pierson III distribution (HEC-FFA) in which skew is calculated from the data.

In summary, for rainfall durations of six hours and greater, the data show that mountain rainfall of a given frequency can reasonably be estimated as a constant multiple of same-frequency average coastal rainfall. A constant multiplier equal to the ratio of average annual rainfall appears reasonable for durations of six hours or longer. No adjustment, i.e. a multiplier of 1.0 applied to coastal rainfall, is appropriate for durations of one hour or less.

<u>Area Reduction Factors</u>. Area-reduction factors are used to estimate average basin-wide or area rainfall amount from point values. In relatively flat topography, the 100-year 1-hour rainfall over a basin area is expected to be less than the 100-year 1-hour rainfall at any point within the basin.

Area-reduction factors for the continental U.S. have been developed by the U.S. Weather Bureau' based on data from dense rain-gage networks. These factors have previously been considered "reasonable" to be applied to conditions in Hawaii", despite a lack of data to test the relationships for Hawaiian conditions. After an inconclusive check for reasonableness relative to the available study area data, the Weather Bureau factors were adopted for use in the hydrologic analysis.

Figure 2.4.110 shows distance-reduction curves de to define the Weather Bureau areareduction factors. The latter, which were based on circular areas, were converted to distance-reduction factors by assuming that peak rainfall occurs at the center of the circle and that rainfall decreases linearly with radial distance from the center. This conversion was done to facilitate comparison with the available data and to provide a methodology which could be applied jointly with factors to account for elevation-based variations in rainfall.

<u>Construction of Storm Hyetographs</u>. Table 2.4.18 summarizes frequencydepth-duration data for a hypothetical rain gage located near the summit of Mount Pinatubo at about 1000 meters elevation. These data provide the basis for construction of design storms hyetographs.

The 24-hour, 2- and 5-day data in Table 2.4.18 are approximately equal to 1.35 times average coastal gage values shown in Table 2.4.15; the data vary slightly from the 1.35 multiplier due to rounding effects when tabulating hourly data for HEC-1 model input. The 1-, 2-, 3-, 6-, and 12-hour data in Table 2.4.18 are based on the short-duration data of Table 2.4.16 with emphasis given to the high-elevation station at Baguio City.

<sup>\*</sup> See Footnote 7.

<sup>\*</sup> Weather Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce, no date, Two- to Ten-Day Rainfall for Return Periods of 2 to 100 Years in the Hawaiian Islands. Technical Paper No. 51.

While peak discharges on rivers draining Mount Pinatubo generally result from heavy rains lasting less than 12 hours, a design storm duration of five days was selected to provide additional data on total runoff volumes as might be required for later design work. Design storms of a specified return period were constructed by embedding same-frequency events; i.e., the 100-year 24-hour rain was embedded within the 100-year 2-day rain, which was embedded within the 100-year 5-day rain. This general approach was consistent with the available daily rainfall data.

During early efforts to calibrate the HEC-1 model to the region, it became apparent that the conservative approach of fully embedding all same-frequency durations within a single design storm yielded excessively high peak discharges. Unfortunately, peak discharges on the basins of interest result from short-duration (typically 3-hour to 12-hour) events, while hourly data were available for only two significant storm events.

Figure 2.4.111 plots hourly data and associated frequency-duration characteristics for a severe storm recorded at Iba (RD324) in May 1976. While the maximum 48-hour rain corresponds to a 200-year storm and the maximum 24-hour rain corresponds to a 25-year storm, the maximum hour corresponds to only a 2-year storm.

Figure 2.4.112 plots hourly data and associated frequency-duration characteristics for a severe storm recorded at Baguio City in September 1911. The rainfall data were obtained from Weather Bureau Technical Report No. 42<sup>10</sup> and reflect a (former) world record 24-hour rainfall amount. While the maximum 24-hour rain corresponds to about a 200-year storm, the maximum hour corresponds to only about a 20-year storm.

The available historic storm data support the proposition that a single design storm should not fully embed all same-frequency depth-durations, i.e., that a 1 in 100 year 24-hour rainfall should not contain a 1 in 100 year 1-hour rainfall. Possible physical explanations for this are that the monsoon or typhoon conditions responsible for large daily rainfalls are not conducive to the formation of intense cloudbursts, or that the monsoon or typhoon winds associated with large daily rainfalls do not allow intense cloudbursts to stay in one place long enough for significant rainfall amounts to accumulate at a point.

Figure 2.4.113 plots the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year hypothetical storms adopted as "base storms" before adjustments for elevation-based total storm depth and fcr depth-area reduction. These hyetographs are for a hypothetical station located at approximately 1,000 meters elevation near the summit of Mount Pinatubo, and embed same-frequency events from six hours duration through five days duration. A constant hourly intensity is assumed throughout the maximum 6-hour period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Footnote 2.

A constant hourly intensity over the maximum 6-hour period produces 1-hour to 24-hour characteristics which are similar to those observed in the two historic storms for which hourly data were available. The maximum hourly rain in a 25-year 24-hour storm has a return period of about two years, and the maximum hourly rain in a 200-year 24-hour storm has a return period of about 20 years.

The procedure described below was developed to construct multi-basin rainfall hyetographs for a single storm event. In this description, "summit rain" refers to rainfall characteristics at the hypothetical station at approximately 1,000 meters elevation near the summit of Mount Pinatubo. "Base storms" refer to design rainfall hyetographs for this hypothetical station as shown by Figure 2.4.113.

1) The basin of interest was subdivided into smaller sub-basins, considering isohyetal gradients through the basin as one factor.

2) The average annual rainfall for each sub-basin was determined from the NAP map (Figure 2.3.4), and the ratio of sub-basin rain to summit rain was determined. For any sub-basin, this ratio is a constant for all return periods and for all durations greater than six hours.

3) For each sub-basin, the base storm was adjusted to correct for the ratio of sub-basin rain to summit rain. The ratio was applied directly for all durations of six hours and longer, and factored within the peak 6-hour period so that a single peak hour was not adjusted. The justification for maintaining the peak hourly intensity at the average 6-hour intensity for the base storm is the previously stated observation that peak hourly rainfall intensities appear to be independent of location and elevation. Figure 2.4.114 illustrates this step in sub-basin hyetograph development by showing a 50-year base storm before and after the adjustments for a sub-basin normally receiving 80 percent of the summit rain.

4) A storm center was assumed to be located in the middle of the sub-basin with the highest rainfall. The distances from the assumed storm center to the mid-points of all other sub-basins were determined. For the sub-basin containing the assumed storm center, the average distance to the storm center was estimated as 2/3 of the radius of a circle having an area equal to the sub-basin area.

5) Finally, depth-area corrections were applied to each sub-basin storm (i.e., the base storm after correction for the ratio of sub-basin rain to summit rain). These corrections followed the depth-duration-distance curves shown by Figure 2.4.110 for durations of six hours and greater. The 6-hour adjustment curve of Figure 2.4.110 was applied to all durations within the peak 6-hour period because of the earlier assumption of a constant hourly intensity within the peak 6-hour period for the base storm. Figure 2.4.114 illustrates this final step in sub-basin hyetograph development by showing a 50-year sub-basin storm before and after depth-area corrections for a sub-basin located 10 km from an assumed storm center.

Sub-basin storm hyetographs derived in this manner were used as input to HEC-1 models used in the basin analyses.

## 3. BASIN ANALYSES

#### 3.1 Introduction

Hydrologic basin analyses were performed on all eight major river basins impacted by the eruption of Mount Pinatubo. Hydrologic analyses products were required at specific locations within the basins for use in the design of mitigation measures. The primary products required from the hydrologic analyses are, for the purposes of the following discussion, grouped as follows: (1) 2-. 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year instantaneous flood peaks, 1-day volumes, and 3-day volumes and the hydrographs corresponding to these peaks and volumes, (2) flow duration curves, and (3) flow velocities and depths. The modeling methodologies used to obtain products in groups (1), (2), and (3) are discussed in Sections 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 respectively. The results of the modeling are presented in Sections 3.5 and 3.6.

#### 3.2 Rainfall/Runoff Modeling Methodology

3.2.1 <u>Introduction</u>. Rainfall/runoff processes were simulated with the use of an HEC-1 computer model. Model runs were made with the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year hypothetical storm events obtained from the regional analyses in order to obtain estimates of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year instantaneous flood peaks, 1-day volumes, and 3-day volumes and the corresponding hydrographs.

Methods used to obtain HEC-1 model parameters are discussed in Section 3.2.2. The methodology used in the calibration of the HEC-1 model is discussed in Section 3.2.3. The methodologies used in the construction of the basin models are described in Section 3.2.4.

3.2.2 <u>HEC-1 Rainfall/Runoff Model Farameters</u>. Hydrologic modeling for this study was done using an extended memory version of HEC-1. The extended memory version of HEC-1 allows event simulation for up to 2,000 computational time steps, as compared to only 300 time steps in earlier releases of the model. The extended memory version, however, assumes the time base of the unit hydrograph is less than 300 time steps. All modeling work was done using metric units. Minor modifications to the extended memory program were made by the Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) to allow output of unit hydrograph ordinates to three significant digits when working in metric units.

<u>Computational Time Step</u>. Hydrologic modeling for rivers draining Mount Pinatubo is complicated both by the lack of good hydrometeorologic data and by the flat response of event hydrographs. Storm event hydrog where are often quite flat, with recorded ratios of instantaneous peak to maximum daily aver e flows of as little as 1.1 or 1.2. Furthermore, major storms affecting this area are f. quently several days in duration, resulting in a need to model hydrologic response from a storm event for periods of up to about 10 days. The flat sponse of the drainage basins under study naturally produces a flat unit hydrograph with an extended time base. HEC-1 assumes that the length of the unit graph time base is less than 300 time steps. Any volume in a unit hydrograph beyond this point is lost, such that the program fails to conserve mass. In order to model the flat response of these rivers while avoiding unacceptable loss of volume in the tails of the unit hydrographs, modeling had to be done at a 1-hour time step.

While a 1-hour time step is appropriate for modeling most of the larger study basins, modeling was also required to simulate flows from a number of small headwater catchments with drainage areas as small as 4.4 km<sup>2</sup>, and with times of concentration as low as 0.4 hour. While this situation would normally require modeling at a time step of perhaps as small as 10 minutes, tests conducted during the analysis found the model response to be relatively insensitive to time step due to the flat response of hydrographs and the relatively large amounts of storage implied. Tests conducted on small sub-basins with modeling time steps from five minutes to one hour showed a difference in simulated peak flows of about 2 percent -- substantially less than the degree of uncertainty in the basic data used for model development.

Unit Hydrograph. Three synthetic unit hydrographs are available in

HEC-1:

- Clark Unit Hydrograph
- Snyder Unit Hydrograph
- SCS Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph

The shape of the SCS unit hydrograph is controlled by a single parameter, namely, basin time lag. Preliminary simulations showed it to be incapable of reproducing the peak-tovolume characteristics of observed hydrographs in the Pinatubo region. The SCS unit graph produced a much sharper response than observed, such that it was not possible to maintain both observed peaks and volumes. Most of the HEC-1 modeling was therefore done using the Clark Unit hydrograph. This method uses three parameters to compute a unit graph: time of concentration, a storage coefficient, and a time-area curve. The greater flexibility inherent in the Clark method was found to greatly improve modeling results. The Clark storage coefficient provides an avenue for modeling significant attenuation of peak flows in the highly permeable volcanic deposits on Mount Pinatubo. The SCS unit graph was retained and used to represent runoff from the relatively large impervious paved areas of two subbasins in the Abacan River catchment that incorporate parts of Clark AFB and nearby urban areas.

Parameters for the Clark unit hydrograph were determined as follows:

Time of Concentration

In the absence of observed data, time of concentration was determined by an empirical equation provided by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation" as:

$$T_c = \left(\frac{11.9 \cdot L^3}{\Delta H}\right)^{0.385}$$

where

 $T_c =$  time of concentration (hours) L = length of longest watercourse from the point of interest to the watershed divide (miles)

aH = elevation change along the longest water course (feet)

Storage Coefficient

The Clark storage coefficient was determined by calibration to available data, as will be discussed in detail in Section 3.2.3, and expressed as a function of  $T_c$  to facilitate application to ungaged sub-basins.

Time-Area Curve

The time-area curve describes the cumulative area of a sub-basin contributing runoff to the sub-basin outlet as a function of time, expressed as a fraction of the time of concentration. The default time-area curve provided by HEC-1 was used for all sub-basins. HEC-1 uses a dimensionless time-area curve given by the following:

	$AI = 1.414T^{13}$	0≤T<0.5
	$1 - AI = 1.414(1 - T)^{1.5}$	0.5≤T<1
where	AI = cumulative	area as a fraction of the total sub-basin
	T = fraction of f	the time of concentration

Parameters for the SCS unit hydrograph were determined as follows:

<sup>&</sup>quot; United States Department of the Interior, 1977, Design of Small Dams, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington D.C.

#### • Time Lag

The time lag is the lag (in hours) between the center of mass of rainfall excess and the peak of the unit hydrograph. The lag-time was determined using an empirical expression recommended by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service:

$$T_{1} = 0.6 \cdot T_{2}$$

where

 $T_{L} = lag time, hours$  $T_{e} = time of concentration in hours, estimated using the same expression as described above for the Clark unit hydrograph.$ 

<u>Base Flows</u>. Base flows in the context of the basin analyses are representative flood season low flows expected to occur at simulation sites prior to the onset of the flood hydrograph. They are significantly higher than the low base flows which occur during the dry season.

Flood-season base flows at stream gages were estimated by inspection of time series hydrograph plots. Average annual rainfall for each basin was estimated (as before) to be the average annual runoff plus 1,550 mm to account for evapotranspiration. Comparison of these data yielded the following approximate relationship for estimation of flood-season base flows on streams originating on Mount Pinatubo as a function of average annual basin rainfall and basin area:

Qbase = A (R/17,500 - 0.12) where Qbase = Typical flood season base flow, m<sup>3</sup>/s A = Basin area, km<sup>2</sup> R = Average annual rainfall over basin, mm/yr

Base flows determined by this relationship for each sub-basin were assumed to be constant (without any recession) throughout each event simulated in the HEC-1 modeling.

Loss Rates. The HEC-1 model allows the computation of loss rates by a number of different methods. These methods include:

- · initial and uniform loss rate
- · exponential loss rate
- SCS Curve Number method
- Holton loss rate

All modeling for this study assumed a uniform loss rate with no initial loss. Major flocds in this area occur primarily during the Southwest Monsoon. Significant amounts of rainfall can be expected prior to flood-producing events which are therefore assumed to occur under essentially saturated conditions.

The uniform loss rate was estimated by calibration to available data as will be described in Section 3.2.3.

Routing Parameters. Routing of flood hydrographs through the stream channels in the basins of interest was done in HEC-1 using the Muskingum method. There is a lack of basic data from which to determine channel routing parameters. Only limited information exists on channel cross-sections or floodplain geometry, no information exists on flood wave travel times, and, as discussed earlier, there is considerable uncertainty in discharge rates. In the absence of basic data, all flow routing was done assuming a flood wave velocity of 2.5 m/s and a Muskingum "X" coefficient of 0.2. A detailed description of the routing scheme implemented in HEC-1 is provided in the HEC-1 User's Manual.

3.2.3 <u>Calibration of HEC-1 Model</u>. HEC-1 model calibration for basins draining Mount Pinatubo was limited by the lack of reliable streamflow data. From the review of gaging stations in Section 2.4.1, it was determined that only three stations had data reliable enough for model calibration. These stations were the Gumain River (W086A), the Bucao River (W093A), and the Santo Tomas River (W094A). Model calibration was further limited by the lack of short-interval rainfall data that were concurrent with data from the above three stations.

Figures 3.2.1 through 3.2.3 show the calibration basins as they existed prior to the eruption of Mount Pinatubo. Sub-basins upstream of the stream gages were delineated to reflect major tributaries and to reflect the elevation-related rainfall gradients up the mountain slopes.

Sub-basins and simulation output sites defined for pre-eruption mod.ing of each of the three calibration basins were assigned a two-letter prefix beginning with "P" (for pre-eruption) for identification purposes. The two-letter prefix for each of the three calibration basins is:

PG — Gumain River (W086A) PB — Bucao River (W093A) PT — Santo Tomas River (W094A)

<u>Sub-Basin Identifiers</u>. Identifiers PG1 through PG9 refer to sub-basin areas within the pre-eruption Gumain basin as shown by Figure 3.2.1. The prefix letters "PG" denote the pre-eruption Gumain basin, and the following numbers identify sequentially-numbered sub-basins. These identifiers are used in tables and figures. The convention generally followed for sequential numbering of sub-basins was to start at the most upstream sub-basin and to end at the most downstream sub-basin.

Output Site Identifiers. Identifiers PG5US through PG9DS refer to the simulation output sites located at specific points within the pre-eruption Gumain basin as shown by Figure 3.2.1. The first two characters of the identifier indicate the sub-basin within which the site is located. The last two characters, either "US" or "DS", indicate that the site is located at either the upstream or downstream end of the sub-basin. Calibration output results are provided in tables and figures only for the site corresponding to the stream gage location, this being the most downstream site in each calibration basin.

Tables 3.2.1 through 3.2.3 summarize physical and computed parameters for each of the calibration basins. Some of these computed parameters (Unit hydrograph method, storage coefficient, loss rate) were determined through the calibration process as described in the following sections.

<u>Calibration to Historic Event</u>. Calibration of the HEC-1 runoff model comprises two basic steps:

1) Identifying historic events for which concurrent rainfall and runoff data are available and sufficient to describe rainfall over the basin(s) as well as the shape and volume of the resultant runoff hydrograph from the basin.

2) Running the HEC-1 model with known (historic) basin rainfall events for input, and adjusting model parameters until the model suitably reproduces the known (historic) runoff hydrograph for each event.

Because most of the basins draining Mount Pinatubo have maximum times of concentration of the order of three to 12 hours, hourly data were desirable for calibration.

HEC-1 model calibration for basins draining Mount Pinatubo was complicated by a lack of suitable concurrent rainfall and streamflow data. Available streamflow data are often of poor quality and are limited to average daily discharges and annual peak discharges. Only very limited hourly rainfall data are available from any of the rain gage stations.

There is a general mismatch between available streamflow data and available rainfall data. Streamflow data are very sparse after 1972, and only limited rainfall data are available prior to 1972. Hourly rainfall data could be obtained for only a small number of storm events from three stations in the general vicinity of Mount Pinatubo: Iba, Hacienda Luisita, and Porac.

Only one historic event, on September 1, 1970, was found with (marginally) sufficient concurrent rainfall and streamflow data for model calibration. The data consisted of hourly rainfall at Porac and streamflow data for the Gumain River at Pabanlag (W086A), which included daily flows and a peak instantaneous discharge (NOTE: In the publication *Philippine Water Resources Summary Data, Vol. II Streamflow and Lake or River Stage*, December 31, 1970, the peak instantaneous discharge used for the historic event calibration

is reported to have occurred on September 11 at 1:30 p.m. However, no flows of significance were reported on September 11 at any other nearby gages as were reported on September 1. The form on which the date and time of the peak were recorded did not have enough spaces to record a 2-digit date and a 4-digit time. It is believed that the peak reported on September 11 at 1:30 p.m. actually occurred on September 1 at 11:30 p.m.) The grean gage on the Gumain River at Pabanlag is reported to have had a water level recorder in operation during this event, and the estimates of the daily average flows and the peak unstantaneous discharge are probably more reliable than hose from any other nearby gage. Although a water level recorder strip charts cannot be located and hence no short-interval (e.g., hourly) flow data are available.

The calibration event was the Gumain River (W086A) peak annual flow of 267.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s on September 1, 1970, which had a return period of about one in four years. Concurrent hourly rainfall data were available from a gage at Porac, located about 20 km east of headwater areas of the Gumain River basin. Figure 3.2.1 shows the relative locations of the basin and the Porac rain gage.

Ideally, hourly rainfall data for model calibration would be available from rain gages within the watershed, or at least from several gages closely surrounding the watershed. This methodology would allow for an accurate estimation of the spatial and temporal distribution of rainfall over the basin. Unfortunately, hourly data were available for only the Porac rain gage, and there were no daily data rain gages located sufficiently close to the basin to improve estimation of actual basin rainfall.

In the absence of additional information, hourly rainfall at each of the sub-basins was estimated as being hourly rainfall at Porac multiplied by an adjustment factor to account for increasing rainfall with elevation as shown by the 2-year 24-hour isopluvial map of the study area. For each sub-basin, the adjustment factor was computed to be the ratio of the 2-year 24-hour rainfall at the middle of the sub-basin to that at Porac. By this approach, rainfall amounts on the sub-basin varied from 1.3 to 1.9 times the rainfall at Porac, averaging about 1.6 times the Porac rainfall.

Figure 3.2.4 summarizes key results from three simulations for the historic event. Variables considered in these simulations were loss rate and the Clark storage coefficient. In each case these parameters were adjusted iteratively until the historic peak discharge was reproduced.

A Clark unit hydrograph storage coefficient of 15 to 25 times the time of concentration, with appropriate loss rates, provides a fairly good approximation of the historic hydrograph. When considered together with the frequency-event calibration work, a storage coefficient equal to 25 times the time of concentration and a constant loss rate of 3 mm/hour were adopted for subsequent hydrologic analyses of basins throughout the study area.

A-40

<u>Calibration to Frequency Characteristics</u>. In the absence of other suitable historical data, additional calibration efforts were limited to frequency events. The frequency-event-based calibration consisted, for example, of adjusting HEC-1 model parameters so that a synthesized 100-year design storm applied over a gaged basin would generate 100-year flow volumes and peak instantaneous discharge at the gage site.

The stations chosen for the frequency-event-based calibration were the same three chosen for the frequency analyses: the Gumain River (W086A), the Bucao River (W093A), and the Santo Tomas River (W094A). The pre-eruption basins above these gages are shown by Figures 3.2.1 through 3.2.3. Due to irrigation diversions after 1967, post-1967 data from the Santo Tomas were excluded from the frequency-event-based calibration.

Frequency analyses of streamflow data from the calibration basins are described in Section 2.4.4. The results of those analyses, in light of the available record of uncertain data and the sensitivity of the analyses to single data points, were presented as families of flow frequency curves to indicate plausible ranges of reasonable discharges and volumes at various return periods. Ranges of reasonable values for 2- and 100- year return period floods were adopted as the targets to be attained in the frequency event calibration.

The procedure for calibrating the two available parameters, loss rate and storage coefficient, was first to assign a storage coefficient, and then to vary the loss rate until the target value was matched. Tables 3.2.1 through 3.2.3 summarize parameters for the three calibration basins. Table 3.2.4 and Figures 3.2.5 through 3.2.13 summarize the results of the frequency event calibrations, showing the target ranges of reasonable values together with the results obtained from HEC-1 simulations of 2- and 100-year events. The simulations summarized are based on a one-hour time step simulation of design storms of five days in duration.

The 2-year peak instantaneous discharge for the Gumain River (W086A) was taken as the primary target value for calibration, as it was considered to be the most reliable of possible targets. Parameters determined for the Gumain River were then used in models for all three calibration basins for both 2- and 100-year hypothetical storms.

In reviewing the final calibration run outputs, the greatest weight was given to matching peak instantaneous discharge, then to three-day volume. The frequency data and curves for 1-day volumes were given the least weight because the source data were based on arbitrary calendar-day periods (and often on staff gage readings) which would tend to underestimate true 24-hour maximum values.

Based on the calibration results, it was decided to make subsequent runs of the HEC-1 model using a constant loss rate of 3 mm/hr and the Clark unit hydrograph method with storage coefficient computed as 25 times the time of concentration for each sub-basin. These parameters were considered to yield generally good results for both peak flows and total flow volumes for all three calibration basins. The simulated 100-year peak flow for the Bucao River appears low (Figure 3.2.8), but may be within reason when considering that the two

extreme high recorded flows may have actual return periods in excess of about 10 to 20 years as suggested by the plotting positions based on the available period of data record.

Sensitivity Analysis. Most of the sensitivity analysis was conducted concurrently with model calibration discussed in the previous sections and as summarized by Table 3.2.4 and Figures 3.2.5 through 3.2.13. That analysis consisted of varying paired combinations of constant loss rate and Clark unit hydrograph storage coefficient.

Increasing (or reducing) the loss rate within reasonable bounds from the adopted 3 mm/hr value has a relatively large impact on total runoff volume and a relatively small impact on peak discharge. A typical 2-year 5-day storm near the summit of Mount Pinatubo, for example, would have an average rainfall intensity of about 6.5 mm/hr considering the full 5-day period, and a peak hour rainfall intensity of about 30 mm/hr. Increasing the loss rate from 3 to 6 mm/hr for determining the rainfall excess would obviously have a far greater impact on the total runoff volume than on the peak hourly flow.

Increasing (or reducing) the Clark unit hydrograph storage coefficient has a significant impact on the peak discharge, but no impact on the total runoff volume. Increasing the storage coefficient dampens the peak flow and flattens the shape of the hydrograph. The impact of the storage coefficient on the shape of the hydrograph is illustrated by Figure 3.2.4.

With the adopted unit hydrograph (Clark), storage coefficient (25 times time of concentration), and loss rate (3 mm/hour), model results were found to be generally insensitive to variations in model time step and routing parameters. Tests using the Gumain Basin of varying the model time step from five to 60 minutes, the Muskingum "X" coefficient from 0.2 to 0.4, and the Muskingum "K" coefficient within a range reflecting flood wave velocities of 1 to 5 m/s all yielded peak discharge results which were within about 2 percent of each other. Again, this lack of sensitivity results from the large storage in the system implied by the large storage coefficient used with the Clark unit hydrograph.

# 3.2.4 HEC-1 Basin Models

<u>Sub-basin and Output Site Numbering Convention</u>. Sub-basins are designated by a 2- or 3-character alpha-numeric identifier. The first character, which designates one of the eight major basins, is one of the following:

Sacobia-Bamban - "S"		Pasig-Potrero	Pasig-Potrero - "P"	
Abacan	- "A"	Santo Tomas	- "T"	
O'Donnell	- <b>"</b> O"	Bucao	- "B"	
Gumain/Porac	- "G"	Maloma	- "M"	

The second character, which is numeric, identifies the sub-basin within the major basin. The convention generally followed for sequential numbering of the sub-basins within a major

basin was to start at the most upstream sub-basin and to end at the most downstream subbasin.

Output sites are designated by a 4- or 5-character alpha-numeric identifier. The first two or three characters identify the sub-basin in which the site is located. The last two characters, either "US" or "DS", indicate that the site is located at either the upstream or downstream end of the sub-basin.

Structure of Basin Models. In constructing the models, sub-basins were delineated so that output would be provided for at required locations. Some of these subbasins were further divided to define major tributary streams and to provide better definition of rainfall gradients through the basins. Additionally, (1) sub-basins S7 in the Sacobia-Bamban Basin, P7 in the Pasig-Potrero Basin, and G19 in the Gumain-Porac Basin were delineated to isolate narrow dike-confined sections of the channels, and (2) sub-basin T7 in the Santo Tomas Basin was delineated in order to define Lake Mapanuepe.

Definition of sub-basin boundaries to provide output at desired sites sometimes resulted in the creation of some very small basins. These areas were not given sub-basin numbers or explicitly identified in the HEC-1 models. Instead, for modeling purposes, these small areas were re-allocated to nearby sub-basins such that schematically there would be no intervening areas or routing reaches (e.g., the small areas just upstream of sub-basins S3, S4, and S6 such that, schematically, simulation sites S3DS, S4DS, S6DS, and S7US all exist near site S7US without any intervening areas or routing reaches). Routing reaches through these small areas were ignored because flow travel times are significantly shorter than the one-hour model time step.

<u>Parameter Estimation</u>. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites were obtained as described below.

• Stream elevations were determined from 1:50,000 topographic maps dated 1986.

• Basin areas upstream of each site were computed as the sum of all contributing sub-basin areas.

 Basin times of concentration were computed using the formula presented in Section 3.2.2 based on the slope and length characteristics of the entire basin.

• Average annual basin rainfall amounts were estimated based on isohyets of mean annual rainfall over the study area as shown by Figure 2.3.4.

2

• Average annual streamflows at each site were estimated on the basis of average annual basin rainfall, basin area, and a formula that will be presented in Section 3.3.

• In some basins where simulation output sites do not share a common headwater area, more than one storm pattern is required in order to maximize flows at all sites within a given basin. Maximum flows at each site were assumed to result from storms centered over the headwater sub-basin with the highest rainfall as determined from the isohyetal map.

Physical and computed parameters for sub-basins were obtained as described below.

• Physical parameters of area, internal flow path, channel length, and elevation changes were measured from 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986. Internal flow path lengths and elevation changes are provided for all subbasins, as required for determining time of concentration for local sub-basin runoff. Channel lengths through sub-basins, and corresponding elevation changes, are applicable only in cases where there is a upstream basin generating incoming channel flow to be routed throught the sub-basin.

• As noted in Section 2.4.5, the ratio of sub-basin event rainfall to summit rainfall was determined to be approximately constant for all return periods and for all durations greater than six hours. For convenience, the design storm parameter of sut pasin rainfall as a percentage of summit rainfall was determined from 50-year 24 hour rainfall isopluvials for the study area as shown by Figure 2.4.95. This parameter is used to correct for elevationrelated rainfall variations when constructing sub-basin storm hyetographs.

• The istance of each sub-basin from the center of the design storm(s) is used to determine the depth-area (depth-distance) correction when constructing subbasin storm hyetographs. These distances were measured from the middle of the sub-basin with the storm center to the middle of each other sub-basin. Distances to other storm locations are given only if the sub-basin contributed to the flows at the sites requiring alternative storm locations.

• Runoff parameters of time of concentration, storage coefficient, infiltration loss, and base flow are all required for input to the HEC 1 model to define runoff from each sub-basin. Times of concentration were computed using the formula presented in Section 3.2.2 together with the slope and length characteristics of each sub-basin. The storage coefficient for the Clark unit hydrograph for each sub-basin was computed as 25 times the time of concentration. Infiltration rates were assumed to be constant at 3 mm/hour for all sub-basins except for (1) the perched S7, P7, and G19 sub-basins for which an artificially high 1,000 mm/hour loss rate was applied to ensure no rainfall

excess from the basin; (2) T7 (Lake Mapanuepe) for which no infiltration loss was assumed; and (3) 0.8 km<sup>2</sup> of A2 and 2.6 km<sup>2</sup> of A4 which were assumed impervious due to the effects of urbanization. The high loss rate was applied to the perched sub-basins to reflect high but unquantified losses expected through highly permeable perched channel reaches. Base flows from each sub-basin were estimated on the basis of average annual sub-basin rainfall, area, and the formula presented in Section 3.2.2.

Design Storms. The 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year storms, developed as described in Section 2.4.5, were used for the HEC-1 modeling.

Effects of Eruption. Due to lack of justification for changes to any other parameters such as loss rate or storage coefficient, eruption impacts reflected in the HEC-1 models of post-eruption basins were limited to (1) physical changes in basin areas, (2) the formation of Lake Mapanuepe, and (3) perched channel reaches on the Bamban, Potrero, and Gumain Rivers.

3.2.5 <u>Confidence Limits on Computed Frequency Events</u>. The 5 and 95 percent confidence limits on the HEC-1 computed instantaneous peaks, maximum 1-day volumes, and maximum 3-day volumes were estimated as follows:

1) The 5 and 95 percent confidence limits were obtained on all streamflow gage data sets on which frequency analyses were conducted (see Section 2.4.4). These confidence limits were obtained in accordance with guidelines described in *Bulletin 17B* of the U.S. Water Resources Council.

2) For each streamflow gage data set, the change in percent from the expected value to the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits were calculated and averaged. The average percentage changes obtained from the streamflow gage data sets were applied to the HEC-1 generated instantaneous peaks, maximum 1-day volumes, and maximum 3-day volumes to obtain the 5 and 95 percent limits.

# 3.3 Flow Duration Curve Modeling Methodology

3.3.1 <u>Flow Duration Curve</u>. Flow duration curves at gaged locations were presented in Section 2.4.2 of the regional analyses portion of this appendix. Computed flow duration curves at hydrologic simulation output sites were computed on the basis of the following flow data and plotting positions:

> 1) Average annual flow above each simulation output site was estimated from the normal annual precipitation (NAP) map of the study area. Rainfall shown by that map for the mountain watershed areas had been estimated using a water balance approach to match observed average flows from the watersheds. Thus, for the mountain watersheds, the NAP map is functionally equivalent,

after correction for evapotranspiration, to a map of mean annual runoff (and flows) over the study area.

Conversion from average annual basin rainfall shown by the NAP map to average annual flows for specific basins in the vicinity of Mount Pinatubo was accomplished with the following approximate formula derived from data at gaged basins:

 $Q_{syg} = A (R/31,500 - 0.05)$ 

where:

Q<sub>ere</sub> = Average annual discharge, m<sup>3</sup>/s A = Basin area, km<sup>2</sup> R = Average annual rainfall over basin, mm/yr. In deriving the formula (and also the NAP map), average annual basin rainfall was assumed to be equal to average annual runoff plus 1,550 mm for evapotranspiration losses.

Normalized daily flow duration curves from gaged basins indicate that the average annual flows are exceeded from 15 to 40 percent of the time, averaging about 25 percent (see Figure 2.4.52). For the computed flow duration curves, the average annual flow was plotted to be exceeded about 25 percent of the time.

2) The 2-year 24-hour (average) flow was computed by HEC-1 simulations. The 2-year flow is expected to occur once every two years, on average, based on frequency characteristics of an annual series which considers only the single highest data point for each year of record. However, flow duration curves assume a partial duration series which consider all data points within each year. According to Kite<sup>12</sup>, the 2-year return period from an annual series is equivalent to a 1.44-year return period in a partial duration series. For the computed flow duration curves, the 2-year 24-hour (average) flow was plotted to be exceeded about 0.19 percent of the time, corresponding to one day in 1.44 years.

3) The 10-year 24-hour (average) flow was computed by HEC-1 simulations. The 10-year flow is expected to occur once every 10 years, on average. No adjustment was made for the minor difference in partial duration vs. annual series annual return period, 9.5 years vs. 10 years. For the computed flow duration curves, the 10-year 24-hour (average) flow was plotted to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Kite, G.W., 1977, Frequency and Risk Analysis in Hydrology, Water Resources Publications.

exceeded about 0.027 percent of the time, corresponding to one day in 10 years.

Flows and plotting positions were interpolated between and extrapolated beyond the three points described above considering the shape of flow duration curves for gaged streams in the study area, as shown by Figures 2.4.52 and 2.4.53.

3.3.2 <u>Confidence Limits on Flow Duration Curves</u>. The 5 and 95 percent confidence limits on the flow duration curves were estimated as follows:

1) The 5 and 95 percent confidence limits of the 2- and 10-year 24-hour (average) flow and the mean annual flow were obtained on all streamflow gage data sets on which frequency analyses were conducted (see Section 2.4.4). These confidence limits were obtained in accordance with guidelines described in *Bulletin 17B* of the U.S. Water Resources Council.

2) For each streamflow gage data set, the change in percent from the expected value to the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits were calculated and averaged. The average percentage changes obtained from the streamflow gage data sets were applied to the HEC-1 generated 2- and 10-year 24-hour (average) flow and to the mean annual flow that was obtained as described in Section 3.3.1. This resulted in the 5 and 95 percent confidence limit on the 2-year 24-hour flow, 10-year 24-hour flow, and average annual flow. The 5 and 95 percent confidence limit flows thus obtained were used to develop the 5 and 95 percent confidence limit flow duration curves using the same methodology described in Section 3.3.1.

# 3.4 River Hydraulic Modeling Methodology

Flow depths and velocities were required for the hydraulic design of mitigation measures in seven of the eight major basins. These depths and velocities were obtained through use of the HEC-2, Water Surface Profile Model, normal depth calculations, and critical depth calculations. Channel and cross-section variations, transport of sediment, bed and bank roughness, and spill resistance, all of which create turbulence and energy losses, tend to increase with increasing discharge. These energy losses result in flow conditions on steep natural streams that may approach but do not exceed critical flow except in very localized areas of the channel.<sup>10</sup> On reaches that modeling results indicated were of supercritical slope, velocities were obtained from a supercritical flow analysis. The velocities and depths thus obtained were, in most cases, approximately equal to the critical depth and velocity because most of these reaches were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Jarrett, R.D., November 1984, Hydraulics of High-Gradient Streams, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol 110, No. 11, ASCE.

near a critical slope. On reaches that modeling results indicated were of subcritical slope, velocities and depths were obtained from a subcritical flow analysis. A Mannings' "n" value of 0.25 was used for all calculations.

c.oss-sectional data for both HEC-2 modeling and normal/critical depth calculations were obtained from a number of sources. In a few instances, detailed surveyed cross-sectional data were available. In many instances, cross-sections were obtained from a digital terrain model (DTM). At some locations that lacked adequate surveyed or DTM data, the data required for input to the HEC-2 model were obtained from aerial photographs, channel centerline profiles, and professional judgement from team members that observed the site of interest. At some locations no depths and velocities were obtained for existing conditions because adequate data could not be obtained.

#### 3.5 Hydrologic Results

3.5.1 Unit Hydrographs. Table 3.5.1 presents the peak discharge of the unit hydrograph for each sub-basin. The unit hydrograph peaks correspond to a rainstorm of one hour duration with a total rain depth of 1 mm. Figure 3.5.1 shows a typical unit hydrograph computed by the HEC-1 program. Most unit hydrographs are very similar to the shape typified in Figure 3.5.1. The exceptions are presented in Figures 3.5.2 and 3.5.3. These plots for sub-basins A2 and A4 show composite unit hydrographs representing the combination of the SCS unit hydrograph (with no storage coefficient) applied for effectively impervious areas and the Clark unit hydrograph applied for non-impervious areas. These two figures dramatically illustrate both the peak flow increases expected from urban development in the Pinatubo region, and the effect of a large storage coefficient on the shape of a unit hydrograph.

3.5.2 <u>Sacobia-Bamban Basin</u>. The Sacobia-Bamban basin is 146 km<sup>2</sup> in area, extending northeasterly from the base of Mount Pinatubo to the interior lowlands of the island of Luzon. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.4 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The basin head-water area consists of steep and narrow parallel valleys drained by the Sacobia, Sapang-Cauayan, Marimla, and Malago Rivers. Of these, only the Sacobia and Malago extend to near the base of Mount Pinatubo; the other rivers originate at lower elevations down the mountain's northeastern slope. The Bamban River begins at the confluence of the Sacobia and Marimla Rivers about 25 km northeast of the crater rim, just upstream of the Highway 3 road crossing near the village of Bamban.

Elevations for the Sacobia River and other headwater tributaries range from about 1,100 meters in the headwaters of the Sacobia and Malago Rivers to 55 meters at the confluence defining the start of the Bamban River above the Highway 3 crossing. The Bamban River component of the basin 1s relatively flat, dropping only about 23 meters over its 12 km long reach. Most of the Bamban River is contained within a diked channel section

which is now perched above the surrounding topography. Perching of the Bamban River is a consequence of significant aggradation resulting from the June 1991 eruption. This condition presumably leads to a net water loss resulting from percolation through the channel bed and under the levee system. For modeling purposes, all event rainfall for sub-basin S7 was assumed to be lost to infiltration (i.e., the infiltration rate was set to an arbitrarily high value greater than the maximum rainfall rate).

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 indicate no significant population centers or urban development within the basin. Clark AFB is located immediately to the south of the basin, but does not affect basin hydrology.

