

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AD-A276 543

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

REPORT DOCUMENT
ELECTRIC

MAR 02 1994

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

UNCLASSIFIED

2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY

2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 009-1993

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

6b. OFFICE SYMBOL
(if applicable)

3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AND SALE:
ITS DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED

5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION

DEPARTMENT OF SPONSORED PROJECTS
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING
AUSTIN TX 78712-1062

7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

P.O. BOX 7726
Austin, TX 78713-7726

8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING
ORGANIZATION
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

8b. OFFICE SYMBOL
(if applicable)

9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS

PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.

11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)

HYDROGEN PEROXIDE ELECTRODES BASED ON ELECTRICAL CONNECTION
OF REDOX CENTERS OF VARIOUS PEROXIDASES TO ELECTRODES THROUGH A THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELECTRON
RELAYING POLYMER NETWORK

12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)

Mark S. Vreeke and Adam Heller

13a. TYPE OF REPORT

TECHNICAL

13b. TIME COVERED

FROM 10/92 TO 12/93

14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)

February 16, 1994

15. PAGE COUNT

1

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

17. COSATI CODES

FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP

18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
HYDROGEN, PEROXIDE, ELECTRODES

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

Hydrogen Peroxide has been shown to be efficiently electroreduced at an electrode modified with a hydrophilic, permeable film of horseradish peroxidase covalently bound to a 3-dimensional epoxy network having polyvinyl pyridine (PVP)-complexed [Os(bpy)₂Cl]^{+2/+3} redox centers.¹ Four peroxide sensing cathodes based on peroxidases from *Arthromyces ramosus*, horseradish and bovine milk are compared. Their sensitivity at 0.0V (SCE) ranges from 0.1 - 1.0 A cm⁻² M⁻¹, and their limiting currents relate to the enzyme's ability to complex with the redox epoxy network.

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT

UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS

21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

UNCLASSIFIED


22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL

Adam Heller

22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)
(512) 471-8874

22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

Hydrogen Peroxide Electrodes Based on Electrical Connection of Redox Centers of Various Peroxidases to Electrodes through a Three-Dimensional Electron Relaying Polymer Network.

Mark S. Vreeke and Adam Heller

Abstract

Hydrogen Peroxide has been shown to be efficiently electroreduced at an electrode modified with a hydrophilic, permeable film of horseradish peroxidase covalently bound to a 3-dimensional epoxy network having polyvinyl pyridine (PVP)-complexed $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_2\text{Cl}]^{+2/+3}$ redox centers.¹ Four peroxide sensing cathodes based on peroxidases from *Arthromyces ramosus*, horseradish and bovine milk are compared. Their sensitivity at 0.0V (SCE) ranges from 0.1 - 1.0 $\text{A cm}^{-2} \text{M}^{-1}$, and their limiting currents relate to the enzyme's ability to complex with the redox epoxy network.

Accession For	
NTIS CPA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	23

94-06792


25 PJ



Hydrogen Peroxide Detection

Electrochemical and optical hydrogen peroxide detection forms the basis for several medical diagnostic tests. Electrochemical detection offers the advantages of smaller required sample size and ease of integration into a flow system. A common electrochemical scheme uses an oxidase to catalyze the selective translation of a substrate concentration to an H₂O₂ concentration. This translation is followed by amperometric assay of the H₂O₂, e.g. by its oxidation on platinum at 700mV (SCE). At 700mV (SCE) electrooxidation of various reducing species in the biological samples can interfere with the assay.

Peroxidase enzymes (POD) catalyze the reduction of H₂O₂ by electron donors (HA) in the following reaction




Amperometric peroxidase based H₂O₂ sensors have been made by using fast reversible redox couples (see Tables I and II). In these, the reducing member of donates electrons to H₂O₂, is oxidized, and is then cathodically reduced.

Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is the most commonly used peroxidase for diagnostic testing (Table I). Other peroxidases (Table II) are used less frequently because they are less easily available or cost more. Tables I and II show the detection schemes vary in their method of immobilization of mediator and enzyme. At one extreme one finds systems based on the direct transfer of electrons from the electrode surface through surface bound mediators to HRP redox centers contacting the surface. At the other extreme one finds systems with freely diffusing mediators and enzyme.

H₂O₂ Detection based on 3-Dimensional Redox Epoxy Networks

Here we describe electrodes based on peroxidases from horseradish (HRP), *Arthromyces ramosus* (ARP), and bovine milk (LOP) immobilized in a three dimensional redox epoxy hydrogel on a current collector. In the case of HRP we used either the purified native enzyme or its sodium periodate oxidized derivative (HRPox). The redox hydrogel was made of a poly(4-vinyl pyridine) backbone partially complexed by osmium bipyridine redox centers are electron donors (species HA in reaction 1) and relay electrons from the electrode to the reactive centers of the peroxidase (Figure 1). The ethyl amine groups enable the cross linking of the enzyme containing polymer film with a polyethylene glycol diglycidyl ether (reaction 2).



The three dimensional redox epoxy offers some of the advantages of both the freely diffusion systems and the immobilized systems. As in freely diffusing mediator based systems, not only the electrode adsorbed enzyme molecules, but also those in the redox polymer containing volume are electroactive. At the same time, there is no need to add mediator to the sample, and the mediator can not leach out or contaminate the sample.

POD enzymes are rather small (40 ≈ kd), and their active groups are positioned relatively close to the enzyme surface. This allows direct electron transfer between the POD enzymes and the electrodes. Figure 2 shows the dependence of the current on potential for HRP immobilized in a hydrogel similar to the electron relaying one but without the electron relaying osmium redox sites. Here only those enzyme molecules actually adsorbed on the electrode surface in electrical contact with it contribute to the signal. In contrast, when the enzyme is immobilized in the hydrogel with electron relaying osmium centers, there is a

hundred fold increase in current because enzyme molecules contacting relays are also "wired". (Figure 3)

Peroxidase Sensor Response

The dependence of the current response on H_2O_2 concentration for optimized HRP, HRPox, ARP, and LOP cathodes is shown in figure 4. The ARP and HRPox cathodes show a linear range from 0.1 to $100\mu M$ H_2O_2 . The limit of detection for HRPox is 10nM. The cathode is slightly less sensitive than the HRPox cathode, however it has the advantage of increased dynamic range. The LOP cathode does not exhibit the good sensor response of the other enzymes. Its linear range is narrow, and sensor deteriorates more rapidly than the HRP sensor, that shows only a 10% loss over the course of 3 days continuous operation. The LOP sensor loses 10% of its output in hours.

The weight fraction of POD in the redox epoxy film effects the sensor response. As the amount of enzyme is initially increased in the film the current increases, reaching a maximum at 8 to 50% weight POD. As more enzyme is added current then decreases. The shape of the curve reflects the fact that at low enzyme loading the sensor is limited by the number of catalytic sites. However, as the fraction of electrically insulating POD increases the sensor becomes electron transport limited, and as more enzyme is added the current decreases.

Figures 5 to 8 show this effect. The ARP (figure 5), LOP (figure 6) and HRP (figure 7) obtain their respective maximum currents at 20 to 45%, 35 to 50% and 20 to 40% enzyme loading. These percentages are similar to the results obtained for anodes using the same redox polymer and glucose oxidase.²¹ It is interesting to note the variation in current maximum for the two HRP enzymes. For $NaIO_4$ oxidized HRP the current maximum is found at 8-20% enzyme loading

(figure 8) vs. 20-40% enzyme loading for native HRP (figure 7). NaIO₄ treatment of the glycoprotein is a standard procedure for generation of aldehydes by the oxidation of sugar residues. The aldehydes produced can be covalently bound to the redox polymer, which is a polyamine, in a reaction where multiple Schiff bases are formed. Formation of a dense system of covalent bonds implies tight binding of the enzyme and its "wiring" redox polymer. It results in effective electrical connection of a large fraction, perhaps most, of the enzyme molecules present. Thus the current rises rapidly and to a high level as enzyme is added, then becomes limited by the network's current carrying capacity when the fraction of insulating enzyme becomes excessive.

