

# **RESPONSES OF A FINITE BAFFLED PLATE SUBJECT TO TURBULENT AND MEAN FLOW EXCITATIONS**

Sean F. Wu Lucio Maestrello DISTRIBUTION STAT

Approved for public release Distribution Unimited

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

NASA Contract No. NAS1-19480 December 1993

Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23681-0001

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association

022



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

2 14

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23681-0001



### **ICASE Fluid Mechanics**

Due to increasing research being conducted at ICASE in the field of fluid mechanics, future ICASE reports in this area of research will be printed with a green cover. Applied and numerical mathematics reports will have the familiar blue cover, while computer science reports will have yellow covers. In all other aspects the reports will remain the same; in particular, they will continue to be submitted to the appropriate journals or conferences for formal publication.

| Acces                            | ion For                           |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| NTIS<br>DTIC<br>Unanr<br>Justifi | CRA&I<br>TAB<br>nounced<br>cation |  |  |  |  |
| By<br>Distribution /             |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Availability Codes               |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Dist                             | Avail and/or<br>Special           |  |  |  |  |
| A-1                              |                                   |  |  |  |  |

## **RESPONSES OF A FINITE BAFFLED PLATE SUBJECT** TO TURBULENT AND MEAN FLOW EXCITATIONS

Sean F. Wu<sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering Wayne State University Detroit, MI 48202

Lucio Maestrello NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681

#### ABSTRACT

Formulations for predicting dynamic and acoustic responses of a finite plate flush-mounted on an infinite baffle subject to turbulent and mean flow excitations are presented. In deriving these formulations, the effects of stretching due to in-plane force and coupling between structural vibration and acoustic radiation are taken into account. The resulting equation governing plate vibration contains cubic nonlinearities. To obtain an approximate solution, the plate flexural displacement is expanded into orthogonal base functions. The unknown coefficients associated with base functions are determined by solving a set of coupled nonlinear integral equations using Galerkin's method. A stability analysis is given using the basic existence-uniqueness theorem. In particular, stable conditions for a linearized system are obtained using the Routh algorithm. It is shown that structural instabilities can be induced by fluid loading and mean flow. Two unstable mechanisms are found to be attributable to added damping and stiffness due to acoustic radiation. The effect of added damping increases linearly, while that of added stiffness increases quadratically with the Mach number of mean flow. Finally, the cross-power spectral density functions of plate flexural vibration and radiated acoustic pressure are derived and expressed in terms of the cross-power spectral density function of turbulent flow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Contract No. NAS1-19480 while the author was in residence at the Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering (ICASE), NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681.

#### INTRODUCTION

Responses of elastic structures subject to turbulent and mean flow excitations have long been of great practical engineering importance, for example, in the design of aircraft and in the reduction of aerodynamic noise transmission into an aircraft cabin. Experimental and theoretical investigations on turbulent flow and its interaction with an elastic structure have been carried out by many people, for example, Ludwig<sup>1</sup>, Corcos<sup>2,3</sup>, Maestrello<sup>4,5</sup>, Maestrello and Linden<sup>6</sup>, Farabee and Geib<sup>7</sup>, Martin and Leehey<sup>8</sup>, Yen et al.<sup>9</sup>, Ffowcs Williams<sup>10</sup>, Gedney and Leehey<sup>11</sup>, Farabee and Casarella<sup>12</sup>, etc. Most of the early work, however, has focused on the behavior of linear systems. Recently, with the development of modern computational facilities, nonlinearities in an elastic structure and in acoustic wave propagation can be accounted for, and the dynamic response of an elastic structure subject to a turbulent boundary layer excitation can be calculated directly<sup>13, 14</sup>. Nevertheless, the physics involved in interaction between turbulent flows and vibrating structures is still not well understood, and usually cannot be revealed by direct numerical simulations.

In this paper, we derive an analytical formulation for calculating the dynamic and acoustic responses of a finite plate clamped flush to an infinite baffle under the excitation of turbulent flow, with the structural nonlinearities due to stretching of in-plane force taken into account (see Section I). Because of the presence of nonlinearities and coupling of structural modes to the radiated acoustic pressure, closed form solutions cannot be found. In order to obtain an approximate solution, the plate flexural displacement is expanded into the orthogonal base functions in the plate's longitudinal and transverse directions. The unknown coefficients associated with these base functions are determined by Galerkin's method. These are done in Section II. In Section III, we present an analysis of structural instabilities induced by fluid loading and flow. The objective of this stability analysis is to gain physical insight into this complex problem by relating the instability phenomenon to the physical quantities possessed by an elastic structure. For steady-state responses, we demonstrate that the cross-power spectral density function of the plate flexural displacement can be expressed as the cross-power spectral density function of turbulent flow (see Section IV). Based on the plate flexural vibration response, we can then derive a formulation for calculating the cross-power spectral density function of the radiated acoustic pressure (see Section V). Some concluding remarks are made in Section VI.

#### I. PLATE EQUATION

Assume that a plate of length L and width b is clamped on its edges to a rigid and infinitely extended baffle. On one side of the plate, the flow is turbulent, with boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ . Outside this boundary layer, the fluid moves at mean velocity  $\vec{U}$  (see Fig. 1). The other side of the plate is assumed to be vacuum, so that the effect of fluid loading acts on one side of the plate only.

To carry out the analysis, we make the following assumptions: (1) the amplitude of the plate flexural vibration is small, so that the boundary layer will not be significantly altered; (2) the turbulent boundary layer is stationary in time and homogeneous in space, so that the pressure fluctuations can be expressed as a cross-correlation function which decays with spatial and temporal separations and convects downstream with the flow; and (3) the pressure fluctuations exerted on the plate surface due to a turbulent boundary layer and due to radiated acoustic pressure are additive.