The Sacobia-Bamban basin, located on the northeast slopes of Mount Pinatubo, is in Pinatubo's rain shadow during the Southwest Monsoon or rainy season. Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 4,000 mm/yr in the upper headwater areas near the summit of Mount Pinatubo to a minimum of about 1,800 mm/yr at the downstream end of the basin in the interior lowlands of the island of Luzon. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Sacobia-Bamban River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.2. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the Sacobia-Bamban River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.3. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.3 do not all share common headwaters, the storms had to be centered over three sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.3 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Sacobia-Bamban sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

<u>Design Flood Hydrographs</u>. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at Sacobia-Bamban basin simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.5 through 3.5.11. The hydrographs presented for each site correspond to model(s) with the storm(s) centered over the sub-basin location identified by Table 3.5.3<sup>c</sup> maximum flows at each site.

<u>Design Discharge</u><sup>1</sup> <u>uncy Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour an '3-day flow volum unom each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs and analyzed by Table 3.5.4. Flow Duration Curves. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Sacobia-Bamban basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized by Table 3.5.5 The data shown for site S7DS do not account for seepage losses during low-flow periods from the perched upstream channel, and probabiy over-estimate the low-flow characteristics.

3.5.3 <u>Abacan River Basin</u>. The Abacan basin is 51 km<sup>2</sup> in area, originating about 4 km east of the crater rim of Mount Pinatubo and extending easterly to the interior lowlands of the island of Luzon. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.12 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The basin headwater area consists of two steep and narrow parallel valleys drained by the Abacan River and one major tributary, Sapang-Bayo Creek. The basin headwaters originate on Mount Pinatubo's eastern slope at elevations about 1,000 meters below the crater rim. Sapang-Bayo Creek joins the Abacan River about 4 km upstream of the Highway 3 crossing and about 2 km south of Clark Air Base. The lower portion of the basin below Highway 3 is mostly confined within dikes.

Elevations for Abacan River/Sapang-Bayo Creek range from abort 500 meters in the upper headwater areas to 130 meters at Sapang-Bayo/Abacan confluence to 10 meters at the end of the dike-confined channel section. Unlike the Bamban River, the dike-confined channel section is not perched above the surrounding landscape.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 indicate significant urban development and "densely built up" areas in the Abacan basin in the vicinity of Clark AFB and Angeles City. Portions of Clark AFB's runways and hangers extend into the basin and also presumably drain to the Abacan River. The extent of urban development relative to the basin size is believed to be sufficient to have a noticeable impact on basin hydrology.

The Abacan basin, located on the eastern slopes of Mount Pinatubo, is in Pinatubo's rain shadow during the Southwest Monsoon or rainy season. Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 3,000 mm/yr in the upper headwater areas on the slopes of Mount Pinatubo to a minimum of about 1,800 mm/yr at the downstream end of the basin in the interior lowlands of the island of Luzon. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Abacan River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.6. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the Abacan River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.7. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.7 all share common headwaters, the storms had to be centered over only one sub-basin in order to

obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.7 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Abacan sub-basin (A1) over which the storm was centered.

<u>Design Flood Hydrographs</u>. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at Abacan basin simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.13 through 3.5.15.

<u>Design Discharge/Volume Frequency Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs are summarized by Table 3.5.8.

Flow Duration Curves. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Abacan basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3. are summarized by Table 3.5.9.

3.5.4 <u>O'Donnell Basin</u>. The study area considered herein for the O'Donnell basin includes two major rivers, the O'Donnell and the Bulsa. The O'Donnell River drains the northern slopes of Mount Pinatubo, and has a basin area upstream of the confluence with the Bulsa of about 266 km<sup>2</sup>. The Bulsa River primarily drains the eastern slopes of the Zambales mountains, and has a basin area upstream of the confluence with the O'Donnell of about 510 km<sup>2</sup>. The entire basin exteriors about 2 km below the O'Donnell-Bulsa confluence and has a total area of about 817 km<sup>2</sup>. It is the largest of all basins being assessed under the present work.

Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.16 shows the basin at a larger scale.

Basin headwater areas on Mount Pinatubo consist of steep and narrow parallel valleys drained primarily by the O'Donnell, Apalong, and Bangat Rivers. Of these three tributaries, only the O'Donnell sub-basin extends fully to Pinatubo's crater rim where the post-eruption elevation is about 1,200 meters. The Apalong and Bangat Rivers originate from a secondary peak on Mount Pinatubo which, with a pre-eruption summit elevation of about 1,500 meters, may now be the highest point on the mountain.

Basin headwater areas for the Bulsa River on the east slopes of the Zambales Mountains reach a maximum elevation of about 1,600 meters. These headwater areas include numerous steep and narrow stream-cut valleys which seem generally less entrenched into the mountain slopes than those on Pinatubo.

The stream elevation at the confluence of the Bulsa and O'Donnell Rivers. located near the downstream end of the basin study area, is about 40 meters.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 indicate several small population centers (O'Donnell. Santa Lucia, Moriones), but none of sufficient size or scale to appreciably affect the hydrology at sites of interest within the basin.

The O'Donnell basin, which generally drains in a northeasterly direction, is in the rain shadow of the Zambales Mountains and, to a lesser extent, of Mount Pinatubo, during the Southwest Monsoon or rainy season. Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 6,000 mm/yr in the upper headwater areas of the Bulsa River in the Zambales Mountains, and 5,000 mm/yr in the upper headwater areas of O'Donnell River tributaries draining Mount Pinatubo, to a minimum of about 1,800 mm/yr at the downstream end of the basin in the interior lowlands of the island of Luzon. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the O'Donnell River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.10. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the O'Donnell River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.11. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.11 do not all share common headwaters, the storms had to be centered over three sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.11 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the O'Donnell sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

<u>Design Flood Hydrographs</u>. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at basin simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.17 through 3.5.27.

<u>Design Discharge/Volume Frequency Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs are summarized by Table 3.5.12.

<u>Flow Duration Curves</u>. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the O'Donnell basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized by Table 3.5.13.

3.5.5 <u>Gumain/Porac Basin</u>. The Gumain/Porac basin is 302 km<sup>2</sup> in area, extending in a generally southeasterly direction from Mount Pinatubo to the Pampanga Delta. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.28 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The Gumain/Porac basin includes two major rivers, the Gumain and the Porac. The headwaters of the Gumain River consist of steep, well-incised tributaries originating near the

A-52

crater rim of Mount Pinatubo and along the ridge which extends south from Mount Pinatubo, separating the Gumain/Porac basin from the westerly-flowing Santo Tomas tributaries. The Gumain River flows approximately 32 km southeast from the crater rim of Mount Pinatubo to its confluence with the Porac River at the head of the Gumain Floodway. Elevations within the basin range from about 1,600 meters on the ridge line approximately 4 km south of Mount Pinatubo and about 1,200 meters at the crater rim to about 10 meters at the head of the Gumain Floodway.

The headwaters of the Porac River originate on the southeast slopes of Mount Pinatubo. approximately 5 km southeast of the crater rim. The river flows east and then south some 39 km to its confluence with the Gumain River at the head of the Gumain Floodway. Elevations within the Porac basin range from 1,150 meters at the high point to 10 meters at the head of the Gumain Floodway.

The lower reaches of the Gumain and Porac Rivers contain a number of major irrigation and flood control projects, including the Gumain Floodway. One major aspect of these projects was the diversion of the Porac River into the Gumain Floodway system; the Porac's natural course appears to be in a channel which flows about 4 km north of the floodway. However, it has not been possible to obtain information on the exact configuration and operating policies for these projects or their current (post-eruption) condition. For purposes of hydrologic modeling of post-eruption conditions, it was assumed that flood flows from both the Gumain and Porac Rivers will be directed to and confined within the Gumain Floodway.

The Gumain Floodway begins at the confluence of the Gumain and Porac Rivers and continues downstream approximately 8 km to its outlet in the Pampanga Delta at an approximate elevation of 5 meters. The floodway, represented as sub-basin G19, has aggraded significantly since the eruption and is now perched above the surrounding landscape. This condition presumably leads to a net water loss resulting from percolation through the channel bed and under the levee system. For modeling purposes, all event rainfall for sub-basin G19 was assumed to be lost to infiltration (i.e., the infiltration rate was set to an arbitrarily high value greater than the maximum rainfall rate).

The drainage area delineated during this study for the Gumain/Porac basin at the downstream end of the Gumain Floodway is 302 km<sup>2</sup>. This is less than the 370 km<sup>2</sup> basin area previously published for a stream gage located along the floodway. The previously published value is believed to have included areas which can no longer drain to the lower Gumain because of extreme channel aggradation.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 indicate several small population centers (i.e. Pabanlag, Del Carmen, and Santa Rita), but none are of sufficient size to appreciably affect the hydrology at sites of interest within the basin.

Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 5,000 mm/yr in the Gumain River headwater region on Mount Pinatubo to 2,000 mm/yr at the downstream end

A-53

of the basin at the western edge of the Pampanga Delta. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Gumain/Porac River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.14. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the Gumain/Porac River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.15. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.15 do not all share common headwaters, the storms had to be centered over two sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.15 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Gumain/Porac sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

Design Flood Hydrographs. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at basin simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year return period hypothetical storms are shown in Figures 3.5.29 through 3.5.35.

<u>Design Discharge/Volume Frequency Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs are summarized in Table 3.5.16.

Flow Duration Curves. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Gumain/Porac basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized in Table 3.5.17. The data shown for site G19US do not account for scepage losses from the perched upstream channel during low-flow periods and probably over-estimate the low-flow characteristics.

3.5.6 <u>Pasig-Potrero Basin</u>. The Pasig-Potrero basin is 77 km<sup>-</sup> in area: originating at the Mount Pinatubo crater rim and extending first in an easterly direction and then, further downstream, in a southeasterly direction to the Pampanga Delta. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological stations. Figure 3.5.36 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The basin headwater area is drained by five streams: the Bucbuc, Yangca, Timbu, and Papatac Rivers, and a stream that prior to the eruption was the uppermost headwater stream of the Sacobia River. The Papatac River is formed at the confluence of the Bucbuc and Yangca. The Pasig River is formed at the confluence of the Papatac and Timbu Rivers. Below the former site of the Mancatian Bridge, the Pasig's name changes to Potrero. Elevations in the basin range from about 1,200 meters near the crater rim to near zero at the confluence of the Potrero River with the Guagua River. The Potrero River component, which comprises almost half of the basin length, is relatively flat, dropping about 100 meters over its 18 km length.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 do not indicate any urban development that would significantly affect basin hydrology. The town of Bacolor near the downstream end of the basin has been affected by shallow flooding from the Potrero River, but is not considered to lie in the basin because the Potrero River is isolated from the surrounding topography by levees and because the channel from about 4-1/2 km below the former site of the Mancatian Bridge to the confluence with the Guagua River is perched above the surrounding topography.

The Pasig-Potrero basin, located on the eastern slopes of Mount Pinatubo, is in Pinatubo's rain shadow during the Southwest Monsoon or rainy season. Annual rainfall amounts vary from a maximum of about 5,000 mm/yr in the upper headwater areas on the slopes of Mount Pinatubo to a minimum of about 1,800 mm/yr at the downstream end of the basin in the Pampanga Delta. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sob-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Pasig-Potrero River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.18. Physical and computed parameters at stiaulation output sites in the Pasig-Potrero River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.19. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the Storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation is, lwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.19 do not all share common lwadwaters, the storms had to be centered over two sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.19 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Pasig-Potrero sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

Design Flood Hydrographs. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at Pasig-Potrero simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.37 through 3.5.45.

Design Discharte/Volume Frequency Curves. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, i0-, 50-, 100-, and 500 year design flood hydrographs are summarized in Table 3.5.20.

Flow Duration Carres. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Pasig-Potrero basin simulation sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized in Table 3.5.21. The data shown for site F?DS do not account for seepage losses from the perched upstream channel during low-flow periods and probably overestimate the low-flow characteristics.

3.5.7 <u>Santo Tomas Basin</u>. The Santo Tomas basin is approximately 262 km<sup>2</sup> in area, extending in a southwesterly direction from Mount Pinatubo to the South China Sea. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.46 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The Santo Tomas River system incorporates two major tributaries, the Marella River and the Mapanuepe River, which join to form the Santo Tomas. The headwaters of the Marella River originate near the crater rim of Mount Pinatubo at an elevation of about 1,500 meters and along the ridge extending south from Mount Pinatubo which separates the Santo Tomas basin from the easterly flowing Gumain River tributaries. The Marella River drains the southwest slopes of Mount Pinatubo and combines with the Mapanuepe River at an elevation of about 90 meters. The reach length from the confluence of the Marella and Mapanuepe Rivers to the crater rim is about 28 km.

The headwaters of the Mapanuepe River originate near the divide between the Santo Tomas and Gumain basins at an excretion of around 1,000 meters. The Mapanuepe River sub-basin includes a large mine site, a mine tailings dam, and Lake Mapanuepe. Approximately 4.2 km<sup>-</sup> of the mine site does not contribute surface runoff to the watershed and hence was not included in the hydrologic model. The impoundment behind the tailings dam is small in comparison to Lake Mapanuepe and no flow routing was done through this facility.

Lake Mapanuepe was formed following the June 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo as a result of blockage of the Mapanuepe River outlet by recurrent lahars and severe aggradation on the Marella. Under current conditions, the Mapanuepe River joins the Marella River approximately 1.5 km downstream from the outlet of Lake Mapanuepe. The surface area of Lake Mapanuepe at the invert elevation of its current outlet, 121.6 meters, is about 8.0 km<sup>2</sup>; topographic contours show that the lake surface area would be about 17 km<sup>2</sup> at a water level elevation of 140 meters. Lake storage volumes between these two elevations were computed using the conic method for reservoir volumes as described in the HEC-1 manual. The following stage/discharge characteristics were used for modeling purposes:

Lake Elevation (m)

< 121.6 121.6 to 129.6 < 129.6 Outlet Discharge (m<sup>3</sup>/s)

0 23.57d[10d/(10+2d)]°\*7 2.36a{a/[26+(2.08)(d-8)]}°\*7 where: d = overflow depth = lake elevation - 121.6a = flow area = 80 + (7.78+0.28d)(d-8)

The Santo Tomas River begins at the Marella-Mapanuepe confluence. The Santo Tomas is joined by the Santa Fe River approximately 10 km downstream from gage W094A. The Santo Tomas then flows a further 12 km through coastal lowlands to Highway 7, and an additional 1 km to the South China Sea.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 indicate several small population centers (San Rafael, Dalanaon and Aglao), but none are of sufficient size or scale to appreciably affect the hydrology at sites of interest within the basin.

Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 5,000 mm/yr in the Marella River headwater region on Mount Pinatubo to a minimum of about 3,600 mm/yr at the downstream end of the basin near the coastline. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Santo Tomas River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.22. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the Santo Tomas River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.23. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.23 do not all share common headwaters, the storm: had to be centered over two sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.23 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Santo Tomas sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

<u>Design Flood Hydrographs</u>. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at basin simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.47 through 3.5.51.

<u>Design Discharge/Volume Frequency Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs are summarized by Table 3.5.24.

Flow Duration Curves. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Santo Tomas basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized by Table 3.5.25. 3.5.8 <u>Bucao Basin</u>. The Bucao basin is 656 km<sup>2</sup> in area, extending in a generally northwesterly direction from Mount Pinatubo and southwesterly from the Zambales Mountains to the South China Sea. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.52 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The Bucao basin incorporates the Bucao River and its two major tributaries, the Balin-Buquero River and the Balintawak River. The central portion of the basin includes a large area of relatively flat and low-lying terrain nestled between the mountains which define the basin perimeter: Mount Pinatubo, the Zambales Mountains, and the coastal mountains located between Mount Pinatubo and the South China Sea.

The headwaters of the Bucao River originate on the northwest slopes of Mount Pinatubo 2 to 5 km north of the crater rim at an elevation of about 900 meters. The river flows in a generally westerly direction through rugged terrain for approximately 28 km to its confluence with the Balintawak River at an elevation of about 50 meters. The Bucao then enters a broad flat valley and continues to flow west approximately 4 km to its confluence with the Balin-Buquero and a further 12 km to the Highway 7 crossing. The Bucao enters the South China sea approximately 2 km below Highway 7.

The headwaters of the Balin-Buquero River originate to the south of the Bucao River headwater areas and extend to the crater rim of Mount Pinatubo at an elevation of about 1,500 meters. The Balin-Buquero and its principal tributaries (such as the Maronut) drain the western slopes of Mount Pinatubo and the northeastern slopes of the coastal mountain range which lies between Mount Pinatubo and the South China Sea. The Balin-Buquero flows in a generally northwesterly direction for approximately 20 km from the crater rim of Mount Pinatubo to its confluence with the Maronut River at an elevation of about 90 meters. Below the confluence with the Maronut, the Balin-Buquero enters a broad flat valley and continues to flow northwest for a further 12 km to its confluence with the Bucao at an elevation of about 40 meters. The drainage area of the Balin-Buquero above its confluence with the Bucao is approximately 217 km<sup>2</sup>.

The headwaters of the Balintawak River originate to the north of the Bucao River headwater areas and drain the southern slopes of the Zambales Mountains at elevations of up to 1,670 meters. The Balintawak River flows in a generally southwesterly direction through rugged terrain for approximately 20 km to its confluence with the Bucao River at an elevation of 90 meters. The drainage area of the Balintawak upstream of its confluence with the Bucao is approximately 166 km<sup>2</sup>.

The headwater areas of the Bucao and Balin-Buquero Rivers were severely disturbed by the June 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo, with massive deposits of pyroclastic material filling in entire river channels and destroying much of the pre-eruption drainage system. Posteruption changes to drainage boundaries occurred to most of the Bucao and Balin-Buquero headwater drainages. The Maronut River was the most affected by the eruption, with a reduction in catchment area from 31 km<sup>2</sup> to 11 km<sup>2</sup> as a result of gross change<sup>6</sup> in catchment topography.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 indicate several small population centers (San Juan, Poonbato and Maguiguis), but none are of sufficient size or scale to appreciably affect the hydrology at sites of interest within the basin.

Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 6,000 mm/yr in the Zambales Mountains and 5,000 mm/yr in upper headwaters on Mount Pinatubo to 3,800 mm/yr in the coastal lowlands. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Bucao River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.26. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the Bucao River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.27. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.27 do not all share common headwaters, the storms had to be centered over six sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.27 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Bucao sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

Design Flood Hydrographs. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.53 through 3.5.63.

<u>Design Discharge/Volume Frequency Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs are summarized by Table 3.5.28.

Flow Duration Curves. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Bucao basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized by Table 3.5.29.

3.5.9 <u>Maloma Basin</u>. The Maloma basin is 150 km<sup>2</sup> in area, originating about 7 km southwest of Mount Pinatubo and extending in a westerly direction to the South China Sea. Plate 1 shows the location of the basin relative to the study area and hydrometeorological data stations. Figure 3.5.63 shows the basin at a larger scale.

The Maloma basin includes two major rivers, the Maloma River and the Gorongoro/Kakilingar River, which join before discharging into the South China Sea. The basin primarily drains the coastal mountains to the west of Mount Pinatubo; drainage of Mount Pinatubo itself is limited to the extreme eastern headwaters of the Maloma River which extend to the lower southwest slopes of Mount Pinatubo at an elevation of only about 600 meters.

The Maloma River flows west from Mount Pinatubo in a narrow canyon through the coastal mountain range which lies between Mount Pinatubo and the South China Sea. It is then joined by the Gorongoro/Kakilingar River about 6 km upstream of the Highway 7 bridge at an elevation of less than 10 meters. Elevations within the Maloma basin range from sea level to about 1,000 meters, with the highest elevations occurring within the coastal mountains.

The Gorongoro/Kakilingar River originates entirely from the coastal mountains to the west of Mount Pinatubo, and flows westward in a deep narrow valley through the coastal mountains. Elevations within the Gorongoro/Kakilingar catchment range from about 800 meters in the upper headwater areas to less than 10 meters at the confluence with the Maloma.

The 1:50,000 scale maps dated 1986 and 1991 indicate several small population centers (Payodpod, Maquineng, and Maloma), but none are of sufficient size to appreciably affect the hydrology at sites of interest within the basin.

Annual rainfall amounts over the basin vary from a maximum of about 5,000 mm/yr in the coastal mountains to a minimum of about 4,000 mm/yr in the low lying area between Mount Pinatubo and the coastal mountains, and along the coast near the South China Sea. Similar variations in rainfall over the basin are expected during single storm events. Figure 2.3.4 shows isohyets of average annual precipitation over the study area.

<u>Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters</u>. Physical and computed parameters of sub-basins within the Maloma River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.30. Physical and computed parameters at simulation output sites in the Maloma River Basin are summarized in Table 3.5.31. As discussed in Section 2.4.5, the flow at a given site was obtained by centering the storm over the contributing sub-basin that has the highest rainfall (usually the highest elevation headwater sub-basin). Because the sites listed in Table 3.5.31 do not all share common headwaters, the storms had to be centered over three sub-basins in order to obtain flows at all sites. The column in Table 3.5.31 labeled "Critical Storm Location" identifies the Maloma sub-basin over which the storm was centered to obtain flows at the indicated site.

Design Flood Hydrographs. Design flood hydrographs computed by the HEC-1 model at basin simulation output sites for each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year return period hypothetical storms are shown by Figures 3.5.65 through 3.5.68.

<u>Design Discharge/Volume Frequency Curves</u>. Peak discharge and maximum 24-hour and 3-day flow volume data from each of the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year design flood hydrographs are summarized by Table 3.5.32.

<u>Flow Duration Curves</u>. Daily flow duration curve data for each of the Maloma basin simulation output sites, computed following the method described in Section 3.3, are summarized by Table 3.5.33.

# 3.5.10 Confidence Limits.

<u>Frequency Events</u>. Table 3.5.34 presents the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year peak discharges estimated with the use of the HEC-2 model and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits about these peak discharges at each hydrologic site considered in this study. On Figure 3.5.69 are plotted the HEC-1 estimated peak discharges for the 2- through 500-year events and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits about these peaks at a typical hydrologic site (Site O9US in the O'Donnell River basin).

Table 3.5.35 presents the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year maximum 24-hour volumes estimated with the use of the HEC-2 model and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits about these volumes at each hydrologic site considered in this study. On Figure 3.5.70 are plotted the HEC-1 estimated peak discharges for the 2- through 500-year events and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits about these peaks at a typical hydrologic site (Site O9US in the O'Donnell River basin).

Table 3.5.36 presents the 2-, 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year maximum 3-day volumes estimated with the use of the HEC-2 model and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits about these volumes at each hydrologic site considered in this study. On Figu. 3.5.71 are plotted the HEC-1 estimated peak discharges for the 2- through 500-year events and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limits about these peaks at a typical hydrologic site (Site O9US in the O'Donnell River basin).

Flow Duration Curves. Table 3.5.37 presents the data for the 5 percent confidence limit about the flow duration curve at each hydrologic site considered in this study. Table 3.5.38 presents the data for the 95 percent confidence limit about these same flow duration curves. On Figure 3.5.72 are plotted the computed flow duration curve and the 5 and 95 percent confidence limit about the computed curve at a typical hydrologic site (Site S7DS in the Sacobia-Bamban River basin).

# 3.6 River Hydraulic Modeling Results

Table 3.6.1 presents clearwater flow depths. Table 3.6.2 presents bulked flow (i.e., sediment + water flow) depths.<sup>44</sup> In Table 3.6.3 are clearwater flow velocities Table 3.6.4 presents bulked flow velocities. Notes contained in Table 3.6.5 provide information on each reach that is useful for the correct interpretation of the data in Tables 3.6.1 through 3.6.4.

Figures 3.6.1 through 3.6.11 present the results of the hydraulic modeling of clearwater flows at bridges located in the reaches indicated on Tables 3.6.1 through 3.6.5. Figures 3.6.12 through 3.6.22 present the results at these same bridges but for flows that have been increased for suspended sediment.

# 3.7 HEC-1 Input

Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the HEC-1 input used for the modeling of the 100-year event in the Sacobia-Bamban River basin. Storms were centered over three different subbasins of the Sacobia-Bamban Basin in order to obtain flow estimates at all required sites (see Section 3.5.2, Sub-Basin and Output Site Parameters). Therefore, Enclosure 1 includes three separate HEC-1 input files, one for each of the three assumed storm centers. HEC-1 input for the 2-, 10-, 50-, and 500-year events on the Sacobia-Bamban Basin are identical to Enclosure 1 except the values on the PI cards, which represent incremental storm precipitation, are different.

The HEC-1 input for other basins was similar to the input provided in Enclosure 1.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Technical Appendix B, Sedimentation, contains information on flow bulking for suspended sediment.

# ENCLOSURE, PLATE, AND EXHIBIT A FOR TECHNICAL APPENDIX A HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

r

Э

#### ENCLOSURE 1

HEC-1 INPUT FOR THE 100-YEAR EVENT ON THE PASIG-POTRERO BASIN:

ID PASIG-POTRERO BIVER: 100-YEAR EVENT **ID POST-ERUPTION CONDITIONS** ID US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS \*FRFF \*DIAGRAM IM IT 60 01JAN00 0100 241 10 1 IN 60 100-Year Storm, 5-Day Event: Ratio of Storm Volume from Isopluvial Maps (Sub-Basin to Summit) : .55 Distance from Sub-Basin to Assumed Storm Center : .0 km Distance Assumed for Depth-Area Correction: 0.92 km \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PG PT PI 4.20 4,20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 6.48 6.48 PI 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 5.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 PI 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.45 6.45 6.45 24.93 PI 24.93 24.93 41.55 41.55 41.55 76.39 51.52 43.93 24.93 PI 24.93 24.93 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 PI 6.45 6.45 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 ΡI 6.48 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 PI 4.20 4.20 4.2C

100-Year Storm, 5-Day Event: . Ratic of Storm Volume from Isopluvial Maps (Sub-Basin to Summit) : .93 Distance from Sub-Basin to Assumed Storm Center : .0 km Distance Assumed for Depth-Area Correction: 1.7 km PG P0 Pł 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 11.34 11.34 11.34 PI 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 Ы 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.26 11.26 11.26 43.48 PI 43.48 43.48 74.75 74.75 74.75 82.17 77.19 76.12 43.48 Pl 43.48 43.48 11.26 11.26 11.26 11.26 11.26 11.26 11.26 PI 11.26 11.26 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 PI 11.34 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 7.37 PI 7.37 7.37 7.37 100-Year Storm, 5-Day Event: Ratio of Storm Volume from Isopluvial Maps (Sub-Basin to Summit) : .70 ٠ Distance from Sub-Basin to Assumed Storm Center : 4.2 km \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PG P1 ΡI 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 PI 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 PI 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 PI 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 PI 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 8.34 8.34 PI 8.34 8.34 8.34 8.34 8.34 8.34 8.34 8.34 8.24

Encl 1-2
PI	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.14	8.14	8.14	31.44	
PI	31.44	31.44	52.60	52.60	52.60	78.53	59.60	53.54	31.44	
ΡI	31.44	31.44	8.14	8.14	8.14	8.14	8.14	8.14	8.14	
ΡI	8.14	8.14	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.34	8.34	
PI	8.34	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	
PI	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	
ΡI	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	
PI	5.40	5.40	5.40							
٠										
***	*****		*****	*****	* * * * *		****	• • • • •	• • • • • •	*****
****	********	*****								
• 1	00-Yea	r Storm	, 5-Day	Event:						
• F	Ratio of	Storm	Volume	from 1	sopluvi	al Maps	s (Sub-	Basin t	o Summ	nit): .65
• [	Distance	from S	ub-Basi	in to As	sumed	Storm	Center	: 6.70	km	
•										
***	*****	****	* * * * * *	*****	*****		*****	*****	• • • • • •	* * * * * *
	*******	******								
PG	P2	•								
PI	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	
PI	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	
Fi	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4 93	4.93	
Pl	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	
PI	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	7.74	7.74	
P١	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	
PI	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.74	7.50	7.50	7.50	28.95	
PI	28.95	28,95	43.69	43.69	43.39	74.17	53.38	46.75	28.95	
PI	23.95	28.95	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	
PI	7.50	7.50	7.74	7.74	7.74	7,74	7 74	7.74	7.74	
FI	7.74	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	
PI	4 93	4.93	4.93	4.83	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	
PI	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	1.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	
F!	4.93	4.93	4.93							
•										
	*****			****	• • • • • •			*****	• • • • • •	* * * * * *
	******									
	0J-Year									
										rit) : .55
- D	listance	from S	ub-Basi	n io As	sumed	Storm	Center	: <b>3</b> .7 k	m	
•										
***	< • • • • s		• • • • • •		• • • • • •	* * * * *	* • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • • • •
					C	1 1 2				

....

í

ŝ

# Eacl 1-3

	*******									
PG										
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	6.50	6.50	
PI	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	
PI	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.35	6.35	6.35	24.52	
PI	-	24.52		35.41	35.41	65.10		37.44	24.52	
PI	24.52	24.52	6.35	6.35	6.35	6.35	6.35	6.35	6.35	
ΡI	6.35	6.35	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	
ΡI	6.50	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
PI	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	
ΡI	4.11	4.11	4.11							
*										
***	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****		*****	• • • • • •	*****
****	********	*****								
*	100-Yea	r Storm	E Dov	. Europt						
	Ratio of	Storm	Volume	from	Isopluvi					nit) : .50
		Storm	Volume	from	Isopluvi					nit) : .50
	Ratio of	Storm	Volume ub-Basi	e from in to As	Isopluvi ssumed	Storm	Center	: 9.7 k	m	·
	Ratio of	Storm	Volume ub-Basi	e from in to As	Isopluvi ssumed	Storm	Center	: 9.7 k		·
• [	Ratio of Distance	Storm	Volume ub-Basi	e from in to As	Isopluvi ssumed	Storm	Center	: 9.7 k	m	·
• [	Ratio of Distance P4	Storm from S	Volume ub-Basi	e from i in to As	Isopluvi ssumed	Storm	Center	: 9.7 k	m • • • • • •	·
PG Pl	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74	Storm from S	Volume ub-Basi 3.74	e from into As	Isopluvi ssumed	Storm	Center	: 9.7 k	m  3.74	·
PG PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74	Storm from S 3.74 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74	e from : in to As 	Isopluvi ssumed 3.74 3.74	Storm 3.74 3.74	Center 3.74 3.74	: 9.7 k  3.74 3.74	m 3.74 3.74	·
• [ • [ • [ • [ • [ • [ • [ • [ • [ • [	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	e from in to As 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74	m 3.74 3.74 3.74	·
• I • · · · • · · • · • · • · • · • · • · •	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	·
• C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.7	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37	·
• C • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 57.64	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 39.50	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79 33.35	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 31.99 5.79	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 57.64 5.79	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 39.50 5.79	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79 33.35 5.79	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 31.99 5.79 5.92	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 5.764 5.79 5.92	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 39.50 5.79 5.92	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79 33.35 5.79 5.92	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79 5.92	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79 5.92	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 31.99 5.79 5.92 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 5.764 5.79 5.92 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 39.50 5.79 5.92 3.74	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79 33.35 5.79 5.92 3.74	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 5.79 5.92 3.74	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79 5.92 3.74	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 5.79 3.74 3.74 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 31.99 5.79 5.92 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 5.764 5.79 5.92 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 39.50 5.79 5.92 3.74 3.74	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79 33.35 5.79 5.92 3.74 3.74	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 5.79 5.92 3.74 3.74	·
PG PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Ratio of Distance P4 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 22.37 5.79 5.92	Storm from S 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 5.79 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	Volume ub-Basi 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 31.99 5.79 5.92 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 5.764 5.79 5.92 3.74	3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.79 39.50 5.79 5.92 3.74	: 9.7 k 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 5.79 33.35 5.79 5.92 3.74	m 3.74 3.74 3.74 3.74 5.92 5.92 22.37 5.79 5.92 3.74	·

٠

•

•

### Encl 1-4

•									
***	*****		*****		*****	*****		• • • • •	
****	********	******							
	100-Yea								
									o Summit) : .42
• 0	Distance	from S	ub-Basi	in to As	sumed	Storm	Center	: 15.0	m
•									
* * *	*****	*****	*****		*****	*****			
PG	D5	******							
PI	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12
PI	3.12			3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12
PI	3.12		3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12
PI	3.12			3.12	3.12	3.12			3.12
PI	3.12			3.12	3.12	3.12			5.01
PI	5.01	-	5.01	5.01	5.01				
PI	5.01			5.01	5.01				19.34
PI		19.34				44.86			
PI		19.34		5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01
PI	5.01			5.01			5.01		
PI	5.01						3.12		
PI	3.12		3.12					3,12	
	3.12		3.12		3.12		3.12		3.12
PI		3.12							
•									
	* * * * * *	*****	* * * * * *		*****	* * * * * *		*****	
****	*******	******							
• 1	00-Year	r Storm	, 5-Day	Event:					
• F	Ratio of	Storm	Volume	e from i	isopluvi	al Map	s (Sub-	Basin t	o Summit) : .40
• 0	listance	from S	ub-Basi	n to As	sumed	Storm	Center	: 20.8	m
+								-	
			* * * * * *		••••	• • • • • •	*****	*****	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	*******	******							
PG	-								
PI	2.97								
PI	2.97	2.97		-		2.97			
PI	2.97	2.97		2.97		2.97		2.97	
	2.97	2.97		2.97			2.97		
PI		2.97		2.97			2.97		
PI	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.7 <del>9</del>	4.79	4.79	4.79

Encl 1-5

Pl	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.81	4.81	4.81	18.57	
PI	18.57	18.57	21.85	21.85	21.85	41.92	28.17	24.11	18.57	
PI	18.57	18.57	481	4.81	4.81	4.81	4.81	4 91	4.81	
ΡI	4.81	4.81	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	
PI	4.79	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	
PI	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	
ΡI	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	2.97	
PI	2.97	2.97	2.97							
•	******	**								
٠										
***	*****	*****	*****		*****	*****	*****	• • • • •	**********	
****	~>******	*****								
•	100-Yea	r Storm	, 5-Day	Event	:					
•	Ratio cf	Storm	Volume	from	isepluvi	al Map	s (Sub-	B≘sin t	o Summit) : .39	)
	Distance									
•										
***	*****	****	* * * * * *		*****	• • • • • •	*****	*****	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
****	**** *****	*****								
PG	P7									
Pl	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	
ΡI	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	
ΡI	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	
Pł	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	
PI	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	4.67		
Pl	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
PI	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.71	4.71	4.71	18.18	
ΡI	18.18	18.18	22.95	22.95	22,95	40.35	27.96		18.18	
Pl	18.18	18.18	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	
PI	4.71	4.71	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	¢ 67	4.67	4.67	
Ы	4.67	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88		2.88	2.88	2.88	
PI	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88			2.88	2.88	2.88	
PI	2.28	2.88	2.88	2.88	2,38	2.88	2.88	2.88	2.88	
PI	2.88	2.88	2.88		2000				2.00	
* *		2.00	2.00							
• •	M For	the follo	wing h	wdtoars	anhs: S	tations	that ha	nin wit	h a "P"	
	M (e.g.,		•		•			•		
	(M indic	-		•		•				
		aleu 100	AUUH 0	u aay		e uhhe	i suu-Di	isilisi s	NEO DE	

۰.

1

\* KM a sub-basin runoff hydrograph. Stations that begin with an \*RF\*

\* KM are sub-basin runcff hydrographs for the indicated area and do not

· KM represent a hydrograph et any location. Stations that begin with

\* KM an "RT" are the resulting hydrograph after being routed from the \* KM indicated location to the next downstream location and do not KM represent a hydrograph at any location. \* KM \* KM Two storms were applied over P3 (Timbu). One to obtain the \* KM hydrograph at P3DS, the other for use in obtaining hydrographs \* KM at other locations since a storm centered over P0 gives greater \* KM flow at these other locations than a storm centered over P3. KK P3DS KM Hydrograph for P3DS BA 6.0 BF 0.3 PB PT LU 0.3 ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P3DS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED UC 1.0.25.5 \*\*\*\*\*\*\* KK PODS KM Hydrograph calculation for P0DS. BA 21.3 BF 3.0 PR P0 LU 0.3 ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG PODS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED UC 0.7.17.5 ............ KK RTPODS KM Muskingum routing of PODS to P1DS. RM 1,.7,.2 .... KK BFP1 KM Sub-basin runoff calculation for P1DS. BA 9.3 BF 0.7 PR P1 LU 0.3 UC .8.20.8 ......... KK P1DS

KM Hydrograph calculation for P1DS. ZW A=PINATUBO DESIGN B=PASIG P1DS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED HC 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* KK P2DS KM Hydrograph calculation for P2DS. BA 4.4 BF 0.3 PR P2 LU 0.3 ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P2DS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED UC .4,11.0 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* KK P4US KM Hydrograph calculation for P4US. ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P4US C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED HC 2 ...... KK RTP4US KM Muskingum routing from P4US to P4DS RM 1..4..2 ..... ... KK RFP4 KM Sub-basin runoff calculation for P4. BA 3.1 BF 0.1 PR P4 LU 0.3 UC .9,23.5 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* KK P4DS KM Hydrograph calculation for P4DS. ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P4DS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED HC 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* KK P3DS KM Hyd. calc. for P3DS for use at pts. other than P3DS BA 6.0 BF 0.3 PR P3

LU 0,3 UC 1.0,25.5

KK P5US

Q,

.

KM Hydrograph calculation for P5US. ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P5US C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED HC 2

KK RTP5US KM Muskingum routing from P5US to P5DS RM 1,1.1,.2

KK RFP5

KM Sub-basin runoff calculation for P5.

BA 12.6 BF 0.0 PR P5 LU 0,3 UC 1.9.48.3

KK P5DS

KM Hydrograph calculation for P5DS.

ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P5DS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED HC 2

KK RTP5DS KM Muskingum routing from P5DS to P6DS. RM 1,.5,2

KK RFP6 KM Sub-basin runoff calculation for P6. BA 17.7 BF 0.0 PR P6 LU 0,3 UC 2.2,55.

KK P6DS KM Hydrograph calculation for P6DS HC 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

KK RTP6DS KM Muskingum routing from P6DS to P7DS. RM 2,1.56,2

KK RFP7 KM Sub-basin runoff calculation for P7. BA 2.4 BF 0.0 PR P7 LU 0,1000 UC 4.82,121

KK P7DS KM Hydrograph calculation for P7DS ZW A=PINATUBO\_DESIGN B=PASIG P7DS C=FLOW F=100-YR COMPUTED HC 2

ZZ

.





 $\mathcal{D}$ 

L

### TECHNICAL APPENDIX A

### EXHIBIT A DATA PRIOR TO THE OCTOBER 1993 CHANGE IN PASIG/SACOBIA BASIN CONFIGURATION

A headwater basin of the Sacobia-Bamban River (formerly Identified as sub-basin S1) was captured by the Pasig-Potrero River in October of 1993 and Is now assigned a subbasin identifier of PO. In the Sacobia-Bamban Basin, this change in basin configuration reduces the runoff through sub-basins S2, S3, and S4 thereby reducing the runoff at simulation output sites S2DS, S3DS, S3DS + S4DS, S7US, and S7DS. In the Pasig-Potrero Basin, this change in basin configuration increases the runoff through subbasins P1, P4, P5, P6, and P7 thereby increasing the runoff at simulation output sites P1DS, P4US, P4DS, P5US, and P7DS. Runoff was not affected through subbasins or at simulation output sites not listed above.

Figures A-1 through A-4 are flood hydrographs at two simulation output sites on the Sacobia-Bamban River and two simulation output sites on the Pasig-Potrero River that were affected by the change in basin configuration. These hydrographs were computed for conditions that existed <u>prior</u> to the October 1993 capture of a Sacobia-Bamban headwater basin by the Pasig-Potrero River. Relative changes in the hydrology of these sub-basins and other affected sub-basins can be estimated by comparing Figures A-1 through A-4 to the appropriate post-October 1993 figures described in the text of Technical Appendix A (Figures 3.5.6, 3.5.11, 3.5.43, and 3.5.45).

