ESC-TR-92-204





Technical Report 978

# A Retrieval Error Analysis Technique for Passive Infrared Atmospheric Sounders



J.P. Kerekes

8 July 1993

# Lincoln Laboratory

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

LEXINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS



Prepared for the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration under Air Force Contract F19628-90-C-0002.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.





This report is based on studies performed at Lincoln Laboratory, a center for research operated by Massachusetts Institute of Technology. This work was sponsored by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration under Air Force Contract F19628-90-C-0002.

This report may be reproduced to satisfy needs of U.S. Government agencies.

The ESC Public Affairs Office has reviewed this report, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication. FOR THE COMMANDER

Sarytugai Cary Tatungian

Administrative Contracting Officer Directorate of Contracted Support Management

Non-Lincoln Recipients

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN

Permission is given to destroy this document when it is no longer needed.

# MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY LINCOLN LABORATORY

# A RETRIEVAL ERROR ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE FOR PASSIVE INFRARED ATMOSPHERIC SOUNDERS

J.P. KEREKES Group 96

**TECHNICAL REPORT 978** 

8 JULY 1993

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

LEXINGTON

-

MASSACHUSETTS

# ABSTRACT

To support the design and analysis of passive infrared atmospheric sounding instruments, an analytical error analysis technique has been implemented. This technique is based on a linear approximation to the radiative transfer equation and uses a minimum variance estimation approach to atmospheric profile retrieval. As a result, once the proper matrices have been constructed an estimate of the retrieval error is computed through a single linear matrix equation. The solution vector is written with temperature and water vapor explicitly defined, thus producing the error vector for both simultaneously. Examples showing typical results are presented for a high spectral resolution sounder as well as for a lower resolution, filter-wheel type of instrument.

Acces	on For		
NTIS DTIC Utator Justifi	CRA&I		
By Dist.ibution /			
A	vailability Cooles		
Dist	Avail and for Special		
A-1			

CONTRABUT VIN LINE B

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

-

.

Henry E. Fleming is acknowledged for his contributions to the science of satellite atmospheric sounding, including the publication of the retrieval error algorithm on which this work is based.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

-

.

.

•

Abs	tract	iii	
Ack	nowledgment	v	
List	of Illustrations	ìx	
List	of Tables	xi	
1.	INTRODUCTION	1	
	1.1 Motivation	1	
	1.2 Context and Limitations	1	
	1.3 Report Overview	2	
	-		
2.	THEORY AND IMPLEMENTATION	3	
	2.1 Padiative Transfer Fountion	3	
	2.1 Radiative transfer Equation	5	
	2.2 Numerical Implementation	5	
	2.3 Estimation of Retrieval Operator and Error Covariance	12	
3.	A PRIORI TEMPERATURE AND WATER VAPOR STATISTICS	15	
4.	EXAMPLE RETRIEVAL ERROR ANALYSES	21	
	4.1 Introduction	21	
	4.2 AIRS	21	
	4.3 GOES-I Sounder	24	
5.	SUMMARY	27	
API	PENDIX A. FASCOD3 INPUT	29	
LIS	LIST OF SYMBOLS 39		
RE	FERENCES	41	

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure No.		Page
1	Triangular spectral response array for case with 0.05-cm <sup>-1</sup> bandwidth.	11
2	Mean temperature profiles for January (top) and August (bottom).	17
3	Mean water vapor profiles for January (top) and August (bottom).	18
4	Standard deviation of temperature for January (top) and August (bottom).	19
5	Standard deviation of water vapor for January (top) and August (bottom).	20
6	AIRS retrieval error for temperature (top) and water vapor (bottom).	23
7	GOES-I sounder retrieval error for temperature (top) and water vapor (bottom).	26

-

.

# LIST OF TABLES

#### Table Page No. Pressure Levels Used in Analysis 1 15 2 Month and Latitude Statistics 16 Model AIRS Instrument Specifications 3 22 Model AIRS Spectral Regions 22 4 Model GOES-I Sounder Specifications 24 5 6 Model GOES-I Sounder Channels 25

-

.

.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 MOTIVATION

The science of atmospheric temperature and water vapor profile retrieval from space-based passive infrared and microwave sensors has been developing since the 1960s [1,2] and is routinely applied to satellite measurements for use in weather-forecasting [3] and climate-monitoring [4] applications. However, the accuracy and resolution of the retrieved profiles from current generation instruments are such that the use of their data leads to mixed results [5] and falls short of what is desired from future instruments [6]. These next-generation sounders must be designed to collect data that will result in significant improvements in the quality of the retrieved profiles.

The analysis of the expected quality of retrievals from an instrument design is in general a difficult task. Whereas the raw data quality from an instrument (e.g., signal-to-noise ratio, spatial, and spectral resolution) can be objectively calculated given a specific design, the retrieval process depends on algorithms and sources of data external to the instrument. Retrieval algorithms vary from those specifically based on a statistical regression between collocated in-situ measurements and satellite soundings to those which use a radiative transfer model along with linear estimation theory and iterate from a first guess. The quality of these retrievals depend in a large part on the a priori knowledge of the state of the observed atmosphere. These variabilities, along with the fact that data collected are used for other applications such as trace gas monitoring and the calculation of cloud heights and amounts, challenge the characterization of "performance" for atmospheric sounding instruments.

Given these challenges, however, it still remains an important task to evaluate the expected performance of a sounding instrument both to justify the instrument design requirements as well as to judge the impact of design modifications that may become necessary during instrument development. The most accurate way of predicting on-orbit performance is a full simulation of a variety of atmospheric situations and the application of the operational retrieval algorithm to the resulting data. This prediction would have to be done for every point in the design trade space and would not be desirable given finite resources.

Alternatively, a simplified approach to retrieval error analysis based on linear estimation theory can be implemented as described in this report. This algorithm, based on previous work [7], uses a linear retrieval model to estimate the variance of the error in the retrieved temperature and water vapor profiles. Since the algorithm can be described with an analytical equation, parameter sensitivity studies can be run quickly and aid in the development of a sounding instrument.

#### **1.2 CONTEXT AND LIMITATIONS**

The application and results of the methodology documented in this report should be considered in light of its limitations. First, it is not a full retrieval analysis. While the basic retrieval operator derived could be applied to simulated or measured data, this report focuses on its use in the estimation of expected retrieval error. Thus, the algorithm does not require sounding data but rather a description of the instrument including the number, bandwidth, noise level, and spectral location of the channels. No spatial effects are considered including off-axis sounding or the impact of clouds. Some of these limitations could be remedied with extensions to the work presented.

Fundamentally, the error analysis algorithm involves a linear expansion around the known solution to the nonlinear retrieval problem. This formulation has the impact of underestimating the retrieval error in most situations. The results should be considered to be a lower bound on the error. This characteristic of the results leads naturally to the question: if the error levels predicted are optimistic compared to full retrievals, what can be said about the sensitivity to instrument parameters? This issue has been considered and while no guarantee can be provided, the impact on retrieval error of instrument parameter variations can be evaluated in a relative sense with the general trends expected to hold.

In addition to the above limitations of this approach, it should be noted that only temperature and water vapor are explicitly considered in the retrieval analysis. The performance of the instrument design in other sounding applications, such as trace gas monitoring, has not been included.

