PL-TR-93-2166 Environmental Research Papers, No. 1126

# SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE AVHRR INFRARED CIRRUS MODEL

Allan J. Bussey Robert P. d'Entremont

19 July 1993

Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited



PHILLIPS LABORATORY Directorate of Geophysics AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MA 01731-3010

DTIS QUALITY INCREDIED 5





AD-A276 690



1 5

つず

# Best Available Copy

"This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication."

JOSEPH W. SNOW Chief, Satellite Meteorology Branch Atmospheric Sciences Division

ushow for RAME

ROBERT A MCCLATCHEY () Director, Atmospheric Sciences Division

This report has been reviewed by the ESC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

If your address has changed, or if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify PL/TSI, 29 Randolph Street, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

"This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication."

REPORT DO	DCUMENTATION P	AGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of info gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions f Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-	rmation is estimated to average 1 hour per completing and reviewing the collection of i or reducing this burden, to Washington Hea 302, and to the Office of Management and	response, including the time for review information. Send comments regarding departers Services, Directorate for infor Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (07	ng instructions, searching existing data sources, this burden estimate or any other aspect of this mation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson 04–0188), Washington, OC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank	() 2. REPORT DATE 19 July 199	3. REPORT TYPE AND DA Scientific,	TES COVERED Interim
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Sensitivity Analysi Cirrus Model	is of the AVHRR In	frared 5.	FUNDING NUMBERS PE 62101F PR 6670 TA 17
6. AUTHOR(S)	WU 12		
Allan J. Bussey and	i Robert P. d'Entr	emont	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA Phillips Laboratory 29 Randolph Road	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) (GPAS)	8. 1	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER PL-TR-93-2166
Hanscom Arb, MA VI	/31-3010	1	RP, No. 1126
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEI	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	) 10.	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			<u></u>
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S	TATEMENT	12b	. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for Public Distribution Unlimi	: Release ted		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words, We have studied the analysis technique Radiometer (AVHRR) analysis reveals po altitudes for night First ISCCP Regiona observations. The errors found in the	e sensitivity to e using NOAA Advance multispectral ima ossible errors in time cirrus cloud al Experiment (FIR Appendix contains e study.	errors of a cirru ed Very High Res agery. The sensi computing optica data samples ta E) Phase I inter plots of the co	as cloud solution tivity al depths and aken from the asive field omputed
14. SUBJECT TERMS AVHRR satellite ima altitude, Emissivit	gery, Cirrus clou Cy, Optical depth	d, Cirrus	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 42 16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 11 OF REPORT	8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	DN 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC
UNCLASSIFIED U	NCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNLIMITED

Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18 298-102

# Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	NOTATION	2
3.	CIRRUS PROPERTY ANALYSIS METHOD	3
4.	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS METHOD	12
5.	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	13
6.	SENSITIVITY RESULTS FOR OPTICALLY THIN CIRRUS CLOUDS:AVHRR CHANNEL 4 EMISSIVITY OF 0.26.1Surface Temperature of 277.1 K6.2Surface Temperature of 274.1 K6.3Surface Temperature of 271.1 K	15 15 16 17
7.	SENSITIVITY RESULTS FOR OPTICALY THIN CIRRUS CLOUDS:AVHRR CHANNEL 4 EMISSIVITY OF 0.57.1Surface Temperature 277.1 K7.2Surface Temperature 274.1 K7.3Surface Temperature 271.1 K	18 18 18 19
8.	SENSITIVITY RESULTS FOR OPTICALLY THIN CIRRUSCLOUDS: AVHRR CHANNEL 4 EMISSIVITY OF 0.98.1Surface Temperature 277.1 K8.2Surface Temperature 274.1 K8.3Surface Temperature 271.1 K	20 20 21 22
9.	SUMMARY	22
BIBL	IOGRAPHY	25
APPE	ENDIX A	26



iii

# Illustrations

1.	AVHRR 10.7 $\mu$ m Channel 4 Theoretical Brightness Temperatures as a Function of the Channel 4 Emissivity $\epsilon_{4,dd}$ and the Effective Cirrus Altitude $z_{dd}$	11
2.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_3$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{sfc} = 277.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{sfc} = +3$ K) and True Cirrus Emissivity $\epsilon_4 = 0.2$ .	28
3.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_3$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{sfc} = 274.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{sfc} = 0$ K) and True Cirrus Emissivity $\epsilon_4 = 0.2$ .	29
4.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_3$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{sfc} = 271.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{sfc} = -3$ K) and True Cirrus Emissivity $\epsilon_4 = 0.2$ .	30
5.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_3$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{sfc} = 277.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{sfc} = +3$ K) and True Cirrus Emissivity $\epsilon_4 = 0.5$ .	31
6.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_3$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{afc} = 274.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{afc} = 0$ K) and True Cirrus Emissivity $\epsilon_4 = 0.5$ .	32
7.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_8$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{sfc} = 271.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{sfc} = -3$ K) and True Cirrus Emissivity $\epsilon_4 = 0.5$ .	33

8.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors $\Delta T_{a}$ and $\Delta T_{a}$ . Error Plots are for $T_{a} = 277.1 \text{ K} (\Delta T_{a} = +3 \text{ K})$	
	and True Cirrus Emissivity $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$ .	34
9.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors	
	(dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a	
	Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors	
	$\Delta T_3$ and $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for $T_{sfc} = 274.1 \text{ K} (\Delta T_{sfc} = 0 \text{ K})$	
	and True Cirrus Emissivity $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$ .	35
10.	Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors	
	(dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a	
	Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors	
	$\Delta T_{s}$ and $\Delta T_{4}$ . Error Plots are for $T_{efc} = 271.1 \text{ K} (\Delta T_{efc} = -3 \text{ K})$	
	and True Cirrus Emissivity $\varepsilon_1 = 0.9$ .	36

V

# Tables

1.	Corresponding Values of Emissivities for AVHRR Channels 3 and 4, Listed as a Function of Cloud Thickness.	5
2.	Channels 3, 4, and 5 Brightness Temperature Measurements for Various Effective Cloud Top Heights and Channel 4 Emissivities, Calculated- Using Eqs. (1) and (4) for the Madison, Wisconsin Sample Atmosphere.	8
3.	$\epsilon_4 = 0.20$ ; CH 3 and CH 4 $\Delta T = \pm 5$ K Where: $T_{sfc}$ is the Surface Temperature (K); $Z_{dd}$ is the Effective Cloud Altitude (km); $\Delta \epsilon_4$ is the Difference (+ or -) in Channel 4 Emissivity From Expected Values; and $\Delta Z$ is the Difference (+ or -) in Altitude (km) From Expected Values.	11
4.	$\epsilon_4 = 0.50$ ; CH 3 and CH 4 $\Delta T = \pm 5$ K Where: $T_{sfc}$ is the Surface Temperature (K); $Z_{dd}$ is the Effective Cloud Altitude (km); $\Delta \epsilon_4$ is the Difference (+ or -) in Channel 4 Emissivity From Expected Values; and $\Delta Z$ is the Difference (+ or -) in Altitude (km) From Expected Values.	11
5.	$\epsilon_4 = 0.90$ ; CH 3 and CH 4 $\Delta T = \pm 5$ K Where: $T_{sfc}$ is the Surface Temperature (K); $Z_{dd}$ is the Effective Cloud Altitude (km); $\Delta \epsilon_4$ is the Difference (+ or -) in Channel 4 Emissivity From Expected Values; and $\Delta Z$ is the Difference (+ or -) in Altitude (km) From Expected Values.	12

