

AD-A272 116



2

Role of Microstructure on Fatigue Durability of Aluminum Aircraft Alloys

(ONR Contract No. N00014-91-C-0128)

DTIC
ELECTE
NOV 03 1993
S A



Alcoa Project Team:
J. R. Brockenbrough, R. J. Bucci, A. J. Hinkle,
J. Liu, P. E. Magnusen and S. M. Miyasato

UCLA Project Team
A. J. Luevano, M. A. Przystupa, and J. Zhang

Contract Review Meeting
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA

August 6, 1993

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distributor is unlimited.

93-26113



61713



Outline

- Background
 - The problem (needs & technical gaps)
 - The opportunity (program objective)
- Fatigue quality assessment
 - Lessons learned (7050 thick plate)
 - Evaluation of 7050 thick plate derivatives
 - Hierarchy of controlling microstructure features
 - New and improved product opportunities
- Removing barriers to quality exploitation
- Program expectations/deliverables
- Microstructural characterization and related methodologies
- Modeling
 - Structural payoffs
 - Microstructure based life prediction
 - Probabilistic approach
 - Preliminary conclusions
- Future work suggestions

Accession #	Accession #
ATL 6441	J
ATL 6442	
ATL 6443	
ATL 6444	
ATL 6445	
ATL 6446	
ATL 6447	
ATL 6448	
ATL 6449	
ATL 6450	
ATL 6451	
ATL 6452	
ATL 6453	
ATL 6454	
ATL 6455	
ATL 6456	
ATL 6457	
ATL 6458	
ATL 6459	
ATL 6460	
ATL 6461	
ATL 6462	
ATL 6463	
ATL 6464	
ATL 6465	
ATL 6466	
ATL 6467	
ATL 6468	
ATL 6469	
ATL 6470	
ATL 6471	
ATL 6472	
ATL 6473	
ATL 6474	
ATL 6475	
ATL 6476	
ATL 6477	
ATL 6478	
ATL 6479	
ATL 6480	
ATL 6481	
ATL 6482	
ATL 6483	
ATL 6484	
ATL 6485	
ATL 6486	
ATL 6487	
ATL 6488	
ATL 6489	
ATL 6490	
ATL 6491	
ATL 6492	
ATL 6493	
ATL 6494	
ATL 6495	
ATL 6496	
ATL 6497	
ATL 6498	
ATL 6499	
ATL 6500	
ATL 6501	
ATL 6502	
ATL 6503	
ATL 6504	
ATL 6505	
ATL 6506	
ATL 6507	
ATL 6508	
ATL 6509	
ATL 6510	
ATL 6511	
ATL 6512	
ATL 6513	
ATL 6514	
ATL 6515	
ATL 6516	
ATL 6517	
ATL 6518	
ATL 6519	
ATL 6520	
ATL 6521	
ATL 6522	
ATL 6523	
ATL 6524	
ATL 6525	
ATL 6526	
ATL 6527	
ATL 6528	
ATL 6529	
ATL 6530	
ATL 6531	
ATL 6532	
ATL 6533	
ATL 6534	
ATL 6535	
ATL 6536	
ATL 6537	
ATL 6538	
ATL 6539	
ATL 6540	
ATL 6541	
ATL 6542	
ATL 6543	
ATL 6544	
ATL 6545	
ATL 6546	
ATL 6547	
ATL 6548	
ATL 6549	
ATL 6550	
ATL 6551	
ATL 6552	
ATL 6553	
ATL 6554	
ATL 6555	
ATL 6556	
ATL 6557	
ATL 6558	
ATL 6559	
ATL 6560	
ATL 6561	
ATL 6562	
ATL 6563	
ATL 6564	
ATL 6565	
ATL 6566	
ATL 6567	
ATL 6568	
ATL 6569	
ATL 6570	
ATL 6571	
ATL 6572	
ATL 6573	
ATL 6574	
ATL 6575	
ATL 6576	
ATL 6577	
ATL 6578	
ATL 6579	
ATL 6580	
ATL 6581	
ATL 6582	
ATL 6583	
ATL 6584	
ATL 6585	
ATL 6586	
ATL 6587	
ATL 6588	
ATL 6589	
ATL 6590	
ATL 6591	
ATL 6592	
ATL 6593	
ATL 6594	
ATL 6595	
ATL 6596	
ATL 6597	
ATL 6598	
ATL 6599	
ATL 6600	

