AD-A257 346

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-91-J-1910

R & T Code 4131025

Technical Report #48

Substituent Effects and Bonding Characteristics in o-Benzoquinonediiminebis(bipyrdine) Ruthenium(II) Complexes

By

H. Masui, E.S. Dodsworth and A.B.P. Lever*

in

Inorganic Chemistry



York University Department of Chemistry, 4700 Keele St., North-York Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

*This statement should also appear in Item 10 of the Document Control Data-DD form 1473. Copies of the form available from cognizant contract administrator

12 11 in Uni



- eport on ourden for this collection	DOCUMENTATION P	PAGE	Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188
 	n of information is estimated to average to ocur pe- led, and completing and review ing the idlighting on estions for reducing this burden to Washington H 222024302, and to the Office of Mariagement ar	er response, including the time for reviewin of information. Send comments regarding to leadquarters Services, Directorate for inform a Budget. Paperwork Reduction Project (070	g instructions, searching existing data sounds his burden estimate or any other aspect of hation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jeffe 14-0188), Washington, DC 20503
1 AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave	blank) 2. REPORT DATE October 23, 19	3. REPORT TYPE AND DA 92 Technical - Jun	TES COVERED he 1991 to July 1992
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. F	UNDING NUMBERS
Substituent Eff o-Benzoquinonediim	ects and Bonding Charact inebis(bipyridine)Ruther	teristics in nium(II) Complexes	C - N00014-91-J-19
AUTHOR(S)			PR - 4131025
H. Masui, E.S.	Dodsworth and A.B.P. Lev	ver *	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATIC	N NAME(S) AND ADDRESS/ES)		
		8. P R	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION EPORT NUMBER
A.B.P. Lever. D	epartment of Chemistry		
York University	, 4700 Keele St.		Report #48
North York, Ont	ario M3J 1P3, Canada		1
SPONSORING / MONITORING	AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	S) 10. S	PONSORING / MONITORING
Dr. Ronald A D	e Marco		NEACT REPORT NUMBER
Office of Naval	Research. Chemistry Div	vision	
800 N. Quincy S	t., Arlington, VA 22217	U.S.A.	
1 SUDDIEMENTARY NOTES			·
	ITT STATEMENT		
		120.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
		120.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
		126.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
		120.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 v	vords)	126.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 v	vords)	126.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 v	vords)	126.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs	vords)	chemistry and electro	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2.2'-bipyriding an	vords) tituents on the electrod II (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4.5-digubstituted	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use	vords) tituents on the electrod ^{II} (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines.
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving	DISTRIBUTION CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with	vords) tituents on the electrod II (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth,	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance F	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of	vords) tituents on the electrod II (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance F the o-benzoquinonedimi	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discus	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance H the o-benzoquinonedimi ed in the context of met	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discuss tal-ligand orbital mi	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The xing and insight
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret electronic structu its guinonediimine	vords) tituents on the electrod II (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance H the o-benzoquinonedimi ed in the context of met re. An <u>ab initio</u> study oxidation state, is als	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discus tal-ligand orbital mi of the uncomplexed 1 so included.	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The xing and igand in
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret electronic structu its cuinonediimine 3. SUBJECT TERMS	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the se c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance H the o-benzoquinonedimi ed in the context of met re. An <u>ab initio</u> study oxidation state, is als	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discuss tal-ligand orbital mi of the uncomplexed 1 so included.	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The xing and igand in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret electronic structu its cuinonediimine SUBJECT TERMS Ruthenium, Electr	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance H the o-benzoquinonedimi ed in the context of met re. An <u>ab initio</u> study <u>oxidation state, is als</u>	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discus tal-ligand orbital mi of the uncomplexed 1 so included.	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The xing and igand in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 44
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret electronic structu its cuinonediimine SUBJECT TERMS Ruthenium, Electr Electronic Spectr	vords) tituents on the electrod II(bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance H the o-benzoquinonedimi ed in the context of met re. An <u>ab initio</u> study <u>oxidation state, is als</u> ochemistry, Quinone, Ben a	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discus tal-ligand orbital mi of the uncomplexed 1 so included.	DISTRIBUTION CODE mic = nes, dines. a insight pectra are and bands lata. The sed. The xing and igand in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 44 16. PRICE CODE
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret electronic structu its guinonediimine 4. SUBJECT TERMS Ruthenium, Electr Electronic Spectr	vords) tituents on the electrod II (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamided d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance I the o-benzoquinonedimi ed in the context of met re. An <u>ab initio</u> study <u>oxidation state</u> , is als ochemistry, Quinone, Ber a 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discus tal-ligand orbital mi of the uncomplexed 1 so included. nzoquinonediimine, 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	DISTRIBUTION CODE nic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The xing and igand in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 44 16. PRICE CODE 420. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) The effect of subs spectroscopy of Ru 2,2'-bipyridine an o-semiquinonediimi These data are use function of the Ha into the electroni characterized with are assigned with solvatochromism of data are interpret electronic structu its cuinonediimine 4. SUBJECT TERMS Ruthenium, Electr Electronic Spectr 7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	vords) tituents on the electrod II (bpy) ₂ LL complexes is d LL = 4,5-disubstituted nes, o-phenylenediamides d to create a map of the mmett parameter of the s c behavior of these comp respect to energy, inte support from resonance H the o-benzoquinonediimi ed in the context of met re. An <u>ab initio</u> study <u>oxidation state</u> , is als ochemistry, Quinone, Bes a 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	chemistry and electro reported, where bpy d o-benzoquinonediimi s and o-phenylenediam s orbital energies as substituents, giving plexes. Electronic s ensity and bandwidth, Raman (rR) and FTIR d ine species is discuss tal-ligand orbital mi of the uncomplexed 1 so included. nzoquinonediimine,	DISTRIBUTION CODE mic = nes, ines. a insight pectra are and bands ata. The sed. The xing and igand in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 44 16. PRICE CODE 420. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA

2

Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-16 298-102

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2)* Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

Dr. James S. Murday (1) Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Robert Green, Director (1) Chemistry Division, Code 385 Naval Air Weapons Center Weapons Division China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Dr. Elek Lindner (1) Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center RDT&E Division San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Dr. Bernard E. Douda (1) Crane Division Naval Surface Warfare Center Crane, Indiana 47522-5000 Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1) Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1) Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Detachment Annapolis, MD 21402-1198

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer (1) Code 2840 Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Detachment Annapolis, MD 21402-1198

Ζ,

Defense Technical Information Center (2) Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

DIIC QUALITY INTERES

* Number of copies to forward

Acces	sion Fo	F /
NTIS	GRARI	
DTIC	TAB	
Unaan	ownced	
Justi	ricatio	n
By	ibution	1
Avai	labilit	y Codes
	Avail a	no/or
Dist	Spec	al
		1
	~	

Professor Hector Abruña Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853 Dr. Allen J. Bard Department of Chemistry University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712-1167

Professor Lesser Blum Department of Physics University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931 Professor Daniel Buttry Department of Chemistry University of Wyoming Laramie, WY 82071

Professor Richard M. Crooks Department of Chemistry University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131 Professor Andrew Ewing Department of Chemistry 152 Davey Laboratory Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Professor Gregory Farrington University of Pennsylvania Department of Materials Science and Engineering 3231 Walnut Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 Professor W. R. Fawcett Department of Chemistry University of California, Davis Davis, CA 95616

Dr. John J. Fontanella Physics Department U.S. Naval Academy Annapolis, MD 21402-5026 Professor Harry Gray California Institute of Technology 127-72 Pasadena, California 91125

*

.

۶,

Professor Joel Harris Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Dr. Adam Heller Department of Chemical Engineering University of Texas at Austin Austin TX 78712-1062

Professor Pat Hendra The University Southampton SO9 5NH England Professor Joseph Hupp Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208

Professor Jiri Janata Department of Bioengineering University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Professor A B. P. Lever Department of Chemistry

.

