BEST AVAILABLE COPY



AD

RAPID DETECTION OF MYCOBACTERIA IN PATIENTS WITH HIV INFECTION

· · · •

ANNUAL REPORT



93-12962

IIIIIII 3pr

DAVID C. FRITZINGER

MAY 10, 1993

Supported by

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

20030227124

MIPR 91MM1513

Armed Forces Institute of Pathology Division of Microbiology Washington, DC 20306-6000

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REPUR	T DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	Form Approvind OMB No 0.104.0188
كمحود جوار الموري والمرار المحقولة المحود المتراجين المحتوين المحتوين المحتوين والمحد المحتوين والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	ю билки 2 REPORT DATE May 10, 1993	3. REPORT TYPE /	ND DATES COVERED tt 15 Mar, 1992-14 Mar, 19
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Rapid Detection HIV Infection	of Mycobacteria in Patie		S. FUNDING NUMPERS MIPR 91MM1513
6. AUTHOR(S) David C. Fritzinger, Ph.D.			63105A 3M263105DH29 AD DA335871
			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
SPONSORING MONIFORIN	G AGENCY NAMES, JUSTY & PURCH	i 21 Na ma ana manana manana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny	THE SPONS DRIVE MONITLEING
U.S. Army Medica. Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21	l Research and Developme 702-5012	ent Command	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
29 DISTARDISCH AVALLA	LEY STATION NO	and the second	The protocol states and the
Za DESTABULICA AVAILAB Approved for publ	ury state we with the state we will be a set of the state	n unlimited	n e grund ag filiwi ggrik
Approved for public The Polymera chemilumenescent patient specimens Medical Center (W methods, and used known to contain we were able to d our <u>M. tuberculos</u> positive by cultu	ic release; distribution ise Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA probes was used to on from the Mycobacteriolo (RAMC). DNA from the spectrum the PCR amplification Mycobacteria. Of these	along with hybr detect Mycobacter ogy laboratory at ecimens were prep ons. Of the spec , we were able to ng a new genus sp e to detect 21 of re continuing to	idization to ria potentially present i Walter Reed Army pared by two different timens tested; 88% were by detect 20 (54%), though becific primer set. With 29 samples that were evaluate these primer
Approved for public Approved for public The Polymeral chemilumenescent patient specimens Medical Center (W methods, and used known to contain we were able to d our <u>M</u> . tuberculos positive by cultu sets, as well as RA 1; Mycobacteri	ic release; distribution see Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA probes was used to a from the Mycobacteriola RAMC). DNA from the spectrum the PCR amplification Mycobacteria. Of these etect 82% (9 of 11) using is primers, we were able re results (75%). We and trying to design a prime a;Mycobacterium avium; erculosis; Polymerase Ch	along with hybr detect Mycobacter ogy laboratory at ecimens were prep ons. Of the spec , we were able to ng a new genus sp e to detect 21 of re continuing to er set for <u>M. avi</u>	idization to ria potentially present i to Walter Reed Army pared by two different timens tested; 88% were to detect 20 (54%), though becific primer set. With 28 samples that were evaluate these primer tum.

•

.

\$

-

FOREWORD

1 L

· .

•.'

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

 $\times$  Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and USe of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) have adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45CFR46.

X In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

Drincipal Investigator's Signature Date



a number of different targets in both purified Mycobacterial DNA, and in DNA isolated from clinical samples. I arrived in January, 1993, and have attempted to overcome these problems by, first, using computer analysis to design new primers from Dr. Patel's species specific sequences, and, second, by finding new sequences that are genus or species specific, and using computer analysis to design primers for the specific amplification of these sequences for the detection of Mycobacteria in clinical samples. The results of this work are described below.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Materials:

All materials for DNA amplification were purchased from Perkin Elmer (Norwalk, CT). Agarose was purchased from G<sup>5</sup>bco/BRL (Gaithersburg, MD). Oligonucleotides used for PCR primers and hybridization probes were synthesized on a Applied Biosystems 380B DNA synthesizer. DNA labeling kits (Genius<sup>™</sup> 6) were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, IN). All other chemicals were reagent grade or better.