Situational challenge
DoD & U.S. aerospace industry

- Sustain global preeminence in time of budget reductions and downsizing
- Affordable systems that are safe, efficient to operate/maintain and last longer
- Do more with less
 - Better products/services in shorter time at less cost
 - Increase quality and performance without increasing resources
 - Capitalize on existing infrastructure and technologies


Providing a higher quality/performing product more rapidly and less expensively should be a goal whatever the enabling technology

Enabling Technology Gaps Affordable Air Vehicle Structures

- Data, protocols and tools to
 - Optimize balance of performance with costs of acquisition and operation
 - Reduce time, complexity and cost of product development, design, modification, test and certification processes
 - Address R&M trade-offs up front; minimize in-service inspections and repairs
 - Assess risk of widespread cracking in aging fleet
- Procedures providing incentive for quality as it comes off the production line
 - Quantification of cost-performance benefit
 - Hard data to reform inefficient procurement practices
- Life enhancing products and procedures

System integrity begins with quality of the starting materials

Initial Quality Assessment



What is wanted?

Ultimate objective:

- **Develop and exploit higher quality materials and manufacturing practices to save weight, extend life and reduce life-cycle costs**

Program objective:

- **Quantify benefit of improved quality materials; establish fatigue quality data base**
- **Provide enabling technology to develop and exploit higher quality/performing materials and manufacturing practices more rapidly and less expensively**
- **Affect change to incorporate microstructure into life assessment methodologies**
- **Tie to technology development cycle; show readiness for concept scale up:**
 - **Life assessment methodology**
 - **New product(s)**
 - **Replacement parts**

Technology Development Cycle

<u>Technology Development Cycle</u>	<u>Status (Remarks)</u>
1. Search for ideas	
- Statement of ideas	√
- Customer needs	√
- Opportunities	√
- Ideas advantages	√
* Idea screen	√
2. Develop concept	
- Comprehensive statement of concept	√
- Strategic fit with DoD/Alcoa objectives	√
* Concept review	√
3. Evaluate concept	
- Feasibility demonstration for critical elements	√
- Success elements	√
- Customer feedback on the concept	(√)
* Project proposal review	(√)
4. Demonstrate full concept	
- Produce prototype product/processes	
- Reassess all issues and identify all	
- Unresolved project risks	
* Prototype marketability review	
5. Verify large scale capacity	
- Produce and evaluate prototype	
- Finalize product characteristics & specifications	
* Producibility and commercialization review	
6. Implement in full production	
- Purchase and install equipment	
- Train production teams	
* Final project review	
7. Continuous improvement	
- Customer service	
- Value tracking	

Need multifunctional information network
 End-user, Customer, Supplier
 R&D, Engineering, Manufacturing, Procurement, Quality control

Opportunity Fatigue Quality Improvement

- **Initiation and small crack growth comprise the major life fraction of most cyclically loaded components**
- **Lab scale testing has shown potential for dramatic fatigue life increase with metal quality improvement**
- **Metallurgical quality features most influential to formation and growth of small cracks are pores, particles and grain structure (in that order)**
- **Other performance attributes enhanced by metal quality increase**
- **Thick parts have greatest improvement potential**


Quality oriented modifications of existing metallic products offer an affordable alternative to exotic (unproven) new technologies

Why Thick Product?