Table A-1 shows flow duration curves at the same simulation output sites indicated on Figures A-1 through A-4 computed for conditions that existed <u>prior</u> to the October 1993 capture of a Sacobia-Bamban headwater basin by the Pasig-Potrero River. Relative changes in the hydrology of these sub-basins and other affected sub-basins can be estimated by comparing the data in Table A-1 to the appropriate post-October 1993 data in tables described in the text of Technical Appendix A (Tables 3.5.5 and 3.5.21).



Figure A-f



Figure A-2





### Table A-1

# Prior to October 1993 Change in Basin Configuration

Sample Computed Flow Duration Curves Sacobia-Bamban and Pasig-Potrero Basins Data in cubic meters per second

% OF TIME	S3DS	S7DS	PSUS	P7DS
EXCEEDED				
100	0	0	0	0
50	1.5	3.4	0.5	0.7
25	•	•	1.2	1.7
20	4.8	10.7	1.6	2.2
10	7.9	17.8	2.8	3.7
5	11.8	25.9	5	5.5
2	20.1	43.4	8.8	9.3
1	29.8	63.1	12.6	13.7
0.5	42.4	88.3	17.3	19.4
0.2	68.1	142	26.5	32.1
0.1	91.7	195.4	36.5	45
0.05	115.4	249.3	46.6	58.2
0.62	140.6	305.9	57.2	71.8

۰.

\* Not calculated.

# TABLES FOR

# TECHNICAL APPENDIX A

# HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

Table 1.3.1 Conversion, SI to English Units

Units of Length	mm	ε	dam	k	inches (in)	feet (ft)	yard (yd)	miles (mi)
1 millimeter (mm) =	+	0.001	0.0001	(10)^-6	0.0394	0.0033	٩	•
1 meter (m) =	1,000	-	0.1	0.001	39.37	3.281	1.094	1
1 decameter (dam) =	10,000	10	-	0.01	393.7	32.81	10.94	•
1 kilometer (km) =	(10)^6	1,000	100	-	39,370	3,281	1,094	0.6214
Units of Area	m^2	km^2	in∧2	11^2	acres	mi^2		
1 square meter (m^2) =	-	(10)^-6	1550	10.76	*	•		
1 square kilometer (km^2) =	(10)^6	1	,	•	247	0.3861		
							_	
Units of Volume	ш∧3	dam^3	£11v3	yd^3	acre-ft			
1 cubic meter (m^3) =	1	0.001	35.32	1.307				
1 cubic decameter (dam^3) =	1,000		35,320	1,307	0.8108			

Note: Dash mark (-) indicates very small or very large conversion that is not commonly used.

TABLE 2.3.1 Daily Rainfall and Evaporation Data

Jakuon Name         Latitude         Longitude         Start Date           Iba, Zambales         15'20' N         119'58' E         1951-01-01           Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac         15'20' N         120'36' E         1968-01-01           Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac         15'13' N         120'34' E         1974-01-01           Magalang, Neuva Ecija         15'13' N         120'34' E         1974-01-01           Magalang, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1977-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1977-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Masantol, Pampanga         15'10' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Masantol, Pampanga         15'10' N         120'42' E         1976-01-01           Mayantoc, Tarlac         15'20' N         120'42' E         1976-01-01           Palawig, Zambales         15'4' E         1976-01-01         18'10' N           San Felipe, Zambales         15'0' N	Control		Station	Station Location	Available Record	Record	-	Parametere
Iba. Zambales         15'20' N         119'58' E         1951-01-01           Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac         15'13' N         120'36' E         1968-01-01           CLSU, Munoz, Neuva Ecija         15'13' N         120'34' E         1974-01-01           Magalang, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'34' E         1974-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'4' E         1975-01-01           Masantol, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'24' E         1975-01-01           Masantoc, Tarlac         15'36' N         120'24' E         1975-01-01           Mayantoc, Tarlac         15'36' N         120'24' E         1975-01-01           Mayantoc, Tarlac         15'36' N         120'24' E         1975-01-01           San Felipe, Zambales         15'36' N         120'24' E         1975-01-01           San Felipe, Zambales		Diation Name	Latitude	Longitude	Start Date	End Date	Agency	Available
Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac         15'26' N         120'36' E         1968-01-01           CLSU, Munoz, Neuva Ecija         15'13' N         120'39' E         1974-01-01           Magalang, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'39' E         1988-01-01           Magalang, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'39' E         1977-01-01           Bai Magalang, Pampanga         15'13' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Masantol, Pampanga         15'02' N         120'42' E         1975-01-01           Mayantoc, Tarlac         15'42' N         120'24' E         1975-01-01           Palawig, Zambales         15'26' N         119'54' E         1975-01-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'0'0' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'0'0' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'0'0' E         1975-10-01           Sta	D324	Iba, Zambales	15.20' N		10-10-1561	1992-10-31	Pagasa	×
CLSU, Munoz, Neuva Ecija       15'13' N       120'54' E       1974-01-01         Magalang, Pampanga       15'13' N       120'39' E       1988-01-01         Bai Magalang, Pampanga       15'13' N       120'42' E       1977-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       15'13' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1976-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'42' N       120'4' E       1975-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'6' N       119'54' E       1975-01-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       14'48' N       120'13' E       1975-10-01	A016	Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac	15 <b>°</b> 26' N	120°36' E	1968-01-01	1992-09-30	Pagasa	R,E
Magalang, Pampanga       15'13' N       120'39' E       1988-01-01         Bai Magalang, Pampanga       15'13' N       120'42' E       1977-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'4' E       1970-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'42' N       120'24' E       1975-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'04' N       120'24' E       1975-01-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       14'18' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01	A017	CLSU, Munoz, Neuva Ecija	15.43° N	120°54' E	1974-01-01	1992-09-30	Pagasa	R,E
Bai Magalang, Pampanga       15'13' N       120'42' E       1977-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'42' N       120'42' E       1975-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'36' N       120'24' E       1975-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales       14'58' N       120'03' E <td< td=""><td>A020</td><td>Magalang, Pampanga</td><td>15113'N</td><td>120.39' E</td><td>1988-01-01</td><td>1991-09-30</td><td>Pagasa</td><td>R,E</td></td<>	A020	Magalang, Pampanga	15113'N	120.39' E	1988-01-01	1991-09-30	Pagasa	R,E
Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga       15'02' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       14'52' N       120'42' E       1970-01-01         Masantol, Pampanga       15'2' N       120'4' E       1976-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'4' N       120'24' E       1975-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'36' N       120'24' E       1975-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'26' N       119'54' E       1975-01-01         San Felipe, Zambales       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales       14'58' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Clark Air Force Base       14'48' N       120'13' F       1958-04-01	R0312	Bai Magalang, Pampanga	N . EI.SI	120.42'E	1977-01-01	1992-09-30	Pagasa	R
Masantol, Pampanga         14'52' N         120'42' E         1970-01-01           Camiling, Tarlac         15'42' N         120'24' E         1976-01-01           Mayantoc, Tarlac         15'36' N         120'21' E         1975-01-01           Mayantoc, Tarlac         15'36' N         120'21' E         1975-01-01           Palawig, Zambales         15'26' N         119'54' E         1975-01-01           San Felipe, Zambales         15'04' N         120'04' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-         15'10' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Clark Air Force Base         14'58' N         120'03' E         1975-10-01           Clark Air Force Base         14'48' N         120'17' E         1958-04-01	R0313	Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga	15°02 ' N	120-42'E	10-01-01	1991-12-31	Pagasa	R
Camiling, Tarlac       15'42' N       120'24' E       1976-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'36' N       120'21' E       1972-01-01         Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'36' N       120'21' E       1975-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'26' N       119'54' E       1975-10-01         San Felipe, Zambales       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Ales       14'8' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Cust Air Force Base       14'18' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Clark Air Force Base       15'11' N       120'03' F       1950-01-01	R0314	Masantol, Pampanga	14 <b>·</b> 52 ' N	120.42' E	10-01-01	1992-09-30	Pagasa	8
Mayantoc, Tarlac       15'36' N       120'21' E       1972-01-01         Palawig, Zambales       15'26' N       119'54' E       1975-01-01         San Felipe, Zambales       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Zhas, San Marcelino, Zambales       14'58' N       120'09' E       1975-10-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       14'48' N       120'17' E       1958-04-01	R0315	Camiling, Tarlac	15 <b>*</b> 42' N	120°24' E	1976-01-01	10-20-0661	Pagasa	R
Palawig, Zambales       15'26' N       119'54' E       1975-01-01         San Felipe, Zambales       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Zhas, San Marcelino, Zambales       14'58' N       120'09' E       1975-10-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       14'48' N       120'17' E       1958-04-01	R0316	Mayantoc, Tarlac	15 <b>.</b> 36' N	120.21 E	1972-01-01	1990-11-30	Pagasa	2
San Felipe, Zambales       15'04' N       120'04' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         ales       14'58' N       120'09' E       1975-10-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       14'48' N       120'17' E       1958-04-01	R0318	Palawig, Zambales	15°26' N	119°54' E	1975-01-01	1991-09-30	Pagasa	R
Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb-       15'10' N       120'03' E       1975-10-01         ales       ales       14'58' N       120'09' E       1975-10-01         Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales       14'58' N       120'17' E       1958-04-01         Cubi Point Naval Air Station       15'11' N       120'15' E       1958-04-01	R0319	San Felipe, Zambales	15°04' N	120.04' E	1975-10-01	1990-06-30	Pagasa	R
Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales         14'58' N         120'09' E         1975-10-01           Cubi Point Naval Air Station         14'48' N         120'17' E         1958-04-01           Clark Air Force Base         15'11' N         120'15' F         1950-01-01	R0320	Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zamb- ales	15°10' N	120'03' E	1975-10-01	1992-11-30	Pagasa	ĸ
Cubi Point Naval Air Station         14.48' N         120'17' E         1958-04-01           Clark Air Force Base         15'11' N         120'35' F         1950-01-01	R0322	Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales	14°58' N	120.09' E	1975-10-01	1992-11-30	Pagasa	8
Clark Air Force Base 15.11' N 120.25' F 1950-01-01	USA012	Cubi Point Naval Air Station	14.48' N	120117'E	1958-04-01	1990-12-31	U.S.N.	×
	USA02 <sup>2</sup>	Clark Air Force Base	N . 11.51	120.35' E	1950-01-01	08-60-1661	U.S.A.F.	2

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this study, USA01 and USA02 are station identifiers given to the daily raingauges operated by the U.S. Navy and U.S. Air Force.

 $^{1}$  R — daily rainfall; E — daily pan evaporation

### TABLE 2.3.2 PHIVOLCS Rainfall Stations

STATION ID.	STATION NAME:	LOCATION FROM MT. PINATUBO	ELEVATION	DATE INSTALLED
RG-i (201)	Mt. Cuadrado	South	1070	July 1991
RG-2 (202)	BUGZ	Southwest	600	July 1991
RG-3 (203)	P!2	Nonheast	640	July 1991
RG-4 (204)	Mt. Culianan	Northwest	550	Aug. 1991
RG-5 (205)	Gumain	Southeast	820	Aug. 1991
RG-6 (206)	Sacobia	East/Nonheast	510	Sept. 1991

Station		Station	Locator	Periods of Data		
ID.	Station Name	Latitude	Langitude	Obtained		
D324	Iba, Zambales	15 <b>°</b> 20' N	119*58* E	1972-07-14 to 1972-08-10 1976-05-17 to 1976-05-31 1980-07-08 to 1980-07-11		
A016	Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac	15726° N	120'36' E	1970-08-28 to 1970-09-06 1972-07-14 to 1972-08-10 1974-08-12 to 1974-08-19		
PORAC	Santa Cruz, Porac Pampanga	15°05° N	120:33° E	1970-03-28 to 1970-09-03 1972-07-14 to 1970-08-05 1974-08-12 to 1974-08-19 1976-05-19 to 1976-05-29 1977-11-11 to 1977-11-16		

## TABLE 2.3.3 Hourly Rainfall Data

<sup>1</sup> Official Station ID unknown.

[							Suto	a D							
	$(a)^{\prime}$	· 2· /	.e.3	4	, <b>5</b> ~ <sup>2</sup>	6	7	x	9	10	'. ų	12	ы	14	15
14.	1.00	0.05	0.05	-017	010	0.18	012	0.06	007	0.29	-0 07	0.53	0.31	0.25	0.13
÷ 2.,	0.01	1 00	0.06	-0.20	-016	0.37	014	023	0.02	-0 15	-040	016	0.04	0 02	0.32
^ 3 <sup>`</sup>	0.05	0.06	1.00	-0.30	-0.06	-0.14	-015	-007	-0.20	-0.24	-046	0.05	-0.06	-0.06	-0.13
- <b>1</b>	-0 17	-0,20	-0.30	1.00	-0 02	-0.20	0 02	-009	-0.27	-0.54	-042	-016	-0.34	-0.22	-017
. s .	0 10	-0.16	-0.06	-0.02	1.00	-0.22	-0 12	-011	-0.24	-0.13	-0.24	0.11	006	0.05	-016
×6``	0.15	0.37	-014	-020	-0.22	1.00	0.38	004	011	-0.21	-070	014	0.11	0.20	040
72	0 12	0,14	-015	0 02	-0 12	0.38	100	0 01	-004	-0.24	-0.22	012	011	0.27	0.26
*	0.06	Q.23	-007	-0.09	-0.11	0.04	0.01	1 00	-0.33	-016	-0.32	0.12	017	-003	0.21
ૺૼૼૼૼૼ૱૿	0.07	0.08	-0.20	-0.27	-0.24	0.11	-004	-0.33	1 00	-018	-0.38	0.02	-011	004	0.07
210	0.29	-0.15	-0.24	-0.54	-013	-021	-0.24	-0 16	-0.18	1.00	-0.37	0.32	0.21	611	-0.06
in .	-0.07	-040	-046	-042	-0.24	-0.30	-0.22	-0.32	-0.38	-0.37	1.00	-0.01	-006	-005	-0.23
12	053	Q.16	0.05	-0.16	011	0.14	018	0.12	0.02	0.32	-0.01	1.00	0.42	0.37	019
20.	0.31	0.04	-0.06	-0.34	006	0.11	0.11	017	-0.11	0.21	-0.06	042	1.00	0.38	0.20
な話	025	0.02	-0.04	-0.22	0.05	0.20	0.27	-0.53	0.04	011	-0.05	0.37	0.3\$	1.00	0.78
<b>B</b> isé	0.13	0.32	-0.13	-0.17	-0.16	040	0.26	0.21	0.07	-0.06	-0.22	0.19	0.20	0.25	1.00

### TABLE 2.3.4 Cross Correlations of Daily Rainfall Data

## Station Key

<u>ID</u>	File Name	Station Name
1	D3245192.WAT	Iba, Zambales
2	A0166892.WAT	Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac
3	A0177492.WAT	CLSU, Munoz, Nueva Ecija
4	A0208892.WAT	Magalang, Pampanga
5	R3127792.WAT	Bai Magalang, Pampanga
6	R3137091.WAT	Julian Subd, San Fernando, Pampanga
7	R3147092.WAT	Masantol, Pampanga
8	R3157690.WAT	Camiling, Tarlac
9	R3167290.WAT	Mayantoc, Tarlac
10	R3187591.WAT	Palawig, Zambales
11	R3197590.WAT	San Felipe, Zambales
12	R3207592.WAT	Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zambales
13	R3227592.WAT	Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales
14	USNRPME.WAT	Cubi Point Naval Air Station
15	CLARK.WAT	Clark Air Force Base

# TABLE 2.3.5 Estimated Annual Pan Evaporation (mm) CLSU, Munoz

	Carden of C
Vana	Pan Evaporation
Year	(imm)
1974	1855
1975	2084
1976	1972
1977	2109
1978	1731
1979	1842
1980	1968
1981	1796
1982	1921
1983	2153
1984	1895
1985	2010
1986	1852
1987	2006
1988	2008
1989	1943
1990	1914
1991	2049
1992	1919

### Table 2.3.6 Annual Rainfall, mm

RAIN	Iba RD324	Luisita RAO16	CLSU RA017	Julian M R0313	asantol S	Sta Rita RO320	Znas R0322	Cubi RUSA1	Clark RUSA2
GAGE	RD324	KAUIP	RAULI	RJ313	R0314	RU320	R0322	RUSAI	RUDAL
YEAR									
1950									2207
1951	3039								1782
1952	4073								1756
1953	2916								2167
1954	2716								1315
1955	1927								1439
1956	3531								1761
1957									1441
1958									1732
1959 1960	5088							2500 3829	1097 2331
1960	5481							3794	2079
1962	2401							3535	1970
1963	. 3784							3477	2205
1964	8594							3075	1966
1965	3739							3539	2054
1966	3747							3302	2773
1967	5072							4396	2001
1968	1972	1754						2146	1694
1969	3585	1465						2688	1727
1970	4272				1796				2346
1971	2745	1887		1669	1625			2964	2191
1972	4659	3526		3678	3128			4308	4120
1973	3324	1300		1459	1368			2572	1604
1974	4124	2384	2526	26.9	2172			4138	2619
1975	2528		2045	1438	1638			2868	1516
1976	4374	2475	2650	2654	2232	4888	4154	4226	2705
1977	3901	1713	1505	1410	1472	4112	4250	3768	1765
1978	5227	2011	1998	2119	2212		5099	5402	2347
1979	3551	1518	1522	1483	1558	4131	3293	4058	1817
1980	3960	1742	1675	1885	2072	3946	2564	2585	1742
1981		1692	1788	1393	1580			3233	1775
1982		1562	1789				3446	3857	1389
1983	2120	1305	1347	985	1685	2467	2260	2566	1034
1984	4137	2107		2126	2428	4277	3025	4758	1920
1985	4119		2211	2264		5154	3561	4944	2391
1986	4024	1983	2292	2312		4930	3983	4612	2313
1987	2562	1171	1337	875	1864	2849		2590	1446
1988	3874		2042	1060	2222	4025	2984	3303	1807
1989 1990	3509	1524	2056 2294	1381 1884	1989 2575	4133 4833	3424 3688	3556 4245	1971 2298
	4021		1760		2038		3928	4245	2298
1991	1021		1760	1334	2038	4355	3928		
# OBS	34	18	17	20	19	13	14	31	41
MEAN	3832	1840	1932	1802	1982	4162	3547	3575	1966
STD	1211	536	378	663	426	748	703	801	526

TABLE 2.3.7 unoff from Basin, mm	
TABI Annual Runofi	

	1	T	1	1 1	
MILOHA 151				5205 3823	4514 691
STO TOMAS	1858 1859	4242 3069 3870 3870 3870 2623 2712 677 677	222		13 2118 1369
BUCAD 5 615	3080 3762 1681	3321 3321 3327 3267 3283 2603 2603 2603 2603 2603 2603	5188 5140		15 2772 811
89 68		2956 4876 3755 3755 3755	3869		7 3439 824
COLO 76	1688 2387 2387	2320 2320 2320 2320 2320 2320 2320 2320	1237 39105		12 2283 824
GUMATH CATLANAH 126 72	3109 2997 820	2781 3627 2161 1956 2433	1624 645		10 2215 928
	2052 2591 912	2555 2555 2555 2555 2555 2555 2555 255	2898		15 2392 674
PORAC GUMAIN FU	84	1313 1313 1313 13192 13240 1925 1925	3132 3379 2146 \$28 \$28 \$605 \$28	3939 2657 2657	20 2419 1270
PORAC 0	£23[	2271 286 1517 1517 2066 2071 2271	1730 874		15 144 <b>3</b> 688
PORAC 118	203 203	1136 1136 1104 1104 535 203 203	341 296		10 746 544
PASIG-P 28		14.23 1092 232	888 8		2 779 747
PASIG-P 242		8 <u>3</u>			32.2
CAMILIND 280	1294 1520 576	1981 2217 1387 1050			7 1432 511
CANILING C		3928 3467 3467 3867 3867 3324	3199 3199 2870		9 3671 507
BANGAT C		1957 1714	572		2182 582
112	1012 2767	187 781 1197 11150	1624	222	673 673
RIVER BULSA O'DONNEL O'DONNEL DA (Km²) 405 240 112		111 111 101	8		1015 105
507 ( <sup>4</sup>		1918 1896 1521 1521 2866 2538 2538 2767	1694 5140 5140		11 2448 963
RIVER DA (kr	YEAS 1955 1956 1958 1959	1961 1963 1965 1965 1966 1967 1967	1971 1977 1975 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978	1981 1985 1985 1986 1989 1989 1989	# OBS. MEAN \$1D

ſ

# TABLE 2.4.1 Streamflow Data

ITECORDS/ITENS/V///////////////////////////////////	1960 - 1972	1964 - 1972*	1962 - 1967	1967 - 1972	1964 - 1972*	1957 - 1966	1965 - 1969	1966 - 1972	1959 - 19751	1946 - 1971*	1959 - 1990 <sup>1</sup>	1946 - 1979*	1955 - 1973 <sup>2</sup>	1955 - 1979 <sup>1</sup>	1960 - 1972	1956 - 1971	1947 - 1971	1984 - 1990
ALL CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT AND A	Aug 60 - Dec 72	Jan 65 · Dec 72'	Nov 58 - Dec 67	Nov 66 • Dec 72	Mar 64 - Dec 72	Jan 57 - Nov 66	Apr 65 - Dec 69	Scp 66 - Jul 72	Oct 58 - Dec 71	Jan 57 - May 72	Sep 58 - Dec 72'	Jan 57 - Dec 71	Jan 57 - Dec 72	Jan 57 - Dec 71	Jul 60 - Jun 72	Jan 57 - Dec 70	Jan 57 - Dec 72'	Jan 84 - Apr 91
Province of the second se	Aug 60	Jan 65	Nov 58	Nov 66	Mar 64	Dec 54	Apr 65	Scp 66	Oct 58	Oct 45	Scp 58	Oct 45	Aug 54	Mar 55	Jul 60	Oct 55	Apr 47	not known
LA RANAGE DRAINAGE NAUEATIKAN	405	240	112	ğ	142	280	242	28	118	11	370	128	72	76	68	15	177	151
NATA STATON	Bulsa	O'Donnell, Palublub	O'Donnell, Capas	Bangat	Comiting, Nambalan	Camiling, Poblacion	Pasig-Potrero, Cabetican	Pasig-Potrero, Hda Dolores	Porac, Valdez	Porac, Del Carmen	Gumain Floodway	Gumain, Pahanlag	Caulaman	Colo	Bagsit	Bucao	Santo Tomas	Maloma
stration in the second s	10A	VII	11B	12A	23A	2313	81/	82A	83A	84.	85A	86A	87A	88A	92A	<b>93</b> A	94.A	99B

<sup>1</sup> Limited data exists after 1972 <sup>3</sup> Some years missing within period of record. <sup>3</sup> Station 1D's "nnB' are unofficial 1D's

TABLE 2.4.2 Peak Discharges in m7/s, Including Records of Doubtful Quality

HALOHA 151			75 75
	**************************************	·z•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	217 296
BUCAO STO TOMAS 615 177	767808188966487	3 <b>2</b> 2 * ****	612
1) 11	******	: <b>-</b> ₽ <u>3</u>	2 S
9100 20100	\$*9512312 <b>*</b> 52955	19 <u>9</u> 5882523	52
12	97578984898499	162 <b>2*</b> 777 * <b>2</b> 231	212
GUNAIN CAULAUM	82265F52F32F32828888888888	12 28 28 39 23 A	112
PORAC GUMAIN FN	******		1446
PORAC GU	***************************************		22
PORAC 118	~588388588	27 8 <u>7</u> 8	25
PASIC-P 28	3223		
PASIC-P 14	\$2535	;   / · · ;	22
			346
HILING CA	329 <u>2</u> 56	27 27 27 22 23	19
BWCAT CMILING CMILING 90 112 280	*853	222	ā
	a area		<b>7</b> 3
р <b>ulsa U'</b> бониег, о' Бониес 405 - 210 - 112	***833	12 25 25 25 25	68
105 015 405			G
NIVER DA (Am <sup>4</sup> )			HEAN \$70. DEV.

TABLE 2.4.3 Normalized Peak Flows in m<sup>3/s</sup> / km<sup>2</sup>, including Records of Doubtful Quality

HALOHA 151 \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \* ? ? BUCAD STO TOHAS 615 177 293 6.3 253 0.15 1126.6 DACS17 68 -----222 1.00.10 ..... 233 72 GUMAIN CAULANNN 126 72 255 5.0 PORAC GUNAIN FM -----201 5576965787 \*:: PONAC -111 1-01814 26 1.00.12 PABIC-P 242 22.22 • • • • • BANGAT CAHILING CAHILING 90 142 280 33 162.0 • ? ? f 0.12 20.0 197 222395.5 AIVEN BULSA O'DONNEL O'DONNEL DA (hm') 405 240 312 0.1500.0 - 35 1.00 19.0 233 195 1 085. HEAN STD. DEV. 14 

TABLE 2.4.4 Peak Discharges in  $m^3/s$ , Excluding Records of Doubiful Quality

нагона 151	1	ļ		ļ			]	1	3*§E <b>1</b> 98	-38
OHAS 117	12525	22223	222323	583	520	522				2 <u>5 5</u>
BUCAO 570 7 615			1092 766 1080 701	2220	55	100	190			16 1009 592
e) e)			:	*12	<b>1</b>		7 <u>7</u>			101 50
0100 76			*2173	227	er ge	8 <u>7</u> 78	5 6893	822		222
ULMMH		9	-2662	123		925	3 <b>9</b>			19 28 288 288
GUMATH CAULANNH 128 72	93865	*93*3	12112	222	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b></b>	ž2		838
PORAC CUMAIN FW			22	3 <b>8</b> 23	82		2552 ·	283	<b>i</b> 3661	24 504 251
PONAC PI	\$ <b>\$</b> \$\$	22 <b>9</b> 922	5 r 2 8	2 Ê X j	138	(77 <u>7</u>	2			253
PONC			72	<u> </u>	1222	1958	\$ 7 <u>7</u> 2			527
7431G-P 28					;					2.0
PASIG-P 242					<b>\$</b> 21	:32				°9:
			316	25	12					620 576
BANGAT CAHILING CAHILING 90 142 280				3	233	263	5 <u>7</u>			• • •
BMGAT C					5	1893	610 645			. ŝĉ
CONNEL			10	\$23	.===	2				.22
<b>ВИLSA</b> О' DOHNEL O' DOHNEL 405 240 112				7	: *;	1345	203 203		52	122
0 405 (1			81	552 552 552	102	1221	1542			1350 567
RIVEA BU		1951		1961 1961						1991 1 085. HEAN 310, DEV.

•

	$\sim$
	<b>_</b> 2
	5
	ā
	3
	ò
	Δ
	5
	•
	<u>.</u>
	2
	5
	õ
	÷
	<b>£</b>
	-
	20
	<b></b>
30	τ
_	÷.
•	÷.
¢,	¥.
	Gi.
<b>FABLE 2.4</b>	7
-	~^
22	2
~	5
-	-
e	~
	-
	~
	~
	5
	~
	-
	ž
	~
	≃.
	ц.,
	÷.
	5
	ے
	_
	Ъ.
	S.
	-
	-
	-
	E

2
ali
ã.
Ľ.
Ę.
du o
Å.
5
š
cord
Š.
2
č
ġ.
Exclu
Ĥ
2
2
2
с, щ
E
<u> </u>
Š.
불
ea_
÷.
lized
Ē
Ē
ž.

21

•

HALOWA 151		0.00 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05
sto touas 177	44695885865885885885885	
8UCAO \$ 615	2011-2-10-20 2011-2-00-200-20 2011-2-00-20 2011-2-00-200-200-200-200-200-200-200-20	5535
84GS1T 68	AERA5238	198788 
92 28	\$17764755178848; 	5563 K258888
CAULAHAN 72	8.18.54.48.69.13. 8.18.54.48.69.13.	\$2925 SN2-N
CUMAIN 128	8485588566685888555566588 	88 955558558 88
CUMAIN FU	8.77,783,888,8 9	2553 8 253 5553 8 255 5553 8 253 5553 8 253 5553 8 253 5553 8 253 5553 5553 5553 5553 5553 5553 5553
PORAC 0	867888887997 8867588675886 867888887995 88675886	78899 56-70
PORAC 118	5312885 577 - 58 577 - 56	1927 228 1926 0-n
PAS10-P 28	200 Ni 000	X12871
272 273	89.55	
CAM1L140 280	25.55 25.55	
CAN111ND C	3255	11.92-
BANGAT 4	85	14458 19858
211 0'DOWNEL	- 00000 8 038888	
ви <b>ск</b> а 0'рониес 0 405	5 500 E 200	5626~: -0
0 507 (,	282878285 	
RIVER DA Char		

# 085. HEAN STD. DEV.

~23

1.85

8.5° 8.8' 8.8'

55. 257.0

24

6.28 6.28

**2**55

28 9 9 9

**%**?^

£32 1

"r")

0.05 0.05

1.22

5.73°

28°

0.53

:53

52.5 22.5

# TABLE 2.4.6

•. • .•

# Streamflow Data

ESCREWARD AND A CONTRACTOR	1011010	Sut al hadden	Approximaters	ROWD40024	Cortelences	and a restriction of the subscription of the subscription of the
North and a subscription of the second s	54800313	C. (Intil)	Could Needed	San States States States	Moor Start	
Bulaa	MIDA	105	1960-1972	eron	04	
O'Donneil at Palublub	411A	240	1961-1912,	none		significant inconsistancies between this record and up- stream gages Wills and Wilh
O'Donnell at Patling	41 <b>9</b>	112	1961-6561	None	*•×	Possible diversion above this gage into the Bangat above gage Mi2A
Dangat	1214	12	1967-1972	1967-1970	.,	See conments for stations Wilk and Wilh
Camiling at Hambaian	V1.2N	È	1964-1972	1949	ę	
Camiling at Poblacion	8238	042	1957-1966	1957-1963	e e	
Fasig-Potrero at Cabotican	VIAN	777	69673962	anan		Gage tidally affected
Pasig-Potreto at Mda. Dolores	M02A		1547-1972	none		
Porac at Valder	VCBM	110	1241-6541	anon	¥•4	significant inconsistencies between this record and up- stream gage With
Porac at Del Carmen	A64A	111	1957-1971	0404		See convents for Station MelA
Gumain Floodway	5 •	370	1959-1979 1979-1979 1986-1990	•uou	yes Y	Aecord affected by uperation of flood control projects
Gumain	.444		1457-1591	1957-1971	ya.	
Caulaman	4CBM	22	1957-1972	1161-1561	ę	
Colo	YUBN	ž	1191-1291	euou	٤	
Jegs1t	A56W	3	1661-0961	•uou	2	
Bucao	M93A	615	1957-1971	1957-1964		
Santo Towas	N94A	111	1957-1971	anon	*	Port-1967 record affected by upstream Irrigation diver-
Haloma	9664	151	1984-1990	evou		
Notes I						

1. The period of available daily record is generally shorter than the period of record for peak instanteneous flove.

2. In absence of stage recorder, water level determined by staff gauge read 2 or 3 times per day.

# Table 2.4.7 Daily Data Rainfall, Evaporation, and Streamflow Stations

Туре	ID #	Station Name	Record Considered
RAIN	RD324	Iba, Zambales	1951 - 1992
-	RAD16	Hacienda Luisita, Tarlac	1968 - 1992
-	RA017	CLSU, Munoz, Neuva Ecija	1974 - 1992
-	R0313	Julian Subd., San Fernando, Pampanga	1970 - 1991
-	R0314	Masantol, Pampanga	1970 - 1992
•	R0320	Sta Rita Elem Sch, Cabangan, Zambales	1975 - 1992
-	RG322	Znas, San Marcelino, Zambales	1975 - 1992
-	RUSA1	Cubi Point Naval Air Station	1958 - 1990
•	RUSA2	Clark Air Force Base	1950 - 1991
2VAP	EA017	CLSU, Munoz, Neuva Ecija	1974 - 1992
FLOW	NOION	Bulsa River, Villa Aglipay: (405 km²)	1960 - 1972
-	NOIIA	O'Donnell River, Palublub; (240 km²)	1965 - 1972
-	WO11B	O'Donnell River, Patling: (112 km²)	1958 - 1967
-	N012A	Bangat River, Sta Lucia; (90 km²)	1966 - 1972
•	W023A	Camiling River, Nambalan; (142 km <sup>2</sup> )	1964 - 1972
	NO238	Camiling River, Poblacion; (280 k=2)	1957 - 1966
•	MOBIA	Pasig-Potrero River, Cabetican; (242 km <sup>2</sup> )	1965 - 1969
-	W082A	Pasig Potrero River, Hda Dolores; (28 km²)	1966 - 1972
-	N084A	Porac River, Del Carmen; (111 km2)	1957 - 1972
-	1086A	Gumain River, Pabenlag; (128 km <sup>3</sup> )	1957 - 1971
•	MOSSA	Colo River, San Benito; (76 km2)	1957 - 1971
-	NO92A	Bagsit River, Dampai; (68 km <sup>2</sup> )	1960 - 1972
•	W093A	Bucao River, San Juan; (615 km²)	1957 - 1971
•	K.94X	Santo Tomas River, Dalanawan; (177 km²)	1957 - 1967
•	W0998	Halona River, Helona; (151 km²)	1984 - 1991

٠

ŀ

Table 2.4.8

-38 145 373 373 1375 149 149 BUCAO STO TOMAS MALOMA 615 177 151 2352 1064 571 2428252525283<del>2</del> 101 50 BAGSIT 68 25.5 C0L0 CUMAIN 128 2113 122 POMO 22 199 153 \*0\* RIVER BULGA O'DONNELL O'DONNELL BANGAT CAMILING PASIG-P PASIG-P DA (Am2) 405 240 112 90 142 280 242 28 \$222\$ °9:: 376 928 213 213 610 376 376 464444688 333 \*°5° 1900978 210 ° \$ 5 25 1226 1955 # OBS. HEAN STD. DEV. 

### Table 2.4.9 Frequency Analysis of 1-Day Maximum Annual Rainfall

.

.

### Return Period: (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

Rain Gages	Years of	2-years	10-years	50-years	100-years	500-years
(Rainfall, mm)	Record	(50%)	(10%)	(2%)	(1%)	(0.2%)
RD324 Iba RA016 Kda Luisita RA017 C.SJ R0313 Julian Subd. R0314 Masantol R0320 sta Rita Sch R0322 Jaas RUSA1 Cubi Point RAS	38 20 18 22 22 17 18 33	247 123 127 144 151 231 200 246	399 210 204 273 240 419 346 375	545 292 286 417 331 608 504 489	610 329 324 488 374 696 580 537	772 420 425 678 482 915 783 653 580
RUSAl Cubi Point NAS	33	246	375	489	537	
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	140	255	376	433	

### 1-day data (above) multiplied by 1.13 to obtain 24-hour duration amounts

RD324 15a	38	279	451	616	689	872
RA016 Hda Luisita	20	139	237	330	372	475
RA017 CLSU	18	144	231	323	366	480
KJS13 Julian Subd.	22	163	308	471	551	766
R0314 Masancol	22	171	271	374	423	545
RO320 Sta Rita Sch	17	261	473	687	786	1034
R0322 Znas	18	226	391	570	655	885
RUSAl CubiPoint NAS	33	278	424	553	607	738
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	158	288	425	489	655

### Table 2.4.10 Frequency Analysis of 2-Day Maximum Annual Rainfall

### Return Period: (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

Rain Gages (Rainfall, mm)	Years of Record	2-years (50%)	10-years (10%)	50-years (2%)	100-years (1%)	500-years (0.2%)
RD324 Iba	38	375	600	820	920	1173
RAD16 Fda Luisita	20	170	297	417	470	599
RACI7 CLSU	18	168	298	447	521	724
R0313 Julian Subd.	22	203	402	625	735	1026
R0314 Masantol	22	219	359	494	554	705
R0320 Sta Rita Sch	17	369	617	851	955	1209
R0322 2nas	18	323	524	723	814	1044
RUSA1 Cubi Point NAS	33	359	543	709	782	957
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	196	364	543	628	850

### 2-day data (above) multiplied by 1.04 to obtain 48-hour duration amounts

RD324 Iba	38	390	624	853	957	1220
RADI6 Hda Luisita	20	177	309	434	489	623
RA017 CLSU	18	175	310	465	542	753
R0313 Julian Subd.	22	211	418	650	764	1067
R0314 Masantol	22	228	373	514	576	733
RO320 Sta Rita Sch	17	384	642	885	993	1257
R0322 Znas	18	336	545	752	847	1086
RUSAl Cubi Point NAS	33	373	565	737	813	995
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	204	379	565	653	884

### Table 2.4.11 Frequency Analysis of 5-Day Maximum Annual Rainfall

### Return Period; (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

Rain Gages	Years of	2-years	10-years	50-years	100-years	500-years
(Rainfall, mm)	Record	(50%)	(10%)	(2%)	(1%)	(0.2%)
RD324 Iba	38	590	892	1164	1282	1567
RAD16 Hda Luisita	20	239	428	624	715	950
RAD17 CLSU	18	241	435	660	773	1084
RD313 Julian Subd.	22	287	567	892	1055	1498
RD314 Marantol	22	317	560	808	924	1219
RD320 Sta Rita Sch	17	597	939	1256	1396	1740
RD322 Inas	18	502	811	1125	1274	1652
RUSA1 Cubi Point NAS	33	570	872	1137	1250	1520
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	271	502	765	895	1251

### 5-day data (above) multiplied by 1.02 to obtain 120-hour duration amounts

RD324 Iba	38	602	910	1187	1308	1598
RADI6 Hda Luisita	20	244	437	636	729	969
RA017 CLSU	18	246	444	673	788	1106
R0313 Julian Subd.	22	293	578	910	1076	1528
R0314 Masantol	22	323	571	824	942	1243
R0320 Sta Rita Sch	17	609	958	1281	1424	1775
R0322 Znas	18	512	827	1149	1299	1685
RUSA1 Cubi Point NAS	33	581	889	1160	1275	1550
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	276	512	780	913	1276

.
#### Frequency Analysis of 10-Day Maximum Annual Rainfall

#### Return Period: (Chance of Exceedance in any one year) Rain Gages (Rainfall, mm) 100-years (1%) 500-years (0.2%) Years of Record 10-years (101) 50-years (2%) 2-years (50%) RD324 Iba RAD16 Hda Luisita RAD17 CLSU RD313 Julian Subd. RD314 Masantol RD320 Sta Rita Sch RU322 Znas RUSA1 Cubi Point KAS RUSA2 Clark AFB 1291 585 547 801 760 1422 1108 1289 1710 818 766 1270 1076 1895 922 871 1755 1224 2137 1622 2344 1180 1145 2127 1603 2691 2012 2136 1546 38 20 18 22 22 17 18 33 41 834 342 338 392 450 909 729 860 365 1914 1463 1646 983 1794 662 10-day data (above) multiplied by 1.01 to obtain 240-hour duration amounts RD324 Iba RAD16 Hda Luisita 842 345 1304 591 1727 2367 38 20 1914 931

RADI7 CLSU	13	341	552	774	880	1156
R0213 Julian Subd.	22	396	809	1283	1773	2148
R0314 Masantol	22	455	768	1087	1236	1619
R0320 StanRita Sch	17	318	1436	1933	2158	2718
R0322 2cas	16	736	1119	1473	1638	2032
RUSAI Cobi Foint NAS	33	869	1302	1662	1812	2157
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	369	669	993	1148	1561

-

#### Table 2.4.13 Frequency Analysis of 15-Day Maximum Annual Rainfall

#### Return Period: (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

Rain Gages	Years of	2-years	10-years	50-years	100-years	500-yrars
(Rainfall, ==)	Record	(50%)	(10%)	(2%)	(1%)	(0.2%)
RD324 Iba	38	1020	1561	2014	2204	2645
RAD16 Kda Luisita	20	400	674	953	1083	1417
RAD17 CLSU	18	404	620	839	940	1201
RD313 Julian Sabd.	22	442	897	1414	1670	2357
RC314 Masantol	22	528	883	1230	1388	1784
RD320 Sta Rita Sch	17	1100	1737	2332	2597	3246
RD322 Znas	18	905	1373	1750	1903	2251
RUSAl Cubi Point NAS	33	1030	1528	1941	2113	2507
RUSA2 Clark AFB	41	427	779	1188	1395	1967

#### Table 2.4.14 Streamflow Data Frequency Analyses Summary Expected Probability Discharges in m<sup>3</sup>/s

(ranges correspond to alternative data sets)

#### Gamain River (WC86A)

Return Period	2-year	100-year
Peak Instantaneous Q	186 to 226	495 to 663
1-Day Average Q	128 to 152	337 to 417
3-Day Average Q	(n/a) to 109	312 to (n/a)

#### BLCAD River (W093A)

Return Period	2-year	100-year
Peak Instantaneous Q	295 to 962	3740 to 4330
1-Day Average Q	656 to 697	1260 to 2730
3-Day Average Q	521 to 548	891 to 1870

#### Santo Tomas River (W094A)

Return Period	2-year	100-year
Peak Instantaneous Q	307 to 356	798 to 1560
1-Day Average Q	251 to 299	524 to 1160
3-Day Average Q	207 to 221	562 to 1080

#### Table 2.4.15 Composite Analysis of Rainfall Frequency Data

#### Return Period: (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

AVERACE RAINFALL (m) FOR COASTAL GAGES COASTAL GAGES COASTAL GAGES COASTAL GAGES COASTAL GAGES	DURATION 24-HR 2-DAY 5-DAY 10-DAY 15-DAY	2-years (50%) 261 371 576 841 1014	10-years (10%) 435 594 896 1290 1550	50-years (2%) 606 807 1194 1700 2009	100-years (1%) 684 902 1327 1881 2204	500-years (0.2%) 882 1140 1652 2319 2662
INTERIOR GAGES	24-KR	155	267	385	440	584
INTERIOR GAGES	2-Day	199	358	525	605	812
INTERIOR GAGES	5-Day	276	508	765	890	1224
INTERIOR GAGES	10-Day	381	678	992	1194	1535
INTERIOR GAGES	15-Day	440	771	1125	1295	1745

Ratio of Interior to Coastal Rainfall for same Frequency-Duration Events

INTERIOR/COASTAL	24-KR	0.59	0.61	0.63	0.64	0.66
INTERIOR/COASTAL	2-DAY	0.53	0.60	0.65	0.67	0.71
INTERIOR/COASTAL	5-DAY	0.47	0.56	0.64	0.67	0.74
INTERIOR/COASTAL	10-DAY	0.45	0.52	0.58	C.63	0.66
INTEP: CR/COASTAL	15-DAY	0.43	0.49	0.55	0.58	0.65
INTERIOR/COASTAL	Average	0.49	0.56	0.61	0.64	0.68

NOTES:

1) Ratio of Interior/Coastal Mean Annual Rain: 1904 mm / 3779 mm - 0.50

2) Peak high-elevation rainfall on Nount Pinatubo estimated to be approximately 1.4 times the average coastal rainfall for all frequency-duration amounts. Higher rainfalls, to approximately 1.7 times the average coastal rainfall, are estimated to occur at higher elevatiors coastal mommains located approximately 40 km month of Mount Pinatubo.

