

AD-A279 971



Developments  
in  
Mechanics

Volume 16

DTIC  
ELECTE  
JUN 06 1994  
S G D

Proceedings  
of the  
Twenty-Second Midwestern Mechanics Conference

94-16743



488

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

Edited by  
R. C. Batra and B. F. Armaly

University of Missouri - Rolla  
Rolla, Missouri  
October 6-9, 1991

94 6 6 001

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BLOCK BELOW:

- 17 Papers copies are being forwarded. Indicate whether Statement A, B, C, D, E, F, or X applies.
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A:  
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THEIR CONTRACTORS; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DOD AND U.S. DOD CONTRACTORS ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DOD COMPONENTS ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F:  
FURTHER DISSEMINATION ONLY AS DIRECTED BY (Indicate Controlling DoD Office and Date) or HIGHER DOD AUTHORITY.
- DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTERPRISES ELIGIBLE TO OBTAIN EXPORT-CONTROLLED TECHNICAL DATA IN ACCORDANCE WITH DOD DIRECTIVE 5230.25, WITHHOLDING OF UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL DATA FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, 6 Nov 1984 (Indicate date of determination). CONTROLLING DOD OFFICE IS (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).
- This document was previously forwarded to DTIC on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) and the AD number is \_\_\_\_\_.
- In accordance with the provisions of DoD instructions, the document requested is not supplied because:
- It is TOP SECRET.
- It is excepted in accordance with DoD instructions pertaining to communications and electronic intelligence.
- It is a registered publication.
- It is a contract or grant proposal, or an order.
- It will be published at a later date. (Enter approximate date, if known.)
- Other. (Give Reason.)

Karen M Meredith  
Authorized Signature Date

Karen M. Meredith  
Print or Typed Name  
DSN 872-2731  
Telephone Number

CHARACTERIZATION OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES  
AT HIGH STRAIN-RATES USING THE TAYLOR IMPACT TEST

by  
S.E. Jones  
The University of Alabama  
Peter P. Gillis  
University of Kentucky  
and  
J.C. Foster, Jr., J.W. House, and L. L. Wilson  
AFATL, Eglin AFB, FL

The Taylor impact test has been used for a number of years to provide estimates for the dynamic yield stress of ductile, isotropic materials. Initially, these estimates were accomplished from post-test measurements by means of one-dimensional mathematical theory [1]. Through the years this theory has been improved and revised to include a number of material properties. There are too many contributions to specifically mention here.

The direction that most contemporary thinking has taken is to employ a large scale computer analysis to include as many effects as possible [2]. There are obvious advantages to this. However, the one-dimensional models still offer researchers the ability to study the dominant features of the impact event. It is this direction that the authors have chosen.

In 1987, the authors [3] offered an alternative to the classical Taylor equation of motion for the undeformed section of the specimen.

The new equation included a relative velocity term which accounted for the mass loss across the rigid-plastic interface. Later, this equation was used as the basis for a theory which separated the analysis into two fairly distinct regimes [4]. The two regimes represent early time deformation close to the anvil face that is dominated by extremely high strain rate behavior of the specimen material. The second regime accounts for most of the event and is characterized by constant plastic wave speed relative to the anvil face. This phase of the deformation is carried out at a much lower average strain rate than the primary phase.

Based on some simplifying assumptions regarding the geometry of the mushrooming region, an elementary theory which estimates stress as a function of strain rate is devised. This theory is used to characterize the high strain rate behavior of several useful materials. To a large extent, uncertainties about the simplified mushroom geometry have been shown to have little influence on the results [5].

1. G. I. Taylor, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A, 194, 289 (1948).
2. G. R. Johnson and T. J. Holmquist, J. Appl. Phys., 64, 3901 (1988).
3. S. E. Jones, P. P. Gillis, and J. C. Foster, Jr., J. Appl. Phys., 61, 499 (1987).
4. S. E. Jones, P. P. Gillis, J. C. Foster, Jr., and L. L. Wilson, J. Engr. Matis. Tech. (Trans. ASME) (to appear).
5. J. D. Cinnamon, S. E. Jones, J. C. Foster, Jr., and P. P. Gillis, Proc. 6th Intl. Conf. Mech Behavior Metals (1991) (to appear).

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	