Department of Chemistry York University 4709 Keele Street North York, Ontario M3J 1P3

Professor Nathan S. Lewis Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 Dr. Bor Yann Liaw University of Hawaii at Manoa 2540 Maile Way, Spalding 253 Honolulu, HI 96822

Professor Rudolph Marcus Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 Professor Charles Martin Department of Chemistry Colorado State University Ft. Collins, CO 80523 Dr. Donald Maricle International Fuel Cells P. O. Box 739 195 Governors Highway South Windsor, CT 06074 Dr. Melvin H. Miles Energetic Materials Branch, Code 3853 Chemistry Division, Research Department Naval Weapons Center China Lake CA 93555

Professor Royce W. Murray Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Chapel Hill, NC 27514 Dr. David J. Nagel Naval Research Laboratory Code 4600 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Michael R. Philpott IBM Research Division Almaden Research Center 650 Harry Road San Jose, CA 95120-6099 Professor B. S. Pons Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 1

Dr. Mark A. Ratner Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208 Dr. Debra Rolison Code 6170 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375-5000

Dr. Michael J. Sailor Department of Chemistry University of California, San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla CA 92093-0506 Professor Jack Simons Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

>

Professor John L. Stickney University of Georgia Department of Chemistry Cedar Street Athens, GA 30602

.

Professor Eric M. Stuve Dept. of Chemical Engineering, BF-10 University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195

Dr. Stanislaw J. Szpak Code 574 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152-5000 Dr. E. Jennings Taylor Physical Sciences, Inc. 20 New England Business Center Andover MA 01810

τ,

Dr. Petr Vanysek Department of Chemistry Northern Illinois University DeKalb, IL 60115 Professor Michael Weaver Department of Chemistry Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 49707

Professor Henry S. White Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science 421 Washington Avenue, SE University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455 Professor R. Mark Wightman Department of Chemistry CB #3290, Venable Hall The University of North Carolina Chapel Hill NC 27599-3290

Professor George Wilson Department of Chemistry University of Kansas Lawrence, KS 66045 Professor Mark S. Wrighton Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139 Professor Ernest Yeager Case Center for Electrochemical Sciences Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH 44106

.

1

۵

.)

Contribution from the Dept. of Chemistry, York University, North York (Toronto), Ontario, Canada, M3J 1P3. -1-

. 3

Substituent Effects and Bonding Characteristics in o-Benzoquinonediiminebis(bipyridine) Ruthenium(II) Complexes.

By Hitoshi Masui, A. B. P. Lever^{*} and Elaine S. Dodsworth.

The effect of substituents on the electrochemistry and electronic spectroscopy of $Ru^{II}(bpy)_2LL$ complexes is reported, where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine and LL = 4,5-disubstituted o-benzoquinonediimines, o-semiquinonediimines, o-phenylenediamides and o-phenylenediamines. These data are used to create a map of the orbital energies as a function of the Hammett parameter of the substituents, giving insight into the electronic behavior of these complexes. Electronic spectra are characterized with respect to energy, intensity and bandwidth, and bands are assigned with support from resonance Raman (rR) and FTIR data. The solvatochromism of the o-benzoquinonediimine species is discussed. The data are interpreted in the context of metal-ligand orbital mixing and electronic structure. An <u>ab initio</u> study of the uncomplexed ligand in its quinonediimine oxidation state, is also included.

Introduction

Quinonoid ligands¹⁻¹⁹ and their analogues, the o-benzoquinonediimines²⁰⁻³⁹, are redox active species that produce complexes in which mixing between metal and ligand orbitals can play an important role in determining the physical and chemical properties of the complexes. We have been interested in studying how the electronic structures of such complexes may be probed.^{4-8,18,19} When there is considerable covalency between a redox-active metal centre and a coordinated redox-active ligand, the assignment of oxidation states to the metal or to the ligand may be ambiguous. Such is the case for the o-benzoquinonediiminebis(bipyridine) ruthenium(II) complex which can be formally regarded as having a ruthenium(II) quinonoid electronic configuration but has some characteristics of a ruthenium(III) semiquinonoid species.^{18,40}

The degree of covalency between the metal and ligand orbitals is a function of the energies, symmetries and overlap of the valence metal and ligand orbitals. In this study, we were interested in determining how the electronic structure is affected by a change in the energy of the o-benzoquinonediimine valence orbitals, and by a change in the net oxidation state of this ligand.

The energies of the ligand orbitals were adjusted by attaching electron donating or withdrawing substituents onto the 4 and 5 positions of the quinonoid ring. Solvatochromic studies were also carried out to provide a means of assessing the charge transfer character of the electronic transitions exhibited by these complexes. Resonance Raman (rR) and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) vibrational data are also reported in support of our conclusions. The resulting shifts in the redox potentials and charge transfer bands were interpreted using a molecular orbital analysis based on that reported by Magnuson and Taube.⁴¹ Orbital energies are mapped as a function of the Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ values of the substituents, in accordance with spectroscopic assignments, transition energies and redox potentials of the complexes. We also include an <u>ab initio</u> study of the molecular orbital energies of the uncomplexed ligand in its quinonoid oxidation state.

The ligands in the o-benzoquinonediimine, o-semiquinonediimine and o-phenylenediamide oxidation states are designated R_2 -bqdi (carrying no net charge), R_2 -sqdi (carrying one negative charge) and R_2 -opda (carrying two negative charges) respectively, where R denotes the substituent. The neutral o-phenylenediamine ligand is distinguished from the diamide form, where one proton has been removed from each amino group, by the abbreviations R_2 -opda R_2 -opda, respectively.

Experimental Section

Reagents

All of the commercially obtained o-phenylenediamine ligands were reagent grade or better and were used without further purification. Water was doubly distilled (the second time from potassium permanganate), and passed through Barnstead activated charcoal and ion exchange filters. Solvents were dried and distilled by standard methods just prior to use.⁴²

Physical Measurements

Electronic spectra were obtained on CARY model 2400 and Hitachi-Perkin Elmer Model 340 spectrometers. Nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM300 nmr spectrometer. The observed splittings of the nmr signals are described as singlets(s), doublets(d), triplets(t), or multiplets(m). Cyclic and differential pulse voltammograms were obtained using Princeton Applied Research Corporation (PARC) 173, 174 and 179 instrumentation at platinum wire electrodes in dry acetonitrile solutions containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆). A AgCl-coated silver wire, separated from the bulk solution by a frit, served as a quasi-reference electrode, and ferrocene was added as an internal reference. Potentials are reported versus SCE, assuming the ferricenium/ferrocene couple to be at 0.425 V vs. SCE.⁴³ Spectroelectrochemistry was performed either in a Hartl cell⁴⁴ or in a 1 cm glass cuvette using acetonitrile solutions containing 0.3 M TBAPF₆, a platinum mesh working electrode, a nichrome wire counter electrode separated from bulk by a frit, and the aforementioned quasi-reference electrode. Substituent dependence studies were carried out in acetonitrile solutions except where

-3-

٠

otherwise stated.

Resonance Raman spectra in CH_2Cl_2 were recorded at the University of Amsterdam, using a DILOR XY resonance Raman spectrometer. These data were calibrated against solvent bands. A detailed discussion of these data, in relation to those of other similar compounds, will appear elsewhere.⁴⁵

Ab initio calculations were performed using Spartan, (Wavefunction Inc., California) running on a Silicon Graphics Personal Iris computer. The STO3G basis set was used, and the geometry optimized at the AM1 level.

Syntheses

4,5-dimethoxy-1,2-benzenediamine monohydrochloride. The preparation of this compound has previously been reported⁴⁶, however, better yields were obtained by the following method. To a solution of 4,5-dinitroveratrole⁴⁷ (0.500 g; 2.55 mmol) in concentrated HCl (10 mL) was added SnCl₂·2H₂O (3.27 g; 14.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred, in a closed container, for 16 hr during which time the yellow solution became nearly colourless and some product precipitated from solution. Complete precipitation was achieved by saturating the reaction mixture with diethyl ether. The white, granular product was isolated by filtration, washed with 1:9 EtOH:H₂O, diethyl ether and hexanes, and air dried. The product was recrystallized from a minimum amount of 5:1 EtOH:H₂O by the rapid addition of diethyl ether. Large, white plates crystallized within 15 min and were isolated by filtration. Yield = 90% Anal: Calc'd. for C₈H₁₃N₂O₂Cl: C;46.92; H,6.40; N,13.74. Found: C,46.85; H,6.42; N,13.51.