The most appropriate application of this methodology is in the relative comparison of various design options during a top-level system analysis study. The ease of implementation and use as well as speed in computation allow a large number of design options to be considered. After a design has been constrained, final design choices can be made using performance predictions based on full simulations and retrievals.

#### **1.3 REPORT OVERVIEW**

The main goal of this report is to document in detail the algorithm used to estimate the error in retrieved atmospheric temperature and water vapor profiles given an instrument description. This algorithm has been implemented at Lincoln Laboratory and has formed the basis for several sounding system studies. Section 2 provides the details behind the theory and implementation of the technique. Section 3 presents mean vectors and covariance matrices of atmospheric temperature and water vapor profiles obtained from NOAA. These statistics constitute the a priori information used in the error analysis technique. Section 4 presents some example results of the technique applied to two different sensors. Section 5 concludes the report with a summary.

## 2. THEORY AND IMPLEMENTATION

#### 2.1 RADIATIVE TRANSFER EQUATION

The fundamental equation for atmospheric sounding from a satellite is the radiative transfer equation (RTE) shown in Equation (2.1).

$$R = B_s \tau_s + \int_{\tau_s}^{1} B d\tau \quad , \qquad (2.1)$$

where s indicates surface conditions and

- R = upwelling radiance seen by satellite in a particular spectral channel in mW/(m<sup>2</sup>-Sr),
- B = radiance emitted by a given layer of the atmosphere in a particular channel, and
- $\tau$  = transmittance from  $\epsilon^{iv}$  en layer of atmosphere to top of atmosphere for a particular channel.

The upwelling radiance R is in general a function of temperature, pressure, the concentrations of various atmospheric constituents as well as the central wavenumber and spectral response of the channel. In this analysis the concentration of all atmospheric constituents except water vapor is assumed to be known and constant. Also, sensor noise will be neglected for now but will be added in later.

Considering variability of atmospheric temperature and water vapor profiles, a mean state can be defined as in Equation (2.2).

$$\overline{R} = \overline{B_s \tau_s} + \int_{\tau_s}^{\overline{I}} B d\tau \qquad (2.2)$$

Assuming that the radiance and the transmittance are uncorrelated (not entirely true, but a practical assumption), Equation (2.2) can be rewritten as follows.

$$\overline{R} = \overline{B_s} \, \overline{\tau_s} + \int_{\tau_s}^1 \overline{B} \, \overline{d\tau} \qquad (2.3)$$

Now, defining the difference quantity to be the mean subtracted from the true value (i.e.,  $\Delta x = x - \bar{x}$ ) subtracting Equation (2.3) from Equation (2.1) results in Equation (2.4).

$$\Delta R = B_{s} \tau_{s} - \overline{B_{s}} \overline{\tau_{s}} + \int_{\tau_{s}}^{1} B d\tau - \int_{\tau_{s}}^{1} \overline{B} d\overline{\tau}$$

$$= B_{s} \tau_{s} - \overline{B_{s}} \tau_{s} + \overline{B_{s}} \tau_{s} - \overline{B_{s}} \overline{\tau_{s}}$$

$$+ \int_{\tau_{s}}^{1} (B d\tau - \overline{B} d\tau + \overline{B} d\tau - \overline{B} d\overline{\tau})$$

$$= \Delta B_{s} \tau_{s} + \overline{B_{s}} \Delta \tau_{s} + \int_{\tau_{s}}^{1} \Delta B d\tau + \int_{\tau_{s}}^{1} \overline{B} \Delta d\tau \qquad (2.4)$$

By integrating the last term of Equation (2.4) by parts, the following equation results.

$$\int_{\tau_s}^{1} \overline{B} \Delta d\tau = \overline{B} \Delta \tau \Big|_{\tau_s}^{1} - \int_{1}^{40} \Delta \tau \frac{d\overline{B}}{dX} dX$$
$$= 0 - \overline{B_s} \Delta \tau_s - \int_{1}^{40} \Delta \tau \frac{d\overline{B}}{dX} dX \qquad (2.5)$$

Here, an auxiliary variable X is used which represents the layers of the atmosphere. The pressure levels at the bottom of each of the 40 layers used in the analysis are defined in Section 3. Substituting Equation (2.5) into Equation (2.4) results in Equation (2.6).

$$\Delta R = \Delta B_s \tau_s + \int_1^{40} \Delta B \frac{d\tau}{dX} dX - \int_1^{40} \Delta \tau \frac{d\overline{B}}{dX} dX \qquad (2.6)$$

The radiance of a layer depends on the layer's temperature through the nonlinear Planck function, but one can approximate the radiance difference factor  $\Delta B$  by the first term of a Taylor's expansion about the mean. This expansion is shown in Equation (2.7) where  $\Delta t$  is the difference between the true temperature of that layer and its mean temperature.

$$\Delta B = \frac{\overline{dB}}{dt} \Delta t \qquad (2.7)$$

Substituting Equation (2.7) for the  $\Delta B$  terms in Equation (2.6) yields Equation (2.8).

$$\Delta R = \frac{\overline{dB_s}}{dt} \Delta t_s \tau_s + \int_1^{40} \Delta t \frac{\overline{dB}}{dt} \frac{d\tau}{dX} dX - \int_1^{40} \Delta \tau \frac{\overline{dB}}{dX} dX \qquad (2.8)$$

So far, only the effect of temperature has been considered explicitly. The effect of water vapor on the upwelling radiance is included by expanding the  $\Delta \tau$  in the last term of Equation (2.8) about the mean value of precipitable water  $\bar{u}$  measured in centimeters.

$$\Delta \tau = \frac{d\tau}{du}\Big|_{u=\bar{u}} \Delta u$$

$$= \frac{d(\tau_D \tau_W)}{du} \Delta u$$

$$= \left[\tau_W \frac{d\tau_D}{du} + \tau_D \frac{d\tau_W}{du}\right] \Delta u$$

$$= \left[0 + \tau_D \frac{d\tau_W}{du}\right] \Delta u$$

$$= \left[\frac{\tau}{\tau_W} \frac{d\tau_W}{du}\right] \Delta u$$
(2.9)

Here,  $\tau = \tau_D \tau_W$  and

 $\tau_D$  = transmittance of dry atmosphere with no precipitable water, and

 $\tau_w$  = transmittance of wet atmosphere with only precipitable water.

Equation (2.9) can then be substituted into Equation (2.8) to result in Equation (2.10), which explicitly shows the upwelling radiance as a function of atmospheric temperature and precipitable water.

$$\Delta R = \frac{\overline{dB_s}}{dt} \tau_s \Delta t_s + \int_1^{40} \Delta t \frac{\overline{dB}}{dt} \frac{d\tau}{dX} dX - \int_1^{15} \frac{\tau}{\tau_w} \frac{d\tau_w}{du} \frac{\overline{dB}}{dX} \Delta u dX \qquad (2.10)$$

Note that precipitable water is defined to be 0 above the 15th layer in the atmosphere.

