		AGE	Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188
Public reporting burgen for this collection of anti- maintaining the data needed and completing an suggestions for reducing this burgen, to Vias 2202 4302 and to the Office of Management a	omator of csill of to average thour perirespo direvelopping to of chancelus/ormation. Send con hindler includio of this Services, Directorate her 4 and 5 of color for exception Reduction Prove 13/04.0	nise including the title for reviewin iments regarding this burden estima intermation. Operations, and Report 188. Washingtim, DC, 20503	pinstruction or searchang existing data sources igathering and to any other aspect of this collection of information, including s. 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arangton, VA
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Diank)	2 REFORT DATE		REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
TITLE AND SUBTILLE	October 1993		Professional Paper
OHMIC CONTACTS TO EPI	TAXIAL AND NATURAL DIAM	OND	PR: SA30 PE: 0603218C WU: DN307468
. AUTHOR(S)			
C. A. Hewett and J. R. Zeidler	r		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AN	DALORESSES		PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
Naval Command, Control and RDT&E Division San Diego, CA 92152–5001	d Ocean Surveill <mark>ance Center</mark> (NC	COSC	HEPON NOMBER
9 SPONSORING MONITORING AGENCY NAMI Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	E(S) AND ADURESS(ES)	TIC	0 SPONSORING MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Ç DAN	2 9 1993	
22. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	т		25. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release; d	istribution is unlimited.		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We have used the circula determine the specific contac epitaxial films on <100> and 0.05 m in thickness. Metalliza and Pd. Measured specific con contacts to natural bulk type on lightly doped type IIb dian	r transmission line test structure t resistance of various metals to l <110> type IIa substrates, type ations tested include the carbide ntact resistances ranged from 2 x IIb diamonds. Ohmic contact be nond, either in the as-deposited s	e of Reeves ( <i>Solid State</i> semiconducting diamor e IIb diamons 0.25 mm forming refractory met t 10 <sup>-5</sup> for contacts to hig havior was not observed state, or after annealing	Electron, 23 (1930) 487–490) in order to ad. Sample types included highly doped thick, and type IIb diamonds thinned to als Ti and Mo, as well as Al, Ni, Au, Pt, shly doped layers to $1 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \text{cm}^2$ for d for non-carbide forming metallizations to
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We have used the circula determine the specific contac epitaxial films on <100> and 0.05 m in thickness. Metalliz: and Pd. Measured specific con contacts to natural bulk type on lightly doped type IIb dian Published in <i>Diamond and R</i>	r transmission line test structure t resistance of various metals to l <110> type IIa substrates, type ations tested include the carbide ntact resistances ranged from 2 x IIb diamonds. Ohmic contact be nond, either in the as-deposited s	e of Reeves ( <i>Solid State</i> semiconducting diamor e IIb diamons 0.25 mm forming refractory met t 10 <sup>-5</sup> for contacts to hig havior was not observed state, or after annealing	Electron, 22 (1990) 487–490) in order to ad. Sample types included highly doped thick, and type IIb diamonds thinned to als Ti and Mo, as well as Al, Ni, Au. Pt, shly doped layers to $1 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \text{cm}^2$ for d for non-carbide forming metallizations
<ul> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         We have used the circula determine the specific contact epitaxial films on &lt;100&gt; and 0.05 m in thickness. Metalliziand Pd. Measured specific contacts to natural bulk type on lightly doped type IIb dian     </li> <li>Published in <i>Diamond and R</i> </li> <li>14. SUBJECT TERMS         Electronic Devices Diamond Technology Semiconductors     </li> </ul>	r transmission line test structure t resistance of various metals to l <110> type IIa substrates, type ations tested include the carbide ntact resistances ranged from 2 x IIb diamonds. Ohmic contact be nond, either in the as-deposited s	e of Reeves ( <i>Solid State</i> semiconducting diamor e IIb diamons 0.25 mm forming refractory met t 10 <sup>-5</sup> for contacts to hig havior was not observed state, or after annealing	Electron, 22 (1950) 487–490) in order to ad. Sample types included highly doped thick, and type IIb diamonds thinned to als Ti and Mo, as well as Al, Ni, Au, Pt, thly doped layers to 1 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> Ωcm <sup>2</sup> for d for non-carbide forming metallizations to the second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second second the second seco
<ul> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)         We have used the circula determine the specific contact epitaxial films on &lt;100&gt; and 0.05 m in thickness. Metalliz: and Pd. Measured specific contacts to natural bulk type on lightly doped type IIb dian     </li> <li>Published in Diamond and R         14. SUBJECT TERMS         Electronic Devices Diamond Technology Semiconductors         17. SECURITY CLASS/FICATIC',     </li> </ul>	r transmission line test structure t resistance of various metals to l <110> type IIa substrates, type ations tested include the carbide ntact resistances ranged from 2 x IIb diamonds. Ohmic contact be nond, either in the as-deposited s	e of Reeves ( <i>Solid State</i> semiconducting diamor e IIb diamons 0.25 mm forming refractory met t 10 <sup>-5</sup> for contacts to hig havior was not observed state, or after annealing 139–1321.	Electron, 23 (1990) 487-490) in order to         ad. Sample types included highly doped         thick, and type IIb diamonds thinned to         als Ti and Mo, as well as Al, Ni, Au, Pt,         shly doped layers to 1 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> Ωcm <sup>2</sup> for         1 for non-carbide forming metallizations            15 NUMBER OF PAGES         16 PRICE CODE         16 PRICE CODE         20 LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard form 298 (FRONT)