 $[Ru(bpy)_2(R_2-opdaH_2)](PF_6)_2; R = OMe, Me, H, Cl. These, complexes were$ synthesized according to the following general procedure:Dichlorobis(bipyridine)ruthenium(II)⁴⁸ (0.100 g; 0.206 mmol) was suspended in 5 ml ofmethanol under a nitrogen atmosphere. To the suspension was added a 10% excess (1:1stoichiometry) of the appropriate 4,5-disubstituted o-phenylenediamine. The mixture wasrefluxed for 16 hr during which time the initial purple suspension was converted to a blood redsolution. The solution was filtered under an inert atmosphere, and a deoxygenated solution containing NH_4PF_6 (0.3 g) in 5% aqueous acetic acid (5 ml) was added to the filtrate. The mixture was heated briefly to boiling and then allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. The resulting crystals were isolated by quick filtration in air, washed with small amounts of ice cold water, and copious amounts of diethyl ether and hexanes, and dried in vacuo.

NMR data for the R = OMe complex in deuterated acetonitrile referenced to TMS: 3.78(6,s), 4.79(2,d), 5.43(2,d) 6.80(2,s), 7.20(2,t), 7.63(2,t) 7.69(2,d), 7.84(2,t), 8.13(2,t) 8.34(2,d), 8.50(2,d), 8.71(2,d).

 $[Ru(bpy)_2(R_2-bqdi)](PF_6)_2; R = Cl, H, and Me.$ The synthetic procedure for the corresponding R₂-opdaH₂ complexes was followed until the blood-red solution was obtained. After cooling, concentrated ammonia (1.0 mL) was added to the solution and the mixture was bubbled with air until all of the solvent evaporated. The exposure to air deepens the colour of the solution. The residue was redissolved in the minimum amount of methanol and NH₄PF₆ (0.3 g) was added slowly to the solution as an aqueous solution of equal volume. The resulting precipitate was isolated, rinsed with ice water, copious amounts of diethyl ether and hexanes. Recrystallization was achieved by slowly cooling a hot, saturated, aqueous solution of the product.

 $[Ru(bpy)_2(R_2-bqdi)](PF_6)_2; R = NH_2$ and OMe. 1,2,4,5-benzenetetraamine tetrahydrochloride (Aldrich) was used in the synthesis of the diamino-substituted complex while 4,5-dimethoxy-1,2-benzenediamine monohydrochloride (vide supra) was used in the synthesis of the dimethoxy-substituted complex. One equivalent of sodium acetate dihydrate per hydrochloride of ligand was added, in a nitrogen atmosphere, to a mixture containing dichlorobis(bipyridine)ruthenium and 10% excess (1:1 stoichiometry) of ligand in methanol (5 mL) to generate the neutral, free ligand in situ. Following the formation of a blood-red solution, the procedure was then continued as above.

The diamino-substituted complex was purified by soxhlet extraction of the crude solid with dichloromethane. As the extract became concentrated, it yielded fine crystals of the product. NMR data for $R = NH_2$ complex in deuterated acetone, referenced to TMS: 6.20(s,6), 7.49(t,2), 7.75(t,2), 7.84(d,2), 8.08(t,2), 8.21(t,2), 8.39(d,2), 8.70(t,4), 10.46(s,2).

NMR data for the R = OMe complex in deuterated acetonitrile referenced to TMS: 3.84(6,s), 6.40(2,s), 7.41(2,t) 7.56(4,m), 7.90(2,d), 8.03(2,t) 8.11(2,t), 8.21(4,d), 10.94(2,s).

 $[Ru(bpy)_2(NO_2-bqdi)](PF_6)_2$. (N.b. the NO₂ substituted ligand is mono-substituted in the 4 position whereas all other ligands are di-substituted in the 4 and 5 positions). To a suspension of dichlorobis(bipyridine)ruthenium(II) (0.1g; 0.206 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) was added silver nitrate (0.070 g; 0.412 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 3 hr and then filtered through a Celite bed. The blood-red filtrate was deoxygenated, placed under a nitrogen atmosphere, and treated with 4-nitro-1,2-benzenediamine (0.035 g; 0.228 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 16 hr and subsequently filtered. The filtrate was bubbled with air until the solvent evaporated. The resulting residue was further purified by chromatography on a silica column, eluting with a mixture containing 1:1 acetone:water and 1% w/v KCl. The largest fraction was collected and concentrated, and the product was precipitated by the addition of NH₄PF₆.

 $[Ru(bpy)_2((NH_2)_2-opdaH_2)](PF_6)_2$ This complex was obtained by treating a saturated solution of the $(NH_2)_2$ -bqdi complex in 10% aqueous acetic acid with zinc amalgam powder under an inert atmosphere. The solution was filtered to remove excess zinc amalgam and the product was precipitated from the resulting orange-red filtrate by adding an excess of NH_4PF_6 . Microcrystals were isolated by filtration under nitrogen and washed with small amounts of cold 10% acetic acid and copious amounts of diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo.

N.b. Sodium perchlorate (0.3 g) was used instead of NH_4PF_6 in some of the earlier syntheses and seems to produce better quality crystals but the practice was discontinued for safety reasons.

Although the R_2 -bqdi and R_2 -opda H_2 species were directly prepared and obtained as solid materials, the R_2 -sqdi species were not isolated due their rapid oxidation in air. The spectroelectrochemical data for the R_2 sqdi species were obtained by controlled potential reduction of the R_2 -bqdi species at a potential 100 mV negative of the first reduction wave. Chemical Analyses.

 $[Ru(bpy)_{2}(bqdi)](PF_{6})_{2} Anal. \% Calcd for C_{26}H_{22}F_{12}N_{6}P_{2}Ru:$ C, 38.58; H, 2.74; N, 10.38. % Found: C, 38.78; H, 2.90; N, 10.10. $[Ru(bpy)_{2}((NH_{2})_{2}-bqdi)](PF_{6})_{2}\cdot H_{2}O Anal. \% Calcd for C_{26}H_{26}F_{12}N_{8}OP_{2}Ru:$ C, 36.42; H, 3.06; N, 13.07. % Found: C, 36.11; H, 2.91; N, 12.52. $[Ru(bpy)_{2}((CH_{3})_{2}-bqdi)](PF_{6})_{2} Anal. \% Calcd for C_{28}H_{26}F_{12}N_{6}P_{2}Ru:$ C, 40.15; H, 3.13; N, 10.03. % Found: C, 40.24; H, 3.44; N, 10.03. $[Ru(bpy)_{2}(Cl_{2}-bqdi)](PF_{6})_{2} Anal. \% Calcd for C_{26}H_{20}Cl_{2}F_{12}N_{6}P_{2}Ru:$ C, 35.55; H, 2.29; N, 9.57. % Found: C, 35.47; H, 2.65; N, 9.47. $[Ru(bpy)_{2}((OCH_{3})_{2}-opdaH_{2})](ClO_{4})_{2} Anal. \% Calcd for C_{28}H_{26}Cl_{2}N_{6}O_{10}Ru:$ C, 43.20; H, 3.37; N, 10.79. % Found: C, 43.02; H, 3.49; N, 10.68. $[Ru(bpy)_{2}(NO_{2}-bqdi)](PF_{6})_{2} Anal. \% Calcd for C_{26}H_{21}F_{12}N_{7}O_{2}P_{2}Ru:$ C, 36.55; H, 2.48; N, 11.47. % Found: C, 37.31; H, 2.76; N, 11.75.