Under these conditions, we can write the equation governing the plate flexural vibration as

$$D\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)^2 w(x, y, t) - N_x(y, t) \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial w(x, y, t)}{\partial t} + \rho_p h \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial t^2}$$
  
=  $-\tilde{p}(x, y, 0, t),$  (1)

subject to the boundary conditions

$$w(0, y, t) = w(L, y, t) = w(x, 0, t) = w(x, b, t) = 0, \qquad (2a)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=L} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=b} = 0.$$
(2b)

In Eq. (1), the quantity  $D = Eh^3/12(1-\mu^2)$  is the plate flexural rigidity, E the Young's modulus,  $\mu$  the Poison's ratio of the material, h the thickness,  $\rho_p$  the mass density,  $\gamma$  the structural viscous damping coefficient, w the plate flexural displacement in the z-axis direction, and  $N_z$  the stress resultant in the x-axis direction due to stretching of plate bending motion<sup>15</sup>

$$N_{x}(y,t) = \frac{Eh}{2L} \int_{0}^{L} \left[ \frac{\partial w(x,y,t)}{\partial x} \right]^{2} dx.$$
(3)

The effects of the stress resultant in the y-axis direction  $N_y$  and that in the tangential direction  $N_{xy}$  are assumed small compared with  $N_x$ , and are therefore neglected in Eq. (1).

The term on the right side of Eq. (1) depicts the excitation forcing field due to turbulent flow. Inside the turbulent boundary layer, the quantity  $\tilde{p}$  can be expressed as an integral representation,<sup>16</sup> with a volume integral representing the contribution from distributed quadrupoles, plus a surface integral representing the effect of sound reflection and diffraction on the surface.<sup>17</sup> However, the stress tensor<sup>18</sup> in the volume integration contains quantities that are unknown until the entire flow field is solved, which is not possible in most engineering applications. Hence, a different approach must be taken.

Note that the effect of turbulent flow is to excite a structure and generate sound. Therefore we can assume that the pressure fluctuations acting on the plate surface  $\tilde{p}$  can be expressed as a sum of two parts

$$\tilde{p}(x, y, 0, t) = p_T(x, y, 0, t) + p(x, y, 0, t),$$
(4)

where  $p_T$  represents a forcing field which exerts the same amount of pressure fluctuations on the structure as the turbulent boundary layer, and p depicts the effect of acoustic radiation. Here we assume that the forcing field  $p_T$  is random in nature, but stationary in time and homogeneous in space. Therefore  $p_T$  can be described by a space-time crosscorrelation function and determined experimentally. The function p satisfies the convected wave equation outside the boundary layer. Solution for p can be facilitated by introducing a potential function which satisfies<sup>9</sup>

$$\left[\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2\right] \phi(x, y, z, t) = 0,$$
(5)

subject to the condition of continuity of normal components of the fluid particle velocities at, strictly speaking, the interface between the turbulent boundary layer and mean flow. However, it is impossible to determine this interface exactly because the flow beneath it is turbulent. To simplify the problem, we set this interface at the plate's nominal position so that the boundary condition becomes

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) w(x, y, t) & \vec{x} \in A; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$
(6)

where A stands for the plate surface area, U is the mean flow speed, and c is the speed of sound of the fluid medium.

Solution for  $\phi$  can be obtained by taking a temporal Fourier transformation of Eqs. (5) and (6) into the frequency domain, followed by a spatial Fourier transformation into the frequency-wavenumber domain. Once the frequency-wavenumber domain solution that represents an outgoing wave is obtained, the frequency domain solution of  $\hat{\phi}$  can be obtained by taking an inverse spatial Fourier transformation

$$\hat{\phi}(x,y,z,\omega) = -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L G(x,y,z|x',y',0) \left(-i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right) \hat{w}(x',y',\omega) \, dx' \, dy', \quad (7)$$

where the symbol  $\hat{}$  over a function, say,  $\phi$  implies a Fourier transformation of that function defined by

$$\phi(x,y,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(x,y,\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega, \qquad (8a)$$

$$\hat{\phi}(x,y,\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x,y,t) e^{-i\omega t} dt.$$
(8b)

The quantity G in Eq. (7) is a Green's function. For a supersonic flow, the acoustic pressure is nonzero only when the field point  $\vec{x}$  is inside the Mach cone. Hence we obtain<sup>6</sup>

$$G(x, y, z | x', y', 0) = -\frac{i2\pi \cos\{ik[M(x - x') + R_{super}]/(M^2 - 1)\}}{R_{super}\sqrt{M^2 - 1}}$$
(9)

where  $R_{super}$  is the distance between the source and receiver inside the Mach cone

$$R_{super} = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 - (M^2 - 1)[(y - y')^2 + z^2]}$$
(10)

Accordingly, G for a subsonic flow can be written as

$$G(x, y, z | x', y', 0) = -\frac{i2\pi e^{ik[M(x-x')+R_{sub}]/(1-M^2)}}{R_{sub}\sqrt{1-M^2}}$$
(11)

where

$$R_{sub} = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (1 - M^2)[(y - y')^2 + z^2]}$$
(12)

where M is the Mach number of the mean flow.

The frequency domain solution for the radiated acoustic pressure is then given by

$$\hat{p}(x,y,z,\omega) = -\rho\left(-i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\hat{\phi}(x,y,z,\omega).$$
(13)

The corresponding solution for the acoustic pressure on the plate surface in the time domain p(x, y, 0, t) can be obtained by taking an inverse Fourier transformation of  $\hat{p}$ .

Interchanging the order of integrations with respect to the frequency and spatial domains, and carrying out the integration with respect to  $\omega$  first, we obtain

$$p(x,y,0,t) = \frac{\rho}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left[ \frac{(1+U\Theta_{super})}{R_{super}\sqrt{M^2-1}} \frac{\partial^2 w(x',y',t')}{\partial t'^2} \right] \bigg|_{t'=\tau} dx' dy' + \frac{\rho U}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left[ \frac{\Upsilon_{super}}{R_{super}\sqrt{M^2-1}} \frac{\partial w(x',y',t')}{\partial t'} - \frac{(1+U\Theta_{super})}{R_{super}\sqrt{M^2-1}} \frac{\partial^2 w(x',y',t')}{\partial x'\partial t'} \right] \bigg|_{t'=\tau} dx' dy' - \frac{\rho_0 U^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left[ \frac{\Upsilon_{super}}{R_{super}\sqrt{M^2-1}} \frac{\partial w(x',y',t')}{\partial x'} \right] \bigg|_{t'=\tau} dx' dy',$$
(14)

for supersonic flow. The integrands in Eq. (14) are to be evaluated at the retarded time  $\tau = t - \Delta t_{super}$ , with  $\Delta t$  defined as

$$\Delta t_{super} = k[M(x - x') + R_{super}]/(M^2 - 1),$$
 (15)