Coastal Gages:

8

RD324 Iba Interior RD320 Sta. Rita Elem. School RD327 Zmas RD327 Zmas RD531 Cobb Point NAS

RAD16 Kda Luisita RAD17 CLSU RD313 Julian Subdivision RD314 Masantol RUSA2 Clark AFB

#### Short-Duration Rainfall Frequency Data For Representative Interior, Coastal, and High-Elevation Stations Data in mm

#### Return Period; (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

Station	DURATION	2-years (50%)	10-years (10%)	50-years (2%)	100-years (1%)	500-years (0.2%)
LOWLAND INTERIOR						
Eda, Luisita	1 HOUR	52	85	114	126	n/a
Hda. Luisita	2 HOURS	60	102	139	154	n/a
Hda. Inisita	3 HOURS	69	115	155	172	n/a
Hda. Luisita	6 hOURS	84	130	171	188	r/a
Hda. Luisita	12 HOURS	101	179	248	277	s/a
Hda. Luisita	24 HOURS	127	246	350	394	n/a
WINDWARD COAST						
7ba	1 HOUR	61	87	109	119	n/a
tba .	2 HOURS	83	126	164	180	n/a
Iba	3 HOURS	98	152	200	220	n/a
Iba	6 HOURS	138	224	300	332	n/a
Iba	12 HOURS	187	372	533	601	n/a
Iba	24 HOURS	238	482	697	788	n/a
HIGH ELEVATIONS						
Baguio City	1 HOUR	56	80	102	111	n/a
Bagulo City	2 HOURS	80	145	203	227	n/a
Bagulo City	3 HOURS	100	197	281	317	n/a
Bagaio City	6 HOURS	157	340	500	568	n/a
Baguio City	12 HOURS	231	528	789	900	n/a
Baguio City	24 HOURS	319	674	985	1117	n/a

Data extracted from Nydrology and Flood Forecast Center, PACASA, 1981: "Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency Data of the Philippines" Volume 1, First Edition.

Baguio City is located approximately 140 km NNE of Mt. Pinatubo, at elevation 1370 m (4500 feet).

•

.

#### Analysis of Short-Duration Rainfall Frequency Data From Representative Interior, Coastal, and High-Elev. Stations For Same-Frequency-Duration Events

#### Retarn Period; (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

Ratio of Stations	DURATION	2-years (50%)	10-years (10%)	50-years (2%)	100-years (1%)	AVERAGE
INTERIOR TO COAST						
Luisita/Iba	1 HOUR	0.86	0.98	1.04	1.06	0.98
Luisita/Iba	2 HOURS	0.72	0.81	0.85	0.86	0.80
Luisita/Iba	3 HOURS	0.70	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.75
Luisita/Iba	6 HOURS	0.61	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.58
Luisita/Iba	12 HOURS	0.54	0.48	0.46	0.46	0.48
Luisits/Iba	24 HOURS	0.53	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.51
INTERIOR TO HIGH ELE	VATIONS					
Luisita/Baguio	1 HOUR	0.94	1.06	1.12	1.13	1.06
Luisita/Baguio	2 HOURS	C.75	0.70	0.68	0.68	0.70
Luisita/Baguio	3 HOURS	C.68	C.58	0.55	0.54	0.58
Luisita/Baquio	6 HOURS	0.53	0.38	0.34	0.33	0.39
Luisita/Baguio	12 HOORS	0.4	0.34	0.31	0.31	0.35
Luisita/Baguio	24 HOURS	0.40	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.36
COAST TO HIGH ELEVAT	IONS					
Iba/Baguio	1 HOUR	1.10	1.08	1.07	1.07	1.07
Iba/Baguio	2 HOURS	1.04	0.87	0.81	0.79	0.87
Iba/Baguio	3 HOURS	0.98	0.77	0.71	0.69	0.78
Iba/Baguio	6 HOURS	C.88	0.66	0.60	0.58	0.68
Iba/Baguio	12 HOURS	0.81	0.70	0.68	0.67	0.71
Iba/Baguio	24 HOURS	0.75	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.71

#### Depth-Duration Data for Design Storms Hypothetical Station Located near Summit of Mount Pinatubo Data in mm

Return Period; (Chance of Exceedance in any one year)

DURATION	2-years (50%)	10-yrs (10%)	50-угs (2%)	100-yrs (1%)	500-yrs (.2%)
1-Nour	57	81	103	113	146
2-Hours	82	140	193	215	280
3-Hours	102	186	261	293	381
6-liours	159	311	446	505	655
12-Nours	240	478	694	789	1022
24-liours	352	587	830	936	1196
2-Days	501	802	1101	1230	1544
5-Days	m	1209	1624	1804	2236

NOTES

- The depth-duration-frequency data summarized above are for a hypothetical station located at approximately 1000 meters elevation near the summit of Nount Pinatubo. This station has a mean arrunal rainfall of ebout SOC0 ms.
- The 1-hour duration depth-frequency data are effectively independent of station location. The 1-hour data presented above are directly applicable to any site (cosstal, mountain, or interior) throughout the study area.
- 3. Depth-frequency data for durations of 6 hours and longer may be transposed to other sites throughout the study area after multiplication by a site-specific factor. The factor is equal to the ratio of the desired site's mean annual rainfall in millimeters to 5000 mm, the mean annual rainfall for the hypothetical station.
- 4. Same-frequency durations are not fully embedded in a single storm; i.e., a 100-year 24-hour storm is not expected to include a 100-year 1-hour duration. The available data sho-da maximum 2-year 1-hour rain within a 200-year 24-hour storm, and a maximum 2-year 1-hour rain within a 25-year 24-hour storm. This relationship of 1-hour to 24-hour return periods can be approximated by assuming a constant rainfall intensity over the peak six-hour data.

 Table 3.2.1

 Sub-Basin Parameters

 Gumain Basin, Pre-Bruption

Sub-Basin ID	IDd	PG2	PG3	₽Dd	PGS	9Dd	LD4	PG8	6Dd
Pliysical Parameters Arca (kun2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	9.2 4.3 865 N/A	16.5 8.3 7.9 290	6.7 3.9 7/A N/A	22.8 9.0 575 7.4 290	11.0 7.0 4.7 60	13.1 7.8 1,323 N/A	12.3 7.9 1,060 N/A	19.0 11.9 1,050 9.2 210	6.1 6.4 5.3 30
Design Storin Parameters Estimated Annual Rainfall (nun) Percent of Rainfall near Pluatubo Sumult Distance to Assumed Storm Center (ku)	4,280 86 10.4	3,920 78 10.0	4,370 87 6.2	4,070 81 6.7	3,610 72 8.7	4,220 84 2.5	4,160 83 1.3	3,670 73 4.4	3,310 66 14.2
Runoff Parameters Time of Concentration (Ins) Clark Storage Coefficient (Inc) Uniform Infiltration Loss (Innu/In) Baseflow (cms)	0.4 10.0 3	0,8 20,0 3	0.3 7.5 0.9	1.0 25.0 3.9	0.7 17.5 1.4	0.6 15.0 1.7	0.7 17.5 1.6	1.1 27.5 3 2.4	1.1 27.5 3 0.8
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time (ins) Number of Sub-Reaches	VN	0.9 1	VIN	0.8 1	0.5 1	VIN	VIN	1.0 1	0.6 1

# Table 3.2.2 Sub-Basin Parameters Bucao Basin, Pre-Eruption

.

Sub-Basin ID	184	PB2	PB3	PB4	PB5	PB6	PB7
Plysical Parameters Arca (km2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Clannel Length to Upstream Basin (km) Elevation Change along Clannel (m)	72.3 16.8 N/A N/A	62.0 17.4 1,600 N/A N/A	23.7 8.3 875 2.9 35	67.0 13.9 980 12.5	39.1 14.3 620 N/A	12.5 10.3 140 N/A	43.3 15.6 700 5.8 80
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Annual Rainfall (mm) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km)	4,100 82 6.0	4,200 84 3.0	4,200 84 10.5	4,200 84 13.6	3,900 78 9.2	4,100 82 5.4	4,050 81 7.6
Ruivoff Farameters Thue of Concentration (hrs) Clark Storge Coorficient (hrs) Uniform Intitration Loss (nnu/hr) Baseflow (m <sup>3/s</sup> )	45.0 3 3	1.5 37.5 8	.8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	35.0 39.0 3	1.7 42.5 3 5	1.3 32.5 3	1.8 45.0 3 6
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time (ire) Number of Sub-Reaches	V/N	VIN	0.3 0	1.4 1	V/N	V/N	0.6 1

 Table 3.2.3
 Sub-Basin Parameters

 Sunto Tomas Basin, Pro-Eruption

•

Sub-Basin 1D	IId	PT2	£LLd	PT4	PTS
Physical Paranucters Area (km2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km) Elevation Change along Channei (m)	45.6 10.5 1,471 N/A	34.6 9.2 1,027 1.627 1.62	9.4 8.3 2.50 6.1 6.1	20.0 5.5 770 N/A	75.7 11.0 965 8.1 20
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Annund Rainfall (mm) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Sumnit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km)	4,820 96 0.0	4,370 87 6.0	4,070 81 10.1	4,280 86 14.2	4,100 82 13.1
Runoff Parameters Time of Concentration (hrs) Cink Storage Coefficient (hrs) Uniform Infiltration Loss (mm/hr) Baseflow (cin 3/s)	0.9 32.5 4	0.9 22.5 3 3	1.3 32.5 1	0.5 12.5 3 2	1.1 27.5 3
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time (hrs) ' Number of Sub-Reaches	V/N V/N	0.6 1	0.7 1	V/N V/N	0.9

#### Table 3.2.4

#### HEC-1 Calibration and Sensitivity Analysis Simulations with Clark Unit Hydrograph

	Parameter	Peak Ins	LQm <sup>3</sup> /s	×24-hr V	ol. dam <sup>3</sup>	3-day Ŷ	ol dam3
Stream gauge	Set	27	100-ут	2-yr (000 s)	· 100-γτ (000 s)	2-yr (000 s)	100-yr (000 s)
	Target	180-230	490-670	11-13	29-36	(n/a)-28	81-(n/a)
	A	220	940	12	54	18	78
Gumain (W086A)	В	220	790	16	53	28	96
	с	220	680	17	49	36	101
Bucao (W093A)	Target	890-960	3750-4350	56-60	108-235	135-142	230-484
	A	731	3370	52	232	93	406
	В	786	2772	64	214	140	471
	С	811	2358	67	191	168	467
	Target	310-360	800-1560	22-26	45-100	54-57	145-280
	A	320	1580	21	102	31	174
Santo Tomas (W094A)	в	330	1190	26	84	49	164
	с	320	970	27	73	60	154

\* Target values (range) from HEC-FFA analyses of recorded data; ranges correspond to alternative data sets.

Parameter Set	Constant Loss Rate <u>mm/hr</u>	Unit Hydrograph Storage Coefficient
A	5.75	15 x T,
В	3.0	25 x T,
с	1.8	35 x T,

(Te = Basin Time of Concentration)

## Table 3.5.1 Sub-Basin Unit Hydrograph Peaks

Basin, Sub-Basin	Unit	Basin, Sub-basin	Chit	Basin, Sub-basin	Chit
	Hydrograph		Hydrograph		Hydrograph
	Peak (cms)		Peak (cms)		Peak (cms)
Abacan, A1	0.093	Gumain-Porac, G6	0.232	O'Donnell, 014	0.427
Abacan,A2	0.169	Gumain-Porac, G7	0.174	O'Donnell, 015	0.518
Abacan, A3	0.081	Gumain-Porac, G8	0.184	O'Donnell, O16	0.354
Abacan, A4	0.323	Gumain-Porac, G9	0.060	O'Donnelt, 017	0.175
Abacan, A5	0.038	Gumain-Porac, G10	0.061	Pasig/Potrero, P0	0.320
Bucao, B1	0.431	Gumain-Porac, G11	0.155	Pasig/Potrero, P1	0.118
Bucao, B2	0.330	Gumain-Porac, G12	0.196	Pasig/Potrero, P2	0.102
Bucao, B3	0.086	Gumain-Porac, G13	0.155	Pasig/Potrero, P3	0.063
Bucao, B4	0.313	Gumain-Porac, G14	0.120	Pasig/Potrero, P4	0.035
Bucao, B5	0.505	Gumain-Porac, G15	0.189	Pasig/Potrero, P5	0.070
Bucao, B6	0.246	Gumain-Porac, G16	0.196	Pasig/Potrero, P6	0.086
Bucao, B7	0.140	Gumain-Porac, G17	0.081	Sacobia, S2	0.180
Bucao, B8	0.262	Gumain-Porac, G18	0.141	Sacobia, S3	0.084
Bucao, B9	0.143	O'Donnell, O1	0.162	Sacobia, S4	0.110
Bucao, B10	0.544	O'Donnell, O2	0.286	Sacobia, S5	0.334
Bucao, B11	0.429	O'Donnell, O3	0.201	Sacobia, S6	0.176
Bucao, B12	0.317	O'Donnell, O4	0.156	Santo Tomas, T1	0.452
Bucao, B13	0.107	O'Donnell, O5	0.307	Santo Tomas, T2	0.398
Bucao, B14	0.256	O'Donnell, O6	0.185	Santo Tomas, T3	0.083
Bucao, B15	0.551	O'Donnell, O7	0.166	Santo Tomas, T4	0.896
Bucao, B16	0.342	O'Donnell, O8	0.134	Santo Tomas, T5	0.317
Gumain-Porac, G1	0.237	O'Donnell, O9	0.075	Santo Tomas, T6	0.534
Gumain-Porac, G2	0.221	O'Donnell, O10	0.075	Santo Tomas, T7	1.111
Gumain-Porac, G3	0.221	O'Donnell, O11	0.383	Santo Tomas, T8	0.181
Gumain-Porac, G4	0.246	O'Donnell, O12	0.224	Santo Tomas, T9	0.160
Gumain-Porac, G5	0.170	O'Donnell, O13	0.447	Santo Tomas, T10	0.029

### Table 3.5.2 Sub-Basin Parameters Sacobia-Bamban Basin

.-.-.

Sub-Basin 1D	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7
Physical Parameters Area (km2)	30.2	13.4	22.7	41.3	26.4	11.6
Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km)	15.6	11.8	18.9	13.3	11.6	15.6
Elevation Change along Flow Path (m)	720	336	785	945	425	186
Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km)	N/A	9.8	N/A	N/A	10.9	12.5
Elevation Change along Channel (m)	N/A	125	N/A	N/A	100	23
Design Storm Parameters						
Estimated Annual Rainfall (mm)	3,250	2,650	2,860	3,490	2,710	2,110
Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit	65	53	57	70	54	42
Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km)						
Storm 1 at Sub-Basin S2	0	6	5.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Storm 2 at Sub-Basin S4	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Storm 3 at Sub-Basin S5	4.4	12.2	7.5	0	10.9	20
Runoll Parameters						
Time of Concentration (hrs)	1.8	1.7	2.2	1.3	1.6	с О
Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs)	45	42.5	55	32.5	40	75
Uniform Infiltration Loss (mm/hr)	e	ო	n	e	0	1000
Basellow (cms)	0	•-	-	3.3	0.9	0
Flow Boutting Parameters						
Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs)	N/A	1.1	N/A	N/A	1.2	14
Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step)	N/A		V/N	N/A	-	-

## Table 3.5.3 Simulation Output Sites Sacobia-Bamban Basin

Critical Storm	â	-	-	N	-	e	n	ę
Critical Storm	Location	S2	S2	S4	S2	SS	SS	S5
Average Annual	Flow (cms)	1.6	5.1	0.9	¢	3.5	6.5	6.7
Average Annual	Basin Rain (mm)	3,250	3,065	2,860	2,995	3,190	3,095	3,016
	tration (hours)	1.8	e	2.2	ю	2.6	e	4.7
Upstream Basin	area (km2)	30.2	43.8	22.7	66.3	67.7	133.9	145.5
Stream Elevation	(u	180	55	55	55	55	55	32
	Site Description	Sacobla River 10 km above confiltence with Sapang Cauyan River	Sacobia River above confluence with Sapang Cauyan River	Sepang Cauyan River ebove confluence with Sacobla River	Sacobla River below continence with Sapang Cauyan River	Marimia River above confiuence with Sacobla River	Bamban River below confluence with Sacobia and Marimia Rivers	Bamban River near Concepcion City
Corps- Specified	Site	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Site ID	SZLJS	SOS	Sabs	S3DS+S4DS	Scos	SU/S	S7DS

-	Sa	cobia-Bamban B	asin					
Site 1 D		Maximum A	nnual Peak Dis	charge (cms)				
	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year			
S2DS	25	54	82	95	125			
SEDS	32	71	109	125	166			
S4DS	13	29	45	52	70			
S3DS-S4DS	44	98	152	175	232			
SEDS	62	138	211	243	321			
S7US	102	233	358	413	547			
S7DS	102	230	354	409	541			
Site I D	Maximum Anaual 24-hr Volume (cubic decameters)							
	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year			
S2DS	2,100	4,200	6,500	7,400	9,800			
S3DS	2,700	5,500	8,390	0.08,2	13,000			
S4DS	1,100	2.300	3,800	4,200	5,500			
S3DS+S4DS	3,700	7,700	11,900	13,800	18,300			
SEDS	5.0Cō	10,500	16,000	18,400	24,300			
S7US	8,300	17,900	27,600	31,800	42.000			
S70S	8,300	17,900	27,500	31,700	41,500			
Site I D	N	laximum Annual	I 3-Day Volume	(cubic decame	ters)			
	2-year	10-year	50-yezr	100-year	500-year			
S2DS	4,500	9,400	14,500	16,800	22,200			

#### Table 3.5.4 wimum Appual Peak Discharge and Vol

Consputed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data

	2-year	10-year	50-yezr	100-year	500-year
S2DS	4,500	9,400	14,500	16,800	22,200
5305	5,900	12,200	19,100	22,100	29,400
S4DS	2,500	5,200	3,400	9,800	13,100
S3DS+S4DS	8,200	17.100	27,000	31,400	41,900
SSDS	10,200	21,460	33,400	38,600	51,200
57US	17,600	33,000	59,600	69,100	92,000
S70S	17,600	37,900	59,600	69,100	92,000

.

	S0/S		0 0	2 4	000	4 0 7	0.0	1 1 7			0.10		+ 04 +	1.0.1
	SU78	c	900			5 ° °	19.0	31.9	2 44 7	616	080	138.7	170.0	0.01.0
5 on Curves 3asin er second	SOS	0.0	4.1	3.5	4.4	7.3	10.7	17.9	28.0	36.5	59.1	82.1	105.3	129.5
Table 3.5.5 Computed Flow Duration Curves Sacobia-Bemban Basin Data in cubic meters per second	SADS	0.0	0.4	0.9		1.8	2.6	4.2	6,0	8,2	12.5	17.1	22.3	28.5
Compute Compute Sac	S3DS+S4DS	0.0	1.2	3.0	3.7	6.1	8.7	14.2	20.1	27.6	42.1	57.4	74.7	95.3
	SOS	0'0	0.8	2.1	2.6	4.3	6.2	10.1	14.5	20.0	30.5	41.3	63.5	68.1
	S2DS	0.0	9.0	1.6	2.0	3.3	4.7	7.8	11.2	15.5	23.6	31.7	40.9	52.0
	% OF TIME EXCEEDED	100.0	50.0	25.0	20.0	10.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.02

1 - .

1

# • **Table 3.5.6** Sub-Basin Parameters Abacan Basin

ţ

Sub-Basin ID	V	N2 .	<b>5</b> 7	Λ4	٨\$
Piysical Paramices Area (km2)	6.1	12.7	15.8	9.9	5.1
Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Bievation Change along Flow Path (m)	6.4 305	7.9 190	14.7 415	9.2 85	12.7 75
Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km)	V/N	6.7	2.4	2.6	12.5
Blevation Change along Channel (m)	VN	80	30	20	70
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Annual Rainfall (mm)	3,160	2.860	3.010	2.530	1.990
Percent of Rainfall near Plantabo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center Arm)	63	57	9	51	40
Stroin 1 at Sub-Basin A1	0.0	3.6	2.5	9.5	17.9
Runoff Paramters Time of Concentration (hrs)	6,0	1.4	2.1	2.2	1.4
Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs)	22.5	35.0	52.5	55.0	35.0
Uniform Infiltration Less (mm/hr)	e	•	e	m	
Baseflow (cms)	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.0
Flow Routing Parmaters Travel Time of Flood Wavo (hrs) Number of Sup-Renches (1-hr time step)	V/N V/N	0.7 1	0.3 1	0.3 1	1.4 1

### Table 3.5.7 Simulation Output Sites Abacan Basin

Sile ID	Corps- Specified Sile	l Site Description	Stream Blevation (m)	Upstream Basin Arca (km2)	Time of Concen- tration (hours)	Average Annual Bashn Rahn (mm)	Average Annual Flow (cms)	Critical Storm 1.ocation
SUIV	Ycs	Abacanı River approximately 7 ku above Sapang-Bayo ereek confluence	210	7.9	0.9	3,160	0.4	Ŷ
VJDS	Ycs	Abacan River approximately 1.3 km abovo Higiway 3 bridge	100	36.4	2.1	2,990	1.6	V
A5DS	Ycs	Abacan River at Highway 329	10	51.4	4.5	2,800	2.0	V

•

#### Table 3.5.8

#### Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data Abacan Basin

#### Maximum Annual Peak Discharge (cms)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
AIDS	10	23	35	40	52
A3DS	31	69	106	122	161
A5DS	41	90	138	159	211

#### Maximum Annual 24-hr Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
AIDS	800	1,600	2,400	2,800	3,600
A3DS	2,500	5,100	7,800	9,000	11,900
ASDS-	3,100	6,500	10,000	11,500	15,300

#### Maximum Annual 3-Day Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
AIDS	1,300	2,800	4,300	5,000	6,700
A3DS	4,900	10,300	16,200	18,800	25,000
A5DS	6,300	13,100	21,000	23,900	21,900

#### Table 3.5.9 Computed Flow Duration Curves Abacan Basin Data in cubic meters per second

% OF TIME	Alds	A3DS	A5DS
EXCEEDED			
100	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	0.2	0.6	0.8
20	0.5	2.1	2.6
10	0.9	3.4	4.3
5	1.7	5.1	6.3
2	3.0	8.6	10.7
1	4.3	12.7	15.8
0.5	6.0	18.0	22.4
0.2	9.3	29.3	36.6
0.1	12.7	40.3	50.8
0.05	16.1	51.3	65.2
0.02	19.7	62.9	80.2

## Table 3.5.10 (sheet 1 of 2) Sub-Basin Parameters O'Donnell Basin

ô	181 16.3 328 9.9	2,710 54 19.6 N/A N/A	2.6 65 0 3	=-
õ	27 & 16.4 520 N/A	3,160 63 12.9 11 8 11 8	2.2 55.0 3 1.7	V/N V/N
01	38 5 21.6 817 20.6 280	3,310 66 9.8 8.9	2.5 62.5 2.7	2.3
õ	12.3 7.5 1.040 N/A N/A	3,920 78 5.2 0.0 N/A	0.7 175 1.3	V/N
6	57.0 15.5 113.3 60	2,860 57 20.0 N/A	2.0 50.0 3.5	<u>.</u> -
δ	262 15.0 678 14.5 250	3,460 69 8.3 N/A	1.8 45.0 3 2.0	1.6
ö	15.2 8.5 8.5 N/A N/A	4,160 83 2.7 N/A	0.8 20.0 1.8	VIN
8	47.9 15.0 633 11.2 160	3,460 69 8.9.4 N/A	1.8 45.0 3.7	1.2
10	22.9 13.1 700 N/A	4,160 83 0.0 N/A	1.5 37.5 2.7	V/N
Sub-Basin (D	Physical Paranaceus Area (kur2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Clamuel Length to Upsteram Basin (km) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	Design Storm Parameters Estimated Annual Rahufall (num) Percent of Italinfall near Urhatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Storm 1 at Sub-Dasin O1 Storm 2 at Sub-Dasin O1 Storm 2 at Sub-Dasin O13	Runoff Parameters Tinne of Concentration (Ius) Clark Storage Coefficient (Ius) Uniform Infittation Loss (mm/Iut) Baseflow (cms)	Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs) Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr (hne step)

Table 3.5.10 (sheet 2 of 2) Sub-Basin Parameters O'Donnell Basin

N/V 4.6 115.0 3 2.6 - <sup>2</sup> 017 2,710 54 28,1 74.3 2 18.9 30 90 56.3 5.6 15.0 5 42.5 3.7 эö 2,950 59 28.3 **23.5** ŝ 925 71 79 077.c 27 NY NY NY 3.1 2 7 Z 5 7 48.7 27.1 27.1 2 0 50.0 36.3 5 4 22.9 N/N 7.8 014 974 14 2 206 4,220 ž 1.67 žž 60 33.8 9.1 N/V 5,420 28.0 ¥N NN 0.8 20.0 <u>و</u> ک 3,460 69 2 -2.1 32.5 3.4 012 43.8 16.7 626 10.9 79 NVA NVA 15.6 žž 2.1 ā 3,770 27 10.4 NN 16.9 S. 61 ŝ 8.9 8.5 5.6 2,410 27.9 ź≨ 5.9 47.5 3 0.7 Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step) Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km) Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Elevation Change along Plow Path (m) Elevation Change along Channet (m) Uniform Infiltration Loss (mnvhr) Travel Tline of Flood Wave (liss) Estimated Annual Rainfall (nun) Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs) Storm 3 at Sub-Basin O13 Storm 2 at Sub-Dasin O6 Storm 1 at Sub-Basin OI Time of Concentration (hrs) Design Storm Parameters Flow Routing Parameters Physical Parameters Runoff Parameters Baseflow (cins) Arca (km2) Sub-Dasin ID

## Table 3.5.11 Simulation Output Sites O'Dounell Basin

	Cotps-		Stream	Upstream Basin	Time of Concen-	Average Annual	Average Annuai	Critical Storm
Sile ID	Slic	Site Description	(m)	Arcn (kın2)	(hours)	Baslıı Ralıı (ının)	Flow (cms)	Location
saio	Ycs	O'Donnell River approximately 1 km below pyroclastic flow deposit	300	22.9	1.5	4,160	1.9	10
osus	٩	O'Donnell River below confluence with Apatong River	150	112.2	2.8	3,700	7.6	10
05DS	Ycs	O'Donnell River above confluence with Dangat River	90	1691	4.5	3,420	9.9	ō
01DS	Ycs	Daugat River above rond crossing approximately Ikm southeast of O'Donnell villago	120	51.1	2.8	3,460	1.0	8
SU(O	Ŷ	Bangat Nuver below road crossing (and unnamed tributary) approximately 1 km southeast of O'Donnell villago	120	78.8	2.8	3,350	4.4	õ
SU010	Ycs	O'Donnell River below confluence with Bangnt River	90	266.0	4.5	3,350	15 0	10
010DS	Ŷ	O'Donnell River above confluence with Bulsa River	50	305.1	6.5	3,230	16.0	ō
SUSIO	δ Ν	Bulsa River approximately 20 km above streamflow gage W010A	174	231.0	3.9	4,110	18.6	610
SQ910	No	Bulsa River at streamflow gage W010A	80	436.0	6.8	3,840	1.16	¢10
017DS	٩	Bulsa River above confluence with O'Donnell River	50	508.5	9.6	3,680	34.0	610
018DS	Ycs	O'Donneil River abovo Highway 13	40	817.2	6.6	3,500	49.9	ĩo

#### Table 3.5.12

#### Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data O' Donnell Basin

#### Maximum Annual Peak Discharge (cms)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
OIDS	31	65	97	110	144
OSUS	121	258	389	446	585
OSDS	150	321	490	563	741
OTDS	47	102	154	177	233
OTUS	63	137	210	241	318
OIOUS	218	468	714	822	1,084
OIODS	223	477	730	841	1,110
OLSUS	264	546	815	932	1,216
01:03 01:00S	392	799	1,201	1,377	1,802
O10DS	409	830	1,251	1,435	1,881
O18DS	616	1,273	1,933	2,221	2,922

#### Maximum Annual 24-hr Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
OIDS	2,600	5,000	7,400	8,500	11,000
O5US	9,900	19,800	29,700	34,000	44,500
O5D\$	12,200	25,000	38,000	43,700	57,400
O7DS	3.8	7,600	11,500	13,200	17,400
O9US	5,100	10,500	16,000	18,400	24,200
OIOUS	17,800	36,500	55,700	64,000	84,300
OIODS	18,200	37,600	57,400	65,100	87,200
O15US	21,900	42,600	63,100	72,000	93,700
01605	32,400	64,200	96,100	110,000	143,600
01705	33,700	67,300	101,100	115,800	151,500
O18DS	50,600	102,600	155,300	178,400	234,200

#### Maximum Annual 3-Day Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
OIDS	5,600	11.000	16,400	18,700	24,300
OSUS	20.900	42,400	64,300	73,800	96,800
OSDS	26,300	54,100	23,600	96,400	127,200
O7DS	7,800	16,000	24,500	28,300	37,200
OPUS	10,900	22,700	35,200	40,600	53,700
OIOUS	38,300	79,400	123,100	142,200	188,200
OIODS	39,600	\$2.500	128,300	148,400	196,900
OLSUS	48,200	95,200	141,900	162,000	211,100
01005	74,400	149,000	224,900	258,000	337,600
017DS	78,300	157,600	239,100	274,800	360,400
O18DS	115,500	236,100	362,100	417,200	549,800

#### Table 3.5.13 Computed Flow Duration Curves O'Donnell Basin Data in cubic meters per second

<pre>% OF TIME EXCEEDED</pre>	OIDS	05US	05DS	07DS	09US	010US
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	0.8	3.0	4.0	1.2	1.8	6.0
20	2.4	9.4	12.1	3.8	5.3	18.2
10	3.9	15.6	20.1	6.3	8.8	30.3
	5.7	22.4	28.6	8.9	12.4	42.8
ž	9.5	36.9	46.8	14.6	19.9	69.4
5 2 1	13.7	53.0	66.5	20.7	28.0	98.0
0.5	19.0	73.2	91.2	28.3	37.9	133.4
0.2	28.9	111.3	138.5	42.6	56.8	201.5
0.1	38.5	149.5	187.6	57.5	77.1	273.4
0.05	49.3	193.0	243.2	74.3	100.1	354.9
0.02	62.5	245.3	309.8	94.5	127.5	452.2
1 OF 970	01000	01 5700	01 (00	01255	01.070	
<pre>% OF TIME EXCEEDED</pre>	O10DS	015US	016DS	017DS	018DS	
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	.0	0.0	
50	6.4	7.4	12.6	13.6	20.9	
20	19.2	22.5	37.1	39.8	58.7	
10	32.1	37.5	61.9	66.7	98.2	
5 2 1	45.0	52.9	85.5	91.5	135.2	
2	72.5	85.7	135.5	144.1	213.6	
	101.7	120.8	186.9	197.5	294.0	
0.5	137.5	164.3	248.5	260.9	389.8	
0.2	206.5	244.0	363.0	379.0	571.1	
0.1	280.8	324.5	486.2	508.5	771.1	
0.05	364.8	416.3	626.3	655.7	998.0	
0.02	465.1	527.5	795.2	832.9	1270.0	

# Table 3.5.14 (sheet 1 of 3) Sub-Basin Parameters Gumaln/Porac Basin

Ĩ

•

.

Sub-Basin ID	10	ទ	8	6	8	ö	61
Pitysical Parameters Area (kın2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (kın) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Channel Length to Upstream Basin (kın) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	9.4 4.3 665 N/A	16.7 8.3 7.9 290	6.8 3.9 925 N/A	23.0 9.0 575 7.4 290	11.3 7.0 7.7 60	13.4 7.8 1,323 N/A N/A	13.2 8.5 900 N/A
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Amusal Rahnfall (mw) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Storm 1 at Sub-Basin G7 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin G1	4,300 86 10.4 N/A	000'E 78 0.01 N/N	4,350 87 6.2 N/A	4,050 81 6.7 N/A	3,600 72 8.7 N/A	4,200 84 2.5 N/A	4,150 83 0.0 N/A
Runoff Parameters Time of Concentration (Inrs) Clark Storage Coefficient (Inrs) Uniform Infiltration Loss (mm/ur) Baseflow (cms)	0.4 10.0 3	0.8 20.0 1.7	6.0 2.7 6.0	1.0 25 0 3 2.6	0.7 17.5 3 1.0	0.6 15 0 3	0.8 200 3
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs) Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step)	VIN VIN	0.0 1	VIN	0.8 1	0.5 1	VIN	VIN

# Table 3.5.14 (sheet 2 of 3) Gumain/Porac Basin

Sub-Basin ID	C8	ΰ	G10	UD	G12	013	G14
Plysical Parameters Area (km2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Channet Length to Upstream Besin (km) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	19.3 11.9 9.2 210	6.3 190 30 30	21.6 12.8 55 9.5 20	11.7 7.8 860 N/A	29 4 13.2 565 11.5	10.3 6,4 N/A N/A	18.0 10.3 5.8 30
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Annual Rainfall (mm) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Storm 1 at Stub-Basin G7 Storm 2 at Stub-Basin G11	3,650 73 4.4 N/A	3,300 66 14.2 N/A	3,300 66 18.4 N/A	3,750 75 4.4 0.0	3,150 63 11.9 8.1	3,300 66 9.4 6.2	3,150 63 13.8 10.9
Runoff Parameters Time of Concentration (hrs) Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs) Uniform Infiltration Loss (mm/hr) Baseflow (ems)	1.1 27.5 3 1.7	1.1 27.5 3 0.4	3.8 95,0 3 1,5	0.8 20.0 1.1	1.6 40.0 1.8	0.7 17.5 3 0.7	1.6 40.0 3
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (Ins) Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step)	1.0 1	0.6 1	11 1	N/A N/A	1.3 1	N/N N/N	0.6 1

# Table 3.5.14 (sheet 3 of 3) Sub-Basin Parameters Gunnain/Porac Basin

Sub-Basin ID	GIS	GI6	<b>G</b> 17	G18	619
Plysical Parameters Area (km2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Channel Length to Ujatream Basin (km) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	14.3 8.3 940 N/A	20.5 9.3 535 6.6 115	18.2 12.3 175 35	36.6 14.4 5.8 5	2.3 7.9 7.9 5
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Amnual Rainfall (mm) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Storm 1 at Sub-Basin G1 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin G11	3,600 72 5.3 2.8	3,450 69 9.7 7.5	3,150 63 15.6 13.8	3,150 63 18.1 17.2	3,150 63 27.8 N/A
Runoff Parameters Tinne of Concentration (hrs) Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs) Uniform Infitration Loss (muVhr) Baseflow (cms)	0.8 20.0 1.2	1.1 27,5 3 1.6	2.4 60.0 3	2.8 70.0 2.2	0.9 22.5 1000 0.1
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs) Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step)	V/N	0.7 I	0.0 1	0.6 1	0.9 1

### Table 3.5.15 Simulation Output Sites **Gunals/Porac Basin**

,

.

	Curps-		Stream Upstream Elevation Basin Arca	Upstream Basin Arca	Thus of Concen- tration	Thus of Average Conceu- Amusal (ation Basin Fai	Average Annuaí Flow	Critical Storm Location
Site ID	spectrica	Sile Description	(11)	(km2)	(suci)	(uuu) (sueel)	(cus)	
SCIEDS	Ycs	Counsils River at streamflow gave W036A	OĽ	\$19.5	2.4	1,950	06	61
GINDS	Ycs	Annaha River above conflictice with Potes River	ß	141.1	3.5	3,850	10 2	61
U12DS	Ycs	Porne River npproximately 13.5 km above streamiliow gage W034A	27	41.1	2 7	3,320	2.3	UII
01705	Ycs	Potac River at streamflow gage W084A	15	122.4	36	3,320	58	110
SOBID	Ycs	Porac River above confluence with Gumain River	10	159 û	4 3	3,280	8.6	HĐ
SU91D	Ycs	Gumatn/Putac Rivet above levee	10	300.1	4.3	3,550	18 8	61
GI9DS Yer	Ycr	CummhyPorac River below levee	v.	302.4	5.4	3,550	18 3	61

۰.

