			·			
ſ	AD-A279	686		GE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		ge 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and ormation. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including is, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA			
1			eport date May 1994	PORT DATE 3 REPORT TYPE A Aay 1994 Profession		
ŀ	NITLE AND SUBTITLE MOCVD GROWN SI-DOPED n REGION IN HBTS	+InP LAYERS	FOR THE SUBCOLLE	CTOR 5. FUNDIN PR:	G NUMBERS - EEB3 DN304100	
6	S. AUTHOR(S) C. M. Hanson and A. R. Clawson	n		2.001100		
7	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND A Hughes Aircraft Company Technology Center 6155 El Camino Real Carlsbad, CA 92009–1699	DDRESS(ES)		8. PERFOR REPOR	MING ORGANIZATION T NUMBER	
8	9. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) Naval Command, Control and C RDT&E Division San Diego, CA 92152-5001	nce Center (NCCOSC)	10. SPON AGEN	SORING/MONITORING CY REPORT NUMBER		
	IZZ. DISTRIBUTION VAVALDADILI IT STATEMEN (J	MAY 2 5 1994		94-15576	
	Approved for public release; di	Stribution is un	Imited.		94-15576	
	Approved for public release; di	stribution is un	MAY 2 5 1994	the Subcollector Reg	94–15576	
) 1) 7	Approved for public release; dia 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper describes MOC Subcollector layers in emi it. Ideally, the n ⁺ subcollector transition between it and the a for low resistance contacts to t ants(3,4), but memory effects (usefulness. Si-doping of InP si <1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ , similar to Si-dop tion levels are seen from a few that lower growth temperature	EVD Grown Si-I atter-up HBTs at layer should had adjacent undope the subcollector i.e., continuing hows no memor bed GaAs (5), ab citations of car e may be the ke	MAY 2 5 1994 Jimited.	the Subcollector Registration of the collector registration of the collector registration of the collector ratio, good morphology ier concentrations in $x10^{19}/cm^3$ is reported ion into subsequently reacting the report of the collector of t	94-15576 iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	
2.T2 #2	Approved for public release; dia 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper describes MOC Subcollector layers in emi it. Ideally, the n ⁺ subcollector transition between it and the a for low resistance contacts to t ants(3,4), but memory effects (usefulness. Si-doping of InP si <1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ , similar to Si-dop tion levels are seen from a few that lower growth temperature	EVD Grown Si-I itter-up HBTs a layer should ha adjacent undope the subcollector i.e., continuing hows no memor bed GaAs (5), ab citations of car e may be the ke	MAY 2 5 1994 Jumited.	the Subcollector Region contact to the collector rity, good morphology ier concentrations in $x10^{19}/cm^3$ is reported ion into subsequently r maximum carrier corphology occurs. Pro- to $\sim 2x10^{19}/cm^3$ (6,7) doping.	94-15576 for in HBTs. or region grown immediately abor and an abrupt high/low doping excess of 1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ are desirable for Sn (1,2),S,Se and Te dop- y grown undoped layers) limit the oncentrations seem to be limited spects for higher Si dopant satur and some of these results sugges	
2. TO Free S Fr	Approved for public release; dia 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper describes MOC Subcollector layers in emi it. Ideally, the n ⁺ subcollector transition between it and the a for low resistance contacts to t ants(3,4), but memory effects (usefulness. Si-doping of InP si <1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ , similar to Si-dop tion levels are seen from a few that lower growth temperature Published in Proceedings	EVD Grown Si-I itter-up HBTs a layer should ha adjacent undope he subcollector i.e., continuing hows no memor wed GaAs (5), ab citations of car e may be the ke	MAY 2 5 1994 