#### 2.2 NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION

#### 2.2.1 Numerical Quadrature

The integrals in Equation (2.10) can be evaluated numerically by a variety of quadrature rules. In this analysis, the following equation is derived for two arbitrary functions f(X) and g(X).

$$\int_{1}^{40} f(X)g(X) \frac{d\tau}{dX} dX = \sum_{j=1}^{40} \int_{j}^{j+1} f(X)g(X) \frac{d\tau}{dx} dX$$

$$\approx \sum_{j=1}^{40} \frac{f_{j}g_{j}}{f_{j}g_{j}} \int_{j}^{j+1} \frac{d\tau}{dx} dX$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{40} \frac{f_{j}g_{j} + f_{j+1}g_{j+1}}{2} [\tau_{j+1} - \tau_{j}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{40} f_{j}g_{j} [\tau_{j+1} - \tau_{j}] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{41} f_{j}g_{j} [\tau_{j} - \tau_{j-1}]$$

$$= f_{1}g_{1} [\frac{\tau_{2} - \tau_{1}}{2}] + \sum_{j=2}^{40} f_{j}g_{j} [\frac{\tau_{j+1} - \tau_{j-1}}{2}]$$

$$+ f_{41}g_{41} [\frac{\tau_{41} - \tau_{40}}{2}] \quad . \quad (2.11)$$

Here, note that the pressure levels are defined as in Table 1. Also,  $\tau_{41} = 1$  and  $f_{41} g_{41} = f_{40} g_{40}$  since the 41st layer is above the atmosphere. Thus, using Equation (2.11), Equation (2.10) can be rewritten in a discrete form as follows.

$$\Delta R_{i} = b_{si} \Delta t_{s} + \sum_{j=1}^{40} b_{ij} \Delta t_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{15} c_{ij} \Delta u_{j} \qquad (2.12)$$

The index i refers to the spectral channel. The coefficients are defined as follows.

$$b_{si} = \left(\frac{\overline{dB}_{s}}{dt}\right)_{i} \tau_{si}$$

$$\left(\frac{\overline{dB}}{dt}\right)_{i1} \left[\frac{\tau_{i2} - \tau_{i1}}{2}\right] \quad \text{for } j = 1$$

$$b_{ij} = \left(\frac{\overline{dB}}{dt}\right)_{ij} \left[\frac{\tau_{i,j+1} - \tau_{i,j-1}}{2}\right] \quad \text{for } 2 \le j \le 39$$

$$\left(\frac{\overline{dB}}{dt}\right)_{i40} \left[\frac{2 - \tau_{i40} - \tau_{i39}}{2}\right] \quad \text{for } j = 40$$

$$c_{ij} = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{W}} \frac{d\tau_{W}}{du}\right)_{il} \left[\frac{\overline{B_{i2}} - \overline{B_{i1}}}{2}\right] \quad \text{for } j = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{W}} \frac{d\tau_{W}}{du}\right)_{ij} \left[\frac{\overline{B_{i,j+1}} - \overline{B_{i,j-1}}}{2}\right] \quad \text{for } j = 2 \text{ to } 15$$

The computation of  $d\tau_w/du$  is shown later in Section 2.2.4.

#### 2.2.2 Conversion From Precipitable Water *u* to Mixing Ratio *q*

The water vapor statistics that are used in this analysis are given in terms of water vapor mixing ratio q (g/kg) rather than precipitable water u. Thus, a change of variable for the last term in Equation (2.12) is necessary. The amount of precipitable water u in the atmosphere above a pressure level p is given by Equation (2.13).

$$u(p) = \frac{1}{g} \int_{0}^{p} q(p') dp' \qquad (2.13)$$

Here, g = 980 g/(cm-sec<sup>2</sup>) is the gravity constant. By taking delta quantities, reversing the limits of integration, and applying the quadrature result of Equation (2.11), the following equation results.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_{j} &= -\frac{1}{g} \int_{p_{j}}^{0} \Delta q(p') dp' \\ &= -\sum_{k=j}^{15} \frac{1}{g} \int_{p_{k}}^{p_{k+1}} \Delta q(p') dp' \\ &= -\frac{1}{g} \sum_{k=j}^{15} \left( \frac{\Delta q_{k+1} + \Delta q_{k}}{2} \right) \left( p_{k+1} - p_{k} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2g} \left[ \sum_{k=j+1}^{16} \Delta q_{k} \left( p_{k-1} - p_{k} \right) + \sum_{k=j}^{15} \Delta q_{k} \left( p_{k} - p_{k+1} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2g} \Delta q_{j} \left( p_{j} - p_{j+1} \right) + \frac{1}{2g} \sum_{k=j+1}^{15} \Delta q_{k} \left( p_{k-1} - p_{k+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2g} \sum_{k=j}^{15} \Delta q_{k} \Delta p_{k} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.14)$$

-

•

•

where

$$\begin{split} \Delta p_k &= p_k - p_{k+1} & \text{for } k = j \\ &= p_{k-1} - p_{k+1} & \text{for } k > j \quad . \end{split}$$

Using Equation (2.14), the last term of Equation (2.12) can be replaced as follows.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{15} c_{ij} \Delta u_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{15} \frac{c_{ij}}{2g} \left[ \sum_{k=j}^{15} \Delta q_{k} \Delta p_{k} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2g} \sum_{k=1}^{15} \Delta q_{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{ij} \Delta p_{k} \right] \qquad (2.15)$$

Let

$$d_{ik} = \frac{1}{2g} \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{ij} \Delta p_k , \qquad (2.16)$$

where  $\Delta p_k$  is as defined above.

Then, Equation (2.12) can now be written as in Equation (2.17).

$$\Delta R_{i} = b_{si} \Delta t_{s} + \sum_{j=1}^{40} b_{ij} \Delta t_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{15} d_{ij} \Delta q_{j} \qquad (2.17)$$

This shows the explicit dependence on temperature and water vapor in the forward radiative transfer equation.

#### 2.2.3 Matrix Solution to RTE

Equation (2.17) can be written in matrix form as Equation (2.18).

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{v} \qquad (2.18)$$

Here, b is the radiance difference vector, A is the radiative transfer matrix, and v is the solution difference vector as below. N is the number of spectral channels.

This represents a linearized version of the forward RTE. Section 2.3 discusses solutions to this equation given measured radiances as well as the computation of the covariance of the retrieval estimates.

## 2.2.4 Calculation of Channel Radiances and Transmittances

The channel radiances and transmittances used above include the effect of the instrument channel spectral response. This section details their computation.

**Channel Radiances.** The spectral radiance  $B_v$  emitted by a layer with temperature T (Kelvin) at wavenumber v is assumed to have a blackbody spectrum with unit emissivity and thus is computed with the Planck function.

$$B_{v} = \frac{C_{1}v^{3}}{\frac{C_{2}v}{e^{-T}} - 1} \frac{mW}{m^{2} - Sr - cm^{-1}} , \qquad (2.19)$$

where

$$C_1 = 1.191062 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mW}}{\text{m}^2 - \text{Sr} - (\text{cm}^{-1})^4}$$
 and  
 $C_2 = 1.438786 \frac{\text{K}}{\text{cm}^{-1}}$ 

are the appropriate constants.