#### **Methods:**

**Preparation of Clinical Samples:** DNA was isolated from Clinical samples by the method of Boom et al (1990). Briefly, in this method, the sample is added to a lysing solution containing 9 M guanidinium isothiocynate and vortexed in the presence of glass beads. The high concentration of the guanidinium salt lyses the cells and causes the DNA to bind to the glass. The beads are then washed with a second guanidinium containing buffer, with ethanol and finally with acetone. The beads are then dried, and the DNA eluted with water or TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA).

DNA from clinical samples have also been prepared by the method of Thierry et al (1992). In this procedure, 0.5 to 1 ml. of sample was pelleted and resuspended in 200  $\mu$ l of lysis buffer (0.1 M NaOH, 2 M NaCl, and 0.5% SDS) and incubated at 95°C for 15 minutes. The sample was then extracted twice with phenol-chloroform, and ethanol precipitated. The DNA was resuspended in 100  $\mu$ l of water, and 10  $\mu$ l aliquots were used for the amplification reactions.

Amplification and Detection of Mycobacterial DNA in Clinical Samples: Mycobacterial DNA was amplified in a standard 100  $\mu$ l reaction mix containing: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, approximately 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (depending on the optimal MgCl<sub>2</sub> determined for the primer set used), 1 mM in each dNTP, 1  $\mu$ M in each primer, 25U/ml AmpliTaq® DNA polymerase, and either 0.1 ng control DNA, or 5-10  $\mu$ l of DNA from a clinical sample. The timing and temperatures of the thermal cycling reactions were dependent on the primer set used. In all cases, controls were run that were -DNA and that contained purified *M. avium* and *M. tuberculosis* DNA.

One tenth volume of each reaction was electrophoresed on a 1.8% agarose gel, that was then stained with 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. After staining, the samples were transferred to nylon filters by the method of Southern (1975). Oligonucleotide probes were 3' end labeled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP (DIG-dUTP) by tailing with terminal deoxynucleotide transferase, following the instructions in the Genius<sup>TM</sup> 6 kit. Briefly, approximately 100 pmole of the oligonucleotide is tailed in a 20 µl reaction mix containing 200 mM sodium cacodylate, 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.6), 0.25 mg/ml BSA, 5 mM CoCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 µM DigdUTP, 500 mM ATP, and 2.5 U/µl terminal transferase. After an incubation of 15 minutes at 37°C, the reaction was put on ice and stopped by the addition of EDTA. The reaction products were then ethanol precipitated in the presence of glycogen. Hybridization was carried out as described in the Boehringer Mannheim Genius<sup>TM</sup> System Users guide for Filter Hybridization, though at different temperatures and formamide concentrations, depending on the oligonucleotides used as probes.

## RESULTS

i

The ability of primer sets to detect Mycobacteria was evaluated in several different ways. First, primers were tested for their ability to amplify DNA of the correct genus and species. Primers that specifically amplified DNA from the correct species were tested for their sensitivity to detect small quantities of Mycobacterial DNA, so that we could determine the minimum number of bacteria that can be detected in a clinical sample (either by the ethidium bromide stained gel assay or by hybridization). For example, it is known that a single Mycobacteria contains about 5 fg of DNA, meaning that the ability to detect 10 fg of DNA would be equivalent of 2 bacteria in a sample. Then, the primers were tested for their

ability to detect Mycobacterial DNA in previously tested, known positive clinical samples. Finally, the primer sets will be evaluated using unknown clinical samples.

As described earlier, the primer sets used by Dr. Patel proved to be inefficient and unreliable in detecting Mycobacterial DNA in clinical samples. Computer evaluation of the Mycobacterial genus specific sequence and of the *M. avium* specific sequence did find other primer sets that appeared to be usable, while no suitable primer sets were found for *M. tuberculosis*. DNA titration analysis of the primers showed that the genus specific primer set was able to detect 1 pg of Mycobacterial DNA by gel analysis (equivalent to about 200 bacteria), and about 100 fg (20 bacteria) of DNA by hybridization analysis, while the *M. avium* specific primers were able to detect 10 pg of DNA by the gel assay, and 1 pg by hybridization. Though this was not deemed to be sensitive enough to detect Mycobacteria in clinical samples, we used these primer sets to screen clinical samples while we looked for more suitable primer sets.

