

AD-A265 513



Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 20 May 1993	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Report	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Effect of Alkyl Substituents on the Macrocyclic Ring on Enantiomeric Recognition by Chiral Pyridino-18-Crown-6 for 1-Naphthylethylamine			5. FUNDING NUMBERS N00014-91-J-1710 R & T Code 413p002	
6. AUTHOR(S) J. K. Hathaway, L.-Y Zhu, P. Huszthy, J.S. Bradshaw and R. M. Izatt				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Chemistry Brigham Young University Provo, UT 84602-4672			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 20	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Dr. H. Guard Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER N/A	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DTIC ELECTE JUN 03 1993 S A D				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This paper discusses the effect that various dialkyl substituents on chiral pyridino-18-crown-6 ligands have on the molecular recognition of these ligands for the (R) and (S)-[α-(1-naphthyl)ethyl]ammonium perchlorate. We have systematically synthesized the necessary chiral pyridino-18-crown-6 macrocycles and determined the extent of molecular recognition by titration calorimetry. This paper also discusses possible mechanisms of recognition.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			16. PRICE CODE N/A	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

93 6 02 040

406 222

93-12435



15 p

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant N00014-91-J-1710

R&T Code 413p002

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 20

The Effect of Alkyl Substituents on the Macrocyclic Ring on  
Enantiomeric Recognition by Chiral Pyridino-18-Crown-6 for 1-Naphthylethylamine

by

J. K. Hathaway, L.-Y. Zhu, P. Huszthy, J.S. Bradshaw and R. M. Izatt

Department of Chemistry  
Brigham Young University  
Provo, UT 84602-4672

May 20, 1993

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release  
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

This paper discusses the effect that various dialkyl substituents on chiral pyridino-18-crown-6 (Pyl8C6) ligands have on the molecular recognition of these ligands for the (*R*) and (*S*) enantiomers of NapEt. We have systematically synthesized the necessary chiral pyridino-18-crown-6 macrocycles and determined the extent of molecular recognition between enantiomers of primary ammonium cations. We have published the recognition of dimethyl and di-*t*-butyl pyridino-18-crown-6 with various ammonium salts.<sup>1</sup> The recognition, as measured by the  $\Delta \log K$ , is greater for the di-*tert*-butyl Pyl8C6 than for the dimethyl Pyl8C6, although the  $\log K$  values are less. (Table 1) Both effects are thought to be a result of the steric hinderance provided by the bulky *t*-butyl group. Since the recognition difference was large, the next logical step was to determine if the recognition of several intermediate crowns with dialkyl substituents between methyl and *tert*-butyl follow a logical pattern.

### Results and Discussion

The crowns presented in this paper are (4*R*,14*R*)-4,14-Di-*iso*-propyl-3,6,9,12,15-pentoxa-21-azabicyclo[15.3.1]heneicosa-1(21),17,19-triene (*iso*-propyl Pyl8C6), (4*R*,14*R*)-4,14-Di-*iso*-butyl-3,6,9,12,15-pentoxa-21-azabicyclo[15.3.1]heneicosa-1(21),17,19-triene (*iso*-butyl Pyl8C6), and (4*R*,14*R*)-4,14-Di-[(*S*)-*sec*-butyl-3,6,9,12,15-pentoxa-21-azabicyclo[15.3.1]heneicosa-1(21),17,19-triene (*sec*-butyl Pyl8C6). (Figure 1) All of the chiral crowns in this paper exhibit typical hydrogen bonding behavior shown in Figure 2. Since the N-H...N hydrogen bond is stronger than the N-H...O hydrogen bond, the ligand bonding always includes the N on the pyridino ring.<sup>2</sup> Since both isomers of NapEt exhibit the same hydrogen

bonding pattern it seems the recognition would come from more stereospecific interactions.

