NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



AD-A276 253

THESIS

HAZARDOUS WASTE REDUCTION EFFORTS OF THE NAVY AND DOD IN THE SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA REGION

by

Michael W. Kane

December, 1993

Thesis Advisor:

L. R. Jones

94 3 4 024

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Unclassified

			REPORT DOCU	MENTATION PAGE						
la Report Securi	ity Classification:	Unclassified		Ib Restrictive Markings		·				
2a Security Class	sification Authorit	y		3 Distribution/Availability of Report						
2b Declassificati	ion/Downgrading S	chedule		Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.						
4 Performing Or	ganization Report	Number(s)		5 Monitoring Organization 1	Report Numbe	er(s)				
	forming Organizati	on	6b Office Symbol	7a Name of Monitoring Org						
Naval Postgra		·····	(if applicable) 36	Naval Postgraduate Sch						
6c Address (city. Monterey CA	, state, and ZIP co 93943-5000	de)		7b Address (city. state, and Monterey CA 93943-50						
8a Name of Fund	ding/Sponsoring O	rganization	6b Office Symbol (if applicable)	9 Procurement Instrument I	dentification 1	Number				
Address (city, st	ate, and ZIP code)		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	10 Source of Funding Numb	ers					
				Program Element No	Project No	Task No	Work Unit Accession N			
DIEGO CALI	FORNIA REGI hor(s) Kane, Micha	ON	DOUS WASTE RED	OUCTION EFFORTS OF	THE NAV	Y AND D	•D IN THE SAN			
12 reisonal Aut 13a Type of Rep			13b Time Covered	14 Date of Report (year, mo	onth davi	15 Page Co				
Master's Thesi			From To	1993 December	min, day)	55				
of the Departr	ment of Defense		Government.	those of the author and d						
17 Cosati Codes		1		iinue on reverse if necessary DoD, Hazardous waste -						
Field	Стоир	Subgroup		sposal costs, Hazardous	-		-			
that efforts by pricing schedu costs. The res	Fleet Industria ule used by Pub search conclude	I Supply Cen blic Works Ce is that the bes	ter, San Diego shoul nter, San Diego to c t method to reduce c	and generated waste had d reduce both costs and harge for hazardous wast costs is to reduce the was technologies should be in	wastes and te processin ste stream.	that the im g services Further, m	provements in the also should reduce fore effort is needed			

•

4

DD FORM 1473,84 MAR

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete

security classification of this page Unclassified Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

HAZARDOUS WASTE REDUCTION EFFORTS OF THE NAVY AND DOD IN THE SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA REGION

by

Michael W. Kane Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., Canisius College, 1982

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

December 1993

Author:

Michael W. Ka

Michael W. Kane

Approved by:

. R. Jones, Thesis Advisor

J. L. McCaffery, Associate Advisor

D. R. Whipple, Chairman Department of Administrative Sciences

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the hazardous waste reduction efforts of the Department of Defense and the Navy in the San Diego, California region. It shows that previous efforts to reduce cost and generated waste have not been successful. The study reveals that efforts by Fleet Industrial Supply Center, San Diego should reduce both costs and wastes and that the improvements in the pricing schedule used by Public Works Center, San Diego to charge for hazardous waste processing services also should reduce costs. The research concludes that the best method to reduce costs is to reduce the waste stream. Further, more effort is needed to identify less or non-hazardous substitutes and waste treatment technologies should be investigated and implemented wherever possible.

Accesio	n For	(
NTIS	CRA&I	Ŋ									
DTIC	TAB										
1	Unannounced										
Justific	ation										
	By Distribution /										
A	vallabilit	y Codes									
Dist	Avail a Spe										
A-1											

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	Α.	OVERVIEW	1
	в.	OBJECTIVE	2
	с.	RESEARCH QUESTIONS	3
	D.	SCOPE	3
	E.	LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY	3
	F.	CHAPTER OUTLINE	4
II.	BAC	KGROUND	5
	Α.	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICY	5
		1. Department of the Navy	7
	в.	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY ACTIONS	9
	с.	NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	14
	D.	FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, SAN DIEGO,	
• •		CALIFORNIA	19
III	. D.	ATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	23
	A.	WASTE STREAMS AND ASSOCIATED COSTS	23
	в.	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL AND WASTE MINIMIZATION	27
	c.	WASTE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY	30
IV.	CO	NCLUSION	33

	Α.	OVEI	RVI	E	V	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	33
	в.	ARE	AS	FC	OR	FU	JRI	THE	ER	RE	ESE	EAF	RCF	ł	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	35
	c.	SUM	MAF	۲Y	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
APPI	ENDIX	ΧA	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	37
APPI	ENDI	ХB	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	39
APPI	ENDI	кс	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	42
APPI	ENDI	ХD	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	45
APPI	ENDI	ΧE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	46
LIS	r of	REF	ERI	ENC	CES	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
INI	TIAL	DIS	TR	IBI	JT]	[0]	N I	LIS	ST	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	48

I. INTRODUCTION

A. OVERVIEW

Federal governmental intervention into the area of environmental regulation came to fruition with issuance of Executive Order 11472 in May 1969, to establish the Environmental Quality Council and the Citizen's Advisory Committee on Environmental Quality. These two organizations provided the nation with legislation that was enacted into law on 1 January 1970 as the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 usc 4321, 32 CFR 775]. NEPA provided the nation with its first significant, official environmental policy. Since then, substantial additional legislation and regulations have been enacted to protect our environment and natural resources.¹

Although mandated by NEPA to consider environmental effects of proposed action in their decision making process, the Department of Defense (DoD) routinely went about its business with widespread disregard of environmental concerns for a considerable period of time. It was not until Executive Order 12088 was issued in October 1978, directing the heads of all Executive agencies to become environmentally responsible,

¹Appendix A lists all acronyms used in this research. Appendix B lists all pertinent environmental regulations.

that DoD officials were forced to start to act in an environmentally responsible manner. Further, flagrant nonchalance toward federal, state and local Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations by DoD prompted intense congressional interest and intervention. This has forced the hands of DoD officials to abide by strict fiscal and procedural processes toward these efforts.

B. OBJECTIVE

DoD activities have increased awareness and responsibility for environmental damage caused by years of negligence and abuse in the handling and treatment of hazardous and toxic waste material. Numerous proactive and reactive responses to control, correct and establish responsible measures to preserve and protect the environment have been established. Unarguably, these steps were necessary but, they have created skyrocketing costs that must be addressed by DoD and Navy financial managers.

This thesis will examine these concerns and the efforts that have been undertaken in the San Diego, California region to reduce hazardous and toxic waste, and material generation and abatement. This is a large metropolitan area with several Naval facilities and other producers of hazardous and toxic waste and material. As with DoD facilities, each of these generators is mandated by statute to handle and process these contaminants.

C. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The questions addressed by this research include: What efforts have been effected by DoD and some of its various entities to achieve environmentally sound practices in the San Diego, California area? To what extent have these efforts combated the spiraling costs associated with hazardous and toxic waste, and material management? Are there any other means available to reduce proliferation of these materials or the costs of disposal and treatment?

D. SCOPE

This research will provide a comprehensive assessment of current efforts in the San Diego, California area to control the costs associated with the generation and processing of hazardous and toxic waste and material. It will identify the wastes generated and associated handling costs. It also will examine efforts and technologies that may be exploited to further control costs.

E. LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY

Research and data collection will be limited to Naval facilities in the San Diego, California area. Existing data on the volume and types of material generated will be collected and analyzed as will the costs incurred to process and treat this material. Interviews with instrumental organizations and personnel actively involved in hazardous

waste processing and abatement in DoD and this geographic region will be conducted.

F. CHAPTER OUTLINE

Chapter II will provide a background presentation on DoD policy, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) actions, Public Works Center (PWC), San Diego, California policy and actions, and Fleet Industrial Supply Center (FISC), San Diego, California actions. The third chapter will present data and analysis for waste streams and associated costs, hazardous material and waste minimization efforts, and available hazardous waste treatment technology. Chapter IV provides a summary, conclusions, and areas for further research.

