

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Grant No. N00014-91-J-1655 R&T Code 4132058---02

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 11

DEC 0 7 19

Electrochemically Mediated Polymerization of 2-Pentadecylaniline Confined to a Planar Liquid-Liquid Interface

bу

Wolfgang M. Sigmund, Andrew D. Child, John R. Reynolds and Randolph S. Duran Center for Macromolecular Science and Engineering Department of Chemistry University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611-2046

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

93 12

6



	Form Approved OMB No 0704 0188				
 The paper state and the state mendal states in the state and the states and the sta	impleting and reviewing the Dection of info	mation. Send comments regarding to	nation (Iperations and Reports, 1735 inflers in		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 10/20/93	3. REPORT TYPE AND DA Technical			
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. 1	UNDING NUMBERS		
Electrochemically Med 2-Pentadecylaniline C Interface 6 AUTHOR(S)	Lquid-Liquid NO	N00014-91-J-1655			
W.M. Sigmund, A.D. Ch	ild, J.R. Reynolds and	l R.S. Duran			
7 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM R. S. Duran Department of Chemi University of Flori Gainesville, FL 32		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 11			
9 SPONSORING MONITORING AGEN Dr. Kenneth J. Wynn Code 1113PO, Office 800 North Quincy St Arlington, VA 2221 (703) 696-4409	e of the Chief of Naval reet		PONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBLR		
submitted to "Die Mak		126	DISTRIBUTION CODE		
by oxidation of the and phenomenon is dependen polymerization was not was soluble in polar of its oxidized state when thin film. Cyclic vol cess suggesting that t	and polymerization of terface were examined -plate method. These terface. Application odes results in polyme polymerization appears ode that have diffused t on the nature of the observed with other e rganic liquids, was gr n oxidized and reduced tammetry of the polyme here are only two diff omeraldine cannot be f er, the fully reduced	by monitoring the results indicate of a voltage acro erization to form to be affected b into the aqueous e electrode material electrode material er in its reduce chemically or el er indicates one r ferent oxidation s formed with poly(2	interfacial pressure the presence of mono- ss the interface using an electroactive poly- y metal ions formed electrolyte. This al as successful s. The polymer formed d state, and blue in ectrochemically as a eversible redox pro- tates available, i.e., -pentadecylaniline)		
Spreading, isotherms, a electrolyte-organic int employing the Wilhelmy- mer confined to the int stainless stell electro mer. The interfacial by oxidation of the and phenomenon is dependen polymerization was not was soluble in polar of its oxidized state when thin film. Cyclic vol cess suggesting that t the equivalent to leuc in a thin film. Howev chloroform solution by 14 SUBJECT TERMS	and polymerization of terface were examined -plate method. These terface. Application odes results in polyme polymerization appears ode that have diffused t on the nature of the observed with other e rganic liquids, was gr n oxidized and reduced tammetry of the polyme here are only two diff omeraldine cannot be f er, the fully reduced hydrazine reduction.	by monitoring the results indicate of a voltage acro erization to form to be affected b into the aqueous e electrode material electrode material er in its reduce chemically or el er indicates one r ferent oxidation s formed with poly(2	interfacial pressure the presence of mono- ss the interface using an electroactive poly- y metal ions formed electrolyte. This al as successful s. The polymer formed d state, and blue in ectrochemically as a eversible redox pro- tates available, i.e., -pentadecylaniline) dine can be formed in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16. PRICE CODE		

