Public reporting h	"MZ// 000 _	••••	OBM No. 0704-0188	OBM No. 0704-0188	
maintaining the da for reducing this t the Office of Man.		nse, including the time for reviewin rents regarding this burden or any off ttions and Reports, 1215 Jefferson DC 20503.	g instructions, searching existing data sources, gath er aspect of this collection of information, including su Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-43	1999 1999 102, 1	
1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank	(). 2. Report Date. April 1993	3. Report Type and Final - Proceedi	Report Type and Dates Covered. Final - Proceedings		
 4. Title and Subtitle. Classical scattering theory of waves from the view point of an eigenvalue problem and application to target idendification 6. Author(s). C. Bottcher*, M. R. Strayer*, and M. F. Werby 			5. Funding Numbers. Contract Program Element No. 0601153N Project No. 03202 Task No. 340	ers. ^{ko.} 0601153N 03202 340	
7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es). Naval Research Laboratory Acoustic Simulation and Tactics Branch Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-5004			Work Unit No. 571505703 8. Performing Organization Report Number. NRL/PP/718193-0036		
9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agend Naval Research Laboratory Center for Environmental Act Stennis Space Center, MS 3	10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. NRL/PP/718193-0036				
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			94-10583		
Approved for public releas	e; distribution is unlimited.		34-1038.	3	
Approved for public releas 13. Abstract (Maximum 200 word The Helmholtz-Poincare W be found for the cases of acou extended boundary integral e PWE's. This coupled set of ex- scattering problem, but it also coupled equations are derived including a biorthogonal expa is available since the unknow possible choices, we develop to the surface of the object. In kind) and eigenvalue problem presented.	e; distribution is unlimited. s). Vave Equation (H-PWE) arises in r ustical scattering from submerged equations (EBIE) method is derived pressions has the advantage of no o obviates the problem of irregular ad, they can be obtained in matrix unsion of the Green function. However n surface quantities may be expanded o an optimal method to obtain such a effect, we convert part of the problem n of a related Hermition operator.	nany areas of classical bounded objects and ele from considering both tonly offering a prescrip values corresponding all r ver, some freedom of ch ded in a variety of ways s expansions which is bas em (tat associated with The methodology will be	wave scattering theory. In particula ectromagnetic scattering form object the exterior and interior solutions of to fictitious interior eigenvalues. Ou elevant terms in partial wave expa oice in the choice of the surface exp so long as closure is obtained. Out of sed on the optimum eigenfunctions the Fredholms integral equation of e explained in detail and examples	ar it cts. f th extension of m rel the wi	
Approved for public releas 13. Abstract (Maximum 200 word The Helmholtz-Poincare W be found for the cases of acou extended boundary integral e PWE's. This coupled set of ex- scattering problem, but it also coupled equations are derived including a biorthogonal expa is available since the unknow possible choices, we develop to the surface of the object. In kind) and eigenvalue problem presented.	e; distribution is unlimited. (a) (ave Equation (H-PWE) arises in r ustical scattering from submerged equations (EBIE) method is derived (pressions has the advantage of no o obviates the problem of irregular ed, they can be obtained in matrix unsion of the Green function. Howe on surface quantities may be expanded of an optimal method to obtain such the effect, we convert part of the problem of a related Hermition operator.	nany areas of classical bounded objects and ele from considering both t only offering a prescrip values corresponding form by expanding all r ver, some freedom of ch ded in a variety of ways s expansions which is bas em (tat associated with The methodology will b	wave scattering theory. In particula actromagnetic scattering form object the exterior and interior solutions of otion for obtaining a solution for the et to fictitious interior eigenvalues. Of elevant terms in partial wave expandice in the choice of the surface exp so long as closure is obtained. Out of sed on the optimum eigenfunctions the Fredholms integral equation of e explained in detail and examples	ar it ts. f th extension of m rel the wi	
 Approved for public releas 13. Abstract (Maximum 200 word The Helmholtz-Poincare W be found for the cases of acou extended boundary integral e PWE's. This coupled set of ex scattering problem, but it also coupled equations are derive including a biorthogonal expa is available since the unknow possible choices, we develop to the surface of the object. In kind) and eigenvalue problem presented. 14. Subject Terms. Acoustic scattering, shallow 	e; distribution is unlimited. s). /ave Equation (H-PWE) arises in r ustical scattering from submerged equations (EBIE) method is derived (pressions has the advantage of no o obviates the problem of irregular ed, they can be obtained in matrix unsion of the Green function. Howe n surface quantities may be expan- o an optimal method to obtain such a effect, we convert part of the problem of a related Hermition operator.	nany areas of classical bounded objects and ele from considering both t only offering a prescrip values corresponding all r ver, some freedom of ch ded in a variety of ways s em (tat associated with The methodology will b	wave scattering theory. In particula actromagnetic scattering form object the exterior and interior solutions of otion for obtaining a solution for the et to fictitious interior eigenvalues. Of elevant terms in partial wave expandice in the choice of the surface exp so long as closure is obtained. Out of sed on the optimum eigenfunctions the Fredholms integral equation of e explained in detail and examples 1) 3 15. Number of Pages. 9 16. Price Code.	ar it it it it it it it it it it it it it i	

