# Best Available Copy

BEDADT D			Form A	pproved
			OBM No. 0704-0188	
ublic reporting burden for this collection of information feintaining the data needed, and completing and review	n is estim		tions, searching existing st of this collection of infor	data sources, gathering and nation, including suggestions
Preducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters to Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Rec	Services, juction P		ghway, Suite 1204, Arlin	gion, VA 22202-4302, and to
. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).	2. Report Uate.	3. Report Type and Dat	es Covered.	
. Title and Subtitie.	Maicii 1995	Final - Proceedings	5. Funding Numbe	
Relating Acoustic Backscatter Data to the Geomorphology of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge			Program Element No.	0601153N
			Project No.	03204
6. Author(s). Jerald W. Caruthers and Jorge C. Novarini <sup>1</sup>			Task No.	040
			Accession No.	DN251004
			Work Unit No.	571520603
7. Performing Organization Name(s) a	nd Address(es).		8. Performing Org	nization
Naval Research Laboratory JAN 2 7 1994			Report Number.	
Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-	5004	r la	NRL/PP/7175	93-0031
		U Mar		
9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es).			10. Sponsoring/Mo	nitoring Agency
Office of Naval Research				r. 03-0031
Arlington, VA 22217-5000			NULLE//1/2	53-0031
4. Bunstanastana Mata				
Published in Institute of Geophysic	s and Planetary Physics			
- applied at manufa of Geophysic	and mandialy r mysks.			
12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.			12b. Distribution C	ode.
Proved for public release; distrit	A 70458			
13. Abstract (Maximum 200 words).		L		<u></u>
In this work an attempt is made	to invert backscatter data colle	cted on the Acoustic Rev	erberation Special	Research Project
(ARSRP) Reconnaissance Cruise	to extract fine- and micro-scale	geomorphology of a site	on the western flai	hk the Mid-Atlantic
computational load. Both models a	are based on the Helmholtz-Ki	rchhoff (H/K) theory for n	ncal models of dif ear-normal incider	nce. The inversion
method was then applied to the AF	RSRP reconn data to extract th	e Mid-Atlantic Ridge geo	morphology. Prelin	ninary results and
problems with using the ARSRP re- for some of the planned data colle	conn data for this analysis are d ction in the uncoming ARSRP	iscussed. A proposal to m experiment is made	odify the measure	nent methodology
	eners in the abouting ration.	esperanten is niave.		
			_	
			94-02	2534
94 1 96	050		/ WILL AND AND AND AND A COMPANY	
14. Subject Terms.		- <u></u>	15. Numi	per of Pages.
Bottom scattering, reverberation			7	- -
			16, Price	Code.
17. Security Classification	18. Security Classification	19. Security Classificat	ion 20. Limit	ation of Abstract.
of Report.	of This Page.	of Abstract.		
of Report. Unclassified	of This Page. Unclassified	of Abstract. Unclassified	SAR	

;

## Spring ARSRP Research Symposium 23-25 March, 1993 La Jolla, CA

### DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5





Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, CA

6. D

#### RELATING ACOUSTIC BACKSCATTER DATA TO THE GEOMORPHOLOGY OF THE MID-ATLANTIC RIDGE

Jerald W. Caruthers, [jwc@milo.nrlssc.navy.mil, (601) 688-5438] Naval Research Laboratory, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529

Jorge C. Novarini, [(601) 688-5431] Planning Systems, Inc. 115 Christian Lane, Slidell, LA 70458

#### ABSTRACT

In this work an attempt is made to invert backscatter data collected on the Acoustic Reverberation Special Research Project (ARSRP) Reconnaissance Cruise to extract fine- and micro-scale geomorphology of a site on the western flank the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. To achieve this goal a methodology was developed and tested using two numerical models of different fidelity and computational load. Both models are based on the Helmholtz-Kirchhoff (H/K) theory for near-normal incidence. The inversion method was then applied to the ARSRP reconn data to extract the Mid-Atlantic Ridge geomorphology. Preliminary results and problems with using the ARSRP reconn data for this analysis are discussed. A proposal to modify the measurement methodology for some of the planned data collection in the upcoming ARSRP experiment is made.

#### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this work is to establish and test a relationship between backscatter measured at high grazing angles during the Acoustic Reverberation Special Research Program (ARSRP) Reconnaissance Cruise and the fine-scale geomorphology of the area of the western flank of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and to develop a means of extracting estimates of the geomorphology from scattering data. The work originated in an effort to use backscatter data taken in conjunction with bathymetric surveys using swath bathymetry systems [1-3]. The low-frequency active acoustic experimental system aboard the R/V Cory Chouest can collect backscatter data, only at much lower frequencies.

The purpose of the ARSRP experiment being to address questions of low grazing-angle scatter, fully calibrated data at high grazing were not taken. This work, therefore, uses an estimated off-axis beam level in lieu of an actual calibrated level. Clearly, this is not the desirable situation, but the object here is to develop and demonstrate the method and provide a convincing argument for measurements from the Cory in the future that support this important application.