II. BACKGROUND

We are, according to everything that I read, in the decade of an environment. The mission, as I see it, of the Armed Services Committee and this panel is to try to put balance into what we think is going to be kind of a feverish-type project for the next decade, to move things probably a lot faster than is possible, but the mission that we also have is to speed things up from where they are right now. [Ref. 1]

These words of the Honorable Richard Ray, Representative from Georgia, Chairman, Environmental Restoration Panel of the House of Representatives, Committee on Armed Services, spoken in April 1990, reflect the continued interest of Congress in DoD environmental matters that still persists today. This chapter will lay the background of what certain entities within this arena have undertaken to support sound environmental practices DoD wide and in the San Diego, California region.

A. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICY

Military installations have long been engaged in operations dealing with hazardous and toxic wastes, and materials due to the very nature of their mission. These include such things as weapons, munitions, gases, pesticides, defoliants, fuels, lubricants, paints, and paint removers, cleaners, degreasers, hydraulic fluids, contaminated sludge, and acids. Many of these materials contain heavy metals,

volatile organic solvents, and other toxic chemicals which have been found to cause cancer, birth defects, and other health hazards to humans and to the environment if improperly handled, stored and disposed. [Ref. 2]

The most widely accepted and endorsed waste minimization method is source reduction. That is, any activity that reduces or eliminates the generation of a hazardous or toxic waste within a process, such as industrial production, repair, and maintenance processes. Other means also included are: better management of hazardous materials, recycling, reuse, and treatment of hazardous wastes to render them inert. [Ref. 3]

Responding to the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, which required all hazardous waste generators to have minimization programs, DoD delegated responsibility for developing and implementing such programs to the individual Service Departments. This responsibility was again, formally delegated to the services by the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Environment) (DASD(E)) in a February 1987, policy letter. [Ref. 3:pp. 2,11]

Responding to the delegation of responsibility to the services that occurred in 1984, the Joint Logistics Commanders (Commanders of the Army Materiel Command, Air Force Logistics and Air Force Systems Commands, and the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (Logistics)) developed a minimization program to provide the services with the basic concepts and requirements

of a hazardous waste minimization program. This program was to be utilized by the services in designing programs to fit their specific needs. The DASD(E) policy letter of 1987 drew upon the tenets of the Joint Logistics Commanders program and further outlined a minimization program that included:

- Reviewing all existing technology.
- Assessing existing technology being used at activities.
- Accurately reporting hazardous waste.
- Controlling hazardous waste materials.
- Developing command reduction goals and monitoring progress toward achieving them.
- Establishing hazardous waste minimization as an important consideration in all acquisitions. [Ref.3:p. 11]

1. Department of the Navy

As with DoD in general, Navy was slow to respond to the growing interest and legislative actions associated with environmental responsibility. Various superficial efforts were enacted to bring about process changes, material substitutions, and recycling but they were met with equally superficial responses by commands and installations. These efforts probably were doomed to fail because they lacked the key aspects necessary for any strategy to succeed. Namely, they did not assign clear accountability and responsibility, and they lacked goals.

Finally, on May 18, 1988, Navy issued a formal hazardous waste program that established roles and

responsibilities for major commands and activities. Additionally, using the weight of hazardous waste generated in 1987 as a benchmark, it set a Navy wide goal to reduce hazardous waste generated by fifty percent by the end of 1992. Also included in this directive was a requirement that the acquisition process for all weapons and support systems consider hazardous waste minimization. Each major command was directed to support this plan by:

- Implementing the entire program at their shore activities.
- Monitoring minimization goals.
- Substituting less hazardous materials for presently used hazardous materials.
- Evaluating new processes, process changes, facilities, and weapon systems to determine ways for minimizing the use of hazardous materials as much as possible. [Ref. 3:p. 15]

This plan was nearly an exact replication of the DoD program. Most major commands have enacted compliance to this program by further delegating the responsibilities to subordinate commands. What this has done then is caused myriad programs throughout the Navy, major commands, and even in the same geographic areas. There surely are commonalities but the fact is that each command is different because the Commanding Officer of each individual command is ultimately responsible for signing the EPA site permit and for compliance with all EPA regulations and infractions.

These efforts slowly brought about positive changes in hazardous waste management but the pace was slow and probably was not at an optimal level. Up to this point, the only impetus for compliance was the threat of the base commander receiving a notice of violation or fine for non-compliance from EPA. Starting in 1990 however, base commanders were tasked with financial responsibility for environmental compliance. That is, they now had to budget for hazardous waste management. This intensified awareness of procedural and management deficiencies to a new level.

B. DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY ACTIONS

Prior to the 1980 amendment to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) the individual services were responsible for final disposition of hazardous materials and wastes. As a result of the amendment, the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) shifted this responsibility to DLA. Previously, DLA was primarily only involved in reutilization and sales of hazardous materials. Since environmental regulations were becoming more and more complicated, and were ever increasing, this was a logical move on the part of OSD. It basically tasked one organization to be actively involved with the myriad factors involved with safe and economical reutilization of hazardous disposal and wastes and materials. [Ref. 1:p. 4]

DLA implemented their efforts through their regional activities known as Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service (DRMS) and through their field activities known as Defense Reutilization and Marketing Offices (DRMO). On a very simplified level, the process used by DLA is to find another DoD activity that can reuse the material, find a buyer for the material provided it is in satisfactory condition or dispose of the material in an environmentally safe manner through use of service contracts. Whether issued, sold or contracted for ultimate disposal, hazardous wastes are only released to agencies, individuals or commercial organizations that are permitted by EPA to receive and handle such property. [Ref. 1:pp. 4-5]

Hazardous materials as defined by DLA are any item which has special characteristics which could cause harm to personnel or the environment if used or stored improperly, and must be ultimately disposed of as hazardous waste [Ref. 1:p. 14]. If the materials cannot be placed by reutilization within DoD, transferred to another federal agency or sold to the public, then it is treated as hazardous waste.

Certain categories of material are prohibited from any screening or sales cycles and are processed directly to ultimate disposal. Some are precluded from sale by law, such as PCBs, cancelled/suspended pesticides, and items containing friable asbestos. Other items have no sale value, such as spill residue, hardened paints, used items, and items in

leaking, rusted, or heavily dented containers. These items are identified as "by-pass" items and are exempt from the screening and sales cycles. [Ref. 1:pp. 14-16]

Defense Logistics Agency management of hazardous materials came under great scrutiny during the 1980's because of press reports critical of its program to resell hazardous materials to private parties. In a number of instances, these buyers did not handle the hazardous materials in a responsible manner and significant environmental damage resulted. Allegations were made that DLA was seeking to minimize DoD's hazardous waste disposal requirements by selling hazardous materials to unwitting outside parties. Further, it was found by the DoD Inspector General that DLA did not always have effective control and visibility over the hazardous waste that had been turned in, removed and disposed of. [Ref. 1:p. 2]

The problems encountered by DLA were partly generated through their own fault, but some other factors beyond their control helped to exacerbate the situation. Procurement of excessive amounts of hazardous materials by DoD activities and their subsequent non-use before expiration of shelf life caused too much of this material to be turned over to DLA for resale or disposal. Additionally, it was found by the DoD Inspector General that DoD generators do not adequately identify waste when it is turned over to DLA for disposal. The generators are required to complete waste profile sheets prior to turning in their waste to DRMO for disposal. In

cases where they have insufficient in-house knowledge to complete the profile sheet, they are to furnish a laboratory analysis that is available through DLA's Defense Industrial Supply Centers. Lastly, DLA was having difficulty dealing with qualified contractors. The biggest problem with contractors was the unwillingness of the most qualified contractors to bid for the service contracts because of the known inadequacy of DoD generators regarding proper identification of hazardous materials. [Ref. 1:pp. 2-4, 23-24]

To combat these growing problems and concerns, DRMS officials took initiatives to exercise greater control over what is sold and to whom it is sold. They have employed the use of annual requirements contracts that stipulate the removal time frame for disposal to be no more than 30 days from issuance of the disposal order. Use of interim, one-time contracts is limited to only cover extended lapses in negotiating new annual contracts. The contracts require the contractors to identify in advance, transportation and any treatment, storage and disposal facilities they will use. DRMS evaluates contractor past-performance, proposed subcontractors, EPA and state permits and licenses, and proposed disposal sites for technical acceptability. The contractor may not deviate from any aspect or clause of the contract without approval from DRMS. Other safeguards to ensure that hazardous wastes are disposed in an environmentally safe manner are tracking of wastes from

initial turn-in to ultimate disposal and the physical inspection of contractors operations to assure they are meeting the terms and conditions of the contract. [Ref. 1:p. 22]

An installation management directive of 1986 and its reaffirmation by the Assistant Secretary of Defense in 1989 allows base commanders who are not satisfied with the services provided by DRMS to contract for those services on their own. The service received must be equivalent to those of the DRMS contract and the parent service must be informed of intentions to do so. Additionally, the service must be provided at a better cost and with better quality and assurances than that of the DRMS contract. There has been little evidence of base commanding officers executing this option throughout the Navy and none in the San Diego area. The following benefits of DRMS contract utilization provide evidence as to why the base commanders have foregone the aforementioned option:

- 100% disposal contract support with no overhead charges to the DoD components.
- 100% manifest tracking to maintain an audit trail from initial turn-in to DRMO until final disposition.
- 100% monitoring of contractor performance at time of pickup through the DRMO employee serving as the Contracting Officer's Representative.
- Extensive technical evaluation of contractors prior to contract award and monitoring during performance of contract.
- Records of contractor performance histories maintained to evaluate performance.