Jimited. Doped n ⁺ InP Layers for are used to make ohmic of ave low electrical resistive ed collector region. Carr layers. Doping above 1: of the dopant incorporate ry problem (3,4), howeve bove which degraded mon trier concentrations up t by to achieving higher Si <i>Related Materials Conf</i>	the Subcollector Reg contact to the collector rity, good morphology ier concentrations in $x10^{19}$ /cm ³ is reported ion into subsequently r maximum carrier corphology occurs. Pro- o $-2x10^{19}$ /cm ³ (6,7) -doping. DTIC Difference performance of the subsequently performance of the subsequently compared to the subsequently provide the subsequently compared to the subsequently performance of the subsequently performance of the subsequently compared to the subsequently performance of the subsequently compared to the subsequently performance of the subsequently perfo	94-155576 mini HBTs. or region grown immediately above y and an abrupt high/low doping excess of 1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ are desirable for Sn (1,2),S,Se and Te dop- y grown undoped layers) limit the oncentrations seem to be limited of spects for higher Si dopant satur and some of these results sugges	
2. 一〇 千少 つ 千つ	Approved for public release; dia 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper describes MOC Subcollector layers in emi it. Ideally, the n ⁺ subcollector transition between it and the a for low resistance contacts to t ants(3,4), but memory effects (usefulness. Si-doping of InP si <1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ , similar to Si-dop tion levels are seen from a few that lower growth temperature Published in Proceedings 14. SUBJECT TERMS electronic devices ion beam technology VLSI/VH	EVD Grown Si-I atter-up HBTs at layer should have adjacent undope the subcollector i.e., continuing hows no memor bed GaAs (5), ab citations of car e may be the ke	MAY 2 5 1994 Jimited.	the Subcollector Reg contact to the collector vity, good morphology ier concentrations in $x10^{19}/cm^3$ is reported ion into subsequently r maximum carrier corphology occurs. Pro o $\sim 2x10^{19}/cm^3$ (6,7) -doping. DTIC 2000 erence, 1994.	94-155576 www.initiality.initiality from in HBTs. or region grown immediately above y and an abrupt high/low doping excess of 1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ are desirable for Sn (1,2),S,Se and Te dop- y grown undoped layers) limit the oncentrations seem to be limited of spects for higher Si dopant satur and some of these results sugges 	
2.10 千少 つ ドン	Approved for public release; dia 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper describes MOC Subcollector layers in emi it. Ideally, the n ⁺ subcollector transition between it and the a for low resistance contacts to t ants(3,4), but memory effects (usefulness. Si-doping of InP si <1x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ , similar to Si-dop tion levels are seen from a few that lower growth temperature Published in Proceedings 14. SUBJECT TERMS electronic devices ion beam technology VLSI/VH 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1	EVD Grown Si-I EVD Grown Si-I itter-up HBTs a layer should ha adjacent undope he subcollector i.e., continuing hows no memor bed GaAs (5), ab citations of car e may be the ke	MAY 2 5 1994 Jimited. Doped n ⁺ InP Layers for are used to make ohmic of ave low electrical resistive ed collector region. Carr layers. Doping above 1 of the dopant incorporat ry problem (3,4), howeve bove which degraded mor trier concentrations up t by to achieving higher Si Related Materials Conf	the Subcollector Reg contact to the collector rity, good morphology ier concentrations in x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ is reported ion into subsequently r maximum carrier corphology occurs. Pro o ~2x10 ¹⁹ /cm ³ (6,7) -doping. DTIC Prince 1994.	94-155576 iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	