٠

944 6 109

298-102

Best Available Copy

PROCEEDINGS

SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering

Automatic Object Recognition III

Firooz A. Sadjadi Chair/Editor

14–16 April 1993 Orlando, Florida

Accesio	n F or					
NTIS DTIC Unanno Justific	CRA&I TAB ounced ation					
By Dist ibution/						
Availability Codes						
Dist	Avril and/or Special					
A-1						



DIR South and the Lordin 3

Volume 1960

Classical Scattering theory of waves from the view point of an eigenvalue problem and application to target identification

C. Bottcher and M. R. Strayer

Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

and

M. F. Werby

Naval Research Laboratory Theoretical Acoustics Branch, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529

ABSTRACT

The Helmholtz-Poincaré Wave Equation (H-PWE) arises in many areas of classical wave scattering theory. In particular it can be found for the cases of acoustical scattering from submerged bounded objects and electromagnetic scattering from objects. The extended boundary integral equations (EBIE) method is derived from considering both the exterior and interior solutions of the H-PWE's. This coupled set of expressions has the advantage of not only offering a prescription for obtaining a solution for the exterior scattering problem, but it also obviates the problem of irregular values corresponding to fictitious interior eigenvalues. Once the coupled equations are derived, they can by obtained in matrix form be expanding all relevant terms in partial wave expansions, including a biorthogonal expansion of the Green function. However some freedom of choice in the choice of the surface expansion is available since the unknown surface quantities may be expanded in a variety of ways so long as closure is obtained. Out of many possible choices, we develop an optimal method to obtain such expansions which is based on the optimum eigenfunctions related to the surface of the object. In effect, we convert part of the problem (that associated with the Fredholms integral equation of the first kind) an eigenvalue problem of a related Hermition operator. The methodology will be explained in detail and examples will be presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

The extended boundary condition (EBC), null field, or T-matrix method of Waterman (1969)¹⁻³ has proven to be a powerful numerical approach for computing scattering from a wide variety of elongated, axisymmetric, impenetrable and elastic objects. A key point in developing the Waterman matrix approach is the inclusion of an integral solution representation for regions both interior and exterior to the object. In the standard EBC formulation, the unknown surface displacements and stresses are expanded on a known (but in principle arbitrary) basis set, with unknown expansion coefficients. Completeness or closure of these basis functions on the surface is generally either known a priori or established, with the additional requirement that the number of expansion terms match the surface to the number of incident partial waves. This requirement, however, can in many cases entail more partial waves than strictly necessary for numerical convergence of the incident field, which leads to small incidence higher-order wavefield components. Precisely these components, in turn, render the resulting Q matrix (defined below) potentially ill-conditioned or at the least computationally expensive, using standard matrix techniques. In this paper, we develop a novel alternative for representing the surface terms in the acoustic and elastic case in both stable and efficient form. For an acoustic target, this procedure avoids the ill-conditioned problem by transforming the interior problem to a simpler eigenvalue problem, which produces eigenstates that span the space of surface terms (surface displacement, traction, etc.) on the surface of the object. The eigenstates, with known (derivable) coefficients, can then be used in directly solving the exterior problem, thereby yielding a numerically stable and convergent solution. In the following, a complete theoretical derivation of the eigenexpansion technique is presented. Numerical examples are presented to complete the work.