The derivative of the Planck spectral radiance function with respect to temperature is shown in Equation (2.20).

$$\frac{dB_{\nu}}{dT} = \frac{C_1 C_2 \nu^4 e^{\frac{C_2 \nu}{T}}}{T^2 \left(e^{\frac{C_2 \nu}{T}} - 1\right)^2} \frac{mW}{m^2 - Sr - cm^{-1} - K}$$
(2.20)

The bandwidth of a channel is assumed to be small enough that the Planck function and its derivative can be assumed to be constant; thus, the in-channel quantities of the spectral radiance and its derivative are found by multiplying them by the bandwidth of the channel. The radiance factors used in Equation (2.12) are computed for channel *i* with central wavenumber  $n_i$  and bandwidth  $\Delta n_i$  at pressure level *j* with mean temperature  $T_j$  as in Equations (2.21) and (2.22).

$$\overline{B_{ij}} = \Delta v_i B_v \Big|_{T=T_j, v=v_i}$$
(2.21)

$$\left(\frac{\overline{dB}}{dt}\right)_{ij} = \Delta v_i \frac{dB_v}{dt} \bigg|_{T=T_j, v=v_i}$$
(2.22)

**Channel Transmittances.** The channel transmittances are computed by applying the channel spectral response  $a_i(v)$  to high-resolution transmittances  $\tau_i(v)$ .

$$\tau_{ij} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i(v) \tau_j(v) dv \qquad (2.23)$$

The high-resolution transmittances were computed for all wavenumbers at each pressure level j in wavenumber steps of  $\Delta n = 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  using FASCOD3 [8]. A user-defined atmosphere was created for FASCOD3 using the pressure levels and mean temperature/water vapor profiles specified in Section 3 for the selected season/latitude combination. The summer midlatitude case was chosen as the default. FASCOD3 was run with the seven major atmospheric constituents including: H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub>. The default FASCOD3 profiles of these constituents for the season/latitude combination were chosen, except for O<sub>2</sub> which was artificially set low. The reduction of O<sub>2</sub> level was found to result in better behaved transmittance functions. The details of the FASCOD3 input are shown in Appendix A, which contains the program used to write the input TAPE5 file.

The channel spectral responses were discretized based on their desired shape and bandwidth to match the 0.01-cm<sup>-1</sup> step transmittances. Figure 1 shows an example for the case of a triangular-shaped response function with a full width half maximum (FWHM) bandwidth of 0.05 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 1. Triangular spectral response array for case with 0.05-cm<sup>-1</sup> bandwidth.

With the spectral response of channel *i* defined by  $a_i$  normalized to a peak value of one with K+1 indices, the channel *i* transmittance for pressure level *j* is found by Equation (2.24). The transmittances are centered at the central wavenumber  $v_i$  of the channel and summed with the weighting of the spectral response coefficients. They are then normalized by the sum of the coefficients to maintain the proper amplitude between 0 and 1.

$$\tau_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{ik}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{ik} \tau_j \left[ v_i + \Delta v (k - K/2) \right] \qquad (2.24)$$

**Computation of**  $dt_w/du$ . The derivative of transmittance of a wet atmosphere with respect to precipitable water was computed by running FASCOD3 twice — once for an atmosphere with water vapor only, and a second time with 10% more water vapor. (In practice, FASCOD3 will not run with only one molecule, so a small amount of O<sub>2</sub> was added to the atmosphere.) The derivative was then approximated as in Equation (2.25) for all of the pressure levels and wavenumbers.

$$\frac{d\tau_{W}}{du} = \frac{\tau_{1.1W} - \tau_{W}}{1.1\bar{u} - \bar{u}}$$
(2.25)

#### 2.3 ESTIMATION OF RETRIEVAL OPERATOR AND ERROR COVARIANCE

#### 2.3.1 Retrieval Operator

While Equation (2.18) defines an ideal linear forward radiative transfer problem, a real measurement will have a noise term corrupting the radiance. Thus, we will define the measured radiance vector of the N channels to be  $b_{a}$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad , \tag{2.26}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the noise term assumed to have zero mean and covariance matrix N. The linear retrieval operator then is defined to be C which is used to compute an estimate  $\hat{\nu}$  of the temperature/water vapor difference profile based on the measurement.

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} = \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \qquad . \tag{2.27}$$

Several techniques can be used to find a suitable retrieval operator. For this report, a minimum variance (MV) approach is used which solves for C such that the variance of the estimate is minimized. Since the mean value is assumed to be zero, this is also a linear mean square error (LMSE) estimate. Thus, we want to minimize Equation (2.28) with respect to C.

$$\sigma_{\hat{v}}^{2} = E\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{v} - C\boldsymbol{b}_{o}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{v} - C\boldsymbol{b}_{o}\right)\right\}$$
$$= E\left\{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{v}^{T} - \boldsymbol{v}^{T}C\boldsymbol{b}_{o} - \boldsymbol{b}_{o}^{T}C^{T}\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{b}_{o}^{T}C^{T}C\boldsymbol{b}_{o}\right\} \qquad (2.28)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to C and setting equal to 0 yields

$$0 = -2 E \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{o}}^{T} \right\} + 2 C E \left\{ \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{o}} \, \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{o}}^{T} \right\}$$

Solving for C,

$$C = E \left\{ \mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{o}}^{T} \right\} E \left\{ \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{o}} \, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{o}}^{T} \right\}^{-1}$$
  
=  $E \left\{ \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{v} + \varepsilon \right)^{T} \right\} E \left\{ \left( \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{v} + \varepsilon \right) \left( \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{v} + \varepsilon \right)^{T} \right\}^{-1}$   
=  $E \left\{ \mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{v}^{T} \, \mathbf{A}^{T} + \mathbf{v} \, \varepsilon^{T} \right\} E \left\{ \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{v}^{T} \, \mathbf{A}^{T} + \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{v} \, \varepsilon^{T} + \varepsilon \, \mathbf{v}^{T} \, \mathbf{A}^{T} + \varepsilon \, \varepsilon^{T} \right\}^{-1}$ 

Since the noise term and the solution difference vector v are uncorrelated, and both have zero mean, the retrieval operator becomes as in Equation (2.29).

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{A}^{T} \left( \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{A}^{T} + \boldsymbol{N} \right)^{-1} \qquad (2.29)$$

Here,

$$S = E\left\{ v \, v^T \right\}$$

To avoid taking the inverse of a large matrix (the dimension of which would be the number of channels which may be in the thousands), the following matrix identity is applied.

$$SA^{T}(ASA^{T}+N)^{-1} = (A^{T}N^{-1}A+S^{-1})^{-1}A^{T}N^{-1} \qquad (2.30)$$

Thus, the final form for the retrieval operator is shown in Equation (2.31).

$$C = \left(A^T N^{-1} A + S^{-1}\right)^{-1} A^T N^{-1} \qquad (2.31)$$

## 2.3.2 Error Covariance

The error in the estimate of the temperature/water vapor difference vector v is described by the covariance matrix U, which is computed as follows.