<u>Summary of Resonance Raman spectra</u>. Data collected in dichloromethane solution, peak energies in cm⁻¹, relative intensities in brackets: $[Ru(bpy)_2(Cl_2-bqdi)]^2$ + Excitation at 543 nm:-310(0.13); 491(0.12); 601(1.0); 656(0.21); 669(0.25); 916(0.087); 1200,1218(0.17); 1264(0.11). $[Ru(bpy)_2(Cl_2-sqdi)]^+$ Excitation at 515 nm:- 604 (bqdi impurity); 665,672(0.8); 1169(0.52); 1263(0.35); [1314(0.39)]; 1484(1.0); [1517(0.52)]; 1557(0.52); 1604(0.2). Peaks in square brackets were not observed in all run of this species and may arise from decomposition products. Excitation at 620 nm:-570(1.0); 666(0.3); 819(0.1); 1140(0.16); 1195(0.41); 1352(0.23); 1477(0.35) cm⁻¹.

Summary of ν (Ru-N) FTIR data. Data collected using KBr pellets. Peak energies in cm⁻¹: R = NO₂ (mono-substituted in the 4-position, chloride salt), 556; R = Cl, 599; R = H, 620; R = Me, 603; R = OMe, 594; R = NH₂, 591 cm⁻¹

-7-

Results and Discussion

A.Molecular Orbital Energy Level Diagram

In the discussion which follows, symmetry labels appropriate to the local C_{2v} point group are used. Ab initio calculations of the free ligand fragment show that the HOMO π level has a₂ symmetry (labelled a₂) and lies approximately 10,000 cm⁻¹ above the SHOMO (second highest occupied MO) which is σ or π depending upon substituent (Table I). The LUMO π^* has b₂ (labelled 2b₂*) symmetry. As discussed previously,^{4,18} group theoretical methods lead to the simplified molecular orbital diagram (Figure 1), appropriate for the bqdi and sqdi oxidation states. The HOMO (π) and LUMO (π^*) of the bqdi ligand will couple to the metal (xy) 2a₂ and (yz) b₂ orbitals respectively, with the latter interaction being more important than the former due to a more favorable overlap. The remaining d(t_{2g}) orbital is (z^2) a₁ (in this framework, see Figure 1, orbitals rotated with axes) and has some σ^* character from interaction with the low lying bqdi 9a₁ orbital. The data below lead to the conclusion that the a₁ and 2a₂ orbitals lie close together; for the purpose of the ensuing discussion they will be assumed to be of roughly equal energy. No evidence was observed for any transitions to the next higher (2nd) LUMO of the bqdi or sqdi species.

B) Electrochemistry.

The cyclic voltammogram of a R₂-bqdi complex, displayed in Figure 2, shows five reversible or quasi-reversible ($i_a = i_c$) coulometrically determined one-electron redox processes. These were assigned previously^{18,26} as shown in Table II. The two most negative processes (V,IV) correspond to the successive reduction of the bipyridine ligands; these have potentials only slightly dependent on the bqdi substituent.

The next inree processes (III,II,I) formally correspond with R_2 -sqdi/ R_2 -opda, R_2 -bqdi/ R_2 -sqdi and Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} . The potentials of these couples all depend upon the Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ parameter of the bqdi substituent, as shown in Figure 3. All of the potentials become more positive as the electron-withdrawing ability of the substituent increases. Since the substituents are each both para and meta to quinonoid nitrogen donor atoms, we used a sum of

the $\sigma_{\rm D}$ values for the R substituent.⁴⁹

Indeed there is quite a large change in the donor character of the quinonoid ligand over this series of substituents. This is recognized from the values of the electrochemical parameter, E_L , for these species,⁵⁰ derived from the Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} potentials listed in Table II. These range from 0.38 for R = NO₂, similar to a phosphite, to 0.01 for R = NH₂, similar to a saturated amine. The electrochemistry of the opdaH₂ species exhibit irreversible redox processes with unusual features which will be discussed elsewhere.⁵¹

C. Electronic Spectra.

The electronic spectral data and band assignments for all complexes discussed in this paper are listed in Table III.

1) o-Benzoquinonediimine species, [Ru(bpy)₂(R₂-bqdi)]²⁺.

The spectrum of a typical bqdi complex shows three low energy charge transfer bands (Figure 4) whose assignments have been discussed.¹⁸ **Band 3** usually appears as a shoulder of moderate intensity ($\epsilon = 5000 - 8000 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$) on the high energy side of **Band 4** and its peak is resolved in complexes having more strongly electron withdrawing substituents. It lies in a region where the rR spectrum of the related [Ru(bpy)₂(o-benzoquinone)]²⁺ complex⁸ confirms the Ru --> $\pi^*(1)$ bpy transition to occur. Further evidence for this assignment comes from the previously described relationship between the Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} redox potential and the energy of this transition, specifically,⁵²

$$h\nu[Ru \rightarrow \pi^{*}(1)bpy] = 0.65 E[Ru^{III}/Ru^{II}] + 2.0 (eV)$$
 (1)

This relationship is expected if the HOMO, which is involved in the redox process, is also the orbital from which the charge transfer transition originates. The HOMO, in this case, is either the a_1 or $2a_2$ level since the b_2 should be stabilized below the former by strong interactions with the bqdi ligand. Using equation (1) and couple I (Table II), there is a good agreement between observed and calculated energies of this transition; thus, **Band 3** is specifically assigned to Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \dots > \pi^*(1)$ bpy. The Ru $1b_2 \dots > \pi^*(1)$ bpy transition must occur at an energy that ranges from 3000 to 8000 cm⁻¹ higher than that of the Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \dots >$ $\pi^*(1)$ bpy transition. This value is estimated by subtracting the energy of **Band 5** (assigned below) from that of **Band 4**. Such a transition has not been observed but may be hidden beneath stronger, adjacent bands.

A transition from the metal to a second bipyridine orbital, $\pi^*(2)$ bpy, occurs at an energy that is approximately 8,000 cm⁻¹ higher than that of **Band 3** and is often obscured by the base of an intense ligand centered $\pi - -> \pi^*$ transition. The Ru $- -> \pi^*(2)$ bpy transition, labelled **Band** 2, is best resolved in the complexes having strongly electron donating substituents and can be used to estimate the energy of the $a_1, 2a_2 - -> \pi^*(1)$ bpy transition when the latter is poorly resolved.

Band 4 is assigned to a transition from the b₂ to the $2b_2^*$ LUMO of the complex¹⁸ on the basis of its relatively high intensity, given that transitions from a₁ or 2a₂ will be weak due to poor overlap. Resonance Raman excitation profiles plotted for the 599 cm⁻¹ (R = 4,5-Cl₂) and the 620 cm⁻¹ (R = H) vibrations, show that there is only one electronic transition within the envelope of **Band 4**. Although isotopic substitution is needed to unequivocally identify this vibration, it is very likely to have considerable ν (Ru-N) character.⁵³ Higher frequency vibrations, e.g. bqdi or bipyridine ligand C=C and C=N, are not enhanced (Figure 5).

Since the intensity of an enhanced vibration is proportional to the square of the displacement and the square of the frequency of the vibration, ie.

$$I_i \alpha \Delta_i^2 \omega_i^2$$

the lack of significantly enhanced vibrations near 1000 - 1600 cm⁻¹, where C=C and C=N stretches occur, provides strong evidence that their displacements are very small compared with that of the lower frequency Ru-N (diimine) stretch. Thus **Band 4** has very little charge transfer character and is essentially a transition localized in the Ru - N (diimine) bond; i.e. a $\pi - > \pi^*$ transition involving this bond.

Band 4, which is unusually narrow, is skewed Gaussian⁵⁴ in shape, being 30 - 50% broader on the high energy side, probably due to vibronic coupling and to overlap with the adjacent **Band 3**. For discussion purposes, the bandwidth at 1/e height of this transition was

obtained by doubling the measured half-bandwidth at 1/e height from the centre of the band to lower energy.

It is not clear whether **Band 5** comprises one or two transitions but in some instances the shape of the band suggests the presence of two transitions of similar energy (Figure 4). The band is very weak, and by analogy to the assignments given for the spectrum of $[Cl(NH_3)_4Os(Hpz)]^2$ + by Magnuson and Taube,⁴¹ is assigned to the symmetry allowed but overlap-forbidden transitions Ru $a_{1,2a_{2}} \rightarrow 2b_{2}^{*}$ (LUMO) metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT).

a) Substituent Dependence.