SEDERAL FO	ALL U.S. EXPO	THAT AIR WAYBILL AT SHIPMENTS, INCLUDING HE U.S. AND PUERTO RICO For Int'I. Customer Service.	400-	87ES		Drea	сс. ј. д.,	t JRR	
1272-3900-2		Phone Mumbers Vers Importanti		Internal Billing Ri					ppear On I
R. S. Duran Company Dept Floor No UNIV OF FLORIDA/CHEMISTRY Exact Street Address			4910 1606419 Dr. Gerhard Wegner Company Max Planck Institut for Polymers Exact Street Address Ackermannweg 10 (Postfach 3148)						
Address 416 BRYANT S S ity	RESEARCH		Exact Street A	ddress			Ê la	te/Province	
		FL ZIP/Postal Code		inz			_	(P/Postal Code	
USA (C.)	Not all aphane and	32611	Ge	rmany	Country 2	·····	• / • // • • •	••	D-6500
	International Priority International Econom Available to F. etc. Brook	1 - 04 - 7.	1	E to E kg	Manufacture USA	Tota Declare	mency of Deck d Value for Cam \$ 3		a ib n ; area va \$3
K FEDEX Letter/ Envelope Complete Compl	FEDEX Pak Not at options Hold for Pick-up Deliver Weekday	Other Packaging		manuscri	pt			r Carrimodities Ove US veildeted Exp Shipper's Export	ort License, affac Declaration form
Bits the state of	House The stands		Warning Comme	s your shipment you a niversion may apply to retries loansed by US avor is prohibited th	inite shipment and for utimete destri s scalinonzati	nation must be	tk of this Non-	DES OF OPEN AS DESCE	ned in 1967. Ve Geolefalion II. Inn
Mei all nordinalisation To all advecting lines XX Bill Sender Credit Call i	d Bill	a actions surdadin to an destimations Sender Hill Recipient Bill 3rd Party	List	Attached Received At			,		CO Attached COAttached CDA ()
		2378 8446	FOR FED		Statem Dat		Time	Audit E-ttp # Date	
	terma and c	DTIC QUALITY I			Accesii NTIS DTIC Unanr Justifi By Dist.ii	on For CRA&I TAB nounced cution bate 4 Avail 5			ederel Expres



Department of Chemistry

August 9, 1993

Gainesville, FL 32611-2046

Dr. Gerhard Wegner Director, Max Planck Institut fur Polymerforschung Postfach 3148 D-6500 Mainz, Germany

Dear Dr. Wegner:

Enclosed is a manuscript (two copies) entitled "Electrochemically Mediated Polymerization of 2-Pentadecylaniline Confined to a Planar Liquid-Liquid Interface" by W. M. Sigmund, A. D. Child, J. R. Reynolds and R. S. Duran to be considered for publication in *Die Makromolekulare Chemie*.

If further information is needed, please let us know.

Sincerely,

K.S. Duran

R. S. Duran Assistant Professor of Chemistry (904) 392-2011 FAX: (904) 392-9741 E-mail: duran@polymer3.chem.ufl.edu

RSD:clw

Enclosure

Submitted to "Die Makromolekulare Chemie"

Electrochemically Mediated Polymerization of 2-Pentadecylaniline Confined to a Planar Liquid-Liquid Interface

Wolfgang M. Sigmund, Andrew D. Child, John R. Reynolds and Randolph S. Duran^{*}

Center for Macromolecular Science and Engineering Department of Chemistry

University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2046, USA

*Author to whom correspondence should be sent.

<u>Abstract</u>

Spreading, isotherms, and polymerization of 2-pentadecylaniline at a planar aqueous electrolyte-organic interface were examined by monitoring the interfacial pressure employing the Wilhelmy-plate method. These results indicate the presence of monomer confined to the interface. Application of a voltage across the interface using stainless steel electrodes results in polymerization to form an electroactive polymer. The interfacial polymerization appears to be effected by metal ions formed by oxidation of the anode that have diffused into the aqueous electrolyte. This phenomenon is dependent on the nature of the electrode material as successful polymerization was not observed with other electrode materials. The polymer formed was soluble in polar organic liquids, was green in its reduced state, and blue in its oxidized state when oxidized and reduced chemically or electrochemically as a thin film. Cyclic voltammetry of the polymer indicates one reversible redox process suggesting that there are only

08/06/93

1

two different oxidation states available, i.e., the equivalent to leucoemeraldine cannot be formed with poly(2-pentadecylaniline) in a thin film. However, the fully reduced yellow leucoemeraldine can be formed in chloroform solution by hydrazine reduction.

Introduction

Synthetic polymer chemistry generally deals with reactions in isotropic solutions, or in bulk, thus giving equal probability of a reaction occurring randomly in any of the three dimensions. This, of course, usually allows side reactions to take place simultaneously with the primary reaction and reduces the configurational order of the products. Nature shows that chemistry can be more specific when reactions are orientally or positionally confined by highly ordered species, such as enzymes¹ or self assembled ordering of molecules in two dimensions at interfaces.² Due to the ordering, the reaction mechanisms may change, leading to compounds with high stereo- and regio-chemical order.³

At a free interface, molecular motion can be limited to a lateral plane allowing considerable control of reactivity. This has been previously shown by our group⁴ with polymerization at planar airliquid interfaces. By confining this polymerization reaction to the airliquid interface, it is possible to yield polymer which is soluble in organic solvents with well-defined structure and defined molecular weight.^{5,6,7,8} Recently we have demonstrated controlled polymerization at a second type of free interface that can be manipulated easily: the planar liquid-liquid interface.⁹ We have

.

observed the chemical polymerization of 2-pentadecylaniline at a planar aqueous-organic interface.

