ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Remarks on a Result of L.A.V. Carvalho

N. P. Bhatia
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

W. O. Egerland
U.S. ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY

ARL-TR-351 February 1994





NOTICES

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	February 1994	Final, Oct 92 - May 93

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Remarks on a Result of L.A.V. Carvalho

5. FUNDING NUMBERS
4B592-321-13-3013

6. AUTHOR(S)
N. P. Bhatia and W. O. Egerland

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMSRL-CI-S
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5067

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-B (Tech Lib)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
ARL-TR-351

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
The first author is Professor of Mathematics at the University of Maryland, Baltimore County. His collaboration with the second author spans a period of 10 years. The Point of Contact for this report is Malcolm Taylor, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, ATTN: AMSRL-CI-S, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5067.

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

The report comments on two papers in the *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* and contains two new propositions that supplement results that were previously published by the authors in BRL-TR-2702, BRL-TR-2762, and ARO-Report 87-01. The note was submitted for publication in the *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* and is presently under review.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
periodic orbits, periodic loops, infinite loops, Sarkovskii's Theorem, periodic functions, loops

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
9

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UL

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Preface.

The note "Remarks on a Result of L. A. V. Carvalho" was submitted for publication in the *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* and is presently under review. It is published here since the new Proposition A and B supplement results that appeared in the Technical Reports BRL-TR-2702, BRL-TR-2762, and in ARO-Report 87-1.

We began to collaborate under the US Army Summer Faculty Research and Engineering Program in 1983. At that time "Chaos" was in its first bloom and we knew very little about it. We decided *ab ovo* to find out what had been proved in the case of a continuous function of one real variable and, more importantly, to analyze the proof techniques that were used under the mere assumption of continuity. Beyond this "narrow focus" no program was established. The usual long preliminary process of getting to the essential core in a new subject was considerably shortened by Targonski's Studia Mathematica Skript 6 *Topics in Iteration Theory.* The book had been acquired by the BRL-Library at the recommendation of the BRL-mathematician R. E. Shear. After we had completed the "required reading" we noticed that certain proofs by contradiction could be replaced by constructive proofs. In particular, reasoning with predecessors, especially of fixed points, became a viable proof strategy. In time and in the context of periodic loops and elementary periodic orbits the notions of $L(\infty)$ and $E(\infty)$ as infinite preorbits were formalized and found their "rightful" places in the Sarkovskii ordering, places, where previously had been only "etc. dots". These and other results were presented at Army Conferences in 1984 (Rensselaer), 1986 (Cornell), 1987 (West Point), 1988 (Colorado), 1991 (ARO-Durham) and informally discussed at other scientific meetings (Marseille-Luminy (1989), College Park (1991)).

We wish to thank Messrs. H. L. Reed, S. S. Wolff and A. B. Cooper for their support of our endeavors in the "early years" and Messrs. W. H. Mermagen and M. A. Hirschberg for their sponsorship in the "later years". We also take this opportunity to thank Messrs. Mermagen and Hirschberg for their patience during the writing of our paper "New Proof and Extension of Sarkovskii's Theorem" which went through a time-consuming monotone sequence of improvements in an attempt to achieve a "best possible" measure of lucidity.

1 June 1993

N. P. Bhatia
W. O. Egerland

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Note

Remarks on a Result of L. A. V. Carvalho

N. P. BHATIA

University of Maryland, Catonsville, MD 21228

AND

W. O. EGERLAND

U. S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen, MD 21005

In [1] Carvalho introduced the notion of a periodic n-step orbit for a continuous function $f : R \to R$. By definition, f has a periodic n-step orbit $(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})$ if $x_n = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1}$, where $x_{k+1} = f(x_k)$, $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$. He proves that if f has a periodic n-step orbit, then f has a periodic $(n-1)$-step orbit. Invernizzi obtains the same result in a recent note [2] via Miranda's theorem of 1940.