- Reutilization/Transfer/Donation (R/T/D) and Sale of hazardous property and the potential to create new R/T/D and Sales alternatives.
- Capability to provide valuable contract cost and management data for individual installations and DoD components.
- Contracts are streamlined with standard contract provisions to ensure compliance with the Federal Acquisition Regulations and Federal, state, and local environmental regulations.
- Reduced contract costs because of geographic considerations and special contract designs.
- Contract requirements tailored to meet the customers' needs. [Ref. 1:p. 83]

The above benefits probably could not be achieved by any one installation due to economies of scale obtained through DRMS, and because most installations do not have the expertise and manpower necessary to execute such a program properly.

C. NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

PWC San Diego has played a key role in the evolutionary process of hazardous waste management in the San Diego area. They serve as a middle man between generators and DRMO regarding transportation of hazardous material between these two activities and they serve as the primary receiver, consolidator, storage facility, and ultimate disposer of hazardous wastes generated by Naval activities in this geographic area. The emphasis in this section will be placed on the function of PWC regarding hazardous waste rather than

their role as a transporter of hazardous material to DRMO for reutilization or sale.²

Facilities operated by PWC include two Treatment, Disposal and Storage Facilities (TDSF) and three satellite facilities. The TDSFs are located at Naval Air Station North Island (NASNI) and Naval Station San Diego (NAVSTA). Satellite facilities are located at Naval Air Station Miramar, Naval Air Facility El Centro, and Naval Submarine Base San Diego. The TDSFs are permitted by EPA to store hazardous waste for up to one year from the initial generation date and the satellite facilities are permitted by EPA to store hazardous waste for up to 90 days from the initial generation date. It should be noted that the TDSFs operated by PWC are only permitted to store and dispose of hazardous materials; they have not been permitted to treat this material in order to render it inert.

Generators have not been freed from their environmental responsibility because of PWC interaction but it has been lessened to a considerable extent. The generators are responsible for the proper labeling, completion of the profile sheet, and any laboratory analysis needed for identification of unknown wastes prior to loading on the PWC vehicle. PWC does not have a sufficient level of in-house knowledge and funding to provide this service at each local activity. Once the waste is accepted by the PWC representative, the

²Information in this section is a result of interviews with PWC San Diego personnel and personal observation.

responsibility and reliability for safe handling and storage transfers to them. At this point, PWC will make the appropriate determination regarding consolidation, storage, and ultimate disposal of the waste material.

Upon proper determination of which facility the waste should be transported to, PWC again reviews the associated paper work and processes the material according to EPA regulations. Storage, consolidation with previously collected wastes, or disposal to the DRMS contracted treatment and disposal facility is effected at this time.

Like DLA and its regional and field activities, PWC has been highly criticized for the exorbitant prices it charges customers. Because of their role as primary receiver of this waste in the San Diego area, they, rather than DLA, have been the center of focus for the Comptroller of both Commander, Naval Air Force, Pacific (CNAP), and Commander, Naval Surface Force, Pacific (CNSP). This is understandable because the generators are charged for waste disposal services through PWC rather than DLA or DRMO.

The rates charged by PWC are not a mere reflection of the rates established by the DRMS contract. They must capture the contracted rates and additionally, overhead must be allocated to cover PWC internal costs for labor, material and equipment. These are hidden costs to the generators utilizing the PWC service but they are costs that they would have to budget and absorb if they were to perform this function internally. [To

date, only Naval Amphibious Base Coronado has attempted to avoid the PWC rates by operating directly with DRMO and the contracted hazardous waste disposer. According to PWC, NAB has had limited success and is considering reverting to the use of the PWC service.]

Prior to Fiscal Year (FY) 1993, PWC used one flat rate for all wastes turned-in by generators. This created complaints because the flat rate was erroneous, misapplied, and actually resulted in profitable operations for PWC. For example, if an activity turned-in a 55 gallon drum of oily rags, PWC charged for a full drum even if the drum was only partially full.

This flat fee could not have possibly been a fair rate because the DRMS contracts generally cite 30 to 40 different fees based on waste types. Even the most astute analyst using sophisticated regression programming could not derive a fair price allocation using a flat fee formula. There are too many variable factors involved in the pricing equation and the waste stream data from previous years had been highly variable and unreliable as well.

PWC exhibited sensitivity to their customers complaints and has made earnest efforts to rectify the pricing inequities. Their first attempt at being more responsive came in FY 93 when they switched from the single volume rate to three rate structures based on actual poundage. This structure is reflected in Table 1. This has increased customer satisfaction because they now know exactly what and

how much waste they have turned-in and how much they will be charged for it.

TABLE 1

WASTE	COST PER POUND
Inorganic	\$3.00
Organic	\$2.50
Special ³	\$2.00

PWC HAZARDOUS WASTE SERVICE CHARGES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1993

Although this pricing structure was received well by the customers of PWC, PWC has continued their commitment to providing quality service at a fair and reasonable price. A review conducted during 1993 has led to a further expanded structure for FY 94. As reflected in Table 2, there are now sixteen different categories of waste and PWC predicts that there will be a 15% to 25% decrease in costs to the generators. This is possible because of the broader base of wastes used for structuring and because the structure also takes into account the size of container turned-in. PWC again attempted to capture the true overhead costs associated with each waste stream.

³Special substances are wastes that could not be identified, are unusual, or the mixture does not fit the routine of an inorganic or organic substance.

TABLE 2

WASTE	COST PER POUND					
Ignitable 1-5 G.	\$2.25					
Corrosive 1-5 G.	\$1.35					
Toxicity, Container/Bags	\$2.00					
Ignitable 55 G.	\$1.90					
Corrosive 55 G.	\$1.00					
Reactive Waste	\$3.75					
Plating Waste	\$2.85					
Toxicity 55 G.	\$1.75					
Solvent Liquid 1-5 G.	\$1.35					
Solvent Liquid 55 G.	\$1.05					
Solvent Solid 1-5 G.	\$2.50					
Solvent Solid 55 G.	\$2.00					
0il 1-5 G.	\$1.00					
Oil 55 G. Uncontaminated	\$0.50					
Oil 55 G. Contaminated	\$1.50					
PCB	\$2.20					

PWC HAZARDOUS WASTE SERVICE CHARGES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994

D. FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FISC San Diego actively entered hazardous material management during 1993 with implementation of hazardous material reuse stores located at NASNI and NAVSTA. These stores operate in a unique manner and promise a potentially tremendous cost savings to the Navy regarding both procurement and disposal fees. Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP) funds this prototype program even though no direct benefits accrue to the FISC. The founding principle of the stores is based on the belief that the greatest potential for savings in the cost of hazardous waste disposal is to reduce the waste stream.⁴

The reuse store provides an alternative outlet to DRMO for activities in possession of hazardous material that is held in excess to their needs or is no longer needed. Unlike DRMO, the stores will accept material that is in rusted, dented or poorly labeled containers provided that it is in serviceable condition and can be properly identified. The generators can turn this material over to the store without any associated paper work. Turning the material in requires little more than making a telephone call to the store to inform them that it is available.