<u>.</u>

. •

ŝ

#### Table 3.5.16

Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data Gumain/Porac Basin

•

#### Maximum Annual Peak Discharge (cms)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
G9DS	220	470	700	801	1,048
GIODS	228	485	723	828	1,083
G12DS	43	95	145	166	219
G17DS	128	283	430	494	651
G18DS	146	320	488	561	740
G19US	367	794	1,196	1,371	1,801
G19DS	366	790	1,191	1,366	1,793

#### Maximum Annual 24-hr Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
G9DS	16,200	31.800	47,200	53,900	70,300
GIODS	16,900	33,300	49,500	56,600	73,800
G12DS	3,400	7,000	10,600	12,200	16,000
G17DS	10,000	20,700	31,400	36,000	47,400
G18DS	11,500	23,400	36,300	41,700	54,900
G19US	28,000	56,400	84,800	97,200	127,300
G19DS	28,000	56,300	\$4,700	97,000	127,100

#### Maximum Annual 3-Day Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
G9DS	28,600	57,300	85,700	98,000	127,700
GIODS	30,500	61,100	91,800	105,100	137,200
G12DS	6,600	13,800	21,400	24,600	32,600
G17DS	19,400	40,300	62,500	72,200	95,600
G18DS	24,000	48,000	74,900	\$6,500	114,600
G19US	52,800	107,700	164,500	189,300	248,900
G19DS	52,700	107,000	164,500	189.300	248,900

## Table 3.5.17Computed Flow Duration Curves<br/>Gumain/Porac BasinData in cubic meters per second

% OF TIME EXCEEDED	G9DS	G10DS	G12DS	G17DS
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	3.6	4.1	0.9	2.7
20	11.6	13.0	2.9	8.7
10	21.0	23.6	4.8	14.3
5	36.6	40.7	7.1	21.0
2	64.6	71.0	12.0	35.3
1	92.0	99.8	17.5	51.7
0.5	125.3	133.5	24.7	72.7
0.2	187.9	196.2	40.0	117.7
0.1	254.3	266.0	55.1	162.6
0.05	321.3	336.3	70.3	207.9
0.02	392.2	410.7	86.3	255.3
<pre>% OF TIME EXCEEDED</pre>	G18DS	G19US	G19DS	
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	
50	3.4	7.5	7.5	
20	10.7	24.0	24.0	
10	17.7	39.6	39.6	
5	25.6	58.4	58.4	
2	42.4	98.4	98.4	
1	61.1	144.2	144.2	
0.5	84.7	203.2	203.2	
0.2	129.9	327.1	326.9	
0.1	175.7	447.1	446.5	
0.05	227.6	568.1	567.2	
0.02	289.7	695.6	694.3	

.

		Sub Pa	<b>Table 3.5.18</b> Sub-Basin Parameters Pasig-Potrero Basin	18 eters asin				
Sub-Basin (D	ЬО	Pf	P2	ЪЗ	P4	PS	9d	P7
Physical Parameters Area (umo)	6 6	6		u	÷	0 ( *	1 1 1	Ċ
Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km)	5.9	8.2 8	r 7	ð	- ; *	9.5	11.5	t-t v ~
Elevation Change along Flow Path (m)	460	772	480	420	65	135	180	40
Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km)	N/A	6.5	N/A	N/A	4	9,5	4.5	14
Elevation Change along Channel (m)	N/A	140	N/A	N/A	65	135	65	35
Design Storm Parameters								
Estimated Annual Rainfall (mm)	4,670	3,500	3,500	3,000	2,500	2,300	1,950	1,950
Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km)	63	70	85	55	50	42	40	39
Storm 1 at Sub-Basin PO	0	4.2	6.7	8.7	9.7	15	20.8	28
Storm 2 at Sub-Basin P3	N/A	N/A	V/N	•	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Runoll Parameters Time of Concentration (hrs)	2.0	0.8	4.0	-	с С	0 •	00	a *
Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs)	17,5	20.8	Ξ	25.5	23.5	48.3	55	120
Unitorm Intiltration Loss (mm/hr)	3	с,	е	0	e	0	ത	1000
Basellow (cms)	3.1	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.1	0	0	0
Flow Rouling Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs) Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step)	A/N N/N	0.7 1	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	0.4 4		0.5	9.5 8

# Table 3.5.19 Simulation Output Sites Pasig-Potrero Basin

	Corps- Snaciliad		Stream Elevation	Upstream Basin	Time of Concen-	Averago Annual	Average Annual	Critical Storm	Critical Storm
Site ID	Site	Site Description	(u)	(km2)	(hours)	(mm)	(cms)	Location	<u>p</u>
SOOA	Yes	Upper sub-basin of the Sacobla captured by Pasig	640	21.3	0.7	4,670	2.1	bo	-
PIDS	Yes	Bucbuc River above confluence with Yangca River	300	30.6	1.5	4,310	2.7	ЬО	-
P2DS	Yes	Yangca River above confluence with Bucbuc River	300	4.4	0.4	3,500	0.27	64	-
SOEA	Yes	Timbu River above confluence with Papatac River	240	8	-	3,030	0.28	P3	2
P4US	¥03	Papatac River below confiuence of Bucbuc and Yangca Rivers	300	35	1.5	4,210	5 8	ЬО	*-
P4DS	Yes	Papatac River above confluence with Timbu River	240	38.1	N	4,080	ę	0d	-
PSUS	Yes	Pasig River below confluence of Papatac and Timbu Rivers	240	44.1	2	3,930	3.3	ЪО	-
PSDS	Yes	Pasig River at Mancatlan Bridge	100	56,6	3.1	3,780	4	od	-
SQ14	Yes	Potrero River above confiuence with Guagua River	0	76.7	5.5	3,150	4.9	0d	-

#### Table 3.5.20

#### Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data Pasig-Potrero Basin

Site ID		Maximum A	nnual Peak Dis	charge (cms)	
	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-yr
PODS	55	112	166	189	246
P1DS	69	143	211	241	314
P2DS	8.5	19	28	32	42
P3DS	5.9	13	21	24	32
P4US	77	161	238	272	355
P4DS	79	166	247	282	369
PSUS	84	178	265	304	303
P5DS	87	186	279	319	419
P7DS	90	195	294	337	419

Site I D	N	laximum Annual	24-hr Volume	.cic decamete	rs)
	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-yr
PODS	4,100	7,700	11,200	12,700	16,500
P1DS	5,100	9,800	14,400	16,400	21,300
P2DS	500	1,100	1,700	1,900	2.500
P3DS	400	900	1,500	1,700	2,200
P4US	5,700	10,900	16,000	18,300	23.700
P4C-S	5,800	11,300	16.600	19,000	24,700
PSUC	6,200	12,100	18.000	20,500	26,700
P5DS	6,400	12,800	19,100	21,900	28,600
P7DS	6,700	13,600	20,400	23,400	30,800

Site I D	1	Vaximum Annua	l 3-Day Volume	e (cubic decame	ers)				
	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-yr				
PODS	7,400	13,900	20,200	23,000	29,600				
P1DS	9,200	17,700	26.000	29,600	38,400				
P2DS	800	1,600	2,500	2,900	3.900				
P3DS	800	1,700	2.700	3,100	4,200				
P4US	10,000	19,300	28,500	32,500	42.200				
P4DS	10,300	19,900	29,600	33,800	42.200				
PSUS	10,900	21,400	32.000	36,600	44,000				
PSDS	11,500	22,800	34,400	39,500	47,800 51,900				
P7DS	12,100	24,500	37,400	43,000	57,000				

	P7DS				0	-				4.	0			4	2.5	3.9	167 7	-
	ЬЧ	ľ		Nİ.	4.	9	, ÷		4	24	35	107		18/	10,	1 136	167	; -
	PSDS	0	2.0	9.1 -	40	52	a a		12.3	21.8	32.3	46.0		0.47	101.7	129 0	157.9	2
	PsUS	0	2 c 2 <del>,</del>	2	0 0 0	43	77	500	2	24.1	34.5	47.4	4 0	0.10	97.0	122 3	149.2	
	P4DS	0.0		ų (	3.0	3.9	7 0	12 4		22.1	31.9	44.1	R7 1		30'08	114.3	139.4	
in second	P4US	0.0	- -		2	3.8	6.8	12.0		0.12	31.1	43,1	65.6		00.00	110.4	134.4	
Computed Flow Duration Curves Pasig-Potrero Basin Data in cubic meters per second	SOEA	0.0				0.4	90	0.9		<del>1</del>	2.1	2.9	4.8	0	0.0	9 0	11.1	
Compute Pe Data in c	P2DS	0.0	0.1			0.3	0.6		000	- 	8 2	3.8	6.0	ц ц	5	 0'L	13.6	
	PIDS	0.0		2.2		0.0	63	1.1	10.7		50.02	39.0	58.8	19.0	0.00	7.66	120.9	
	PODS	0.0	0.8	2.1			4.9	8.7	15.6		2 2 2 2	31.1	47.0	62.5	C 82	200	95.0 I	
	% OF TIME EXCEEDED	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.00		0.01	5.0	2.0	-		6.0	0.2	0.1	0.05		0.02	

Table 3.5.21 Computed Flow Duration Curves Pasig-Potrero Basin

· .

## Table 3.5.22 (sheet 1 of 2) Sub-Basin Parameters

Basin	
Tounts	
Sauto	

Sub-Uasin ID	Ę	11	CT.	ТĄ	T5	T6
Physical Parameters Area (km2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Dasin (km) Elevation Change along Plow Path (m) Channel Langth to Upsteam Basin (km) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	42.3 9.8 886 N/A	33.7 9.2 1027 5.0 118	11.0 8.3 220 6.1 6.8	43.6 5.4 714 N/A N/A	18.3 6.9 0/A N/N	26.0 4.8 721 N/A
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Ammaal Rainfall (mm) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Storm 1 at Sub-Basin 'T Storm 2 at Sub-Basin 'T	4,800 96 0.0	4,350 87 5.7 N/A	4,050 81 9,4 N/A	4,200 84 10 4 5 7	4,250 85 14 6 19 0	3,950 79 16 8 16 8
Runoff Parameters Time of Concentration (hrs) Clark Storgee Costfloient (hrs) Uniform Influtation Loss (nurvlu) Baseflow (curs)	1.0 25 0 6.5	0.9 22.5 3 4.3	1.4 35.0 31.2	0.5 12.5 3 2	06 15.0 3 2 2	05 125 23
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs) Number of Sub-Resches (1-hr time step)	VIN	0.6 1	0.7 1	VIN	VN	V/N V/N

-
### Table 3.5.22 (sheet 2 of 2) Sub-Basin Parameters Santo Tomas Basin

710

8.4 110 8.4

5

<del>6</del>.9

3,550

71 24.4 N/A

5 3,700 31.4 15.6 48) 13.7 7 171 < N 8 °° 12.3 16.2 330 ×× × 3,850 1 13.7 ۲N (lake) 4,050 11 8.0 X X X X X 8 14.0 6.0 Longest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km) Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit Channel Length to Upstream Basin (km) Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Elevation Change along Channel (m) Estimated Annual Rainfall (mm) Storm 1 at Sub-Basin T1 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin T5 Design Storm Parameters Physical Parameters Area (km2) Sub-Basin ID

52.5 c 2.9 2.1 2.5 62.5 4.2 3 0.0 Uniform Infiltration Loss (mm/hr) Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs) Time of Concentration (hrs) Runoff Parameters Baseflow (cms)

18 45.0

04

0,9

1.5 2

YN N

YN N

Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (hrs)

.

Number of Sub-Reaches (1-hr time step)

### Table 3.5.24 Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data Santo Tomas Basin

### Maximum Annual Peak Discharge (cms)

Site ID -	2-y <del>c</del> ar	10-year	50-year	100-year	590-year
T2DS	156	320	472	538	699
TIDS	169	347	512	584	760
T7DS	84	172	259	296	384
T9US	224	457	684	783	1,023
TIODS	285	578	867	993	1,297

### Maximum Annual 24-hr Volume (dam3)

Site ID -	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
T2DS	12,300	23,200	34,000	38,600	50,000
T3DS	13,400	25,400	37,200	42,400	54,900
T7DS	7.000	14,500	21,800	25,000	32,500
TOUS	18,600	36,800	54,700	62,500	81,300
TIODS	23,600	47,000	70,100	80,200	104,400

### Maximum Annual 3-Day Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
T2DS	24,100	45,700	66,900	76,000	98,200
T3DS	26,400	50,500	74,000	84,200	108,800
T7DS	18,100	37,600	56,600	64,900	84,600
TOUS	42,100	84,200	125,300	143,200	186,100
TIODS	54,300	109,300	163,500	187,000	243,500

### Table 3.5.25Computed Flow Duration Curves<br/>Santo Tomas RevinData in cubic meters per second

% OF TIME	T2DS	T3DS	T7DS	T9US	T10DS
EXCEEDED					
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
50	2.9	3.3	3.1	6.3	8.6
20	9.3	19.7	9.0	19.1	25.6
10	16.9	17.6	15.1	31.8	42.7
5	29.2	26.4	20.4	44.9	59.6
2	51.1	45.2	31.6	72.7	95.4
1	71.9	67.2	42.7	102.5	133.2
0.5	96.6	95.9	55.4	139.4	179.2
0.2	140.9	153.7	79.8	208.1	265.1
0.1	188.2	205.6	108.4	278.7	355.7
0.05	235.7	257.8	140.8	358.9	458.6
0.02	286.5	313.5	179.5	455.7	582.5

Table 3.5.26 (sheet 1 of 2) Sub-Basin Parameters Bueno Basin

Ε.

Sub-Basin (D	IA	83	83	M	<b>D3</b>	90	87	88
Pysical Parameters Area (Kuv2) Longest Flow Path within Sub-Jasin (km) Elevation Change along Flow Path (m) Clanued Length to Unstream Basin (km) Elevation Change along Channel (m)	72.3 940 N/A N/A	43.8 15.2 1,165 11,165 11/A	10 6 9 2 355 N/A	23.7 8.3 875 2.9 35	67 0 13.9 980 12 5 105	39 1 14.3 620 N/A	17 3 12.9 860 N/A N/A	43.9 15.6 700 5.8 80
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Anumal Rainfall (num) Estimated Anumal Rainfall (num) Percent of Rainfall near Pilmatubo Shumuit Distance to Assumed Storm Desitin D1 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin B2 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin B2 Storm 5 at Sub-Basin B1 Storm 6 at Sub-Basin B1	4,100 82 3.2 6.0 N/A N/A N/A	4,200 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	4,050 81 4.8 4.8 1 2 N/A N/A N/A	4,200 84 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	4,200 84 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	3,200 78 71 78 78 78 78 71 78 71 71 71 71 71 71 74	4,100 82 82 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	4 050 81 81 81 81 76 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81
Ryunoff Parameters Time of Concentration (hrs) Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs) Uniform Inditation Loss (muthr) Baseflow (m3/s)	1 8 45.0 8,3	1.4 35.0 3.3	1.3 32.5 3	8 200 3 28	14 35.0 3 8 0	17 42.5 3 40	13 325 3 20	45 0 45 0 3 4 9
Filow Routing Parameters Travel Time (hrs) Number of Sub-Reaches	VIN	VIN	VIN	0.3 0	14	VIN	VIN	0 6 1

## Table 3.5.26 (sheet 2 of 2) Sub-Basin Parameters Bueno Basin

		סווכו	BUCAO BASIA					
Sub-Basin ID	80	B10	118	B12	B13	<b>b</b> 14	B15	B16
Pysical Parameters A rea (km2)	25.2	72.1	56.9	36.5	10 0	29.4	63.4	45.3
Loncest Flow Path within Sub-Basin (km)	171	14 0	16.4	8.3	5.6	117	14.7	12.5
Elevation Change along Flow Path (m)	234	945	1,535	325	160	821	1,655	655
Channel Length to Unstream Basin (km)	7.9	NIA	VIN	5.8	40	5.9	V/N	5.7
Elevation Change along Channel (m)	65	N/N	V/N	40	0	30	V/N	9
Design Storm Parameters								
Estimated Annual Rainfall (num)	4,000	4,050	4,500	4,050	4,050	4,050	4,600	4,100
Percent of Rainfall near Pinatubo Summit	80	81	90	81	81	81	92	82
Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km)								
Storm 1 at Sub-Basin B1	VN	VN	VIN	V/N	V/N	V/N	V/V	VIN I
Storm 2 at Sub-Basin B2	12 1	17.8	21.6	17.8	181	210	24.4	26.7
Storm 3 at Sub-Basin B3	V/N	V/N	V/N	VN	VN	VIN	VN	VN
Storm 4 at Sub-Basin B6	V/N	<b>V</b> /N	<b>V</b> /N	VN	N/A	VIN	VN	VN
Storm 5 at Sub-Basin B7	V/N	V/N	N/A	V/N	VIN	VIN	VN	V/N
Storm 6 at Sub-Basin B11	121	6.4	2.8	6.7	10.2	VIN	VIN	VIN
Runoff Potameters								
Time of Concentration (hrs)	1.9	1.4	1.4	12	1.0	12	12	7. 
Clark Storage Coefficient (hrs)	47.5	35.0	35.0	30.0	25.0	30.0	30.0	350
Uniform Infiltration Loss (mm/hr)	£	e	•	e	ñ	£	ę	e
Baseflow (m <sup>3/s</sup> )	2.7	8,0	78	41		33	9.1	5.2
Flow Routing Parameters								
Travel Time (hrs)	0.9	V/N	V/N	90	0.4	07	V/N	90
Number of Sub-Reaches	-	VN	VN	-	o	_	V/N	-

### Table 3.5.27 Simulation Output Sites Bueno Basin

Site ID	Corps- Specified Site	Site Description	Stream Elevation (m)	Upstream Basin Area (km2)	Time of Concen- tration (hours)	Average Annual Basin Rain (mut)	Average Antual Flow (cms)	Critical Storm Location
BIDS	Ycs	Dalin-Buquero River headwater basin	180	72.3	1.8	4,100	5.8	BI
B2DS	Ycs	Headwater basin originating as the Maronut River near Mt Phanulos, channed datection shifted above busin D3 as a result of depositions	145	43.8	1.4	4,200	3.7	02
BJDS	Ycs	Marouut River downstream of channet shift noted in sub-basin B2.	145	10 6	13	4,200	6.0	68
BSUS	Ycs	Dalin-Buquero River below confinence with Marount River	145	150.4	2.1	4,150	12.3	B2
BSDS	Yes	Balin-Buquero Ríver above confluence with Bueno Ríver	40	217.4	3.5	4,150	17.8	<b>B</b> 2
B6DS	Ycs	Bucao River headwater basin	200	39.1	1.7	3,900	2.9	B6
B7DS	Ycs	Kayanga Creek above confluence with Bucao River	120	173	[]	4,100	1.4	B7
SQCIE	Yes	Bucao River above confluence with the Balin- Buquero River	40	301 0	4 0	4,100	24.1	118
B14US	Ycs	Bueno River below confluence with the Balin- Buquero River	40	518.4	4.0	4,150	42 4	192
BIGUS	No N	Bucao River at streamflow gage W093A	15	6112	4.8	4,200	50.9	<b>B</b> 2
BIADS	Ycs	Bueno River at flwy 7 bridge. (approximately 2 5 km upstream of South China Sen)	<b>ي</b>	656.5	5.6	4,150	53.7	<b>B</b> 2

### Table 3.5.28

Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data

### Bacao Basin

Site ID         2-year         10-year         50-year         100-year         50           B1DS         86         175         260         297         50         297         50         297         50         207         50         50         297         50         50         100         year         50         297         50         293         50         100         year         50         50         50         100         year         50 <td< th=""><th>560-y<del>c</del>ar 387 290 72</th></td<>	560-y <del>c</del> ar 387 290 72
BIDS         86         175         260         297           B2DS         63         132         195         223           B3DS         15         32         48         55           B5US         200         421         626         716	290
B2DS         15         32         48         55           B5US         200         421         625         716	
B505 10 12 626 716 B505 200 421 626 716	
BJ05 200 421 020 101	-
B5DS 283 592 881 1.007	933
	1,314
B6DS 45 93 139 160	208
B7DS 26 54 80 91	119
B13DS 376 787 1,173 1,342	1,752
B14US 643 1,345 2,007 2,296	2,998
B16US 780 1,623 2 420 2,768	3,613
B16DS 834 1,737 2,591 2,963	3,869
Maximum Annual 24-hr Volume (dam3)	
Site ID 2-year 10-year 50-year 100-year	500-yezr
BIDS 7,100 13,900 20,600 23,400	30,400
B2DS 5,200 10,100 14,900 17,000	22,000
B3DS 1,300 2,500 3,600 4,100	5,400
B5US 16,400 32,200 47,500 54,200	70,400
BSDS 23,300 45,800 67,800 77,300	100,500
B6DS 3,700 7,300 10,900 12,500	16,200
B7DS 2,100 4,100 6,000 6,900	8,900
B13DS 31,009 61,200 90,709 103,500	134,700
B14US 53,000 104,700 155,200 177,200	230,600
B16US 64,100 126,300 187,100 213,600	277,800
B16DS 68,609 135,200 200,400 228,700	297,600
Maximum Annual 3-Day Volume (dam3)	
	500-year
BIDS 16,100 32,000 47,500 54,300	70,500
B2DS 11,100 21,800 32,300 36,800	47,700
B3DS 2,600 5,100 7,600 8,700	11,300
B5US 34,300 69,300 103,000 117,600	152,800
B5DS 49,800 99,400 147,800 168,900	219,600
B6DS 8,200 16,500 24,700 28,300	36,800
B7DS 4,300 8,600 12,700 14,500	18,800
B13DS 66,900 134,100 199,800 228,400	297,200
E14US 114,000 229,200 341,700 390,600	508,500
B16US 137,500 275,200 409,500 468,400	609,400
P16DS 147,100 294,700 439,000 501,800	652,900

### Table 3.5.29 Computed Flow Duration Curves Buczo Basin Data in cubic meters per second

•

<pre>% OF TIME EXCEEDED</pre>	BIDS	B2DS	B3DS	B5US	B5DS	B6DS
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	2.3	1.5	0.4	4.9	7.1	1.2
20	7.1	4.7	1.1	15.3	22.1	3.6
10	11.8	7.7	1.9	25.4	36.6	5.9
5 2	16.7	11.2	2.7	36.6	52.6	8.5
2	27.3	18.8	4.5	60.5	86.8	13.9
1	38.7	27.3	6.6	87.2	124.6	19.9
0.5	53.0	28.2	9.2	120.9	172.3	27.4
0.2	79.4	58.2	14.0	183.4	261.0	41.5
0.1	105.8	77.2	18.7	244.4	348.1	55.6
0.05	135.9	98.9	23.9	314.0	447.4	71.6
0.02	172.3	125.3	30.4	398.1	567.4	91.0
• .						
<pre>% OF TIME EXCEEDED</pre>	B7DS	B13DS	B14US	B16US	B16DS	
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
50	0.6	9.6	17.0	20.4	21.5	
20	1.8	29.8	52.0	62.6	66.2	
10	3.0	49.4	86.4	103.9	109.9	
5	4.4	70.8	123.2	148.3	157.2	
2 1	7.4	116.4	201.5	243.0	258.2	
	10.8	166.6	287.2	346.7	365 ~	
0.5	15.2	229.8	394.2	476.5	508.7	
0.2	24.3	347.5	593.6		768.0	
0.1	32.8	464.3	793.7	958.7	1026.0	
0.05	41.3	597.3	1021.4	1232.8	1319.6	
0.02	50.4	757.9	1296.2	1564.0	1674.3	

	Table 3.5.30 Sub-Basin Parameters Malouna Basin	1, 5.30 Parameters A Basin				
Sub-Basin ID	W	M2	W3	M4	Ms	M6
Physical Parametors Aren (kur2) Longest Piow Path within Sub-Basin (kur) Elevation Change along Flow Path (ur) Clannel Length to Upstream Basin (kur) Elevation Change along Channel (ur)	6.0 6.2 N/A N/A	68.2 17.8 325 15.0	23.2 7.9 401 N/A	208 7.7 845 7.0 20	18.7 11.5 620 90	13.0 6.4 383 5.7 3
Design Storm Parameters Estimated Ammin Rainfall (um) Percent of Rainfall user Phanbo Summit Distance to Assumed Storm Center (km) Storm 1 at Sub-Basin M1 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin M3 Storm 2 at Sub-Basin M4	4,100 82 0.0 N/A	4,400 88 N/A 7.2	4,250 85 N/A 6,9 7.7	0;0 00 00 01 01 00 01 0 0 0 0	4,300 86 N/A 0.0 2.5	4,200 84 N/A N/A 5.3
Runoff Parameters Trine of Concentration (lurs) Clark Storage Coefficient (lurs) Uniform Infiltration Loss (murlhr) Daseflow (ernts)	20.0 20.0 20.0	70.0 3 7	1.0 25.0 3 2.9	0.7 175 2.9	13 32.5 3 24	0.8 20.0 3.1.6
Flow Routing Parameters Travel Time of Flood Wave (Ints) Number of Sub-Reaches (1-in time step)	V/N V/N	1.7	V/N V/N	8.0 1	12	9 Q 1

### Table 3.5.31 Simulation Output Sites Malonna Basin

.

	Corns.		Stream 1	Upstream Ra da	Time of Concent	Stream Upstream Time of Average Average Jevolon Ratin Concerts Annual Annual	Aurual	Critical
	Specified			Area	tration	asin Rah		_
Sile ID	Slic	Site Description	(111)	(km2)	(hours)	(unu)	(cms)	
MIDS	Ycs	Headwaler tributary of Malouna River approximately 0.25 km upstream of Payodpod	220	6.0	0.8	4,100	0.5	IW
M4DS	Ŷ	Matomn River upstream of confluence with Gorongoro River	Ś	74.2	4.1	4,400	6.7	M
MSDS	Ŷ	Gororygoro tributary upstreaun of confluence with Malouna River	Ś	41.9	2.5	4,250	3.6	MS
SCIOM	Ycs	Maloum River at streamtlow gage W099B	2	150.0	5.1	4,350	13.2	Ŵ

### Table 3.5.32 Computed Maximum Annual Peak Discharge and Volume Frequency Data Maloma Basin

### Maximum Annual Peak Discharge (cms)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
MIDS	12	26	38	44	57
M4DS	119	244	360	411	534
MSDS	69	143	212	242	315
M6DS	212	437	646	738	960

### Maximum Annual 24-hr Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-year	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
MIDS	900	1,800	2,600	3,000	3,900
M4DS	9,700	18,700	27,400	31,200	40,400
MSDS	5,500	10,700	15,700	17,900	23,200
MEDS	17,100	33,000	48,400	55,200	71,600

### Maximum Annual 3-Day Volume (dam3)

Site ID	2-y <del>c</del> ar	10-year	50-year	100-year	500-year
MIDS	1,700	3,300	4,800	5,500	7,200
M4DS	21,500	41,700	61,200	69,700	90,200
M5DS	11,100	21,700	32,100	36,600	47,500
M6DS	36,000	70,000	103,200	117,600	152,300

### Table 3.5.33 Computed Flow Duration Curves Maloma Basin Data in cubic meters per second

% OF TIME	MIDS	M4DS	M5DS	M6DS
EXCEEDED				
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	0.2	2.7	1.4	5.3
20	0.6	8.5	4.6	16.3
10	1.2	14.1	7.6	27.1
5	2.0	20.6	11.3	38.9
2	3.6	34.6	19.1	64.0
1	5.2	50.5	28.2	91.7
0.5	7.1	71.0	39.9	126.7
0.2	10.7	112.4	63.6	190.4
0.1	14.4	150.8	85.5	252.1
0.05	18.2	189.4	107.5	322.6
0.02	22.2	230.6	131.0	108.2

 Table 3.5.34 (sheet 1 of 2)
 Confidence Limits at Hydrologic Output Sites

e Lmit	00-Year	(cms)	122.212	<b>3</b> 6	5	106	Sector Sector	194	146	99	4 <u>6</u>	880	105	8	990	1,506	1,816	1,944	1.1	527	544	÷	327	372	905	901	ł	29	268	158	482
Confidence	100-Year 500-Yea	(cms)	100 000 0 5	26	76	60	51 2 2 2 2 2 3	185	139	5	49	627	00	57	835	1,420	1,723	1,844	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	499	615	103	308	349	853	850	1 W 1244	27	256	5	459
Peak Discharge, Estimated 95% Confidence Umi		(cms)	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	24	71	60	1	175	131	32	421	503	94	54	789	1,061	1,628	1,743		471	487	98	289	328	805	801	12.1	5 <u>6</u>	242	143	435
charge, Est	10-Year	(cms)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8	54	20	22.57 0.482.1	137	103	25	329	463	73	42	616	1,052	1,270	1,359	ALL PROPERTY AND INC.	368	380	74	221	250	621	618	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20	191	112	342
Peak Dis	2-Year	(cms)	Construction of the local data	8	26	34	And the second second	72	F3	13	167	236	38	22	314	636	861	898	A P A MAN IN WAY	184	190	36	107	122	306	305	2. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1.	\$	8	58	177
ce Limit	100-Year 500-Year	(cms)	7 44 5 1	67	208	270	2 104 St. 2.	405	371	02	1,104	1,681	266	162	2,242	3,836	4,622	4,950	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,341	1,386	280	833	947	2,304	2,294	20 124 124 12	73	683	403	1,228
Peak Discharge, Estimated 5% Confidence Limi	\$00-Year	(cme)	25.45 2.6	54	166	218	27. 66.15. 10.12	404	303	75	874	1,370	218	124	1,826	3,124	3,766	4,031	A MARKED & RANK	1,090	1,127	220	672	763	1,865	1,859	44 45 14 Un	60	669	329	1,004
stimated 5	60-Year	(ems)	Participation of a la	48	145	I BB	2 244 Mar 24	366	206	95	854	1,202	190	100	1,601	2,739	3,302	3.635	A PARTY AND A PARTY	955	987	198	687	888	1,032	1.625	2 1 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	52	491	269	881
scharge, E	10-Year	(eme)	1.2.2.1.2.2.2.2	30	8	117	100.00	228	172	42	550	773	121	2	1.027	1.758	2,119	2.268	11/1 H	614	633	124	369	418	1,037	1.031	FY 21 . 61	34	319	187	123
Peak DI	2-Year	(sma)		12	37		and a summer	103	76	10	239	330	54	Ē	102	770	934	660	VLAN SALE	263	273	51	163	175	439	438	CA. M. W. W. W.	14	142	83	264
	500-Year	(ema)		52	Ē	.  °		207	200	72	660	410.1	208	110	1.762	2.998	3.613	3.869		1.048	1.083	210	661	740	1.801	1.703	100 100 100	57	634	315	080
k Discharge	100-Year 500-Year	(ama)		40	122		801	202	223	55	710	1.007	160	ā	1342	2.208	2.788	0.00		801	828	186	404	501	1.371	1.366	1	44	Ę	242	738
Imated Peak Discharg	50-Year	(cma)		36	104		138	280	195	40	628	981	130	8	112	002	0 4 2 0	102 0		700	723	145	430	400	104	10		38	360	212	646
HEC-2 Eath	10-Year	(eme)		60	6		3	176	132	32	101	502	69	2	787	1 345	1 821	1 777		470	AR	30	283	320	101	60,6		28	244	641	437
	2-Year	(ama)		ç	;		1		20	12	000	283	46	99	1.0				-	220	800	11	128	148	11			5	Ê	8	212
	Site ID			ATDS	PUCA PUCA	2002	Abus	10100	BUR	RADS	aci is	BEDG	BADA	8709	01200	20210	SIDIO		01000	20DG	atore	and a	G17DS	2 IBUS	01010		20810	MIDS	SUM	MSDS	MEDS

 Table 3.5.34 (sheet 2 of 2)
 Solution
 Estimated Peak Instantaneous Discharges and Confidence Limits at Hydrologic Output Sites

HFC-2 Estimated Peak Discharge	mated Peak Discharge	<ul> <li>Clacharge</li> <li>Ann. Vani Enn. Vali</li> </ul>	2004	<b>H</b>	Pank Die	Peak Discharge, Estimated 5% Confidence Limit 2.Vant 1 10.Vant 1 50.Vant 1 100.Vant 500.Va	50-Year	4 Confidence	ce Limit 600-Year	Peak Dis 2-Year	charge, Ea 10-Year	timated 95 60-Year	Peak Discharge, Estimated 95% Confidence Limit 2.Vear   10.Year   50.Year   100.Year 500.Yea	ce Limit 500-Year
_		(cma)	(ema)	(cms)	(cms)	(cms)	(cma)		(cms)	(cms)	(cma)	(cms)	(cms)	(cms)
E		Ē		227.2.2.2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	300 A 44	A 11-14-14	122 1 1 2 2 2	THE REAL PROPERTY.	~~~~~~	20125272	8-21-5 14-2	1 21 34 2 44	1695 S 244
65 97	6		110	144	37	86	132	150	184	26	51	65	89	22
268 389	96		446	585	145	337	631	807	748	101	202	262	278	294
321 490	ę	。	583	741	180	410	669	788	948	125	251	330	350	372
	Ē	154	177	233	66	133	210	241	200	30	80	104	<u>•</u>	=
	ñ	210	241	318	75	170	287	328	407	63	107	141	150	160
 i	Ē	714	822	1,084	261	611	974	1,118	1,387	182	366	400	512	645
 	٣	730	841	1,110	267	623	908	1,144	1,420	186	373	401	624	558
546 8	ē	815	032	1,216	316	713	1,112	1,268	1,558	220	427	548	580	:5
L	-	1.201	1,377	1,802	460	1,043	1,639	1,674	2,305	327	625	808	857	906
	-	1.251	1,435	1,001	490	1,084	1,707	1,052	2,407	341	649	842	893	945
	-	1,033	2,221	2,922	738	1,662	2,638	3,022	3,738	514	996	1,301	1,383	1,468
ļ	Ĵ	î.	112	N 81. 19. 20	VALUEY ALL	100-121312	2		الشكشيش	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.				
-		\$	69	22	-	42	67	2			52	E	6	
20	"	80	3	45	=	28	Ŧ	9	69	۵			21	
13		21	24	32		-	20	5	4	۵	-	*	2	9
52	ſ	78	06	110	29	88	108	122	151	ŝ	4	52	56	20
57 6		87	100	131	32	74	110	136	168	23	45	ŝ	62	8
•		107	122	181	38	ā	146	168	208	27	55	22	76	5
64	[	121	140	180	42	103	105	190	238	200	62	_ا ۳	87	с С
		38	169	213	47	116	188	218	273	33	2	83	8	107
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Ĩ	1-1-1-1-1-2-2		19112-1	· ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	1 1. 2. 1.	1 11111	A PARTELL	12-12-1-1-1-1				
112		166	189	246	8	146	227	257	316	46	8	-		124
159		238	273	357	ā	208	326	371	457	8	124	160	170	621
175		282	300	304	8	228	367	408	504	69	137	176	187	198
29		46	62	20	-	98	5		a	=	23	ຸ	32	35
138		211	243	321	74	180	280	331	411	52	108	142	121	<u>9</u>
332		505	580	764	183	433	689	780	077	128	260	340	361	384
329		500	676	757	182	430	682	782	989	127	267	336	368	380
2.2.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	イベルジス	111 X X X X X		PART C	10440-000	ALC: NOT STATE	No. 191 11 11 11	121222212	1.1			Y (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
320		472	638	609	187	418	644	732	8	2	250	318	335	351
347		512	584	760	202	453	600	795	972	Ŧ	212	345	364	382
172		259	296	364	101	226	363	403	401	2	135	174	184	193
457		684	783	1,023	268	597	933	1,065	1,309	187	358	400	487	514
	L	867	666	1,297	341	755	1,183	1,361	1,059	238	452	583	618	652

 Table 3.5.35 (sheet 1 of 2)

 Estimated Maximum Annual 24-Hour Volume and Confidence Limits at Hydrologic Output Sites

E	100	6		6	6		i,	20	12	~	8	g	~	-	lg	2	8	8	ĥ	26	95	0	ę	67	8	8	ĵ,	8	67	85	46
DCe Li:	1500-Y	(dam^3)	1 m 2 m	1,809	5,980	7,688		15,295	11.071	2,697	35,388	60,523	8,152	4,481	67,680	115,8	139,618	149,538		35,326	37,085	8,040	23,819	27,587	63,968	63,669		1,966	20,297	11,665	35.934
% Confide	50-Year   100-Year   500-Year	(dam^3)	N. Sand Martine Pres	1,743	5,603	7,169	2783 - S. 22158	14,585	10,572	2,671	33,733	48,148	7,765	4,272	64,450	110,314 115,873	132,964	142,393		33,663	35,234	7,595	22,410	25,958	60,507	60,383	2222	1,875	19,415	11,134	34,335
timated 95	50-Year	(dam^3)	S 2 5 3 4 4 4	1,615	5,249	6,729	3	13,830	10,028	2,437	31,967	45,608	7,340	4,052	61,033	104,437	125,909	134,852	SYAL 1 1211	31,761	33,309	7,133	21,129	24,426	57,062	56,995	10 W W	1,778	18,439	10,559	32,687
Volume, Estimated 95% Confidence Limit	10-Year	(dam^3)	12120110110	1,252	3,991	5,088	NS212177 1	10,892	7,920	1,919	25,159	35,860	5,748	3,196	47,897	81,919	98,841	105,817	1.1.1.2.2.3.1.1.1.1	24,884	28,057	5,478	16,198	18,311	44,133	44,055	1 22 21 23	1,405	14,629	8,343	26,800
24-Hour V	2-Year	(dam^3)		667	2,085	2,588		5,921	4,355	1,046	13,682	19,480	3,086	1,749	25,884	44,196	53,492	57,214		13,512	14,096	2,836	8,341	9,692	23,355	23,355	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	776	8,133	4,597	14,280
nce Limit	600-Year	(dam^3)	Var 2 16 26 2.	4,606	15,225	19,575	2 X 8 23 1818	38,942	28,188	6,887	90,100	128,636	20,753	11,408	172,319	295,021	355,476	380,729	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	89,942	94,420	20,470	60,644	70,239	162,868	162,612		5,006	61,679	29,700	91,491
Estimated 5% Confidence Limit	50-Year   100-Year   500-Year	(dam^3)	ALC: NO.	3,810	12,245	15,647	MULLIN SZINY	31,879	23, 107	5,619	73,730	105,237	18,950	9,338	140,868	241,113	290,619	311,228	TAXABLE AND	73,336	77,010	16,599	48,982	66,737	132,250	131,978	A 5357 . A. S.	4,098	42,438	24,338	76,045
atimated 5	60-Year	(dam^3)	ALC: NOT ALC: NOT	3,275	10,643	13,645	1 Augusta	28,045	20,330	4,041	64,823	92,484	14,884	8,217	123,762	211,778	164,904 265,317	273,412	ALAN SEAMS.	64,404	67,543	14,464	42,845	49,631	115,710	115,573	1.5225	3,608	37,391	21,412	66,080
	10-Year	(dam^3)	10120201000	2,089	6,668	6,488	1. 21. 14.	18,171	13,213	3,201	41,974	69,828	9,590	6,332	79,910	136,671	164,904	176,541	12151222.420123.52	41,515	43,473	9,139	27,024	30,649	73,630	73,600	AND A DAY OF A DAY	2,345	24,408	13,010	43,044
24-Hour Volume,	2-Year	(dam^3)	Allor Sector	958	2,994	3,712	1. 2. S.	8,500	8,252	1,502	19,641	27,935	4,430	2,511	37,158	83,447	76,790	82,134	SAU 1980-0163	19,398	20,236	4,071	11,974	13,770	33,527	33,627	2 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	114	11,678	6,599	20,499
	500-Year	(dam^3) (dam^3)	0000132722	3,600	11,900	15,300	10 C 20 13	30,438	22,032	5,387	70,424	100,544	16,223	8,917	134,687	230,593	213,596 277,848	297,584	N 11 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1	70,300	73,800	16,000	47,400	64,900	127,300	127,100	2 80 S 1 1	3,913	40,393	23,214	71,511
lour Volum	100-Year 500-Year	(Cvmsb)	2 27 25 28 2	2,800	000'6	11,600	S X X	23,430	16,983	4,130	64,189	77,346	12,458	6,863	103,534	177,211	213,596	228,743	111111111111111	53,900	68,600	12,200	38,000	41,700	97,200	000'26		3,012	31,189	17,886	55,158
nated 24-h	50-Year	(Cvmab)	N 1292 182 18	2,400	7,800	10,000	14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20,553	14,899	3,621	47,607	67,779	10,908	6,022	90,701	155,204	187,114	200,375	S. 17 10 10 10 10	47,200	49,600	10,600	31,400	36,300	84,800	84,700	1 - 25 2 C 3 - 1	2,643	27,403	15,892	48,428
HEC-2 Estimated 24-Hour Volume	10-Year	(dam^3)	114111111111111111111111111111111111111	1,600	5,100	6,500	a broken by and	13,019	10,121	2,452	32,152	45,828	7,348	4,084	61,210	104,669	126,315	135,229	N	31,600	33,300	2,000	20,700	23,400	56,400	66,300	37.7 2.8 2	1,706	18,695	10,662	32,971
T	2-Year	(dam^3)	STANCOM ST	8	2,500	3,100	1 18 V 18	7,099	5,221	1,264	16,403	23,330	3,700	2,097	31,032	62,987	64,131	68,594	NY X X X X X	16,200	16,900	3,400	10,000	11,500	28,000	28,000	4X2 54 2 14 1 12	<b>8</b> 30	9,751	6,611	17,120
	Site ID		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	A1DS	A3DS	A5DS	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BIDS	B2DS	B3DS	BSUS	B5DS	B6DS	B7DS	B13DS	B14US	BIGUS	B16DS	22.2 C	G9DS	G 10DS	G12DS	G17DS	G18DS	G19US	G19DS	Star & Stark & Star	MIDS	MADS	M5DS	Meds