In this work we describe the electrochemically induced polymerization of 2-pentadecylaniline at a planar liquid-liquid interface and the characterization of the polymer formed by cyclic voltammetry and spectroelectrochemistry. Our observation indicates that the polymerization occurs by initial oxidation of certain metals, forming reactive metal ions, which induce polymerization by diffusing to the interface.

Experimental

Chemicals

The monomer, 2-pentadecylaniline, was synthesized using a procedure described previously.¹⁰ The purity of the compound was verified by elemental analysis and NMR. HPLC-grade cyclohexane (Fisher) was used without further purification. Subphase solutions were made with A.C.S. reagent grade sulfuric acid and Milli-Q[®] water. Electrode materials (platinum, iron, zinc, cobalt, vanadium, nickel, chromium and silver) were 99.9 % bulk-purity (Aldrich) and used as received after cleaning. The surfaces of the electrodes may differ in that higher concentrations of oxides, hydroxides or nitrides are expected. The surface of the stainless steel electrode was characterized by ESCA and consisted of a mixture of iron (93.9 atom percentage), carbon (3.7 atom percentage) and zinc (0.13 atom percentage). The amount of oxygen was 2.3 atom percentage.

Electrochemically Induced Interfacial Polymerization

The experimental setup for the electrochemical interfacial polymerization is shown in Figure 1 A. All experiments were carried out in Pyrex crystallizing dishes, ensuring high purity and allowing the interface to be visibly observable at all times during the reaction. The crystallizing dishes were sequentially cleaned with chromic sulfuric acid, chloroform and hot Milli-Q[®] water before use. The electrode materials were washed with chloroform then flamed with a Bunsen burner and flushed with Milli-Q[®] water before they were introduced into the interface. The electrodes were introduced through the cyclohexane upper layer until they contacted the interface where the aqueous subphase formed a meniscus on hydrophilic electrodes. They were subsequently raised to the point that the edge of the electrode contacted the interface only (see Figure 1 B).

FIGURE 1

The interface was checked for purity by suction of the liquid phases at their interface and concurrent monitoring of the interfacial pressure. When no interfacial pressure change occurred after suction, except that due to displacement of the Wilhelmy plate in the aqueous phase, the system was considered as pure. After checking the cleanliness of the interface, monomer was introduced by injecting a solution of 5 x 10^{-4} M of 2-pentadecylaniline in cyclohexane into the interface using a gas tight Hamilton syringe. The syringe needle was raised slightly to form a meniscus prior to monomer injection (Fig. 1b). For each experiment 80 ml cyclohexane and 80 ml aqueous sulfuric acid was used with an interfacial area of 70 cm². The interfacial pressure was monitored using a hydrophilic platinum plate applying the Wilhelmy method with on-line data acquisition through a modified KSV 5000 instrument.¹¹

The interfacial polymerization was studied by varying the electrode materials, the position of the electrodes, the amount spread (i.e., the corresponding theoretical mean molecular area), subphase sulfuric acid concentrations from 0.1 to 4.0 M and the applied potential with a potentiostat.

Electrochemically induced polymerizations were carried out at constant potential using a Princeton Applied Research Model 273 Potentiostat/Galvanostat and a two electrode setup with a platinum cathode. The polymerization reaction was monitored by observation of the interfacial pressure. The polymer was collected by suction removal of the cyclohexane overlayer and the acid subphase, without disturbing the interface-layer, until there was only a small volume of both left. Clean water was then injected into the subphase to wash the polymer and most of the subphase suctioned again. This was repeated twice. After a few hours the residual cyclohexane was evaporated and the polymer is slightly less soluble in cyclohexane it is enriched at the interface, allowing the monomer to be relatively well separated from the polymer; although GPC always showed a small peak for residual monomer.

Thin films of poly(2-pentadecylaniline) were solution cast from chloroform onto indium tin oxide coated glass slides (ITO) with a surface resistance of 40 Ω/cm^2 obtained from Delta Technologies.