Upon receipt of material, store personnel inspect, catalogue, and store material on shelves or pallets. When the program was first initiated, some of the material received was actually hazardous waste due to expired shelf lives and various other reasons. The majority of the material received was in serviceable condition and thus enabled a successful initiation. Listings of available material are made available to the San Diego area customer base. Customers can review the

⁴Information in this section was obtained from a personal interview with FISC San Diego personnel and from personal observation of the Hazardous Material Reuse Store located at NAVSTA.

listing to identify any hazardous material needed by their command and obtain it free of charge. To draw the material they only need to make a telephone call to the appropriate store holding the material and it will be delivered to them by store personnel.

To further promote efficiency and economy, FISC queried customers for all hazardous material used in routine operations. This material is being added to the stores so that it too will be available for customers use and therefore provide a dis-incentive to requisitioning and stocking in the normal manner. Again, the same requisitioning procedures apply to this 'A' condition material as apply to the material turned in because of an excess condition. This material is not free-issue per se but the accounting for its cost is invisible to the customers. FISC simply informs the applicable comptroller of the requesting activity of any applicable charges and is then reimbursed.

Initial feedback from customers and personal observation indicate that this program will be a success. It has some flaws, such as lacking cradle-to-grave accountability over material once it is reissued, but, overall, it appears to be soundly founded and managed. More detail on projected reductions and savings is presented in Chapter III.

The efforts of FISC regarding hazardous material management do not stop with establishment of the reuse

centers. They have taken a proactive approach and intend to or are currently providing a number of other services such as:

- Conduct region-wide waste stream analysis.
- Establish shop towel/rag recycling contracts.
- Provide assistance with shelf life management.
- Conduct reviews to identify less hazardous substitute materials.
- Implement paint repackaging/reformulation services.

Continued commitment by FISC and NAVSUP in endeavors such as these promise further reduction of the hazardous waste stream and associated disposal costs.

III. DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

Environmental awareness among DoD and Navy officials in the San Diego, California region, appears to have risen in the past few years. On-going actions demonstrate commitment to bring about positive changes to the way in which business is conducted. This chapter will examine the waste streams and associated costs generated in San Diego. It will analyze the minimization efforts and projected effects, and address hazardous waste treatment and/or abatement technology.

A. WASTE STREAMS AND ASSOCIATED COSTS

In-depth analysis of the waste stream is virtually precluded at this time due to deficiencies in reporting procedures. These procedures are complicated and often not clear to the personnel tasked with reporting. Efforts have been implemented by Naval Facilities Engineering Command to simplify these procedures commencing in FY 94; these efforts should enable collected data to properly represent hazardous waste generation and disposal data in the future.

Chart 1 in Appendix C reports hazardous waste collection data from selected San Diego activities and demonstrates some of the reporting inequities. Examination of these data reveals that some commands report material disposed, yet they report no disposal costs. Others report disposal costs, but

no material disposed, while other commands report what appear to be conflicting data between the tons of material disposed and disposal costs. Unreliability of this nature was found throughout the Hazardous Waste Summary Reports provided by Naval Facilities Engineering Support Command, Port Hueneme, California.

FISC San Diego utilized locally collected data from PWC and generated a general breakdown of the most common hazardous waste streams generated by several larger commands in the San Diego area (NAVSTA, NASNI, NAS Miramar, and Subase). Figure 1 displays the results of this analysis. This material accounts for over 1500 tons, or greater than 60% of the hazardous waste generated and turned in to PWC during 1992 by

these activities. All of this material is of such a nature that it can be recycled, reformulated, sold, or treated.

Figure 2 provides the waste stream generation data of the same commands utilized in Figure 1 for CY 88 to 93. By 1992, the waste stream generated has clearly more **Figure 1**



than doubled over that which was generated in 1988. This is an alarming trend and clearly is in violation of the mandate to reduce generation levels by 50%.⁵ There are a number of possible reasons why this trend has occurred. The most logical of which is the changing scenario in the post cold war era.



The end of the Soviet Union threat to United States interests has caused a massive rethinking regarding national strategy. This shift in strategy calls for a down-sized

⁵Data presented in Appendix C and data in Figures 1 and 2 is not the same because selected activities were used in both cases.

military in both personnel, facilities and equipment. Navy vessels have been decommissioned in the San Diego area in support of these efforts. Actions of this nature result in generation of increased hazardous waste streams that otherwise would not have been generated in such great magnitude.

Another contributing factor to this growth is perhaps related to the increased interest in hazardous waste minimization and compliance efforts by installation commanding officers. Much of this interest is attributable to DoD and Navy policy and to increased regulatory pressure from local, regional and state governments. Efforts to minimize the risk of non-compliance may in effect increase the elimination of unwanted and expired stores, thereby increasing waste generation. Additionally, personnel involved with hazardous waste handling may be treating certain non-hazardous wastes as hazardous wastes to further safeguard the installation and the commander from an EPA violation. An example of this is disposing of an empty paint can with hardened residue as waste rather than as trash.

Appendix C, Chart 2, presents selected accounting data from CNAP and CNSP activities in the San Diego area for environmental expenditures. Appendix D provides a brief description of the uses for the applicable sub-accounting groups (SAG) within the accounting group (AG). Examination of these data indicates that expenditures for shore environmental protection have decreased over the last three fiscal years.

This is not a good trend because it is these funds that could be used for implementation of various endeavors to further reduce long term hazardous waste costs. An example of this is an environmental impact study associated with the application and permitting process needed to implement waste treatment technology. These funds also could be utilized to improve existing facilities so as to lessen the risk associated with improper handling, storage, and processing of the wastes. Currently, only class 1 and 2 projects are funded because they are the most critical and would result in a fine if not corrected. Appendix E provides a description of project classifications.

As may be expected, expenditures for disposal and other non-disposal hazardous waste operations have increased significantly because of the increase in waste generation and disposal. The increase in this SAG directly impacts the ability to funnel funds into protective measures. As long as disposal generation is on the rise, this phenomenon will not abate. More funds must be allocated through the budget process to adequately fund shortfalls in environmental protection expenditures.

B. HAZARDOUS MATERIAL AND WASTE MINIMIZATION

As discussed in the previous section, the generation of hazardous waste has actually risen vice declined due to a possible myriad assortment of reasons. Current efforts by

FISC to combat and minimize this problem project potential cost savings and reduction of waste. These efforts will be bolstered further by the efforts of individual commands to establish proper requisitioning and control procedures. Clearly though, the efforts of FISC promise the most widespread effect in these endeavors. The projected savings as determined by FISC are presented below.

PROJECTED SAVINGS OF FISC SAN DIEGO HAZARDOUS WASTE MINIMIZATION PROGRAM (000's)

	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99
Reutilization	\$1,000	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$1,300
Inventory Inv.	500	400	100	0	0
Waste Reduction	2,000	6,000	7,000	7,000	8,000
Shelf Life	300	300	300	300	300
Personnel	350	350	500	500	500
Recycling	250	250	250	250	250
Regulatory Comp.	250	400	400	400	400
	\$4,650	\$9,000	\$9,850	\$9,750	\$10,750
Cost	1,250	1,500	1,750	1,750	1,750
Total Savings	\$3,400	\$7,500	\$8,100	\$8,000	\$9,000

Definitions of the above programs are as follows:

- Reutilization: Savings associated with Re-use Store operations (cost avoidance for free issue material and disposal cost avoidance).
- Inventory Investment: Reduction of stock funded inventories in area.
- Waste Reduction: Reduced area hazardous waste disposal costs due to hazardous material program initiatives.
- Shelf Life: Waste disposal cost reductions associated with area shelf life management.

- Personnel: Savings associated with consolidated hazardous material management versus stovepipe operations (military and civilian costs).
- Recycling: Savings generated through implementation of regional consolidated projects.
- Regulatory Compliance: Savings on potential fines to base activities resulting from comprehensive hazardous material management.⁶

Not all of the savings projected by FISC will be a direct reduction to the hazardous waste accounting group account of the area comptrollers, but a significant portion of those savings will be shared by all. The net savings in the entire region are substantial and should allow for increased spending in the shore environmental protection sub-accounting group (SAG FX). This in turn should realize increased savings and a safer working environment.

The implementation cost incurred by FISC for establishing this program was \$1.2 million. The projected \$36 million savings (FY 95 to FY 99) indicate a good potential for long term savings thereby making this a fruitful investment. Commitment and cooperation between all parties should ensure a successful project that produces a substantial cost savings and helps to ensure regulatory compliance.