UNCLASSIFIED			
21a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	216 TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)	21c OFFICE SYMBOL	
J. Zeidler	(619) 553-1581	Code 804	

# DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

Accesio	n For	
NTIS DTIC Unanno Justific	CRA&I TAB ounced ation	
By Distribu	ution /	
A	vailability	Codes
Dist	Avai, ar Spec	id j or Ial
A-1	20	



Standard form 298 (BACK)

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

• • • • •

# Ohmic contacts to epitaxial and natural diamond\*

## C. A. Hewett

Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Surveillance Center, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Division. Code 555, 271 Catalina Blvd. San Diego, CA 92152-5000 (USA)

# J. R. Zeidler

Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Surveillance Center, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Division. Code 804, 271 Catalina Blvd. . San Diego, CA 92152-5000 (USA)

(Received August 31, 1992; accepted in final form November 16, 1992)

#### Abstract

We have used the circular transmission line test structure of Reeves (Solid State Electron, 23 (1980) 487-490) in order to determine the specific contact resistance of various metals to semiconducting diamond. Sample types included highly doped epitaxial films on <100 > and <110 > type IIa substrates, type IIb diamonds 0.25 mm thick, and type IIb diamonds thinned to 0.05 mm in thickness. Metallizations tested include the carbide forming refractory metals Ti and Mo, as well as Al. Ni, Au. Pt, and Pd. Measured specific contact resistances ranged from  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  for contacts to highly doped layers to  $1 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \text{ cm}^2$  for contacts to natural bulk type IIb diamonds. Ohmic contact behavior was not observed for non-carbide forming metallizations on lightly doped type IIb diamond. eid... in the as-deposited state, or after annealing.

#### 1. Introduction

The unique material and electronic properties of diamond make it a potentially very important candidate for use in high power or high frequency applications, as well as in high temperature and corrosive environments. A requirement for any successful application of semiconducting diamond devices is the development of suitable electrical contacts. Ohmic contacts must possess a low contact resistance and be able to withstand the operating conditions, such as high temperatures, for which diamond devices are intended. Ideally, the contacts would be compatible with conventional device processing techniques, and finally, they should be strongly adherent to the diamond surface. Ohmic contacts produced via a solid state annealing process have been studied extensively and are believed to satisfy all four conditions [1]. In this process a thin film of a transition metal carbide forming metal is deposited on the diamond surface. Annealing at high temperature (950 °C) leads to the formation of a carbide layer at the interface. This layer provides an intimate contact to the diamond and promotes good adhesion. Annealing the contact produced a decrease in total resistance of several orders of magnitude. Auger electron spectroscopy studies indicated that the decrease was associated with the formation of a

\*Paper presented at Diamond 1992. Heidelberg, August 31-September 4, 1992.

carbide phase. Similar results have been observed for Ti and Ta contacts.

Ohmic contacts are characterized by measuring the series resistance arising at the contact-semiconductor junction, and then normalizing the contact resistance to the contact area to determine the specific contact resistance,  $r_c$ . Various techniques have been developed in order to determine  $r_c$ . In this work we use a circular test pattern consisting of a central dot and concentric ring contacts. An advantage of this technique is that no mesa etch is required. The measurements are interpreted using a circular transmission line model. For the details of the analysis, the reader is referred to ref. 2.

Our previous work [3] has shown that carbide forming metallizations can yield low specific contact resistance ohmic contacts to type IIb diamond. In the case of highly doped epitaxial films, reliable and reproducible contacts with  $r_c = 2 \times 10^{-5} \Omega \text{ cm}^2$  were observed. In this paper we address the issue of carbide forming metallizations vs. non-carbide forming metallizations for ohmic contacts.