# 1. INTRODUCTION

A cirrus cloud analysis technique using NOAA Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) multispectral imagery has been developed that determines the bulk radiative properties of cirrus clouds. This technique, called the AVHRR Infrared Cirrus model (AIRC), computes optical depths and altitudes for nighttime cirrus cloud data samples. Cirrus emissivities and altitudes are retrieved using a radiative transfer model that takes into account both the spectrally dependent transparent nature of thin cirrus clouds and the attenuative effects of atmospheric water vapor. The cirrus analysis technique capitalizes on the differences between the brightness temperatures of AVHRR Channels 3, 4, and 5 (3.7, 10.7, and 11.8  $\mu$ m, respectively). For scenes that contain thin cirrus, the warmer underlying surface contributes significantly more energy to the Channel 3 satellite-measured radiance than to either Channel 4 or 5. These effects are included in AIRC and allow for the retrieval of cirrus emissivity and height. The multispectral cirrus analysis model demonstrates that more accurate thin cirrus altitudes can be obtained than those using single-channel longwave infrared techniques.

AIRC is restricted to nighttime AVHRR data because incident solar radiation entering the top of the Earth's atmosphere is not negligible at 3.7  $\mu$ m and can, in fact, be as large as emitted terrestrial radiation, depending on the temperature (Smith and Rao, 1972). It is difficult to separate reflected solar from emissive thermal effects for daytime 3.7  $\mu$ m measurements; this would comprise a study in itself. Researchers at the University of Utah are currently developing a daytime cirrus retrieval algorithm (Liou, personal communication).

Received for publication 15 July 1993

A sensitivity analysis of the AIRC-retrieved cirrus parameters is important to users of the technique. It provides insight into how deviations in the correctness of surface temperature measurements, and also in the accuracy of Channel 3 and 4 brightness temperature measurements, can lead to errors in determining effective cirrus altitude and bulk emissivity. The methodology and results of the sensitivity analysis are the focus of this report.

# 2. NOTATION

- B<sub>λ</sub>(T) Planck Blackbody Monochromatic Radiance, Watts m<sup>-2</sup> μm<sup>-1</sup> ster<sup>-1</sup> [ML<sup>-1</sup>T<sup>-3</sup>]
- $\begin{array}{ll} B_{j}(T) & \mbox{Planck Spectrally-Averaged Blackbody Monochromatic Radiance for} \\ & \mbox{AVHRR Channel j, Watts } m^{-2} \ \mu m^{-1} \ ster^{-1} \ [ML^{-1}T^{-3}] \end{array}$
- ε Emissivity, Dimensionless
- $I_j$ Spectrally-Averaged Observed Upwelling Thermal MonochromaticRadiance Measured in AVHRR Channel j, Watts m<sup>-2</sup>  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> ster<sup>-1</sup> [ML<sup>-1</sup>T<sup>-3</sup>]
- $\lambda$  Wavelength,  $\mu m [L]$
- j AVHRR Infrared Channel Number (j = 3, 4, 5)
- r Reflectivity, Dimensionless
- $R_i(\lambda)$  Response Function at Wavelength  $\lambda$  for AVHRR Sensor j, Dimensionless
- t Transmissivity, Dimensionless

T Temperature, K  $[\theta]$ 

τ Atmospheric Transmittance, Dimensionless

z Height AGL, km [L]

# 3. CIRRUS PROPERTY ANALYSIS METHOD

The spectrally-averaged upwelling thermal monochromatic radiance  $I_j$  measured by a downward pointing radiometer for a field of view filled completely with a non-reflective, thin cirrus cloud is well approximated by

$$I_{j} = \epsilon_{j,cid} B_{f}(T_{cid}) \tau_{f}(z_{cid}) + \int_{z_{old}}^{\infty} B_{f}(T) \frac{\partial \tau_{f}(z)}{\partial z} dz$$
  
+  $\epsilon_{j,agt} B_{f}(T_{agt}) \tau_{f}'(0) t_{j,cid} \tau_{f}(z_{cid})$   
+  $\left[ \int_{0}^{z_{old}} B_{f}(T) \frac{\partial \tau_{f}'(z)}{\partial z} dz \right] t_{j,cid} \tau_{f}(z_{cid}) , \qquad (1a)$ 

where j is the AVHRR infrared (IR) Channel number;  $\varepsilon_{j,cd}$  is the cirrus cloud bulk emissivity for AVHRR Channel j; and  $B_j(T)$  is defined by

$$B_{j}(T) = \frac{\int_{\lambda_{\mu}}^{\lambda_{\mu}} B_{\lambda}(T) R_{j}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_{\lambda_{\mu}}^{\lambda_{\mu}} R_{j}(\lambda) d\lambda}, \qquad (1b)$$

where  $B_{\lambda}(T)$  is the monochromatic Planck radiance at wavelength  $\lambda$  for a blackbody at temperature T;  $R_j(\lambda)$  is the AVHRR Channel j response function within the wavelength range  $\lambda_{j1} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{j2}$  of sensor j;  $T_{dd}$  is the effective cirrus temperature; and  $\tau_j(z)$  is the spectral transmittance for the atmospheric path between z and the top of the atmosphere at  $z = \infty$ , defined by

$$\tau_{j}(z) = \frac{\int_{\lambda_{jl}}^{\lambda_{jl}} \tau_{\lambda}(z) B_{\lambda}[T(z)] R_{j}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_{\lambda_{jl}}^{\lambda_{jl}} B_{\lambda}[T(z)] R_{j}(\lambda) d\lambda}, \qquad (1c)$$

where  $\tau_{\lambda}(z)$  is the monochromatic transmittance for the path between level z and the top of the atmosphere at  $z = \infty$ ;  $z_{dd}$  is the effective altitude of the cirrus cloud;  $\varepsilon_{j,sfc}$  is the ground emissivity for Channel j;  $T_{sfc}$  is the ground skin temperature;  $\tau_{j}(z)$  is the spectral transmittance for the atmospheric path between level z and the cirrus cloud base (defined similarly as  $\tau_{j}(z)$  above); and  $t_{j,sdd}$  is the cirrus cloud bulk transmissivity for AVHRR Channel j, j = 3, 4, 5.

