


AD-A265 825



DTIC  
S ELECTE D  
C JUN 16 1993

(2)

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



**INFRARED TRANSMISSION  
AND PATH RADIANCE  
THROUGH DUST AND FOG**


*James Williams*

ARL-MR-35

April 1993

93 6 15 21 93

93-13527



## NOTICES

### Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### Destruction Notice

When this document is no longer needed, destroy it by any method that will prevent disclosure of its contents or reconstruction of the document.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE April 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE INFRARED TRANSMISSION AND PATH RADIANCE THROUGH DUST AND FOG		5. FUNDING NUMBERS  62784/AH71	
6. AUTHOR(S)  James Williams		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  ARL-MR-35	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Battlefield Environment Directorate ATTN: AMSRL-BE-M White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501		9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  The obscurant effects of dust and fog can significantly degrade the performance of infrared (IR) sensors engaged in target acquisition. To quantify these effects, computer runs were conducted using the EOSAEL model FLOUD for the 3- to 5- $\mu\text{m}$ and 8- to 12- $\mu\text{m}$ wavebands. FLOUD was used to calculate beam transmission and path radiance through homogeneous ellipsoidal dust and fog clouds. Both advective and radiation fogs were considered. The EOSAEL models XSCALE and LOWTRAN 7 were also run to calculate transmission over the same wavebands for fog. These results compare favorably with FLOUD.  This study suggests that the performance of IR sensors in the wavebands investigated will be severely degraded in the presence of heavy or moderate fog. Under the dust conditions studied, IR transmission is considerably better than for fog. There is an expected transmission loss, however, with the inclusion of multiple scattering effects and a progressively greater loss as the cloud path length increases.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS  infrared, transmission, path radiance, dust, fog		15. NUMBER OF PAGES  29	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT  Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE  Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT  Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  SAR

# Contents

List of Figures .....	4
1. Introduction .....	5
2. Computer Models and Scenarios .....	5
3. Results .....	7
3.1 FCLLOUD Calculations for Dust and Fog .....	7
3.2 LOWTRAN 7 and XSCALE Transmission Results for Fog .....	14
3.3 Heavy Dust Clouds .....	19
3.4 Multiple Scattering .....	19
4. Conclusions .....	27
Literature Cited .....	29
Distribution List .....	31

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Date	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## Figures

1	Dust path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 1.4 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	8
2	Dust transmittance (mid IR, $\sigma = 1.4 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	8
3	Dust path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 1.8 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	9
4	Dust transmittance (far IR, $\sigma = 1.8 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	9
5	Moderate fog path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 10.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	10
6	Moderate fog transmittance (mid IR, $\sigma = 10.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	10
7	Moderate fog path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 2.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	11
8	Moderate fog transmittance (far IR, $\sigma = 2.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	11
9	Heavy fog path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 31 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	12
10	Heavy fog transmittance (mid IR, $\sigma = 31 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	12
11	Heavy fog path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 33 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	13
12	Heavy fog transmittance (far IR, $\sigma = 33 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	13
13	Moderate fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, mid IR) . . . . .	14
14	Moderate fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, far IR) . . . . .	15
15	Moderate fog transmittance (XSCALE, mid IR) . . . . .	15
16	Moderate fog transmittance (XSCALE, far IR) . . . . .	16
17	Heavy fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, mid IR) . . . . .	16
18	Heavy fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, far IR) . . . . .	17
19	Heavy fog transmittance (XSCALE, mid IR) . . . . .	18
20	Heavy fog transmittance (XSCALE, far IR) . . . . .	18
21	Comparison of moderate fog transmittances (mid IR) . . . . .	20
22	Comparison of moderate fog transmittances (far IR) . . . . .	20
23	Comparison of heavy fog transmittances (mid IR) . . . . .	21
24	Comparison of heavy fog transmittances (far IR) . . . . .	21
25	Dust path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	22
26	Dust path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	22
27	Dust path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	23
28	Dust path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	23
29	Dust path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 1.4 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	24
30	Dust path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 1.8 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	24
31	Dust path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path) . . . . .	25
32	Dust path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path) . . . . .	25
33	Dust path radiance (mid IR, $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path) . . . . .	26
34	Dust path radiance (far IR, $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path) . . . . .	26

## 1. Introduction

This work was undertaken to assess the effects of dust and fog on generic terminal-homing infrared (IR) sensors. Transmission and path radiance effects for the mid- and far-IR wavebands were evaluated by using the EOSAEL computer models FCLOUD [Turner 1987], XSCALE [Fiegel 1992], and LOWTRAN 7 [Kneizys et al. 1989]. Note that the figures in this document refer to  $\sigma$ , which is the Beer's law extinction coefficient. All extinctions should be interpreted as IR extinctions.