For the detection of *M. tuberculosis*, a primer set from Shawar et al (1993) was tested. This primer set is derived from the sequence from the *M tuberculosis* insertion sequence IS6110, giving a fragment of 317 bp. When the new *M. tuberculosis* primer set was analyzed by DNA titration, it was found to be easily sensitive to less than 1 fg (0.2 bacteria) of *M. tuberculosis* DNA, both by gel analysis, and by hybridization. This was the primer set that we used for testing clinical samples for *M. tuberculosis*.

To find a DNA sequence suitable for the detection of all Mycobacteria, we decided to try a set of primers based on the sequence of the 16S ribosomal RNA, since sequence analysis of all Mycobacterial 16S rRNAs show that they are greater than 95% identical in sequence, while comparison of their sequences to other bacterial 16S rRNAs show more significant differences (Rogall et al, 1990). Since the differences are clustered in certain regions, it was decided to choose one of these non-homologous regions as one primer, and to use computer evaluation to find another suitable primer. The two primers chosen were 18mers starting at position 424 for the positive strand primer, and at position 887 for the negative strand primer, giving a product of 441 bp. Evaluation of this primer set showed that it was specific for Mycobacteria, and that it was able to detect approximately 1 fg of purified Mycobacterial DNA, both by gel analysis and by hybridization. This is the sequence that we will be using for detection of Mycobacteria in clinical samples.

A survey of the DNA databases showed only a single *M. avium* sequence, that of the insertion sequence IS901 (Kunze et al, 1991). We have used computer analysis to find several primer sets from the IS901 sequence. Unfortunately, while all the primer sets found to date have amplified only *M. avium* DNA, they have only been able to detect *M. avium* DNA down to the picogram level, which is not considered sensitive enough for these assays. Therefore, we are continuing to search for more primer sets from the IS901 sequence, as well as looking for methods for finding other *M. avium* specific sequences that could be used for amplification.

To evaluate the ability of the first three primer sets to detect Mycobacterial DNA in clinical (sputum) samples, we tested them against 42 different samples containing known Mycobacteria. These results are shown in Table 1, and summarized below. Of the 42 samples, 37 were known to contain Mycobacteria, either by culturing the bacteria from the samples, or by testing the cultures using the GenProbe® kit that is commercially available. Of these 37 Mycobacterial positive samples, 29 contained *M. tuberculosis*, 3 contained either *M. avium* or *M. intercullare*, while the remaining 5 contained other Mycobacterial species. By gel assay, the genus specific primers were able to detect Mycobacterial DNA in 9 samples (24%), with no false positives. Hybridization analysis increased the number of samples found to contain Mycobacteria to 20 (54%), again with no false positives. The

*M. avium* primers were not able to detect *M. avium* in any of the samples tested by gel analysis, but were able to detect *M. avium* in 2 of the 3 culture positive samples (67%). It should be noted that, because of the lack of sensitivity of the M. avium primers, they were not used to assay a number of these samples, and that other M. avium primer sets are currently being examined for their utility in detecting M. avium. The M. tuberculosis primers proved to be much more sensitive in detecting Mycobacterial DNA in clinical samples, correctly identifying 19 samples as *M. tuberculosis* positive by the gel assay (66%), and 22 samples as positive by hybridization (76%). The sensitivity of the M. tuberculosis primer set aid prove to be a problem at times, in that great care needed to be taken not to contaminate negative samples, either before the PCR reaction, or prior to running the gels. We have also been able to obtain some preliminary data on the 16S rRNA derived genus specific primer set. When tested on clinical samples (Table 2), it was able to detect Mycobacterial DNA in 10 of 11 (91%) Mycobacterial containing samples (by gel analysis and hybridization). In addition, we have shown that the primers are able to amplify DNA from several species of Mycobacteria, but not from E. coli. Finally, we have shown that the new genus specific primer set is able to detect Mycobacteria to a level of less than 1 fg (0.2 Mycobacterial genome equivalents). These data make us believe that we have a promising set of primers for the detection of Mycobacteria in clinical samples.