The first term on the right side of Eq. (1a) denotes the cirrus cloud contribution to  $I_j$ . The second term denotes the upwelling emission by the atmosphere from the top of the cloud upward. The third term denotes that part of the upwelling radiance emitted by the underlying surface at z=0, attenuated by the atmosphere between the ground and the cloud, transmitted through the cloud, and attenuated finally by the atmosphere above the cloud. The fourth term denotes the upwelling radiance emitted by the atmosphere between the ground and the cloud, transmitted through the cloud, and attenuated finally by the cloud, and attenuated again by the atmosphere above the cloud. One important assumption that goes into the formulation of Eq. (1) is that the cirrus cloud is non-reflective. Ice particle cloud radiative properties computed by Hunt (1973) indicate that cirrus reflectivities are very small; for an optically thick cloud the Channel 3 ( $3.7\mu$ m) cirrus reflectivity  $r_{3,dd}$  never exceeds 0.02, and Channels 4 and 5 (10.7 and 11.8 $\mu$ m, respectively) reflectivities never reach 0.01. Thus for cirrus clouds the energy conservation equation is well approximated by

 $\epsilon_{icid} + t_{icid} = 1$ ,

or

$$t_{icid} = 1 - \epsilon_{icid}, \qquad j = 3, 4, 5.$$
 (2)

Although the cirrus reflectivities are effectively zero for Channels 3, 4, and 5, the emissivities are not as easily handled. Equation (2) governs the radiative properties of cirrus clouds for each channel individually, but offers no information on how the emissivities for a particular cirrus cloud can vary from channel to channel. Table 1 lists a set of corresponding emissivities for cirrus clouds of varying thicknesses, and composed of spherical ice particles with a particle size mode radius of 16  $\mu$ m (after Hunt, 1973). Note how the 3.7 $\mu$ m Channel 3 cloud emissivities are never larger than the 10.7 $\mu$ m Channel 4 emissivities. It is possible to construct a simple linear regression equation between the Channel 3 and Channel 4 transmissivities of the form

$$\ln t_{a,cld} = m \ln t_{a,cld} + \ln K, \qquad (3)$$

Table 1. Corresponding Values of Emissivities for AVHRR Channels 3 and 4, Listed as a Function of Cloud Thickness. The Cloud is Assumed to be Composed of Spherical Ice Particles, and a Modified Gamma Size Distribution is Used With a Minimum Droplet Radius of  $0.1\mu$ m, a Maximum of  $25\mu$ m, and a Mode Radius of  $16\mu$ m; Number Density is 100 cm<sup>-3</sup> (After Hunt, 1973)

Cloud Thickness (m)	Channel 3 Emissivity ε <sub>s,dd</sub>	Channel 4 Emissivity ε <sub>4,dd</sub>
0.5	.024	.047
1	.048	.091
1.5	.071	.127
2	.095	.175
3	.140	.235
4	.192	.299
5	.241	.355
10	.407	.593
20	.659	.849
30	.810	.934
40	.901	.973
50	.931	.988
100	.971	.995
500	.979	.996

where m and ln K are the regression slope and intercept values, respectively, in log-log space. Performing the regression analysis on the transmissivity pairs  $(1-\varepsilon_{4,\text{cdd}}, 1-\varepsilon_{3,\text{cdd}})$  given in Table 1 for m and ln K and solving Eq. (3) for  $\varepsilon_{3,\text{cdd}}$  yields K=1 and m=0.67, or

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{3,cid} = 1 - (1 - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{4,cid})^{0.67} \,. \tag{4a}$$

The correlation  $c_{1}$  ficient for Eq. (4a) is 0.997. This equation allows the Channel 3 cirrus emissivity to be determined once the corresponding Channel 4 emissivity is known. The emissivities of Channels 4 and 5 are more similar since they represent spectral bands that lie close to each other in the longwave IR window. After Inoue (1987) it is assumed that K=1 and m=1.08, so that

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{5,chl}} = 1 - (1 - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{4,chl}})^{1.08}, \qquad (4b)$$

which reproduces differences between Channels 4 and 5 brightness temperatures in the range observed by Saunders and Kriebel (1988) for thin cirrus.

Consider a pixel for which the atmospheric temperature-moisture profile and underlying surface skin temperature  $T_{sfc}$  are known. Then the transmittance profiles  $\tau_j(z)$  and  $\tau_j'(z)$  can be computed using a multivariate polynomial regression transmittance model developed for AVHRR thermal channels by Weinreb and Hill (1980). In fact, all terms on the right side of Eq. (1) would then be either known or computable. Using Eq. (2) to rewrite the cirrus transmissivity  $t_{j,dd}$  in terms of the emissivity  $\varepsilon_{j,dd}$ , and using Eq. (4) to express the Channels 3 and 5 emissivities as a function of the Channel 4 emissivity  $\varepsilon_{4,dd}$ , the satellite-measured radiance  $I_j$  can be computed as a function

$$I_{j} = I_{j} (z_{cdd}, \epsilon_{4,cdd}), \quad j = 3, 4, 5$$
 (5a)

using Eq. (1). In Eq. (5a), the upwelling radiance  $I_j$  is explicitly expressed as a function of the effective cloud altitude  $z_{dd}$  and the Channel 4 cirrus bulk emissivity  $\varepsilon_{4,dd}$ . The observed radiances  $I_j$  can be converted to observed brightness temperature  $T_j$  using a relationship similar to Eq. (1b), so that

$$T_{j} = T_{j} (z_{old}, \epsilon_{4,old}), \quad j = 3, 4, 5.$$
 (5b)

A sample set of brightness temperature calculations  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ , and  $T_5$  are plotted in Table 2 as a function of  $z_{dd}$  and  $\varepsilon_{4,dd}$  for an atmospheric sounding taken at Madison, Wisconsin on 28 October 1986 at approximately 0930 UTC. The surface skin temperature  $T_{afc}$  was chosen to be 278.4 K (this is 1.5 K lower than the ambient air temperature of the lowest atmospheric layer, a condition common at night), and the ground emissivities  $\varepsilon_{3,afc}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{4,afc}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{5,afc}$  were chosen to be 0.95, 1, and 1, respectively. Note in general that for a fixed brightness temperature measurement  $T_i$ , cirrus altitude decreases as emissivity increases.