## 2. Computer Models and Scenarios

The primary model used for this study was FCLOUD because of its ability to calculate path radiance and transmittance through dust and fog clouds. The IR wavebands of interest were 3 to 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 8 to 12  $\mu\text{m}$ . Path radiance calculations (in watts per meter<sup>2</sup>-steradian-micrometer) were in terms of thermal emission, scattering, and total path radiance. For nearly all runs, the thermal path radiance comprised well over 90 percent of the total path radiance. This report presents only the total path radiance results.

FCLOUD computer runs were initially performed to generate transmission and path radiance data for 200-m path lengths through moderate fog, heavy fog, and heavy dust. These runs used extinction coefficients retrieved from the Phase Function Data Base model PFNDAT [Shirkey et al. 1987]. The phase function identifiers used were 25 for heavy fog, 26 for moderate fog, and 51 for heavy loading dust. The heavy and moderate fog models used by PFNDAT were taken from the work of Shettle and Fenn [1979]. The visibilities associated with these models are 130 m for heavy fog and 450 m for moderate fog. For heavy fog, the particle mode radius is 10.0  $\mu\text{m}$  with a number density of 20 particles/cm<sup>3</sup>; for moderate fog, the mode radius is 2.0  $\mu\text{m}$  with a number density of 200 particles/cm<sup>3</sup>. As a means of comparison with FCLOUD, the models XSCALE and LOWTRAN 7 were then run to calculate transmission for moderate and heavy fog. LOWTRAN 7 was set up to use the default model visibilities: 500 m for moderate fog and 200 m for heavy fog. The XSCALE transmission runs used visibilities identical to those of FCLOUD in an attempt to provide a better

comparison with the FCLOUD results. All of the first set of runs assumed a 200-m path length, single scattering, and a solar zenith angle of 45 degrees. Additional FCLOUD multiple scattering calculations were made over an 800-m path and solar angle of 15 degrees.

In addition to moderate and heavy fog clouds, heavy loading dust clouds were considered for this study. Such a dust consists of particles with a bimodal size distribution that ranges from a fraction of a micron for the small mode to well over 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for the large mode [Shirkey et al. 1987]. The number density was taken to be approximately 189 particles/cm<sup>3</sup> (comprised overwhelmingly of small-mode particles).

Note that the visibilities given for dust in figures 1-4 and figures 25-34 were derived from the Koschmieder equation

$$V = \frac{3.912}{J} \quad (1)$$

where  $V$  is the visibility (meteorological range) in kms and  $J$  is the visible extinction coefficient. The visibility of 2.5 km for the dust runs that used PFNDAT (shown in figures 1-4 and figures 29-30) was derived by using the visible extinction for heavy dust given in table 1 of Shirkey et al. [1987]. The visibilities for the remaining dust figures were estimated by assuming the visible extinctions were a close approximation to the given IR extinctions. These values were then used directly in the Koschmieder equation. This assumption is valid and is justified by noting that the extinctions for heavy dust show little variability from the visible to the far-IR (table 1 of Shirkey et al. 1987). This characteristic of neutral extinction for heavy dust has also been observed by Jennings, Pinnick, and Auvermann [1978] and by Pinnick, Fernandez, and Hinds [1983].

For *all* computer runs, unless otherwise noted, the following input parameters were used.

- Clouds were assumed to be at ground level with source and receiver positions at opposite ends of the cloud.
- cloud temperature 15° C.
- atmospheric temperature 20° C.
- atmospheric IR optical thickness 0.38 (FCLOUD model).
- background surface albedo 0.5.
- background radiance (watts per meter<sup>2</sup>-steradian-micrometer) calculated by FCLOUD.

- solar zenith angle 45 degrees (15 degrees for all multiple scattering runs).
- solar azimuth angle of 270 degrees.
- lunar day set to zero, thus only solar irradiance was calculated.

FCLLOUD by default uses a relatively low IR extinction for heavy dust (approximately  $1.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ). Therefore, the following additional FCLLOUD dust runs were made using extinctions of 3.0 and  $4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ .

- Path radiance. Single scattering. Solar zenith angle of 45 degrees. 200-m path length.
- Path radiance. Multiple scattering. Solar zenith angle of 15 degrees. 800-m path length.
- Transmittance. Multiple scattering. Solar zenith angle of 15 degrees. 800-m path length.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 FCLLOUD Calculations for Dust and Fog

Figures 1 through 12 show FCLLOUD path radiance and transmission results using extinction coefficients stored in PFNDAT. The FCLLOUD computer runs assumed single scattering, a solar zenith angle of 45 degrees, and a 200-m path length. Note that the extinction coefficient given for each figure is the average for that waveband; the actual extinctions vary according to the calculations performed in PFNDAT based on the sensor's wavelength response. The figures clearly indicate that IR transmission through fog is greatly attenuated. The attenuation due to dust would be considered moderate.






Figure 1: Dust path radiance (mid IR.  $\sigma = 1.4 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 2: Dust transmittance (mid IR.  $\sigma = 1.4 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 3: Dust path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 1.8 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 4: Dust transmittance (far IR,  $\sigma = 1.8 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 5: Moderate fog path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 10.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 6: Moderate fog transmittance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 10.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 7: Moderate fog path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 2.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 8: Moderate fog transmittance (far IR,  $\sigma = 2.7 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 9: Heavy fog path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 31 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 10: Heavy fog transmittance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 31 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 11: Heavy fog path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 33 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 12: Heavy fog transmittance (far IR,  $\sigma = 33 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )

### 3.2 LOWTRAN 7 and XSCALE Transmission Results for Fog

Figures 13 through 20 show LOWTRAN 7 and XSCALE transmission results for moderate and heavy fog. Note that LOWTRAN 7 superimposes the aerosol effects on the attenuation caused by atmospheric gases. LOWTRAN 7 was run using the default visibilities (500 m for moderate fog and 200 m for heavy fog). The XSCALE runs used visibilities identical to those of FCLOUD to provide a better means of comparison.

Figures 21 through 24 directly compare the FCLOUD results with those of LOWTRAN 7 and XSCALE. The models show the same general level of transmittance across each waveband. Both FCLOUD and XSCALE use the fog models of Shettle and Fenn, thus the results produced by each are nearly identical. The falloff at  $4.3 \mu\text{m}$  for LOWTRAN 7 is due to absorption by molecular  $\text{CO}_2$ . The higher transmittance shown in figures 23 and 24 for LOWTRAN 7 as compared to FCLOUD and XSCALE is a function of the significantly higher visibility of 200 m used by the LOWTRAN 7 model for heavy fog conditions.




Figure 13: Moderate fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, mid IR)




Figure 14: Moderate fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, far IR)




Figure 15: Moderate fog transmittance (XSCALE, mid IR)






Figure 16: Moderate fog transmittance (XSCALE, far IR)




Figure 17: Heavy fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, mid IR)




Figure 18: Heavy fog transmittance (LOWTRAN 7, far IR)




Figure 19: Heavy fog transmittance (XSCALE, mid IR)




Figure 20: Heavy fog transmittance (XSCALE, far IR)

### 3.3 Heavy Dust Clouds

Some additional FCLOUD computer runs were made to calculate path radiance through a heavier dust cloud by using constant IR extinctions of 3.0 and 4.0  $\text{km}^{-1}$ . This constant transmission is achieved by varying the mass-density of the dust at each wavelength. Figures 25 and 26 show the path radiance for an extinction of 3.0  $\text{km}^{-1}$  and figures 27 and 28 show path radiance results for an extinction of 4.0  $\text{km}^{-1}$ . These results are consistent with previous FCLOUD runs.

### 3.4 Multiple Scattering

The final FCLOUD runs involved the following changes in the computer input.

- Multiple scattering.
- Solar zenith angle of 15 degrees.
- 800-m path length.

Figures 29 and 30 show path radiance in which extinctions have been calculated by using PFNDAT. Figures 31 and 32 show path radiance results for an extinction of 3.0  $\text{km}^{-1}$ , and figures 33 and 34 show path radiance for an extinction of 4.0  $\text{km}^{-1}$ .