Focus is role of microstructural inhomogeneities as they affect fatigue durability of heavy sector. airframe parts

- Pores/particles implicated in investigations of aging aircraft problem parts
- Thick parts are internal to the structure, making in-service inspections more difficult
- Internal structure is less prone to traffic damage than external structure (i.e., favors crack nucleation from features intrinsic to the material)
- Fatigue initiating microstructure features are too small for reliable inspection
- Thick structure is expensive to repair or replace

Increasing thick structure durability provides weight and operator cost saving opportunities





↓ 1 748 0000 1041 0036

-13 B-5-2

↓ 1 748 0000 1041 0036

**7050-T7451 Plate (1" to 5.7" thick)
 Cumulative Fatigue Failure Distributions
 Maximum Stress 40 ksi, R = +0.1
 Long Transverse, T/2 Test Location**



Lessons Learned

7050 Thick Plate Fatigue Investigations (1982-present)

- Appreciable gains in manufacturing productivity and fatigue lifetime capability realized by installing process controls to limit severity of pore and particle populations in material manufacture
 - Dramatic drop in number of ultrasonic and die penetrant rejections; reduced scrap
 - Statistical fatigue advantage confirmed under variety of test conditions
 - Hierarchy of failure mechanisms identified for smooth and notched (open hole) specimen tests
 - Fatigue quality assurance test commercially implemented
 - Increased customer satisfaction
- Probabilistic fatigue durability framework captures performance advantage of improved metal quality, where more conventional fatigue design practices do not
 - Hypothetical performance and cost saving scenarios developed

Lessons Learned (continued)

7050 Thick Plate Fatigue Investigations (1982-present)


- **Defined next generation 7050 thick product (HFL Plate)**
 - **Essential process improvements identified; concept validated with plant produced material**
 - **Alternate material processing route identified to reduce plant fabrication cost (trial in progress)**
- **Change in material specification and procurement practices will be necessary to exploit higher quality materials**
- **Technology transferred to other Alcoa alloy/process developments. Enhanced product capabilities include:**
 - **Strength**
 - **Fracture toughness**
 - **Fatigue crack growth (high ΔK and spectrum)**
 - **Short transverse ductility**
 - **Capacity for mechanical work (e.g., cold working of holes)**
 - **Applicability extended to thin plate and forgings**

Documentation of historical data and lessons learned are key program deliverables

7050 Materials
Key microstructural features of Alcoa materials made available to the
ONR for this study




Material	Product Thickness (in.)	Key Microstructural Features
Old Quality Plate	5.7	Coarse Microporosity
New Quality Plate	5.7	Microorosity
Low Porosity Plate	6.0	Small Microporosity, Constituent Particles
Thin Plate	1.0	Refined Grain Size, Constituent Particles

Cumulative Fatigue Failure Distributions Old and New Quality 7050-T7451 5.7 in. Thick Plate



Effect of Hole Condition on Fatigue Quality Improvement

Stress vs. life (S/N) open hole fatigue data for 7050 old and new quality thick plate, tested at $R=0.1$, frequency = 30 Hz, lab air, LT orientation, T/2 location





Fatigue Lifetime (cycles)

Fatigue Lifetime (cycles)

Material	Hole Condition	Initiation Mechanism
Old Quality	as-machined	coarse microporosity
New Quality	as-machined	hole burrs
New Quality	de-burred	microporosity

Open Hole Fatigue: Old and New Quality Thick Plate

Stress vs. life (S/N) open hole fatigue data for 7050 old and new quality plate, tested at $R=0.1$, frequency = 30 Hz, Lab air, LT orientation



Fatigue Lifetime (cycles)



7050 Thick Plate Fatigue Strength Increase With Quality Improvement
 Calculated stress corresponding to specified lifetime for open hole fatigue tests of new and old quality thick plate

Metal Quality	Maximum Net Stress (ksi)*			
	10 ⁵ Cyclic Lifetime		Infinite Lifetime	
	Mean	95% Lower Conf. Limit	Mean	95% Lower Conf. Limit
Old Quality	20.1	16.9	10.8	7.7
New Quality	26.5	22.6	17.7	13.9
% Change	32%	34%	64%	81%



* based on Box-Cox transformation analysis of the data.

