REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OBM Nation 0704-0188	
-A263 253	is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching exists ig data sources, gathing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden or any other aspect of this collection of information, including sug- services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Anington, VA 22202 430 uption Project (0704 0188), Washington, DC 20503.			
	2. Report Date. 1993	3. Report Type and Final - Proceeding	Dates Covered. ngs	
Variational methods and the derivation of shell theories to approximate vibrations of bounded elastic shells		ximate	5. Funding Num Contract Program Element	bers.
3. Author(s). C. E. Dean and M. F. Werby			Task No Accession No Work Unit No.	03202 350 DN25501 12211B
¹ . Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(ss). Naval Research Laboratory Center for Environmental Acoustics Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-5004			8. Performing Orgenization Report Number PR 91:112:221	
9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(e) and Address(es). Naval Research Laboratory Basic Research Management Office Sternnis Space Center, MS 39529-5004			10, Sponsoring/Monitoring Age Report Number, PR 91:112:221	
11. Supplementary Notes. Published in IMACS, Computat	ional Acoustics.	E		
12a. Distribution Availability Statement.			12b. Distribution Code.	
13. Abstract (Maximum 200 words).			. <u></u>	
the calculation of vibrations, when using the exact elastodyn the motion of the shell surface v is minimized when various cons shell theories. We can use the exact results. We may then ran the methods can then be outlin	and in particular, resonances from amic equations. Thus, a popular a when subjected to disturbances. T traints are imposed. We exploit th resulting expressions to calculate k the various approximations in or ed as well as those of shell method	pproach has been to e his can be done using e technique using varie resonances over a fro der of their agreement ods in general.	s can be quite teolo mploy various dyna variational conside ous assumptions w equency range and to the exact results 3-0769	us and time co mic assumptio rations in which hich give rise to compare then s. Limitations of
	13 1			
14. Subject Terms,			115. N	umber of Pages
14. Subject Terms. Acoustic scattering, shallow w	vater, waveguide propagation		15. N 5 16. Pi	ice Code.
14. Subject Terms. Acoustic scattering, shallow v 17. Security Classification of Report. Unclassified	vater, waveguide propagation 18. Security Classification of This Page. Unclassified	19. Security Class of Abstract. Unclassified	15. Ni 5 16. Pi 16. Ch 16. S/ S/	initation of A

à



Math Modelling and Sci. Computing. Vol. 2, pp 882-886, 1993 Principia Scientia. Printed in U.S.A. ISSN 1067-0688/93 \$5.00 + 0.00

Variational methods and the derivation of shell theories to approximate vibrations of bounded elastic shells

C. E. Dean and M. F. Werby

Naval Oceanographic and Atmospheric Research Laboratory Theoretical Acoustics Code 221 Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-5004

ABSTRACT

The calculation of vibrations, and in particular, resonances from bounded elastic shells can be quite tedious and time consuming when using the exact elastodynamic equations. Thus, a popular approach has been to employ various dynamic assumptions about the motion of the shell surface when subjected to disturbances. This can be done using variational considerations in which energy is minimized when various constraints are imposed. We exploit the technique using various assumptions which give rise to several shell theories. We can use the resulting expressions to calculate resonances over a frequency range and compare them with the exact results. We may then rank the various approximations in order of their agreement to the exact results. Limitations of each of the methods can then be outlined as well as those of shell methods in general.

KEYWORDS

Shell theory; elastic; variational methods; nontorsional

INTRODUCTION

The standard assumptions used in shell theory were formulated by A. E. H. Love (Love, 1944) and are as follows: (1) The thickness of a shell is small compared with the smallest radius of curvature of the shell; (2) The displacement is small in comparison with the shell thickness; (3) The transverse normal stress acting on planes parallel to the shell middle surface is negligible; (4) Fibers of the shell normal to the middle surface remain so after deformation and are themselves not subject to elongation. We use these assumptions in the development of a shell theory for an elastic spherical shell in the spirit of Timoshenko-Mindlin plate theory

DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS OF MOTION

In spherical shells membrane stresses (proportional to β) predominate over flexural stresses (proportional to β^2) where

$$B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \frac{h}{a}.$$
 (1)

We differ from the standard derivation for the sphere (Junger and Feit, 1986) by retaining all terms of order β^2 in both the kinetic and potential energy parts of the Lagrangian. We note that this level of approximation will allow us to include the effects of rotary inertia and shear distortion in our shell theory. We begin our derivation by considering a u,v,w axis system on the middle surface of a spherical shell of radius *a* (measured to mid-shell) with thickness *h*, as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Spherical shell showing the coordinate system used.