### CONCLUSIONS

The data described above demonstrate that we have been able to design primer sets and probes for the sensitive detection of Mycobacteria and *M. tuberculosis* in clinical (sputum, etc.) samples. The results obtained for the *M. tuberculosis* primer set are generally similar to specificities obtained by other workers using PCR to detect Mycobacteria. Shawar et al (1993), using primers from the same insertion sequence as us, was able to correctly identify 55% of the *M. tuberculosis* samples by EtBr stained gels, and 74% by hybridization. Soini et al (1992) were able to detect 56% of *M. tuberculosis* containing samples on gels. Variables that may affect the sensitivity of the PCR assay include the method of lysis of the bacteria in the clinical sample, and the age of the sample. We are currently investigating methods of lysis of Mycobacteria in clinical samples, since there does not seem to be a standard protocol. In addition, Shawar et al (1993) have shown that long term storage of samples at  $4^{\circ}$ C greatly decreases the sensitivity of the PCR assay. One would expect that the stability of frozen samples would be significantly greater, though long term frozen storage of clinical samples can result in a notable decrease in the sensitivity of PCR.

While the genus specific primer set that we obtained from Dr. Patel does not appear to be sensitive enough for the routine detection of Mycobacteria from clinical samples, we have designed a new set of primers, based on the 16S rRNA sequences of Mycobacteria, that are much more sensitive. In a brief test of the primers in clinical samples, we were able to detect Mycobacteria in 91% of the samples tested, which we believe to be a promising beginning. We are testing more samples with this primer set to obtain a more accurate measure of its sensitivity and specificity.

We have yet to find a good set of primers for *M. avium*. We have tried two different primer sets derived from the IS901 sequence, with unsatisfactory results. Both sets examined appear to be specific, amplifying only *M. avium* DNA, and not amplifying DNA from *E. coli* or *M. tuberculosis*. Unfortunately, neither set tested is sensitive enough for the routine detection of *M. avium* in clinical samples, being able to detect only about 100 fg of DNA (about 20 Mycobacterial genome equivalents). We are in the process of designing other primer sets based on the IS901 sequence, as well as investigating methods for finding other DNA sequences unique to *M. avium*.

The results obtained for both genus specific primer sets, as well as for the *M. tuberculosis* primers are summarized in Table 3. As described above, these data imply that both the second, 16S rRNA derived primer set and the primers designed for *M. tuberculosis* show a great deal of promise for predicting the presence of Mycobacteria in clinical (mostly sputum) samples. We are continuing in the process of evaluating these primers by testing more clinical samples with known culturing results. In addition, we have 100 blinded samples from the WRAMC to be assayed. In the course of these assays, we will evaluate different means of isolating Mycobacterial DNA from clinical samples. After performing these experiments, we will be able to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the three primer sets on clinical samples. The estimated date of completion for these experiments will be 1 September, 1993. At that point, we should be ready to use the three primer sets in a prospective study assaying clinical samples for the presence of Mycobacteria.

#### **REFERENCES:**

Böddinghaus, B., Rogall, T., Flohr, T., Blöcker, H., and Böttger, E.C. (1990) "Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria by Amplification of rRNA." J. Clin. Microbiol. 28, 1751-1759.

Boom, R., Sol, C.J.A., Salimans, M.M.M., Jansen, C.L., Wertheim-van Dillen, P.M.E., and van der Noordaa, J. (1990) "Rapid and Simple Method for Purificationa of Nucleic Acids" J. Clin. Microbiol. 28, 495-503.

Collins, F. M. (1992) "Mycobacteria as Cofactors in AIDS." Res. Microbiol. 143, 369-372.