 Table 3.5.35 (sheet 2 of 2)

 Estimated Maximum Annual 24-Hour Volume and Confidence Limits at Hydrologic Output Sites

		HEC-2 Estimated 24-Hour Volume	mated 24-1	tour Volum		24-Hour	24-Hour Volume, Estimated	elimated 5 <sup>4</sup>	5% Confidence Limit	nce Limit	24-Hour	24-Hour Volume, Estimated 95% Confidence Limit	timated 95	% Confide	nce Limit
Site ID	2-Year	10-Year	50-Year	100-Year 500-Year	500-Year	2-Year	10-Year	50-Year	50-Year 100-Year 500-Year	600-Year	2-Year	10-Year	50-Year	50-Year 100-Year 500-Yea	500-Year
	(dam∧3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(C^msb)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1.6 . 1. 1. 1. 1.	~ X 7 347.44	5	240-6-2500	12.32 1 2.22	1.0.0000	C. 261-264.5	Plokests	Service of Street	Aurol Sections	344 134 94 21 5	N 21 28 18	Sector 2 1 2	CONTRACTOR -	And the second
OIDS	2,593	5,049	7,442	6,480	11,010	3,105	6,591	10,155	11,538	14,086	2,163	3,951	5,008	5,279	5,533
OSUS	9,894	19,809	29,707	34,010	44,471	11,847	25,081	40,535	46,274	56,696	8,253	15,501	19,990	21,171	22,347
OSDS	12,237	25,020	36,025	43,683	57,420	14,653	32,664	51,885	59,435	73,463	10,207	19,578	25,587	27,193	28,854
O7DS	3,785	7,629	11,525	13,222	17,344	4.632	9,960	15,728	17,990	22,190	3,157	5,970	7,755	8,231	0,715
SUGO	5,132	10,498	15,990	16,381	24,187	6,145	13,705	21,818	25,009	30,945	4,281	8,216	10,760	11,442	12,154
O10US	17,780	36,523	55,685	64,033	84,300	21,290	47,681	75,982	87,123	107,853	14,830	28,579	37,470	39,861	42,361
O10DS	18,205	37,564	57,406	66,068	87,156	21,799	49,040	78,330	89,919	111,607	15, 185	29,394	38,626	41,140	43,796
OIEUS	21,869	42,603	83,094	72,030	93,664	28,186	55,618	86,092	98,004	119,834	18,241	33,337	42,456	44,839	47,086
016DS	32,367	64,222	96,099	109,985	143,602	38,744	83,842	131,127	149,646	183,724	26,989	60,254	64,665	68,466	72,160
017DS	33,736	67,270	101,071	115,828	115,828 151,526	40,395	87,821	137,911	157,593	157,593 193,862	28,130	52,639	68,011	72,102	76,142
O18DS	50,606	102,570	155,345	178,380	234,218	60,596	133,905	211,968	242,712	299,659	42,210	80,281	104,532	111,045	117,695
20 - 2 - 7 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	12 24 12 2	1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	12 2 2 2 1	1.5 1.5. 1		Direction of the N	N544 1043.455	S. NAMES OF STREET, ST.	1	1 1 1 1	19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 5 301	1	Sec. 1 Ares	×
PIDS	1,100	2,200	3,300	3,800	6,000	1,317	2,672	4,503	5,170	6,307	018	1,722	2,221	2,366	2,513
P2DS	000	1,200	1,800	2,000	2,700	718	1,567	2,458	2,721	3,454	500	006	1,211	1,245	1,357
P3DS	400	900	1,500	1,700	2,200	479	1,175	2,047	2,313	2,815	334	704	1,009	1,058	1,106
P4US	1,700	3,400	6,100	5,800	7,600	2,038	4,439	6,959	7,891	9,723	1,418	2,661	3,432	3,611	3,619
P4DS	1,900	3,800	5,700	6,600	8,600	2,276	4,961	7,778	8,980	11,003	1,585	2,974	3,836	4,109	4,322
PSUS	2,300	4,600	7,100	8,100	10,700	2,764	6,005	9,688	11,021	13,690	1,018	3,600	4,778	5,042	5,377
PSDS	2,500	5,400	8,300	9,500	12,700	2,004	7,050	11,325	12,926	16,248	2,085	4,226	5,585	5,914	6,382
P7DS	2,800	0,200	9,600	11,100	14,900	3,363	8,094	13,099	15,103	19,083	2,335	4,852	6,460	6,910	7,487
the second se	S. 1. 1. 1. 1.	4741544-55	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	225 12 14 0 221	1111111111	1225 6 222.34	3712 SZ 1882	15-55-2725	S. S. S. A. Sand.	128 8447	+ 1 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	A 12 444 + 1444	1 5(6)5 5 1	1.12.10	2000
SIDS	4,100	7,700	11,200	12,709	16,500	4,909	10,052	15,202	17,280	21,110	3,420	6,025	7,536	7,906	8,291
S2DS	5,900	11,400	17,000	19,400	25,300	7,065 1	14,883	23,107	26,396	32,369	4,921	8,921	11,439	12,077	12,713
SODS	8,400	12,700	19,000	21,700	28,400	7,863	16,580	26,926	29,525	36,335	5,338	9,938	12,785	13,508	14,271
SOPS	1,100	2,300	3,600	4,200	5,500	1,317	3,003	4,912	5,715	7,037	918	1,800	2,422	2,615	2,764
Seds	5,000	10,500	18,000	18,400	24,300	5,987	13,708	21,832	26,035	31,089	4,171	0,216	10,766	11,454	12,211
STUS	12,200	24,900	37,700	43,300	57,000	14,608	32,507	51,442	58,914	72,926	10,176	19,484	25,368	26,954	28,643
S7DS	12,100	24,800	37,600	43,200	56,000	14,489	32,376	61,305	58,778	72,798	10,093	19,406	25,301	26,892	28,592
22. 10 10 10 12	5 Y Y 2 4 4	1	1. 1. 1. 1.		221 12 23 34	1.	シンボアガンシン		2 C 582 7 1817	2.412.22	5	SLC10 3 .	1. C. X. C. X. C. X.		4 K
T2DS	12,270	23,238	33,975	38,642	60,038	14,692	30,337	46,359	52,578	64,016	10,234	18,184	22,862	24,055	25,143
T3DS	13,366	25,423	37,236	42,372	54,803	19,004	33,100	50,809	57,851	70,230	11,140	10,893	25,056	26,377	27,584
T7DS	7,031	14,501	21,028	24,983	32,477	8,419	18,931	29,784	33,092	41,651	5,865	11,347	14,688	15,552	16,320
TBUS	18,653	36,807	54,727	62,514	81,208	22,215	48,052	74,675	85,057	104,013	15,475	20,801	36,826	38,915	40,852
T10DS	23,608	47,044	70,146	80,176	104,403	28,268	61.416	95,714	109,087	133,673	19,691	36, 812	47,201	49,910	52,463

•

 Table 3.5.36 (sheet 1 of 2)

 Estimated Maximum 3-Day Volume and Confidence Limits at Hydrologic Output Sites

Ę	e l	(62	ľ	5	8	19		8	84	2	8	88	12	12	355	20	503	80	1	69	43	382	660	61	072	072	1		28		E E E
eat the	500-1	(dam	ŀ	3,367	12,563	11.005	ŀ	36,429	23,984	5,700	76,806	110,33	18,515	9,467	149,355	255,507	306,203	328,089		64,169	63,943	16,382	48,039	57,507	125,072	125,072	ŀ	+	-		76,531
4 Confider	100-Year 500-Yea	(dam^3) (dam^3)	0.100352.0	3,113	11,703	14.878	1 X X X X X	33,768	22,910	5,434	73,195	105,135	17,594	9,028	142,159	243,159	291,554	312,342	1.100	61,005	65,425	15,314	44,945	53,846	117,839	117,839		0,4.0	43,395	22,807	13,194
mated 95%	50-Year	(dam^3)	Contraction Sectors	2,893	10,901	14,131		31,985	21,706	5,143	69,283	99,473	16,625	8,553	134,450	229,909	275,759	295,400	2 N N N N N	57,868	61.772	14,400	42,056	50,400	110,692	110,692	200	0,00	41,193	21,614	124 89
3-Day Volume. Estimated 95% Confidence I imit	10-Year	(dam^3)		2,191	8,080	10,251	1 X X 14 14 14 14 14 14	25,065	17,073	4,027	54,234	77,803	12,932	6,711	104,905	179,318	215,349	230,603	322 8 5 2 2 2	44,837	47,811	10,799	31,535	37,560	84,275	03,728	00.0	70012	32,597	910,11	54,803
3-Day Vo	2-Year	(dam^3)		1,084	4,087	6,255	20. N. N. C. N. S.	13,432	9,285	2,159	29,048	41,540	A,818	3,620	55,797	95,137	114,721	122,701	221 878 82	23,855	25,440	6,605	1 <sup>6</sup> ,182	20,018	44,040	43,967	000	2001	1/ 961	9/2/8	440.00
te Limit	600-Year	(6vmab)	100.53 6003	8,672	31,985	28,019	ST. 148. 27	90,204	61,066	14,512	105,552	280,929	47,141	24,103	380,268	650,539	779,615	835,337	NAME OF COMPANY	183,379	175,634	41,708	122,311	146,619	318,443	318,443	100	201.8	115,402	101/20	1400'6A1
3-Day Volume, Estimated 5% Confidence Limit	100-Year 500-Year	(dam^3)	NAMES IN AN	6,803	25,579	32,518	Sec. 2. 8. 100	73,807	50,078	11,877		229,794	38,465	19,729	310,718	631,473	637,251	682,686	N. 12. 352 841	133,339	142,999	33,471	98,235	117,692	267,682	257,582	7 608	0001	94,849	48,850	I I DA'ACI
imated 5%	50-Year	(dam^3)	A STATE OF A	5,867	22,105	28,655	A MARK AND A LOCAL OF	64,859	44,015	10,429	140,492 159,983	201,711	33,713	17,343	272,037		659,182	599,009		116,938	125,281	29,200	85,281	102,201	224,480	224,460	000	102.00	100001	43,028	140'-041
olume, Eat	10-Year	(dam^3)	50.50 Story S 100	3,655	13,447	17,102	A8281174	41,818	28,485	6,718	90,483	129,805	21,675	11,190	175,021	299,170 466,207	359,281	384,731	100000000	74,805	79,768	18,018	52,612	62,664	140,602	139,689	1 270	200 13	005.40	÷	
3-Day V	2-Year	(dam^3)	States and sold	1,567	5,867	7,544	1. S. S. S. S. S. N. S.	19,283	13,329	3,100	41,699	59,833	9,788	5,197	80,100	136,575	164,689	176,145	12.20	34,246	38,521	7,903	23,230	28,738	63,223	63,103	1 008	707	40/107	43 130	201101
	500-Year	(dam^3)	5.8.0 EX 101	6,700	25,000	21,900	86 1000 DE	70,505	47,730	11,343	162, 847	219,579	36,846	18,839	297,224	508,472	600,360	652,913		127,700	137,200	32,600	96,600	114,600	248,900	248,900	7 185		002'0A	153 301	100'201
ay Volume	50-Year 100-Year 500-Year	(dam^3)	1.00.000.0010	5,000	18,800	23,900	1 N 2 8	54,248	36,804	8,729	117,583	168,892	28,263	14,500	228,368	390,617	468,360	501,754	S	98,000	105,100	24,600	72,200	06,500	169,300	189,300	E 540	112 00			-
Imated 3-D	50-Year	(dam^3)	20202/2. 2011	4,300	16,200	21,000	127. South	47,533	32,257	7,643	102,962	147,828	24,707	12,710	199,807	341,669 390,617	409,807	438,995		85,700	91,800	21,400	62,500	74,900	164,500	164,500	UVB V	11010	11710	102 478	22.00
HEC-2 Estimated 3-Day Volume	10-Year	(dam^3)	A 24 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2,800	10,300	13,100	10.5.47.27	32,032	21,819	5,148	60,309	99,429	16,526	8,576	134,064	229,181	275,208	294,700	22.3.12.2.1 V V V	67,300	61,100	13,800	40,300	48,000	107,700	107,000	3 574	11 020	800 1 4	70.028	00000
	2-Year	(dam^3)	20. S. M S. L	1,300	4,900	6,300	1115 AL	16,104	11,132	2,589	34,825	49,802	8,174	4,340	66,895	114,060	137,539	147,106	24 1. 1 1. No. 2	28,600	30,500	6,600	19,400	24,000	52,800	52,700	1 887	100	000117	28 000	20,000
	Site ID		1. 25. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	AIDS	A3DS	A5DS	1. 1. 2 Ch. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	BIDS	B2DS	B3DS	BSUS	BSDS	B6DS	B7DS	B13DS	B14US	B16US	B16DS	2311 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1	G9DS	G 10DS	G12DS	G17DS	G18DS	G19US	GIBDS	MIDG	3	MHC9	SUCM	Sola

# Table 3.5.36 (sheet 2 of 2) Estimated Maximum 3-Day Volume and Confidence Limits at Hydrologic Output Sites

.

. . . .

		HEC-2 Est	HEC-2 Estimated 3-Day Volume	ay Volume		3-Day V	olume, Es	Volume, Estimated 5% Confidence Limit	Confident	ce Limit	3-Day V	Volume, Esti	Estimated 95%	% Confidence	ce Limit
Site ID	2-Year	10-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year	2-Year	10-Year	50-Year	100-Year	100-Year 500-Year	2-Year		50-Year		
_	(dam^3)	(Cvmeb)	(Gam^3)	(Evmeb)	(dam^3)	(C^mab)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)	(dam^3)
OIDS	5.616	11.077	18.308	18.705	24.279	8.725	14.461	22.376	25.450	31.063	4.684	A AAA	11 034	11 644	10 000
OSUS	20,914	42.390	64,307	73,828	96,782	25.042	55.340	87,747	100,450	123.797	17,444	33,170	43.272	45.958	48.623
OSDS	26,280	54,082	83,583	96,379	127,249	31,468	70,604	114,022	131,133	162,602	21,920	42,319	56,230	59,996	63.943
07DS	7,793	15,971	24,533	28,254	37,236	9,331	20,850	33,475	38,442	47,640	6,500	12,497	16,508	17,588	18,711
SUGO	10,946	22,855	35,152	40,592	53,710	13,107	29,578	47,965	55,229	88,717	9,130	17,728	23,654	25,269	26,969
O10US	38,340	79,363	123,148	142,220	108,181	45,908	103,608	168,035	193,505	240,759	31,079	62,102	82,866	88,532	94,561
O10DS	39,629	82,501	128,337	148,447	198,918	47,452	107,705	175,116	201,977	251,934	33,055	64,557	66,358	92,408	98,950
OISUS	48,234	95,225	141,884	162,030	211,084	57,755	124,318	193,601	220,458	270,061	40,232	74,514	96,474	100,864	108,070
016DS	74,372	148,996	224,919	257,988	337,589	89,053	194,514	308,902	351,018	431,911	62,034	116,589	151,348	160,598	169,638
017DS	78,268	157,592	239,134	274,762	380,440	93,718	205,736	326,298	373,841	481,147	65,282	123,316	160,913	171,039	181,121
018DS	115,455	238,108	382,103	417, 183	549,828	138,246	308,239	494,090	587,592	703,450	96,301	134,755	243,659	259,684	276,289
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 X 4 2 2 2 X 2	Service Provides	200 2 1 2 10 2	The subscription of the	104 1.1.4.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 11 C 19 64 8	PARTY AND ADDRESS	12.00° × 12.27	XXX X	44.0 XE 84.44		A NAME OF A DESCRIPTION OF	3 10 Y 10	A 18 28
PIOS	1,900	4,000	6,000	6,900	9,100	2,275	6,222	8,187	9,386	11,643	1,585	3,130	4,037	4,295	4,673
P2DS	800	1,700	2,700	3,100	4,100	958	2,210	3,664	4,218	5,248	667	1,330	1,817	1,930	2,060
P3DS	800	1,700	2,700	3,100	4,200	958	2,219	3,684	4,218	5,373	667	1,330	1,817	1,930	2,111
P4US	2,800	6,700	B,700	10,000	13,100	3,363	7,441	11,071	13,606	16,760	2,335	4,460	5,854	6,225	6,583
P4DS	3,100	6,300	9,800	11,300	14,900	3,712	8,225	13,372	15,375	19,063	2,588	4,930	6,594	7,034	7,487
P5US	3,600	7,900	12,300	14,200	18,900	4,550	10,313	16,783	19,321	24,181	3,170	6,182	8,277	0,840	9,497
PSDS	4,300	9,300	14,800	17,200	23,100	5,140	12,141	20,195	23,402	29,554	3,587	7,277	9,959	10,707	11,608
P70S	5,000	11,100	17,800	20,800	28,300	5,987	14,401	24,288	28,300	36,207	4.171	8,686	11.978	12,948	14.221
104 102 State 12	N. 2	1 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	Sec. 3.8.4.4	22.28 21 2.28		1.5.5	1012121-0122	21232 23644	1.15.2.2.2	1 N 14 MIN 17	22.46.22.2499		1.1.2	121 CA 145	1
SIDS	7,400	13,900	20,200	23,000	29,600	9,881	18,146	27,563	31,294	37,870	6,172	10,877	3,593	14,318	14,874
S2DS	11,300	22,100	33,200	38,000	40,600	13,631	28,852	45,301	51,703	63,458	9,425	17,293	22,340	23,655	24,924
SODS	12,500	24,800	37,500	43,100	58,500	14,968	32,376	61,169	68,642	72,286	10,426	19,406	25,234	26,830	28,391
SADS	2,500	5,200	8,400	0,000	13,100	2,994	6,789	11,462	13,334	16,760	2,085	4,069	5,652	6,101	6,583
SCDS	10,200	21,400	33,400	38,600	51,200	12,213	27,938	45,574	52,519	65,505	8,508	16,746	22,475	24,029	25,728
SNS	24,600	50,500	78,000	000'06	110,000	29,458	85,928	106,431	122,454	152,249	20,519	39,516	52,486	56,025	59,798
S7DS	24,600	50,400	77,900	000'05	118,900	29,456	65,797	108,295	122,464	152,121	20,519	39,438	52,419	56,025	59,747
1205	24.118	45.748	66,888	78.051	98 171	28.870	59.721	01.260	103.475	125 R00	20 117	16 70G	45,000	47 349	10 221
Tabs	28.442	50.477	73.976	84,169	108.760	31.662	66, 898		114.520	139.148	22.055	39.498	49.778	52.395	54 852
1705	18,060	37,557	56, 337	64,908	84,614	21,625	49,031	77,281	88,314	108,255	15,064	29,388	38,111	40.405	42.519
TBUS	42,136	84,159	125,318	143,202	166,067	50,454	109,670	170,996	194,841	138,054	35,148	65,854	84,326	89,143	93,499
TIODS	54,320	100,255	163,455	106,984	243,521	85,052	142,832	223,034	254,410	311,561	45,315	85,492	109,989	116,398	122,369

 Table 3.5.37 (sheet 1 of 2)

 Flow Duration Curve, 5 Percent Confidence Limit Data at Hydrologic Sites (cms)

					Perc	Percent of	f Time	Time Exceeded	ded				
Site Number				:									
	100	50	26.0	20	5. <b>10</b> %	ŝ	1.1.2 m	-	0,50	0.20	0,10	0.05	0,02
AIDS	1.330.55	0.2	145 0,5 m	0.6	1.1111	2.0	1.1.3.5%	5.1	117	11.1	.:15.8	20.1	24.7
A3DS	· · · 0 · · ·	0'B	<b>1.9</b>	2.5	1.4:15	6.0	ai 1032 🔍	14.9	21.1	34.9	49.3	63.8	78.9
ASDS	رد ۲۰ ۵۰ م	0. -	2,4	3.1	5:1	7.5	1.12.7	18.8	28.2	43.6	. 62,2	81.1	100.5
BIDS	0	2.8	27:04	9.5	14.1	19.9	32.3	45,6	62.1	94.5	129.5	169.1	218.1
B2DS		1.8	.4.6.	5.6	9.2	13.4	22.2	32.1	44.7	71.8	99.4	127.4	158,5
B3DS	0°~0	0.4	53101284	1.3	. 2.2 <b>.</b>	3.2	5.4	7.7	10.7	17.3	24.0	30.8	37.9
B5US	(\$2,0 °C) (\$2,5 °C)	5.9	14,83%	18.3	P30.4	43.5	× 7118 -	102.6	S 141,B	218.3	299,2	390.7	499.1
B5DS	× 0 ×	8,6	21:4	26.4	43,8	62.6	102.7	146.7	201,B	310.7	426.2	556.7	711.4
Beds		1.4	`3,5 ¢∶	4.3	7.1	10.1	16.5	23.5	32.1	49.4	68.1	89.1	114.0
B7DS	2.2 O 2 C	0.7	21175 J	2.1	3:6	5.2	. 8.7	12.7	17,8	28.9	. 40.1	51.4	63,2
B13DS	\$2, <b>0</b> , 31	11.6	1×29,0	35.6	1.69.1	84.2	137.7	196.2	269:2	413.8	568.5	743.2	960.2
B14US		20.4	51.0	62.2	c10324	146.6	238.6	338.2	461.8	706.9	971.8	1271.0	1825.2
B16US	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24.5	81;3	74.8	. 12433	178.5	287.6	408.2	558.3	854.8	1173.7	1534.0	1980,9
B16DS	Sci 0.23	25.9	× 64,6	79.1	131.5	187.1	305;8	434.7	595,8	914.5	1256.1	1642.1	2099.3
306D		4.3	10,8 01	13.9	26.2	43.7	76,0	108.8	147.2	223.5	911.1	399.6	481.7
GIODS	~ ∞0% ~	4.9	1 32/3/N	18.0	26.3	39.3	67.1	99.5	141.8	233.5	325,3	418.3	514.9
G12DS	\$10. 		~238.ex	3.5	5.8	8.5	11422	20.6	28:9.:	47.6	67.4	87.5	108.2
G17DS	¥, 0	3.3	8;2``	10.4	17,1	25.0	- 41,8	80.8	85:1	140.2	199.0	258.7	
G18DS	<u>مرت</u>	4.1	10.4	12.8	21.2	30.5	30:1	71.9	99.2	160.4	226,2	293.0	361.8
GIBUS	0	9,1	22,8	28.7	47.4	69.4	1,16,3	169.6	237.9	369.3	547.1	706.8	872,1
G19DS	。 )	9.1	22,6	28.7	47.4	69.4	116.3	169.6	237,9	389.1	546.4	705.7	870,6
1100		4		00				,					
		2	1			+.,		0	8' <del>4</del>	12.8	1/:/	22.6	27,8
MADS	0	3.2	8,1	10.2	16,8	24.5	40.8	59.4	83:1	133.7	184.3	235.5	269.1
MSDS	0	1.7	4.3	5.5	9.1	13.4	22.6	33.1	46.8	75.7	104.8	134.2	164.9
M6DS	- 0 /	64	45,9 :	19.5	.32.4	46.2	75.7	108.0	148.4	226.7	308.6	401.3	511,8

•

# Table 3.5.37 (sheet 2 of 2) Flow Duration Curve, 5 Percent Confidence Limit Data at Hydrologic Sites (cms)

					Perc	sent of	Percent of Time Exceeded	Excee	ded				
Slte Number	1000	50	. * 25 * . ** 2	20	221083	S	15 S. S.	-	20,50 ×	0.20	5 0,10 ÷	0.05	• • 0.02
OIDS	2,40,455	6.0	1 4 2.3 E.C	2.B	3824372	6.8	1,2 2	16.1	22.3	35.7	49.5	63 5	78.1
05US	5 15 OX-07	4.8	211:9%	14.5	×2431 v	34.1	G. 6574 3	78.4	10618 J	165.0	229,8	302.7	3388.4 N
05DS	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4,8	11:011:2	14.5	1 12421.13	34.1	55534 B	78.4	106,8-	165.0	229,8 1	302.7	388.4
07DS	VY ( 0,2+X2	15	- % 42 Br #1	4.5	20715645	10.6	\$417. B. 45	24.3	*,33,1.*	50.8	70.4	92.4	<b>118.4</b>
SU60	12.06.24	2.1	235,3, '.	6.4	**10:8<%	14.9	23:94C	33.5	45.2	69.2	°\$98,4 ≥	t	163.0
010US	20- 0 345	7.2	.ct1821%7	21.8	:********	50.9	12,2,38公、2,31	115.4	158,3	240,0	.334.8	441.7	567,0
OloDS	140.0142	7.7	V.19,3rV	23.0	≪'38.4~€	53.6	× 85,8.×		*161.1~	246.1	344.0	454.1	583.1
OISUS	34.0 3434	9.6	S. 2214 +	26.9	W44.9.1	63.0	110114 S		192.5	290.5	397.3	518.0	661.4
OI6DS	んざ 0 4 目で	1	37,8	44.3	×7422	101.9	×160.6%	220.4	1291.4	432,3	595,3	779.3	997,0-
017DS	- x(x(0))/(y)-	L	2840.954	47.7	\$\$ <b>6'62</b>	109.1	361021 w	233.0	306101	451.3	622,6	815.9	1044.3
OIBDS	2.0 4		14 CO. 14 E	70.3	5. 11756 3	161.1	<253338°	346.7	457;1 31	680.4	944.5	1242 1	1592.3
								<u> </u>	, .	4 5 2	1 10	37.6	10 16
PIOS	, , ,	6.0	2:0.7	8.0 			10.02 · ·	2	0,0	0.01		51.0	
P2OS	, ,	-	1 2 0 3 0	4.0	2 8'0 × 1		0.2	, , , ,	5.6	2			
SOS	1 × 0 č.)	•·	1.0,33	•	1. 20 PT		2 40 3 1 8 15 mg	2.5	3.4.5	0.	5 B	2.11	10.0
P4US	1. krx 0 mer	0.4	3"11.0%	5.1	U'*214 22		*S77573	10,8	15,0)	23.6	33.1 %	42.6	52,6
P4DS	Sec. 0	0,4	×824:1 #1.	1.5	21218.	4.7	N. 8.3 V	12.1	16.7	26.4	36,9	477	58,8
bsus	13.40 1	0,6	44.1.5.k	1.9	61, 3, 4	6.0	~10,0.°	15.1	20,8	319	44.7	57.7	71.1
PSDS	1.2 O. 1963+	0.7	14. 81 2362	2.4	🖓 3,9 🕜	5.8	\\$ <b>8.8</b> ℃	14.7	20.9	35,5	51,2	67.2	. 83.5
P70S	\$<0>	0,8	. 12.12	2.7	J 4.4 .	8,8	A. 112 2	18.6	23,5%	40.1	58.4	77.0	95,9
				ĺ			202					0.50	
SIDS	ó Ň	0.	2.5 %	3,3	50 B B	10.4	× 1910 ×	0 07	100.00	A.00	- (0, -	2 18	1.81
S2DS	- - - - - -	8	- 4.5 m	5.7		40	8.62	35.1	441		17211	140.0	10/1
SOS	0.1	2	A.9.%	2 2 2	10.4		1,02.	5'B5	0,00	0 00	123.0	4.901	1.0P
SADS	< 0 × ×	0.4		6.1	2.2	3.1	0.0		9.2	14.8	0.12	51.8	
SOS	1. O 1.	1.7	1. 4.2 ×	5.3	8.7	12.7	21,1	30.6	42.7	70 4	100.5	1310	162.4
SUIS	) 0	4.1	Mc10.2 V	12.9	21,3	30.9	1 5 1 5 E	74.7	104.2	170.3	- 240,5	3116	385,0
S7DS	۳ ۵	4.1	310.2	12,8	21.2	30.8	× 51.3	74.2	-103,4	169.1	239 2	310.2	383,5
0001	ļ	2 6	8 8	115	18.9	28.3	48.4	10	102.7	167 6	230.1	293.1	359.3
0044		0	00	12.8	1 10	31.4	53.4	79.0	112.2	182.8	251.3	320 5	393 1
7005	ļ	3.8	9,4	11.0	18.4	25.2	39.6	54.1	71.3	103.0	137.8	177.4	225,1
TBUS	0	2.6	19.0~	22.9	. 39.1	53.5	ed. 86.1	120.8	163.3	247.8	341.2	4467	5714
TIODS	* 2/20 0 - 19.	10.3	X125,8 ~	30.6	51,1	71.0	*113:1	157.0	.210,0	315.8	435.5	570.7	730.3

l

ļ

**TABLE 3.5.38 (sheet 1 of 2)** Flow Duration Curve, 95 Percent Confidence Limit Data at Hydrologic Sites (cms)

					Pei	rcent o	Percent of Time Exceeded	Excee	ded				Γ
		50	27.20 6.20.7	00	No. of the second								
	1963-97 R.				New West	۵	N	₹-	0.60	0.20		0.05	<1.0(02)X
AIDS	20101	0.1	3.2. E(023.4	0.4	2340/0822		111011 Star	-	1.750 B. 1.0 1.0	ļ		- 2	40.138.239
A3DS	\$13.20 C.1696	0.5	5740X1-X18	1.7	24201023V					e.)		13.2	(S) 810 X
ASDS	8X801XX	0.7	JE 24 17 & US	0.0	1. A. B. B. S. M.		201	8.01	214 C	24.8	24333(3) 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	42.0	17 6 1 x 12 13
						20	144 × 145 × 1	13.5	1.3:19:15%	31.0	\$\$ <b>42</b> ,100	53.3	* CRS. DO
BIDS	1212005345N	1.9	3.82 19 4 M	8.0	0.20 0 C 24	111	New Work	0.00	13 33 24 14 14				4122
B2DS	32.270 × 2.24	5.5	Sec. 154.08		Constants of		1788 C	0.55	\	87.1	<b>3.87,6</b> 1	111.1	14011×
B3DS	838.0 . A.C	6	1.25 B (D) 2 C (C)		1000		10,81	23.2	2000 A	48.2		80.9	21011824
BSUS	1200-020		2214 014 V		10.01	20		2.9	11.012.04	11.8	118.4 C	19.6	212417.00
BEDS		29	NAN ANALAS	1.2		30.8	· 20) . 38%	74.2	(%) 03(3	155.0	×202.4	256.7	323(62)
B6DS	VUT O NAK						13968	108.1	244739	220.6	298,2	365.8	31818 <b>1</b> %
R7DR	Also Carly	2		T	NA DIA VI	Т	1119C		2315 N	35.1	. 6,46;0 :	58.6	20.07.01
<b>B13D9</b>			7001 K 64	T	107 D/2 (1)	Т	Sex 612 %	9.2	1011310181	20.5	S 1223	33.6	51 A 10 10
01110	100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00			7	12841588	7	<. 9817 c>	141.9	198;5		SIA A	488.3	X 8 1 8 - 0
BIRIC			6/30/4 (h)	Т	21.22(51)	Т	S170383	244.4	133710 1	501.8	\$ 1.1 S	835.0	~1 ncs. 4~
Т	CONDUCTION OF		1001201	Т	13.272.21	Т	<:205;8 ~	295.1	640763	606.8	7.697	1007.9	1971.0
T		2.1		1.00	05/26	132.7	321820S	314.3	\$43418 ×	649.2	849.5	1078.0	M 240.7
3903	No. 2011.43	3.0	1148715 Stor	2.0	171A.13	0 00						21212	10001
G10DS	4843 0 27835	3.4	N. 918 83	Т	S 16774	Ť	0140	2.0	6 B B D C S	158.9	210.7	262.7	1313c15
G12DS	X68 0 808	8.0	19/011312	T	ANY ALT'S	T			1 916 1 1	166.0	220,3	275.0	133338
G17DS	10230	2.3	1.12617AL2	Г	XX40108+	Ť		9.4	21/1	33.8	46,6	57.5	. 70,2
GIBDS	422042X	2.9	202 23 7 294	Γ	X314.0X5	Г				99.5	134.6	7	207.6
G19US	1866 D 1856.	6.3	210 BV		11 10 12 12 12	Т		07.00	12755	109.8	145.4	186.0	235:5
GIBDS	ation 0 at 1	6.3	26.4 827.35	f	5732:52 S.C	Ť	100	8,22	+	276.6	370,3	464.6	56533 C
ÎŤ				Π	-	2.0	10000	122,8	170,8	276.4	369.0	463.8	564:3
	13450 1314	0.2	\$13014 C35	0.5	148501 V.CO		3123112 S		1000	T			
	23400 < 83	2.2	24.45.0% F	7.2	193 <b>8</b> 314943	Γ	000			Ť	12.0	14.9	38.0
MSDS	ASX 0 202	1.2	62,018 X2	Г	2.23.674 VAN	Т	2.2.4.2.5 ×		100		118,6	149.6	188:1
SCIEN	1.1287 O.7555	4.4	2411000	Γ	100.76	t			- 1 - 1	53.9	71.0	88.2	106.9
Į				1		T	2041W	/ 8.1	1 E'801	161.0	208,8	263.8	331.8

# TABLE 3.5.38 (sheet 2 of 2) Flow Duration Curve, 95 Percent Confidence Limit Date of Mundational of

	0.05		40.3 2250287	157.8 37109.3x	198.8 13251,8.3		83.4 ~105.64	-	1	Т	512.0 10848.24	-	WZENT O'C	18.1 \$22.1	9.9 12.0		-		ź	-	50.5 2 02.15	64.0 77.5.	1	104.8 127.3	18.2 23.1	86.1 105.2	204.8 249.6	203.9 248.6	100 0 000 0	Ļ	Ļ	-	ļ
	0.10 × 1	_						<u></u>	4	Ţ	2 100 0m E	1	11				4		2-1	-	39.4 2 50	S1.9.1 64	Ļ				-	181,8 20:	158.0 10	╞	Ļ		1
	0.20			-	1	-1	40.1	Ŧ	4		-	+	ti	Ì	1	ī		Ť	22.7 128	T	28.4	39.6					-	120.1	19.3	-	67.4		
ded	MO1501W	STATE AND	SEI0122	11402153M	1210;2/238	752412 FG	MADEDINT	FILL 1 1.0 M		10101010	0222180	W01266 IL	1.	WHITE PARA	10000	1 KIO **	10.025	31212 Link.	公01011	10101	- «n/n Ita	1. 281514	4014	1,2816 KK	15-012		76114	<u>375,5  </u>	5 82.3 ×	90.4	47.3		
Percent of Time Exceeded	-	ł	$\bot$		1		T			158.9	1		Ц			0		ľ	1	1	П		. 1	1		22.2		1.50	61.0	67.4	36.3	6/3	-
of Time	FAI2142	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACT	NTE DIST.	10001012			100 100 100	12. 61 VA VAN	10.721614	X13.4565	1212110%		A STATE AND A STAT	A PAGE DI VILLE	NU ALLAND	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	X 67 81 9 KM	14.716.81	A No. of Street	MCHIDIAN.		-#13119n	-21.4 0	10101729	CAP DID CUS	10125	11000	10000	201 CE 134	×547:9	3\26 9%	-10118	******
ercent	-	ł				Г					77.1	113.9	6				5.5			J.		6.7	5.21						24.6	27.4	211	6'/E	20
ã	<b>EVE1.076</b>	NAME OF COMMENT	101010	101010	San Road Sec.	10×127	17 19 6 T 4	Cr201916	223165%	PN625056	1101992%	151,621,4121	2211142	100010424	3 X 0 : 5 2.4	HART MILL	HOLD I LYNN	AND PARTY IS	1278 210 236	DENTIONN		AVAILABLE ST	No. of Street,	La COAN	NC BY LYRC		574 A 60 M		2241412-W	10:013	Pril 21 Card	212 01/101	LADIONAY
	20	00		ľ	L	L	Ľ		18,9		Í	6.9	0.0		0.3	0.0	0.1	1	1			2.2		ſ	Г		0		•	T	Ť	3 4 6	
	6.9263	BASK B & STRAL	SCARA 25	272813140	53 9 GAX	20/01/2012	32421619	AN131450	[rt: ] 515 7 7	<680/24-1	K120147	2241-605	1010101	-3"ZIO10	ALFO  28K	54101754ch	NUB103031	1x3110VAL	VIJOT I STAT	APA1639	1.	Man Para	12.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	4.0.0 S.	MI 0123/6	65-711 C.3	2227 Mart		10.11 L 1.1	AUTON STATE	1.010 MAY	NA171022	1
	20		2.5								1	101	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	4'0	0.5	0.6				0.3	1.2	2.8	2.8	TΓ	Τ			T	
	NN 90 KN	(***06××*	INSECTION NEW	SAL GHOSE	NEWOWAR	ATAND MALA	NUCO (1923)	12830 (Ue-	10120211	WILMIOUSAN	2.10 TURN	N.W. State	52:00%/20	1 200 200	ANNI IO EASA	12420718X	3420424	No 10 X-111	MALONES-	473 10 D'ST	1042		120	× 210 m	111 2 0 4 Main	1002 07:4	1. 10 mm			10.1°		140241	19.2.2.
Site Number		Salo	CSUS	OSDS	0109	0003	010US	01003	CIEUS	50910		21000	P109	P203	802	Paus	<b>P</b> (DS	PSUS	PSOS	2024	SIDA	\$205	SO53	SUS	Seds	Γ	S7D9	90.04		BUC	Lot IS		ł