Electrostatic Interaction of Polymer and Enzyme

We account for the differences between the sensors by the different electrostatic interactions between the polymer and enzyme. Strong electrostatic interaction between the enzyme and the redox polymer is expected to lead to tight coupling of the enzyme and the "wiring" polymer, and thus to a shorter average distance for electron transfer. Because the redox polymer is a polycation, the greater the negative charge of the enzyme at neutral pH, the higher the current. This explanation is consistent with the interpretation of the behavior of the response of different FAD enzyme sensors made with the present redox polymer.²² The formation of polymer-enzyme complexes is readily observed in isoelectric focusing (IEF) experiments. Figure 9 shows IEF runs for the 4 enzymes. ARP, HRP and LOP are respectively negatively, slightly positively and positively charged at pH 7. Native HRP focuses as two separate isoenzymes with very close PI's. The HRPox forms a complex mixture, and does not focus to a single spot, but is more negative than HRP.

Comparison of the results of the isoelectric focusing experiments with the limiting currents supports the proposition that a positive electrostatic interaction contributes to sensor performance: the order of increasing negative charge $LOP < HRP < HRPox < ARP$ parallels the increase in limiting currents.

Acknowledgments

The work described was supported by the Office of Naval Research, National Science Foundation and Welch Foundation.

Bibliography

- (1) Vreeke, M.; Mairdan, R.; Heller, A., *Anal. Chem.* 1992, 64, 3084-90.
- (2) Jonsson, G.; Gorton, L. *Electroanalysis* 1989, 1, 465-8.
- (3) Wang, J.; Friehe, B.; Naser, N.; Romero, E. G.; Wollenberger, U.; Ozsoz, M.; Evans, O. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1991, 254, 81-88.
- (4) Cosgrove, M.; Moody, G. J.; Thomas, J. D. R. *Analyst* 1988, 113, 1811-15.
- (5) Kulys, J. J.; Samalius, A. S.; Svirnickas, G. -J. S. *FEBS Letters* 1980, 114, 7-10.
- (6) Tatsuma, T.; Okawa, Y.; Watanabe, T. *Anal. Chem.* 1989, 61, 2352-5.
- (7) Sanchez, P. D.; Ordieres, A. J. M.; Garcia, A. C.; Blanco, P. T. *Electroanalysis* 1991, 3, 281-5.
- (8) Schubert, F.; Saini, S.; Turner, A. P. F. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1991, 245, 133-8.
- (9) Pantano, P.; Morton, T. H.; Kuhr, W. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, 113, 1832-3.
- (10) Kulys, J. J.; Pesliakienė, M. V.; Samalius, A. S. *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.* 1981, 8, 81-8.
- (11) Lundback, H.; Olsson, B. *Analytical Letters* 1985, 18(B7), 871-89.
- (12) Kojima, J.; Morita, N.; Takagi, M. *Analytical Sciences* 1988, 4, 497-500.
- (13) Kulys, J. J.; Laurinavicius, V. -S. A.; Pesliakienė, M. V.; Gureviciene, V. V. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1983, 148, 13-8.
- (14) Frew, J. E.; Harmer, M. A.; Allen, H.; Hill, O.; Libor, S. I. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* 1986, 201, 1-10.
- (15) Kulys, J. J.; Schmid, R. D. *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.* 1990, 24, 305-11.
- (16) Armstrong, F. A.; Lannon, A. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 7211-2.
- (17) Cooper, J. M.; Alvarez-Icaza, M.; McNeil, C. J.; Bartlett, P. N. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* 1989, 272, 57-70.
- (18) Tatsuma, T.; Watanabe, T. *Anal. Chem.* 1991, 61, 1580-5.
- (19) Paddock, R. M.; Bowden, E. F. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* 1989, 260, 487-94.
- (20) Razumas, V. J.; Gudavicius, A. V.; Kulys, J. J. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* 1983, 151, 311-5.
- (21) Gregg, B. A.; Heller, A. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1991, 64, 5976-80.
- (22) Work in progress.