$$U = E\left\{ (v - \hat{v})(v - \hat{v})^{T} \right\} = E\left\{ (v - Cb_{o})(v - Cb_{o})^{T} \right\}$$
  

$$= E\left\{ vv^{T} - Cb_{o}v^{T} - vb_{o}^{T}C^{T} + Cb_{o}b_{o}^{T}C^{T} \right\}$$
  

$$= S - CAS - SA^{T}C^{T} + C(ASA^{T} + N)C^{T}$$
  

$$= S - CAS - SA^{T}(ASA^{T} + N)^{-1}AS^{T}$$
  

$$+ SA^{T}(ASA^{T} + N)^{-1}(ASA^{T} + N)(ASA^{T} + N)^{-1}AS^{T}$$
  

$$= S - CAS \qquad . \qquad (2.32)$$

This can be simplified through the use of Equation (2.31) and the following matrix manipulations.

$$U = S - (A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1})^{-1} A^{T} N^{-1} A S$$
  
=  $\left[ I - (A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1})^{-1} A^{T} N^{-1} A \right] S$   
=  $\left[ (A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1})^{-1} (A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1}) - (A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1})^{-1} A^{T} N^{-1} A \right] S$   
=  $(A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1})^{-1} \left[ A^{T} N^{-1} A + S^{-1} - A^{T} N^{-1} A \right] S$ . (2.33)

$$U = (A^T N^{-1} A + S^{-1})^{-1} (2.34)$$

The vector e of retrieval rms errors is then

$$\boldsymbol{e} = \sqrt{\operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{U})} \tag{2.35}$$

.

.

Note that throughout the analysis, the mean bias of the estimate is assumed to be zero; thus, the expected error associated with an instrument and given a priori statistics is completely described by the error covariance.

## 3. A PRIORI TEMPERATURE AND WATER VAPOR STATISTICS

The a priori statistics used in the retrieval error analysis were obtained from NOAA. They were derived from a database of radiosonde measurements [9]. Table 1 shows the 40 pressure levels at which the values were measured. Temperature measurements were obtained at all 40 levels plus the surface, while the water vapor measurements were obtained only up to 300 mbar. Above that, the water vapor concentration was assumed to be zero.

Levei	Pressure	Level	Pressure	Level	Pressure	Level	Pressure
1	1000	11	475	21	100	31	7.0
2	950	12	430	22	85	32	5.0
3	920	13	400	23	70	33	4.0
4	850	14	350	24	60	34	3.0
5	780	15	300	25	50	35	2.0
6	700	16	250	26	30	36	1.5
7	670	17	200	27	25	37	1.0
8	620	18	150	28	20	38	0.5
9	570	19	135	29	15	39	0.2
10	500	20	115	30	10	40	0.1

 TABLE 1

 Pressure Levels Used in Analysis (mbar)

The statistics were obtained for six different latitude and month combinations, as listed in Table 2. Figures 2 and 3 show the mean temperature and water vapor profiles, while Figures 4 and 5 present their standard deviations.

TABLE	2
-------	---

# Month and Latitude Statistics

Month	Latitude Region
January	0 – 25 °N
January	25 – 55 °N
January	55 – 90 °N
August	0 – 25 °N
August	25 – 55 °N
August	55 ~ 90 °N

-



Figure 2. Mean temperature profiles for January (top) and August (bottom).



Figure 3. Mean water vapor profiles for January (top) and August (bottom).



Figure 4. Standard deviation of temperature for January (top) and August (bottom).



Figure 5. Standard deviation of water vapor for January (top) and August (bottom).

# 4. EXAMPLE RETRIEVAL ERROR ANALYSES

#### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

This section presents two examples of how the retrieval error analysis technique can be applied to study the expected retrieval error from infrared sounding instruments. The first example examines the performance of the proposed Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) instrument as an example of the technique applied to a high spectral resolution instrument with a large number of channels. The second example shows the application of the technique to the GOES-I sounder, a lower resolution filter-wheel type of instrument. Plots showing the expected error are presented as example results of the analyses.

A few comments on the computational implementation are in order. The algorithm was implemented in the IDL programming environment to take advantage of the built-in mathematical and matrix operations as well as to allow easy plotting and data visualization. A single parameter input file was used to define conditions for each analysis run. Also, an intermediate file was written to disk containing the A matrix for each instrument. This file allowed subsequent runs for the same instrument but with different noise levels to be completed quickly. Computations to create the A matrix generally took 1/2 to 1 h on the Silicon Graphics Indigo R4000, while the N matrix formation and error computation required only 15 s.

#### 4.2 AIRS

The proposed AIRS is planned for deployment aboard NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS) PM satellite, which is to be launched in 2000. The AIRS instrument is currently undergoing design changes, but for illustrative purposes an instrument model based on the original specifications [10] has been implemented using the error analysis methodology.

The analysis was performed using the August midlatitude a priori statistics and an A matrix formed for the AIRS instrument using the information shown in Table 3. The specific channel locations were taken from a memo by NOAA scientist David Wark [11]. All channels were implemented except those in the ozone band (1000–1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and those above 2400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The channel bandwidths for the AIRS instrument are specified to be n/1200, where n is the wavenumber. The error analysis software, however, requires the bandwidths be constant for a given spectral region. To avoid defining 1229 regions, the total spectral bandwidth was divided into ten regions, as shown in Table 4, with each channel within a region assigned the average bandwidth for that region.

#### TABLE 3

#### **Model AIRS Instrument Specifications**

Number of channels	1229
Channel set	WARK
Spectral response shape	Rectangle
Number of spectral regions	10

Model AIRS Spectral Regions (cm <sup>-</sup> )				
Spectral Region	Lowest	Highest	Bandwidth	
1	650	750	0.58	
2	750	875	0.68	
3	875	1000	0.78	
4	1100	1300	1.00	
5	1300	1500	1.00	
6	1500	1700	1.33	
7	1700	1900	1.50	
8	1900	2100	1.67	
9	2100	2300	1.83	
10	2300	2400	1.96	

		TABLE	4	
dal	AIDC	Spectral	Decione	(am-1)

....

The noise matrix N was formed as a diagonal matrix with each entry being the variance of the noise equivalent radiance (NEN) for that channel. The standard deviation of the NEN was calculated assuming an NE $\Delta T = 0.25$  K for a unit emissivity blackbody at 250 K using Equation (4.1).

$$\sigma_{\rm NEN} = \Delta v (0.25) \frac{dB_v}{dT} \Big|_{T=250\,\rm K}$$
 (4.1)

where  $\Delta v$  is the appropriate channel bandwidth and  $dB_{v}/dT$  is the derivative of the Planck spectral radiance function, as shown in Equation (2.20).

After selecting the August midlatitude case for the a priori covariance matrix S and forming the required instrument-based matrices A and N, the computation of the error covariance matrix was per-

formed using Equation (2.34). The resulting standard deviations of the estimated profiles are shown in Figure 6 for the temperature and the water vapor mixing ratio.



Figure 6. AIRS retrieval error for temperature (top) and water vapor (bottom).

#### 4.3 GOES-I SOUNDER

The GOES-I satellite [12] to be launched in 1994 will carry an infrared sounder derived from the HIRS instrument on the NOAA polar satellite. This 18-channel filter-wheel instrument is expected to provide data that will result in soundings comparable to those from the polar satellite, but which will be available much more often because of the geostationary orbit.