Figure 21: Comparison of moderate fog transmittances (mid IR)




Figure 22: Comparison of moderate fog transmittances (far IR)




Figure 23: Comparison of heavy fog transmittances (mid IR)




Figure 24: Comparison of heavy fog transmittances (far IR)




Figure 25: Dust path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 26: Dust path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 27: Dust path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 28: Dust path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )






Figure 29: Dust path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 1.4 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 30: Dust path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 1.8 \text{ km}^{-1}$ )




Figure 31: Dust path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path)




Figure 32: Dust path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 3.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path)




Figure 33: Dust path radiance (mid IR,  $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path)




Figure 34: Dust path radiance (far IR,  $\sigma = 4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$ , 800 m path)

## 4. Conclusions

This study investigated the effects of dust and fog in the 3- to 5- $\mu\text{m}$  and 8- to 12- $\mu\text{m}$  wavebands. The results show that IR transmission will be greatly attenuated in heavy fog and to a lesser extent in moderate fog. Output from the fog runs of the FCLOUD model is corroborated by both the LOWTRAN 7 and XSCALE models. A poor performance for an IR sensor under these conditions is anticipated.

The dust results were gathered from the FCLOUD model only. The highest IR transmission occurs when FCLOUD is run using extinctions as taken from PFNDAT (on average about  $1.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$ ) for the single scattering case and a 200-m cloud. Attenuation is greatest for extinctions of 3.0 and  $4.0 \text{ km}^{-1}$  for the multiple scattering scenario with an 800-m cloud. As the dust loading becomes heavier (and extinction increases), the attenuation will become even more severe.

## Literature Cited

- Fiegel, R. P. 1992. *Natural Aerosol Extinction Module XSCALE92* (in preparation). U.S. Army Research Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM.
- Jennings, S. G., R. G. Pinnick, and H. J. Auvermann. 1978. Effects of Particulate Complex Refractive Index and Particle Size Distribution Variations on Atmospheric Extinction and Absorption for Visible through Middle IR Wavelengths, *Appl Opt* 17(24):3922-3929.
- Kneizys, F. X., E. P. Shettle, L. W. Abreu, G. P. Anderson, J. H. Chetwynd, W. O. Gallery, J. E. A. Selby, and S. A. Clough. 1989. *Users Guide to LOWTRAN 7*, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom Air Force Base, MA.
- Pinnick, R. G., G. Fernandez, and B. D. Hinds. 1983. Explosion Dust Particle Size Measurements. *Appl Opt* 22(1):95-102.
- Shettle, E. P., and R. W. Fenn. 1979. *Models for the Aerosols of the Lower Atmosphere and the Effects of Humidity Variations on Their Optical Properties*. AFGL-TR-79-0214. Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom Air Force Base, MA.
- Shirkey, R. C., R. A. Sutherland, and M. A. Seagraves. 1987. *EOSAEL 87, Volume 26, Aerosol Phase Function Data Base PFNDAT*. ASL-TR-0221-26. U.S. Army; Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM.
- Turner, R. E., 1987. *EOSAEL 87, Volume 18, Contrast Transmission Modules FLOUD and OVRCS*. ASL-TR-0221-18. Science Applications Incorporated, Ann Arbor, MI. Prepared under contract for U.S. Army Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Commandant

U.S. Army Chemical School  
ATTN: ATZN-CM-CC (S. Barnes)  
Fort McClellan, AL 36205-5020

Commander

U.S. Army Aviation Center  
ATTN: ATZQ-D-MA  
Mr. Oliver N. Heath  
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center  
Deputy Director  
Space Science Laboratory  
Atmospheric Sciences Division  
ATTN: E501 (Dr. George H. Fichtl)  
Huntsville, AL 35802

NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center  
Atmospheric Sciences Division  
ATTN: Code ED-41  
Huntsville, AL 35812

Deputy Commander

U.S. Army Strategic Defense Command  
ATTN: CSSD-SL-L  
Dr. Julius Q. Lilly  
P.O. Box 1500  
Huntsville, AL 35807-3801

Commander

U.S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-AC-AD  
Donald R. Peterson  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5242

Commander

U.S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-AS-SS  
Huey F. Anderson  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5253

Commander

U.S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-AS-SS  
E. Williams  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5253

Commander

U.S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-DE-SE  
Gordon Lill, Jr.  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5245

Commander

U.S. Army Missile Command  
Redstone Scientific Information  
Center  
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R/Documents  
Redstone, Arsenal, AL 35898-5241

Commander

U.S. Army Intelligence Center  
and Fort Huachuca  
ATTN: ATSI-CDC-C (Mr. Colanto)  
Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613-7000

Northrup Corporation  
Electronics Systems Division  
ATTN: Dr. Richard D. Tooley  
2301 West 120th Street, Box 5032  
Hawthorne, CA 90251-5032

Commander - Code 3331

Naval Weapons Center  
ATTN: Dr. Alexis Shlanta  
China Lake, CA 93555

Commander

Pacific Missile Test Center  
Geophysics Division  
ATTN: Code 3250 (Terry E. Battalino)  
Point Mugu, CA 93042-5000

Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc.