The quantities  $\Theta_{super}$  and  $\Upsilon_{super}$  in Eq. (14) are given by

$$\Theta_{super} = \frac{1}{c(M^2 - 1)} \left[ \frac{(x - x')}{R_{super}} - M \right], \tag{16a}$$

$$\Upsilon_{super} = \frac{(x - x')}{R_{super}^2}.$$
 (16b)

Similarly, for subsonic flow we have

$$p(x,y,0,t) = \frac{\rho}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left[ \frac{(1+U\Theta_{sub})}{R_{sub}\sqrt{1-M^2}} \frac{\partial^2 w(x',y',t')}{\partial t'^2} \right] \bigg|_{t'=\tau} dx' dy' + \frac{\rho U}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left[ \frac{\Upsilon_{sub}}{R_{sub}\sqrt{1-M^2}} \frac{\partial w(x',y',t')}{\partial t'} - \frac{(1+U\Theta_{sub})}{R_{sub}\sqrt{1-M^2}} \frac{\partial^2 w(x',y',t')}{\partial x'\partial t'} \right] \bigg|_{t'=\tau} dx' dy' - \frac{\rho_0 U^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left[ \frac{\Upsilon_{sub}}{R_{sub}\sqrt{1-M^2}} \frac{\partial w(x',y',t')}{\partial x'} \right] \bigg|_{t'=\tau} dx' dy',$$
(17)

where  $\tau = t - \Delta t_{sub}$  with  $\Delta t_{sub}$  defined as

$$\Delta t_{sub} = k[M(x - x') + R_{sub}]/(1 - M^2).$$
(18)

The quantities  $a_{sub}$  and  $b_{sub}$  in Eq. (17) are given by

$$\Theta_{sub} = \frac{1}{c(1-M^2)} \left[ \frac{(x-x')}{R_{sub}} - M \right],$$
 (19a)

$$\Upsilon_{sub} = \frac{(x-x')}{R_{sub}^2}.$$
(19b)

Substituting Eq. (4) into (1), we can rewrite the plate equation as

$$D\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)^2 w(x, y, t) - N_x(y, t) \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial w(x, y, t)}{\partial t} + \rho_p h \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial t^2}$$
$$= -p_T(x, y, 0, t) - p(x, y, 0, t), \qquad (20)$$

where  $p_T$  and p are fully defined.

#### **II. GALERKIN'S METHOD**

Equation (20) represents a nonlinear, integral-differential equation. Analytical solutions to such an equation cannot be found in general. Hence in this section, we seek approximate solutions via Galerkin's method.

Let  $W_k(x)$  be the kth eigenfunction for a clamped plate in the longitudinal (x-axis) direction and  $\tilde{W}_i(y)$  be the *i*th eigenfunction in the lateral (y-axis) direction given by

$$W_k(x) = \sigma_k \left[ \sin(\lambda_k x/L) - \sinh(\lambda_k x/L) \right] + \left[ \cos(\lambda_k x/L) - \cosh(\lambda_k x/L) \right], \quad (21a)$$

$$\tilde{W}_i(y) = \sigma_i \left[ \sin(\lambda_i y/b) - \sinh(\lambda_i y/b) \right] + \left[ \cos(\lambda_i y/b) - \cosh(\lambda_i y/b) \right], \tag{21b}$$

where  $\sigma_k$  is the kth modal ratio defined as

$$\sigma_{k} = \frac{\sin \lambda_{k} + \sinh \lambda_{k}}{\cos \lambda_{k} - \cosh \lambda_{k}},\tag{22}$$

where  $\lambda_k$  is the corresponding kth eigenvalue determined by

$$\cos\lambda_k \cosh\lambda_k = 1. \tag{23}$$

For example,  $\lambda_1 = 4.73$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 7.8532$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 10.9956$ . For a large  $k, \lambda_k \rightarrow (2k+1)\pi/2$ .

It is noted that the eigenfunctions  $W_k(x)$  are orthogonal to each other

$$\int_{0}^{L} W_{k}(x) W_{l}(x) dx = \begin{cases} L, & k = l; \\ 0, & k \neq l. \end{cases}$$
(24)

However, the products of  $W_k(x)$  and its derivatives are not necessarily orthogonal.

Similar relationships also hold true for  $\tilde{W}_i(y)$ 

$$\int_0^L \tilde{W}_i(y)\tilde{W}_j(y)\,dy = \begin{cases} b, & i=j;\\ 0, & i\neq j. \end{cases}$$
(25)

Next, we expand w(x, y, t) in terms of the orthogonal base functions  $\{W(x)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{W}(y)\}$  which satisfy the boundary conditions, Eq. (2),

$$w(x, y, t) = \{W(x)\}^T [C(t)] \{\tilde{W}(y)\}$$
(26)

where  $\{W(x)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{W}(y)\}$  represent column vectors containing the normal modes  $W_k(x)$ and  $\tilde{W}_i(y)$ , respectively. A superscript T in Eq. (26) indicates a transposition, and [C(t)]represents the matrix consisting of the unknown coefficients associated with  $\{W(x)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{W}(y)\}$ . Physically, the *j*th column vector  $\{C^j\}$  represents the amplitude of coupling between all the normal modes in the *x*-axis direction and the *j*th mode in the *y*-axis direction.

To facilitate the derivation of solution formulation, we also expand  $p_T(x, y, 0, t)$ , which represents the forcing field due to a turbulent boundary layer, by  $\{W(x)\}$  and  $\{\tilde{W}(y)\}$ 

$$p_T(x, y, 0, t) = \{W(x)\}^T [f(t)] \{\tilde{W}(y)\}$$
(27)

where [f(t)] represents the amplitude of the excitation forcing field.