-

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

•

•,

Standard form 298 (FRONT)

.

.

Best Available Copy

UNCLASSIF	ED
-----------	----

•,

•,

21a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	21b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)	21c OFFICE SYMBOL
C. M. Hanson	(619) 553-5242	Code 555

Accesion For				
NTIS CRA&I				
By Distribution /				
Availability Codes				
Dist Avail and / or Special				
A- (20			

- · --- ·

 MP8

MOCVD Grown Si-Doped n⁺ InP Layers for the Subcollector Region in HBTs

A. R. Clawson UCSD ECE Department-0407 La Jolla, CA 92093-0407 USA

C. M. Hanson NCCOSC RDTE DIV Code 555 San Diego, CA 92152-5000 USA

Introduction

Subcollector layers in emitter-up HBTs are used to make ohmic contact to the collector region grown immediately above it. Ideally the n⁺ subcollector layer should have low electrical resistivity, good morphology and an abrupt high/low doping transition between it and the adjacent undoped collector region. Carrier concentrations in excess of 1×10^{19} /cm³ are desirable for low resistance contacts to the subcollector layers. Doping above 1×10^{19} /cm³ is reported for Sn (1,2), S, Se and Te dopants(3,4), but memory effects (i.e., continuing of the dopant incorporation into subsequently grown undoped layers) limit their usefulness. Si-doping of InP shows no memory problem (3,4), however maximum carrier concentrations seem to be limited to < 1×10^{19} /cm³, similar to Si-doped GaAs (5), above which degraded morphology occurs. Prospects for higher Si dopant saturation levels are seen from a few citations of carrier concentrations up to -2×10^{19} /cm³ (6,7) and some of these results suggest that lower growth temperature may be the key to achieving higher Si-doping.

Experimental

To explore the possibilities of achieving higher ntype doping with Si, we have done a systematic assessment of Si-doping dependence on growth conditions. In a previous work (4), we have categorized the dependence of moderate silicon doping $(n \le 1 \times 10^{18}/cm^3)$ of InP on growth conditions, which is summarized in Table 1. The growth conditions which produced the highest concentrations were used as a starting point for this study.

Table 1: Dependence of carrier concentration of InP:Si for moderate doping concentrations (Ref 4)

Growth Parameter	Carrier Concentration Dependency
Growth Pressure (Constant silane flow)	Linearly proportional
Growth Temperature	Increases, doubling from 550°C to 700°C
Silane flow	Lincarly proportional
Trimethylindium flow	Inversely proportional
Phosphine flow	Independent

The MOCVD growth was performed in a horizontal reactor with 100 sccm TMI flow (17°C bubbler temperature, 800 Torr bubbler pressure; concentration -8.3×10^{-5} m f $_{2}$, 200 sccm 10% PH₃ flow (concentration

~1.33x10⁻² m.f.), 1500 sccm total gas flow, with growth rates ~4 Å/sec. The dopant gas was 0.5 % silane in hydrogen (concentration ~ 3.3 x 10⁻⁶ m.f. per sccm). InP(Si) MOCVD growths were performed over a range of chamber pressures of 9 to 100 Torr and a temperatures range of 475°C to 650 °C.

The dependences of conductivity, σ , and carrier concentration, n, from Van der Pauw / Hall measurements, and of the morphology were characterized for InP(Si) at two growth temperatures (Tg), 550°C and 650°C, and at two growth pressures (Pg), 20 Torr and 100 Torr. The visual appearance of the layers is qualitatively described as either smooth (the layer looks shiny with quality similar to undoped InP), *textured* (the layer looks shiny but hazy), or matte (the layer looks dull grey matte). High magnification Nomarski micrographs show detail of the layer surfaces for the different regions. For the application as a low loss contact layer we have chosen to show the electrical data as the conductivity, σ , and the Hall effect free carrier concentration, n, since these should track each other as $\sigma = neu$ (where e is the electron charge and μ the electron mobility).

Figure 1 shows the effect of Si-doping at high growth temperature and low pressure (Tg=650°C/Pg=20 Torr) for change of silane flows during MOCVD InP growths. The layer morphologies remain smooth up to carrier concentration of ~ 1 x 10^{19} cm⁻³. At higher n it is evident that much of the additional Si incorporation is nonelectrically active, and the degradation of the morphology suggests that precipitates of si disrupt the planar epilayer growth. At the onset of degraded morphology the carrier concentration initially saturates then continues to increase, while the conductivity decreases continuously.



The results for growth at high growth temperature/high pressure (Tg=650°C/Pg=100 Torr) shown in figure 2 are similar, however the morphology degradation makes a more abrupt transition from smooth to matte around $1x10^{19}$ /cm³. In this case σ simply saturates, even though n continues to increase. As expected the silane concentrations for comparable carrier concentrations are lower for the higher growth pressure.