Pi-pi attraction interaction occurs between the naphthyl group of the amine and the pyridino group of the crown ether. The optimum orientation of the naphthyl group is directly over the pyridino group, which allows the strongest interaction between the aromatic rings. (Figure 3) For each NapEt isomer, there are two possible orientations of the three NapEt substituents that allow the optimum pi-pi interaction. For (*R*)-NapEt, with (*R,R*) chiral crowns, the guest naphthyl group and/or the methyl group is directed, in both orientations, toward the host alkyl group that lies above the plane of the Pyl8C6. (Figure 4) For (*S*)-NapEt, with (*R,R*) chiral crowns, the naphthyl group and/or the hydrogen is directed, in both orientations, toward the alkyl group that lies above the plane of the Pyl8C6. (Figure 5) Since hydrogen has a smaller van der Waals radius, the steric hinderance of the alkyl group on the Pyl8C6 discriminates against (*R*)-NapEt more than (*S*)-NapEt. For both NapEt isomers, hydrogen bonding and the pi-pi interactions are strong enough to form a stable complex.<sup>3</sup>

The more the alkyl group can interfere with the binding of the amine, specifically by sterically hindering the pi-pi interactions, the more selectivity the Pyl8C6 will show toward NapEt. The order of selectivity, based on this hypothesis, should be *iso*-butyl > *sec*-butyl > *iso*-propyl. This assumes that solvation effects,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction strengths, hydrogen bonding energies, etc. are the same for each isomer of the NapEt-Pyl8C6 complex. Table 2 shows that the  $\Delta \log K$  values for the crown ethers follow the predicted order. The *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6 has two methyl "fingers" extending from a two carbon "arm". (Figure 1) These two methyl groups are better able to sterically hinder the binding of the (*R*)-NapEt isomer through random thermal

motion than the *iso*-propyl and *sec*-butyl Pyl8C6. For *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6 [(*R,R*)-3], the difference in the  $\Delta S$  value for the NapEt isomers provides most of the recognition. (Figures 6 and 7) The ability of the host alkyl group to move freely even after (*S*)-NapEt is bound increases the  $\Delta S$  value. When (*R*)-NapEt is bound the movement of the host alkyl group is hindered by the guest naphthyl group. The host alkyl group can come within van der Waals distance of the guest naphthyl group.<sup>4</sup> This restriction of rotation is consistent with a decrease of the  $\Delta S$  value, thus decreasing the  $\log K$  value. The *sec*-butyl Pyl8C6 [(*R,R*)-2], in comparison, has an ethyl "finger" and a methyl "finger" extending from a one carbon "arm". (Figure 1) Although the ethyl finger on the *sec*-butyl crown extends as far as the *iso*-butyl crown's methyl fingers, there is only one. Again, the difference in  $\Delta S$  values for the NapEt isomers provides most of the recognition. The selectivity of these Pyl8C6 compounds is determined by the steric hinderance caused by the host alkyl group as measured by the  $\Delta S$  values. Based on this logic, it may seem that the *tert*-butyl Pyl8C6 should have less recognition than the *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6 or the *sec*-butyl Pyl8C6 because of the shorter length of the *tert*-butyl group. However, the *tert*-butyl Pyl8C6 [(*S,S*)-5] does not have any hydrogen "fingers" attached to its one carbon "arm". (Figure 1) The *tert*-butyl Pyl8C6 may not extend as far, but will always hinder the binding with at least one methyl "finger" at any orientation.

We expected the  $\Delta \log K$  value to be greater when comparing the *sec*- and *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6. (Figure 8) The *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6 [(*R,R*)-3] should be able to hinder more since it is bulkier at the end of the chain. However, the *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6 has two hydrogen "fingers" on the first carbon off the crown, so the steric hinderance is more like the dimethyl Pyl8C6. The NapEt is able to bind closer, thus displacing more solvent from the Pyl8C6. This explains the slightly larger difference in  $\Delta H$  values for the *iso*-butyl Pyl8C6 than for the

sec-butyl Pyl8C6. The sec-butyl Pyl8C6 [(R,R)-2] looks more like the tert-butyl Pyl8C6 because it only has one hydrogen "finger" on its first carbon. Thus, it cannot bind as close and displace the solvent, so the  $\Delta H$  values for the (R) and (S) isomers are similar. This steric effect accounts for the similar  $\Delta \log K$  values.