Fatigue Durability Quantification

Life Distribution to 0.05 in. Flaw








Flaw size Exceedance After Two Lifetimes (16,000 hours)



Effect of Thick Plate Quality on Cumulative Life Probability to 0.05 in. Flaw






Spectrum Peak Stresses of 32, 34, 37 and 40 ksi



7050-T7451 Thick (5.7 in.) Plate
 T/2 Specimen Location
 Long Transverse Orientation
 F-16 400 Hr. Lower Wing Spectrum
 8000 Hours = 1 Service Lifetime

Effect of Thick Plate Quality on Flaw Size Exceedance Probabilities

16000 Hours (2 Lifetimes) at Spectrum Peak Stresses of 32, 34, 37, and 40 ksi



7050-T7451 Thick (5.7 in.) Plate
 T/2 Specimen Location
 Long Transverse Orientation
 F-16 400 Hr. Lower Wing Spectrum
 8000 Hours = 1 Service Lifetime


7050 Plate
Hierarchy of fatigue initiating features
Constant amplitude, R=0.1

Material	Product Thickness (in.)	Smooth Fatigue (round bars)	Dominant Microstructural Feature	
			Open Hole Fatigue*	Open Hole Fatigue*
Old Quality Plate	5.7	Coarse Microporosity	As-machined holes	De-burred holes
		Microporosity	Coarse Microporosity	Coarse Microporosity
New Quality Plate	5.7	Fine Microporosity	Hole Quality/ Microporosity	Microporosity
		Constituent Particles Grain Structure	Hole Quality	Constituent Particles
Low Porosity Plate	6.0		--	
Thin Plate	1.0			Constituent Particles Grain Structure

*Observations consistent with USAF spectrum fatigue test results (Magnusson et. al., ASIP '92 Conf.)


Smooth Fatigue: New Quality and Low Porosity Plate

Cumulative smooth fatigue failure distributions for 7050 new quality plate and low porosity plate tested at a maximum stress of 40 ksi, $R=0.1$, frequency = 10 Hz, lab air, LT orientation




Smooth Fatigue: Thin Plate

Cumulative smooth fatigue failure distributions for 7050 thin plate tested at a maximum stress of 45 ksi, $R=0.1$, frequency = 30 Hz, lab air, LT orientation




Open Hole Fatigue: Thin Plate

Stress vs. life (S/N) open hole fatigue data for 7050 thin plate and 95% confidence limits for new quality plate, tested at $R=0.1$, 30 Hz, LT orientation, lab air




Open Hole Fatigue: Low Porosity Thick Plate

Stress vs. life (S/N) open hole fatigue data for 7050 low porosity plate and 95% confidence limits for new quality plate, tested at R=0.1, 30 Hz, LT orientation, lab air




Projected Open Hole Fatigue Improvement 7050-T7451 Thick Plate (4-6 in.) (Long Transverse, T/2 Test Location, R = 0.1)



Fatigue Life* of Lower Wing Plate (nom. 0.75" thick) Candidates at Net Section

Stress= 29 ksi


*Two-hole, $Kt=2.5$, $t=0.125"$, $W=1"$, $D=0.187"$



ALCOA PROPRIETARY



Fracture Toughness* of Lower Wing Plate (nom. 0.75" thick) Candidates

* C(T) Specimen, W=8", B=0.5"




ALCOA PROPRIETARY

Effect of Particle Content on Open Hole Specimen Fatigue Strength of 7XXX Aluminum Alloy Plate (0.375 in. thick)



Potential Fatigue Durability Improvement Through Material Quality



Intrinsic Microstructural Inhomogeneities That Could Affect Fatigue Durability

Material

**Castings
High strength alloys
Thick products
Powder metals
Al-Li
Superplastic parts
MMC's
Weldments
Structural ceramics**

Feature

**Porosity
Coarse constituents
Porosity/constituent particles
Inclusions
Coarse constituents
Cavities
Particulate/fibers
Porosity/inclusions
Microcracks**

Barriers to Exploitation

Fatigue Quality Improvement

- Initial cost premiums attached to long term durability a hard sell
- Fatigue design practices
- Understanding role of microstructure
 - Designer misconceptions
 - Pathology of structural failures