The energies of **Bands 3**, 4 and 5 are all dependent upon the bqdi substituent as shown in Table III and Figure 6. Generally, as the substituent becomes more electron-withdrawing **Band** 3 blue shifts and **Band 5** red shifts, both to a significant degree and both linearly with respect to the Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ parameter. In acetonitrile solutions, **Band 4** follows a non-linear dependence with a much smaller variation. Specifically, the energy of **Band 4** increases with $\Sigma \sigma_p$ from diamino ($\Sigma \sigma_p = -1.32$; $E = 18,100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) to unsubstituted ($\Sigma \sigma_p = 0.00$; $E = 19,450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) but falls as $\Sigma \sigma_p$ becomes even more positive as, for example, the nitro substituent ($\Sigma \sigma_p = + 0.78$; $E = 19,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The bandwidth of **Band 4** generally increases with $\Sigma \sigma_p$, however, the data points deviate from the best fit line in such a way that the deviations parallel the peculiar substituent dependence of the transition energy (see further discussion below).

In aqueous acidic media, the amino groups in the $R = NH_2$ species can be protonated, causing **Bands 3** and 4 to blue shift, while **Band 5** red shifts (Table III). A sigmoidal titration curve (Figure 7) of the absorption intensity of **Band 4** as a function of pH allows one to estimate the pK_a value of the complex; it is found to be 0.52±0.1.

In 50% sulfuric acid the spectrum is further shifted and the complex is now apparently di-protonated. Certainly, a fit of the band energies to $\Sigma \sigma_p$ is excellent for all three transitions if diprotonation is assumed, and hence a value of $\Sigma \sigma_p = 1.2$ is then accorded to the substituents on this species.⁴⁹

Data are also reported for the monoprotonated species recorded in 3M aqueous HCl. The energies of **Bands 3, 4, 5** also fit the substituent dependence line fairly well assuming $\Sigma \sigma_p$ = -0.06 for the sum of NH₂ and NH₃⁺, with slight deviations probably due to the aqueous solvent (Figure 6).

b) Solvatochromism.

The diamino and dichloro substituted complexes, having substituents at the near extremes of the experimentally obtained $\Sigma \sigma_p$ values, show similar solvent dependences of their charge transfer bands (Table IV). The energy of **Band 3** correlates linearly with the donor number of the solvent, DN,⁵⁵ red shifting as DN increases (4,5-Cl₂: slope = -56 cm⁻¹/DN; regression coefficient (R) = 0.96, 4,5-(NH₂)₂: slope = -32 cm⁻¹/DN; R = 0.97). This result indicates that charge is donated from the solvent to the benzoquinonediimine end of the complex, possibly through hydrogen bonding to the imine protons of the quinonoid ligand.

As shown by the slopes of the linear correlations, the solvent sensitivity of **Band 3** is greater for the dichloro complex than the diamino complex. This may be due to the reduced hydrogen-bonding acidity of the Cl_2 -bqdi ligand at the imine protons of the diamino complex, causing weaker hydrogen bonding interactions of the protons with the solvent. It is also possible that the amine substituents of the diamino complex donate electron density directly to the solvent but this is a minor contributing factor since there are no clear correlations with solvent hydrogen bonding acidity.

Band 4 tends to shift to lower energies as the donor number of the solvent increases; however, the range of the shift is smaller than for **Band 3** and does not correlate well with DN. $(4,5-Cl_2: slope = -12 \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{DN}, R = 0.78; 4,5-(NH_2)_2: slope = -4.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{DN}; R = 0.47)$. This is consistent with the conclusion that **Band 3** has significant MLCT character while **Band 4** has virtually no charge transfer character.

The errors in determining the peak maxima of **Band 5** were large relative to the solvatochromic shifts; thus, for the dichloro complex it was difficult to ascertain whether **Band 5** correlates with DN. Such shifts could not be studied for the diamino complex because **Band 5** is

obscured by Band 4.

2) o-Semiquinonediimine species, [Ru(bpy)₂(R₂-sqdi)]⁻.

Controlled potential reduction some 100 mV negative of couple II, yields solutions of the R₂-sqdi species whose electronic spectra are reported in Table III and Figure 4. This reduction process shows Nernstian behavior for the $[Ru(bpy)_2(Cl_2-bqdi)]^2$ + complex as is demonstrated by spectro-electrochemistry at various applied potentials through the redox wave,⁵⁶ and it is expected that the other complexes will exhibit similar behavior with the exception of the R = OMe and R = NH₂ species. These latter species undergo decomposition once a certain concentration of the R₂-sqdi species is generated.

Band 7, assigned to Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \rightarrow \pi^*(2)$ bpy, shifts with substituent roughly parallel to the Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \rightarrow \pi^*(1)$ bpy transitions of **Bands 8**. The transitions of **Bands 8 are** well separated in the species where R = OMe, Me, and H but are overlapping or poorly resolved for R = Cl or NO₂. The substituent dependence for **Bands 8** is shown versus the Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ in Figure 8.

Resonance Raman spectra were recorded for R = Cl, in regions close to the **Bands 8** and **Band 9**, the Ru $b_2 - 2b_2^*$ transition (see Expt.). Data for excitation close to **Bands 8** show the characteristic vibrational fingerprint of a Ru $- > \pi^*(1)$ bpy MLCT transition^{8,57} (bands at 670, 1169, 1263, 1314, 1484 and 1557 and 1604 cm⁻¹). These bands are absent from the spectrum obtained with excitation close to **Band 9**. Instead skeletal modes of the sqdi ligand appear at 1475, 1351 and 1200 cm⁻¹, together with a strong band at 566 cm⁻¹ which likely involves ν (Ru-N) (sqdi). The relatively strong enhancement of the skeletal modes, with respect to the Ru - N stretching mode typifies significant charge transfer in this transition⁸. Furthermore, **Band 9** behaves as an MLCT transition, generally shifting to the red with increasing acceptor character of the substituent.

Band 10, an ill defined weak and broad transition centered near 900 nm, may be analogous to the forbidden Ru $a_{1},a_{2} \rightarrow 2b_{2}^{*}$ transition (**Band 5**) in the bqdi oxidation state.

3) Diamine oxidation state species, $[Ru(bpy)_2(R_2-opdaH_2)^2+$.

Since the diamine ligand has no low lying empty π^* orbitals, no charge transfer transitions involving the ligand and the metal are observed in the visible region. The visible absorption spectrum is then dominated by two Ru --> bpy bands, **Band 12** (Ru $a_1, 2a_2 --> \pi^*(2)$ bpy) and **Band 13** (Ru $a_1, 2a_2 --> \pi^*(1)$ bpy) (Figure 4). The dependence of **Band 13** on the Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ value is shown in Figure 8. Additionally, there is a $\pi --> \pi^*$ transition (**Band 11**) of the bipyridine ligands in the UV region which has been omitted from Figure 4 for clarity.

These data were obtained from samples of the isolated diamine complexes. Controlled potential reduction of the bqdi species in aprotic solvents negative of the sqdi/opda redox couple, yields the diamide versions of these species for $R = NO_2$ and to some extent, with slow decomposition, the R = Cl and R = Me species. With the softer diamide ligand, the Ru $a_1, 2a_2 - > \pi^*(1)$ bpy (**Band 16**) and the Ru $a_1, 2a_2 - > \pi^*(2)$ bpy (**Band 15**) transitions shift to the red, relative to the other opdaH₂ species, even though the 4-NO₂-opda and 4,5-Cl₂-opda ligands contain acceptor groups.

Consideration of the data shown in Figure 8, where the Ru --> $\pi^*(1)$ bpy transition energy is plotted against $\Sigma \sigma_p$ for each oxidation state of the orthophenylene ligand, shows that this transition is strongly dependent upon substituent in both the bqdi and sqdi oxidation states, but much less so in the fully reduced opdaH₂ state. Evidently in this last case, the sp³ hybridized NH₂ groups interrupt the π -conjugation of the ruthenium atom with the ligand. The marked dependence for the sqdi oxidation state demonstrates that even though these systems are believed to be less mixed than the bqdi oxidation state, the π -back-donation is still substantial.