II. THE EXTENDED BOUNDARY CONDITION METHOD IN REVIEW

The Helmholtz-Poincaré integral representation for a field interior to a bounded object can be expanded as follows:

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = U_{i}(\mathbf{r}) + \int_{c} [U_{+}(\mathbf{r}')\partial \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')/\partial \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\partial U_{+}(\mathbf{r}')/\partial \mathbf{n}] d\mathbf{S}$$
(1)

where r is chosen to be at an exterior point to the object; i.e., r is a member of D, where D is the set of all points exterior to the object, G is an outgoing Green's function, D' is the set of points in the interior of the bounded object, and S is the -t of all points on the object surface. The surface of the object is assumed to be piecewise continuous. It is redolent of Gauss' law that when r is in the interior the total wavefield U(r) is zero (extinguished or nulled), hence the terms "extinction theorem" or "null-field condition."

$$0=U_{i}(r)+\int_{c}[U_{+}(r')\partial G(r,r')/\partial n-G(r,r')\partial U_{+}(r')/\partial n]dS$$
(2)

where r' is an interior point. Let us assume that $\partial U_{+}(r')/\partial n = 0$, so that we obtain the expressions

$$U(r)=U_{i}(r)+\int_{S}[U_{+}(r')\partial G(r,r')/\partial n]dS$$
(3)

$$0=U_{i}(\mathbf{r})+J_{s}[U_{+}(\mathbf{r}')\partial \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')/\partial \mathbf{n}]d\mathbf{S}.$$
(4)

To solve these expressions, it is necessary to represent $U_i(r)$, $U_+(r')$ and G (r,r') in some convenient series expansion, which upon truncation would lead to matrix equations that can then be solved using digital computers. The Green's function G is a normal operator, and thus can be represented in the biorthogonal series

$$G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = ik\Sigma Re\phi_i(\mathbf{r}_{<})\phi_i(\mathbf{r}_{>})$$
(5)

where $r_{<}$ and $r_{>}$ are the greater and lesser of the two points r and r relative to the origin of the object, respectively. In a manner similar to that of the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem for symmetric kernels,⁷ it can be shown that

$$U_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \Sigma a_{n} Re \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}).$$
(6)

For incident plane waves, the a's are known. We now have from (4) the relation

$$\Sigma_{n}a_{n}Re\phi_{n}(r)=ik\Sigma_{n}[Re\phi_{n}(r)U_{+}(r)\partial\phi_{i}(r')/\partial ndS$$
(7)

and

$$a_{n} = ik J U_{+}(r') \partial \varphi_{i}(r') / \partial n dS.$$
(8)

We now wish to represent (8) in matrix form. This can be achieved by writing U_+ (r') in some complete set of known functions⁸⁻¹¹ so that

$$U_{+}(\mathbf{r}) = \Sigma_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}} Re \phi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{9}$$

where b_n is unknown. Expression (8) now becomes

$$a_{n} = \sum_{m} b_{m} \int Re\phi_{m}(\mathbf{r}') \partial Re\phi_{n}(\mathbf{r}') / \partial n dS = \sum_{m} b_{m} Q_{mn}.$$
 (10)

To obtain the most efficient expansions let us premultiply equation (11) by the adjoint of Q, namely Q^{\dagger} , where the latter quantity is the complex transpose of Q.

$$Q^{\dagger}a = i \ Q^{\dagger}Qb = i \ Hb \tag{11}$$

426 / SPIE Vol. 1960 Automatic Object Recognition III (1993)

where the matrix H can easily be shown to be self-adjoint or Hermitian The eigenfunctions can be obtained as follows:

$$H\beta_{i}=\lambda_{i}\beta_{i}.$$
 (12)