It is possible to use real-time computations similar to those summarized in Table 2 in conjunction with simultaneously measured satellite brightness temperatures for a particular thin cirrus field of view in order to infer the cirrus effective cloud height, emissivities, and transmissivities. This is accomplished by comparing the measured AVHRR brightness temperatures to the tabulated ones. Consider the Table 2 brightness temperatures to be representative of a hypothetical cirrus cloud scene. Suppose in addition that the scene's satellite-measured brightness temperatures for Channels 3, 4, and 5 are 267.1, 256.4, and 254.7 K, respectively. Upon comparison of these brightness temperatures with the corresponding temperatures listed in Table 2, the effective cloud height/emissivity pair simultaneously consistent with the brightness temperature measurements is  $z_{eld} = 8$  km and  $\varepsilon_{4,eld} = 0.6$ . From Eq. (4a), the corresponding Channel 3 cirrus emissivity  $\varepsilon_{8,eld}$  is 0.46, and from Eq. (4b),  $\varepsilon_{5,eld}$  is 0.63. Using Eq. (2), the cirrus transmissivities  $t_{8,eld}$ ,  $t_{4,eld}$ , and  $t_{5,eld}$  are 0.54, 0.40, and 0.37, corresponding to optical depths  $\delta_{8,eld}$ ,  $\delta_{4,eld}$ , and  $\delta_{5,eld}$ , of 0.62, 0.92, and 0.99, respectively ( $\delta_{j,eld} = -\ln t_{j,eld}$ ).

Figure 1 contains a contouring of the theoretical brightness temperatures  $T_4$  (listed in Table 2) as a function of emissivity and effective cirrus altitude. Note how a Channel 4 brightness temperature  $T_4$  of 260 K constrains the cirrus emissivity to be greater than ~0.4, and the cirrus altitude to be higher than ~5 km. Figure 2 contains a contouring of the theoretical brightness temperature differences listed in Table 2 between Channels 3 and 4, plotted as a function of emissivity and cirrus altitude. Note how the difference is at a maximum for relatively high values of emissivity and altitude (for example,  $T_3 - T_4 > 26$  K for an emissivity of 0.9 and an altitude of 12 km).

For a pair of coincident AVHRR brightness temperature measurements  $T_3$  and  $T_j$  (j = 4 or 5), a set of two equations in two unknowns  $z_{dd}$  and  $\varepsilon_{4,dd}$  can be generated using Eq. (5b). Simultaneous solution of these two equations using a simple graphical technique yields the ( $z_{dd}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{4,dd}$ ) pair that corresponds to the measurements  $T_3$  and  $T_j$ . Then using Eq. (4a) for j=4 and (4b) for j=5 the Channel 3 and Channel 5 cirrus emissivities can be obtained. Once  $\varepsilon_{3,dd}$  and  $\varepsilon_{j,dd}$  are determined, Eq. (2) can be applied to calculate the cirrus transmissivities  $t_{3,dd}$  and  $t_{j,dd}$  and the approximation

$$\delta_{j,cld} = -\ln t_{j,cld} \tag{6}$$

can be used to estimate the cirrus bulk optical depth  $\delta_{j,dd}$ .

Effective Cloud	Channel 4 Cirrus Emissivity ε <sub>4,dd</sub>									
Height										
z <sub>eld</sub> (km)	0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0				
Theoretically-Expected Brightness Temperatures $T_3$ for AVHRR Channel 3 (K)										
12	277.5	274.5	<b>27</b> 0. <del>9</del>	265.9	257.8	212.3				
10	277.5	274.6	271.0	266.1	258.3	220.8				
8	277.5	274.8	271.5	267.1	260.3	237.1				
6	277.5	275.3	272.8	269.5	264.9	253.5				
4	277.5	276.3	274.9	273.3	271.2	267.1				
2	277.5	277.6	277.8	278.0	278.2	278.6				
0	277.5	277.8	278.2	278.6	<b>279</b> .0	279.8				
Theoretics	ally-Expecte	d Brightnes	s Temperatı	ures $T_4$ for A	VHRR Cha	nnel 4 (K)				
12	278.6	269.2	258.6	246.5	231.8	212.3				
10	278.6	270.0	260.4	249.5	236.8	220.8				
8	278.6	271.8	264.4	256.4	247.4	237.1				
6	278.6	274.1	269.4	264.4	259.1	253.5				
4	278.6	276.4	274.2	271.9	269.5	267.1				
2	278.6	278.6	278.6	278.6	278.6	278.6				
0	278.6	278.9	279.2	279.4	279.7	<b>279.9</b>				
Theoretics	ally-Expecte	d Brightnes	s Temperatu	ares $T_5$ for A	VHRR Cha	nnel 5 (K)				
12	278.5	267.9	256.4	243.5	228.8	212.3				
10	278.5	268.9	258.4	247.0	234.4	220.8				
8	278.5	<b>27</b> 1.0	263.1	254.7	<b>245.9</b>	237.1				
6	278.5	273.6	268.6	263.5	258.3	253.4				
4	278.5	276.1	273.8	271.4	269.1	<b>266.9</b>				
2	278.5	278.4	278.4	278.3	278.2	278.1				
0	278.5	278.8	279.0	279.3	279.5	279.7				

**Table 2.** Channels 3, 4, and 5 Brightness Temperature Measurements for Various Effective Cloud Top Heights and Channel 4 Emissivities, Calculated Using Eqs. (1) and (4) for the Madison, Wisconsin Sample Atmosphere.



Figure 1. AVHRR 10.7 $\mu$ m Channel 4 Theoretical Brightness Temperatures as a Function of the Channel 4 Emissivity  $\varepsilon_{4,dd}$  and the Effective Cirrus Altitude  $z_{dd}$ 

# 4. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS METHOD

The objective of the remainder of this report is to test the AVHRR infrared cirrus model for retrieved emissivity and effective cloud height accuracy when introducing known errors into the independent variables used to run the model. Table 2 shows expected brightness temperatures for AVHRR Channels 3, 4, and 5 in K, for various effective cloud heights and Channel 4 emissivities. Clearly, errors in measuring the brightness temperatures will cause reduced accuracy in the resultant effective cloud height and cirrus bulk emissivity. As can be seen in Figure 1, the range of values in observed brightness temperature, over the range of effective cloud height from 0 to 12 km, increases as Channel 4 cirrus emissivity increases from 0 to 1.0. But this is not a quantifiable indication of where the greatest error may occur. Vigorous testing is necessary in order to determine where the AIRC model is most sensitive. The surface temperature  $T_{ab}$  has a significant influence on the model as well as the aforementioned AVHRR brightness temperature measurements  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ , and  $T_5$ . The sensitivity analysis takes into account errors in  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  and the surface temperature  $T_{ab}$ , and yields error ranges of emissivity and effective cloud altitudes. The following error values were examined:

 $\Delta T_{afc} = 0, +3, -3$  (K) (precise, 3 K too high, and 3 K too low, respectively);

 $\Delta T_3 = T_3$  Measurement Error = 0, +1, +2, +3, +4, +5, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5 (K); and

 $\Delta T_4 = T_4$  Measurement Error = 0, +1, +2, +3, +4, +5, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5 (K).