Table 5 shows the general instrument model as implemented for this example, while Table 6 presents the specifications of the individual channels. The central wavenumbers and bandwidths have units of  $cm^{-1}$ , while the noise equivalent spectral radiance (NE $\Delta$ N) is given in units of mW/(m<sup>2</sup>-Sr-cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Figure 7 shows the resulting errors for the temperature and for the water vapor mixing ratio when using the GOES-I sounder model. These errors are significantly higher than those estimated for the high spectral resolution sounder AIRS. This type of relative comparison of predicted errors is a useful application of this error analysis technique.

#### TABLE 5

#### **Model GOES-I Sounder Specifications**

Number of channels	18
Spectral response shape	Rectangle

# TABLE 6

Channel Number	Central Wavenumber	Bandwidth	ΝΕΔΝ
1	680	13	0.66
2	696	13	0.58
3	711	13	4ت.0
4	733	16	0.45
5	748	16	0.44
6	790	30	0.25
7	832	50	0.16
8	907	50	0.16
9	1030	25	0.33
10	1345	55	0.16
11	1425	80	0.12
12	1535	60	0.15
13	2188	23	0.013
14	2210	23	0.013
15	2245	23	0.013
16	2420	40	0.008
17	2513	40	0.0082
18	2671	100	0.0036

.

-

.

.

# Model GOES-I Sounder Channels



Figure 7. GOES-I sounder retrieval error for temperature (top) and water vapor (bottom).

## 5. SUMMARY

The derivation and implementation of a retrieval error analysis scheme for passive infrared atmospheric sounding instruments has been described. This technique is based on a linearization of the radiative transfer equation with terms for temperature and water vapor explicitly written. After construction of an instrument matrix containing factors for Planck radiance, numerical quadrature weights, and atmospheric layer transmittances, the estimated retrieval error is computed in one matrix operation. Subsequent analyses for various instrument noise levels can be computed quickly with simple replacement of the entries in the noise covariance matrix.

Examples were presented of the technique applied to a high spectral resolution sounder with a large number of channels and to a low resolution filter-wheel sounder. Predicted retrieval rms errors of temperature and water vapor for each of these instruments were presented. The estimated error for the filter-wheel sounder was significantly higher than for the high resolution sounder showing a relative comparison application of the error analysis technique.

The retrieval error analysis technique presented in this report has formed the basis for several system studies conducted at Lincoln Laboratory, and it has been found to be a useful tool in the relative comparison of various proposed sounding instruments and design options.

## **APPENDIX A: FASCOD3 INPUT**

The following FORTRAN program was used to generate the FASCOD3 input TAPE5 file which was then used to generate the high spectral resolution layer transmittances used in the retrieval error analysis. The default conditions were a midlatitude summer atmosphere with the seven major atmospheric constituents present. The input TAPE5 file was modified slightly to produce the transmittances for the water-vapor-only situation by zeroing the levels of all constituents except the water vapor. In this case, the level of oxygen was set artificially small as it was found that FASCOD3 would not execute with only one molecule present.

The program below uses two input files: TWCM which contains the mean and covariance statistics of the temperature and water vapor profiles and SOUNDP1 which listed the 40 pressure levels corresponding to the profile entries in TWCM.

The resulting output of FASCOD3 consists of the transmittance for all wavenumbers for each of the layers in separate files. These layer transmittances then were combined to produce the transmittance from each layer to the top of the atmosphere, as was needed for the error analysis software.

C\*\*\*\*\* SOUNDFAS С С July 22, 1992 J. Kerekes С С С APPLICATION: С Program to write a TAPE5 input file for FASCODE С С using a defined atmospheric profile with FSCATM. Set up for generating 0.01 cm<sup>-1</sup> steps from С user prompted range. С MODEL 2 Midlatitude summer defaults С August 25-55 N data set. С С С INPUT FILES: С С SOUNDP1 С vector of pressure levels С TWCM temperature and water vapor means and covariance С matrices С С OUTPUT FILES: С С TAPE5 input file for FASCOD3 to generate layer by С layer atmospheric transmittances over desired С С spectral range C C

c LOCAL VARIABLES:

С		
С	airm	mass of air
с	dvpar	wavenumber step size for interpolated output
С	gconst	gravity acceleration constant
c	iseaslat	index selecting season latitude combination
c		of TWCM file
с		= 1 January 25 - 55 N
с		= 2 January 55 - 90 N
с		= 3 August 55 - 90 N
с		= 4 August 25 - 55 N
с		= 5 January 0 - 25 N
с		≈ 6 August 0 - 25 N
с	ibuf	integer buffer used in reading TWCM
с	itempsurf	index in vbar of surface temperature
с	maxlevel	maximum number of atmospheric levels
с	maxmol	maximum number of molecules in atmosphere
с	midstart	initial unit number of interim file sequence
с	numlevel	number of atmospheric levels used
с	nummol	number of molecules used in atmosphere
с	nuntemp	number of atmospheric temperature lavers
с	nunv	total number of indices in vbar
c	numwv	number of atmospheric water vapor layers
c	outstart	initial unit number for output tau's
c		array of pressure levels
c	a	water vapor mixing ratio
c	rconst	constant used in hypsometric equation
c	SCOV	temp/wv covariance matrices from TWCM
c	startnu	starting (lowest) wavenumber for spectral range
c	stopnu	stopping (highest) wavenumber for spectral range
c	thar	mean temperature profile for selected iseaslat
c	tstar	thar adjusted by mixing ratio
c	vbar	temp/wv mean vectors from TWCM
c	zdiff	altitude difference between atmospheric lavers
c		
c		
c	Other variabl	es defined in FASCOD3P User's Guide
c	0002	
c		
-	program sound	lfas
	parameter(max	mol=32.maxlevel=67.midstart=20.outstart=60.
+	numlev	rel=41.iseaslat=4.
+	numter	m = 40. $numwy=15$ . $numy=56$ . $itempsurf=41$ . $numpo]=7$ .
+	dvpar⇒	
	character*80	cxid
	character*24	hood
	character*2	mra
	character*1	icharp.ichart.ichar(maxlevel.marmol)
	real zhnd(may	<pre>klevel).zm(maxlevel).pm(maxlevel).tm(maxlevel)</pre>
	real vmol(may	(level.maxmol)
	integer*4 ibu	1f(3200)
	real*4 vhar/r	$u_{\rm mv}$ , 6), scov( $u_{\rm mv}$ , $u_{\rm mv}$ , 6)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

.

•

.