Fries, J.W.U., Patel, R.J., Piessens, W.F., and Wirth, D.F. (1990) "Genus- and Species-Specific DNA Probes to Identify Mycobacteria." Mol. Cell. Probes 4, 87-105.

Horsburgh, C.R., and Selik, R.M. (1989) "The Epidemiology of Diseminated Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Infection in Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)." Amer. Rev. Resp. Dis. 139, 4-7.

Kolk, A.H.J., Schuitema, A.R.J., Kuijper, S., van Leeuwen, J., Hermans, P.W.M., van Embden, J.D.A., and Hartskeerl, R.A. (1992) "Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Clinical Samples by Using Polymerase Chain Reaction and a Nonradioactive Detection System." J. Clin. Microbiol. **30**, 2567-2575.

Kunze, Z.M., Wall, S., Appelberg, R., Silva, M.T., Poraels, F. and McFadden, J.J. (1991) "IS901, a New Member of a Widespread Class of Atypical Insertion Sequences, is Associated with Pathogenicity in *Mycobacterium avium*." Mol. Microbiol. 5, 2265-2272.

Patel, R.J., Piessens, W.F., David, J.R., and Wirth, D.F. (1989) "A Cloned DNA Fragment for Identification of *Mycrobacterium tuberculosis*." Rev. Infect. Diseases 11S2, S411-S419.

Patel, R.J. Fries, J.W.U., Piessens, W.F., and Wirth, D.F. (1990) "Sequence Analysis and Amplification by Polymerase Chain Reaction of a Cloned DNA Fragment for Identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*." J. Clin. Microbiol. **28**, 513-518.

Rogall, T., Wolters, J., Flohr, T. and Boettger, E.C. (1990) "Towards a Phylogeny and Definition of Species at the Molecular Level within the Genus Mycobacterium." Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 40, 323-330.

Shawar, R. M., El-Zaatari, F.A.K., Nataraj, A., and Clarridge, J.E. (1993) "Detection of *Mycobacteria tuverculosis* in Clinical Samples by Two-Step Polymerase Chain Reaction and Nonisotopic Hybridization Methods." J. Clin. Micorbiol. 31, 61-65.

Soini, H., Skurnik, M., Liippo, K., Tala, E., and Viljanen, M.K. (1992) "Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria by Amplification of a Segment of the Gene Coding for the 32-Kilodalton Protein." J. Clin. Microbiol. 30, 2025-2028.

Sommers, H.M., and Good, R.C. (1985) "Mycobacteria." <u>Manual of Clinical</u> <u>Microbiology, Fourth Edition</u> (Lennette, E.H., Balows, A., Hausler, W.J.Jr., and Shadomy, H.J. eds), American Soc. Microbiol., Washington, DC pp216-248.

Southern, E.M. (1975) "Detection of Specific Sequences Among DNA Fragments Separated by Gel Electrophoresis." J. Mol. Biol. 98, 503-517.

Thierry, D., Chureau, C., Aznar, C., and Guesdon, J.-L. (1992) "The Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Uncultured Clinical Specimens Using the Polymerase Chain Reaction and a Non-radioactive DNA Probe." Molecular and Cellular Probes 6, 181-191.

