REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			OMB No. 0704-0188
D-A263 70	is estimated to average 1 hours and reviewing the collection of the subscription of th	in per response, including the time for on of information. Send comments rec in Headquarters Services, Directorate i t and Audret Parameter Resturcts	reviewing instructions, searching existing data s jarding this burden estimate or any other aspect for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jet Discr (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503
	REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AL	ND DATES COVERED
	2/8/93	Final.	25 mar 91-24 Sup 92
			S. FUNDING NUMBERS
	and had a fam. O		
Iterative Encod:	ing methods for Co	omputer Generate	
6. AUTHOR(S)			DAAL03-11-6-00
Dr. Michael R. H	Feldman		DTIC
7 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		IN: PERFORMING OR CHIMATION
University of No	orth Carolina at (Charlotte 🔼	L. MERONP NUMBER
Electrical Engin	neering Department	= / 🔪 🔪	NAY 6 1993
Hwy 49N,Charlott	te, NC 28223		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING
U. S. Army Research	Office		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
P. O. Box 12211			0.000
Research Triangle P	ark, NC 27709-2211		ARO 28416.2-011
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT	ained in this repo as an official Depa so designated by ot	ort are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT c release; distribut:	ained in this report as an official Depa so designated by of lon unlimited.	ort are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT c release; distribut:	ained in this report as an official Depa so designated by of lon unlimited.	ort are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT c release; distribut: pros) g methods capable	ained in this report as an official Depa to designated by of lon unlimited.	ort are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT c release; distribut: ords) g methods capable estigated. An F/1	ained in this report as an official Depa so designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incu- holographic leg	ort are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performans, designed with an
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/l g method was measu	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incu- holographic len ured to have a co-	reasing the performance with an E/l alonget
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Sci	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest reports uared Error Algor	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incu- holographic len ured to have a co- ed efficiency for ithm was develop	reasing the performants, designed with an an F/l element. A
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ADSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time.	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest reports uared Error Algor A hologram was fi	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly included holographic len ured to have a co ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen	reasing the performants, designed with an F/l element. A ped to reduce the herate a 32x32 spot
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The experim	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was fi mental measuremen	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incu- holographic len- ured to have a co- ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen- ts indicated a co-	reasing the performants, designed with an diffraction efficients ped to reduce the merate a 32x32 spot
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ADSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measured he highest reports uared Error Algor A hologram was fi mental measuremen mity of +1%. This ch large sized en	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly included holographic len ured to have a construct ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a construct of arrays.	reasing the performants, designed with an F/l element. A ped to reduce the herate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a Y STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/l g method was measure he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was f mental measuremen mity of +1%. This ch Jarge sized sp	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incu- holographic len- ured to have a co- ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a co- is the highest of arrays.	reasing the performants, designed with an article and the army the performants of the performants and the second s
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The experint of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: a methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measured he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was for mental measuremen mity of +1%. This ch Jarge sized sp	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incomposition holographic len ured to have a compart ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a compart is the highest	reasing the performance of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performance of
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest reports uared Error Algor A hologram was f mental measuremen mity of +1%. This ch large sized sp	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incompleted holographic len ured to have a contract of the abricated to gen ts indicated a contract of the is the highest of arrays.	reasing the performants, designed with an diffraction efficients of performants of the performants of the performant of the performants of the performants of the performants of the performants of the performant of the performa
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The experint of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a or statement a release; distribut: ords) g methods capable estigated. An F/l g method was measure he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was for mental measuremen mity of +1%. This ch large sized sp	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incomposition holographic len ured to have a contract of ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a contract of the is the highest of arrays.	prt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performance in a signed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the herate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 3-09747