.

```
Prompt for input frequency range
С
С
       write(6,*)'Enter starting wavenumber in cm^-1'
       read(5,*)startnu
       write(6,*)'Enter ending wavenumber in cm^-1'
       read(5,*)stopnu
       write(6,'(a,2f8.2)')' Range is ',startnu,stopnu
С
       Open temperature/water vapor statistics file
С
с
       and read in arrays
С
       open(unit=11,file='TWCM',status='unknown')
       rewind(11)
       do 30 i=1,6
          read(11,*) (ibuf(j),j=1,3200)
          do 10 j=1,56
              vbar(j,i)=ibuf(j)*le-6
10
              continue
          incr=56
          do 20 j=1,56
          do 20 k=1,56
              incr=incr+1
              scov(j,k,i)=ibuf(incr)*le-6
20
              continue
30
          continue
       close(11)
С
       Open and read in pressure profile
С
С
       open(unit=12,file='SOUNDP1',status='unknown')
       rewind(12)
       do 15 l=1,numlevel
          read(12,*)pm(1)
15
          continue
       close(12)
С
       Open TAPE5 file
С
С
       open(unit=10,file='TAPE5',status='unknown')
       rewind(12)
с
       Prompt for user identification label
С
       Record 1.1
С
С
       write(6,*)'Please enter user label (starting with $)'
       read(5,'(a80)')cxid
       write(10,'(a80)')cxid
С
       Record 1.2
С
```

```
С
```

С

```
ihirac=1
       ilblf4=2
       icntnm=1
       iaersl=0
       iemit=1
       iscan=2
       ifiltr=0
       iplot=1
       itest=0
       iatm=1
       cmrg='01'
       ilas=0
       ims=0
       ixsect=0
       irad=0
       mpts=-1
       npts=-1
       write(10,102)ihirac,ilblf4,icntnm,iaers1,iemit,iscan,ifiltr
                  , iplot, itest, iatm, cmrg, ilas, ims, ixsect, irad,
    +
                  mpts, npts
102
       format(10(4x,i1),3x,a2,3(4x,i1),i1,i4,1x,i4)
С
С
       Record 1.2.1 is omitted since ims=0
С
С
С
       Record 1.3
С
       vl=startnu
       v2=stopnu
       sample=4
       dvset=0.0
       alfao=0.08
       avmass=36.0
       dptmin=0.0
       dptfac=0.001
       write(10,103)v1,v2,sample,dvset,alfao,avmass,dptmin,dptfac
103
       format(8f10.3)
С
       Record 1.4
С
С
       tbound=vbar(itempsurf,iseaslat)
       sremis1=0.95
       sremis2=0.0
       sremis3=0.0
       srrefl1=1.0-sremis1
       srrefl2=0.0
       srref13=0.0
       write(10,104)tbound,sremis1,sremis2,sremis3,srref11,srref12
                  ,srrefl3 ·
104
       format(7f10.3)
С
С
       Skip records 2 (they are not used here)
```

```
с
С
       Record 3.1 (modified for user defined profile)
с
С
       model=0
       itype=2
       ibmax≠numlevel
       nozero=0
       noprnt=1
       nmol=nummol
       ipunch=1
       re=0.0
       hspace=100.0
       fregbar=0.0
       co2mx=0.0
       write(10,301)model,itype,ibmax,nozero,noprnt,nmol,ipunch,
    +
                  re, hspace, freqbar, co2mx
301
       format(715,5x,4f10.3)
С
       Record 3.2
С
С
       h1=100.0
       h2=0.0
       angle=180.0
       range=0.0
       beta=0.0
       len=0
       write(10,302)h1,h2,angle,range,beta,len
302
       format(5f10.3,i5)
С
       Record 3.3b
С
       zbnd(1) is the height of level 1
С
С
       Level 1 is at surface, Level 41 is top of atmosphere (0.01 .nbar)
С
С
       Use Hypsometric equation from General Meteorology by Byers
С
       rconst=8.3143e7
       airm=28.9
       gconst=980.665
C
С
       For levels 1 through 15 use water vapor mixing ratio
С
       zbnd(1)=0.0
       do 23 1≈2,15
          tbar=vbar(itempsurf-1,iseaslat)
          q=0.001*vbar(numv-l+1,iseaslat)
          tstar=tbar/(1.0-0.6*q)
          zdiff=(le-5)*((rconst*tstar)/(airm*gconst))*
    +
                  (alog(pm(l-1)) \sim alog(pm(l)))
          zbnd(l)=zbnd(l-1)+zdiff
23
          continue
```

С

```
For levels 16 through 41 assume water vapor is zero
С
С
       do 25 l=16,numlevel-1
          tstar=vbar(itempsurf-l,iseaslat)
          zdiff=(le-5)*((rconst*tstar)/(airm*gconst))*
                 (alog(pm(1-1))-alog(pm(1)))
    +
          zbnd(l)=zbnd(l-1)+zdiff
25
          continue
С
       Do level 41 using temperature of level 40 plus t39-t40
С
С
       tstar=vbar(1,iseaslat)-(vbar(2,iseaslat)-vbar(1,iseaslat))
       zdiff=(le-5)*((rconst*tstar)/(airm*gconst))*
    +
              (alog(pm(40))-alog(pm(41)))
       zbnd(41)=zbnd(40)+zdiff
       write(10,303)(zbnd(1),1=1,8)
       write(10,303)(zbnd(1),1=9,16)
       write(10,303)(zbnd(1),1=17,24)
       write(10,303)(zbnd(1),1=25,32)
       write(10,303)(zbnd(1),1=33,40)
       write(10,303)(zbnd(1),1=41,numlevel)
303
       format(8f10.3)
С
С
       Record 3.4, User comments
С
       inmax=ibmax
       hmod=' Sounder Study 4 Sum Mid'
       write(10,304)inmax,hmod
304
       format(i5,a24)
c
С
       Record 3.5, 3.6 repeat for each layer
С
       Get water vapor in mixing ratio (jchar(*,1)=C)
С
       H20 is molecule number 1
С
С
       do 33 l=1,numwv
          vmol(1,1)=vbar(numv-l+1,iseaslat)
33
          continue
       do 32 l=numwv+1,numlevel
          vmol(1,1)=0.0
32
          continue
С
С
       Get altitudes and temperatures
С
       do 34 l=1, inmax-1
              zm(1)=zbnd(1)
              tm(l)=vbar(itempsurf-1,iseaslat)
34
              continue
       zm(41)=zbnd(41)
       tm(41)=vbar(1,iseaslat)-(vbar(2,iseaslat)-vbar(1,iseaslat))
       jcharp='A'
       jchart='A'
```

```
С
      Set up models to use for other molecules
С
      С
      С
С
      do 37 l=1,numlevel
         jchar(1,1)='C'
         do 35 k=2,nmol
             jchar(1,k)='2'
             vmol(1,k)=0.0
35
             continue
С
      MODIFICATION FOR O2 HERE
С
       (reduced O2 level led to smoother tau's)
С
С
         jchar(1,7)='C'
        vmol(1,7)=0.001
37
         continue
      do 36 l=1,inmax
             write(10,305)zm(1),pm(1),tm(1),
             jcharp, jchart, (jchar(1,k), k=1, nmol)
    +
             write(10,306)(vmol(1,k),k=1,nmol)
36
             continue
305
      format(3f10.3,5x,2a1,3x,28a1)
306
      format(8f10.3)
С
¢
      Record 9.1 (repeat for all layers, end with negative dv)
С
      dv=dvpar
      vl=vl
      v2=v2
       jemit=0
       i4pt=0
       iunit=10
      nfils=1
      npts=-1
       do 91 ifilst=1,inmax-1
         junit=midstart+ifilst-1
         write(10,901)dv,v1,v2,jemit,i4pt,iunit,ifilst,nfils,
    +
                   junit, npts
91
         continue
С
       end with negative dv
С
С
       dv=(-1.0)*dv
       write(10,901)dv,v1,v2,jemit,i4pt,iunit,ifilst,nifils,
                junit, npts
901
      format(3f10.3,2i5,15x,5i5)
С
       Record 11.1
С
С
```

```
35
```

```
write(10,'(a30)')cxid
С
С
       Record 11.2a (repeat 11.2a and 11.3a for number of layers,
c
                 end with negative v1)
С
С
       Records 11.2a and 11.3a are used to produce ascii files o
       the transmittances. Other "plotting" variables are arbitrary.
C
С
       xsize=9.0
       delv=5.0
       numsbx=5
       noendx=1
       lskipf=0
       scale=1.0
       iopt=0
       i4p=0
       ixdec=0
С
С
       Record 11.3a
С
       ymin=0.0
       ymax=0.9
       ysize=6.5
       dely=0.1
       numsby=5
       noendy=0
       idec=2
       jemit=0
       jplot=0
       logplt=0
       jhdr=1
       jdummy=0
       jout=3
       do 12 l=1,inmax-1
          lfile=midstart+1-1
          write(10,112)v1,v2,xsize,delv,numsbx,noendx,lfile,
    +
                    lskipf,scale,iopt,i4p,ixdec
          jpltfl=outstart+l-1
          write(10,113)ymin,ymax,ysize,dely,numsby,noendy,idec,jemit,
    +
                  jplot,logplt,jhdr,jdummy,jout,jpltfl
12
          continue
112
       format(4f10.4,4i5,f10.3,i2,i3,i5)
113
       format(2f10.4,2f10.3,6i5,i2,i3,i2,i3)
С
       End with negative vl
С
С
       vl=(-1.0)*v1
       write(10,112)v1,v2,xsize,delv,numsbx,noendx,lfile,
                 lskipf,scale,iopt,i4p,ixdec
    +
```