1

Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a Princeton Applied Research model 273 potentiostat/galvanostat. The counter electrode was a platinum flag and a silver wire was used as a quasi-reference electrode. Two electrolytes were investigated: 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (Eastman Chemical) in acetonitrile that was distilled over P_2O_5 , and 1.0 M sulfuric acid.

In situ electrochemical optical measurements were performed on a Varian Instruments Cary 5E UV-VIS-NIR spectrophotometer. The cuvette was fitted with a silver wire quasi reference electrode and a platinum flag counter electrode with a 0.5 cm diameter hole provided for a light path. Spectra were obtained on the polymer film, held at its fully reduced potential, from 280 nm to 900 nm. The potential at the electrode was then stepped in 50 mV intervals and the spectrum recorded after the current decayed to its background value.

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) results were collected using a Waters Associates liquid chromatograph apparatus equipped with a U6K injector and UV spectrophotometric detector from Perkin Elmer. Two phenomenex 7.8 mm * 30 cm Phenogel 5 consecutive linear gel columns were used, a 500 Å followed by a 1000 Å type. The eluting solvent was HPLC-grade THF at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. Retention times were calibrated using polystyrene standards.

Results and Discussion

We have previously demonstrated that it is possible to restrict 2-pentadecylaniline at the planar liquid-liquid interface and polymerize it via an oxidant added to the subphase.⁹ Motivated by the well-known ability of aniline and its substituted derivatives to polymerize electrochemically,^{12,13} and the fact that interfacial nonmonolayer polymerization of pyrrole can be carried out both chemically¹⁴ and electrochemically, we sought to accomplish the first electropolymerization of a monomer-monolayer as a precursor to an electrically conducting poly(2-pentadecylaniline).

Prior to formation of the interface monolayer the cleanliness of the interface was confirmed by stability of the interfacial pressure after suction purification. Several control experiments were carried out to ensure that the observed changes in interfacial pressure were related to the formation of polymer during electrolysis and not to a reaction forming surfactants from the organic solvent. Figure 2 shows the temporal stability of the interfacial pressure of a cyclohexanesulfuric acid interface without any 2-pentadecylaniline when a potential of 2 V was applied with stainless steel electrodes immersed into the interface. No change of the interfacial pressure can be observed. A second stability test probed the interfacial pressure for monomer that is trapped at the interface under no applied voltage. No change in interfacial pressure with time was observed with monomer spread and no potential applied. A third stability test involved immersing the anode into the upper cyclohexane layer and the cathode into the interface. After introducing the monomer to the interface a potential of 2 V was applied. No change of interfacial pressure with time could be observed over 60 min.

Figure 2

08/06/93

The change in interfacial pressure during electrochemically induced polymerization of a monolayer of 2-pentadecylaniline at the 4 M sulfuric acid-cyclohexane interface is shown in Figure 3. At t = 2min monomer solution was injected into the interface. Upon contact the monomer is protonated and a salt is formed that spreads quickly, rapidly increasing the interfacial pressure to ca. 42 mN/m. The monomer salt is much less soluble in cyclohexane. The system was then allowed to stand for 23 minutes for equilibration as the pressure relaxed to 40 mN/m and no further change in interfacial pressure could be observed.

The interfacial pressure decrease directly after spreading can be attributed to the fact that the monomer is protonated and the compound diffuses laterally to become equally distributed across the interface. Before equilibrium is reached deprotonated monomer diffuses into the organic phase, decreasing the number of molecules present at the interface, and thus decreasing the interfacial pressure. Previous work at the air-water interface has shown that the monomer is much less soluble in the aqueous phase.⁴

Application of 1.8 V across the two electrodes immersed at the interface led to a current of 120 mA. An immediate increase in interfacial pressure is observed due to changes at the interface. A polymer is formed that has a higher surface mass density (i.e., smaller mean molecular area per repeat unit) compared to its monomer, which has also been observed for the polymerization of the same compound at the air-water interface.⁴ The mean molecular area per molecular area per molecular area per at the interface, and additional monomer from the solution diffuses to the interface and

increases the interfacial pressure. The oligomer and polymer formed during the reaction are less soluble in cyclohexane and remain at the interface.