Kenneth R. Hardy  
Org/91-01 B/255  
3251 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1191

Commander

Naval Ocean Systems Center  
ATTN: Code 54 (Dr. Juergen Richter)  
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Meteorologist in Charge  
Kwajalein Missile Range  
P.O. Box 67  
APO San Francisco, CA 96555

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Mountain Administration Support  
Center  
Library, R-51 Technical Reports  
325 S. Broadway  
Boulder, CO 80303

Dr. Hans J. Liebe  
NTIA/ITS S 3  
325 S. Broadway  
Boulder, CO 80303

NCAR Library Serials  
National Center for Atmos Rsch  
P.O. Box 3000  
Boulder, CO 80307-3000

HQDA  
ATTN: DAMI-POI  
Washington, DC 20310-1067

Mil Asst for Env Sci Ofc of  
The Undersecretary of Defense  
for Rsch & Engr/R&AT/E&LS  
Pentagon - Room 3D129  
Washington, DC 20301-3080

HQDA  
DEAN-RMD/Dr. Gomez  
Washington, DC 20314

Director  
Division of Atmospheric Science  
National Science Foundation  
ATTN: Dr. Eugene W. Bierly  
1800 G. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20550

Commander  
Space & Naval Warfare System Command  
ATTN: PMW-145-1G (LT Painter)  
Washington, DC 20362-5100

Commandant  
U.S. Army Infantry  
ATTN: ATSH-CD-CS-OR  
Dr. E. Dutoit  
Fort Benning, GA 30905-5090

USAFETAC/DNE  
Scott AFB, IL 62225

Air Weather Service  
Technical Library - FI4414  
Scott AFB, IL 62225-5458

USAFETAC/DNE  
ATTN: Mr. Charles Glauber  
Scott AFB, IL 62225-5008

Commander  
U.S. Army Combined Arms Combat  
ATTN: ATZL-CAW (LTC A. Kyle)  
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-5300

Commander  
U.S. Army Space Institute  
ATTN: ATZI-SI (Maj Koepsell)  
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-5300

Commander  
U.S. Army Space Institute  
ATTN: ATZL-SI-D  
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-7300

Commander  
Phillips Lab  
ATTN: PL/LYP (Mr. Chisholm)  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-5000

Director  
Atmospheric Sciences Division  
Geophysics Directorate  
Phillips Lab  
ATTN: Dr. Robert A. McClatchey  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-5000

Raytheon Company  
Dr. Charles M. Sonnenschein  
Equipment Division  
528 Boston Post Road  
Sudbury, MA 01776  
Mail Stop 1K9

Director  
U.S. Army Materiel Systems  
Analysis Activity  
ATTN: AMXSY-MP (H. Cohen)  
APG, MD 21005-5071

Commander  
U.S. Army Chemical Rsch.,  
Dev & Engr Center  
ATTN: SMCCR-OPA (Ronald Pennsyle)  
APG, MD 21010-5423

Commander  
U.S. Army Chemical Rsch.,  
Dev & Engr Center  
ATTN: SMCCR-RS (Mr. Joseph Vervier)  
APG, MD 21010-5423

Commander  
U.S. Army Chemical Rsch.,  
Dev & Engr Center  
ATTN: SMCCR-MUC (Mr. A. Van De Wal)  
APG, MD 21010-5423

Director  
U.S. Army Materiel Systems  
Analysis Activity  
ATTN: AMXSY-AT (Mr. Fred Campbell)  
APG, MD 21005-5071

Director  
U.S. Army Materiel Systems  
Analysis Activity  
ATTN: AMXSY-CR (Robert N. Marchetti)  
APG, MD 21005-5071

Director  
U.S. Army Materiel Systems  
Analysis Activity  
ATTN: AMXSY-CS (Mr. Brad W. Bradley)  
APG, MD 21005-5071

Director  
U.S. Army Research Laboratory  
ATTN: AMSRL-D  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Director  
U.S. Army Research Laboratory  
ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-A  
(Technical Publishing)  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Director  
U.S. Army Research Laboratory  
ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-AD, Record Copy  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Director  
U.S. Army Research Laboratory  
ATTN: AMSRL-SS-SH  
Dr. Z.G. Sztankay  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