D. Bonding Description

Recently Carugo et al.⁴⁰ analyzed the metal-nitrogen bond lengths of a large range of o-phenylenediamine complexes as a function of their oxidation state. They noted that these species do not generally yield charge localized structures and therefore cannot be characterized as having a formal oxidation state but rather a state between two of the three possibilities. They argued that it is possible to identify the net oxidation state of such a species from an analysis of the deviation of all the bond lengths from standard bond lengths for the opdaH₂ and bqdi ligands. In this manner, they characterized the $[Ru(bpy)_2(H_2-bqdi)](PF_6)_2$ species, whose structure is known,²⁶ as effectively containing Ru^{III} bound to the sqdi anion. For clarity of discussion, we will refer to this species as formally containing the bqdi ligand and most of the discussion which follows refers specifically to the bqdi oxidation state, except where specific reference to the other oxidation states is made.

The behavior of these bqdi complexes may be understood on the basis of essentially 50:50 metal-ligand mixing in the b_2 and $2b_2^*$ orbitals and less mixing in the a_1 and $2a_2$ orbitals such that the latter may be considered primarily metal in character. This model is consistent with the MLCT substituent behaviour of **Band 5** (Ru $a_1, 2a_2 - 2b_2^*$) as it shifts to lower energy with stronger acceptor groups (Figure 6). Further, the model is compatible with the blue shift of **Band 3** (Ru $a_1, 2a_2 - > \pi^*(1)$ bpy) with increasing acceptor character of the bqdi ligand (Figure 6), and with the lack of substituent dependence of **Band 4** ($b_2 - > 2b_2^*$) since the orbitals involved have a mixed molecular orbital description.

a) Mapping of orbital energy levels with $\Sigma \sigma_{D}$ (Figure 9. Table V).

One may roughly map the molecular orbital energies in the bqdi oxidation state species as a function of Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ values by using experimentally obtained transition energy data. Such a map, however, neglects configurational interaction and includes reorganization energies and is, therefore, not a precise MO picture, but these effects should be reasonably independent of substituent for a given transition, and trends in the orbital energies should be fairly accurate.

Thus, Figure 9 is constructed in the following manner. The redox process corresponding to the bipyridine reduction, couple IV, has essentially no dependence upon the bqdi substituent; thus, the $\pi^*(1)$ bpy orbital can be used as an internal marker against which all other orbital energies may be referenced. The $a_1, 2a_2$ levels (assumed degenerate) of the parent bqdi species (R = H) are assigned an energy of zero. These levels shift in concert with **Band 3**, the Ru $a_1, 2a_2 = - > \pi^*(1)$ bpy transition. Adding the energy of **Band 5** to the $a_1, 2a_2$ levels yields the

energy of $2b_2^*$. Subtracting the energy of **Band 4** from the $2b_2^*$ level, yields the energy of b_2 , while subtracting the energy of the bqdi $\pi - \pi^*$ transition from that of $2b_2^*$ yields, approximately, the energy of a_2 .

The substituent dependencies for the first oxidation and the first reduction potentials should be related to those in Figure 9 since they involve the $a_1,2a_2$ and $2b_2^*$ orbitals respectively. However redox potentials describe the total free energy change in the redox process, i.e. a sum over all orbitals. The substituent dependence of this energy change will be largely determined by that of the orbital primarily involved in the redox process but must, for a metal centred process, for example, also involve other metal orbitals, especially valence orbitals of comparable energy.

The open squares on the $2b_2^*$ line in Figure 9 show the variation of couple II as a function of $\Sigma \sigma_p$, setting the species with R = H to fit onto the $2b_2^*$ line. There is a good correspondence between the two slopes, though that of the redox potential is slightly larger, probably due to a contribution from the substituent dependence of the b_2 orbital which is greater than that of $2b_2^*$.

The substituent dependence of couple I is also shown in Figure 9, normalized to a potential of zero for R = H. Its slope is considerably greater than that of the $a_1, 2a_2$ line, being 2900 cm⁻¹/ $\Sigma \sigma_p$ compared with 1630 cm⁻¹/ $\Sigma \sigma_p$ for $a_1, 2a_2$. This difference can be explained by contributions from the other valence orbitals to the substituent dependence of the redox potential. For example, averaging the slopes of the $a_1, 2a_2$, and b_2 levels predicts a redox potential slope of 2410 cm⁻¹/ $\Sigma \sigma_p$, closer to that observed. This value would be further increased by a contribution from the $2b_2^*$ dependence.

Comparisons of this type may help to clarify the electronic structures of these species, but they are simplified in the sense that one cannot necessarily assume that the ordering of the d orbitals, or identity of the HOMO is the same in the Ru^{III} species as it is in the Ru^{II} species; thus it may not be meaningful to talk of a redox process involving removal of an electron from a specific orbital.

-16-

The almost parallel dependence of b_2 and $2b_2^*$, following from the relatively small dependence of **Band 4** upon $\Sigma \sigma_p$, implies considerable mixing between the ruthenium d(yz) and ligand π^* orbital (back-donation). Numerical assessment of the degree of mixing, based upon earlier treatments by Vlcek,⁵⁸, de la Rosa et al.⁵⁹ and Hupp et al.⁶⁰ indicates essentially 50:50 mixing of ligand and metal orbital, when ligand and metal redox processes behave in a parallel fashion upon a perturbation such as variation of substituent. However, such treatments are approximate and are only strictly applicable where the mixing is small.

The essentially parallel dependence of the $1a_2$, $1b_2$ or $2b_2^*$ orbital energies (Table V) may be fortuitous. The $1a_2$ orbital's lower energy and lower polarizability should cause the orbital to be less substituent dependent than the $2b_2^*$ orbital, while its lesser degree of mixing with the metal, compared with $2b_2^*$, should have the opposite effect. The sum of these two effects has probably resulted in the parallel dependence of these three orbitals.

b) <u>Reorganization energy contributions - Band 5</u>

For a MLCT transition in a general complex, M^{II}X₅L, one may write⁵²:

$$h\nu = E[M^{III}/M^{II}](L) - E[L/L^{-}](M^{III}) + \chi_{i} + \chi_{0}$$
(2)

$$h\nu = \Delta E[\text{Redox}] + \chi_i + \chi_0 \tag{3}$$

where $x_i + x_0$ are respectively the inner (vibrational) and outer (solvent) reorganization energies, and E[L/L⁻](M^{III}) signifies the reduction potential for ligand L bound to a M^{III} center. In practice only E[L/L⁻](M^{II}) (the ligand reduction potential when it is attached to a central M^{II} ion) can be observed, where:

$$E[L/L^{-}](M^{III}) = E[L/L^{-}](M^{II}) + q$$
(4)

q is a positive number which effectively incorporates a collection of differential solvation energy terms, and a Coulombic term. We can then write:

$$\Delta E[\text{Redox}]' = E[L/L^{-}](M^{\text{II}}) - E[M^{\text{III}}/M^{\text{II}}](L)$$
(5)

$$h\nu = \Delta E[\text{Redox}]' - q + \chi_i + \chi_0 \tag{6}$$

It is almost invariably true that $\triangle E[\text{Redox}]'$ under-estimates $h\nu$ such that the reorganization energy terms are larger than q.^{4,61} The situation for **Band 5** is unusual in that

 $\Delta E[\text{Redox}]'$ (couple I - couple II) <u>over-estimates</u> h ν (**Band 5**) by some 1000 - 1500 cm⁻¹ for all R, except R = NO₂, and R = OMe, where the agreement is exact. Thus, if the theory is formally applied, $q > \chi_i + \chi_0$. Since **Band 5** shows considerable charge transfer character, there is no compelling reason for believing that the reorganization energy is small. This would lead one to conclude that perhaps q is unusually large, consistent with 50:50 mixing of ligand and metal valence orbitals. Less mixing should lead to a smaller dependence of ligand reduction potential on the oxidation state of the metal.

c) <u>Reorganization energy contributions - Band 4</u>

A value for $\triangle E[Redox]'$, appropriate for **Band 4**, cannot be derived from electrochemistry since the loss of an electron from b₂ orbital, is not directly observed. Hence, a similar analysis to that performed on **Band 5** is not possible.