Here, the adjoint of β is β^{\dagger} , so that $\beta_i \beta^{\dagger}_j = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. We also have the ordering $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 \dots$, where the dimension of H is any desired order and relates to the number of surface quantities required in expanding U₊. We now use the properties (12) and (13) to eliminate the quantities $\{b_i\}$. One can show that the β_i 's are an alternate representation of the $\partial j_i(r')/\partial n$'s, with the desired property that they are an orthogonal representation. Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i} \tag{13}$$

so that expressions (15) and (11) along with (12) and (13) lead to the following useful equation

$$Q^{\dagger}a = i\Sigma H\alpha_{i}\beta_{i} = i\Sigma_{i}\alpha_{i}\lambda_{i}\beta_{i}$$
(14)

Thus $\alpha_i = -i\beta_i Q' A / \lambda_i$ and $b = -i \Sigma \beta_i Q' A / \lambda_i$

We also expand the exterior problem as follows:

$$f_i = ik JU(r')_{\star} Re \partial \varphi_i(r) / \partial ndS$$
 (15)

$$f_{i} = ik\Sigma_{i}b_{i}/Re\varphi_{i}(r')Re\partial\varphi_{i}(r)/\partial ndS = ik\Sigma_{i}b_{i}Q_{ii}.$$
(16)

The final expression for the scattered field in terms of the incident wavefield using Eq. 13 and 16 is thus

$$f = -\Sigma ReQ\beta_i Q'A/\lambda_i \beta_i.$$
(17)

Although the above expression has proven computationally efficient, it is also possible and sometimes necessary to obtain an alternate T-matrix representation. This may be done by means of the following derived relation:

$$T = -ReQ\beta 1/\phi \beta^{\dagger}Q^{\dagger}$$
(18)

where $BB^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}B = I$.

Finally, we show here some of the numerical eigenvalues, computed by implementation of Eq. (21), for the case of a rigid spheroid of KL/2 = 10 and aspect ratio of 5. We show the first three and last two in a twenty term expansion.

$$\lambda_1 = 0.0664; \lambda_2 = 0.0812; \lambda_{19} = 0.318; \lambda_{20} = 0.324.$$
 (19)

There exists a difference a factor of 5 between the eigenvalues of the first and last orders; for more realistic cases (of higher aspect ratio) differences of 3 orders of magnitude or more are readily possible. Let us now state the advantages of this method of this method over the conventional T-matrix approach which uses matrix inversion. The eigenexpansion method reduces the problem to one of finding eigenvalues for a Hermitian matrix, which is computationally straightforward procedure. For our calculations, we employed the QR algorithm above. The results presented here always maintained numerical stability and were carried out on a computer of 15 place precision. It is not possible to carry out such calculations using the coupled higher order T-matrix method⁶ without resorting to 32 place accuracy. The conventional T-matrix approach fails to converge for the problems presented here. The reason for the better precision range using the eigenexpansion method is that the method only breaks down when adjacent eigenvalues are closer in value then a word length while numerical inversion is considerably less stable.

III. APPLICATION OF METHOD: NUMERICAL RESULTS

By aspect ratio we mean the ratio of length to width (a measure of object elongation; the wavenumber $k = 2/\lambda$, where L is the wavelength of the incident wave in the fluid, and λ is the length of the object. Differential scattering cross-sections or bistatic angular distributions prove useful in classic acoustics and electromagnetic theory in the determination of shape. In nuclear physics, bistatic distributions are typically employed in determining the angular momentum and parity of a nucleus. Monostatic angular distributions, also examined below, are useful in determining object shape factors such as symmetry properties, and aspect ratios. Monostatic angular distributions correspond to backscattered measurements, in which the sensors are effectively rotated about the scatterer (or alternately the object is rotated for a fixed sensor configuration). Backscattered echoes, correspond to fixed the source-receiver configurations in which frequency varies monotonically over small increments (of kL/2). We examine these quantities which are often referred to as form functions in acoustics, and correspond to excitation functions in quantum scattering.¹⁰ We list the examples below to be examined:

(a) Scattering from a rigid spheroid with an aspect ratio of 15:1, and a kL/2 = 60

(b) Scattering from a soft spheroid with an aspect ratio of 15:1, and a kL/2 = 60

Case A. In the following, we examine bistatic and monostatic angular distributions, for a rigid spheroid with an aspect ratio of 15 and a kL/2 of 60. Fig. 1 illustrates the case of scattering along the axis of symmetry (where in all that follows, detections are conducted in the plane of the object). Note that we plot the angular distribution over 360 degrees. In all cases, the object is aligned horizontal to the page. A detection at zero degrees will be considered in the backward direction, while a detection at 180 degrees is here taken in the forward direction, the converse of standard convention. Notice that the diffracted wave, which is more pronounced at higher frequencies, tends towards the forward direction. In the optical limit (as wavelength approaches zero), the entire diffracted field would occur in the forward direction, with only specular reflection.

Fig. 2 illustrates the case of scattering at 30 degrees relative to the axis of symmetry. Here the results are both more complex and interesting. Note that there are pronounced response maxima at 150 and 210 degrees, corresponding respectively to a reflected and diffracted wave. This reflected response is typically observed only in the case of very elongated objects, which approach a flat plate, and corresponds to the flat plate limit [incident angle = reflected angle] in the limit of a very long target.

Fig. 3 shows the same features as Fig. 2, for scattering at 60 degrees relative to the axis of symmetry (reflected wave = 120; diffracted wave = 240). Fig. 4 corresponds to scattering at 90 relative to the symmetry axis ("broad-side"). Here we observe significant backscattering, due to the large geometrical cross-section, and a very peaked forward scattering response. Note that as scattering angles change from end-on to broadside, the forward scattering increases significantly in value, from 6 to 28 dB, and that the forward response tends to progressively narrow. (We have carried these calculations to kL/2 values of 170 broadside, in which the forward-scattered response is on the order of 1 degree in width. The magnitude differential is 23 dB, between the forward and backscattered fields, irrespective of the target dimensions.

Fig. 5 illustrates a monostatic angular distribution for the same case as above (rigid spheroid). The minima in this case correspond to an interference phenomena, associated with waves reflected at different locations on the surface. We have shown previously¹³ that the number of nulls for elongated objects is a function of kL/2, as follows:

$$N = Int(kL/2)/\pi - 1).$$
(20)

We have also shown that the nulls occur at the following angles:

$$\theta_n = \sin^{-1}[\pi(n+1/2)/2(kL/2)].$$
 (21)

It is clear that in the present case (of kL/2 = 60), the number of nulls is quite large (N = 148). As one approaches the optical limit, the response minima become progressively less pronounced, and the net response is representative

of an outline of the scattering target Thus, at suitably high frequencies, it is possible to obtain an indication of both the shape (symmetry and aspect ratio) of an object, by examining the monostatic angular distribution. The above conclusion also proves true both for different object shapes, and boundary conditions.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This paper constitutes a first step in more fully outlining and applying a recently developed eigenexpansion and similarity-type transformation method for studying scattering from spheroidal solids and shells of high aspect ratio. The methods have been rigorously developed to assess and extend the validity range of the EBC/T-matrix method for full wave-theoretic simulation of scattering of plane acoustic or elastic waves by a submerged or embedded single or multilayered target of arbitrary elasto-acoustic composition. The numerical results presented here agree fully with those obtained both by classical normal mode and T-matrix solutions, with the added advantage that less absolute numerical precision is required for the T-matrix method. This, and the fact that the preceding methods are not limited to any specific basis functions, suggest that in addition to extending the range of validity of the EBC method to axisymmetric scatterers of higher aspect ratio, the eigen-expansion and transform approaches also provide improved numerical stability and accuracy at the optical limit in the expansion of the scattered wavefield in partial wave eigenfunctions. Special consideration has been given to interpreting numerical simulations of the excitation and diffraction effects of both simple and circumferential surface waves, as observable in both bistatic angular and spectral frequency resonance distributions. These, as well as generalized Snell's law behaviours, are illustrated. Although for simplicity the present treatment has been restricted to plane wave insonification of single scatterers, the above can also be applied to more realistic cases. In view of these results, this method may also prove useful for improving and/or extending the range of validity for other types of scattering formulations. A promising further development is a hybrid computation of scattering, involving a T-matrix / eigen-expansion method. Work is continuing in further extending and applying these methods, and in developing new techniques to handle cases of both higher frequency, aspect ratio, and target complexity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was sponsored in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400, managed by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. NRL contribution number NRL/PP/7181--93-0036.