Barriers to Exploitation Fatigue Quality Improvement

- **Initial cost premiums attached to long term durability a hard sell**
 - **Current design and procurement specifications fail to discriminate effect of metal quality**
 - **Accurate projection to life cycle cost saving difficult**
 - **Weight and manufacturing cost saving opportunities often overlooked**
 - **Development cycle cost saving hidden**
 - **T/E investment required to verify product differentiation**

Barriers to Exploitation (continued)

Fatigue Quality Improvement

- **Fatigue design practices**
 - **Largely empirical; airframers vary in their approach**
 - **Provider data bases generally held proprietary and not widely shared within the industry**
 - **Empirical approach uncovers problems too late when change is difficult**
 - **Design for worst case flaw does not address potential for widespread cracking in the fleet**
 - **Full scale durability validation article is not a statistical test**
 - **USAF developed durability design methodology little used because it is cumbersome and providers disagree on incentives for use**
 - **Fatigue quality data base does not exist**

Barriers to Exploitation (continued)

Fatigue Quality Improvement

Understanding role of microstructure:

- **Designer misconceptions**
 - **Metal quality effect overridden by scatter in fatigue testing**
 - **Notched component and structural joint fatigue testing offsets metal quality effect**
 - **Metallic inhomogeneities are secondary to mechanical nicks and dings as sources for problem fatigue cracks**
- **Pathology of structural failures**
 - **Complexity or lack of microstructural interpretation**
 - **Unavailable statistical data to sway designers**


Program Expectations Deliverables

- Data and supporting documentation with clear vision on how to capture benefit of improved quality materials and processes
 - Background information and alloy 7050 experience
 - Validation of fatigue quality improvement concept
 - Quantification of payoff potential
 - Hard evidence to facilitate procedural changes
 - Test/evaluation protocols to reduce R&D time and cost
 - Technology transfer examples
- Microstructure and fatigue characterization of 7050 product variants
 - Quantitative description of microstructural features important to fatigue and their relationship to both random microstructure and performance
- Microstructure based life prediction model(s)
 - Validation of crack growth based approach to describe material quality influence on fatigue damage accumulation
 - Link with general purpose probabilistic analysis software
- Description of test/evaluation methodologies developed to accomplish the above
- Readiness for scale-up technology demonstration program
 - Life assessment methodology
 - New products
 - Thick parts


Experimental Procedures

Replication Method for Measuring Small Cracks


- Acetate tape produces an exact replica of notch surface when acetone is applied



**Average Crack Growth Life Remaining
following Development of an Initial Crack Size $2a > 0.13$ mm
(0.05 in.)**





**b) Gross stress 110 MPa
(16 KSI)**



**a) Gross stress 124 MPa
(18 KSI)**

Summary of Notch Specimen Fatigue Tests for Various Materials

GA 33475 3



Modeling Chronology

Coupon to structure:

- Quantify potential for structural payoff
 - Performance benefit attributed to higher quality initial product
 - Risk of widespread fatigue damage
 - Reliability based inspection intervals

Coupon S-N to fatigue initiating feature & vice-versa:

- Validate LEFM methodology
 - Equivalent flaw concept
 - Crack growth base model
 - Stress intensity factor solutions
 - Back calculation of pore/particle sizes from test lives
 - Effect of specimen geometry and microstructure
- Probabilistic crack growth analysis
 - Link to general purpose probabilistic analysis software (PROBAN)
 - Input size and location distributions for crack starting features
 - Prediction of scatter in S-N fatigue behavior
 - Back calculation fatigue initiating particle distribution
- S-N prediction among 7050 variants


Random plane microstructure to coupon S-N behavior:

- Scaling random plane microstructure to extreme value distribution of fatigue initiating features
- Plasticity adjusted damage accumulation model (no empirical fitting required)

The EIFS Distribution


Starting Point for Life Management

at Various Structural Levels



Crack-Initiating Micropore Size Distributions

7050-T7451 Thick Plate Smooth Fatigue Failures
(Long Transverse, T/2 Test Location)