Substitute Eqs. (26) and (27) into (20), multiply the resulting equation by  $\{W(x)\}$ , integrate over x from 0 to L, and then multiply the equation by  $\{\tilde{W}(y)\}^T$  and integrate over y from 0 to b. This procedure then leads to the following matrix equation

$$[\Phi][\ddot{C}] + [\Psi][\dot{C}] + [\chi][C] = [f], \tag{28}$$

where  $[\Phi]$ ,  $[\Psi]$ , and  $[\chi]$  consist of sub-matrices  $[\Phi^{ij}]$ ,  $[\Psi^{ij}]$ , and  $[\chi^{ij}]$ , respectively, which represent the effects of coupling between all the modes in the *x*-axis direction and the *j*th mode in the *y*-axis direction. The elements of each of the sub-matrices  $[\Phi^{ij}]$ ,  $[\Psi^{ij}]$ ,  $[\chi^{ij}]$ , and  $[\Xi^{ij}]$  can be written in the following general forms

$$\Phi_{kl}^{ij} = \rho_{p}h\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + i\frac{\rho_{0}}{(2\pi)^{2}bL} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{(1+U\Theta)}{R} \tilde{W}_{i}(y')\tilde{W}_{j}(y)W_{k}(x')W_{l}(x)dx'dy'dxdy$$
(29a)

$$\Psi_{kl}^{ij} = \gamma \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - i \frac{\rho_0 C}{(2\pi)^2 bL} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\Upsilon}{R} W_k(x') - \frac{(1+U\Theta)}{R} \frac{\partial W_k(x')}{\partial x'} \right] \tilde{W}_i(y') \tilde{W}_j(y) W_l(x) \, dx' dy' dx dy$$
(29b)

$$\chi_{kl}^{ij} = D\left\{ \left[ \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{b}\right)^4 + \left(\frac{\lambda_k}{L}\right)^4 \right] \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \frac{2}{bL} \int_0^b \tilde{W}_i^{\prime\prime}(y) \tilde{W}_j(y) dy \int_0^L W_k^{\prime\prime}(x) W_l(x) dx \right\} \\ + i \frac{\rho_o U^2}{(2\pi)^2 bL} \int_0^b \int_0^L \int_0^b \int_0^L \frac{\Upsilon}{R} \frac{\partial W_k(x')}{\partial x'} \tilde{W}_i(y') \tilde{W}_j(y) W_l(x) dx' dy' dx dy \qquad (29c)$$

$$\Xi_{kl}^{ij} = \frac{Eh}{2bL^2} \int_0^b \int_0^L \left\{ \int_0^L \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n C_{ik}(t) \tilde{W}_i(y) \frac{\partial W_k(x')}{\partial x'} \right]^2 dx' \right\} \\ \times \tilde{W}_i(y) \tilde{W}_j(y) \frac{\partial^2 W_k(x)}{\partial x^2} W_l(x) dx dy \qquad (29d)$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  and  $\delta_{kl}$  are Kronecker deltas. For a supersonic flow, we can simply replace quantities R,  $\Theta$ , and  $\Upsilon$  in Eq. (29) by  $R_{super}$ ,  $\Theta_{super}$ , and  $\Upsilon_{super}$  given in Eqs. (10) and (16), respectively. Similarly, for a subsonic flow, we can replace these quantities by  $R_{sub}$ ,  $\Theta_{sub}$ , and  $\Upsilon_{sub}$  given by Eqs. (12) and (19), respectively.

Physically, the matrices  $[\Phi]$ ,  $[\Psi]$ ,  $[\chi]$ , and  $[\Xi]$  in Eq. (28) reflect the effects of mass, damping, and stiffness per unit area of the plate, respectively. In particular, the quadruple integrals involved in the elements of the sub-matrices  $\Phi_{kl}^{ij}$ ,  $\Psi_{kl}^{ij}$ , and  $\chi_{kl}^{ij}$  represent the effects of added mass, added damping, and added stiffness per unit area due to the radiated acoustic pressure field, respectively. The elements  $\Xi_{kl}^{ij}$  are nonlinear and contain quadratic powers of the unknown coefficients  $\{C^j\}$ . Note that in this case coupling occurs not only through nonlinearities, but also through added mass, added damping, and added stiffness due to acoustic radiation.

The elements of the sub-matrices  $[f^{ij}]$  on the right side of Eq. (21) are given by

$$f_{kl}^{ij} = -\delta_{kl}f(t). \tag{30}$$

Note that in order to simplify the problem, we have assumed that the time delay  $\Delta t$  is negligibly small in the derivation of Eq. (28). This assumption is permissible if the plate has a finite size and if the time required for an acoustic signal to traverse the plate surface is substantially less than the typical period of the signal.

#### **III. STABILITY ANALYSIS**

Equation (28) represents a system of coupled, nonlinear, integral equations. Because of the presence of nonlinearities and coupling between structural vibration and acoustic radiation, the amplitude of the plate flexural vibration may become unstable under certain circumstances. Therefore a general stability analysis is given below.

Equation (28) shows that for N longitudinal modes and M transverse modes, one need solve an  $(N \times M) \times (N \times M)$  matrix equation. Solutions to such a matrix equation are extremely involved. For the purpose of illustration, let us consider only one mode in the y-axis direction and two modes in the x-axis direction. Thus, Eq. (28) reduces to a  $2 \times 2$  matrix equation. The stability of such a system can be analyzed by examining the homogeneous part of that equation

$$[\Phi]\{\ddot{C}\} + [\Psi]\{\dot{C}\} + [\chi]\{C\} - [\Xi]\{C\} = 0$$
(31)

where the elements of matrices  $[\Phi]$ ,  $[\Psi]$ ,  $[\chi]$ , and  $[\Xi]$  are given by Eqs. (29), with the superscripts omitted for brevity. In particular, the elements of the matrix  $[\Xi]$  are quadratic in C and can be written as

$$\Xi_{kl} = (\epsilon_{kl}C_1 + \zeta_{kl}C_2)^2 \tag{32}$$

where the elements of  $\epsilon_{kl}$  and  $\zeta_{kl}$  are defined as

$$\epsilon_{kl} = \sqrt{\frac{Eh}{2bL}} \int_0^L W_k''(x) W_l(x) dx \int_0^L W_1'^2(x') dx' \int_0^b \tilde{W}_1^4(y) dy$$
(33a)

$$\zeta_{kl} = \sqrt{\frac{Eh}{2bL}} \int_0^L W_k''(x) W_l(x) dx \int_0^L W_2'^2(x) dx \int_0^b \tilde{W}_1^4(y) dy$$
(33b)

with indices k and l varying from 1 to 2.

Now we use the basic existence-uniqueness theorem<sup>19</sup> to analyze the stability of the system defined by Eq. (31). First, let us rewrite Eq. (31) in the following way

$$\{\ddot{C}\} = [\alpha]\{\dot{C}\} + [\beta]\{C\} + [\nu]\{C\}$$
(34)

where matrices  $[\alpha]$ ,  $[\beta]$ , and  $[\nu]$  are defined as

$$[\alpha] = -[\Phi]^{-1}[\Psi] \tag{35a}$$

$$[\beta] = -[\Phi]^{-1}[\chi]$$
(35b)

$$[\nu] = [\Phi]^{-1}[\Xi]$$
 (35c)

where  $[\Phi]^{-1}$  represents the inversion of the mass matrix.