The small dependence of **Band 4** upon $\Sigma \sigma_p$ has indicated that this transition has little charge transfer character in keeping with the rR spectra reported above. However, there is an apparent pattern when these data are cross-correlated with bandwidths and ν (Ru-N) as shown in Figure 10. Since **Band 4** transitions are narrow, the errors on their energies are quite small.

The bandwidth measured at 1/e of the height of the band, $2\sigma^2$, is related to the displacements, Δ_k , and frequencies, ω_k , of the kth vibrations coupled to the electronic transition via⁶².

$$2\sigma^2 = \Sigma \,\Delta k^2 \omega k^2 \tag{7}$$

where the parameter Δ_k is a dimensionless measure of the distortion⁶² in the metal-ligand bond. Since the inner reorganization energy can be written \Im sum over $K_q \Delta_k^2$, where K_q is the force constant of the bond, the bandwidth and reorganization energy are closely related.

A strictly parallel dependence of the b_2 and $2b_2^*$ energy levels upon the Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ parameter would predict no dependence of the energy of **Band 4** upon $\Sigma \sigma_p$ except due to reorganization energy. Thus we may seek to attribute the pattern in Figure 10 to reorganization energy variations with substituent. The narrowness of **Band 4** reflects the fact that distortion proceeds essentially along one coordinate (Ru-N) and that the frequency thereof is relatively small (approx. 600 cm⁻¹). Figure 10 shows that these parameters do behave in an overall parallel fashion with the energy of **Band 4**, confirming that the small variations with $\Sigma \sigma_p$ do reflect variations in reorganization energy.

The behaviour of **Band 4** in the R₂-bqdi species contrasts with that of the $b_2 -> 2b_2^*$ transition in the less mixed R₂-sqdi species (**Band 9**) which, in its dependence upon $\Sigma \sigma_p$, is a more typical MLCT transition. However, the bandwidths of **Band 9** are comparable to those of **Band 4** and the parallelism that exists between the transition energy and bandwidth in the R₂-bqdi complexes also occurs in the R₂-sqdi complexes. Note that the R = NO₂ species has both unusually large bandwidth and transition energy which do not follow the general trend to lower energies with increasing $\Sigma \sigma_p$. This species has lower symmetry than the disubstituted species and it is possible that two transitions may lie under the band envelope.

Conclusions and Summary

If the mixing in the b₂ and $2b_2^*$ levels in these species is approaching 50:50, d(yz) + π^* (bqdi), then there is a significant formal Ru^{III}sqdi contribution to the Ru^{II}bqdi ground state, in agreement with the conclusion of Carugo et al.⁴⁰ In such a case there will be little substituent dependence of the b₂ ---> $2b_2^*$ transition energy, as is the case here, and the small variations which are observed (Figure 10) reflect variations in reorganization energy, x_i , from one complex to another.

The apparent ambiguity between the description as Ru^{II}bqdi or Ru^{III}sqdi can now be rationalized in terms of the specific orbitals involved in the various electronic transitions, and the strong mixing. The $a_1, 2a_2$ orbitals are mainly metal in character so that transitions therefrom, specifically **Band 3**, Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \rightarrow \pi^*(1)$ bpy, and **Band 5**, Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \rightarrow 2b_2^*$, appear strongly MLCT in character and have normal, i.e not especially narrow, bandwidths. With increasing electron acceptor character of the diimine ligand, electron density is drained off the metal centre and the $a_1, 2a_2$ orbitals are stabilized. The direction of shift of the MLCT transitions is dictated by the dependence of the metal and terminal MO's on substituent, the latter being assumed zero for $\pi^*(1)$ bpy, and being progressively stabilized with increasing acceptor ability for $2b_2^*$.

Band 4 ($b_2 - 2b_2^*$) involves a transition between levels which have roughly 50% metal and 50% ligand character so that it is not a charge transfer transition at all, but rather an internal transition of the total molecular complex; a situation discussed previously by Kaim.⁶³. It may be called a metal-ligand to metal-ligand transition, MLML, to distinguish it from an internal transition of the ligand alone. It has characteristics similar to a $\sigma - \sigma^*$ transition in a metal-metal bonded species, $L_n MML_n$, such as being strongly localized in the molecule, having bonding to anti-bonding character, narrow bandwidth, and being absent from the spectra of the monomeric counterparts. Accordingly, we have also observed that photochemical excitation may cause metal-ligand dissociation in these species, but this has not yet been studied in detail.

Finally, the formal values for the E_L parameter⁵⁰ noted in Table II are approximate since couple I is not a pure Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} couple but contains a contribution from the ligand. The effect of "filtering out" the ligand contribution is likely to reduce the overall range of E_L values for this series of complexes.

Acknowledgements. We are indebted to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Ottawa) and the Office of Naval Research (Washington) for financial support. We also thank Prof. William J. Pietro for access to, and guidance in using, the Spartan software, Prof. D. J. Stufkens and Dr. Th. L. Snoek for the resonance Raman data, Xiuyu Tai, and Lori Payne for technical support, and the Johnson-Matthey Company for the loan of ruthenium trichloride.

Bibliography

- 1. Kessel, S. L.; Emerson, R. M.; Debrunner, P. G.; Hendrickson, D. N.; Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1170.
- Bhattacharya, S.; Pierpont, C. G. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1991, <u>30</u>, 1511 and 2906; Bhattacharya, S.; Pierpont, C. G. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1992, <u>31</u>, 35, and references therein.
- 3. Harmalker, S.; Jones, S. E.; Sawyer, D. T. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1983, <u>22</u>, 2790.
- 4. Haga, M.-A.; Dodsworth, E. S.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 447.
- 5. Stufkens D. J.; Snoeck Th. L.; Lever A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 935.
- Lever, A. B. P.; Auburn, P. R.; Dodsworth, E. S.; Haga, M.-A.; Liu, W.; Melnik, M.; Newin, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8076.
- 7. Auburn, P. R.; Dodsworth, E. S; Haga, M.; Liu, W.; Nevin, W. A.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem., 1991, <u>31</u>, 3502.
- 8. Stufkens, D. J.; Snoeck, Th. L.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 953
- 9. Thompson, J. S.; Calabrese, J. C. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 3167.
- 10. Sofen, S. R.; Ware, D. C.; Cooper, S. R.; Raymond, K. N. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 234.
- 11. Boone, S. R.; Pierpont, C. G. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 1769.
- 12. Espinet P.; Bailey, P. M.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 1542.
- 13. Pierpont, C. G.; Buchanan, R. M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1981, 38, 45.
- 14. Cooper, S. R.; Koh, Y. B.; Raymond, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 102, 5092.
- 15. (a) Benelli, C.; Dei, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Pardi, L. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1989, <u>28</u>, 1476;
 (b) Benelli, C.; Dei, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Güdel, H. U.; Pardi, L. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1989, <u>28</u>, 3091; (c) Benelli, C.; Dei, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Pardi, L. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1990, <u>29</u>, 3409.
- 16. Bruni, S.; Cariati, F.; Dei, A.; Gatteschi, D. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1991, 186, 157.
- Dei, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Pardi, L.; Barra, A. L.; Brunel, L. C. <u>Chem. Phys. Lett.</u>, 1990, <u>175</u>, 589;
 Dei, A.; Pardi, L. <u>Inorg. Chim. Acta</u>, 1991, <u>181</u>, 3.
- 18. Masui, H.; Lever, A. B. P.; Auburn, P. A. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2402.
- 19. Auburn, P. R.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem., 1990, 29, 2551.
- 20. Balch, A. L.; Holm, R. H. J. Am Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 5201.