Sample #	Species	Mav17 (genus specific #1)		Mav22 (M. avium)		M. (b primer set	
	(culture)						
		EtBr gel	Hybrid.	EtBr gel	Hybrid	EtBr gel	Hybrid.
442	M. gard.	-	+	-	•	•	•
457	M. xen.	-	-	•	-	•	•
629	M. tb.	+	+++	-	-	+	+
1020	M. tb.	-	-	-	-	•	•
1021	M. tb.	++	++	-	+*	+++	+++
1291	M. gard.	-	•	-	+*	-	-
1321	M. tb.	-	•	-	-	++	•
1330	NG	-	-	-	-		-
1455	M. tb	?	+	· ·	-	+	+++
1731	NG	+	•	· ·		++,-	•,++
1780	M. tb.	-	•		-	-	-
1832	M, int.	-	+	•	+	•	•
1833	M. tb.	+	++	-	-	+	+++
1893	M. int.		-	-	+	-	•
1945	M. tb.	-	-			+++	++
MI	M. tb	-	+	nd	nd	++	++
M2	M. tb.	-		nd	nd	+	++
M3	M. tb.	-	+	nd	nd	+++	+++
M4	M. tb.	-	<u> </u>	nd	nd	+++	+++
MS	M. tb.	-		nd	nd	+	+*
M6	M. tb.	•		nd	nd	?	+
23	M. tb.	+	+			+?	+
2430	M. tb	+	++				•
2896	M. tb.	-	+?				+
2917	<u>M. tb.</u>	-				-	-
919	M. tb.	+	++		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	++	+++
949	M. tb.	+	++	<u> </u>			+*
1022	M. tb.		+				-
1034	M. tb.	-	?*	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	•
1096	M. tb.	-					+
1385	M. fort.		•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
1957	Not proc.	-	+	<u> </u>		-	+
1038	M. tb.	•	++	<u> </u>			?
1036	M. tb.	-	+	<u> </u>			?
1040	NG		•	<u> </u>		?	?
1068	NG		•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		?
1132	M tb.	++	+	<u> </u>		 +++	++
1954	M. tb.	-		<b>}</b>		•	++
1954	M. tb.	-	_*	<u> </u>			+
1950	MAI	-	2*	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	?	
2026	M. tb(?)	-	_*	<u> </u>		+	+
2020	M. $w(r)$ M gard.		*	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	IN Sau.	grees of po		1	1	1 -	ı -

# Table 1: Summary Of PCR Testing Of Clinical Samples

3

+,++, +++, ++++ Degrees of positive reaction - Negative, \* Some negative controls also lit up. All samples were from WRAMC except the 6 samples labeled M1-M6, which are samples from NIH monkeys known to be infected with *M. tuberculosis*.

Sample #	Species	Detection by EtBr	Detection by Hybridization	
7-21A	M. tb	+	+	
1134	M. tb	+	+	
1742	M. avium	+	++	
1782	M. int.	-	-	
1879	No growth	+	-	
1907	M. tb	++	1+	
7-21B	M. tb?	+	+	
810	M. tb.	+	+	
812	M. tb.	++	+++	
848	M. tb.	+++	+++	
849	M. tb	+++	+++	
3550	M. fort.	+	-	

.

ź

# Table 2: Summary of PCP Testing, Using new (16S rRNA) Genus SpecificPrimer Set (Genus #2)

3. W.

	PCR +	PCR -				
Culture +	TP	FN		TP+FN		
Culture -	FP	TN		TN+FP		
	TP+FP	TN+FN		TP+TN+FP+FN		
	PCR detection		erculosis			
	PCR +	PCR -				
Culture +	21	7		28		
Culture -	1	9		10		
	22	16		38		
	PCR detect		vium			
	PCR +	PCR -				
Culture +	0	0	10		0	
Culture -	4	14		18		
	4	14		18		
	PCR detection of My	cobacteria	(1st primer se	et)		
	PCR +	PCR -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ľ		
Culture +	16	0		16		
Culture -	13	4		17		
	29	4		33		
	PCR detection of My	cohacte <del>ri</del> a (	20d primer se	t)		
	PCR +	PCR -	prince of	l		
Culture +	- 9	10		9		
Culture -	2			3		
		1 -		12		
	•	of PCR Re	SLE S			
	•	of PCR Re M. 1b.	M. avium	Genusl	Genus2	
Sensitivity =TP/	, Summary		M. avium	Genus I 55%	Genus2 82%	
Sensitivity =TP/ Specificity=TN/	Summary (TP+FN)	M. tb.	M. avium			
Specificity=TN/	Summary (TP+FN)	M. เb. 75%	M. avium NA	55%		
Specificity=TN/ Positive Predicti	(TP+FN) (TN+FP)	M. tb. 75% 90%	M. avium NA 78%	55% 100%	82% 100%	

# Table 3: Data Table for Sensitivity and Specificity

pier parte

•\_•

.

-.

Sugar States Berghow Printer la

S7 4 1