Next, we define new variables

$$Y_1 = C_1 \tag{36a}$$

$$Y_2 = \dot{C}_1 \tag{36b}$$

$$Y_3 = C_2 \tag{36c}$$

$$Y_4 = \dot{C}_2. \tag{36d}$$

Substituting Eq. (36) into (34), we obtain

$$\{\dot{Y}\} = (\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{A}] + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{B}])\{Y\}$$
(37)

where  $\{\dot{Y}\}$  is the time derivative of  $\{Y\}$  given by  $\{\dot{Y}\}^T = \{\dot{Y}_1, \dot{Y}_2, \dot{Y}_3, \dot{Y}_4\}, \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{A}]$  is the Jacobian of the linear part of Eq. (34) defined by

$$\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{A}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ \beta_{11} & \alpha_{11} & \beta_{12} & \alpha_{12}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ \beta_{21} & \alpha_{21} & \beta_{22} & \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

where  $\alpha_{kl}$  and  $\beta_{kl}$  are given by Eq. (35). Similarly,  $\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{B}]$  in Eq. (37) is the Jacobian of the nonlinear part of Eq. (34) defined by

$$\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{B}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\epsilon_{11}Y_1 + \zeta_{11}Y_3)^2 & 0 & (\epsilon_{12}Y_1 + \zeta_{12}Y_3)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\epsilon_{21}Y_1 + \zeta_{21}Y_3)^2 & 0 & (\epsilon_{22}Y_1 + \zeta_{22}Y_3)^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(39)

where  $\epsilon_{kl}$  and  $\zeta_{kl}$  are given by Eq. (33).

The stabilities of the system defined by Eq. (37) can now be examined by solving for the equilibria obtained by setting the left side of Eq. (37) to zero<sup>19</sup>

$$(\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{A}] + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{B}]) \{Y\} = 0.$$
(40)

In general, for a nonlinear system with cubic nonlinearities there are multiple equilibrium positions,<sup>20</sup> and the plate flexural vibration may become chaotic self-excited oscillations in the presence of mean flow.

For simplicity, we demonstrate in what follows a stability analysis of a linearized system obtained by omitting the nonlinearities in Eq. (37)

$$\{\dot{Y}\} = \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{A}]\{Y\}.$$
(41)

Without loss of generality, we can assume a form of solution for  $\{Y\}$  as

$$\{Y\} = \{\overline{Y}\}e^{\Lambda t} \tag{42}$$

where  $\{\overline{Y}\}$  represents the amplitude of  $\{Y\}$ .

Substituting Eq. (42) into (41), we can derive the characteristic equation for the eigenvalue  $\Lambda$ 

$$\sum_{j=0}^{4} \Omega_j \Lambda^j = 0 \tag{43}$$

where  $\Omega_j$  are given by

$$\Omega_0 = \beta_{11}\beta_{22} - \beta_{12}\beta_{21} \tag{44a}$$

$$\Omega_1 = \alpha_{11}\beta_{22} + \alpha_{22}\beta_{11} - \alpha_{12}\beta_{21} - \alpha_{21}\beta_{12}$$
(44b)

$$\Omega_2 = \alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21} - \beta_{11} - \beta_{22} \tag{44c}$$

$$\Omega_3 = -\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22} \tag{44d}$$

$$\Omega_4 = 1. \tag{44e}$$

The stability theorem for linear systems<sup>21</sup> states that a linear system is stable if and only if the roots of the characteristic equation all lie in the left half-plane, excluding the imaginary axis. To determine whether the polynomial given by Eq. (43) has all its roots in the left half-plane without actually solving for all the roots, we use the Routh table,<sup>22, 23</sup> and derive four parameters for the polynomial given by Eq. (43). For the roots of this polynomial to be confined in the left half-plane, excluding the imaginary axis, these four parameters must all be strictly positive. Such a requirement yields the following four conditions

$$\Omega_0 > 0 \tag{45a}$$

$$\Omega_3 > 0 \tag{45b}$$

$$\Omega_2 \Omega_3 - \Omega_1 > 0 \tag{45c}$$

 $\Omega_1(\Omega_2\Omega_3-\Omega_1)-\Omega_0\Omega_3^2>0. \tag{45d}$ 

Consequently, the linearized system, Eq. (41), is stable when all four conditions given by Eq. (45) are met. As an example, let us consider the first two conditions. Substituting Eq. (44) into (45) yields

$$\frac{\Phi_{11}\Psi_{22} + \Phi_{22}\Psi_{11} - \Phi_{12}\Psi_{21} - \Phi_{21}\Psi_{12}}{\Phi_{11}\Phi_{22} - \Phi_{12}\Phi_{21}} > 0$$
(46a)

$$\frac{\chi_{11}\chi_{22} - \chi_{12}\chi_{21}}{\Phi_{11}\Phi_{22} - \Phi_{12}\Phi_{21}} > 0.$$
(46b)

where  $\Phi_{ij}$ ,  $\Psi_{ij}$ , and  $\chi_{ij}$  represent the mass, damping, and stiffness per unit area of the plate and are defined in Eq. (29). In particular,  $\Phi_{ij}$  includes the added mass,  $\Psi_{ij}$  includes the added damping, and  $\chi_{ij}$  includes the added stiffness induced by acoustic radiation. If the effects of fluid loading and mean flow are neglected, then  $\Phi_{ij} = \Psi_{ij} \equiv 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ , so the inequality in Eq. (46a) is automatically satisfied. Also, since the stiffness matrix is predominately a diagonal matrix, the inequality in Eq. (46b) always holds true. Thus without fluid loading and mean flow, a linear system is always stable. Equation (46) thus shows that when the effects of fluid loading and mean flow are large enough to change one of the inequalities given by Eq. (46), then the amplitude of the plate flexural vibration may grow exponentially without a bound.

One advantage of the stability analysis discussed above is that it allows one to relate the structural instability phenomenon directly to the physical quantities possessed by an elastic structure. In a following paper, we will use this stability analysis to analyze the mechanisms of structural instabilities under various fluid loading and mean flow conditions. In particular, we will plot stable charts so that we know when and why an elastic structure may become unstable.