### Table 3.6.1 Estimated Depths of Clearwater flows

Moded         2- mough Scoyest botts         Fabourg the mi and make to the statuled arrays degination of models is shown one depth is shown         Nomal degination is modeled for reactes for which only one depth is shown           (mer. km)         2-year         16-year         50-year         100-year         500-year           Abacan         10         0.1         0.7         0.8         0.9         1.1           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         16.7-17.6         0.30.6 (0.5)         0.5-0.8 (0.7)         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.6-1.1 (0.9)         0.7-1.3 (1.0)         0.7-1.4 (1.0)         0.8-1.2 (1.1)           Abacan         12.5-4.26.4         0.4-0.6 (0.2)         0.6-0.8 (0.7)         0.7-1.0 (0.2)         0.8-1.2 (1.1)         0.8-1.2 (1.1)           Samba and Hamma Bar         8.0         1.2         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Samba and Hamma Bar         8.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8	Biver	Reach of River	Manutarian and a	warmen dealers /	mater echnoled	to occur we had the	reach for the						
with the search (n pa enthese)         Normal depth is indicated for reactes for when only one depth is shown           (mer. km)         2 year         10-year         50-year         100-year         503-year           Abacan         10         0 i         0 7         0 8         0.9         1 1           Abacan         8.0         0 4         0 7         0 8         0.9         1 1           Abacan         8.0         0 4         0 7         0 9         0 6         1 1           Abacan         8.0         0 4         0 7         0 9         0 6         1 1           Abacan         8.0         0 4         0 7         0 9         0 6         1 1           Demistr. of Expressnay BL         16 7-17.6         0 3-0 6 (0.5)         0 5-0 8 (0.7)         0 6-1 0 (0.6)         0 7 -1.3 (0.9)         0 8-1.2 (1.1)           Saroba and Marinta Bow         14-19         0.4         0 7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba and Marinta Bow         14-19         0.3         0 4         2 5         0.6         0.7           Saroba Bow only         1.2         1.7         2 1         2 3         2.7         1.1         1.7         2 2.3         2.7	1												
Inter deph is shown         ID-year         50-year         100-year         500-year           Abacan         10         0 :         07         08         0.9         11           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.8         0.9         1           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         8.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1         1.0           Abacan         16.7-17.6         0.30.6 (0.5)         0.5-0.8 (0.7)         0.6-10 (0.8)         0.6-1.1 (0.9)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)           Abacan         25.4-26.4         0.4-0.6 (0.5)         0.5-0.8 (0.7)         0.7-1.0 (0.2)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)           Sacoba and Marnia Bow         14-19         0.3         0.4         5.5         0.6         0.7           Sacoba Sam of Engine         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         3.9           Abacan         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           Abarisan of Engine <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th colspan="9"></th>													
(row tm)         2-year         10-year         50-year         100-year         500-year           Abacan         10         0 :         07         0 8         0.9         1 1           Abacan         8.0         0 4         07         0 9         0.8         1 1           Abacan         8.0         0 4         07         0 9         0.6-10 (79.8)         6.6-11 (09)         27-13 (10)           Domstr. of Expressive Br.         16 7-17.6         0.30 6 (0.5)         0.5-08 (0.7)         0.6-10 (79.8)         6.6-11 (09)         27-13 (10)           Deter. Expr. and Francishy Br.         16 7-17.6         0.30 6 (0.5)         0.6-08 (0.7)         0.7-13 (0.9)         0.7-14 (10)         0.8-15 (11)           Sacoba and Mamma Bow         14-19         0.4         07         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba and Mamma Bow         14-19         0.4         07         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.4         07         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba Bow only         14-11         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           Mama         0.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1	1	1			nome ceptites								
Abscan         10         0 :         07         08         0.9         11           Abscan         8.0         34         07         09         38         11           Abscan         00mstr. of Expressively Br.         167-17.6         0.306 (0.5)         0.5-08 (0.7)         0.6-10 (98)         0.6-11 (09)         07-13 (10)           Dets. Expr. and Freedship Br.         25.4-26.4         0.4-06 (0.5)         0.6-08 (07)         0.7-10 (0.9)         0.8-11 (0.9)         0.9-12 (1.1)           Barchan         Sacoba and Marma Bow         14-19         0.4         07         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba and Marma Bow         14-19         0.3         0.4         25         0.6-6         0.7           Sacoba and Marma Bow         10.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         25         0.6         0.7           Margat         downstream of and Marma Bow         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           upstream of bridge         0-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream of bridge         0-3         0.4126 (1.7)	1			1	1	1	1						
Abacan         8.0         9.4         0.7         0.8         0.3         1.1           Abacan         8.0         9.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         Domms. of Expressively Br.         16.7-17.6         0.3-06 (0.5)         0.5-08 (0.7)         0.6-1 (-0.8)         0.6-1.1 (0.9)         0.7-1.3 (1.0)           Bate. Expr. and Friendship Br.         25.4-26.4         0.4-06 (0.5)         0.6-08 (0.7)         0.7-1.0 (0.9)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.3 (1.0)           Samban         Samba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         0.7           Samba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         0.7           Samba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.7         2.3         2.7           Samba Bow only         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           Upsteam of bridge         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           Upsteam of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         1.2         2.3         2.7		(nver km)	2-yez:	10-yezr	50-year	100-year	500-yaar						
Abacan         8.0         9.4         0.7         0.8         0.3         1.1           Abacan         8.0         9.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         1.1           Abacan         Domms. of Expressively Br.         16.7-17.6         0.3-06 (0.5)         0.5-08 (0.7)         0.6-1 (-0.8)         0.6-1.1 (0.9)         0.7-1.3 (1.0)           Bate. Expr. and Friendship Br.         25.4-26.4         0.4-06 (0.5)         0.6-08 (0.7)         0.7-1.0 (0.9)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.3 (1.0)           Samban         Samba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         0.7           Samba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.6         0.7           Samba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.7         2.3         2.7           Samba Bow only         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           Upsteam of bridge         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           Upsteam of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         1.2         2.3         2.7				1									
Abacan Domistrio I Expressing Br. Betr. Expr. and Friendship Br. Ustimum of Friendship Br. Staceba and Marinta flow         16 7-17.6 17.6-25.4 25.4-26.4         0.3-0.6 (0.5) 0.3-0.9 (0.5) 0.5-0.8 (07)         0.5-10 (7.9) 0.7-13 (0.9)         0.6-11 (0.9) 0.7-14 (10)         0.7-13 (10) 0.8-15 (11)           Batr. Expr. and Friendship Br. Ustimum of Friendship Br. Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4-19         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4+19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4+19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4+19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7           Marin downstream of bridge         0-3         1.1         1.7         1.2         2.4         2.3         2.7           Marin downstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         0.6-2.9         1.6         1.2         0.6-2.9         1.6         1.2         0.6-2.9         1.6	Abacan	10	0:	07	0.8	0.9	11						
Abacan Domistrio I Expressing Br. Betr. Expr. and Friendship Br. Ustimum of Friendship Br. Staceba and Marinta flow         16 7-17.6 17.6-25.4 25.4-26.4         0.3-0.6 (0.5) 0.3-0.9 (0.5) 0.5-0.8 (07)         0.5-10 (7.9) 0.7-13 (0.9)         0.6-11 (0.9) 0.7-14 (10)         0.7-13 (10) 0.8-15 (11)           Batr. Expr. and Friendship Br. Ustimum of Friendship Br. Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4-19         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4+19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4+19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.4+19         0.3         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Staceba and Marinta flow         16-19 1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7           Marin downstream of bridge         0-3         1.1         1.7         1.2         2.4         2.3         2.7           Marin downstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         0.6-2.9         1.6         1.2         0.6-2.9         1.6         1.2         0.6-2.9         1.6				I	ļ	<u>.</u>	1						
Domistr. of Expressing Br. Bate. Expr. and Franching Br. Upstraam of Franching Br. Upstraam of Franching Br.         16 7-17.6 17.6-25.4 25.4-26.4         0.3-06 (0.5) 0.4-06 (0.5)         0.5-08 (0.7) 0.5-1.1 (0.7)         0.6-1.0 (0.9) 0.7-1.3 (0.9)         0.6-1.1 (0.9) 0.7-1.4 (1.0)         0.7-1.3 (1.0) 0.8-1.5 (1.1)           Samban Samba and Mannta flow Samba and Franche Participa and Samba and Mannta flow Samba and Franche Participa and Franche Samba and Franche Participa and Franche Samba and Franche Participa and Participa and Participa and Franche Participa and Participa and Parti Parite Participa and Participa and Participa and Partici	Abacan	8.0	04	07	09	96	11						
Domistr. of Expressing Br. Bate. Expr. and Franching Br. Upstraam of Franching Br. Upstraam of Franching Br.         16 7-17.6 17.6-25.4 25.4-26.4         0.3-06 (0.5) 0.4-06 (0.5)         0.5-08 (0.7) 0.5-1.1 (0.7)         0.6-1.0 (0.9) 0.7-1.3 (0.9)         0.6-1.1 (0.9) 0.7-1.4 (1.0)         0.7-1.3 (1.0) 0.8-1.5 (1.1)           Samban Samba and Mannta flow Samba and Franche Participa and Samba and Mannta flow Samba and Franche Participa and Franche Samba and Franche Participa and Franche Samba and Franche Participa and Participa and Participa and Franche Participa and Participa and Parti Parite Participa and Participa and Participa and Partici	453030			1	[								
Beter. Egx: and Francishop Br.         17.6-25.4         C3.0.9 (0.5)         0.5-1 (0.7)         0.7-13 (0.9)         0.7-14 (1.0)         0.8-15 (1.1)           Samban         25.4-26.4         0.406 (0.5)         0.6-08 (07)         0.7-10 (0.9)         0.5-11 (0.7)         0.7-13 (0.9)         0.7-14 (1.0)         0.8-15 (1.1)           Samban         Sacoba and Marmia Bor         Sacoba and Marmia Bor         16-19         0.406 (0.5)         0.6-10 (0.7)         0.7-13 (0.9)         0.7-14 (1.0)         0.8-15 (1.1)           Sacoba and Marmia Bor         Sacoba and Marmia Bor         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.5         0.7           Sacoba and Marmia Bor         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7           Sacoba and Marmia Bor         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           Sacoba and Intrape         0-3         0.426 (1.7)         1.5-37 (2.7)         2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           Sacoba and Intrape         0-3         0.426 (1.7)         1.5-37 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.9 (1.5)           <		167.176	0000 000										
Upstream of Freedship Br.         25.4-26.4         0.4-06 (0.5)         0.6-0.8 (0.7)         0.7-1.0 (0.2)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)           Barchan         Sacoba and Marmia Bow         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.7         0.7-1.0 (0.2)         0.8-1.1 (0.9)         0.8-1.2 (1.1)           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.6         0.7           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.6         0.7           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.5         0.7           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.5         0.7           Sacoba Bow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.6         1.1         1.6         1.8           upstream ster         9.0         1.1         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7           saman         Domrstream of bridge         0-3         0.426 (1.7)         1.7         2.0         2.1         0.629 (1.6)           Domrstream of bridge         12.0         0.4         0.6         0.2         1.1         1.1         1.1													
Sarchan Sarchan Sacoba and Mamria Bow         14-19         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba and Mamria Bow         14-19         0.3         0.4         5.5         0.6         0.7           Jangat         downsheam site         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           Jangat         downsheam site         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7           upstream site         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         3.5         3.9           vana         0.0         1.1         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7         3.1-5.5         (4.7)         0.4-2.5         (1.7)         2.1-4.5         (3.5)         2.4-4.8         (3.2)         3.1-5.5         (4.7)           upstream of bridge         0-3         0.4-2.5         (1.7)         0.4-2.5         (1.2)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.5-2.7 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>													
Sacoba and Mamma Bow Sacoba Row only         14-19         0.4         0.7         0.9         0.9         1.1           Sacoba Row only         14-19         0.3         0.4         55         0.6         0.7           Jangat domstream site         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           adderstream site         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.3         2.7           upstream site         9.0         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           Accoo downstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           Acteo downstream of twer km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3           Upstream of twer km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3           Domstream of twer km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         0.4-25 (1.2)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-2 (2.5)         2.7 (2.8) (2.4)         1.2-2 (2.7)         1.2-2 (2.7)			0.000 (0.0)	0.000 (07)	01-1.0 (0.3)	0.5-1.1 (0.9)	0.3-1.2 (1.1)						
Sacobia flow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         0.5         0.5         0.6         0.7           Bangat downstream site mode sete upstream site         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           upstream site         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.7           hucao downstream of bridge         6-3         0.426 (1.7)         1.^337 (2.7)         2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-5.5 (4.7)           human Downstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3           Downstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3           Downstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3           Downstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.2-1         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-25 (2.0)         1.2         1.2         2.3         2.7         2.3         2.7         2.2-2.8 (2.4;         1.2         1.2         1.5         1.1	Bamban	1											
Sacobia flow only         14-19         0.3         0.4         55         0.6         0.7           Jangal downstream sile         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           modie see         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           hcap downstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream set         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           hcap         0         0.426         1.7         1.737 (2.7)         2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           horstee         15.0-18.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3           Downstream of tweer km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.6-2.9 (1.6)           TDownstream of tweer km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3.2           Downstream of tweer km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         1.0         1.52 (1.1)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.1.2         1.1		14-19	0.4	07	0.9	0.9	1.1						
downstream size         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           upstream size         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           hcao         domnstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream size         9.0         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           hcao         domnstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         3.1-55         (4.7)           Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         0.6-2.9         (1.6)           Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         0.4-2.5         (1.2)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.6-2.9         (1.6)           Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         0.2-2.3         (1.0)         0.4-2.5         (1.2)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.6-2.9         (1.6)         1.1         1.1         1.1         1.1 <t< th=""><th>Sacobia llow only</th><th>14-19</th><th>0.3</th><th>04</th><th>55</th><th>0.6</th><th></th></t<>	Sacobia llow only	14-19	0.3	04	55	0.6							
downstream size         9.0         1.0         1.3         1.5         1.6         1.8           upstream size         9.0         1.2         1.7         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           hcao         domnstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream size         9.0         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           hcao         domnstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         3.1-55         (4.7)           Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         0.6-2.9         (1.6)           Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         0.4-2.5         (1.2)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.6-2.9         (1.6)           Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0         0.2-2.3         (1.0)         0.4-2.5         (1.2)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.6-2.9         (1.6)         1.1         1.1         1.1         1.1 <t< th=""><th></th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>		1											
mode sete         9.0         1.2         1.7         21         23         2.7           upstream set         9.0         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           wcao domstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.2         2.3         2.7           upstream of bridge         0-3         0.4266 (1.7)         1.5.37 (2.7)         2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           bornstream of bridge         0-3         0.42.6 (1.7)         1.5.7 (2.7)         2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           bornstream of bridge         1.2         1.7         2.0         2.1         2.3         2.7           promet         25.0         0.4         0.6         0.2         1.1         1.1         1.1           rDornet         29.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         1.0         1.2         2.7.2           asig         Domstream of broge         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (1.1)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         1.2-2.6 (2.0)           postream of broge         19-21         0.6					1								
upstream sate         9.0         2.1         2.1         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           Aucao domstream of bridge domstream of bridge domstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.8         3.3         3.5         3.9           Aucao domstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         2.4         4.5         3.5         3.5         3.9           Aucao domstream of bridge         6-3         1.1         1.7         1.2.3         2.1.4.5         3.5         2.4         4.8         3.8         3.1-5.5         (4.7)           Domstream of bridge         14.5-16.0         1.2         0.4-2.5         (1.2)         0.5-2.7         (1.4)         0.6-2.9         (1.6)           Domstream of fiver km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         0.4         0.6         0.2         1.1         1.1         1.1           Domstream of lower bridge Berk. tower and Bryass Br.         2.3-4.1         0.6-1.1         (0.5)         1.8-2.3         (1.9)         1.9-2.5         (2.1)         1.2-2         (2.2)           Upstream of Bryass Bridge         19-21         0.6-2.1         (1.4)         0.9-2.9         1.9)         1.1-3.3         (2.2)         1.2-3.8         (2.3) <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>				-									
Lease         Lin         Lin <thlin< th=""> <thlin< th="" th<=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thlin<></thlin<>													
domstream of bridge upstream of bridge         6-3 0-3         1.1 0 4-2.6 (1.7)         1.7 1.53.7 (2.7)         2.2 2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           Auman Domstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0 15.0-18.0         1.2 0.2-2.3 (1.0)         1.7 0.4-25 (1.2)         2.0 0.5-2.7 (1.4)         2.1 0.5-2.7 (1.4)         2.1 1.2-2.5 (2.1)         2.1 1.2-2.5 (2.1)           betw. hours and Bypass Bridge         1.1-2.1 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	obsecuti 200	9.0	2.1	28	33 ع	3.5	3 \$						
domstream of bridge upstream of bridge         6-3 0-3         1.1 0 4-2.6 (1.7)         1.7 1.53.7 (2.7)         2.2 2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-55 (4.7)           Auman Domstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0 15.0-18.0         1.2 0.2-2.3 (1.0)         1.7 0.4-25 (1.2)         2.0 0.5-2.7 (1.4)         2.1 0.5-2.7 (1.4)         2.1 1.2-2.5 (2.1)         2.1 1.2-2.5 (2.1)           betw. hours and Bypass Bridge         1.1-2.1 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	Bucao	1											
upstream of bridge         0-3         0 4-2.6 (1.7)         1.23.7 (2.7)         2.1-4.5 (3.5)         2.4-4.8 (3.8)         3.1-5.5 (4.7)           Auman         Domstream of river km 16         14.5-16.0         1.2         0.4-2.5 (1.2)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.6-29 (1.5)           PDomsteam of river km 16         16.0-18.0         0.2-23 (1.0)         0.4-25 (1.2)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.6-29 (1.5)           PDomsteam of river km 16         15.0-18.0         0.4         0.6         0.2         1.1         1.1           PDomsteam of river km 16         25.0         0.4         0.6         0.2         1.1         1.1           PDomsteam of lower bridge         29.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         1.0         1.2           axing         Downsteam of lower bridge         1-2.3         0.9-15 (11)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.8-23 (19)         1.9-25 (2.1)         2.7-2.8 (2.4)           Betv. lower and Bypass Bridge         1-2.3         0.9-15 (11)         0.8-16 (12)         1.0-20 (1.6)         1.1-22 (1.7)         1.25 (13)         1.1-22 (1.7)         1.225 (2.0)           Betv. lower and Bypass Bridge         18-21         0.6-21 (1.4)         0.9-29 (19)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)		6.3		4.7									
Auman         Construction         Construction <thconstruction< th="">         Construction</thconstruction<>													
Domistriam of inver km 16 Upstream of inver km 16         14.5-16.0 16.0-18.0         1.2 0.2-2.3 (1.0)         1.7 0.4-2.5 (12)         2.0 0.5-2.7 (1.4)         2.1 0.5-2.7 (1.4)         2.3 0.5-2.7 (1.4)           Domnste         25.0         0.4         0.6         0.2         1.1         1.1           Domnste         29.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         1.0         1.2           asig         Domnsteam of lower bridge Beter, lower and Bypass Br.         1-2.3 2.3-4.1         0.9-15 (11) 0.6-1.1 (0.8)         1.4-2.0 (1.6) 0.8-16 (12)         1.8-2.3 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         2.7-2.8 (2.4)           Upstream of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3 0.4-1.4         0.9-1.5 (11)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.8-2.3 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         2.7-2.8 (2.4)           Upstream of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3 0.4-1.1 (0.8)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)         1.2-15 (1.3)         1.3-16 (1.4)         1.5-19 (1.7)           asig         0.9-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (19)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           crac         5.7         1.1-1.9 '1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-2.2 (3.2)           Downstream of Indige         18-20 2.0-2.2.7         0.5-2.3 (1.0)         0.7-3.2 (1.4)         0.9-3.7 (1.7)         1.0-3.9 (1.9)	• • • • • • • • •		042.0 (1.1)	12027 (21)	2.1-4.2 (3.5)	24-4.8 (3.8)	3.1-5.5 (4.7)						
Upsteam of river Im 16         15.0-18.0         0.22.3 (1.0)         0.4-25 (1.2)         0.22.7 (1.4)         0.5-27 (1.4)         0.6-29 (1.6)           PDonnel         25.0         0.4         0.6         0.7         0.9         1.1         1.1           PDonnel         29.0         0.4         0.6         0.7         0.9         1.0         1.2           asig         Downstream of lower bridge         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (1.1)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.8-2.3 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         2.72.8 (2.4)           Downstream of Dower bridge         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (1.1)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.8-2.3 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         2.72.8 (2.4)           Downstream of Dypass Bridge         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (1.1)         1.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.8-2.3 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)           Ipstream of Dypass Bridge         1-2.3         0.9-1.2 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (1.9)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           ocac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         14-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of Indge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2 1 (1.5) <th>Guman</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Guman												
Upstream of fiver km 16         16.0-18.0         0.2-2.3 (1.6)         0.4-2.5 (1.2)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.5-2.7 (1.4)         0.6-2.9 (1.5)           rDonnet         25.0         0.4         0.6         0.7         1.1         1.1           rDonnet         29.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         1.0         1.2           asig         Downstream of lower bridge Beter, lower and Bypass Br.         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (11)         1.4-20 (1.6)         1.8-23 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         2.7-2.8 (2.4)           Downstream of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (11)         0.4-2.0 (1.6)         1.8-2.3 (1.9)         1.9-2.5 (2.1)         2.7-2.8 (2.4)           Dissteem of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3         0.9-1.5 (1.1)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-2.0 (1.6)         1.1-2.2 (1.7)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)           Dissteem of Bypass Bridge         19-21         0.6-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (1.9)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           orac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         14-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of Indge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2.1 (1.5)	Downstream of over km 16	:4.5.16.0	1.2	1.7	2.0	21	23						
Domest         25.0         0.4         0.6         0.7         1.1         1.1           TDomest         29.0         0.4         0.7         0.9         1.0         1.2           axing Dommshaam of lower bridge Betr. Jover and Bypass Br. Upstream of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3 2.3-4.1         0.9-1.5 (1.1) 0.6-1.1 (0.8)         1.4-2.0 (1.6) 0.8-1.6 (1.3)         1.8-2.3 (1.9) 1.0-2.0 (1.6)         1.9-2.5 (2.1) 1.2-2 (2.7)         2.7-2.8 (2.4) 1.2-2 (2.7)           Betr. Jover and Bypass Bridge         1-2.3 4.1-4.6         0.6-1.1 (0.8) 0.6-0.9 (0.7)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)         1.2-15 (1.3)         1.3-16 (1.4)         1.2-2 (2.7)           asig         19-21         0.6-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (1.9)         1.1-1.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           ccac         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20 2.0-2.2.7         0.2-18 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-21 (1.5)         0.5-34 (1.6)           Upstream of bridge         1.6-3.6         0.7-1.5 (1.0)         0.3-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           i.5-22 (1.0)         1.6-3.6         0.7-1.5 (1.0)         1.3-1.9 (1.5)         :5-22 (11)	Upstream of river km 16	16.0-18.0	0.2-2.3 (1.0)										
Constitution         Constitution<		1											
asig Downsteam of lower bridge Betr. lower and Bypass Br. Upsteam of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3 2.3-4.1 4.1+4.6         0.9-1.5 (11) 0.6-1.1 (0.8)         1.4-2.0 (1.6) 0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.8-2.3 (1.9) 1.0-2 0 (1.6)         1.9-2.5 (2.1) 1.1-2.2 (1.7)         2.2-2.8 (2.4) 1.2-2.5 (2.0)           bysteam of Bypass Bridge         19-21         0.6-1.1 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-2 0 (1.6)         1.1-2.2 (1.7)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-1.5 (1.3)         1.3-16 (1.4)         1.5-1.9 (1.7)           assg         19-21         0.6-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (19)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           orac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2 1 (1.5)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)           Upstream of bridge         20-22.7         0.5-2.3 (1.0)         0.7-3.2 (1.4)         0.9-3.7 (17)         1.0-3.9 (1.9)         1.1-4.3 (2.2)           and Tomas         0.9-1.6         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           bownstream of Agorto B	Oudnet	25.0	0.4	0.6	0.2	1.1	1.1						
asig Downsteam of lower bridge Betr. lower and Bypass Br. Upsteam of Bypass Bridge         1-2.3 2.3-4.1 4.1+4.6         0.9-1.5 (11) 0.6-1.1 (0.8)         1.4-2.0 (1.6) 0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.8-2.3 (1.9) 1.0-2 0 (1.6)         1.9-2.5 (2.1) 1.1-2.2 (1.7)         2.2-2.8 (2.4) 1.2-2.5 (2.0)           bysteam of Bypass Bridge         19-21         0.6-1.1 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-2 0 (1.6)         1.1-2.2 (1.7)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-2.5 (2.0)         1.2-1.5 (1.3)         1.3-16 (1.4)         1.5-1.9 (1.7)           assg         19-21         0.6-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (19)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           orac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2 1 (1.5)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)           Upstream of bridge         20-22.7         0.5-2.3 (1.0)         0.7-3.2 (1.4)         0.9-3.7 (17)         1.0-3.9 (1.9)         1.1-4.3 (2.2)           and Tomas         0.9-1.6         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           bownstream of Agorto B	070T												
Downstream of lower bridge Betr. Iower and Bypass Br.         1-2.3 2.3-4.1 4.1-4.6         0.9-1.5 (1 1) 0.6-0.1 (0.5)         1.4-2.0 (1.6) 0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.8-2.3 (1.9) 1.0-20 (1.6)         1.9-2.5 (2.1) 1.1-22 (1.7)         2.2-2.8 (2.4) 1.2-2.5 (2.0)           asing         19-21         0.6-0.1 (0.5)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)         1.2-15 (1.3)         1.3-16 (1.4)         1.2-25 (2.0)           asing         19-21         0.6-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (1.9)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           orac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2 1 (1.5)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)           Downstream of bridge         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Downstream of Macotol Bridge         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Downstream of Macotool Bridge         0.4-1.6         0.7-1.5 (1.0)         1.3-1.9 (1.5)         1.5-2.2 (1.1)         1.6-2.3 (1.8)         1.7-2.4 (2.0)           artac         Downstream of Aqarto Br.         town		29.0	0,4	07	09	1.0	1.2						
Downstream of lower bridge Betr. Iower and Bypass Br.         1-2.3 2.3-4.1 4.1-4.6         0.9-1.5 (1 1) 0.6-0.1 (0.5)         1.4-2.0 (1.6) 0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.8-2.3 (1.9) 1.0-20 (1.6)         1.9-2.5 (2.1) 1.1-22 (1.7)         2.2-2.8 (2.4) 1.2-2.5 (2.0)           asing         19-21         0.6-0.1 (0.5)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)         1.2-15 (1.3)         1.3-16 (1.4)         1.2-25 (2.0)           asing         19-21         0.6-2.1 (1.4)         0.9-2.9 (1.9)         1.1-3.3 (2.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           orac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2 1 (1.5)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)           Downstream of bridge         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Downstream of Macotol Bridge         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Downstream of Macotool Bridge         0.4-1.6         0.7-1.5 (1.0)         1.3-1.9 (1.5)         1.5-2.2 (1.1)         1.6-2.3 (1.8)         1.7-2.4 (2.0)           artac         Downstream of Aqarto Br.         town	Pasio												
Beter. lower and Bypass Br.         2.34.1         0.6-1.1         (0.3)         0.8-1.6         1.0-20         1.1-22         1.7.1         1.2-25         1.2-3         1.2-25         1.2-3         1.2-25         1.2-35         1.2-25         1.2-35         1.2-25         1.2-35         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-15         1.3-16         1.4-1         1.2-25         1.2-15         1.3-16         1.4-1         1.2-25         1.2-15         1.3-16         1.4-1         1.2-25         1.2-15         1.3-16         1.4-1         1.2-25         1.2-15         1.3-16         1.4-1         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-31         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25         1.2-25		1.22											
Upstream of Bypass Bndge         4.1-4.6         0.6-0.9 (0.7)         0.8-1.2 (1.1)         1.2-1.5 (1.3)         1.3-1.6 (1.4)         1.2-1.2 (2.0)           asg         19-21         0.6-0.9 (0.7)         0.9-1.2 (1.1)         1.2-1.5 (1.3)         1.3-1.6 (1.4)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.3-1.6 (1.4)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.3-1.6 (1.4)         1.2-1.8 (1.5)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.3)         1.2-1.6 (1.2)         1.2-3.8 (2.3)         1.4-4.0 (2.5)           coac         11-1.1         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-3.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-2.2 (1.2)         0.5-2.1 (1.5)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)         0.5-3.4 (1.6) <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>													
asg         19-21         0.6-2.1         (1.4)         0.9-2.9         (1.9)         1.1-1.3         (2.2)         1.2-3.8         (2.3)         1.4-4.0         (2.5)           orac         Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9         (1.6)         1.4-2.8         (2.2)         1.7-3.5         (2.7)         1.9-3.7         (2.9)         2.0-4.2         (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8         (0.9)         0.3-2.5         (1.2)         0.4-2.9         (1.4)         0.5-2.1         (1.5)         0.5-3.4         (1.6)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8         (0.9)         0.3-2.5         (1.2)         0.4-2.9         (1.4)         0.5-2.1         (1.5)         0.5-3.4         (1.6)           Downstream of bridge         0.4.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Downstream of Macotcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Inter         0.9-1.1         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         :5	Upstream of Bypass Bridge												
orac         Name Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9         (1.6)         14-2.8         (2.2)         1.7-3.5         (2.7)         1.9-3.7         (2.9)         2.0-4.2         (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8         (0.9)         0.3-2.5         (1.2)         0.4-2.9         (1.4)         0.5-2.1         (1.5)         0.5-3.4         (1.6)           Downstream of bridge         20-22.7         0.5-2.3         (1.0)         0.7-3.2         (1.4)         0.9-3.7         (1.7)         1.0-3.9         (1.9)         1.1-4.3         (2.2)           ariso Tomass         Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.5)         1.5-2.2         (1.6)         1.6-2.3         (1.8)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           Infac         0.00						1							
Conce         State         State <th< th=""><th>Pasig</th><th>19-21</th><th>0.6-2.1 (1.4)</th><th>0.9-2.9 (1.9)</th><th>1.1.3.3 (2.2)</th><th>12-38 (2.3)</th><th>1440 (2.5)</th></th<>	Pasig	19-21	0.6-2.1 (1.4)	0.9-2.9 (1.9)	1.1.3.3 (2.2)	12-38 (2.3)	1440 (2.5)						
Near Fondablanca         5-7         1.1-1.9 (1.6)         1.4-2.8 (2.2)         1.7-1.5 (2.7)         1.9-3.7 (2.9)         2.0-4.2 (3.2)           Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8 (0.9)         0.3-2.5 (1.2)         0.4-2.9 (1.4)         0.5-2 1 (1.5)         0.5-3.4 (1.6)           Upstream of bridge         20-22.7         0.5-2.3 (1.0)         0.7-3.2 (1.4)         0.9-3.7 (1.7)         1.0-3.9 (1.9)         1.1-4.3 (2.2)           anto Tomas         Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0 (0.8)         0.8-1.6 (1.2)         1.0-1.6 (1.3)         1.1-1.7 (1.3)         1.1-2.0 (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.ge         1.6-3.6         0.7-1.5 (1.0)         1.3-1.9 (1.5)         :5-22 (1.1)         1.6-2.3 (1.8)         1.7-2.4 (2.0)           what         Downstream of Againo Br.         town of Tatac         0.5-12 (0.7)         07-1.7 (11)         0.9-2.1 (1.4)         1.0-2.2 (1.5)         1.2-2.6 (1.8)           Batw. Againo and Againa Br.         town of Tatac	_	1 I											
Downstream of bridge         18-20         0.2-1.8         (0.9)         0.3-2.5         (1.2)         0.4-2.9         (1.4)         0.5-21         (1.5)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-23         (1.6)         0.5-34         (1.6)         0.5-34         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.6)         0.5-33         (1.5)         1.5-22         (1.5)         1.5-22         (1.5)         1.5-22         (1.5)         1.5-23         (1.6)         1.7-2.4         (2.0) </th <th>Porac</th> <th>   </th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>   </th> <th></th> <th></th>	Porac												
Upstream of bridge         20-22.7         0.5-23         (1.0)         0.7-32         (1.4)         0.9-3.7         (1.7)         1.0-39         (1.9)           ando Tomas         0.0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-4.3         (2.2)           Domestream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         1.5-22         (1.6)         1.6-2.3         (1.8)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           whatco         Domestream of Agence Br.         town of Tatac         0.5-12         (0.7)         0.7-1.7         (1.1)         0.9-2.1         (1.4)         1.0-2.2         (1.8)           Domestream of Agence Br.         town of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)	Near Flondablanca	5-7	1.1-1.9 (1.6)	14-28 (2.2)	1.7-3.5 (2.7)	1.9-3.7 (2.9)	20-12 (32)						
Upstream of bridge         20-22.7         0.5-23         (1.0)         0.7-32         (1.4)         0.9-3.7         (1.7)         1.0-39         (1.9)           ando Tomas         0.0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-4.3         (2.2)           Domestream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         (0.8)         0.8-1.6         (1.2)         1.0-1.6         (1.3)         1.1-1.7         (1.3)         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         1.5-22         (1.6)         1.6-2.3         (1.8)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           whatco         Domestream of Agence Br.         town of Tatac         0.5-12         (0.7)         0.7-1.7         (1.1)         0.9-2.1         (1.4)         1.0-2.2         (1.8)           Domestream of Agence Br.         town of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)	D		•		1								
ando Tomas         Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8         0.8-1.6         1.0-1.6         1.0-1.6         1.1-1.7         1.1-2.0         1.5           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8         0.8-1.6         1.2         1.0-1.6         1.3         1.1-1.7         1.3         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Br.         0.6-1.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         1.5-2.2         (1.6)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           Infac         0.0-1.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         1.5-2.2         (1.6)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           Infac         0.0-1.5         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         1.5-2.2         (1.6)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           Betw. Aquino and Againa Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)         2.0-3.7         (2.7)         2.6-4.3         (3.3)													
Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8         0.8-1.6         1.2         1.0-1.6         1.3         1.1-1.7         1.3         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Bridge         1.6-3.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         :.5-22         (1.6)         1.6-2.3         (1.8)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           artac         Down of Tatac         0.5-1.2         (2.7)         0.7-1.7         (1.1)         0.9-2.1         (1.4)         1.0-2.2         (1.5)         1.2-2.6         (1.8)           Betw. Ageino and Ageina Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)         2.0-3.7         (2.7)         2.6-4.3         (3.3)	Operates of Dridge	20-22.1	0.5-2.3 (1.0)	0.7-3.2 (1.4)	0.9-3.7 (17)	1.0-3.9 (1.9)	1.1-4.3 (2.2)						
Downstream of Macolcol Br.         0-1.6         0.6-1.0         0.8         0.8-1.6         1.2         1.0-1.6         1.3         1.1-1.7         1.3         1.1-2.0         (1.5)           Upstream of Macolcol Bridge         1.6-3.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         :.5-22         (1.6)         1.6-2.3         (1.8)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           artac         Down of Tatac         0.5-1.2         (2.7)         0.7-1.7         (1.1)         0.9-2.1         (1.4)         1.0-2.2         (1.5)         1.2-2.6         (1.8)           Betw. Ageino and Ageina Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)         2.0-3.7         (2.7)         2.6-4.3         (3.3)	Santo Tomas												
Upstream of Macotool Bridge         1.6-3.6         0.7-1.5         (1.0)         1.3-1.9         (1.5)         :.5-22         (1.6)         1.6-2.3         (1.8)         1.7-2.4         (2.0)           stac         Downstream of Aqueto Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.5-1.2         (2.7)         0.7-1.7         (1.1)         0.9-2.1         (1.4)         1.0-2.2         (1.5)         1.2-2.6         (1.8)           Betw. Aquino and Againa Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)         2.0-3.7         (2.7)         2.6-4.3         (3.3)		0-16	0610 00	08.16 (12)	10.16 (1.7)								
artac Downstream of Aqueto Br. town of Tatac 0.5-12 (0.7) 07-1.7 (11) 0.9-2.1 (1.4) 1.0-2.2 (1.5) 1.2-2.6 (1.8) Betw. Aqueto and Aqueto Br. town of Tatac 0.8-1.9 (1.3) 1.3-2.8 (2.0) 1.8-3.5 (2.5) 2.0-3.7 (2.7) 2.6-4.3 (3.3)	Upstream of Macolcol Bridge												
Downstream of Aqueto Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.5-1.2         (2.7)         0.7-1.7         (1 1)         0.9-2.1         (1.4)         1.0-2.2         (1.5)         1.2-2.6         (1.8)           Betw. Aquino and Agana Br.         Iown of Tatac         0.8-1.9         (1.3)         1.3-2.8         (2.0)         1.8-3.5         (2.5)         2.0-3.7         (2.7)         2.6-4.3         (3.3)				(6.1) 6.1-6.1	· Jec (1.6)	1.5-2.3 (1.8)	17-2.4 (2.0)						
Betw. Aquino and Agana Br. Iown of Tatac 0.8-1.9 (1.3) 1.3-28 (2.0) 1.8-3.5 (2.5) 2.0-3.7 (2.7) 2.6-4.3 (3.3)	Tartac												
Betw. Aquino and Agana Br. Iown of Tatac 0.8-1.9 (1.3) 1.3-28 (20) 1.8-3.5 (2.5) 2.0-3.7 (2.7) 2.6-4.3 (3.3)	Downstream of Aquino Br.	Iown of Tartac	05-12 (07)	07-1.7 (1 1)	0.9-2.1 (1.4)	10-22 (15)	12.26 (10)						
Instrum of Amer Distance in a concernent of the second state of th	Betw. Aquino and Agana Br.	town of Tartac											
1.9-52 (34) 1 29-55 (47)	Upstream of Agana Bridge	town of Tarlac	0.5-2.5 (1.3)	10-37 (21)	1.6-4.7 (2.5)	1.9-52 (34)	2.96.6 (47)						

÷

Table 3.6.2 Estimated Depths for Sediment + Water Flows

•

Rever	Reach of River	Alamania and m	and the second second	malace action state	o occur within the	reach for the
HWE	Modeled	2. Results and fill	nanom ocpus m		nax is the estimate	d average denth
1	MODERC	2- stronger Soury	Ear HOODS FORION		dicated for reache	is for which only
1				Normal departs in		
4		one depth is show				. 1
1	(kioreiers)	2-year	10	50-year	100-year	500-yzar
	I morea a	2-968	10-year		100,00	
Abacan	1.0	0.4	0.7	09	10	12
	1		•			
Abacan	8.0	0.4	0.7	09	10	1.2
Abacan	1					
Downstr. of Expressway Br.	16 7-17.6	0.3-0.6 (0.5)	0.5-0.9 (0.7)	0 6-1.1 (0.9)	07-1.2 (0.9)	0.8-1.3 (1 1)
Betw. Expr. and Fnendship Br.		0.3-0.9 (0.5)	0.5-1.2 (0.8)	0.7-1.4 (0.9)	0 8-1.4 (1.0)	0.9-1.6 (1.2)
Upstream of Friendship Br.	25.4-26.4	0.4-0.6 (0.5)	06-09 (0.7)	0.8-1.0 (0.9)	0 5 1.1 (1.0)	0.9-1.2 (1.1)
		0.40.0 (0.3)	0005 (0.7)	0.0-1.0 (0.3)	0.0-1.1 (1.0)	0.5-1.2 (1.1)
Bamban						
Sacobia and Manmla	14-19	05	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.3
Sacobia flow only	14-19	0.3	0.5	07	0.7	09
]		0.0	0.5		0.7	
Bangat						
downstream site	9.0	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.8
Indicke site	9.0	1.2	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.8
spstream sta	9.0	2.2	2.9	3.4	3.6	4.0
1		<b>e</b>	4.3	3.9	3.0	7.0
Bucao						
downstream of bridge	0-3	1.3	2.1	2.6	2.9	34
upsiream of bridge	0-3	0.7-3.0 (2.1)	1.9-4.3 (3.3)	2.9-5.3 (4.3)	3.3-57 (4.7)	4.3-5.6 (5.6)
		0.1 0.0 (2.1)	()	2.5-3.0 (4.0)	3.3-37 (4.7)	4.5-0.0 (3.0)
Gumain						
Downstream of new ion 16	14.5-16.0	0.3	0.5	2.6	0.6	0.7
Upstream of over lon 16	16.0-18.0	0.3-2.3 (1.0)	04-26 (1.3)		0.6-2.8 (1.5)	0.7-3.1 (16)
	10.0-10.0	0.0-2.0 (1.0)	04-20 (1.3)	0.5-2.7 (1.4)	0.0-2.9 (1.3)	0.7-3.1 (1.6)
	26.0	0.5	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.2
	20.0	0.5	1.0		1.2	1.2
O'Donnell	29.0	0.4	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.3
		•.•	0.7	•.•		
Pasig	1					
Downstream of lower bridge	1.2.3	1.2-1.8 (1.4)	1.9-2.4 (2.0)	23-29 (2.5)	2.5-31 (2.7)	29-3.5 (3.1)
Betw. lower and Bypass Br.	2341	0.7-1.4 (1.1)	1.0-21 (1.7)	1.3-2.6 (2.1)	14-28 (2.3)	1.7-3.3 (2.6)
Upstream of Bycass Bridge	4.1-4.6	0.8-1.0 (0.9)	1.3-1.6 (1.4)	1.6-2.0 (1.7)	1.7-2.1 (1.9)	2024 (22)
				1.0-2.0 (1.7)	1.1-2.1 (1.3)	202. (22)
Pasig	19-21	This much was n	n modeled in ha	kadi linu as ihe. as	i Ismated concentral	on of the trainst
-					on-Newtonian Suid	
					1	
Porac						
Near Pondablesca	5-7	1.1-2.0 (1.5)	1.4-3.0 (2.3)	1.8-3.6 (2.8)	1.9-3.9 (3.0)	2.1-4.4 (3.4)
Porac						
Downstream of bridge	18-20	0.2-1.2 (1.0)	0.3-2.6 (1.3)	0.4-3.1 (1.4)	0.5-3.2 (1.5)	0.6-3.6 (1.7)
Upstream c! bridge	20-22.7	0.5-2.4 (1.0)	0.7-3.3 (1.5)	1.0-3.9 (1.8)	10-41 (2.0)	1.1-4.5 (2.3)
Santo Tomas						
Downstream of Macolcol Br.	0-1.6	0.7-1.2 (0.9)	1.0-1.6 (1.3)	1.1-1.9 (1.5)	1.2-2.0 (1.5)	1.2-2.2 (1.6)
Upstream of Macolcol Bridge	1.6-3.6	0.9-1.6 (1.1)	1.5-2.2 (1.7)	17-24 (2.0)	1.8-2.5 (2.1)	20-2.9 (2.4)
cyceces of mananet binge			·		1.0 23 (2.1)	2023 (2.4)
Tarlac					1	
Downstream of Acuno Br.	ions of Tarlac	0.5-1.3 (0.8)	0.8-1.8 (1.2)	1.3-2.2 (1.5)	1.1-2.4 (1.6)	1.3-2.8 (1.9)
Belw, Aguno and Agana Br.	town of Tartac		1.5-3.0 (2.1)	2.0-3.7 (2.7)	2.2-4.0 (2.9)	
Upstream of Agana Bridge	town of Tantac		12-40 (23)	1.8-5.2 (3.3)	2.3-59 (3.9)	30-4.7 (3.8)
		U.P.Z.I (1.4)	-2-10 (2.3)	10.25 (27)	23-28 (3.8)	3.5-7.3 (53)