⁶Source: FISC briefing paper on "San Diego Regional Hazmat Program Cost/Savings Analysis".

C. WASTE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY

Regulatory uncertainty discourages private investment in regional waste treatment centers that could render most hazardous wastes generated in the region inert, nonleachable and nonhazardous. Typical investment required for a regional treatment facility using Fujibeton technology is in the range of \$750,000 to \$1,500,000. This facility could render nonhazardous virtually all inorganic waste and most organic waste. [Ref. 4:p.64]

The above statement of Jefferey Newton, President, New Materials Technology Corporation, before a hearing of the House of Representatives on hazardous waste treatment technology was echoed by virtually every person testifying before the Committee on Science and Technology. Costs cited cannot be verified since the statement was made in 1985 and there have been many changes in environmental regulation and also in costs due to inflation. His remarks do have merit, however, and they point to perhaps one of the biggest deficiencies within the federal government, DoD, and the Navy. That deficiency is the lack of investment in exploring, developing and using currently existing advanced waste treatment technologies to reduce the cost of hazardous waste disposal by treating hazardous waste in-house.

In the past, this area was off limits to DoD and the Navy for several reasons, the most prominent of which are: federal regulations that forbid joint ventures between the government and private industry where industry will benefit unfairly because of government investment; lack of financial resources
in the DoD budget; prohibitive EPA regulations. The last two reasons are still valid today, but it appears that they must change to meet the requirements of Executive Order 12856, enacted by President William J. Clinton on August 3, 1993. The subject of this Executive Order is "Federal Compliance With Right-to-Know Laws and Pollution Prevention Requirements".

Whereas, the Federal Government should Become a leader in the field of pollution prevention through the management of its facilities, its acquisition practices, and in supporting the development of innovative pollution prevention programs and technologies;...

Whereas, as the largest single consumer in the Nation, the Federal Government has the opportunity to realize significant economic as well as environmental benefits of pollution prevention;...

Help encourage markets for clean technologies and safe alternatives to extremely hazardous substances or toxic chemicals through revisions to specifications and standards, the acquisition and procurement process, and the testing of innovative pollution prevention technologies at Federal facilities or in acquisitions; -Executive Order 12856

It appears that federal regulations barring joint ventures between government and industry regarding development of pollution treatment and abatement technologies must now be changed. This alone will not suffice. Proper funding must also be budgeted through the DoD/DoN budgeting system to allow for this type of venture. DoD and the Navy must aggressively plan and pursue funding for these actions and Congress must be attentive to their needs. In the past, this has not been the case on either part. For example, the proposed Naval Facilities Engineering Command FY 94 Shore Based Environmental Research and Development Program is currently obsolete due to budget cuts. This plan was aggressive in nature and would have funded numerous projects in the fields of pollution prevention and treatment. Had financial constraints not curtailed these endeavors, technologies of benefit to the Navy, DoD, and industry might have otherwise been experimented with and adopted. These benefits would equate to a possible cost savings and an improved environment.

The amount of technology currently in existence but not utilized is substantial. The San Diego area is home to many Navy and DoD installations, many of which perform industrial type activities that produce vast quantities of hazardous waste. These facilities are prime proving and testing grounds for developed and experimental technology. Not all will pan out, but certainly there is existing or emerging technology that will effectively combat the escalating costs of hazardous waste treatment and disposal. With proper funding for research and development and the appropriate change in EPA regulations, these technologies can be developed for the betterment of the Navy, DoD and society.

IV. CONCLUSION

A. OVERVIEW

The initiatives of the Joint Logistics Commanders in 1986 mark the beginning of top management commitment within DoD to the issue of pollution abatement and control. Navy officials later adopted this goal and have instilled it throughout all their commands. Naval installations and commands in the San Diego area are proactively implementing measures necessary to promote a safe and clean environment. Their efforts indicate potential cost savings, efficiencies, and a safer environment. These actions alone are not enough; more can and must be done locally and within DoD and the Navy.

FISC's hazardous waste minimization program and re-use stores promote environmentally sound practices in both use and procurement of hazardous materials. This program projects a great potential cost savings that will be shared by all area commands. If the projected savings prove to be true, they should help to curb the spiraling costs incurred by financial managers on environmental spending. Success of the program is dependent on judicious use of hazardous materials; that is, costs will be reduced the greatest if hazardous material use is lessened through more economical use or by substitution of a less or nonhazardous substitute.

Efforts within DoD and the Navy to identify less hazardous or nonhazardous substitutes must be a top priority. The Navy system commands have been tasked to initiate this endeavor but, there have been relatively few gains in this area. These commands are responsible for generation of maintenance requirement standards and specification of materials needed for its accomplishment. Personnel involved with actual performance of the maintenance may be the best source to initiate investigative action to identify possible substitutes and, therefore, should be solicited and encouraged to provide feedback to the system commands regarding this matter.

Greater emphasis must be placed on the training of all personnel in the safe and proper use of hazardous materials. Proper training will ensure economical use and safe handling of these materials, thereby allowing cost savings from the minimized use of the material and by avoiding potential accidents and spills that result in costly clean-up efforts. This training should be conducted up front in the accession programs for military and civilian personnel.

Exploitation and use of better technology to render hazardous waste inert must be investigated and implemented when possible. Establishment of an all purpose single hazardous waste treatment facility in San Diego is probably not economically or politically feasible at present but, limited treatment technologies may be viable at existing facilities in the San Diego region. Technologies such as

fujibeton, an advanced form of cement powder that is blended with toxic materials to render them inert, merit consideration for use. This type of technology could significantly reduce the spiraling disposal costs incurred by financial managers today. [Ref. 4:p. 63]

Some other technologies are super critical water oxidation, incineration, chemical treatment, conversion of hazardous waste to alternative energy sources, development of high efficiency spray equipment for application of low volatile organic compound coatings, development of alternative paint technologies, and development of recycling technology for abrasive blasting materials. These technologies should be pursued if DoD and the Navy hope to reduce hazardous waste disposal costs in an effective and efficient manner.

B. AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Compliance with environmental regulations is necessary and costly. Realization of cost savings in this area is not easy, but can be achieved through active participation by all commands, support activities, and personnel at all levels. There is no outside entity to rely on for a quick fix or easy answer; the Navy and DoD must look inward and take the necessary steps to make environmentally and economically sound decisions.

Further research may be considered in the following areas:

- Use linear regression to analyze the cost drivers incurred by PWC in dealing with hazardous waste management to enhance the existing hazardous waste disposal pricing structure.
- Identify specific existing sites in the San Diego area, hazardous waste treatment technologies that could be utilized at these facilities, and the costs associated with these technologies. NASNI or NAVSTA appear to have the most potential for this type of endeavor.

C. SUMMARY

There are no absolutes in dealing with the environment; however, environmental pollution is caused by a waste. Therefore, the most environmentally sound decision is to not create the waste in the first place. Waste treatment and management is inherently inefficient and costly. To minimize costs, waste must be prevented rather than controlled. Prevention needs to be accomplished through tough management and minimization programs, through education and training, through use of less or non-hazardous substitutes, and through development of technologies that can render hazardous waste inert.