#### **IV. EXCITATION FORCING FIELD**

In the preceding section, we presented a general stability analysis and, in particular, derived stable conditions for a linearized system. In this section, we consider the steadystate response of a fin \_\_\_\_\_ plate excited by a turbulent boundary layer. It has been shown that the plate flexural displacement w(x, y, t) can be expanded in terms of the orthogonal base functions  $\{W(x)\}^T[C(t)]\{\tilde{W}(y)\}$  along the x- and y-axes, respectively, where the coefficients [C(t)] are determined by Eq. (28). For convenience in the derivation, let us assume that [C(t)] can be expressed in terms of a solution matrix  $[\mathcal{E}]$ 

$$[C(t)] = [\mathcal{E}][f(t)] \tag{47}$$

where  $[\mathcal{E}]$  is independent of time t and can be determined numerically, [f(t)] represents the forcing excitation due to a turbulent flow. The elements of each of the sub-matrices  $[f^{ij}(t)]$  are given by Eq. (30).

Since the turbulent boundary layer is random in nature, one must rely on the statistical properties of the turbulent flow. However, in many applications a turbulent boundary layer can be assumed to be stationary in time and homogeneous in space, so that it can be described by a space-time cross-correlation function which decays with spatial and temporal separations and convects with flow at velocity U. Based on the mathematical model developed by Maestrello,<sup>4, 5</sup> we can express the ensemble average of the cross correlation of the excitation forcing field due to a turbulent boundary layer as

$$\langle p_T(x, y, 0, t) p_T^*(x', y', 0, t') \rangle \equiv \Gamma_f(\xi, \eta, 0, \tau)$$

$$= \langle \overline{p^2} \rangle \sum_{q=1}^4 \left\{ \frac{2A_q K_q e^{-|\xi|/(a_1\delta)} e^{-|\eta|/(a_2\delta)}}{K_q^2 + \left[U_e/(U\delta)\right]^2 (\xi - U\tau)^2} \right\}$$
(48)

where superscript \* indicates a complex conjugation,  $\xi = x - x'$ ,  $\eta = y - y'$ ,  $\tau = t - t'$ ,  $\delta$  is the boundary layer thickness,  $A_1 = 0.044$ ,  $A_2 = 0.075$ ,  $A_3 = -0.093$ ,  $A_4 = -0.025$ ,  $K_1 = 0.0578$ ,  $K_2 = 0.243$ ,  $K_3 = 1.12$ ,  $K_4 = 11.57$ ,  $a_1 = 50/(\overline{C_f R_{\theta}})$ , here  $\overline{C_f R_{\theta}}$  is the equivalent incompressible Reynold's number,  $a_2 = 0.26$ ,  $U_e$  is the free stream velocity, and  $\langle \overline{p^2} \rangle$  is a measure of the mean square intensity of the forcing field. The corresponding cross-power spectrum can be obtained by taking a Fourier transformation of  $\Gamma_f(\xi, \eta, 0, \tau)$ . Evaluations of this Fourier transformation can be facilitated by the residue theory, and the result is

$$\mathcal{P}_f(\xi,\eta,0,\omega) = \langle \overline{p^2} \rangle (\delta/U_e) e^{-|\xi|/(a_1\delta)} e^{-|\eta|/(a_2\delta)} e^{-i\xi\omega/U} \sum_{j=1}^4 A_j e^{-iK_j\,\omega\delta/U}. \tag{49}$$

Next, we rewrite the ensemble average of the cross correlation of the forcing field  $\Gamma_f$ with  $p_T$  expanded in terms of the base functions

$$\Gamma_f(\xi,\eta,0,\tau) = \{W(x)\}^T [F] \{W(x')\},\tag{50}$$

where [F] is the cross-correlation matrix defined as

$$[F] = \langle [f(t)] \{ \tilde{W}(y) \} \{ \tilde{W}(y') \}^T [f^*(t')]^T \rangle.$$
(51)

The corresponding cross-power spectral density function can be obtained by taking a Fourier transformation of Eq. (50)

$$\mathcal{P}_f(\xi,\eta,0,\omega) = \{W(x)\}^T \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [F] e^{-i\omega\tau} d\tau \right\rangle \{W(x')\}.$$
(52)

Equating Eq. (52) to (49) and using the orthogonality properties of the base functions, we can obtain the cross-power spectral density matrix whose (m, n)th element is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{f,kl} = \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{L} \langle \overline{p^{2}} \rangle \sum_{q=1}^{4} \left\{ \frac{2A_{q}K_{q}e^{-|\xi|/(a_{1}\delta)}e^{-|\eta|/(a_{2}\delta)}}{K_{q}^{2} + \left[U_{e}/(U\delta)\right]^{2}(\xi - U\tau)^{2}} \right\} W_{k}(x)W_{l}(x')\,dx'dx.$$
(53)

Once this is done, we can proceed to derive a cross-power spectral density function for the plate flexural vibration response. First, let us define a cross-correlation function for the plate flexural displacement

$$\Gamma_{w}(\xi,\eta,\tau) = \langle w(x,y,t)w^{*}(x',y',t') \rangle.$$
(54)

Second, we expand w(x, y, t) into the orthogonal base functions using Eq. (26) and replace the coefficient matrix [C(t)] by the solution matrix  $[\mathcal{E}]$ . Doing so leads to

$$\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \{W(\boldsymbol{x})\}^T [\mathcal{E}][F][\mathcal{E}^*]^T \{W(\boldsymbol{x}')\}$$
(55)

The corresponding cross-power spectrum of the plate flexural displacement is thus found to be

$$\mathcal{P}_{w}(\xi,\eta,\omega) = \{W(x)\}^{T}[\mathcal{E}] \\ \times \left[\sum_{k} \sum_{l} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{L} \{W(x_{0})\}^{T} \mathcal{P}_{f,kl}(\xi_{0},\eta,0,\omega) \{W(x_{0}^{'})\} dx_{0}^{'} dx_{0}\right] [\mathcal{E}^{*}]^{T} \{W(x^{'})\}, \quad (56)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{f,kl}(\xi,\eta,0,\omega)$  is given by Eq. (53).

Equation (56) demonstrates that the power spectral density function of the plate response is directly related to the power spectral density function of the excitation forcing field due to a turbulent boundary layer. The auto-power spectral density of the plate flexural displacement can be obtained simply by setting  $\xi = \eta = 0$  in Eq. (56).