Table I: Amperometric H₂O₂ Sensors Based on IHRP Modified Electrodes

Electrode surface	Mediator or Redox Matrix	Electrode Potential ^a	Sensitivity ^b	Linear range	Comments	Reference
			Acm ² M ⁻¹	μM		
Glassy carbon	None	0.0	10 ²	---	IHRP covalently bound to a hydrophilic epoxy network. Polyvinyl pyridine-derived polyamine crosslinked with PEGDE	1
Glassy carbon	Polymer I	0.0	1	0.1-100	IHRP covalently bound to hydrophilic epoxy network. Polymer I crosslinked with PEGDE	1
Spectrographic graphite	None ^c	0.05	0.175	0.1-500	BSA with glutaraldehyde cross-linking	2
Carbon paste	O-phenylene diamine ^d	0.15	N.A. ^e	3.1-200	butanone peroxide was used as the substrate	3

Pt	Hexacyanoferrate (0.1M) ^d	0.05	Note c	5-1700	HRP was immobilized onto a nylon net	4
HRP (CNO)	None	0.05	.168		HRP entrapped with dialysis membrane	5
Carbon	ferrocene carboxylic acid	0.2	.04	0.01-1	HRP immobilized with glu-taraldehyde	6
Carbon paste	ferrocened	0.05	PLA ^b	0.1-10	Radiation coating was applied to the electrode to prevent loss of mediator	7
Graphite foil	potassium hexa cyanoferrate(II) ^d	0.02M	0.03	0.01	electrolyte was dioxane with 15% aqueous buffer	8
Carbon fiber ^e	None	Note b		10-5000	The biotin-avidin complex was used to obtain a surface layer of HRP	9

Pt, organic metal, or glassy carbon	potassium ferrocyanide	Note i	-----	-----	membrane with albumin and glutaraldehyde	10
Spectrographic graphite or Carbon film	hexacyano- ferrate (II) ^d	0.0	Note e	1-10000	HRP immobilized on arylamino- derivatized controlled-pore glass, packed into a flow through reactor	11
Amino silvated glassy carbon	hexacyano- ferrate (II) ^d	0.0	Note i	-----	Glycerophosphate oxidase, HRP and BSA were covalently cross- linked on the glassy carbon surface.	12
Glassy carbon	hexacyano- ferrate (II) ^d	0.0	Note i	-----	Albumin, glutaraldehyde, HRP and oxidase (xanthine, uricase, glucose) matrix held close to the electrode with a dialysis membrane.	13
Gold or graphite	Several	Note k	2.0 ^f	0.05-6 ^l	HRP was free in solution	14

Table I: Amperometric H_2O_2 sensors utilizing biocatalysts other than HRP

Electrode Surface	Mediator or Redox Matrix	Electrode Potential ^a	Sensitivity $Acm^{-2}M^{-1}$	Linear range μM	Comments	Reference
Spectrographic graphite	None	0.1	1	27-246	Fungal peroxidase from <i>Arthro-myces ramosus</i> immobilized with a difunctional carbodiimide	15
Pyrolytic graphite edge	None	-0.55	0.12	>70	Cytochrome C peroxidase was used free in solution	16
Gold	1,3'-dimethyl ferrocene ethylaminated	0.1	1.76	-----	cytochrome C peroxidase was immobilized on a nylon membrane	17
Gold	None	0.2	10000	1-5000	Heme nonapeptide (MW = 16000)	18

Edge oriented None 0.0 3.0 NA Cytochrome C peroxidase (MW = 31.1kDa) was adsorbed onto the surface. Electrode polishing protocol was found to impact on the stability and reproducibility of the electrocatalytic response. 19