The true effective cirrus altitudes were limited to 7, 9, and 11 km and to control the test size, Channel 4 emissivities were investigated at 0.2, 0.5, and 0.9, ranging from optically thin to nearly opaque. Finally, the Fort McCoy, 28 October 1986, FIRE radiosonde data were used in running the Weinreb and Hill transmittance model. The results of the sensitivity analysis are presented in the next section.

# 5. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

The summary descriptive statistics of the overall sensitivity analysis are listed in Tables 3, 4, and 5. A quick comparison shows that the largest errors occur at low values of emissivity ( $\varepsilon_4 = 0.2$ ) and dramatically decrease in magnitude at high emissivity values ( $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$ ). At a given emissivity, induced errors in the surface temperature have little effect on the magnitude of the resultant deviations from expected values of cloud altitude and emissivity. These results are useful, but to obtain a better quantitative understanding of exactly how these variations are taking place, we plotted the data on equal-area charts of T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> errors  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4$ , respectively, as a function of height and emissivity (see Figures 2-10, Appendix A). The following sections describe the information contained in these plots.

**Table 3.**  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.20$ ;  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4 = \pm 5$  K Where:  $T_{ab}$  is the Surface Temperature (K);  $z_{eld}$  is the Effective Cloud Altitude (km);  $\Delta \varepsilon_4$  is the Resulting Difference (+ or -) in Channel 4 Emissivity From Expected Values; and  $\Delta z$  is the Resulting Difference (+ or -) in Altitude (km) From Expected Values.

$\Delta T_{afc}$	Z <sub>dd</sub>	MAX $\Delta \epsilon_4$		z <sub>dd</sub> ΜΑΧ Δε <sub>4</sub> ΜΑΧ		MAX $\Delta z$ AVG $\Delta \epsilon_4$		$\Delta \epsilon_4$	AVG Az	
(K)	(km)	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	
	7.0	.79	.20	5.5	7.0	.29	.16	3.6	4.9	
277.1	<b>9</b> .0	.75	.20	3.5	9.0	.32	.15	2.5	5.7	
$(\Delta T_{sfc}=+3)$	11.0	.75	.20	1.5	11.0	.32	.16	1.4	7.0	
	7.0	.74	.20	5.5	7.0	.26	.16	3.7	4.8	
274.1	9.0	.77	.20	3.5	9.0	.31	.15	2.2	5.7	
$(\Delta T_{sfc}=0)$	11.0	.78	.20	1.5	11.0	.31	.16	1.3	6.8	
	7.0	.76	.20	5.5	7.0	.26	.16	3.6	5.6	
271.1	9.0	.78	.20	5.5	9.0	.31	.15	3.4	5.6	
$(\Delta T_{sfc}=-3)$	11.0	.79	.20	1.5	11.0	.30	.16	1.4	6.7	

**Table 4.**  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.50$ ;  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4 = \pm 5$  K Where:  $T_{afc}$  is the Surface Temperature (K);  $z_{add}$  is the Effective Cloud Altitude (km);  $\Delta \varepsilon_4$  is the Resulting Difference (+ or -) in Channel 4 Emissivity From Expected Values; and  $\Delta z$  is the Resulting Difference (+ or -) in Altitude (km) From Expected Values.

ΔT <sub>sfc</sub>	Z <sub>eld</sub>	MAX $\Delta \epsilon_4$		$z_{dd}$ MAX $\Delta \varepsilon_4$ MAX $\Delta z$		X Az	AVC	Η Δε <sub>4</sub>	AVG Az	
(K)	<b>(km</b> )	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	
	7.0	.49	.35	5.5	3.0	.28	.21	3.8	1.7	
277.1	9.0	.45	.28	3.5	4.0	.19	.16	2.7	2.1	
$(\Delta T_{sfc} = +3)$	11.0	.35	.25	1.5	5.0	.13	.14	1.5	2.4	
	7.0	.46	.38	5.5	2.5	.28	.23	3.9	1.5	
274.1	9.0	.46	.29	3.5	3.9	.21	.16	2.7	2.1	
$(\Delta T_{sfc}=0)$	11.0	.37	.26	1.5	4.9	.14	.15	1.4	2.4	
	7.0	.50	.41	5.5	2.5	.31	.24	3.8	1.5	
271.1	9.0	.48	.30	3.5	3.5	.22	.17	2.7	2.0	
$(\Delta T_{afc} = -3)$	11.0	.39	.26	1.5	4.7	.14	.15	1.5	2.3	

**Table 5.**  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.90$ ;  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4 = \pm 5$  K Where:  $T_{sfc}$  is the Surface Temperature (K);  $z_{dd}$  is the Effective Cloud Altitude (km);  $\Delta \varepsilon_4$  is the Resulting Difference (+ or -) in Channel 4 Emissivity From Expected Values; and  $\Delta z$  is the Resulting Difference (+ or -) in Altitude (km) From Expected Values.

ΔT <sub>sfc</sub>	Z <sub>eld</sub>	MAX $\Delta \epsilon_4$		MA	ΜΑΧ ΔΖ		AVG $\Delta \epsilon_4$		AVG Az	
(K)	(km)	+	-	+	•	+	-	+	-	
	7.0	.09	.20	2.8	1.0	.03	.09	0.4	0.5	
277.1	9.0	.04	.10	2.2	0.9	.02	.06	0.6	0.4	
$(\Delta T_{sfc} = +3)$	11.0	.02	.07	1.5	1.1	.01	.04	1.3	0.5	
	7.0	.10	.24	3.1	1.0	.04	.10	0.8	0.5	
274.1	9.0	.05	.12	3.5	1.0	.02	.06	0.7	0.5	
$(\Delta T_{sfc}=0)$	11.0	.02	.07	1.5	1.1	.01	.04	1.1	0.5	
	7.0	.09	.27	3.4	1.0	.04	.12	0.8	0.5	
271.1	9.0	.06	.13	3.5	1.0	.02	.06	0.7	0.5	
$(\Delta T_{sfc} = -3)$	11.0	.03	.07	1.5	1.1	.01	.04	1.3	0.5	

# 6. SENSITIVITY RESULTS FOR OPTICALLY THIN CIRRUS CLOUDS: AVHRR CHANNEL 4 EMISSIVITY OF 0.2

#### 6.1 Surface Temperature of 277.1 K

This section describes the sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 2, Appendix A.