#### **V. RADIATED ACOUSTIC PRESSURE**

In this section, we derive a formulation for estimating the power spectral density function of the radiated acoustic pressure based on plate flexural vibration responses. First, let us define a cross-correlation function for the radiated acoustic pressures measured at two different points in the fluid medium

$$\Gamma = \langle p(x, y, z, t) p^{*}(x', y', z', t') \rangle, \qquad (57)$$

where  $p^{\bullet}$  is the complex conjugate of p given by Eq. (14) or (17), deperding upon whether the flow is supersonic or subsonic. Next, we replace p in Eq. (57) by its Fourier transformation pair

$$\langle p(x,y,z,t)p^*(x',y',z',t')\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{p}(x,y,z,\omega)\hat{p}^*(x',y',z',\omega')\rangle e^{i\omega t} e^{-i\omega't'} d\omega d\omega'.$$
(58)

The frequency domain solution for the radiated acoustic pressure  $\hat{p}$  can be obtained by substituting Eq. (7) into (13)

$$\hat{p}(x,y,z,\omega) = \frac{i\rho}{(2\pi)^2} \left( -i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \int_0^b \int_0^L G(x,y,z|x_1,y_1,0) \\ \times \left( -i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) \hat{w}(x_1,y_1,\omega) dx_1 dy_1.$$
(59a)

In a similar manner, we can write

$$\hat{p}^{*}(x',y',z',\omega') = -\frac{i\rho}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left(i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right) \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{L} G^{*}(x',y',z'|x_{2},y_{2},0) \times \left(i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \hat{w}^{*}(x_{2},y_{2},\omega) dx_{2} dy_{2}, \quad (59b)$$

where  $G^*$  is the complex conjugate of G defined in Eq.(9) for a supersonic flow or (11) for a subsonic flow.

Substituting Eq. (59) into (58) and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\langle p(x,y,z,t)p^{*}(x',y',z',t')\rangle = \frac{\rho^{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(i\omega' + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right) G(x,y,z|x_{1},y_{1},0)G^{*}(x',y',z'|x_{2},y_{2},0) \\ \times \left(-i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right) \left(i\omega + U\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \langle \hat{w}(x_{1},y_{1},\omega)\hat{w}^{*}(x_{2},y_{2},\omega')\rangle \\ \times e^{-i\omega t}e^{i\omega't'} d\omega d\omega' dx_{1} dx_{2} dy_{1} dy_{2}, \quad (60)$$

where the triangle-bracketed term on the right side of Eq. (60) can be rewritten as

$$\langle \hat{w}(x_{1}, y_{1}, \omega) \hat{w}^{*}(x_{2}, y_{2}, \omega') \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle w(x_{1}, y_{1}, t_{1}) w^{*}(x_{2}, y_{2}, t_{2}) \rangle e^{-i\omega t_{1}} e^{i\omega' t_{2}} dt_{1} dt_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma_{w}(\xi', \eta', \tau') e^{-i\omega' \tau'} e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t_{2}} dt_{2} d\tau'$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma_{w}(\xi', \eta', \tau') e^{-i\omega' \tau'} \delta(\omega - \omega') d\tau',$$
(61)

where  $\xi' = x_1 - x_2$ ,  $\eta' = y_1 - y_2$ , and  $\tau' = t_1 - t_2$ . In carrying out the integration with respect to  $t_2$  in Eq. (61), we have used the definition of the cross-correlation function  $\Gamma_w$ (see Eq. (55)) and the property of the Dirac delta function.<sup>24</sup>

Substituting Eq. (61) into (60) and using the definition of the cross-power spectrum for  $\mathcal{P}_w$ , we obtain

$$\langle p(x,y,z,t)p^{*}(x',y',z',t') \rangle = \frac{\rho^{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( -i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left( i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right) G(x,y,z|x_{1},y_{1},0)G^{*}(x',y',z'|x_{2},y_{2},0) \\ \times \left( -i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \right) \left( i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \right) \mathcal{P}_{w}(\xi',\eta',\omega)e^{i\omega\tau} d\omega dx_{1} dx_{2} dy_{1} dy_{2},$$
(62)

where  $\mathcal{P}_{w}(\xi', \eta', \omega)$  is given by Eq. (56).

The cross-power spectral density function for the radiated acoustic pressure can now be obtained by taking a Fourier transformation of Eq. (62). Using the property of the Dirac delta function, we can carry out integrations with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\omega$  exactly and obtain

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{\rho^2}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^b \int_0^b \int_0^L \int_0^L \left( -i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left( i\omega' + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right) G(x, y, z | x_1, y_1, 0) G^*(x', y', z' | x_2, y_2, 0) \\ \times \left( -i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) \left( i\omega + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) \mathcal{P}_w(\xi', \eta', \omega) dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2.$$
(63)

Equation (63) shows that the cross-power spectral density function of the radiated acoustic pressure is directly related to the cross-power spectral density function of the plate flexural displacement. If we substitute Eq. (56) into (63), we can obtain an explicit formulation for  $\mathcal{P}$  in terms of the cross-power spectral density function of turbulent flow.

It is emphasized here that turbulent flow is random in nature, and therefore deterministic formulations for calculating the resulting structural and acoustic responses cannot be found. The formulation given by Eq. (63) represents a new way of estimating the radiated acoustic pressure from an elastic plate excited by turbulent flow. In deriving Eq. (63), the effects of coupling among structural modes, radiated acoustic pressure, and turbulent flow are all taken into account.

#### **VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The cross-power spectral density function of the radiated acoustic pressure from a finite plate subject to turbulent flow is obtained and expressed in terms of the cross-power spectral density function of the turbulent boundary layer in an explicit form. In deriving this formulation, the effects of stretching due to in-plane force and those of coupling between structural vibration and acoustic radiation are taken into account. A general stability analysis is given by using the basic existence-uniqueness theorem. In particular, the stable conditions for a linearized system are derived by using the Routh algorithm. Two sources of instabilities are found to be attributable to the added damping and stiffness due to fluid loading and flow. The effects of the added damping and stiffness increase with the Mach number of the mean flow, the former increasing linearly and the latter increasing quadratically.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Contract No. NAS1-19480 while the first author was in residence at the Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering (ICASE), NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681.