Lagrangian Variational Analysis

Thus the new Lagrangian (which is equivalent to a Timoshenko-Mindlin theory as applied to a spherical shell) is

$$L = T - V + W, \tag{2}$$

where the kinetic energy is

х

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \rho_s \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} (\dot{u}_s^2 + \dot{w}_s^2)(a+x)^2, \qquad (3)$$

with the surface displacements taken to be linear as in Timoshenko-Mindlin plate theory:

$$\begin{array}{l} = (1 + \frac{x}{a})\dot{u} - \frac{x}{a}\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}, \\ \dot{w}_{,} = \dot{w}. \end{array}$$

$$(4)$$

There is no movement in the v-direction since the sound field can be assumed, without loss of generality, as torsionless. By substitution, the kinetic energy is

$$T = \pi \rho_s \int_0^{\theta} \sin \theta [(\frac{h^3}{80a^2} + \frac{h^3}{2} + ha^2)\dot{u}^2 - 2(\frac{h^3}{80a^2} + \frac{h^3}{4})\dot{u}\frac{\partial\dot{w}}{\partial\theta} + (\frac{h^3}{80a^2} + \frac{h^3}{12})(\frac{\partial\dot{w}}{\partial\theta})^2 + (\frac{h^3}{12} + ha^2)\dot{w}^2]d\theta, \quad (6)$$

or, simplifying.

$$T = \pi \rho_{s} h a^{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left[(1.8\beta^{4} + 6\beta^{1} + 1)\dot{u}^{2} - (3.6\beta^{4} + 6\beta^{2})\dot{u}\frac{\partial\dot{v}}{\partial\theta} + (1.8\beta^{4} + \beta^{2})(\frac{\partial\dot{v}}{\partial\theta})^{2} + (\beta^{2} + 1)\dot{w}^{2} \right] \sin\theta d\theta, \quad (7)$$

which to order β^2 is

C C - 1

884

Proc. of the 8th ICMCM

$$T = \pi \rho_{s} h a^{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} [(1 + 6\beta^{2})\dot{u}^{2} - 6\beta^{2} \dot{u} \frac{\partial \dot{w}}{\partial \theta} + \beta^{2} (\frac{\partial \dot{w}}{\partial \theta})^{2} + (1 + \beta^{2})\dot{w}^{2}] \sin \theta d\theta.$$
(8)

In a similar fashion the potential energy is

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma_{\phi\phi} \varepsilon_{\phi\phi} + \sigma_{\phi\phi} \varepsilon_{\phi\phi}) (x+a)^2 \sin\theta dx d\theta d\phi, \qquad (9)$$

which by substitution becomes

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1\pi} \int_{-A/2}^{A/2} \left[\frac{E}{1 - v^{2}} \frac{1}{(x + a)^{2}} \left[\left[(1 + \frac{x}{a}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{x}{a} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}} + w^{2} \right] \right] + \left[\cot \theta \left[(1 + \frac{x}{a}) u - \frac{x}{a} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} \right] + w \right] \left[(1 + \frac{x}{a}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{x}{a} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}} + w \right] \right] (x + a)^{2} \sin \theta dx d\theta \phi.$$
(10)

or finally,

$$V = \frac{\pi E h}{1 - v^2} \int_0^u \left\{ (w + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})^2 + (w + u \cot \theta)^2 + 2v(w + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})(w + u \cot \theta) + \beta^2 \left[(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2})^2 \cot^2 \theta (u - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta})^2 + 2v \cot \theta (u - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta})(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2}) \right] \sin \theta d\theta,$$
(11)

where the nonvanishing components of the strain are

$$\varepsilon_{ee} = \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + w \right) + \frac{x}{a^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right), \tag{12}$$

and

and

$$\varepsilon_{\bullet\bullet} = \frac{1}{a} (\cot \theta u + w) + \frac{x}{a^2} \cot \theta \left(u - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} \right), \tag{13}$$

with nonzero stress components are

$$\sigma_{ee} = \frac{E}{1 - v^2} (\varepsilon_{ee} + v \varepsilon_{ee}), \qquad (14)$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{E}{1 - v^2} (\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} + v\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}), \qquad (15)$$

where E is Young's modulus.