When the surface temperature value is in error by +3 K there are large errors in retrieved emissivity ( $\epsilon$ ) and cloud height (z) for low, medium, and high cloud altitudes. The largest emissivity errors occur where the T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> errors are both negative at low altitudes (7 km) but shift to where T<sub>3</sub> errors are negative and T<sub>4</sub> errors are positive at medium (9 km) and high altitudes (11 km). In general, negative errors in  $T_3$  result in a positive  $\varepsilon$  error and positive errors in  $T_3$  result in a negative  $\varepsilon$  error regardless of the magnitude of the  $T_4$  error  $\Delta T_4$ . As the positive  $T_3$  error increases, the  $\varepsilon$  value decreases and goes to 0.00 for  $\Delta T_3 \ge +3$  K. Calculated emissivity values range from 0.00 to 0.99 at 7 km cloud heights and 0.00 to 0.95 at 9 km and 11 km cloud heights.

Cirrus height errors are present for all  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  error values but become more negative as the true cloud altitude increases. At 7 km,  $z_{dd}$  errors are predominantly negative when both  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4$  are negative, and are predominantly positive when  $\Delta T_4$  is positive and  $\Delta T_3$  is negative. At 9 and 11 km,  $z_{dd}$  errors are mostly negative when  $T_3$  errors are negative for both positive and negative errors of  $T_4$ . Cloud altitude errors  $\Delta z_{dd}$  are generally positive for  $0 \leq \Delta T_3 \leq 2$  K, and for positive and negative errors in  $T_4$ ; whereas there is no cirrus cloud detected for  $\Delta T_3 \geq +3$  K, which results in large  $z_{dd}$  errors. Calculated cirrus height values range from 0 to 12.5 km for all cloud altitudes and  $z_{dd}$  errors increase in magnitude as the cloud altitude increases.

#### 6.2 Surface Temperature of 274.1 K

This section describes the sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 3, Appendix A.

If there is no error in the surface temperature (that is,  $\Delta T_{sfc} = 0$  K), the emissivity errors that occur are remarkably consistent for all cloud altitudes when errors are introduced in  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ . Errors in emissivity are positive for  $\Delta T_3 < 0$  K and they are negative for  $\Delta T_3 > 0$  K. The largest positive  $\varepsilon$  errors occur where  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  errors are both negative for a cloud altitude of 7 km; they occur for  $-3 \le \Delta T_4 \le 3$  K for 9 km cloud altitude; and they occur when  $\Delta T_3 < 0$  K and  $\Delta T_4 > 0$  K at 11 km cloud

altitude. The calculated  $\varepsilon$  values go to 0.0 for T<sub>3</sub> errors exceeding +3 K. The range of  $\varepsilon$  errors is from approximately -0.20 to +0.75 for all cloud altitudes.

Height errors at  $T_{sk} = 274.1$  K (that is, at  $\Delta T_{sk} = 0$  K) are very similar to height errors at 277.1 K ( $\Delta T_{sk} = +3$  K). They become increasingly negative as the true cloud altitude increases from 0 to 12.5 km. Cloud height errors are generally positive for  $T_s$  errors between 0 and +2 K, and for both positive and negative errors in  $T_4$ . The largest negative  $\Delta z_{ck}$  occurs for  $\Delta T_s \ge +3$  K since no cirrus would be detected at all.

#### 6.3 Surface Temperature of 271.1 K

This section describes the sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 4, Appendix A.

Emissivity errors are generally similar at 7, 9, and 11 km cloud altitudes when the surface temperature is in error by -3 K ( $T_{stc} = 277.1$  K); however there are some distinct differences. Emissivity errors  $\Delta \epsilon$  are positive for negative  $T_3$  errors at all cloud altitudes with the exception of 7 km, which fails to detect cirrus at  $T_4$  error values of +5 K. Cirrus is also not detected for all cloud altitudes where  $\Delta T_3 \ge +3$  K. Maximum positive  $\Delta \epsilon$  errors occur at 7 km cloud altitudes when  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  errors are both negative but shift to where  $T_3$  errors are negative and  $T_4$  errors are positive at 9 and 11 km cloud altitude. Emissivity values range from 0.00 to 0.96 for each of the cloud altitudes.

At 7 km true cirrus altitude, height errors  $\Delta z_{dd}$  are largest when  $T_4 = +5$  K and for  $\Delta T_3 \ge +3$  K; this is where the AIRC model would fail to detect cirrus. The model would also fail to detect cirrus at  $T_3$  error values  $\ge +3$  K for 9 and 11 km true altitudes, resulting in large negative height errors. As the true cloud altitude  $z_{dd}$ increases from 7 to 11 km the errors become more negative and increase in magnitude from -2.8 to -6.6 K. The range of negative height errors is from 0 to -7.0, -9.0, and -11.0 km corresponding to cloud altitudes of 7, 9, and 11 km respectively. At each altitude the error values are generally positive for  $T_3$  error values between 0 and +2 K.

# 7. SENSITIVITY RESULTS FOR OPTICALLY MODERATE CIRRUS CLOUDS: AVHRR CHANNEL 4 EMISSIVITY OF 0.5

#### 7.1 Surface Temperature of 277.1 K

This section describes the sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 5, Appendix A.

For  $\Delta T_{sfc} = +3$  K, emissivity errors are similar for each of the three true cloud altitudes  $z_{dd} = 7$ , 9, and 11 km. Positive emissivity errors  $\Delta \varepsilon_4$  increase as  $T_3$  negative errors increase, and negative  $\Delta \varepsilon$  errors increase as  $T_3$  positive errors increase. The positive  $\varepsilon$  errors also increase as  $T_4$  errors increase from negative to positive values. There is little variation in negative  $\varepsilon$  errors as  $T_4$  errors vary, especially at  $z_{dd} = 11$ km altitude. However, there is a small increase in negative  $\varepsilon$  errors as  $T_4$  errors decrease from positive to negative values. Positive  $\varepsilon$  errors range from 0.00 to 0.49 at  $z_{dd} = 7$  km, 0.00 to 0.45 at 9 km, and 0.00 to 0.35 at 11 km; while negative  $\varepsilon$  errors have a maximum of -0.35 at 7 km, -0.28 at 9 km, and -0.25 at 11 km.

Height errors generally become more positive as  $\Delta T_3$  increases positively, and become more negative as  $\Delta T_3$  increases negatively for 7, 9, and 11 km cloud altitudes. The height errors also increase in a positive manner as  $T_4$  errors decrease for each cloud altitude. The magnitude of error for cirrus height ranges from  $\Delta z_{edd} = -3.0$  to +5.5 km at 7 km; from -4.0 to +3.5 km at 9 km; and from -5.0 and +1.5 km at 11 km.

#### 7.2 Surface Temperature of 274.1 K

This section describes the AIRC sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 6, Appendix A.