Hypothetical Durability Analysis 7050-T7451 Thick Plate


Calculated crack growth potential out of F-16 lower wing skin fastener holes (M.E. Artley, USAF, 88-04-15)

Number of flaw size exceedances per 1000 holes

Flaw size (in.)	1 service lifetime (8000 ft. hrs.)			2 service lifetimes (16000 ft. hrs.)		
	Old quality material	New quality material	HFL material	Old quality material	New quality material	HFL material
0.03	196	3	0	850*	850*	44
0.05	2	0	0	633	499	1
0.10	0	0	0	195	3	0
0.20	0	0	0	3	0	0

Calculated Fastener Hole Reliability of Old and New Quality 7050 Thick Plate

- Reliability measured by flight hours to achieve a 0.05-in. (inspectable) crack extension from an undamaged hole
- Calculations based on probabilistic evaluation of fatigue crack growth and micropore population in the original material
- The analysis considered spectrum fatigue loading to represent a military fighter application







Results:





- Structural reliability increases with new quality material (reduces widespread damage risk)
- Flight hours with 10^{-4} probability of finding a crack greater than 0.05-in.:
 - Old quality: 3450 hours
 - New quality: 4350 hours (26% increase in time to first inspection)
- Probability that a crack longer than 0.05-in. would appear at 3450 flight hour inspection:
 - Old quality: 10^{-4} (one chance in ten thousand)
 - New quality: 10^{-7} (one chance in 10 million)

Coupon Tests



Test coupon + fractography



Microanalysis




Interrupted Tests



Constituent Particle Size Distributions

Schematic illustration of the distribution of particle sizes in a material and the distribution of particle sizes which initiate fatigue damage



Particle Size

**Material
Pedigree**




Fatigue Initiation Mechanism

Pores




Particles

Grain Structure




Old Quality



New Quality



Low Porosity



Thin Plate






Figure 1. Schematic representation of cumulative probability plots of fatigue lifetime for the four variants of 7050 plate separated on the basis of fatigue initiation mechanism.

STATUS


Characterization of 7050-T7451 alloys - *New and old*

Initial microstructures - averages and distributions


- ✓ Porosities, Constituent Particles, Precipitates
Sizes, spacings, local volume fractions
- ✓ Grains, Subgrains
Sizes, shapes, recrystallization levels
- ✓ Improved Statistics

Damage Assessment

- ✓ Fractography
Facets orientation distributions, fractal dimensions, roughness parameters
- ✓ Crack Path
Features, geometry, microcracks, dislocation structure, transgranular vs intergranular




Schematic of the locations of the specimens in the plate of Al 7050 alloy.




180 μm

The grain structure of the 7050 Al plate alloy. The light grains are recrystallized and the dark ones are unrecrystallized grains with subgrains. The dark spots are the sites where the constituent particles.



A typical pore in the 7050 Al plate alloy.




*
A collection of 201 pores in the transverse center section of the old pedigree Al 7050 plate alloy. These pores are collected from 180 micrographs.


defined by vector (LS PLANE)

S VECTOR
LT PLANE


Old pedigree, longitudinal




New pedigree, longitudinal



Old pedigree, short transverse




New pedigree, short transverse




T VECTOR
LS PLANE

Old pedigree, transverse



New pedigree, transverse



L VECTOR
TS PLANE

—●— Ctr - - - □ - - - Qtr ···· △ ···· Surf


—●— Ctr - - - ○ - - - Qtr ···· △ ···· Surf

VECTOR
PLANE

S
LT

T
LS

L
TS




The average sizes of the pores in the old pedigree 7050 Al plate alloy in different directions and orientations. The locations of each section are indicated by the x and y titles and the units used are in micrometers.

VECTOR
PLANE


S
LT

T
LS

L
TS




The average sizes of the pores in the new pedigree 7050 Al plate alloy in different directions and orientations. The locations of each section are indicated by the x and y titles and the units used are in micrometers.