APPENDIX A

Acronyms

- AG Accounting Group
- CAA Clean Air Act
- CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
- CFCs Chlorofluoroncarbons
- CNAP Commander, Naval Air Force, Pacific
- CNSP Commander, Naval Surface Force, Pacific
- CWA Clean Water Act
- DASD(E) Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Environment)
- DLA Defense Logistics Agency
- DoD Department of Defense
- DRMO Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office
- DRMS Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service
- EPA Environmental Protection Agency
- FIFRA Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
- FISC Fleet Industrial Supply Center
- NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards
- NASNI Naval Air Station North Island
- NAVSTA Naval Station San Diego
- NAVSUP Naval Supply Systems Command
- NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
- OSD Office of the Secretary of Defense

- PCB Polychlorinated biphenyls
- PWC Public Works Center
- RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- SAG Sub-accounting Group
- SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
- SIP State Implementation Plan
- TDSF Treatment, Disposal and Storage Facility
- TSCA Toxic Substance Control Act

APPENDIX B

- Clean Air Act (CAA) of 1970 as amended through 1977requires prevention or control and abatement of air pollution from stationary and mobile sources; requires EPA to set binding National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Air quality standards are achieved by the states through plans (State Implementation Plans - SIP's), they are tailored to meet the needs of the different air quality control regions. Navy installations are subject to federal, state, and local air pollution control requirements. [Ref. 5]
- Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1972, as amended through 1987regulates discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States from any point source including industrial facilities and sewage treatment facilities; requires permits for discharges; requires reporting and clean-up of oil and hazardous substance spills in waterways; also protects waterways and requires a permit to adversely affect wetlands. The Navy has a more stringent policy requiring no-net-loss of wetlands, meaning wetlands must be created to replace any which are destroyed, whether by filling or draining. [Ref. 5]
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended through 1986- regulates waste handling activities and the generation, transport, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes; allows the EPA to take action against persons conducting past or present activities that present an imminent or substantial endangerment to health or to the environment; provides for corrective actions against contamination resulting from past releases of hazardous wastes even without an imminent hazard; mandates all branches of the federal government to comply with solid waste and hazardous waste requirements. [Ref. 6:p. 192]
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) of 1976- empowers EPA to collect information and regulate toxic chemicals at any stage from manufacture through disposal; regulates polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), chlorofluoroncarbons (CFCs), and asbestos as well as others; requires testing of chemical substances entering the environment, regulating releases where necessary. Allows EPA to prohibit manufacture, limit production, ban or control the

use of toxic chemicals to protect public health. TSCA authority may not be delegated to states. [Ref. 5]

- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) - authorizes the federal government to clean up toxic or hazardous contaminants at closed and abandoned hazardous waste dumps; permits the government to recover the cost of the cleanup and associated damages by suing the responsible parties involved; allows additional cleanup funds to be drawn from a "superfund" created by taxes on chemicals and hazardous wastes; places liability for the costs of containment, removal, remedial action and response, and for injury damages to natural resources on the parties who operate the vessel or facility when there is a release of a hazardous substance. [Ref. 6:p. 194]
- Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) amends CERCLA and provides mandatory schedules for the completion of various phases of remedial response activities; established detailed cleanup standards and strengthened existing authority to effect the cleanup of superfund sites. [Ref. 6:p. 195]
- Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) of 1974- regulates drinking water quality for pollutants that may have an adverse effect on human health or negatively effect the aesthetic quality of drinking water. Protects underground sources of water by regulating the underground injection of wastes and requires states to have plans to protect well field areas from contaminants. [Ref. 5]
- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) of 1972- requires the licensing or registration of pesticide products; requires proper management of pesticide use, storage, and disposal. [Ref. 5]
- Endangered Species Act of 1973 as amended- requires that actions of Federal agencies do not jeopardize the existence of threatened or endangered species or destroy or adversely impact critical habitats of these species. [Ref. 5]
- Sikes Act- requires military installations to manage their national resources and provide public access for natural resource use that is consistent with the military mission. [Ref. 5]
- Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986- provides local governments information concerning possible chemical hazards in the community; requires

emergency planning for releases of extremely hazardous
substances. [Ref. 5]

• **Executive Order 12088**- link between Federal environmental regulations and Federal facilities; requires Federal facilities leadership in furthering the purpose and policies and monitoring of environmental pollution in compliance with Federal environmental regulations (signed October 13, 1978). [Ref. 5]

APPENDIX C

DATA TABLES

There are two sets of data:

- 1. HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION DATA FROM SELECTED SAN DIEGO ACTIVITIES.
- 2. FY 91-93 ACCOUNTING GROUP E4 EXPENDITURES.

HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION DATA FROM SELECTED SAN DIEGO ACTIVITIES

CY90

UIC ACTIVITY DISPOSED COSTS N00244 FISC SAN DIEGO CA 5.81 \$42.659 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 351.19 \$67.028 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 31.19 \$67.028 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 12.74 38.000 N00248 NAVEL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 10.03 13.219 N00249 NAVELESWITRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 7.800 N80565 FLETRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 20.08 0 N81665 FLETRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 20.08 6 N81665 FLETRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 20.03 37.000 N82025 NAU BICO CA 21.01 37.000 N83035 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 318.64 21.000 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 33.84 64.995 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 32.84 64.995 N85808 NAVCEDENSON DIEGO CA 32.84 64.995 N92040 NAVCOEMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 18.24 46.28.209	UTAU		TONS	DISPOSAL
N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 470.98 52,000 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 351.19 670,230 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 12.74 38,000 N00289 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 10.03 13,219 N00289 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 10.03 13,219 N00284 NAF EL CENTRO CA 160.00 32,239 N81665 FLETRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 627 N81680 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 20.00 673,000 N82015 NAB COMUNDO CA 2.00 397,000 N83046 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 418.12 0 N83045 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 316.64 21,000 N85898 NAVALHOSPOT NASIN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N86201 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 36.44 64,995 N86291 NAVALHOSPOT NASIN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N70240 NAVALHOSPOT NASIN DIEGO CA 316,44 46,728 N00255 NAVALHOSPOT NASIN DIEGO CA				COSTS
N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 351 19 670 230 N00257 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 12.74 36,000 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 10.03 13.219 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 7,600 N00259 NAVE EL CENTRO CA 160.00 33.239 N61690 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 20.00 673.000 N8229 NAS MIRAMAR CA 6.00 673.000 N8237 PICK SAN DIEGO CA 21.00 397.000 N82387 PICK SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21.00 N83387 PICK SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21.00 N83387 PICK SAN DIEGO CA 33.84 64.985 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 32.84 64.985 N70240 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.84 64.985 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 32.84 64.985 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 32.84 64.985 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.02				• •
N00237 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 12.74 36.000 N00239 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 10.03 13.219 N00240 NAF EL CENTRO CA 160.00 33.239 N81665 FLECAWISTACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 6277 N81665 FLECMARTACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 20.00 397,000 N80299 NAS MIPAMAR CA 6.00 673,000 N82021 NAS DIEGO CA 2.00 397,000 N83305 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21,00 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 33.84 64,995 N85518 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N70240 NAVCEDRANSTSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 3.264 128,628 N70240 NAVCEMASTA SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 22,633 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,285 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,285 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 3.34 60,00 N00246 NAS MORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 3.35 7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
N00299 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 10.03 13.219 N00948 FLESMITRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 7.600 N01680 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 627 N01680 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 627 N01680 FLETACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.00 337.000 N82021 NAS MERAMAR CA 6.00 673.000 N82015 NAS CAN DIEGO CA 210 337.000 N85387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21.000 N85584 NAVELEXSYSENCEEN SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21.000 N85588 NAVANUEEROT NASIN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.628 N70240 NAVCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.628 N70240 NAVCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 31.10.219 33.110.219 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 31.24.40 46.728 N00259 NAVAU HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 31.24.40 12.400 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 31.24.40 12.200 N00247 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
N00948 FLEASWITFACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 7,600 N80042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 160.00 32,239 N81665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 29,08 0 N80229 NAS MIRAMAR CA 6.00 673,000 N820251 NAS ORONADO CA 2,00 397,000 N83405 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 418,12 0 N83405 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 314,64 21,000 N85898 NAVELEXSYSBICCEN SAN DIEGO CA 39,84 64,995 N858918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 32,64 128,626 N65898 NAVELDSYSBICCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32,64 128,628 N65001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32,64 128,628 N70240 NAVCOMINSTA SAN DIEGO CA 16,24 46,723 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16,24 46,723 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 20,91 12,440 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 33,33 0 N00248 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 342 607,8				
NBC042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 160.00 33.238 NB1685 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 627 NB1680 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 29.08 0 NB1680 FLETACEN SAN DIEGO CA 29.08 0 NB2021 NAS MRAMAR CA 6.00 673.000 NB3387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 210 397.000 NB5584 NAVELEXSYSPENCEN SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21.000 NB5584 NAVANDEROT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 39.84 64.52.28 NB5591 NAVELEXSYSPENCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.628 N70240 NAVCCAMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 32.84 64.528 N70240 NAVCCAMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 31.28.009 35.006 N00245 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46.728 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 10.24.209 12.440 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 11.28.009 10.40.000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 11.24.200 12.40.000 N00246 NAS LECONTRTRO CA				
NB1665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 627 NB1680 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 29.08 0 NB0229 NAS MIRAMAR CA 6.00 673.000 NB3387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 2.00 397.000 NB3387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 418.12 0 NB386 NAVELD/SYSENCCEN SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21,000 NB3888 NAVAWUEDCO'NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 38.64 64,995 NB5888 NAVAUNDERO'NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 38.84 64,995 NB6001 NAVCCAMSYSENS SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 ND0246 NAS NOFITH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 ND0247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 ND0246 NAS NOFITH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 ND0246 NAS LEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 ND0247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 ND0246 NAS LEGO CA 3.1 28,009 ND0242 NAF EL CENTRO CA 3.1 28,009				
N61680 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 29.08 0 N80239 NAS MIRAMAR CA 6.00 673,000 N82021 NAB CORONADO CA 2.00 397,000 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 418.12 0 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21,000 N8588 NAVAINDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 38.44 64,995 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 38.44 64,995 N00246 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 467,728 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 466,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 466,728 N00248 FLETRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 12.2400 1,230,000 N00249 NAF ELCOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230,000 N60259 NAS MIRMAR CA 342 607,680 N60260 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N61680 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 239.08 680				
NB2021 NAB CORDINADO CA 2.00 397,000 NB3306 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 418.12 0 NB3406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21,000 NB5988 NAVELDXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 378 5,300 NB5988 NAVAINVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 38.44 64,985 N60001 NAVCCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N70240 NAVCCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 5,006 CY90 TOTAL 2543.56 \$31,110,219 IN00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16,24 46,728 N00248 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 12,240 12,240 N60629 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,171 N60240 NAF EL CENTRO CA 0 1,230 N61660 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,680 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 282,75 1,238,900	N61690		29.08	0
N83387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 418.12 0 N83406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21,000 N85584 MAVELEXSYENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 3.78 5,800 N85588 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 33,84 64,995 N85918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 32,84 64,925 N66001 NAVCCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32,64 128,628 N70240 NAVCCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 26,64 128,628 N70240 NAVCCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16,24 46,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16,24 46,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 2,09 12,440 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 31,24,177 124,177 N61660 FLETAVCEN SAN DIEGO CA 33,93 0 1230 N61600 FLETAVCEN SAN DIEGO CA 39,95 7,330 0 N62387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 245,036 58,014 4,242,007,686 N82387<				673,000
NS3406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 314.64 21,000 NS5584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 3.78 5,000 NS588 NAVANUDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 38.84 64.995 NS6001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.628 N70240 NAVCCAMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 5,006 CY90 TOTAL 2543.56 33.110.219 ICT991 Incommentation 2543.56 33.110.219 IN00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46.728 N00290 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 11.240,000 12,400 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 31 28,000 N00248 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N60600 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61660 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N61660 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 329.5 7,330 N61660 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 660.104 N65544 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8				· .
N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 3.78 5.800 N65588 NAVANVDEFOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 686.71 757.214 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 39.84 64.995 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.628 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 5.006 CY90 TOTAL 2543.55 33.110.219 ICY91 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1.340,000 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 467.728 124.00 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46.728 N00248 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12.440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 3.03 0 N61660 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 3.93 0 N61680 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7.330 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7.380 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 289.08 680.104 N63588 NAVELEXYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7				-
N85888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 686.71 757,214 N85918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 39.84 64,995 N80001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N70240 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128,628 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00249 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,177 N61660 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 3.35 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N82797 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 259.06 680,104 N83406 NAVELEXYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 259.06 680,104 N83405 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.06 680,104 N83406 NAVALVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 259.06 680,104 N83405 NAVELEXYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO C				
N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 39,84 64,995 N66001 NAVCCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32,64 128,628 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 5,606 CY90 TOTAL 2543,56 \$31,110,219 CV90 TOTAL 2543,56 \$31,110,219 N00246 NAX NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461,9 1,340,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16,24 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 0 1,230 N61680 FLESAWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 33,33 0 N61680 FLETACEN SAN DIEGO CA 342 607,686 N62279 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 259,08 680,104 N65386 NAVELEXSYSEGEN SAN DIEGO CA 259,08 680,104 N65886 NAVALEXSYSECEN SAN DIEGO CA 2325 238,900 N65886 NAVALEXSYSECEN SAN DIEGO CA 110,86 59,863 N65				
N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 32.64 128.628 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 5,005 CY90 TOTAL 2543.56 33.110.219 Image: Cy90 TOTAL Cy90 TOTAL 2543.56 33.110.219 Image: Cy90 TOTAL Cy90 TOTAL 2543.56 33.110.219 Image: Cy90 TOTAL Cy90 TOTAL 26.000 1.24.00 Image: Cy90 TOTAL Cy90 TOTAL 26.009 12.44.00 Image: Cy90 TOTAL Cy90 TOTAL 23.93 0 12.41.07 N81660 F-LECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 3.393 0 12.41.07 N61629 F-LECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 29.08 680.104 4.242.000 N65340 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 29.08 680.104 4.242.00.00 N65588				
N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0.00 5.606 CY90 TOTAL 2543.56 \$3,110,219 IN00246 NAS NOFITH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 28,009 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBRATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61660 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33,93 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHP SAN DIEGO CA 395 7,330 N63807 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 294,11 4,242,000 N63806 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 2924,11 4,242,000 N63808 NAVALNOEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 7,87 8,000 N63808 NAVALDEOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 23,25 238,60 N65988 NAVARDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 23,25 238,60 N65991 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110,86 59,863 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
CY90 TOTAL 2543.56 \$3,110,219 IN00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00248 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60048 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N61259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 3342 607,686 N622791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 259,08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 259,08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 280,08 680,104 N65584 NAVALAVDEPOT INASNI SAN DIEGO CA 232.5 238,900 N70240 NAVACOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 110,86 59,863 N60021 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019,37 2,245,916 N0245 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 1019,37 2,245,916 N0245 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DI				
CY91 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 162.4 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 28,009 N0048 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N61062 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 142,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61660 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N62297 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62797 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3924.11 4,242,000 N63887 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 3924.11 4,242,000 N63887 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65898 NAVELDEXYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65898 NAVELDEXYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N0245 NAVENDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N02				
N00246 NAS. NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 25,009 N00248 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61690 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 342 607,686 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 324.11 4,242,000 N63386 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.06 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 262,75 1,238,73 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00245 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 110,86 59,863 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 1343.92 1,30,000 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
N00246 NAS. NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 461.9 1,340,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 25,009 N00248 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61690 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 342 607,686 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 324.11 4,242,000 N63386 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.06 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 262,75 1,238,73 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00245 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 110,86 59,863 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 1343.92 1,30,000 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 28,009 N00348 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61690 FLETACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60229 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPS-INF SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65388 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65698 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N60245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N60245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1109.37 2,245,916 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86	Стат			
N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 16.24 46,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 28,009 N00348 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61690 FLETACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60229 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPS-INF SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65388 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65698 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N60245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N60245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1109.37 2,245,916 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86	N00246	NAS NOFTH IS SAN DIEGO CA	461.9	1.340.000
N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 3.1 28,009 N00246 FLEASWTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.09 12,440 N60042 NAF EL CENTRIO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,220 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N61650 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N63877 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63868 NAVANVERO CA 924,11 4,242,000 N63806 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65888 NAVANVEDOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65898 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 412,65 56,728 N00245 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 57.4 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 16				
N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 73 124,177 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61660 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60259 NAS MRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 250.08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 250.08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N655918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMINSTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1412.65 56,728 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.396 0 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.396 0 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800	N00259	NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA	3.1	
N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 0 1,230 N61665 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N6387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 924.11 4,242,000 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65588 NAVANVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65588 NAVANVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N655918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59.863 N66001 NAVCOEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 1412.65 56,728 N00245 NAVANVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.396 0 N302233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 2.42	N00948			12,440
N61690 FLETFACEN SAN DIEGO CA 33.93 0 N60279 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 924.11 4,242,000 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 210.86 59,863 N66001 NAVCELANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 238,900 7,737 N70240 NAVCOMINSTA SAN DIEGO CA 238,413 \$8,641,202 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 50,000 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 119.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N0246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRIO CA 163<	N60042			
N60239 NAS MIRAMAR CA 342 607,686 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63887 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 924.11 4,242,000 N63806 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259,08 689,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65586 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N655918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 IN00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 1412.65 56,728 N00247 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61650 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,8			-	
N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 3.95 7,330 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 924.11 4,242,000 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65888 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 IN0245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 57.4 20,780 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 149.96 0 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLE CENTFIC CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLE CENTFIC CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLE COMBATTRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800				-
N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 924.11 4,242,000 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65988 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCEMNSTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 141.96 0 N02247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 163 128,492 N61655 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 242 5,800 N60259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 242 5,800 N60259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 242 5,800 N61655 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 242				
N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 259.08 680,104 N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65588 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 163 128,492 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61650 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N616537 FUERACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.43 1,408,094 N62259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 3933				
N65584 NAVELEXSYSENGCEN SAN DIEGO CA 7.87 8,000 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N0259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N80042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61680 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.48 11,942 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 233,686 5,233,686 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 <				
N65886 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 562.75 1,238,735 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 Image: Cysic 0 6,000 \$8,641,202 Image: Cysic 1019.37 2,245,916 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00248 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.48 11,942 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 234.66 5,293,866				
N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 110.86 59,863 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 23.25 238,900 N70240 NAVCOMMSTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 0 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.48 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686				
N70240 NAVCOMMISTA SAN DIEGO CA 0 6,000 CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 Image: CY92 Image: CY92 Image: CY92 Image: CY92 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61680 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 <td></td> <td></td> <td>110.86</td> <td></td>			110.86	
CY91 TOTAL 2824.13 \$8,641,202 Image: CY92 Image: CY	N66001		23.25	238,900
CY92 N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 16.3 128,492 N60042 NAF EL CENTRIO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61629 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N6501 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N66001 NAVANVDECA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVANVDECA 136.99,500 156				
N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 16.3 128,492 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N66001 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA	CY91 TO	TAL	<u>2824.13</u>	\$8,641,202
N00245 NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 1019.37 2,245,916 N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 16.3 128,492 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N66001 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA				
N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56	CY92			
N00246 NAS NORTH IS SAN DIEGO CA 343.92 1,390,000 N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56	N00245	NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA	1019.37	2.245.916
N00247 NTC SAN DIEGO CA 412.65 56,728 N00259 NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO CA 5.74 20,780 N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 44.44 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
N39233 NEX NAVSTA SAN DIEGO CA 14.96 0 N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 44.44 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500	N00247		412.65	
N60042 NAF EL CENTRO CA 163 128,492 N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 44.44 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				20,780
N61665 FLECOMBATRACENPAC SAN DIEGO CA 2.42 5,800 N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 44.44 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				•
N61690 FLETRACEN SAN DIEGO CA 44.44 0 N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
N60259 NAS MIRAMAR CA 393 1,408,094 N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				•
N62791 SUPSHIP SAN DIEGO CA 2.88 11,942 N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				-
N63387 PWC SAN DIEGO CA 234.66 5,293,686 N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
N63406 SUBASE SAN DIEGO CA 102.17 750,772 N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
N65888 NAVANVDEPOT NASNI SAN DIEGO CA 461.94 1,790,904 N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
N65918 SIMA SAN DIEGO CA 134.47 190,000 N66001 NAVOCEANSYSCEN SAN DIEGO CA 106.64 446,000 N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
N68944 NISE WEST SAN DIEGO CA 1.56 9,500				
CY92 TUTAL <u>3443.82</u> <u>\$13,748,614</u>				
	CY92 TO	IAL	3443.82	\$13,748,614