For  $\Delta T_{st} = 0$  K, emissivity errors at 274.1 K surface temperature are similar to the emissivity errors discussed for  $\Delta T_{st} = -3$  K (Section 7.1). Positive  $\varepsilon$  errors increase in magnitude as  $T_3$  negative errors increase in magnitude, and negative  $\varepsilon$ errors increase as  $T_3$  positive errors increase. The positive  $\varepsilon$  errors also increase as  $T_4$  errors increase while there is little change in negative  $\varepsilon$  errors with negative changes in  $T_4$  error. The magnitude of positive  $\varepsilon$  errors ranges from 0.00 to 0.46 at 7 km and 9 km true cirrus altitudes and from 0.00 to 0.37 at 11 km true cirrus altitude. The magnitude of the negative  $\varepsilon$  errors decreases slightly from -0.38 at  $z_{dd}$ = 7 km cirrus altitude to -0.26 at  $z_{dd} = 11$  km cirrus altitude.

Height errors become more positive as positive  $\Delta T_3$  increases, for all cirrus altitudes and for 274.1 K surface temperature. Height errors  $\Delta z_{dd}$  become more negative as positive  $T_4$  error differences increase at 7 and 9 km cirrus altitude where  $T_3$  temperature differences are less than +4 K and at  $z_{dd} = 11$  km altitude where  $T_3$  temperature differences are less than +3 K. Height errors reach a maximum of +5.5 km for all  $\Delta T_3 \ge 4$  K at 7 km cirrus altitude;  $\Delta z_{dd} = +3.5$  km for all  $\Delta T_3 \ge 4$  K at 9 km cirrus altitude; and  $\Delta z_{dd} = +1.5$  km for all  $\Delta T_3 \ge +3$  K at 11 km cirrus altitude. Minimum height error values range from -2.5 km at 7 km; -3.9 km at 9 km; and -4.9 km at 11 km cirrus effective altitudes.

#### 7.3 Surface Temperature of 271.1 K

This section describes the AIRC sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 7, Appendix A.

Emissivity errors at 271.1 K surface temperature  $\Delta T_{sk} = -3$  K are similar at 9 and 11 km cirrus altitude and are also similar to the emissivity pattern found at 274.1 and 277.1 K surface temperature. For these two altitudes, emissivity errors become more positive as  $T_3$  errors become more negative and  $T_4$  errors become more positive. Emissivity errors become more negative as  $T_3$  errors increase positively. However, at  $z_{skd} = 7$  km altitudes,  $\Delta \varepsilon$  becomes more positive as  $T_3$  errors become more negative, and emissivity errors become more positive as  $T_4$  errors become more positive for all  $\Delta T$  values except for  $\Delta T_3 = -5$  K and  $\Delta T_4 = +5$  K. At this point the emissivity error  $\Delta \varepsilon$  decreases instead of increasing. Also, at all values of  $\Delta T_4 = +5$  K, the  $\Delta \varepsilon$  is positive. At values of  $\Delta T_4 < +5$  K,  $\varepsilon$  errors range from -0.30 to +0.48 at 7 km cirrus altitude; from -0.41 to +0.48 at 9 km cirrus altitude; and from -0.26 to +0.39 at 11 km cirrus altitude.

Height errors at 9 and 11 km cirrus altitude are very similar. At  $z_{dd} = 9$  km altitude,  $\Delta z$  errors decrease from a maximum of +3.5 km to a minimum of -3.5 km as  $T_3$  error values decrease and  $T_4$  error values increase. At 11 km altitude,  $\Delta z$  errors decrease in the same way as at 9 km altitude but from a maximum of +1.5 km to a minimum of -4.7 km. The  $\Delta z$  error is constant at +3.5 km for  $\Delta T_3 \ge +4$  K and 9 km altitude and constant at +1.5 km for  $\Delta T_3 \ge +3$  K at 11 km altitude, independent of  $T_4$  error values. At 7 km cirrus altitude,  $\Delta z$  error values range from a maximum of +5.5 km to a minimum of -2.5 km. The  $\Delta z$  error values become more negative as  $T_3$  errors decrease and  $T_4$  errors increase, with the exception of one point at  $\Delta T_3 = -5$  K and  $\Delta T_4 = +5$  K where the altitude error changes from -1.5 km to +1.5 km. The  $\Delta z$  errors do not remain constant for any values of  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4$  at 7 km altitude, as they sometimes did for higher true cirrus altitudes.

# 8. SENSITIVITY RESULTS FOR OPTICALLY THICK CIRRUS CLOUDS: CHANNEL 4 EMISSIVITY OF 0.9

#### 8.1 Surface Temperature of 277.1 K

This section describes the AIRC sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 8, Appendix A.

For  $\Delta T_{st} = +3$  K, emissivity error values are very similar for all altitude levels when  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$ . In general, positive  $\varepsilon$  errors occur for  $\Delta T_3 < 0$  K and negative  $\varepsilon$  errors occur for  $\Delta T_3 > 0$  K. At  $z_{dd} = 7$  km, positive  $\Delta \varepsilon$  values range from 0.00 to 0.09; while negative error values range from 0.00 to -0.27. The largest negative  $\varepsilon$  error occurs as  $T_4$  values increase negatively. Positive  $\varepsilon$  errors increase slightly as  $T_4$  values become more positive. Emissivity errors are almost symmetrical at  $z_{dd} = 9$  km and range from 0.06 to 0.13. At 11 km, the  $\varepsilon$  errors are symmetrical and values range from -0.07 to 0.03, indicating a very high level of tolerance for measurement error for optically thick cirrus clouds.

Cirrus height errors  $\Delta z_{dd}$  show strong similarity for each altitude examined. Height errors become more positive as  $T_4$  values decrease for 7, 9, and 11 km altitudes. The  $\Delta z$  error values range from -1.0 to +3.4 km at  $z_{dd} = 7$  km altitude; from -1.0 to +3.5 km at 9 km altitude; and from -1.1 to +1.5 km at 11 km altitude. In general about 40% of the  $\Delta z$  error values are negative and the remainder are either zero or positive.

# 8.2 Surface Temperature of 274.1 K

This section describes the AIRC sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 9, Appendix A.

Emissivity errors are small for  $\Delta T_{sk} = 0$  K, and vary in a similar manner as at  $\Delta T_{sk} = +3$  K. Emissivity errors vary from positive to negative as  $\Delta T_s$  values go from negative to positive at 7, 9, and 11 km true altitudes. Emissivity error values range from -0.24 to +0.10 at 7 km; from -0.12 to +0.05 at 9 km; and from -0.07 to +0.02 at 11 km. The values become more positive at  $z_{old} = 7$  km as  $\Delta T_4$  values become more positive at 9 and 11 km altitudes.

Cirrus height errors vary similarly for each of the three altitudes, in much the same way as the variation described for 277.1 K surface temperatures. The  $\Delta z$  errors become more positive as  $\Delta T_4$  values decrease for each altitude. Values of  $\Delta z_{dd}$  range from -1.0 to +3.1 km at 7 km true cirrus altitude; from -1.0 to +3.5 km at 9 km altitude; and from -1.0 to + 1.5 km at 11 km altitude.