National Security Agency  
ATTN: W21 (Dr. Longbothum)  
9800 Savage Road  
Ft George G. Meade, MD 20755-6000

U. S. Army Space Technology  
and Research Office  
ATTN: Brenda Brathwaite  
5321 Riggs Road  
Gaithersburg, MD 20882

OIC-NAVSWC  
Technical Library (Code E-232)  
Silver Springs, MD 20903-5000

The Environmental Research  
Institute of Michigan  
ATTN: IRIA Library  
P.O. Box 134001  
Ann Arbor, MI 48113-4001

Commander  
U.S. Army Research Office  
ATTN: DRXRO-GS (Dr. W.A. Flood)  
P.O. Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709



Dr. Jerry Davis  
North Carolina State University  
Department of Marine, Earth, &  
Atmospheric Sciences  
P.O. Box 8208  
Raleigh, NC 27650-8208

Commander  
U. S. Army CECRL  
ATTN: CECRL-RG (Dr. H. S. Boyne)  
Hanover, NH 03755-1290

Commanding Officer  
U.S. Army ARDEC  
ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I, Bldg 59  
Dover, NJ 07806-5000

U.S. Army Communications-Electronics  
Command EW/RSTA Directorate  
ATTN: AMSEL-RD-EW-OP  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5206

Commander  
U.S. Army Satellite Comm Agency  
ATTN: DRCPH-SC-3  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5303

6585th TG (AFSC)  
ATTN: RX (CPT Stein)  
Holloman AFB, NM 88330

Department of the Air Force  
OL/A 2nd Weather Squadron (MAC)  
Holloman AFB, NM 88330-5000

PL/WE  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87118-5008

Director  
U.S. Army TRADOC Analysis Command  
ATTN: ATRC-WSS-R  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

USAF Rome Laboratory Technical  
Library, FL2810 Corridor W, Site 262,  
RL//SUL (DOCUMENTS LIBRARY)  
26 Electronics Parkway, Bldg 106  
Griffiss AFB, NY 13441-4514

Department of the Air Force  
7th Squadron  
APO, NY 09403

AWS  
USAREUR/AEAWX  
APO, NY 09403-5000

AFMC/DOW  
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 0334-5000

Commandant  
U.S. Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: ATSF-TSM-TA  
Mr. Charles Taylor  
Fort Sill, OK 73503-5600

Commander  
Naval Air Development Center  
ATTN: Al Salik (Code 5012)  
Warminster, PA 18974

Commander  
U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground  
ATTN: STEDP-MT-DA-M  
Mr. Paul Carlson  
Dugway, UT 84022

Commander  
U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground  
ATTN: STEDP-MT-DA-L  
Dugway, UT 84022

Commander  
U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground  
ATTN: STEDP-MT-M (Mr. Bowers)  
Dugway, UT 84022-5000

Defense Technical Information Center  
ATTN: DTIC-FDAC (2)  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Commanding Officer  
U.S. Army Foreign Science &  
Technology Center  
ATTN: CM  
220 7th Street, NE  
Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Naval Surface Weapons Center  
Code G63  
Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000

Commander  
U.S. Army OEC  
ATTN: CSTE-EFS  
Park Center IV  
4501 Ford Ave  
Alexandria, VA 22302-1458

Commander and Director  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Engineer Topographics Laboratory  
ATTN: ETL-GS-LB  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

TAG/DOWP  
Langley AFB, VA 23665-5524

U.S. Army Topo Engineering Center  
ATTN: CETEC-ZC  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5546

Commander  
Logistics Center  
ATTN: ATCL-CE  
Fort Lee, VA 23801-6000

Commander  
USATRADO  
ATTN: ATCD-FA  
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5170

Science and Technology  
101 Research Drive  
Hampton, VA 23666-1340

Commander  
U.S. Army Nuclear & Cal Agency  
ATTN: MONA-ZB Bldg 2073  
Springfield, VA 22150-3198

ERRATA FOR ARL-MR-35

INFRARED TRANSMISSION AND PATH RADIANCE THROUGH DUST AND FOG

Please change ARL report number of Infrared Transmission and Path Radiance Through Dust and Fog, April 1993, from ARL-MR-35 to ARL-MR-6. Please make this change on the front cover and also in box 8 on the SF 298, page 1 of the document.

AD A 26 5825