Finally, the work done by the surrounding fluid on the sphere is

$$W = 2\pi a^2 \int_0^{\pi} \rho_s w \sin \theta d\theta,$$
(16)

where p_a is the pressure at the surface of the shell.

Lagrangian Density and Equations of Motion

Since the integration along the polar angle is intrinsic to the problem, the solution must be found using a Lagrangian density:

$$L_{1} = \pi \rho_{s} h a^{2} [(1 + 6\beta^{2})\dot{u}^{2} - 6\beta^{2} \dot{u} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} + \beta^{2} (\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta})^{2} + (1 + \beta^{2})\dot{w}^{2}] \sin \theta - \frac{\pi L h}{1 - v^{2}} [(w + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})^{2} + (w + u \cot \theta)^{2} + 2v(w + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})(w + u \cot \theta) + \beta^{2} [(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}})^{2} + \cot^{2} \theta (u - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta})^{2} + 2v \cot \theta (u - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta})(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}})]] \sin \theta + 2\pi a^{2} \rho_{s} w \sin \theta, \qquad (17)$$

with corresponding differential equations

$$0 = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u} - \frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u_{\theta}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u_{\theta}}.$$
 (18)

and

$$0 = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial w} - \frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial w_{\theta}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial w_{t}}.$$
 (19)

Substituting, we find

ware of

a ch

;

,

,

A. B. Martin and

Vibrations of Bounded Elastic Shells

$$0 = (1 + \beta^{2}) \left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta^{2}} + \cot \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} - (v + \cot^{2} \theta) u \right] - \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} w}{\partial \theta^{3}} - \beta^{2} \cot \theta \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}} + [(1 + v) + \beta^{2} (v + \cot^{2} \theta)] \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} - \frac{a^{2}}{c_{p}^{2}} [(1 + 6\beta^{2})\ddot{u} - 3\beta^{2} \frac{\partial \ddot{w}}{\partial \theta}],$$
(20)

and

$$\rho_{\sigma} \frac{(1-v^{2})a^{2}}{Eh} = \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{3}u}{\partial\theta^{3}} + 2\beta^{2} \cos\theta \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial\theta^{2}} - [(1+v)(1+\beta^{2}) + \beta^{2} \cot^{2}\theta)] \frac{\partial u}{\partial\theta}$$
$$-\beta^{2} \cot\theta(2-v + \cot^{2}\theta) \frac{\partial w}{\partial\theta} - 2(1+v)w - \frac{a^{2}}{c_{\rho}^{2}}(1+\beta^{2})\ddot{w}.$$
(21)

Differential equations (20) and (21) have solutions of the form

$$u(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n (1 - \eta^2)^{1/2} \frac{dP_n}{d\eta}.$$
 (22)

and

$$w(\eta) = \sum_{a=0}^{n} W_a P_a(\eta), \qquad (23)$$

where $\eta = \cos \theta$ and $P_{\alpha}(\eta)$ are the Legendre polynomials of the first kind of order α . The differential equations of motion (20) and (21) are satisfied if the expansion coefficients U_{α} and W_{α} satisfy a homogeneous system of linear equations.

Vacuum Case

If we consider the simpler vacuum case first, where $p_0 = 0$, the linear equations are

$$0 = [\Omega^{2}(1+6\beta^{2}) - (1+\beta^{2})\kappa]U_{*} - [\beta^{2}(\kappa-3\Omega^{2}) + 1 + \nu]W_{*}, \qquad (24)$$

and

$$0 = -\lambda_{*}(\beta^{2}\kappa + 1 + \nu)U_{*} + [\Omega^{2}(1 + \beta^{2}) - 2(1 + \nu) - \beta^{2}\kappa\lambda_{*}]W_{*}, \qquad (25)$$

where $\Omega = \omega a / c_p$, $\kappa = v + \lambda_a - 1$, and $\lambda_a = n(n + 1)$. The determinant of (24) and (25) yields a frequency equation of the form

$$D = \Omega^{4} (1 + 7\beta^{2}) + \Omega^{2} \{ -(1 + 2\beta^{2})\kappa - [2(1 + \nu)(1 + 6\beta^{2}) + \beta^{2}\kappa\lambda_{a}] + 3\beta^{2}\lambda_{a}(1 + \nu) \} + (\lambda_{a} - 2)(1 - \nu^{2}) + \beta^{2}\kappa[\kappa\lambda_{a} + 2(1 - \lambda_{a})(1 + \nu)].$$
(26)