A collection of 236 constituent particles in the old pedigree of the 7050 Al plate alloy. These particles are collected from 60 micrographs.

Microstructural Characterization: Low Porosity Plate

Backscattered SEM images of constituent particles in low porosity plate showing particle clustering



200 µm





50 µm

S VECTOR
LT PLANE

Old pedigree, longitudinal



New pedigree, longitudinal



Old pedigree, short transverse

New pedigree, short transverse



T VECTOR
LS PLANE



Old pedigree, transverse

New pedigree, transverse

L VECTOR
TS PLANE



—○— Ctr - - - □ - - - Qtr ····· △ ····· Surf


—○— Ctr - - - □ - - - Qtr ····· △ ····· Surf

VECTOR
PLANE

S
LT

T
LS

L
TS




The average sizes of the constituent particles in the old pedigree 7050 Al plate alloy at different directions and orientations. The locations of each section are indicated by the x and y titles and the units used are in micrometers.

VECTOR
PLANE

S
LT


T
LS

L
TS




The average sizes of the constituent particles in the new pedigree 7050 Al plate alloy in different directions and orientations. The locations of each section are indicated by the x and y titles and the units used are in micrometers.

Analytical Approach to Obtaining Material EIFS Distribution




Micropore Length vs. Cycles to Failure


7050-T7451 Thick Plate (5.7-5.9 in.)
(Long Transverse, T/2 Test Location)




Material Reliability



Weibull Distributions of Actual and EIFS Micropore Length ('84 Data)




Crack Growth Model




Stress Intensity Factor Solutions

- “Large” Crack
 - Ignore inhomogeneity
 - Newman & Raju solutions
- “Small” Crack
 - Consider inhomogeneity
 - Trantina & Barishpolsky modification



Trantina-Barishpolsky K Solution



$$K = \sigma(\pi b)^{1/2} \beta$$

$$\beta = 2/\pi + B(1.12K_t - 2/\pi - 1)(R/(b + R))^{1.0} + (R/(b + R))^{1.8}$$

B = 1 for void,

= 2.0 for bonded inclusion

= 0.3 for unbonded inclusion


Note: when $b \gg R$, $R/(b+R) = 0$,
 ("penny" crack)
 when $b = 0$, $R/(b + R) = 1$,
 (no crack)

Approximations to Apply Trantina-Barishpolsky K Solution to Surface/Corner Cracks at Hole


- Move crack to hole bore

GA 52301A

$$K_{\text{effective}} = \frac{K_{\text{Trantina}}}{K_{\text{Penny}}} \times K_{\text{Newman/Raju}}$$



- Noncircular cracks



- x-direction


$$c = b + R$$


- y-direction

$$a = b + R$$

Pore and Particle Distribution Having Equivalent Open Hole Lives

As derived from smooth specimen data






a) Interior porosity of $710 \mu\text{m}^2$.
Actual life: 80,700 cycles,
Predicted life: 80,000 cycles.



b) Corner particle of $324 \mu\text{m}^2$.
Actual life: 79,500 cycles.
Predicted life: 74,500 cycles.


**Verification of Trantina-Barishpolsky Analysis
for Type of Inhomogeneity
Figure 6**

Comparison of the Cumulative Distribution Functions: Equivalent Life Particle and Measured on Low Porosity Plate



Probabilistic Simulation


- Crack growth analysis linked to PROBAN
- Stratified Monte Carlo sampling of inhomogeneities
 - Latin Hypercube sampling
 - 100 samples per stress level
- Input micropore distribution
 - Single pore--based on observations
 - x-location
 - a, c dimensions





$R/(b+R)$ Parameter

- Measure of "equivalent" initial cracked portion of inhomogeneity
- Compute life for open hole tests with "old" material
 - assume mean micropore dimension
 - vary $R/(b+R)$ to give mean life

$$R/(b+R) = 1.079 - 0.0011 * \text{stress range}$$



Comparison of Statistical Life Prediction and Experimental Data for Old Quality Material