With all sulfuric acid subphase concentrations investigated (0.1 M, 0.4 M, 1.0 M, 4.0 M) the interfacial pressure is seen to increase monotonically with time when the stainless steel electrodes were immersed at the interface, and a potential of at least 1.5 V was applied across the interface. The reaction was complete and a new equilibrium was reached after about 2 h. The effect of increasing the acid concentration was to increase the interfacial tension, and therefore, the amount of monomer trapped before reaction. The measured interfacial pressure change upon polymerization was largest for the 0.1 M sulfuric acid subphase concentration. The acid subphase concentration did not substantially effect the time until the new equilibrium was reached.

To ensure that the reaction is confined to the interface the electrodes were lifted to form a meniscus with the subphase, as shown in Figure 1B. If one electrode was in the organic phase only, a very low current (less than 0.6 mA), no change in interfacial pressure, and no formation of ions in any phase could be observed. The collected product only showed a monomer peak in the GPC. The results indicate that no polymerization takes place in the organic phase. Control experiments, using the same system and immersing both electrodes into the subphase after the above mentioned results were obtained, proved that polymerization was possible, i.e., a change in interfacial pressure could be observed and polymer could be collected. Previously published experiments⁴ showed, that the

Ł

monomer is essentially insoluble in the aqueous electrolyte subphase. Additionally, the electrodes generally only touched the plane of the interface and were not immersed in the subphase. These results indicate that the polymerization is confined to the interface.

Experiments were carried out to get a better understanding of the reaction process. It was found that there is polymer formed only when a stainless steel electrode is used as anode. No change in interfacial pressure could be observed and no polymer could be formed with pure metal electrodes (platinum, iron, zinc, cobalt, vanadium, nickel, chromium and silver). While applying a voltage it could be observed that the investigated pure element anode materials produce mostly oxygen. The amount of oxygen produced at the stainless steel anode, however, was significantly less and the subphase solution turned yellow due to ferric ions that were produced. Due to an overpotential for oxygen formation at the stainless steel electrode, ions of the metals in the stainless steel are formed. Ferric ions are most prevalent and have an appropriate oxidation potential to oxidize and polymerize the monomer. With the pure iron anode no ferric ions were formed, only the formation of oxygen could be observed.

To confirm that the reaction is induced by electrogenerated Fe^{3+} , the stainless steel anode and platinum cathode were immersed at an interface without any monomer spread. A potential of 3 V was applied resulting in a current of 26.8 mA with a 0.1 M sulfuric acid subphase concentration. The subphase changed its color from clear to yellow due to the ferric ions formed but no change of interfacial pressure could be observed, as was expected from the stability tests. After 20 min the electrodes were taken out, the monomer was

.

introduced and the interfacial pressure was monitored. Polymer was formed.

The above results prove that the reaction is likely to be electrochemically mediated in that the application of a voltage across the interface releases metal ions from the electrode that chemically polymerize the monomer. Further evidence is that the monomer is successfully polymerized at this interface by $FeCl_{3}$.⁹

Reaction Control on Interfacially Grown Polymer

Figure 4 shows that reaction control is possible at dilute acid subphase concentrations by switching the potential on and off. The interfacial pressure varied with the subphase acid strength. After introduction of the monomer to the interface with 0.1 M sulfuric acid, a lower value of interfacial pressure (2 mN/m) is obtained than with 4.0 M sulfuric acid in the subphase. This is due to the lower ionic strength of the subphase that reduces the amount of monomer that is trapped at the interface, thus decreasing the value of the interfacial pressure.

After equilibration, a voltage is applied starting at 25 min. In 0.1 M sulfuric acid the current with an applied voltage of 1.8 V is about 1 mA. Since the current is low, it is possible to start and stop the reaction by switching the voltage on and off. In this figure, the voltage was turned off at 45 min and turned on again at 85 min. There is a delay in starting and stopping the reaction after switching the voltage on and off as the concentration of the oxidizing ions has to reach a concentration that results in a potential that is higher than the oxidation potential of 2-pentadecylaniline. As the reaction continues the concentration of ferric ions decreases and ferrous ions increases, thus, lowering steadily the oxidation potential of the subphase until the reaction stops.

The decrease in the interfacial pressure after turning off the voltage at 45 min, is assumed to be connected with the diffusion of oligomers from the interface into the organic phase, until an equilibrium between oligomer and monomer is reached. This is also specific for the 0.1 M sulfuric acid subphase. At 4.0 M a decrease never could be observed. We assume this to be due to the protonation of the compound and the increase in interfacial tension at higher acid concentrations.