We wish to point out : (a) Periodic n-step orbits were already introduced under the name of n-periodic loops in [3]; (b) If f has an $(n+1)$-periodic loop and $n \geq 3$, then f has two distinct n-periodic loops as shown in [3; Corollary 5.3]; (c) Carvalho's extension of Sarkovskii's order is only one of many corollaries to Theorem (SR), the principal result of [3]. More importantly, we introduced in [3] the notion of an infinite loop. By definition, f has an infinite loop if there exists $x_0 \in R$ with an infinite preorbit $(x_0, x_{-1}, \cdots, x_{-n}, \cdots)$ satisfying $x_0 < \cdots < x_{-n} < x_{-(n-1)} < \cdots < x_{-2} < x_{-1}$ or $x_0 > \cdots > x_{-n} > x_{-(n-1)} > \cdots > x_{-2} > x_{-1}$, where $f(x_{-n}) = x_{-(n-1)}$. It follows then [3; Theorem 5.4] that if f has an infinite loop, then f has n-periodic loops of all orders in at least two distinct copies for each $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, the notion of an infinite loop, as already shown in [3], is equivalent with the notion of turbulence introduced by Block and Coppel in [4], following a suggestion of Lasota and Yorke.

We conclude this note by proving two propositions. Proposition A gives a proof that an $(n+1)$-periodic loop implies the existence of two distinct n-periodic loops if $n \geq 3$. This proof is different from the previous proof

[3; Corollary 5.3]. Proposition B states a four-point inequality that ensures the existence of an infinite loop and hence two distinct n-periodic loops for each $n \geq 3$.

Proposition A. Let $f : R \to R$ be continuous. If f has an $(n+1)$-periodic loop, $n \geq 3$, then f has two distinct n-periodic loops.

Proof. For the proof we state first a lemma.
Lemma F. Let $f : R \to R$ be continuous and $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ compact intervals such that

$$f(L_i) \supset L_{i+1},\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$$

and

$$f(L_n) \supset L_1.$$

If $L_i \cap L_j,\ i \neq j$, is either empty or a singleton, then there is a point $x_0 \in L_1$ with $x_i \in L_{i+1},\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, and $x_0 = x_n$. Such an x_0 has period n if n is odd and period n or $\frac{n}{2}$ if n is even, but the period $\frac{n}{2}$ is possible only if $x_i \in L_{i+1} \cap L_{(\frac{n}{2}+i+1)},\ i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2} - 1$.
Lemma F is proved in [5]. The proof of Proposition A then follows for any $n \geq 3$ from the construction exhibited in the following Fig. 1 for the special case $n = 4$, where x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 and x_4 are the points of a 5-periodic loop, c_0 is a fixed point, and c_{-1}, c_{-2}, and c_{-3} are predecessors of c_0.



Fig. 1. Any 5-periodic loop implies two 4-periodic loops.

The intervals $L_1 = [c_{-3}, x_1]$, $L_2 = [c_{-2}, x_2]$, $L_3 = [c_{-1}, x_3]$, $L_4 = [c_0, x_4]$, and $M_1 = [x_1, c_{-2}]$, $M_2 = [x_2, c_{-1}]$, $M_3 = [x_3, c_0]$, $M_4 = [c_0, x_4]$ ensure the existence of two distinct 4-periodic loops by Lemma F.
Remark. Carvalho [1] and Invernizzi [2] prove only the existence of the 4-periodic loop defined by the L-intervals. We observe that the 4-periodic loop defined by the M-intervals does not have a 4-periodic point in the interval (x_0, x_1).

Proposition B. Let $f : R \to R$ be continuous. If

$$x_{n+1} < x_n < x_0 < x_1$$

for some $n \geq 2$, then $L(\infty)$ holds, i.e., f has an infinite loop.