R/(b+R) Parameter

- For other materials, assume same stress dependency, find intercept
 - use mean life at 1 stress level
 - used fatigue limit here


$$R/(b+R) = \text{XXXX} - 0.0011 * \text{stress range}$$

- Obtain inhomogeneity distribution from other measurements
- Monte Carlo simulation for entire S-N curve


Comparison of Statistical Life Prediction and Experimental Data for New Quality Material




**Comparison of Partical Density Functions: Dmax (PARTICLE MAJOR AXIS)
(1 inch Thick 7050 Plate)**



**Comparison of Partical Density Functions: Dmin (PARTICLE MINOR AXIS)
(1 inch Thick 7050 Plate)**




**COMPARISON OF PREDICTED AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
(OPEN HOLE SPECIMEN, 1 INCH THICK 7050 PLATE)**




Initiation Model

Inhomogeneity




R : Size



Fatigue Life Prediction from Random Plane Microstructure

Open hole specimen, new quality 7050 thick plate

- Experimental
- Trantina - Barishpolsky model (one fitted parameter)
- Trantina - Barishpolsky model with plasticity adjustment (no fitted parameters)



Preliminary Conclusions

**Fatigue life prediction modeling
Aluminum 7050-T7451 product variants**

- **Fracture mechanics concepts shown to apply down to the scale of microstructural features controlling crack initiation in aluminum 7050 thick plate**
 - **Crack evolution and early growth modeled as a Trantina-Barishpolsky crack relatable to the microstructure**
 - **Stress intensity factors established for cracks originating from pores and particles in proximity of a free surface or open hole**
 - **Crack growth rate integration addressed in traditional way**
 - **Input $da/dN-\Delta K$ relationship adjusted for short crack (either by extending power law relationship to low ΔK , or using generated short crack data)**
 - **Model converges to classical behavior for long cracks**
 - **Calculation process integrated into general purpose probabilistic software**
- **The hierarchy of microstructural features (pores/particles) controlling fatigue crack initiation in 7050 product variants have been successfully measured and modeled**

Preliminary Conclusions (continued)

Fatigue life prediction modeling

Aluminum 7050-T7451 product variants

- Size distributions of crack initiating microstructural features (extreme value population) can be measured directly by fractography or calculated from either test lives or random plane microstructures
- Initial inhomogeneity populations can be grown forward to predict fatigue life
- Effects of initial inhomogeneity size, shape and location on specimen lifetime distribution modeled
- Hypothetical structural behavior scenarios provided to illustrate the significant performance and cost saving potential of higher quality materials
- Potential shown for large R&D time and cost saving
 - Number of fatigue tests can be appreciably reduced
 - Labor intensive analytical steps (e.g., fractography) can be replaced

Future Work Suggestions

- Fabricate/characterize low particle 7050 thick plate
- Quantification of grain structure effect
 - Original program set out to accomplish this with Al-Li alloy; current work suggests this should be done on alloy 7050
- Scale up technology demonstration program(s)
 - Life assessment methodology
 - New products
 - Thick parts
- Integrate fatigue quality concepts into fatigue/residual strength structural integrity assessment framework (i.e., establish probabilistic criteria)
- Computer aided engineering tools
 - Cost effective exploitation requires computerization to store and manipulate large amounts of information

Technology Demonstration Program Breakdown by Phase & Description

- I. Present concept of thick part fatigue improvement**
 - Integrate relevant data and needs; use current program data and related experience to demonstrate concept feasibility
 - Define program (players, applications, work scope)
- II. Provide next generation HFL plate product**
 - Fabricate product
 - Evaluate critical items for success
 - Conduct gate review to obtain go-ahead for full concept demonstration
- III. Scale to full concept**
 - Evaluate prototype component(s)
 - Project review
 - Develop commercial and logistical implementation plans

Budget Summary

• Original contract funding	\$788K
• Authorized through 93-12-15	\$631K
• Expended through 93-06-30	\$441K*
• Authorized funds remaining	----- \$190K

* Includes \$130K spent on discontinued Al-Li work