Figure 4

Polymer Characterization

The polymer produced was analyzed by GPC. For all polymer formed the weight average M_w was 3500 using a calibration of polystyrene. It should be noted that a small amount of residual monomer was always observed.

A cyclic voltammogram as a function of scan rate of the interfacially synthesized poly(2-pentadecylaniline), solution cast onto an ITO electrode, is shown in Figure 5. Independent of the electrolyte employed (CH₃CN or aqueous acid), only a single reversible redox process is observed with an $E_{1/2}$ of + 0.15 V vs. Ag/Ag+. Accompanying this redox process is a color change from green at low

potential to blue at high potential. This is in contrast to polyaniline and poly(2-methylaniline), which exhibit two redox processes when examined in 0.1 M HCl, 2.4 M HCl and 1.0 M H₂SO₄.^{15,16} Under these conditions, poly(2-methylaniline) switches from yellow to green to blue-violet as the potential is swept from fully reduced to fully oxidized. Poly(2-ethylaniline), on the other hand, exhibits only one redox process when examined¹⁶ in 1 M H₂SO₄ ($E_{1/2}$ = +0.36 V vs. SCE) and two poorly resolved redox processes centered around +0.4 V vs. SCE when examined¹⁵ in 2.4 M HCl.

Figure 5

Optoelectrochemical spectra of poly(2-pentadecylaniline) were sequentially obtained to more fully probe the available oxidation states. An optoelectrochemical spectrum of solution cast interfacially prepared, poly(2-pentadecylaniline) examined in TBAP/CH₃CN is shown in Figure 6. Similar results are observed in acidic media. The as cast polymer shows two transitions at 1.7 eV and 4.0 eV. As the potential is stepped from highly reducing (-0.7 V) to highly oxidizing (+1.4 V), there is an increase in the absorption at 1.7 eV and decrease in the absorption at 4.0 eV. At the same time, a new absorption at 3.25 eV begins to appear. It should be noted that these spectral changes are small relative to those seen for polyaniline, poly(2-methylaniline), and poly(2-ethylaniline).^{15,16} Interestingly, an isosbestic point is observed in the optoelectrochemical results for poly(2-pentadecylaniline) and, in agreement with the cyclic voltammetrically observed green to blue color change, suggests that

only two redox states are electrochemically accessed. Treatment of the CHCl₃ solution of poly(2-pentadecylaniline) with a strong chemical reductant (e.g. hydrazine) converts the polymer to a yellow state, but this chemical reduction is not effective on cast films. Polymer films prepared by direct electropolymerization of pentadecylaniline in CH₃CN electrolyte behave similarly.

Figure 6

In general, there are three commonly accessible redox states in polyaniline which are defined as leucoemeraldine (fully reduced), emeraldine (half-oxidized) and pernigraniline (fully oxidized).

Figure 7

Correlation of the results presented for poly(2-pentadecylaniline) indicates that the leucoemeraldine form is not attained in the electrochemical experiments. The cyclic voltammetric switching occurs between the emeraldine and the pernigraniline forms of the polymer. It is expected that the long alkyl chain will have a strong impact on the chemical environment within the film which makes access to the fully reduced (and least polar) form of the polymer difficult. This extreme case is not surprising when compared to the results observed for the methyl and ethyl substituted polyanilines. While all three redox states are observable in these polymers, there is considerably less change during redox switching of poly(2-ethylaniline) relative to poly(2-methylaniline).¹⁶

Conclusions

Electrochemically mediated polymerization of 2-pentadecylaniline with its bulky alkyl sidechain could be observed at the aqueous sulfuric acid - cyclohexane interface as well as electrochemically in an acetonitrile solution. The interfacially grown polymer had a molecular weight of 3500 compared to polystyrene standard. Both polymers formed were electroactive.

The reaction for the interfacially grown polymer could be controlled at low sulfuric acid subphase concentrations and a small voltage by turning the voltage applied across the interface on and off.

The polymer could be fully reduced to leucoemeraldine chemically in solution. Electrochemical reduction of a thin film of poly(2-pentadecyl aniline) allowed only one step for reduction: pernigraniline was reduced to emeraldine. The yellow leucoemeraldine state could not be formed in a thin film.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Deutsche Forschungs Gemeinschaft and the Office of Naval Research for financial support of this work.