The iso-propyl Pyl8C6 [(R,R)-1] has two methyl "fingers" extending from a one carbon "arm". The binding of the amine to this crown is governed by  $\Delta H$  instead of  $\Delta S$ . The  $\Delta S$  value for the binding of the (S)-NapEt isomer is actually negative. However, the  $\Delta H$  value is negative enough to overcome this decrease in entropy. Dimethyl Pyl8C6 is also enthalpy driven. NapEt is probably able to bind closer to these less bulky Pyl8C6 hosts, thereby removing solvent molecules which is consistent with the decreased  $\Delta S$  and  $\Delta H$  values.

1. Huszthy, P.; Bradshaw, J.S.; Zhu, C.Y.; Izatt, R.M.; Lifson, S. J. *Org. Chem.*, 1991, 56, 3330-3336.
2. Vinogradov, S.N.; Linnell, K.H. *Hydrogen Bonding*; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.: New York, 1971.
3. (a) Huszthy, P.; Bradshaw, J.S.; Zhu, C.Y.; Izatt, R.M.; Lifson, S. J. *Org. Chem.*, 1991, 56, 3330-3336. (b) Zhu, C.Y.; Izatt, R.M.; Bradshaw, J.S.; Dalley, N.K. *J. Incl. Phenom.*, 1992, 13, 17-27. (c) Izatt, R.M.; Zhu, C.Y.; Bradshaw, J.S. Enantiomeric Recognition in Macrocyclic-Primary Ammonium Cation Systems. In *Crown Compounds: Toward Future Applications*; Cooper, S.R., Ed.; VCH: New York, in press.
4. Huszthy, P.; Bradshaw, J.S.; Zhu, C.Y.; Izatt, R.M.; Lifson, S. J. *Org. Chem.*, 1991, 56, 3330-3336.

Table 1



Log  $K$ ,  $\Delta H$ ,  $\Delta S$ , and  $\Delta \log K$  values for the interactions of chiral crown ethers with chiral  $\alpha$ -(1-naphthyl)ethylamine (NapEt) in methanol at 25°C.

ligand	Isomer	Log $K$	$\Delta H$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta S$ (kJ/mol)
(S,S)-4	R	3.00±0.02	-29.1±0.1	-12.0
	S	2.76±0.02	-22.3±0.1	-6.50
$\Delta \log K = 0.24$				
(R,R)-5	R	1.33±0.05	Data were obtained by NMR so no additional thermodynamic data are available.	
	S	0.62±0.08		
$\Delta \log K = 0.71$				

Table 2


Log  $K$ ,  $\Delta H$ ,  $\Delta S$ , and  $\Delta \log K$  values for the interactions of chiral crown ethers with chiral  $\alpha$ -(1-naphthyl)ethylamine (NapEt) in methanol at 25°C.

ligand	Isomer	Log $K$	$\Delta H$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta S$ (kJ/mol)
(R,R)-1	R	2.18±0.03	-7.8±0.6	4.6
	S	2.36±0.06	-18.8±2.2	-5.4
$\Delta \log K = 0.18$				
(R,R)-2	R	2.05±0.03	-7.2±0.6	4.5
	S	2.47±0.07	-7.5±0.8	6.6
$\Delta \log K = 0.42$				
(R,R)-3	R	2.22±0.03	-9.3±0.6	3.3
	S	2.73±0.05	-10.0±0.7	5.6
$\Delta \log K = 0.51$				




(*R,R*)-1 iso-propyl Py18C6


(*R,R*)-2 sec-butyl Py18C6



(*R,R*)-3 iso-butyl Py18C6



(*S,S*)-4 dimethyl Py18C6



(*S,S*)-5 di-tert-butyl Py18C6

FIGURE 1






FIGURE 2






FIGURE 3



*(R,R)*-disubstituted pyridino-18-crown-6  
with *(R)*-NapEt

FIGURE 4



*(R,R)*-disubstituted pyridino-18-crown-6  
with *(S)*-NapEt

FIGURE 5

## Comparison of $\Delta H$




FIGURE 6

## Comparison of $T\Delta S$




FIGURE 7

# Comparison of $\Delta\text{Log K}$




FIGURE 8