Fluid Loaded Case

We begin consideration of the fluid loaded case by noting that "for a plate, fluid loaded on one side, of mass per unit area $\rho_i h$, the appropriate nondimensional measure of fluid loading at a frequency ω is $\rho c / \omega \rho_i h$." (Junger and Feit, 1986, p. 237). Analogously, we may expand the surface pressure for a sphere in terms of modal specific acoustic impedances z_i as follows,

 $p(a,\theta,\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z_n \dot{W}_{nm} P_n^m(\cos\theta) \cos m\phi, \qquad (27)$

where

$$z_{n} = i\rho c \frac{h_{n}(ka)}{h_{n}'(ka)}.$$
(28)

Splitting z_s into real and imaginary parts, we have $z_s = r_s - i\omega m_s$,

where

$$r_{a} = \rho c \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{ih_{a}(ka)}{h_{a}^{\prime}(ka)}\right\}.$$
(30)

and

$$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{a}} = -\frac{\rho c}{\omega} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{i h_{\mathbf{a}}(ka)}{h_{\mathbf{a}}'(ka)} \right\}.$$
(31)

For our simpler case of nontorsional ensonification, the surface pressure expansion simplifies to

1

885

.....

(29)

886

Proc. of the 8th ICMCM

$$p_{a}(\theta) = -\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} z_{a} \dot{W}_{a} P_{a}(\cos \theta), \qquad (32)$$

which by substitution becomes

$$p_{\bullet}(\theta) = -\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (-i\omega W_{a}r_{a} - \omega^{2}W_{a}m_{a})P_{a}(\cos\theta).$$
(33)

Substitution of (33) into (20) and (21) will result in simultaneous linear equations of the form:

 $0 = \{\Omega^{2}(1+6\beta^{2}) - (1+\beta^{2})\kappa\}U_{s} - \{\beta^{2}(\kappa-3\Omega^{2}) + 1 + \nu\}W_{s},$ (34)

and

and the second second

A DATE OF A

The Article

A Charles States and

$$0 = -\lambda_{*}(\beta^{2}\kappa + 1 + \nu)U_{*} + [\Omega^{2}(1 + \frac{m_{*}}{\rho_{*}h} + \beta^{2}) + i\frac{a}{h}\frac{r_{*}}{\rho_{*}c_{*}}\Omega - 2(1 + \nu) - \beta^{2}\kappa\lambda_{*}]W_{*}.$$
 (35)

Setting the real part of the determinant of (34) and (35) to zero results in a quadratic equation in Ω^2 for the fluid loaded case. If we define

$$x = \frac{m_a}{\rho_a h},\tag{36}$$

and neglect terms of order greater than β^2 , then the quadratic is, finally,

$$0 = \Omega^{4} (1 + \alpha + 7\beta^{2} + 6\alpha\beta^{2}) - \Omega^{2} [(1 + \alpha + 2\beta^{2} + \alpha\beta^{2})\kappa + 2(1 + \nu)(1 + 6\beta^{2}) + \beta^{2}\kappa\lambda_{a} - 3\beta^{2}\lambda_{a}(1 + \nu)] + (\lambda_{a} - 2)(1 - \nu^{2}) + \beta^{2}\kappa[\kappa\lambda_{a} + 2(1 - \lambda_{a})(1 + \nu)].$$
(37)

CONCLUSIONS

With (26) and (37) in hand, the obvious next step is to test the results numerically against exact results. We note that by setting β^2 to zero we revert our solutions to previously derived models (Junger and Feit, 1986). Similarly, setting α to zero in (37) is equivalent to removing the fluid loading from the model. This makes (37) revert to (26). By alternately retaining or zeroing β^2 in (26), and similarly for α in (37), we have three distinct models with differing degrees of physicality. We can use the resulting expressions to calculate resonances over a frequency range and compare them with the exact results. We may then rank the various approximations in order of their agreement to the exact results. Limitations of each of the methods can then be outlined as well as those of shell methods in general.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank the Office of Naval Research, the Office of Naval Technology, and NOARL Management including Drs. Chin-Bing, Franchi, and Mosely for support of this work. Dr. Dean is at NOARL on an ONT Fellowship. This work was funded by NOARL Program Element 61153N, H. Morris, Program Manager.

REFERENCES

Junger, M.C. and D. Feit (1986). Sound, Structures, and Their Interaction. 2nd ed. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. Love, A.E.H. (1944). A Treatise on the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity. Dover, New York.