ł

References

- 1 K. Seki, D. A. Tirell, Macromolecules 17, 1692-98 (1984)
- 2 M. Ferreira, J. H. Cheung, W. Scruggs, M. F. Rubner, SPE Proceedings, New Orleans, LA, to be published (1993)
- 3 D. M. Collard, M. A. Fox, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 9414 (1991)
- 4 R. S. Duran, H. C. Zhou, Thin Solid Films 210/211, 356 (1992)
- 5 K. Hong, M. F. Rubner, Thin Solid Films 160, 187-95 (1988)
- 6 R. S. Duran, H. C. Zhou, Polymer 19, 4019-23 (1992)
- 7 H. Ringsdorf, H. Schupp, J. Macromol. Sci., Chem. A15(5), 1015-26 (1981)
- 8 D. Day, J. B. Lando Macromolecules 13, 1478-83 (1980)
- 9 W. M. Sigmund, R. S. Duran, Macromolecules 26, 2616 (1993)
- 10 R. R. Bodalia, R. S. Duran, Polym. Preprints 32, 248 (1991)
- 11 A. W. Adamson, *Physical Chemistry of Surfaces*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, fifth edition, (1990)
- 12 J. Bacon, R. N. Adams, J. Arv. Chem. Soc. 90, 6596 (1968)
- 13 E. M. Genies, C. Tsintavis, J. Electroanal. Chem. 195, 109 (1985)
- 14 T. H. Chao, J. March, J. of Polym. Sci.: Part A: Polymer Chemistry, 26, 743-53 (1988)
- 15 Y. Wei, W. W. Focke, G. E. Wnek, A. Ray, A. G.MacDiarmid, J. Phys. Chem. 93, 495 (1989)
- 16 M. Leclerc, J. Guay, L. H. Dao, Macromolecules 22, 649 (1989)

â

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Experimental setup for interfacial polymerization of 2-pentadecylaniline, whole system (A) and magnification of the meniscus formed by the aqueous phase at the inserted metal electrode, and at the needle of the syringe (B).

Fig. 2 Interfacial pressure baselines for experiments with 0.1 M sulfuric acid as subphase. Curve A: Measurement of the interfacial pressure vs. time with electrodes in the organic phase only, with compound spread and voltage applied. Curve B: Measurement of the interfacial pressure with electrodes inserted at the interface and voltage applied, but no monomer spread.

Fig. 3 Interfacial pressure change during polymerization of a monolayer of 2-pentadecylaniline at a 4.0 M sulfuric acidcyclohexane interface using a stainless steel anode and a platinum cathode. Initial theoretical mean molecular area (Interfacial area divided by number of molecules spread, assuming all spread molecules are trapped at the interface) MMA = $25\dot{A}^2$.

Spreading of the compound without applied voltage. (left scale).
 Reaction with turning on the voltage at 25 min (right scale).

Fig. 4 Reaction control at dilute acid interfaces. Plot of interfacial pressure vs. time. The voltage was turned on at 25 min. (marker 1) and turned off at 45 min. (marker 2) and turned on again at 85 min (marker 3).

Fig. 5 Cyclic voltammograms of interfacially synthesized $_{P}$ oly(2-pentadecylaniline) run in TBAClO4/CH₃CN. Polymer film produced by solution casting with chloroform on platinum. Scan speed A= 25 mV/s, B=50 mV/s, C=75 mV/s, and D=100 mV/s.

Fig. 6 Optoelectrochemical spectra for interfacially synthesized poly(2-pentadecylaniline). Polymer film produced by solution casting with chloroform on ITO. Applied potentials vs. Ag wire: a) -0.7 V, b) 0.3 V, c) 0.7 V, d) 0.9 V, e) 1.2 V, f) 1.4 V.

Arrows indicate change of signal intensity with increasing oxidation potential.

Fig. 7 Chemical structures that can theoretically be drawn for poly (2-pentadecylaniline) in different oxidation states.A) leucoemeraldine-like form, B) emeraldine-like form, andC) pernigraniline-like form.



Ī









V





TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2) Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

Dr. James S. Murday (1) Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Robert Green, Director (1) Chemistry Division, Code 385 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555~6001

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer (1) Code 2840 David Taylor Research Center Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. Elek Lindner (1) Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Commanding Officer (1) Naval Weapons Support Center Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane, Indiana 47522-5050 Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1) Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1) David Taylor Research Center Code 283 Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Chief of Naval Research (1) Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code 00MC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Defense Technical Information Center (2) Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

* Number of copies to forward