#### 2. Experimental procedure

Seven different diamond samples were used in this study. The first sample consisted of a diamond film with a high boron concentration and about  $4 \,\mu m$  in

thickness grown epitaxially on a <100 > type Ha insulating diamond substrate with the dimensions of  $5 \times 5 \times 6.25$  mm<sup>3</sup>. The second sample consisted of a  $6 \mu m$  thick diamond film with a high boron concentration grown epitaxially on a <110 > substrate. These films were grown at MIT Lincoln Labs using the procedure outlined in [4] on natural diamond substrates provided by Druker International, B.V. The third sample was an irregularly shaped type IIb diamond 50 µm thick. The fourth sample was identical to the third, except that it was 0.25 mm thick. The other samples were type IIb diamonds with the dimensions of  $5 \times 5 \times 0.25$  mm<sup>3</sup>.

1320

The samples were cleaned using decontam, deionized water, and ethanol, sequentially. The samples were then coated with photoresist and patterned using standard photolithographic techniques. Samples were baked at 120 °C for 20 min following photoresist patterning and loaded into an ion pumped ultrahigh vacuum system (base pressure  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr). Electron beam evaporation was used for deposition of the carbide forming metals (Ti or Mo). The thickness of the carbide forming metal was about 100 Å. Subsequently, 1500 Å of Au was deposited from a resistively heated boat onto the surface of the Ti or Mo without breaking vacuum to prevent oxidation prior to annealing. Pt, Pd, and Al were also deposited by electron beam evaporation, with a thickness of about 1500 Å. No Au cap was used for these metals. The pressure during evaporation was  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  Torr. Film thicknesses were determined using a crystal monitor during deposition. After deposition a lift-off process was used to remove undesired metal, leaving contact structures with the geometry shown in Fig. 1. The dimensions (in the notation of Reeves) were  $r_1' = 1.65r_0$ ,  $r_1 =$ 2.74 $r_0$ ,  $r_2' = 4.34r_0$ , and  $r_2 = 5.45r_0$  with  $r_0 = 11.7 \ \mu m$ .

Following patterning, the contact structures were probed first with a curve tracer. If ohmic behavior was observed, then a current source and electrometer were used to determine the specific contact resistance. The measurements consisted of placing tungsten probes on the inner dot, the central ring and the outer ring. The total resistance between the central ring and the inner dot, and the central ring and the outer ring were measured. The end resistance was determined by passing a current through the central ring and the inner dot and measuring the voltage between the central ring and the outer contact. This result was checked by switching contact pairs and remeasuring. Using these three results, the specific contact resistance can be calculated in the manner of ref. 2. After probing, the samples were baked at 120 °C for approximately 20 min and then annealed in a purified hydrogen ambient at elevated temperatures (960 °C for Mo, Ti, and Au, 900 °C for Ni, 725 °C for Pt and Pd, and 425 °C for the Al films). Anneal times were in 2 min increments. After annealing, the samples were



Fig. I. Geometry of the circular transmission line structure.

remeasured. Total anneal times of up to 8 min have been investigated.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the values of specific contact resistance determined in this experiment. It is interesting to note that contacts to the epitaxial layers (epi-<100> and epi < 110 >) showed excellent behavior as deposited. Contacts formed by placing either tungsten or gold probe tips on the diamond surface showed only a slight deviation from linearity. Contacts formed by the Ti films were highly linear. This may be due to the highly doped nature of these layers. Heavily doping a semiconductor induces a decrease in barrier thickness, allowing tunneling of the charge carriers. Increasing the doping in natural semiconducting diamond is a non-trivial task. but may be accomplished rather easily during epitaxial growth of diamond. The measured value of specific contact resistances after annealing are more than satisfactory for device fabrication.

Lightly doped diamond (represented by the "thin" and "thick" samples) are much more difficult to contact. Non-ohmic behavior was observed for all as-deposited contacts in these samples. Upon annealing, however, Mo contacts were ohmic in nature, with a specific contact resistance of  $1.4 \times 10^{-2} \ \Omega \ cm^2$  for the 0.25 mm thick diamond, and  $1.2 \times 10^{-3} \ \Omega \ cm^2$  for the 50 µm thick diamond. The actual value is probably lower, since correct interpretation of the transmission line measure-