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The experint of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: () g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was fi mental measuremen mity of +1%. This ch Jarge sized sp	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incompleted ured to have a construct of efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a construct of arrays.	reasing the performants, designed with an diffraction efficient ped to reduce the merate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction diffraction efficient diffraction efficient diffraction efficient diffraction efficient reported diffraction diffraction efficient reported diffraction diffraction efficient reported diffraction diffraction efficient diffraction efficient reported diffraction diffraction diffraction efficient reported diffraction diffr
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, of 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ADSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo- iterative encoding of CGH's were invo- iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc-	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a y STATEMENT c release; distribut: g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was for mental measuremen, mity of +1%. This ch Jarge sized sp	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of the unlimited. of greatly incomposition of greatly incomposition and to have a composition and to have a composition and efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a composition is the highest of arrays.	prt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performan and, designed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the herate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 3-09747
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, o 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of CGH's were inve iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The experint of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc 14. SUBJECT TERMS	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incompleted ured to have a construct of efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a construct of arrays.	prt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performan hs, designed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the merate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 3-09747 3-09747 15. NUMBER OF PAGES
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, of 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ADSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo iterative encoding of CGH's were invo iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc 14. SUBJECT TERMS CGH's, Binary Opt	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a r decision, unless a r statement c release; distribut: a methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest reports uared Error Algor A hologram was for mental measuremen, mity of ±1%. This ch Jarge sized sp tics, Holography,	ained in this report as an official Depart to designated by of the unlimited. of greatly incomposition of greatly incomposition and to have a composition and efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a composition is the highest of arrays.	brt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performance is designed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the nerate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 3-09747 3-09747 15. NUMBER OF PAGES ding
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, of 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were inve- iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for such 14. SUBJECT TERMS CGH's, Binary Opt spot arrays, opt	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut: ord;) g methods capable estigated. An F/l g method was measure he highest report uared Error Algor A hologram was f mental measuremen, mity of +1%. This ch Jarge sized sp tics, Holography, ical interconnect	ained in this report a an official Depart to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incomplicated ured to have a construct of efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to get ts indicated a construction is the highest of arrays.	brt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performan is, designed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the nerate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 03-09747 WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, of 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ADSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo- iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for suc 14. SUBJECT TERMS CGH's, Binary Opt spot arrays, opt 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	and/or findings cont id not be construed a or decision, unless a y STATEMENT c release; distribut: and g methods capable estigated. An F/1 g method was measure he highest reports uared Error Algor A hologram was for mental measuremen mity of ±1%. This ch Jarge sized sp tics, Holography, ical interconnect. 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	ained in this reports an official Departs to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly incomposition of greatly incomposition and a state of the abricated to get the was develop abricated to get to indicated a construction is the highest of arrays.	brt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performance is designed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the herate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 3-09747 3-09747 3-09747 15. NUMBER OF PAGES ding 16. PRICE COOE 16. PRICE COOE
The view, opinions author(s) and shoul position, policy, of 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILIT Approved for public 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we Iterative encoding of CGH's were invo- iterative encoding of 87%. This is the recursive Mean Squ computation time. array. The expering of 72% and uniform efficiency for such 14. SUBJECT TERMS CGH's, Binary Opt spot arrays, opt 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	and/or findings cont Id not be construed a or decision, unless a v STATEMENT c release; distribut:	ained in this reports an official Departs to designated by of lon unlimited. of greatly included nolographic lend ured to have a construct of ed efficiency for ithm was develop abricated to gen ts indicated a construct of its the highest of arrays.	brt are those of the artment of the Army ther documentation. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE reasing the performance in a designed with and diffraction efficient or an F/l element. A ped to reduce the nerate a 32x32 spot diffraction efficient reported diffraction 03-09747 WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW

Final Report

Contract title:	Iterative Encoding Methods for Computer Generated Holograms			
Performing Organization:	University of North Carolina at Charlotte (UNCC)			
Period Covered by Report: 1 October 1991 - 30 September 1992				
ARO Proposal Number:	28416-PH			
Contract Number:	DAAL03-91-G-0091			
Author of Report:	Dr. Michael R. Feldman			
Personnel Supported:	Jared Stack, Graduate student			
	Nianglamching Hangzo, Graduate student			
	Hudson Welch, Graduate student			
	Michael Feldman, Assistant Professor			
Report of Inventions:	None			

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 6

Enclosure (1)

Accesi	on For			
NTIS DTIC Unanni Justific	CRA&I TAB ounced			
By Distrib	ution (
Availability Codes				
Dist A-1	Avail and Specie	d į or N		

Statement of Problem Studied

Iterative encoding methods capable of greatly increasing the performance of CGH's were investigated. The goal was to develop new methods and/or modify previous methods to improve the performance of CGH's for specific applications. Several applications were to be identified near the beginning of the project. Performance was to be measured in terms of diffraction efficiency and signal-to-noise ration while limited to specific practical constraints. Such practical constraints included: computation time and fabrication limitations (minimum feature size and positioning resolution).

Significant Results

The first prototype CGH encoded with the recently developed Radially Symmetric Iterative Discrete On-axis (RSIDO) encoding method was measured experimentally. This hologram was fabricated in silicon by a deposition lift-off process and was coated with an anti-reflection layer on both sides. It was a holographic lens with an F-number of 1. The experimentally measured diffraction efficiency was 87% [1]. This is the highest reported efficiency of an F/1 element. The previously highest reported diffraction efficiency of an F/1 element was 52%.[2].

A modified version of the Iterative Discrete On-axis (IDO) encoding method[3] was developed to decrease the computation time. This was achieved through the use of a Recursive Mean Squared Error (RMSE) algorithm. Results showed that if the hologram was limited by fabrication requirements the modified IDO algorithm can reduce the computation time at a cost of less diffraction efficiency. (For example for a 32x32 spot array can be generated with a 128x128 cell CGH in about 1/5 the computation time needed for the original IDO method and with about 6% lower diffraction efficiency). On the other hand, if the CGH design process is limited by computation time, then the RMSE algorithm can provide higher performance. For example, a 32x32 spot array can be generated with a 256x256 cell CGH with a diffraction efficiency of ~76% and with ~86 hours of CPU time on a SUN Sparestation. Comparing this to a 128x128 CGH encoded with the original IDO method, this corresponds to a savings of 50 hours in CPU time, an increase of ~5% in diffraction efficiency, and a large increase in signal spot power uniformity [4].

Experimental CGH's were fabricated to verify the efficiency of the above encoding method. A 32 x 32 spot array was fabricated with a uniformity within $\pm 1\%$ of a central value and a diffraction efficiency of 72%. We believe this is the highest efficiency reported for large size spot arrays. (Previously reported diffraction efficiencies and array sizes include: 72% for a 3x3[3], 62% for a 5x5[5] and 25% for an 81x81 spot array[6]).

Extensive theoretical comparisons between the IDO method and other encoding methods were performed to find conditions under which IDO achieves higher performance.

List of Publications/Reports/Presentations

1. J. D. Stack and M. R. Feldman, "Recursive mean-squared-error algorithm for iterative discrete on-axis encoded holograms," Applied Optics 31, 4839-4846, 1992.

2. W. H. Welch, J. E. Morris and M. R. Feldman, "Iterative Discrete On-axis Encoding of radially symmetric computer generated holograms," Submitted for publication to JOSA-A, 1992.

3. Jared D. Stack and Michael R. Feldman, "Iterative Discrete On-axis Encoding Of Computer Generated Holograms, Optimized for Generation of Large Spot Arrays," OSA Annual Meeting, 1991.

4. W. Hudson Welch, James E. Morris and Michael R. Feldman, "Design and Fabrication of Radially Symmetric Computer Generated Holograms," OSA Annual Meeting, 1991.

5. J. D. Stack and M. R. Feldman, "Iterative Discrete On-axis Endoding for generating Spot arrays," to be submitted to Appl. Opt.

F. Degrees Awarded to Supported Personnel

Hudson Welch M.S. Electrical Engineering

Jared Stack M.S. Electrical Engineering

Nianglamching Hangzo M.S. Electrical Engineering

Bibliography

1. W. H. Welch, J. E. Morris and M. R. Feldman, "Iterative Discrete On-axis Encoding of radially symmetric computer generated holograms," Submitted for publication to <u>JOSA-A</u>, 1992.

2. J. R. Leger, M. L. Scott, P. Bundman and M. P. Griswold, "Astigmatic wavefront correction of a gain-guided laser diode array using anamorphic diffractive microlenses," <u>Computer Generated Holography II. Proc. SPIE</u>, vol. 884, pp. 82-89, 1988.

3. Michael R. Feldman and C. C. Guest, "Iterative Encoding of High Efficiency Holograms for Generation of Spot Arrays", Optics Letters, vol. 14, pp. 479-481,1989.

4. J. D. Stack and M. R. Feldman, "Recursive mean-squared-error algorithm for iterative discrete on-axis encoded holograms," <u>Applied Optics.</u> vol. 31, 4839-4846, 1992.

5. S. J. Walker and J. Jahns, "Array Generation with Multilevel Phase Gratings," JOSA-A, vol. 7, pp. 1509-1513, 1990.

6. F. B. McCormick, "Generation of large spot arrays from a single laser beam by multiple imaging with binary phase gratings," <u>Optical Engineering</u>, vol. 28, pp. 299-304, 1989.