 $>10 \mu m <$



Decrease of growth temperature to 550°C shows a **significant** increase in the achievable InP conductivity. For **Si-doping** at low growth temperature/low pressure **Tg=550°C**/Pg=20 Torr) in figure 3 both σ and n increase with silane flow up to $n = 2.6 \times 10^{19}/\text{cm}^3$ at the maximum flane flow of 140 sccm. The combination of low pressure severely reduces the Si

incorporation efficiency, thus requiring excessive amount of silane. The excessive silane may limit the practicality of growing at lower temperatures. It is noteworthy that even with mole fractions of silane that exceed the TMI concentration by a factor of 5, there is no observable change in InP growth rate. There is little or no dependence of either the gas phase or surface InP growth chemistry on the presence of silane.



For growth at 550°C - 100 Torr in figure 4, the greater Si-incorporation efficiency increases the range of Si to show saturation of n at ~ 2.6 x 10^{19} /cm³ and degradation of the morphology for higher silane flow





115

A new morphological problem, hillocks, is encountered for growths at 550°C. The formation of hillocks at these growth temperatures is not related to silicon doping, but appears to be related to substrate defects. The behavior of hillocks in InP MOCVD growth has been discussed recently by Nakamura (8), and their description is consistent with our own observations. The hillocks are believed to result from threading dislocations propagating from the substrate defects, thus the layer morphologies depend on the substrate quality and preparation. Inhibition of hillock formation can be achieved with higher growth temperatures or with higher growth rates - conditions which are counter to achieving high level Si incorporation. It is reported that for both InP(8) and GaAs (9,10) MOCVD growth, the hillock formation is inhibited with slight misorientation of the substrate from (100), thus the serious morphology problem from the 550°C growth may be avoidable.

At a constant temperature and pressure, a reduced TMI flow (and correspondingly reduced growth rate) increases the silicon incorporation, apparent in Table 2. For both pairs of layers listed the increased carrier concentrations, as the TMI is reduced, are accompanied by morphology transition from shiny to dull grey matte.

Table 2: Effect of reduced TMI on InP(Si) layers

SiH4 (sccm)	P (Torr)	SiH4 (sccm)	TMI (sccm)	n, x10 ¹⁸ (cm ⁻³)	μ (cm²/ V-s)	morph -ology
20	9	20	100	5.5	1117	shiny
20	9	20	50	12	290	matte
40	9	40	100	7.6	1121	shiny
40	9	40	50	15	600	matte

The abruptness of high/low doping junctions was characterized using C-V measurements made with an electrochemical C-V profiler, PN4200 by Biorad. The measurements were made on samples with nominally 1 µm of undoped InP grown on top of 0.5 µm of heavily doped InP (Si) on a conductive InP (S) substrate. The carrier concentration on the highest doped samples (550 °C, 100 Torr) changed three orders of magnitude, 2x10¹⁶/cm³ to 1.7×10^{19} /cm³, within 0.1 μ m as shown in figure 5. The initial surface depletion is large, 0.7 µm, corresponding to a carrier concentration of ~2x10¹⁵/cm². Subsequent growth of undoped InP layer showed background carrier concentration indicative of undoped material. High/low junctions were also grown using Sn, with similar carrier concentration levels, and characterized as shown in figure 6. They also show a fairly abrupt transition between the high/low region, but about an order of magnitude higher background carrier concentration remains within the undoped region. The initial surface depletion is much less, 0.2 µm, corresponding to a carrier concentration of 1.5x10¹⁶/cm³ Subsequent growth of a thick InP layer shows a carrier concentration spike at the epi-layer/substrate interface, and a higher than usual background concentration which is larger near the epi-layer/substrate interface and tapers down toward the surface.







electrochemical C-V. n+ layer grown at 650°C, 100 Torr.

Discussion

From the observed carrier concentration dependence on growth conditions, we believe that the limiting matte morphology of high silicon doped InP by MOCVD very likely results from the formation of Si precipitates. Our best results (highest doping with good morphology) occur for growth conditions which suppresses the time which silicon atoms have to migrate and find each other. Conditions which would allow clustering of the Si are those that would enhance Si surface diffusion such as high growth temperature and low growth rate. Our 650°C growth conditions seem to meet the conditions for easy Si migration and thus cause the rather low apparent solid saturation level of Si in InP. When the growth temperature is sufficiently low the Si atoms may be trapped on the surface due to their limited opportunity to migrate and thus become incorporated as electrically active donors in the lattice rather than forming neutral clusters. The prediction for MOCVD has been that growth temperatures are too high to observe this behavior(5) whereas it may be observable with the lower growth temperatures of MBE. In our case at 550°C we seem to be seeing this effect with the apparent higher Si solid saturation level at lower growth temperature.