 $(\delta)$ , but does not account for the effects of diffraction from the microroughness (rms heights  $\sigma$ ) on the facet. Except for the theoretical work of McDaniel [8] and the implementation into a practical algorithm (the Bistatic Scattering Strength Model, BISSM) by Caruthers and Novarini [ref. 9, Eq. 4], composite roughness theory assumes that microroughness affects non-specular diffuse scatter only. Heuristically, the BISSM algorithm includes the "Eckart factor" [10] as a multiplier on the standard formula for facet scatter. The Eckart factor is a measure of coherence loss in the specular direction due to scattering from microroughness on the It is given by  $e^{-g}$ , where  $g = 4k^2\sigma^2$ , k is the acoustic facets. wavenumber. A more theoretical basis for this form of rough facet scatter can be obtained with additional approximations made on McDaniel's Eq. 16 of ref. 7. Therefore, we give the form of the backscatter scattering strength for facet scatter in the BISSM algorithm to be:

 $BS = \frac{e^{-g}}{8 \pi \delta^2 \cos^4 \Theta}$ 

It should be noted that backscattering strength contains information about microroughness and rms slopes.

The intermediate limit between fine-scale and micro-scale is subject to the analysis done in this work. The estimated geomorphology of the reconn area was used to simulate surfaces with known geomorphology and the H/K model was used to simulate scattering. BISSM was applied to invert the simulated data to recover the geomorphology and develop the methodology for determining the partition between fine- and micro-scales. The model requires the rms slope of the fine-scale surface (the footprint) and rms heights of the microroughness. Both rms heights and slopes are band limited quantities. (Large-scale is treated as deterministic and excluded from this analysis.) The lower limit on wavenumber for slopes is controlled by the footprint size and the upper limit on wavenumber heights is controlled by sampling.

#### VALIDATION

Before we actually apply this simple model to the inversion of the ARSRP data, we will analyze its validity and address an issue concerning the location of the scale partition between facet and diffuse scatter. For this we will use a more fundamental scattering approach called Helmholtz-Kirchhoff theory (H/K). The reader is referred to ref. [11] for details of this H/K model and to ref. [3] for additional discussion related to validating this approach. The following deals with some specifics of interest here: First, the scattered intensity is obtained as the ensemble average of pp\* over an ensemble of surfaces used in the H/K modeling, where p is the complex scattered pressure at a point. The receiving point is selected to coincide with the source point the scattering calculations.

Figures 2, 3, and 4 show the frequency dependencies of scattering for surfaces 01, 0D, and OE, respectively. For ARSRP applications a frequency of 250 Hz is relevant, but we computed the other frequencies also to aid in analysis and validation. Figures 5a and 5b show the comparison between the H/K benchmark modeling and the application of BISSM for the OD family of surfaces. Note the similarity of the curves is very good; however, the rms slopes required to fit the data is lower for the BISSM curves than the rms slopes of the simulated surfaces.



Figure 4: Frequency dependence of scattering from surface OE.

While not too bad, this is an anomalous case and generally the fit was better. In particular, the application to the simulated surface at ARSRP Site A is especially good (Fig. 6).

Using the results at Site A (surface 01), surface 0E, some of the smoother surfaces of the 0D family (0I,0J,0D,0K,0L, & OM), and a few others we have arrived at a tentative criterium for setting this scale partition. According to our findings a partition of scales occurs where the quantity g (microroughness parameter) is approximately unity. That is, the low-wavenumber limit band for rms heights ( $\sigma$ ) is such that  $4k^2\sigma^2=1$ ; at 250 Hz this equates to a value of 0.47 m for the rms heights and typically the partition scale is at one to several wavelengths. Table I gives some of the values of  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  that result from the fitting. The above criterium appears to break down for very rough surfaces.



Figure 5a: H/K scattering for OD family of surfaces.





of Mastering the Oceans through Technology, (IEEE OCEANS'92 Conf.) p158, Newport, RI, (1992)

[3] J.W. Caruthers and J.C. Novarini, 'Modeling Swath Bathymetry/Sidescan Sonar Image Returns,' Univ. of Bath Symposium.
[4] B.F. Kuryanov, 'The Scattering of Sound at a Rough Surface with Two Types of Irregularity', Sov. Phys. Acoust. <u>8</u> p252 (1963).
[5] W. Bachmann, 'A Theoretical Model for the Backscattering Strength of a Composite-Roughness Sea Surface', J. Acoust. Soc. Am., <u>54</u> p712 (1973).

[6] K.V. Mackenzie, 'Bottom Reverberation for 530- and 1030-cps Sound in Deep Water', J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 33, pp. 1498-1503, 1961.

[7] L. Brekhovskikh and Yu. Lysanov, Fundamentals of Ocean Acoustics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (1982).

[8] S.T. McDaniel, 'Diffractive Corrections to the High-Frequency Kirchhoff Approximation', J. Acoust. Soc. Am., <u>79</u> p952 (1986).

[9] J.W. Caruthers and J.C. Novarini, 'Modeling Bistatic Bottom scattering Strength Including a Forward Scatter Lobe', IEEE J. Oceanic Engr. scheduled for publication in April 93 issue.

[10] C. Eckart, 'The Scattering of Sound from the Sea', J. Acoust. Soc. Am., <u>25</u> p566 (1953).

[11] J.W. Caruthers, R.S. Keiffer, and J.C. Novarini, 'Near-Field Acoustic Scattering from Simulated Two-Dimensional, Wind-Driven Sea Surfaces', J. Acoust. Soc. Am. <u>91</u> p813, (1992).

[12] J.W. Caruthers and J.C. Novarini, 'Simulation of Two-Dimensional, Fine-Scale Geomorphology', J. Acoust. Soc. Am., <u>92</u> p2302 (1992).

[13] M.F. Czarnecki and J.M. Bergin, 'Characteristics of the Two-Dimensional Spectrum of Roughness on a Seamount,' NRL Report 9022 Dec. 23, 1986.