-----

C. A. Hewert, J. R. Zeidler - Ohmic contacts to epitaxial and natural diamonal

Sample	Conducting layer thickness (µm)	Metal	N <sub>A</sub> (cm <sup>-3</sup> ) at 300 K	$r_c (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$ as deposited	r <sub>c</sub> (Ω cm²) post an <b>ncal</b>
cpi-<100>	4	Ti	2 × 10 <sup>19</sup>	$3.2 \times 10^{-6}$	1.8 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>
epi-(110)	6	Ti	$7 \times 10^{19}$	7.6 × 10 <sup>-</sup> *.	$2 \times 10^{-5}$
thin type IIb	50	· - ·Mo · · ·	1014 *	Over-ranged	$1.2 \times 10^{-3}$
thick type IIb	250	Мо	1014	Over-ranged	$1.4 \times 10^{-2}$
thick type 11b	250	Pt	1014 4	Over-ranged	Rectifying
thick type IIb	250	Pd	1014 4	Over-ranged	Rectifying
thick type IIb	250	. Al	1014 #	Over-ranged	Rectifying

TABLE 1. Specific contact resistance results for various samples

\*Representative value from other type IIb diamond samples.

ments requires two dimensional current flow. For the epitaxial layers this condition may be assumed to be approximately true, but for the  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$  and  $250 \,\mu\text{m}$  (0.25 mm) thick samples there is a large component of vertical current flow. This component gives rise to a spreading resistance which increases the measured contact resistance.

Linear I-V curves have also been observed for annealed Ti [1], and Ta [5] contacts to lightly doped diamond. Ni films consistently delaminate from the diamond surface, while Au contacts did not appear to wet the diamond surface but instead broke into many small balls of Au on the surface. In addition, Pt, Pd, and Al all failed to show ohmic behavior either in the as-deposited state, or after annealing. The lack of ohmic contact formation in the cases of Al, Au, Ni, Pd, and Pt might be explained by their lack of carbide formation. The solubility of C in molten Al is practically zero even in the temperature range 1000-1100 °C, but with some carbide formation in the range 1300-1500 °C. Ni does appear to form a carbide above 2000 °C, but it decomposes to Ni + C at lower temperatures. Au, at its boiling point, does dissolve a small amount of C (about 0.3 wt.%) which crystallizes in the form of graphite upon cooling of the melt. Pd and Pt both will dissolve appreciable amounts of C when in the molten state, but again the C precipitates in the form of graphite upon cooling. No diffusion of C was observed in Pt even in the temperature range 800-900 °C. (Reference 6 was used to obtain all phase information cited above.) Ohmic contacts have been produced previously with noncarbide forming metals by utilizing these liquid phase reactions (see ref. 1 and references cited therein). Thus, it seems reasonable to conclude, at least for ohmic contacts formed by the solid state reaction process, that carbide formation is necessary.

#### 4. Conclusions

Specific contact resistance for the Ti and Mo refractory metal carbide contacts to diamond have been measured.

Contacting highly doped diamond films is relatively casy, with nearly ohmic behavior observed for both W and Au probes on the bare diamond surface. An apparent correlation between ohmic contact formation on lightly doped type IIb diamonds and the use of a carbide forming metallization has been observed in solid state reactions. Non-carbide forming metallizations suffer from either poor adhesion or rectifying behavior.

#### Acknowledgments

The diamond samples used in this study were provided by Dr. Michael Seal of Sigillum, B.V. and Drukker International, B.V. Preparation of the epitaxial films by Dr. Michael Geis at MIT Lincoln Labs is greatly appreciated. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the SDIO/IST through Mr. Max Yoder of the Office of Naval Research.

### References

- 1 K. L. Moazed, J. R. Zeidler, and M. J. Taylor, J. Appl. Phys., 68(5) (1990) 2246-2254.
- 2 G. K. Reeves, Solid State Electron., 23 (1980) 487-490.
- 3 C. A. Hewett, J. R. Zeidler, M. J. Taylor, C. R. Zeisse and K. L. Moazed, in R. Messier, J. T. Glass, J. E. Butler and R. Roy (eds.), Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. New Diamond Science and Technology, Washington, DC, September, 1990, Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA, 1991, pp. 1106–1111.
- 4 M. W. Geis, in J. T. Glass, R. Messier and N. Fujimori (eds.), Diamond, Boron Nitride, Silicon Carbide and Related Materials, Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA, 1989.
- 5 K. L. Moazed, J. R. Zeidler, M. J. Taylor and C. A. Hewett, in J. C. Angus, R. E. Clausing, L. L. Horton, and P. Koidl (eds.), Diamondand Diamond-like Films and Coatings, NATO Advanced Study Institute Publication Series B: Physics, Vol. 266, Plenum Press, 1991.
- 6 M. Hansen, Constitution of Binary Alloys, McGraw Hill, New York, 1958.