#### References

- 1. Ludwig, G. R., "An experimental investigation of the sound generated by thin steel panels excited by turbulent flow (boundary layer noise)," U.T.I.A. Report 87, 1987.
- Corcos, G. M., "Resolution of pressure in turbulence," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 35, 1963, pp. 192 – 199.
- Corcos, G. M., "The resolution of turbulent pressure at the wall of a boundary layer," Journal Sound and Vibration, Vol. 6, 1967, pp. 59 - 70.
- Maestrello, L., "Design criterion of panel structure excited by turbulent boundary layer," Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 5, 1968, pp. 321 – 328.
- Maestrello, L., "Radiation from and panel response to a supersonic turbulent boundary layer," Journal of Sound Vibration Vol. 10, 1969, pp. 261 – 295.
- Maestrello, L. and Linden, T. L. J., "Response of an acoustically loaded panel excited by supersonically convected turbulence," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 16, 1971, pp. 365 – 384.
- Farabee, T. M. and Geib, E. F., "Measurement of boundary layer pressure fields with an array of pressure transducers in a subsonic flow," DTNSRDC Rep. No. 76-0031, 1976.
- Martin, N. C. and Leehey, P., "Low wavenumber wall pressure measurements using a rectangular membrane as a spatial filter," Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 52, 1977, pp. 95 – 120.
- Yen, D. H., Maestrello, L., and Padula, S. L., "Response of a panel to a supersonic turbulent boundary layer: Studies on a theoretical model," Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 71, 1980, pp. 271 – 282.
- Ffowcs Williams, J. E., "Boundary-layer pressures and the Corcos model: a development to incorporate low-wavenumber constraints," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 125, 1982, pp. 9 25.

- Gedney, C. J. and Leehey, P., "Measurements of the low wavenumber wall pressure spectral density during transition on a flat plate," Acoustics and Vibration Laboratory, MIT, Report 93019-1, 1984.
- Farabee, T. M. and Casarella, M. J., "Spectral features of wall pressure fluctuations beneath turbulent boundary layers," *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 3, 1991, pp. 2410 – 2420.
- Maestrello, L., Frendi, A., and Brown, D. E., "Nonlinear vibration and radiation from a panel with transition to chaos induced by acoustic waves," AIAA Journal, Vol. 30, 1992, pp. 2632 - 2638.
- Frendi, A., Maestrello, L., and Bayliss, A., "Coupling between a supersonic boundary layer and a flexible surface," AIAA Journal, Vol. 31, 1993, pp. 708 - 713.
- 15. Fung, Y. C., Foundations of Solid Mechanics, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1965.
- Curle, N., "The influence of solid boundaries upon aerodynamic sound," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Vol. 231, 1955, pp. 505 - 514.
- Powell, A., "Aerodynamic noise and the plane boundary," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 32, 1960, pp. 982 – 990.
- Lighthill, M. J., "On sound generated aerodynamically. I. General theory," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Vol. 211, 1952, pp. 564 – 587.
- 19. Guckenheimer, J. and Holmes, P., Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- Dowell, E. H., "Flutter of a buckled plate as an example of chaotic motion of a deterministic autonomous system," Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 85, 1982, pp. 333 - 344.
- 21. Schwarz, R. J., and Friedland, B., Linear Systems, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1965.

- 22 Routh, E. J., A Treatise on the Stability of a Given State of Motion, Macmillan & Co., London, 1877.
- 23 Parks, P. C., "A new proof of the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion using the second method of Lyapunov," Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, Vol. 58, 1962, pp. 694 702.
- 24 Hardin, J. C., Introduction to Time Series Analysis, NASA Reference Publication 1145, 1990.



Figure 1. Schematic of a finite plate subject to turbulent and mean flow excitations.

| REPORT D  | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188                          |   |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY(Leave blank)   | 2. REPORT DATE<br>December 1993                             | 3. REPORT TYPE AND<br>Contractor Report | D DATES COVERED   |  |  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>RESPONSES OF A FINITE BAFFLED PLATE SUBJECT<br>TO TURBULENT AND MEAN FLOW EXCITATIONS  |   |   | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS<br>C NAS1-19480<br>WU 505-90-52-01   |  |  |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)<br>Sean F. Wu<br>Lucio Maestrello  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>Institute for Computer Applications in Science<br>and Engineering<br>Mail Stop 132C, NASA Langley Research Center<br>Hampton, VA 23681-0001   |   |   | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>REPORT NUMBER<br>ICASE Report No. 93-89                         |  |  |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br>National Aeronautics and Space Administration<br>Langley Research Center<br>Hampton, VA 23681-0001   |   |   | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING<br>AGENCY REPORT NUMBER<br>NASA CR-191570<br>ICASE Report No. 93-89 |  |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES<br>Langley Technical Monitor: Michael F. Card<br>Final Report<br>Submitted to AIAA Journal  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  |   |   | 126. DISTRIBUTION CODE  |  |  |
| Subject Category 34   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)<br>Formulations for predicting dynamic and acoustic responses of a finite plate flush-mounted on an infinite baffle subject<br>to turbulent and mean flow excitations are presented. In deriving these formulations, the effects of stretching due<br>to in-plane force and coupling between structural vibration and acoustic radiation are taken into account. The<br>resulting equation governing plate vibration contains cubic nonlinearities. To obtain an approximate solution, the<br>plate flexural displacement is expanded into orthogonal base functions. The unknown coefficients associated with<br>base functions are determined by solving a set of coupled nonlinear integral equations using Galerkin's method.<br>A stability analysis is given using the basic existence-uniqueness theorem. In particular, stable conditions for a<br>linearized system are obtained using the Routh algorithm. It is shown that structural instabilities can be induced<br>by fluid loading and mean flow. Two unstable mechanisms are found to be attributable to added damping and<br>stiffness due to acoustic radiation. The effect of added damping increases linearly, while that of added stiffness<br>increases quadratically with the Mach number of mean flow. Finally, the cross-power spectral density functions of<br>plate flexural vibration and radiated acoustic pressure are derived and expressed in terms of the cross-power spectral<br>density function of turbulent flow. |   |   |   |  |  |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS<br>turbulent boundary layer;<br>structural instabilities  | tability; 28  |   |   |  |  |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF REPORT<br>Unclassified  | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF THIS PAGE<br>Unclassified | 19. SECURITY CLASS<br>OF ABSTRACT       | FICATION 20. LIMITATION<br>OF ABSTRACT  |  |  |
| NSN 7540-01-288-5500  | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                     |   | Standard Form 258(Rev. 2-85)<br>Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18<br>296-102                     |  |  |

TU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1994 - 528-664/86104

.