REFERENCES

1. P. C. Waterman, "New formulation of acoustic scattering," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 45(6): 1417, 1969.

2. P. C. Waterman, "Matrix theory of elastic wave scattering," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 60(1):567, 1976.

3. P. C. Waterman, "Survey of T-Matrix Methods and Surface Field Representation," Acoustic, Electromagnetic, and Elastic Wave Scattering – Focus on the T-Matrix Approach, V. K. and V. V. Varadan, editors, pp. 61–78, Pergammon Press, New York, 1980.

4. M. F. Werby and L. R. Green, "An extended unitary approach for acoustical scattering from elastic shells immersed in fluids," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 74(2):625, 1983.

5. M. F. Werby and S. Chin-Bing, "Numerical techniques and their use in the extension of the T-matrix and nullfield approaches to scattering," Int. J. Comput. Math. Appls., 11(7/8):717, 1985.

6. M. F. Werby, "A coupled high-order T-matrix," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 1987.

7. F. Tricomi, Integral Equations, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1967.

8. R. E. Kleinman and G. F. Roach, "Boundary integral equations for the three-dimensional Helmholtz equation," *SIAM Review*, 16:214, 1974.

9. P. A. Martin, "On the null-field equations for the exterior problems of acoustics," Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math., 33:385, 1980.

10. A. G. Ramm, "Convergence of the T-matrix approach to scattering theory," J. Math. Phys., 23:1123, 1982.

11. D. N. Wall, "Methods of Overcoming Numerical Instabilities Associated with the T-Matrix Method," Acoustic, Electromagnetic, and Elastic Wave Scattering – Focus on the T-matrix Approach, V. K. and V. V. Varadan, editors, pp. 269–286, Pergammon Press, New York, 1980.

12. M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, Collision Theory, John Wiley, New York, 1964.

13. M. F. Werby, G. J. Tango, and L. H. Green, "Eigenvalue and Similarity Transformation Methods in the Solution of Acoustical Scattering Problems," *Computational Acoustics: Algorithms and Applications*, D. Lee, R. L. Sternberg, M. H. Schultz, editors, Vol. 2, pp. 257–278, Elsevier Science Publishers B. V., North Holland, 1988.

430 / SPIE Vol. 1960 Automatic Object Recognition III (1993)



Fig. 1. Backscatter for kL/2=0 to 150 for (a) sphere and spheroid for aspect ratios of (b) 2, (c) 4, (d) 8, and (e) 16.



Fig. 2. Forward scatter for kL/2=0 to 150 for (a) sphere and spheroid with aspect ratios of (b) 2, (c) 4, (d) 8, and (e) 16.







Fig. 3. Bistatic angular distribution for aspect ratio of 15 at kL/2=200 (a) end-on, (b) 30 degrees relative to the axis of symmetry, (c) 60 degrees relative to the axis of symmetry, and (d) broadside.



Fig. 4. Monostatic angular distribution for 15 to 1 spheroids for kL/2=120.

432 / SPIE Vol. 1960 Automatic Object Recognition III (1993)



Fig. 5. Monostatic angular distribution for a 15 to 1 aspect ratio rigid spheroid at (a) kL/2=15, (b) kL/2=30, and (c) kL/2=60.