Table 3.6.3 Estimated Velocities for Clear-Water Flows

Paver	Reach of Rive	Maxmum and r	and the manager	to molect per con	and estimated to o	cour within the					
	Reach of River Mazonum and minimum velocities in maters per second estimated to occur within the Modeled reach for the 2- through 500-year studes. Following the min and max is the estimated										
					Velocity at normal						
4	1	indicated for read	ches for which only	one depth is sho	an i						
1			1	i	1						
	("iver kin)	2-year	10-year	50-yea:	100-year	500-year					
Abacan	10	0.9	1,2	14	15	17					
1						1					
Abacan	80	1.2	1.5	18	1 19	21					
Atacan	1				i						
Downstr. of Expressway Br.	16.7-17.6										
Betw. Expr. and Friendship Br.		14-1.9 (17)	17-2.3 (2.1) 0.3-1 1 (07)	1.9-26 (24)	2.0-2.8 (2.5)	2.1-3.2 (27)					
Upstream of Friendship Br.	25 4-26 4	1.6-27 (2.0)	2.0-33 (2.6)	1.9-61 (28)	1.9-60 (2.9) 2.5-36 (31)	2.1-6.1 (3.2)					
1		1.027 (20)	2.0-3.3 (2.6)	2.4-3 4 (2.8)	2.3-30 (31)	27-3.9 (3.4)					
Bamban											
Sacobia and Manenia Now	14-19	1.6	2.2	25	2.7	3.0					
Sacobia flow only	14-19	1.1	1.5	1.9	1.9	22					
Research	1	1	1	1	1						
Bangat downstream size											
mode ste	9.0	1.8	2.3	2.6	27	2.9					
upstream see	9.0	3.1	4.1	4.7	49	5.4					
	3.0	2.5	3.2	3.7	2.9	4.2					
Bucao	1										
downstream of bridge	0-3	2.6	3.5	4.0	4.2	4.7					
upstream of bridge	0-3	0.7-2.9 (1.3)	10-3.5 (1.4)	0.9-4.0 (1.6)	0.9-4.1 (1.6)	0.9-4.4 (1.8)					
1.		,		• (1.0)	0.0 4.1 (1.0)	0.0 (0.0)					
Guman											
Downstream of nver km 16	14.5-16 0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7					
Upstream of river km 16	16.0-18.0	1.2-2.1 (1.6)	1.5-2.6 (2.2)	1.9-3.0 (2.5)	2.0-3.1 (2.6)	22-34 (2.8)					
ODonnel											
	26.0	1,6	2.1	24	15	1.8					
O'Donnell	29.0	2.0	2.8	33	3.5	3.9					
]		2.5	2.0		3.3	3.9					
Pasig						1					
Downstream of lower bridge	1-2.3	0.9-1.1 (0.9)	1.2-14 (1.3)	1.4-1.6 (1.5)	1.5-1.7 (1.6)	1.6-1.9 (17)					
Betw. lower and Bypass Br	2.3-4.1	0.7-1.8 (1.0)	1.0-1.8 (1.4)	1.2.23 (1.6)	1.2-2.4 (1.7)	1.2-2.5 (2.0)					
Upstream of Bypass Bridge	4.1-4.5	09-19 (1.3)	1.1-2.3 (1.7)	1.3-2.3 (1.9)	14-24 (2.0)	16-2.5 (2.2)					
Passo	19-21										
	18-21	1.9-3.2 (2.5)	2.4-3.5 (2.8)	2.6-3.8 (3.0)	2.6-3.4 (3 0)	28-3.5 (3.1)					
Porac				(	1						
Near Floridat-anca	5-7	1.1-2.0 (1.6)	13-29 (21)	1.3-3.2 (2.3)	1.3-3.4 (2.4)						
			1.0.0.0 (2.0)	1.2-3.2 (2.3)	1.3-3.4 (2.4)	1.3-3.8 (2.6)					
Porac				· ·	Í	[					
Downstream of bridge	18-20	1.1-4.9 (2.0)	14-5.4 (2.5)	1.5-5.5 (2.5)	26-52 (3.7)	18-57 (2.8)					
Upstream of bridge	20-22.7	1.7-3.2 (24)	2.0-4.3 (3.1)	2.5-5.0 (3.5)	1.5-5.5 (2.5)	26-5.7 (4.0)					
Carto Tamara		1									
Sante Tomas			1			1					
Downstream of Macolcol Br. Upstream of Macolcol Bridge	0-1.6	09-20 (15)	0.9-2.4 (17)	0 6-2.7 (1.9)	0.7-2.8 (20)	0.9-3 5 (1 9)					
of the America on surgeon of the Color	1.6-3 6	1.3-37 (2.1)	1.3-2.2 (17)	1.5-2 4 (2.0)	1.5-26 (2.')	18-26 (2.3)					
ienac			(								
Downstream of Aquino Br	lawn of Tastac	0.8-2.5 (1.3)	11-35 (1.9)	1.2.4 1 (2.1)	1.3-4.3 (2.2)						
	lown of Tartac	1.2-3.0 (2.0)	1.5-4.2 (2.8)	1.3-4.5 (2.3)	2.0-51 (34)	14-46 (2.5)					
	town of Tarlac	0.5-2.5 (1.3)	08-31 (1.8)		1.0-56 (1.9;	22.5 . (26)					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11-34 /17					

Table 3.6.4 Estimated Velocities for Sediment + Water Flows

Ner Reach of River Maximum and minimum velocities in meters per seccivil asimateb to occur within the												
	Modeled	reach for the 2-1	twough 500-year 1	woods Following I	the men and max e	s the estimated	í					
		average velocity	within the reach (	in parentheses) \	felocity at primal	depth is	İ.					
		indicated for react	hes for which only	one depth is show	m 	I	Ì					
	(met km)	2-year	10-year	56-year	100-year	500-year						
Abacan	1.0	0.9	13	15	16	18						
Abacan	80	1.2	1.6	1.9	20	22	-					
Abacan							۱.					
Downstr of Expressway Br	16.7-17.6	0.3-0.6 (0.4)	0.5-08 (06)	0 6-0.9 (0 8)	07-1.0 /08)	C 8-1.1 (0.9)						
Betw. Expr. and Friendship Br.	17.6-25.4	0.2-0.9 (0.5)	04-12 (07)	0.5-1.3 (0.9)	0.5-1.4 (0.9)	0.6-1.6 (1.1)						
Upstream of Fnendship Br.	25.4-26.4	0.3-0.6 (0.4)	04-0.9 (06)	0.5-1.0 (0.8)	06-1.1 (08)	0.7-1.3 (0.9)	l					
Bamban							ŀ					
Sacobia and Manmla flow	14-19	1.7	24	2.8	30	33						
Sacoba flow only	14-19	1.3	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.6	1					
Bangat												
downstream site	9.0	1.9	2.4	2.7	2.8	3.1						
middle site	9.0	3.2	4.2	4.9	51	5.6	١·					
upstream site	9.0	2.6	3.3	38	4.0	4.3						
Bucao							1					
downstream of bridge	0-3	2.9	3.9	4.5	4.8	5.4						
upstream of bridge	0-3	0.8-3.2 (1.3)	0.9-3.9 (1.6)	C.9-4.3 (1.7)	09-4.5 (1.6)	0 9-4.7 (1.9)						
Gumain							.					
Downstream of river ton 16	14.5-16.0	1.3	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.4						
Upstream of over Kin TC	16.0-18.0	1.2-2.2 (1.5)	1.7-2.7 (2.2)	2.0-3.1 (2.6)	2.1-3.2 (2.7)	2.3-34 (2.9)	l					
O'Donnell	26.0	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.0	.					
O'Donnell	29.0	2.1	2.9	3.4	3.6	40	-					
Porac							Ł					
Near Floridablanca	5-7	1.2-2.3 (1.7)	1.3-3.0 (2.2)	1.3-3.3 (2.4)	1.3-3.6 (2.5)	1.3-4.0 (2.7)						
Pasig							14					
Downstream of lower bridge	1-2.3	1.1-1.3 (1.1)	14-1.7 (1.5)	1.6-1.9 (1.8)	1.7-2.0 (1.5)	19-22 (21)	ţ					
Betw. lower and Bypass Br. Upstream of Bypass Bindge	2.3-4 1 4.1-4.6	0.9-1.8 (1.2) 1.0-2.3 (1.6)	12-2.4 (1.5)	14-2.8 (1.9)	15-2.9 (2.0) 1.7-2.8 (2.4)	20-3.0 (2.6)	ł					
obsects of phase share	4,1-4.0	1.02.3 (1.0)	1.4-2.5	1.72.0 (2.3)	1.1-2.0 (2.4)	2000 (20)	k					
Pasig	19-21			ked flow as the es			I.					
		Now was such the	zi the resulting mo 1	dure would be a n	ion-Newtonian Bux 	i i	r					
Porac						l	1					
Dovestneem of bridge	18-20	1.8-3.3 (2.3)	2.1-4.5 (3.2)	27-5.2 (36)	2.8-5.4 (3.8)	29-5.9 (4.2)	Ľ					
Upses of bridge	20-22.7	1.0-5.0 (2.0)	1.4-5 5 (2.3)	1.6-5.6 (2.6)	1.7-5.7 (27)	1.8 (2.6)	ł					
Santo Tomes							Ì					
Downstream of Macolcol Br.	0-1.6	1.2-2.4 (1.8)	9.5-2.7 (1.8)	0.9-3.2 (1.8)	1.0-3.3 (2.0)	1.3 1.7 (2.4)	'					
Upstream of Macolcol Bridge	1,5-3.6	1.5-3.4 (2.1)	1.5-2.4 (2.0)	18-28 (2.3)	1.9-30 (24)	2: 15 (26)						
Tarlac				1			L					
Downstream of Aguino Br.	town of Tartac	9.5-2.7 (1.4)	1.1-3.7 (1.9)	: 3-4.2 (2.2)	1.3-4.4 (24)	1.5-4.8 (2.7)	ŀ					
Betw, Aguno and Agana Er.	town of Tartac		1.7-4.4 (2.9)	20-5.1 (3.4)	2.1-5.3 (36)	2.2-5.8 (3.7)	•					
Upsilearn of Agana Bridge	town of Tarlac	06-2.5 (1.5)	09-3.3 (1.5)	• 0-36 (1.9)	11-3.5 (18)	1 1-3.5 (17)						

.

٠.

. ... d

### Table 3.6.5 (sheet 1 of 2)

Hydraulic Modeling Notes

River	Reach of River	
1	Modeled	
1		Notes
L	(kilometers)	
Abacan	1.0	(1) Normal depth calculation. *(2) Sediment + water flows are 10% sediment. (3) Cross-section data from **DTM.
Abacan	8.0	<ol> <li>Normal depth calculation. (2) Sediment + water flows are 10% sediment. (3) Cross-section data from DTM.</li> </ol>
Abacan	17-26	<ol> <li>Slope very close to critical, therefore, depths taken from sub-critical run and velocities from super-critical run. (2) Sediment + water flows are 10% sediment. (3) Cross-section data from ***DPWH 2 Marcin - 21 April 1992 topographic/hydrographic survey.</li> </ol>
Bamban	14-19	(1) Depths and velocities were estimated for two possible conditions: (a) Sacobia and Marimla flow occur together. (b) Sacobia flow only (flow from the Marimla is kept separate). (2) Sediment + water flows are 22% sediment for the conbined flow and 35% for the Sacobia flow by itself (3) Cross-section data: A constant width between levees (est. by District personnel) was assumed and a constant slope (measured in the field by District personnel) was also assumed, therefore, although an HEC-2 model was run, the results are normal depth and velocity except in the vicinity of the bridge.
Bangat	9.0	<ol> <li>Normal depth calculated at 3 sites within river kilometer 9.</li> <li>Sediment + water flows are 10% sediment. (3) Data from DTM.</li> </ol>
Висао	0-3.5	(1) Sediment + water flows are 28% sediment. (2) Cross-section data: Average slope from DTM data used. Levees downstream of bridge assumed 300 m wide. Right levee upstream of bridge assumed to vary linearly such that channel width at bridge is 290 m and channel width 860 m upstream of bridge is 1400 m. Due to these assumptions, results are normal depth and velocity except in the vicinity of the bridge. However, upstream of the bridge results are reported as min, max, and average to reflect the assumed change in channel width.

\*All sediment concentrations are by total volume.

\*\* DTM (Digital Terrain Model).

1

1

2

\*\*\* DPWH (Department of Public Works and Highways).

### Table 3.6.5 (sheet 2 of 2)

Hydraulic Modeling Notes

River	Reach of River	
	Modeled	
1		Notes
1		Notes
ł		
	(kilometers)	
Gumain	14.5-18.0	(1) Sedment + water flows are 10% sedment. (2) DTM data used from
1		river km 17.0 to 18.0. From river km 14.5 to 17.0 the average slope
		between river km 17.0 and 18.0 obtained from the DTM data was
!		assumed and the width between levees was assumed to be 700 meters.
1 ·		Therefore, depths and velocities reported for river km 14.5 to 17.0 are
1		normal depths and velocities.
O'Donnell	26.0	
0001114	20.0	<ol> <li>Normal depth calculated at constriction using cross-section and slope data from the DTM. (2) Sediment + water flows are 38% sediment</li> </ol>
ļ		supe data nom me DTM. (2) Segment + water nows are 38% segment
O'Donnell	29.0	(1) Normal depth calculated at constriction using cross-section and
· ·		slope data from the DTM model. (2) Model flow is supercritical, therefore
]	1.	estimated depths at critical and velocities at supercritical.
L		· ·
Pasig	Ú.Э+5	(1) Obtained cross-section and slope data from the DTM model.
		(2) Sediment + water flows are 40% sediment.
Pasio	19-21	
്കു	19-21	(1) Obtained cross-section and slope data from the DTM model. (2) Model
		results apply from 0.5 to 3.0 km upstream of the former location of the Mancatian bridge. (3) Assumed no backwater effects from Mancatian
		bridge. (4) Sediment + water flows are 67% sediment, hovever, this
		was not modeled as this high of a sediment concentration would cause
		the flow to be non-Newtonian thus trolating a basic assumption of the
	i	analyses procedures used.
Porac	5-7	(1) Obtained cross-section and slope data from the DTM model. (2) For
		clearwater flows, the water surface elevation of the 500-year flood was
		approximately 0.25 meters above the highest left overbank elevation.
		(3) For sedment + water flows, the water surface elevation of the 500- year flood was approximately 0.5 meters above the highest left overbank
		elevation. (4) Sediment + water flows are 10% sediment.
Porac	19.5-20.5	(1) Slope very close to critical, therefore, depths taken from sub-critical
		run and velocities from super-critical run. (2) Sediment + water flows
		are 10% sediment, (3) Data from DTM.
Carto Tamor		
Santo Tomas		(1) Sediment + water flows are 30% sediment. (2) Cross-section data
		from March 1992 DPWH topographic/hydrographic survey.
Tarlac	town of Tarlac	(1) Sediment + water flows are 12% sediment. (2) Cross-section data:
		Width between levees and slopes obtained from 1992 DPWH drawings of
		the Location Plan and river profile for O'Donnell River Dredging.
	La constant de la con	and the plane of a build furce chaughing.

"All sediment concentrations are by total volume.

\*\* DTM (Digital Terrain Mode!). \*\*\* DPWH (Department of Public Works and Highways).

### FIGURES FOR TECHNICAL APPENDIX A HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

Available Daily Rainfall Data Figure 2.3.1

••

Connylolo data for period Parilal data for period No duta for period Legend: Legend: Computer Complete data for pre-Comments Partial data for period COMPLETION No duta for period See Flate 1 for stution locations

3

<b></b>	~								-	_					
	ŝ.	4	-	Į.			~	_		8		_	2		-
Ē		i	H				an jan jan jan jan		4	1	~	3		1	E.
E	8	1	Ŋ		-	8		7	Ĩ.	8	A		ä	ľ	H
1	Ü		H	1		H				R.	K		a sur a	122 221 221	1 120
ŧ	ł.		ł		Ť.	ň.	Ň		ų	볋	H	l		1	
î				Ê		Į.	ŧ.			H H	H		1		
	f	H.	I	1	ž	11		Ľ.		I.	8	I	ť.	1	
				劑			R.			1			and are in the press of the press	H	
			I			2		Ħ	I	E,	Ľ	1	H	i	
1	ł		1	i i		-		1	Ï	Ħ	Ē	ġ.	Ĭ.	Î	
Ĩ	8		ŧ.	ii N	2		3	8	1	H	ü	i.	U.	ŧ.	
					Ū,	<u> </u>		il –	Ī-	Ŭ.	Ŭ.	ž	Ť.	Ë	i
104	1	i i	H	Ē	Ť	2		Ĩ	Ī	1-	₿-	7	1	Ť	
		-		i i				ă-		렮-	8-	₿-	10	ă.	-
F		-	1			÷	8	-	-	8-	8-	볼-	덃	ŀ	_
1944 (444) 1444 (444) 1444 (444) 1444 (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444) (444)										وتعدادها بسراء وأحدادها بمراجع إعدادها مساعد إعدادها					
	-		-						-	-		<u><u><u> </u></u></u>	4	8	
	-		-		_	-				į.,	<u> </u>	<u>ÿ</u> _	8	1	
E.	Ļ		<u> </u>		_					_	6	ġ	-	8.	_
	-			6-		Ľ				_			癿	Ľ,	
1	<u>[</u> _			<u> </u>	_							ų.	<u>P</u> _	_	
		. 1			-										
		_				_							g		
15	Ĺ									_1					
1															
			_								Π				
7881 8981 8881 1881 6881	Γ														
1															
-	-		_	_	·	-						1		_	-
	1		_			_						1		_	٦.
1	$\vdash$							_				-		-	-1
				_								1-			
101 101 101 101 101	-								_	i	h				
	H					_				-		-			
	Ļ		-	-	-			_		-		┝			-
	-					_			_	-		-	_		-
			-						_						4
			_						•	_		-	-		_
	_						_					-			_
	-	_	_									_		_	
Ę.	_	_							_			Ļ			_
5.		_		_			_		_		_	L		_	_
(10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)	_					_					1				
	_	_										-			
8144 10 11440/11411/1111/1141/1111/1141/1111/1141/1111/1141/1111/1141/1111/1141/1111/1141/1111/1141/1141/1141/						_						L	_		
	1114	2121	11 t	1100	916	#31e		8326	22.04	INEN	1120	- 14	4117		
ł.	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		_	2	2			1	
ē								-							
	7	•						đ		ī		111			
BARK CLUE the Tembers	ad Mephan	Leve arbut	3	ž	:	-	÷.	Junio Pilla Clora Seh		Call Palat PLS	ş	ticleads tytells		2	•
1	ź	4:5	Humalo	Creetting	Kepealos		dila felipe		1	÷.	CIAL AND	-	C13U	Manhan M	
	÷	4	ž	ũ	ž	ž,	-	-	4	ć	5	ź	5	ŝ	

•

.

۰.

-





Mean Monthly Rainfall Cubi Point Naval Air Station

mm ,llstniss

Mean Monthly Rainfall Clark Air Force Base



Figure 2.3.3



Figure 2.3.4

Mean Monthly Air Terrperature Cubi Point Naval Air Station

• \* \*\*



Air Temperature. C

Figure 2.3.5



## Figure 2.3.6



2

٤,



:

vallablo Daily Streamflow Dat Figure 2.4.1

ί.

-


:

Figure 2.4.2



..

Figure 2.4.3

. .

..-



ź

•

.



1.





- Summary Hychrographs Station W010A; Bulsa River, Villa Aglipay Busin Area = 405 sq. km

のない

C





Summary Ilydiographs Aution WOLLA, O'Dannell River, Palubiub

Dally Minimum, Average, and Maximum Discharges

.

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

· Summary Hydrographs Station W011&: O'Donnell River, Patling Basin Area - 112 sq. km



Figure 2.4.9

.....





Dally Minhmum. Average, and Muximmum Discharges

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Summary Hydrographs Stalion W023A, Camiling River, Nambalan Basin Area - 142 sq. km

٩



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

. . . Summary Hydrographs Slalion W081A; Pasig-Polrero River, Cabelican Basin Area - 242 sq. km



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.13

:





Summary Hydrographs Station W082A; Pasig-Potrero River, Hda Dolores

Dally Minimum, Average, and Maximum Discharges

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second





Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Summary Hydrographs Station W084A; Porac River, Del Carmen Basin Area = 111 sq. km Summary Hydrographs Station W086A; Gumain River, Pabanlag Basin Area - 128 sq. km



Figure 2.4.16

.





..

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

'Summary Hydrographs Slation W088A; Colo River, San Benilo Basin Area - 76 sq. km





· · · ·

Daily Minimum, Average, and Maximum Discharges



Station W093A; Bucao River, San Juan Basin Area = 615 sq. km Summary Hydrographs Station W094A; Santo Tomas River, Dalanawan Basin Arca - 177 sq. km



·Summary Hydrographs Station W099B; Maloma River, Maloma Basin Area = 151 sq. km



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

.....



Discharge. Cubic Meters per Second



Station W011A; O'Donnell River, Palublub

Monthily Flow Analysis

Basin Area = 240 sq. kın

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

MonUnly Flow Analysis Slalion W011B; O'Donnell River, Palling Basin Area = 112 sq. km



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.24

.



Discharge, Cudic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.25



Discharge. Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.26



Discharge. Cubic Meters per Second

Dac Avorage Monthly Minimum, Mean, and Maximum Daily Discharges Nov Monthly Flow Analysis Station W0B1A; Pasig-Potrero River, Cabelican Oct Sej - 242 sq. km. Aug lo, unf Basin Area May vpr Mar Average Day Averege Maxtinuiu Vey Average Mintinum Day Jol Jan 20 -1 5 0 202

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



· Monthly Flow Analysis Stalion W086A; Gumain River, Pabanlag Basin Area - 128 sq. km



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second





.:



Discharge. Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.32

. Monthly Flow Analysis Station W092A; Bagsit River, Dampai Basin Area - 68 sq. km



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.33



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second





Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.36

•



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

.


1 001 ••••• ; . ļ 30 : ; 202 : ...... 1 Daily Discharge Duration Curve Station W011B; O'Donnell River, Patling 2 ; . i 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Percent of Time Exceeded 1 Basin Area = 112 sq. km -----. ----0.1 0.05 0.01 0.02 ----100 100 300 --200 0

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



Discharge. Cubic Meters per Second



6

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.41

-



2

Figure 2.4.42

.



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.44

· · · ·



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Figure 2.4.45

. . . . . . .

•-



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

•



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



223



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

-

100 1 50 . Station W094A; Santo Tomas River, Dalanawan 202 i : . ...... : 0 ----: Daily Discharge Duration Curve : : S 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Percent of Time Exceeded Basin Area = 177 sq. km ..... : ..... i į 1 ----..... 1 The second second ----------, .... ļ -0.1 1 1 ----..... ----0.05 : ..... . 0.02 ; i ; -----: 0.01 ï 100 200 0 800 -600 -

Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second



Discharge, Cubic Meters per Second

Normalized Daily Discharge Duration Curves Gaged Streams near Mount Pinalubo



Normalized Daily Discharge (Daily Discharge / Mean Annual Flow)

Figure 2.4.52



Annual Basin Runoff Station W010A; Nulsa River, Villa Aglipay Basin Area 405 sq. km :







ann die Runoff. mm

Annual Basin Runoff Station W011A; O'Donnell River, Palublub Basin Area 240 sq. km







Annual Basin Runoff Station W023A; Camiling River, Nambalan Basin Area 142 sq. km



Annual Basin Runott. mm





mm .llonus nized levnas

Figure 2.4.59



۰.

anm .110nuA nize8 levanA





mm .llonuA nizsä levnnA

Figure 2.4.61

. . .





.







Annual Basin Runoff Station W092A; Bagsit River, Dampai Basin Area 68 sq. kun



Figure 2.4.65

÷





mm .llonus nizes lsunna

Figure 2.4.66

•





Annual Basin Runoff Station W099B; Maloma River, Malonna Basin Area 151 sq. km



•



RD324 MAX EVENTS 15-UNY UXA

\*

RD324 MAX EVENTS 81-DAY DUR RD324 MAX EVENTS 82-DAY DUR RD324 MAX EVENTS 85-DAY DUR RD324 MAX EVENTS 95-DAY DUR



≉ ¤÷¤

RUSAZ MAX EVENTS IS-CAY ININ

≵

. .

•

RUSAZ MAX EVENTS 01-DAY DUR RUSAZ MAX EVENTS 02-DAY DUR RUSAZ MAX EVENTS 05-DAY DUR RUSAZ MAX EVENTS 10-DAY DUR



\* 0 ÷ 0



10000 MAX EVENTS PEAK 3-DAY EXCL POST-71 10000 MAX MARLYTICAL EXP PROD PEAK 3-DAY EXCL POST-71

5



PINATUBO\_ANAL

ż

D

¥

-

••

.

WARGA MAX EVENTS PEAK INST H, POST-71 14086A MAX MAY-17124 EXP PROS PEAK INST H, POST-71 14086A MAX EVENTS PEAK 1-ONY H, POST-71 14086A MAX AMALVIICAL EXP PROS PEAK 1-DAY H, POST-71 . ...........



D



4886A MAX EVENTS PEAK INST 4. POST-71 1886A MAX ANATIZAK ESP PROD EFKA INST 4. POST-71 1886A MAX EVENTS PEKK INST 0 EXCL POST-71 1886A MAX EVENTS FEK INST 0 EXCL POST-71 ..... ............. \* ۵

•



.



•

.



LABBGA HAX EVENTS FEAK 1-DAY H. POST-71 LABBGA HAX MALYTIZAL EXP PROB PEAK 1-DAY H. POST-71 LABBGA HAX EVENTS FEAK 1-DAY EXCL POST-71 LABBGA HAX ANGLYTIZAL EXP PROB FEAK 1-DAY EXCL POST-71

-----




.



.

1

ī

111

- 4
- 14886 MAX EVENTS 81-DAY DUR 11. POST-71 14886 MAX ANLYTTCAL EXP PROD 81-DAY DUR 11. POST-71 14886 MAX REVINS 81-DAY DUR 149868 MAX ANLYTICAL EXP PROD 81-DAY DUR ۵

.



- -



14803A MAX EVENTS FEAK INST 0 14803A MAX MAYTITCH FEAP PROB PEAK INST 0 14803A MAX EVENTS FEAK 1-DAY 0 14803A MAX AVALYTICAL EXP PROB PEAK 1-DAY 0 \* ۵

.

.

s

- - - - **0** - - - -

••..)

.



40030 MAX EVENTS PEAK 3-DAY 0 EXCL 1962 100304 MAX ANNLYTICAL EXP PROB PEAK 3-DAY 0 EXCL 1962 ÷ 1002A MAX EVENTS FEAK INST O EXCL 1962 1002A MAX MAYTITCL EXP PROB FEAK INST O EXCL 1962 14002A MAX EVENTS FEAK 1-OMY O EXCL 1952 14002A MAX AMALYTICOL EXP PROB FEAK 1-DAY O EXCL 1962 : ------\* ۵



•



• •\_

. .

•

14093A MAX EVENTIS PEAK INST 0 14003A max mak-yitada ferp rispingar 14003A max eventis Peak Inst 0 excl 1902 1403A max analyitaal exp prob peak inst 0 excl 1902 ......... ٥ \*

.



•

···· · · · · · · ·

.





•

14023A MAX EVENTS PEAK 3-DAY O PEAK 3-DAY O 14033A MAX MAYTITGA. EXP PROD PEAK 3-DAY O 14033A MAX EVENTS PEAK 3-DAY O EXCL 1982 14033A MAX AWAYTTGA. EXP PROD PEAK 3-DAY O EXCL 1982 o \*

---



ł

4893A PAX EVENTS 81-DAY DUR 1893A PAX VALYTIZL EXP PROB 81-DAY DUR 1893A PAX EVENTS 812-DAY DUR EXCL 1992 1893A PAX AVALYTIZAL EXP PROB 81-DAY DUR EXCL 1992 \* ۵

.

.

----

Figure 2.4.82

• Ъ.)

. ÷



14892A MAX EVENTE 62-DAY DUR 14892A MAX MAYLTIZLE EXP MAD 60-DNY DUR 14892A MAX EVENTE 62-DAY DUR EXCL. 1982 14892A MAX AWLYTICAL EXP PROB 62-DAY DUR EXCL. 1962 \* ۵

•



.



\*

140946 141% EVENTS FEAK INST 0 57-87 140946 141% Avent 1-171244. EXY RAGB FEAK INST 0 57-87 140946 141% Avent 1-01% 57-87 140946 141% Avent 171244. EXY PAGB FEAK 1-064 57-87

ž : 9

:

.

---

W04A MAX EVENTS PEAK 3-DAY 57-67 W04A MAX AVALYTICAL EXP PROD PLAY 3-DAY 57-67

.

;

- ----

÷

48740 MAX EVENTS PEAK 3-DAY 57-67 EXCL 62 48740 MAX ANNLYTICAL EXP MOB PEAK 3-DAY 57-67 EXCL 82 . 18948 MAX EVENTS PEAK ZN3T G 57-67 EXCL 82 18948 MAX ANKLTOXL, EX PROB PEAK INST G 57-67 EXCL G2......... 18948 MAX EVENTS PEAK 1-0AY 57-67 EXCL 82 18948 MAX ANKLYTIZAL EXP PROB PEAK 1-DAY 57-67 EXCL 82 1.....



4

.....

•





14094A MAX EVENTS PEAK INST 0 48-67 EXCL 62 14094A MAX AN4LYTICAL EXP PROB PF-4K INST 0 48-67 EXCL 62 14094A MAX EVENTS PEAK 1-0AY 40-67 EXCL 62 14094A MAX AN4LYTICAL EXP PROB PEAK 1-DAY 48-67 EXCL 62 ۵

\*



-

PINATUBO\_ANAL

:|



•

\* ۵ ;



:

...

•.

-



140940 MAX EVENTS 01-DAY DUR 40-67 140946 MAX ANNLYTICAL EXP PROD 01-DAY OUR 40-67 140940 MAX ANNLYTICAL EXP PROD 01-DAY OUR 40-67 EXCL 62

......... ----

÷



\*

140946 MAX EVENTS 81-CAY CUR 140946 MAX ANALYTICAL EXP FROB 81-CAY CUR 140946 MAX EVENTS 91-CAY CUR EXCL 1962 140946 MAX ANALYTICAL EXP FROB 81-CAY CUR EXCL 1962 1

٥ :

Figure 2.4.92

--

•







۰.



Figure 2.4.94



٠

Figure 2.4.95

ř



Y

Figure 2.4.96



Figure 2.4.97

:



Figure 2.4.98



Figure 2.4.99

÷.



Figure 2.4.100

•..

.



Figure 2.4.101

;.





.

ņ

.



Figure 2.4.104



Figure 2.4.105



Figure 2.4.106



Figure 2.4.107



107E. Rollos dorived from Nydrology and Flood Poroceat Center, 1960.50, 1981. Nainfall Intensity-Duretion-Frequency Data of the Phillppines' Volume 1, First Edition.

: : : : :

Figure 2.4.108



\_ ..<sup>•</sup>

-.--

•

•



Effect of Return Period on Station-to-Station

Ratio ol Same-Frequency-Duration Hda. Luisita (RA016) / Iba (RD32 Iba (RD324) , nie A


Figure 2.4.111



mm .llsinish yruch



Hourly Rainfall. mm

••• ••• •••



Hourly Rainfall, mm

Figure 2.4.113



÷

. ..



Design Rainfall Hyelographs

Hourly Rainfall, mm



•

Figure 3.2.1





.

ŀ

.

.







. 1

: -

Figure 3.2.5

•



•



· .

.

Figure 3.2.6

D

.......................







¥ ` \_

:

÷.,



Lagya may events fem just a Lagya may malytical erp frog fem just a Lagya may revents fem just a excl jage

-----

•.

Figure 3.2.8

\* D

.









.





٠ •

D

. . . . ·. ··

•



÷.,



4

-----

-----

•

.........

D

÷

۰. .

148749 1492 EVENTS 01-CMV DUR 48-67 148940 1492 14924 EXP FROB 01-CMV DUR 40-67 148940 1492 1494 1471501, EXP FROB 01-CMV DUR 48-67 EXCL 52 ......... ÷

148940 MMX EVENTS 81-DMY DJR 148940 MMX EVENTS 81-DMY DJR 148940 MMX EVENTS 81-DMY DJR EXCL 1582 148940 MMX EVENTS 81-DMY DJR EXCL 1582 -.....

•,



# ۵



. .

> 1409-40 MY EVENTE 82-001 UR 1409-40 MY ANLYTTCH EUP FROB 82-001 UN 1409-40 MY EVENTE 82-001 UK EVEL 1982 1409-40 MY ANLYTTCH EXP PROB 82-001 UK EXCL 1982 ................ D

•



---



Unil Hydrograph Abacan Sub-Basin A1

.

Figure 3.5.1

•. •. .-





Discharge, cubic meters per second

-



Abacan Sub-Basin A4

Unit Hydrograph

Discharge, cubic meters per second

Figure 3.5.3



.



÷

۰.



Discharge, cubic meters per second

Computed Flood Hydrographs Sacobia-Bamban Basin at Site S4DS

Ľ

Figure 3.5.7





Discharge, cubic meters per second







,







Discharge, cubic meters per second

Figure 3.5.14







Discharge, cubic meters per second





Discharge, cubic meters per second

Computed Flood Hydrographs O'Donnell Basin at Site 01DS

Figure 3.5.17

÷ ٩,



Discharge. cubic meters per second

Figure 3.5.18














۰. •••



-

•

.



. . .



.

- -







. . . . . . . .

Discharge, cubic meters per second

Figure 3.5.31

.





Figure 3.5.33

.



Figure 3.5.34









•.

















•.











Discharge, cubic meters per second

Computed Flood Hydrographs Santo Tomas Basin at Site T3DS





Computed Flood Hydrographs Santo Tomas Basin at Site T7DS



Figure 3.5.50







0

Computed Flood Hydrographs Bucao Basin at Site B1DS 200 400 300 -

۰,

Discharge, cubic meters per second

Figure 3.5.53

.



Figure 3.5.54

. .



Figure 3.5.55

.



Figure 3.5.56

• • •


Figure 3.5.57



Figure 3.5.58



Figure 3.5.59



Discharge, cubic meters per second

Figure 3.5.60



Figure 3.5.61







Figure 3.5.64





Discharge, cubic meters per second



Ŷ

Figure 3.5.67



Figure 3.5.68

٦





24-Hour Volume (cubic decameters)



•

•••



.

ART & COMPANY

Daily Discharge (m<sup>3</sup>/s)





Depth of Flow (meters)



414.1.1



Depth of Flow (meters)

n



Pasig-Potrero River (RK 1-5) - Existing Condition At Lower (D/S) Bridge For Clear-Water Discharges of the 2-Through 500Year Floods

٦





•;;





٦



: -

÷.,,



Depth of How (meters)

Figure 3.6.10



(cristern) woFI to ritgeD





Depth of Flow (meters)



÷





: ---

-1



(ens:: n) worl to digad



Depth of How (meters)



÷

.



-----

.





REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of in gathering and maintaining the data needed as collection of information including suggestion bars highway. Sure 12:04. Arlangton, VA 2220	formation is estimated to average + hour pe- id completing and reviewing the collection of a for reducing this burden to Washington He 24302 and to the Office of Management and	r response including the time for revi information - Send comments regard adquarters Services. Dire 20rate for in 1 Budget: Paperwork Reduction Project	eveng instructions, searching existing data sources ing this burden estimate or any other aspect of the non-usion Operations and Arports. 1215 ietfersor t (0764-0188), Washington, DC 20593	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla		3. REPORT TYPE AND Final report	AND DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Post Eruption Hydrology and Hydraulics of Mount Pinatubo, The Philippines			S, FUNDING NUMBERS	
s. AUTHOR(S) Hilaire W. Peck, Karl W. E Leytham	riksen, Monte L. Pearson, K.	Malcolm		
7. performing organization name(s) and address(es) See reverse.			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Technical Report GL-94-16	
<ul> <li>SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</li> <li>U.S. Agency for International Development</li> <li>U.S. Embassy, Manila, The Philippines</li> </ul>			ID. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
			ad, Springfield, VA 22161.	
Approved for public release		1	25 DISTRUBUTION CODE	
basins affected by the catass Approximately 5.6 billion of the upper watershed areas a causing lahars that have flo have displaced tens of thom	nted in this report provides a trophic eruption of Mount Pi ubic meters of medium- to f round Mount Pinatubo. Rain oded low-lying areas. Flood sands of people from their ha agricclture in the lower basis o the design of measures to a	inatubo, The Philippines ine-grained pyroclastic- fall-runoff has rapidly ( ling and sedimentation fi omes, destroyed bridges in. The analysis of this 1 address long-term floodi	, on 15 June 1991. flow material was deposited in proded eruption materials, rom Mount Pinatubo Lahars and crops, and decreased the report presents the hydrology	
4. SURJECT TERMS See reverse.			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 406 16. PRICE CODE	

C

7. Concieded.

U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, P.O. Box 2946, Portland, OR 97208-2946; U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199; Northwest Hydraulics Consultants, Inc., Kent, WA 98032

14. Concluded.

Flood events HEC modeling Hydranlics Hydrology Rainfall Stream discharge