#### 8.3 Surface Temperature of 271.1 K

This section describes the AIRC sensitivity analysis results plotted in Figure 10, Appendix A.

Overall, the smallest errors in emissivity occur at 271.1 K surface temperature where  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$ . Values of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  range from -0.20 to +0.09 at 7 km true cirrus altitude; from -0.10 to + 0.04 at 9 km altitude; and from -0.07 to +0.02 at 11 km altitude. At  $z_{dd} = 7$  km, there is a small positive increase in emissivity error values as  $T_4$ temperature errors become more positive, but very little change in  $\varepsilon$  error values occurs as  $\Delta T_4$  values change at both 9 and 11 km. Again, values of  $\varepsilon$  error are positive where  $\Delta T_3$  values are negative and are negative where  $\Delta T_3$  values are positive.

Overall, the height error values  $\Delta z_{dd}$  are also the smallest for 271.1 K surface temperature and  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$ . Values of  $\Delta z_{dd}$  range from -1.0 to +2.8 km at  $z_{dd} = 7$  km

true altitude; from -0.9 to +2.2 km at  $z_{dd} = 9$  km altitude; and from -1.1 to +1.5 km at  $z_{dd} = 11$  km altitude. In general,  $\Delta z$  error values are negative where  $\Delta T_4$  values are positive and are positive where  $\Delta T_4$  values are negative. The variation in  $\Delta z$  error values is very similar to those discussed earlier for  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.9$  at 277.1 K and 274.1 K surface temperatures.

#### 9. SUMMARY

In general, retrieved emissivity and altitude errors are greatest when the true cirrus emissivity  $\varepsilon_4$  is low, and least when  $\varepsilon_4$  is high. Therefore, the AIRC cirrus model is least sensitive to  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  measurement errors when the cirrus is optically thick. Errors in both effective emissivity and altitude ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  and  $\Delta z_{dd}$  respectively) are greatest at  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.2$  (optically thin cirrus). In all cases the errors decrease as the true altitude  $z_{dd}$  increases from 7 km to 11 km. The errors also tend to decrease as the surface temperature values increase from -3 K to +3 K of the true  $T_{afc}$  value. Finally, less variation in error occurs for higher than for lower  $\varepsilon_4$  values and in general the largest error values occur at  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.2$ .

These error tendencies are, in part, due to the constraints placed on cirrus attributes by AIRC. The decrease in altitude error from 7 to 11 km is affected by the limitation of a 12.5 km height for cirrus clouds imposed by the model. Also, it is logical for the largest errors to occur for the optically thinnest cirrus ( $\varepsilon_4 = 0.2$ ), since the measured AVHRR brightness temperatures are minimally affected by the cloud itself.

Somewhat surprisingly, the accuracy of the surface skin temperature  $T_{sfc}$  turns out to be one of the less sensitive aspects of the AIRC cirrus model.

Surface emissivities  $\varepsilon_{j,afc}$  can be very difficult to specify, especially for the 3.7  $\mu$ m Channel 3. Aside from a dominant wavelength dependence,  $\varepsilon_{i,afc}$  varies on local

and even subpixel scales due to effects such as variable soil moisture content, urban/rural mixed fields of view, vegetation cover, and the like. Cirrus cloud emissivities and transmissivities have complex dependencies on wavelength, particle size distribution, and ice particle shapes. Errors in the vertical temperature profile or the atmospheric transmittances will manifest themselves largely in the accuracy of the retrieved cloud heights; the effect on clear-column radiance computations is small since each of the three AVHRR IR channels lie within reasonably clean atmospheric windows.

Sources of error in measured brightness temperature can stem from the use of AVHRR local-area coverage (LAC) 1 km data. LAC footprints from one AVHRR channel to the next are usually not precisely aligned, meaning that the "coincident" brightness temperature measurements  $T_{3}$ ,  $T_4$ , and  $T_5$  might not be from precisely the same FOV. One way to alleviate this problem is to average a set of 3 x 3 or 4 x 4 LAC pixels together before inserting them into the AIRC cirrus algorithm. Care would have to be exercised, however, to ensure that each of the pixels being averaged is completely filled with cirrus. (This is an important assumption of the AIRC model.) Finally, Channel 3 is known to be somewhat noisy; again, this problem may be alleviated through the application of spatial averaging techniques.

Special thanks is given to Dr. Michael K. Griffin (PL/GPAS) who provided the program used in generating the plots of Appendix A. The most effective use of this report is for AIRC cirrus model users to refer to these plots for a perception of possible errors inherent in the model revrieval results.

# **Bibliography**

- Hunt, Garry E., 1973: Radiative Properties of Terrestrial Clouds at Visible and Infra-Red Thermal Window Wavelengths. Quart. J. Royal Meteor. Soc., 99, 346-369.
- Inoue, Toshiro, 1987: A Cloud Type Classification With NOAA-7 Split-Window Measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 92, No. D4, 3991-4000.
- Saunders, R. W. and K. T. Kriebel, 1988: An Improved Method for Detecting Clear Sky and Cloudy Radiances from AVHRR Data. Int. J. Remote Sensing, 9, 123-150.
- Smith, W. L., and P. K. Rao, 1972: The determination of surface temperature from satellite 'window' radiation measurements. Temperature: Its Measurement and Control in Science and Industry. 4th Symp. on Temperature, 1971, Washington, D.C. Instrument Soc. of Amer., 2251-2257.

Weinreb, Michael P. and Michael L. Hill, 1980: Calculation of Atmospheric Radiances and Brightness Temperatures in Infrared Window Channels of Satellite Radiometers. NOAA Tech. Rep. NESS 80, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Washington D.C., 40 pp.

# **APPENDIX A**

# PLOTS OF AIRC SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS RESULTS AS A FUNCTION OF T<sub>3</sub> AND T<sub>4</sub> MEASUREMENT ERRORS



Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors  $\Delta T_3$  and  $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for  $T_{sh} = 277.1$ K ( $\Delta T_{sk} = +3$  K) and True Cirrus Emissivity  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.2$ . Figure 2.









Figure 4.



Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors  $\Delta T_8$  and  $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for  $T_{abc} = 277.1$ Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a K ( $\Delta T_{sc} = +3$  K) and True Cirrus Emissivity  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.5$ . Figure 5.

Ì







Function of Brightness Temperature Measurement Errors  $\Delta T_s$  and  $\Delta T_4$ . Error Plots are for  $T_{sk} = 271.1$ Plots of AIRC - Retrieved Cirrus Emissivity Errors (dimensionless) and Cloud Height Errors (km) as a K ( $\Delta T_{ste} = -3$  K) and True Cirrus Emissivity  $\varepsilon_4 = 0.5$ . Figure 7.