FY 91-93 ACCOUNTING GROUP E4 EXPENDITURES

SAG FT:				
ACTIVITY	FY 91	FY 92	FY93	TOTAL
NAS NORTH ISLAND	\$1,398,241	\$1,312,372	\$1,030,000	\$3,740,613
CNAP	179,933	310,331	1,473,000	1,963,264
NAF EL CENTRO	149,891	112,732	656,000	918,623
NAS MIRAMAR	1,227,104	2,1 69,627	2,685,000	6,081,731
NS SAN DIEGO	1,326,000	564,000	1,177,000	3,067,000
NAB CORONADO	575,000	838,000	451,000	1,864,000
SIMA SAN DIEGO	190,000	220,000	554,000	964,000
OPFORCES SHIPS	2,733,000	6,661,000	8,071,000	17,465,000
TOTAL	\$7,779,169	\$12,188,062	\$16,097,000	\$36,064,231
SAG FX: ACTIVITY				
NAS NORTH ISLAND	⁻ \$1,171,113	\$1,187,590	\$1,796,000	\$4,154,703
CNAP	0	0	60,000	60,000
NAF EL CENTRO	0	29,733	133,000	162,733
NAS MIRAMAR	1,795,943	571,622	1,234,000	3,601,565
NS SAN DIEGO	308,000	371,000	527,000	1,206,000
NAB CORONADO	1,048,000	328,000	440,000	1,816,000
SIMA SAN DIEGO	0	0	32	32
OPFORCES SHIPS	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	\$4,323,056	\$2,487,945	\$4,190,032	\$11,001,033
AG E4 GRAND TOTAL	\$12,102,225	\$14,676,007	\$20,287,032	\$47,065,264

APPENDIX D

AG/SAG E4FT: HAZARDOUS WASTE

Provides for:

- Hazardous Waste Disposal
- Other Non-disposal Hazardous Operations

Includes:

- Determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste
- Receipt
- Testing
- Inspection
- Issue
- Transportation
- Disposal
- Training of personnel that handle hazardous waste
- Development of contingency plans
- Hazardous waste management
- Operation of facilities for storage, treatment or disposal of hazardous waste

AG/SAG E4FX: SHORE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Provides for:

- Environmental engineering management
- Permits
- Fees
- Fines
- Litigation
- Engineering Studies (including NEPA documentation)
- Minor alterations to facilities and equipment not centrally funded

Does not include:

• Routine costs associated with utility operations and maintenance, such as sewage or water treatment plants

APPENDIX E

Project Classification	Description
1a	Projects needed to support signed compliance agreement or to correct conditions for which a facility has been cited by government, agency, etcetera.
1b	Projects required to correct noncompliant conditions identified by the facility or internal Navy or DoD review or audit.
2a	Projects for facilities which do not meet established standards, but compliance deadline is in the future.
2b	Projects for facilities where there is a pending standard that cannot be met and the compliance deadline is in the future.
3a	Facility meets established standard but needs replacement because of obsolescence.
3b	Facility meets established standard but needs expansion or will go out of compliance.
3с	Facility meets established standard but project is needed for other than compliance reasons. Will demonstrate leadership.
3d	Other reason not falling in categories above.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- U.S. Congress, House of Representatives, Hearing Before the Environmental Restoration Panel, Committee on Armed Services, DLA's management of Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Waste, HASC No. 101-64, 101st Cong., 2nd sess., U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1990.
- U.S. Congress, House of Representatives, Hearing Before the Subcommittee of the Committee on Government Operations, <u>Review of Hazardous Waste Disposal Practices</u> <u>at Federal Facilities</u>, 98th Cong., 1st sess., U.S. <u>Government Printing Office</u>, Washington, D.C. 1984.
- GAO (U.S. General Accounting Office), <u>Hazardous kaste: DOD</u> <u>Efforts to Reduce Waste</u>, GAO/NSAID-89-35, Washington, D.C., February 1989.
- 4. U.S. Congress, House of Representatives, Hearing Before the Subcommittee on Natural Resources, Agriculture Research and Environment, Committee on Science and Technology, <u>Hazardous Waste Treatment Technology</u>, 99th Cong., 1st sess., U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1985.
- 5. Babos, Jeffrey C., <u>Financial Management of Hazardous Waste</u> <u>Compliance and Mitigation Costs: Constraints and</u> <u>Implications</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, December 1991.
- 6. Shulman, Seth, <u>The Threat at Home: Confronting the Toxic</u> <u>Legacy of the U.S. Military</u>, Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1992.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

• •

.

1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria VA 22304-6145	NO.	Copies 2
2.	Library, Code 052 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey CA 93943-5002		2
3.	L.R. Jones Code AS/JN Naval Postgraduate School Monterey CA 93943-5000		1
4.	CAPT D.F. Berkebile COMNAVAIRPAC Code 019 P.O. Box 357051 San Diego CA 92135-7051		1
5.	LT M.W. Kane Navy Fleet Material Support Office 5450 Carlisle Pike P.O. Box 2010 Mechanicsburg PA 17055		1