Proof. The proof is based on Lemma 4.1 in [3] which states that if c_0 is a fixed point of f with predecessors c_{-1}, c_{-2} satisfying $c_0 < c_{-2} < c_{-1}$, then $L(\infty)$ holds. We shall construct a six-point inequality that contains the assumptions of Lemma 4.1. We observe first that there is a fixed point c_0 of f satisfying $x_n < c_0 < x_0$, and we may clearly choose it so that the interval $(c_0, x_0]$ contains no other fixed points of f. Hence $f(x) > x$ for each $x \in (c_0, x_0]$. We note next that there is a successor x_k of x_0, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that $x_{k+1} < c_0 < x_0 < x_k$. This inequality implies the existence of another fixed point d_0 satisfying $x_0 < d_0 < x_k$, and we may assume that $f(x) < x$ in the interval $(d_0, x_k]$. Our final observation is that there must be an x_l, $0 \leq l \leq k-1$, such that $x_0 < x_l < d_0$, $x_{l+1} \geq x_k$. This completes the construction

$$x_{k+1} < c_0 < x_l < d_0 < x_k \leq x_{l+1},$$

which guarantees predecessors c_{-1} and c_{-2} in the intervals (d_0, x_k) and (x_l, d_0), respectively, with $c_0 < c_{-2} < c_{-1}$. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, $L(\infty)$ holds and the proof is complete.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

REFERENCES

1. L. A. V. CARVALHO, On an Extension of Sarkovskii's Order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.***138** (1989), 52-58.
2. S. INVERNIZZI, On a Result by Carvalho Concerning Sarkovskii's Order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.***168**, Note, (1992), 284-285.
3. N. P. BHATIA AND W. O. EGERLAND, A Refinement of Sarkovskii's Theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.***102**(1988), 965-972.
4. L. S. BLOCK AND W. A. COPPEL, Stratification of Continuous Maps of an Interval, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.***297**(1986), 587-604.
5. N. P. BHATIA AND W. O. EGERLAND, New Proof and Extension of Sarkovskii's Theorem, to appear.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

No. of Copies	Organization
2	Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
1	Commander U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCAM 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
1	Director U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-AD, Tech Publishing 2800 Powder Mill Rd. Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
1	Director U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-AD, Records Management 2800 Powder Mill Rd. Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
2	Commander U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
1	Director Benet Weapons Laboratory U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050
1	Director U.S. Army Advanced Systems Research and Analysis Office (ATCOM) ATTN: AMSAT-R-NR, M/S 219-1 Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

No. of Copies	Organization
1	Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5010
1	Commander U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-JSK (Armor Eng. Br.) Warren, MI 48397-5000
1	Director U.S. Army TRADOC Analysis Command ATTN: ATRC-WSR White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502
(Class. only) 1	Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD (Security Mgr.) Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
(Unclass. only) 1	Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-WCB-O Fort Benning, GA 31905-5000
1	WL/MNOI Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
	Aberdeen Proving Ground
2	Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
1	Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-TC
1	Dir, USAERDEC ATTN: SCBRD-RT
1	Cdr, USACBDCOM ATTN: AMSCB-CII
1	Dir, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-SL-I
5	Dir, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-B (Tech Lib)

No. of
Copies Organization

1 U.S. Military Academy
Department of Mathematics
ATTN: CPT Philip Beaver
West Point, NY 10966

1 Director
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMSRL-SS-M, Dr. J. P. Sattler
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

Aberdeen Proving Ground

7 Dir, USARL
ATTN: AMSRL-SL-BV, Edwin Davisson
AMSRL-WT-WE, Mary Fields
AMSRL-CI, William Mermagen
AMSRL-CI-CB,
Morton Hirschberg
Richard Kaste
AMSRL-CI-SC, Charles Hansen
AMSRL-CI-S, Malcolm Taylor

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number ARL-TR-351 Date of Report February 1994

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT ADDRESS

_____
Organization

_____
Name

_____
Street or P.O. Box No.

_____
City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD ADDRESS

_____
Organization

_____
Name

_____
Street or P.O. Box No.

_____
City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)