Gold None The surface was modified with methyl viologen. HRP reduction below -0.7V and oxidation above -0.1 to -0.6V^a 20

-
- a) potential vs SCE
 - b) macro porous electrode, the true surface area is unknown
 - c) uncertainty as to whether surface species created during electrode pretreatment are mediating
 - d) freely diffusing mediator

- e) flow system
- f) probably mediated by soluble component of organic metal or reaction product of organic metal
- g) microelectrode
- h) cyclic voltammetry used to provide selective detection of oxygen generated by autocatalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide
- i) HRP incorporated into a bienzyme system
- j) aminoglycosides gentamycin or neomycin were used to bind cytochrome C peroxidase and facilitate electron transfer
- k) mediators used and redox potentials are: $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{py}](\text{ClO}_4)_3 = +28$ $\text{Cp}(\text{FcC}_2\text{P9})\text{H} = -80$ $1,1'$ dimethyl-3-(2-aminoethyl) ferrocene = $+175$ (2-aminoethyl)ferrocene = $+185$ Ferrocenemonocarboxylic acid = $+275$ aminomethylferrocene = $+309\text{mV}$
- l) best reported result for ferrocene monocarboxylic acid

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Redox cycles occurring in the 3-dimensional redox epoxy hydrogel.

POD represents any of the following enzymes: native horseradish peroxidase, NaIO₄ treated horseradish peroxidase, lactoperoxidase, or *Arthromyces ramosus* peroxidase.

Figure 2. Dependence of current on potential for a NaIO₄ oxidized horseradish peroxidase immobilized in a 3-dimensional epoxy hydrogel free of electron relaying osmium redox centers. (A) no H₂O₂; (B) 0.1mM H₂O₂ Conditions: aerated pH 7 physiological phosphate buffer solution; scan rate 2.5 mV s⁻¹; 500 RPM.

Figure 3. Electrode as in figure 2, but with osmium electron relaying redox centers. (A) no H₂O₂; (B) 0.1 mM H₂O₂; (C) 0.5 mM H₂O₂ Conditions for A and B are as in figure 2. C was done as 2000 RPM.

Figure 4. Dependence of current density on hydrogen peroxide concentration for cathodes based on different peroxidases. (open circles) NaIO₄ treated horseradish peroxidase; (closed circles) native horseradish peroxidase; (open squares) lactoperoxidase; (closed squares) *Arthromyces ramosus*. Each electrode contains approximately 10μg osmium redox polymer, 1μg polyethylene glycol diglycidyl ether crosslinker and 1 to 4μg peroxidase. Conditions: aerated pH 7 physiological phosphate buffer solution; 1000 RPM.

Figure 5. Dependence of current on the weight fraction of *Arthromyces ramosus* peroxidase (ARP) in the film. The osmium redox polymer and crosslinker amounts were held constant at approximately 10 and 1μg. Conditions: aerated pH 7 physiological phosphate buffer solution; 1000 RPM.

Figure 6. Dependence of current on the weight fraction of lactoperoxidase (LOP) in the film. The osmium redox polymer and crosslinker amounts were held


constant at approximately 10 and 1 μ g. Conditions: aerated pH 7 physiological phosphate buffer solution; 1000 RPM.

Figure 7. Dependence of current on the weight fraction of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) in the film. The osmium redox polymer and crosslinker amounts were held constant at approximately 10 and 1 μ g. Conditions: aerated pH 7 physiological phosphate buffer solution; 1000 RPM.

Figure 8. Dependence of current on the weight fraction of NaIO₄ treated horseradish peroxidase (HRPox) in the film. The osmium redox polymer and crosslinker amounts were held constant at approximately 10 and 1 μ g.

Conditions: aerated pH 7 physiological phosphate buffer solution; 1000 RPM.

Figure 9. Isoelectric focusing of the 4 enzymes. The agrose gel was loaded with 3.5 to 9.5 pH ampholite to set up the gradient.



Three dimensional redox epoxy hydrogel




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