The electrical behavior of the high Si doped layers also lends support that at high concentrations Si atoms cluster to form second phase precipitate inclusions in the InP layer. Charge carrier scattering from such inclusions would account for the reduced mobility. Wieder(11) discusses the effects of a second phase included in polycrystalline semiconductor layers and points out that the resulting change in n from measured Hall coefficients is small but that the change in measured conductivity is large, and this is the behavior we observe for InP(Si). Reduced mobility might also be explained by compensation, such as Si incorporation on P lattice sites or by interstitial Si/defect complexes to introduce electrical compensation. However studies correlating n to the amount of incorporated dopant for S(12) and for Sn(13), which show the same μ vs. n behavior as Si, also show no evidence that the dopant goes anywhere but on In lattice sites.

A possible interpretation of the cause of the matte morphology is that it to be related to a homogeneous gas phase reaction that forms solid particles that then disturb the epilayer growth. However the data of Table II indicate that gas phase reactions have not changed significantly. The silane flow is unchanged, thus there should be no increase in gas phase supersaturation to promote particle generation. Yet the matte morphology is observed when the TMI, and consequently the growth rate, is reduced. The higher n with the lower growth rate promotes formation of Si precipitates.

Conclusions

Carrier concentration levels up to 2.6x10¹⁹/cm³ from Si-doping of InP can be achieved with MOCVD by lowering growth temperature to 550°C. Formation of hillocks at this temperature (unrelated to the doping) limits the surface smoothness for device structures. However, reported use of off-orientation substrates to inhibit hillock formation offers an approach to take advantage of high levels of Si-doping for n+ InP grown at 550°C. Si-dopant is confirmed to show no evidence of memory effect for contaminating subsequently grown undoped InP in high/low doped structures.

References

(1) A. R. Clawson and C. M. Hanson, J. Electron Mater., Vol. 20, pp. 365-372, May 1991.

(2) C. J. Pinzone, N. D. Gerrard, R. D. Dupuis, N. T. Ha and H. S. Lufiman, J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 67, pp. 6823-6829, June 1990.

(3) M. Oishi, S. Nojima and H. Asahi, Jpn. J. Appl.

Phys., Vol. 24, pp. L380-L382, May 1985.

(4) A. R. Clawson, T. T. Vu and D. I. Elder, J. Crystal Growth, Vol. 83, pp. 211-218, 1982.

(5) X. Tang, H. G. M. Lochs, P. R. Hageman, M. H. J.

M. De Croon, L. J. Giling and A. J. Bass, J. Crystal Growth, Vol. 98, pp. 827-837, 1989.

(6) C. Blaauw, F. R. Shepperd, C. J. Miner and A. J.

Springthorpe, J. Electron Mater., Vol. 19, pp. 1-6, January 1990.

(7) A. W. Hanson, S. A. Stockton and G. E. Stillman,

IEEE Electron Device Lett., Vol. 13, pp. 504-506, October 1992.

(8) M. Nakamura, S. Katsura, N. Makino, E. Ikeda, K.

Suga and H. Hirano, J. Cryst. Growth, Vol. 129, pp. 456-464, 1993).

(9) S. Takagishi, H. Yao and H. Mori, J. Cryst. Growth, Vol. 129, pp. 443-448, 1993.

(10) S.Takagishi and H. Yao, J. Cryst. Growth, Vol. 123, pp. 203-212, 1992.

(11) H. H. Wieder, <u>Intermetallic Semiconductor Thin</u> <u>Films</u>, Pergamon Press, 1970, pp. 119-120.

(12) D. A. Anderson, N. Apsley, P. Davies and R. L. Giles, J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 58, pp. 3059-3067, October 1985.

(13) M. R. Brozel, E. J.Foulkes, I. R. Grant, L. Li, D. T. J. Hurle, and M. R. Ware, J. Crystal Growth, Vol. 70, pp. 191-198, 1984.