С

c End record

С

.

•

•

٠

write(10,'(a5)')'% End'
close(10)
stop
end

.

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Radiative transfer matrix
С	Retrieval operator matrix
N	Sensor noise covariance matrix
S	A priori covariance matrix of temperatures and water vapor mixing ratios
U	Retrieval error covariance matrix
E {•}	Expectation operator
B	Radiance emitted by layer of atmosphere in a particular spectral channel
B <sub>v</sub>	Spectral radiance emitted by layer of atmosphere
C <sub>1</sub>	Planck function constant = $1.191062 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mW/m}^2\text{-Sr-(cm}^{-1})^4$
<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	Planck function constant = 1.438786 K/cm <sup>-1</sup>
R	Upwelling radiance received by satellite in a particular spectral channel
T,t	Temperature of layer of atmosphere (Kelvin)
X	Layer in model atmosphere
b	Vector of radiance differences between mean and true value
<b>b</b> _0	Vector of radiance differences between mean and measured value
е	Vector of retrieval error standard deviations
V	Solution vector of temperature and water vapor differences between mean and true value
Ŷ	Estimated vector of temperature and water vapor differences between mean and measured value
ε	Vector of sensor noise radiance
$a_i(v)$	Channel i spectral response function
a <sub>ik</sub>	Channel i spectral response at index k
p	Atmospheric pressure (mbar)
<b>q</b>	Water vapor mixing ratio (g/kg)
и	Precipitable water (cm)
v	Wavenumber (cm <sup>-1</sup> )
τ	Transmittance from a particular layer to the top of the atmosphere
$\tau_D$	Transmittance to top of atmosphere with no water vapor present

.

.

.

Transmittance to top of atmosphere with only water vapor present  $\tau_W$ 

#### REFERENCES

- 1. L.D. Kaplan, "Inference of atmospheric structure from remote radiation measurement," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 49, 1004 (1959).
- 2. D.H. Staelin, "Passive remote sensing at microwave wavelengths," Proc. IEEE 57, 427 (1969).
- 3. P.K. Rao et al., Weather Satellites: Systems, Data, and Environmental Applications, Boston, Massachusetts: American Meteorological Society (1990).
- 4. R.W. Spencer and J.R. Christy, "Precise monitoring of global temperature trends from satellites," Science 247, 1558–1562 (1990).
- 5. S. Uppala, P. Kallberg, A. Hollingsworth, and S. Tibaldi, "Results from two recent observing system experiments," *Proc. 1st National Workshop on the Global Weather Experiment*, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, pp. 85-117.
- 6. NOAA personnel (private communication, 1992).
- H.E. Fleming, and L.L. Barnes, "Satellite tomographic remote sensing by frequency scanning," RSRM '87: Advances in Remote Sensing Retrieval Methods, Hampton, Virginia: Deepak Publishing (1989), pp. 35-52.
- 8. S.A. Clough et al., "FASCOD3: Spectral simulation," *Proc. International Radiation Symposium* 1988, ed. Lenoble and Geleyn, Hampton, Virginia: Deepak Publishing (1989), pp. 372-375.
- 9. J.M. Uddstrom and D.Q. Wark, "A classification scheme for satellite temperature retrievals," J. Cli. App. Meteorol. 24, 16-29 (1985).
- 10. JPL, "AIRS: Science and measurement requirements," JPL Document D6665, Rev. 1 (September 1991).
- 11. D.Q. Wark, "NOAA scientific specifications for the infrared sounding instrument," (private communication, 1992).
- 12. E.W. Koenig, "Characteristics of the GOES I-M imager and sounder," *Proc. Fourth Conference* on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography, American Meteorological Society, San Diego, California (1989), pp. 179-182.

REPORT	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for this collection of Information to estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for invisioning instructions, selecting data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed and completing and revisioning the collection of Information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection of Information, including the burden, to Washington Headigusters Sendes, Dureicousts for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jafferson Davis Highway, Suns 1204, Admption, VA 2202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Pepersons Reduction Project (0704-0148), Washington, DC 20503					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave biar	(K) 2. REPORT DATE 8 July 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND Technical Report	DATES COVERED		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
A Retrieval Error Analysis Tech Passive Infrared Atmospheric So					
6. AUTHOR(S)		C - F19028-90-C-0002			
John P. Kerekes					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	1	3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
Lincoln Laboratory, MIT					
P.O. Box 73 Lexington, MA 02173-9108		TR-978			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AC	)	0. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER			
NOAA/SPO 1325 East West Highway	NOAA/SPO 1325 Faat West History				
Silver Springs, MD 20901					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
None					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE			
Approved for public release; dist					
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)					
To support the design and analysis of passive infrared atmospheric sounding instruments, an analytical error analysis technique has been implemented. This technique is based on a linear approximation to the radiative transfer equation and uses a minimum variance estimation approach to atmospheric profile retrieval. As a result, once the proper matrices have been constructed an estimate on the retrieval error is computed through a single linear matrix equation. The solution vector is written with temperature and water vapor explicitly defined, thus producing the error vector for both simultaneously. Examples showing typical results are presented for a high spectral resolution sounder as well as for a lower resolution, filter wheel type of instrument.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS atmospheric sounding	satellite remote sensing	atmospheric profile retrie	15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
			16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI OF ABSTRACT	CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
Unclassified NSN 7540-01-280-5500	Unclassified	Unclassified	Standard Form 298 (Perc 2-89)		

•

.

•

•

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by AMSI Std. 239-18 298-102