- 21. Baikie, P. E.; Mills, O. S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1967, 1, 55.
- 22. Duff, E. J. J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968, 434.
- 23. Warren, L. F. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 2814.
- 24. Reinhold, J.; Benedix, R.; Birner, P.; Hennig, H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1979, 33 209.
- 25. Vogler, A.; Kunkely, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 221.
- 26. Belser, P.; von Zelewsky, A.; Zehnder, M. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 3098.
- 27. El'Cov, A. V.; Maslennikova, T. A.; Kukuschkin, V. Ju.; Shavurov, V. V. J. Prakt. Chem. 1983, B325, S27.
- 28. Pyle A. M.; Barton, J. K. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 3820.
- 29. Pyle A. M.; Chiang, M. Y.; Barton, J. K. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 4487.
- 30. Thorn, D. L.; Hoffmann, R. Nouv. J. Chim. 1979, 3, 39.
- 31. Peng, S.-M.; Chen, C.-T.; Liaw, D.-S.; Chen, C.-I.; Wang, Y. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1985, 101, L31.
- 32. Christoph, G. G.; Goedken, V. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3869.
- 33. Hall, G. S.; Soderberg, R. H. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7, 2300.
- 34. Gross, M. E.; Ibers, J. A.; Trogler, W. C. Organometallics 1982, 1, 530.
- 35. Zehnder, M.; Loliger, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1980, 63, 754.
- 36. Danopoulos, A. A.; Wong, A. C. C.; Wilkinson, G.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Hussain, B. J. Chem. Soc., <u>Dalton Trans.</u> 1990, 315.
- 37. Nemeth, S.; Simandi, L. I.; Argay, G.; Kalman, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1989, 166, 31.
- 38. Joss, S.; Hasselbach, K. M.; Buergi, H. B.; Wordel, R.; Wagner, F. E.; Ludi, A. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u>, 1989, <u>28</u>, 1815.
- 39. Rieder, K.; Hauser, U.; Sieganthaler, H.; Schmidt, E.; Ludi, A. Inorg. Chem., 1975, 14, 1902.
- 40. Carugo, O.; Djinovic, K.; Rizzi, M.; Castellani, C. B. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1991, 1551.
- 41. Magnuson, R. H.; Taube, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 5129.
- 42. Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F. "Purification of Laboratory Chemicals", 3rd Edn; Pergamon Press plc. 1988
- 43. Gennett, T.; Milner, D. F.; Weaver, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 2787.

- 44. Krejcik, M.; Danek, M.; Hartl, F., J. Electroanal. Chem., 1991, 317, 179.
- 45. Stufkens, D. J.; Snoeck, Th. L.; Dodsworth, E. S.; Masui, H.; Metcalfe, R. A.; Lever, A. B. P. to be submitted for publication.
- 46. Nakamura, M.; Toda, M.; Saito, H.; Ohkura, Y. Anal. Chim. Acta. 1982, 134, 39.
- 47. Ehrlich, J.; Bogert, M. T. J. Org. Chem. 1947, 12, 522.
- 48. Sullivan, B. P.; Salmon, D. J.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3334.
- 49. Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. Chem. Reviews, 1991, 91, 165.
- 50. Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 1271.
- 51. Masui, H.; Lever, A. B. P. to be submitted for publication.
- 52. Dodsworth E. S.; Lever A. B. P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1986, 124, 152.
- 53. Mallick, P. K.; Danzer, G. D.; Strommen, D. P.; Kincaid, J. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1988, 92, 5628.
- 54. Reimers, J. R.; Hush, N. S. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3686.
- 55. (a) Gutmann, V. Electrochim. Acta. 1976, <u>21</u>, 661.
 - (b) Hampe, M. J. Ger. Chem. Eng. 1986, 9, 251.
- 56. A plot of $\log\{(A_f A)/(A A_i)\}$ versus E_{app} (for $[Ru(bpy)_2(Cl_2-bqdi)]^2 +$ in acetonitrile), yields a linear correlation with a slope of 20.0 ($\sigma = \pm 0.4$) V⁻¹, a value of 16.95 V-1 being expected for a reversible one electron transfer process. Here, A is the peak absorbance of **Band 4** at the various applied potentials, E_{app} , and A_i and A_f are the limiting absorbances before and after reduction respectively. The intercept yields an $E_{1/2}$ of -0.23 V compared with -0.28 V in Table II.
- 57. Balk, R. W.; Stufkens, D. J.; Crutchley, R. J.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 64, L49.
- 58. Vlcek, A. A. Electrochim. Acta, 1968, 13, 1063.
- 59. de la Rosa, R.; Chang, P. J.; Salaymeh, F.; Curtis, J. C. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 4229.
- 60. Mines, G. A; Roberts, J. A.; Hupp, J. T. Inorg. Chem 1992, 31, 125.
- 61. Ghosh, P.; Chakravorty, A. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2242.
- 62. Tutt, L.; Zink, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5830.
- 63. Kaim, W.; Gross, R. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1988, 7, 269.

Species	LUMO(π^*)	ΗΟΜΟ(π)	SHOMO ^{a,b}	THOMO ^a (o)
4-NO ₂	0.11331	-0.27167	-0.34942	-0.36180
4,5-Cl ₂	0.11639	-0.26704	-0.35872	-0.36014
Н	0.14884	-0.24404	-0.33413	-0.35810
4,5-Me ₂	0.15332	-0.23167	-0.32769	-0.34466
4,5-(OMe) ₂	0.15535	-0.22219	-0.29270	-0.32730
4,5-(NH ₂) ₂	0.17337	-0.19961	-0.26023	-0.31138

 Table I. Ab Initio Orbital Energy Calculations of R2-bqdi ligands.

Energies are in Hartrees. 1 Hartree = 219489 cm⁻¹. a) Second (SHOMO) and third (THOMO) highest occupied molecular orbitals. b) Orbital is of σ symmetry for R = NO₂, H, Me and π for R = Cl, OMe and NH₂.

•

्रमा ्म्र

Species	Ru ^{III} /Ru ^{II} I	bqdi/sqdi II	sqdi/opda III	bpy/bpy ⁻ (1) IV	bpy/bpy ⁻ (2) V	ELb	Σσρ
4,5-(NH ₃ +) ₂							1.20
4-NO2 ^c	1.57	0.08	-0.69	-1.62	-1.86	0.38	0.78
4,5-Cl ₂	1.50 ^d	-0.28	-0.96	-1.75	-2.19 ^e	0.33	0.45
н	1.37	-0.45	-1.13e	-1.70d	- <u>1.94</u> d	0.28	0.00
4,5-(NH ₂)(NH ₃ +)							-0.06
4,5-Me ₂	1.32	-0.56	-1.21	-1.77	- <u>1.94</u> d	0.25	-0.34
4,5-(OMe) ₂	1.15	-0.73	-1.26	-1.74 ^e	-1.86 ^e	0.16	-0.54
4,5-(NH ₂) ₂	0.83	-0.94d,f	-1.43e	-1.78 ^e	-2.01d,f	0.01	-1.32

Table II. Redox potentials of $[Ru(bpy)_2(R_2-bqdi)]^2$ + Complexes^a.

a) Obtained in 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solutions in acetonitrile; potentials in V vs SCE. b) See text for comments on these values; also see ref.⁴⁸. c) Mono-substituted at 4 position. d) Irreversible. e) Quasi-reversible. f) Peak potential.

•

.

[Ru(bpy) ₂ (R	2-bqdi)] ² + Complexes	S			
	$\pi > \pi^*$ o-phenylene	Ru d > π^* (1) bpy	b ₂ > 2b ₂ *	Ru $a_1, 2a_2 > 2b_2^*$
Species	Band 1	Band 3		Band 4	Band 5
4,5-(NH3+)	2 ^b	25670(3.73)	19700	(4.32)(∆3200) ^c	11050(2.36)(△6500)
4-NO2 ^d	35840(4.57)	24040 ^e (3.90)	18980	(4.31)(△2850)	11 750(2.49)(∆4300)
4,5-Cl ₂	35720(4.62)	23760(3.75)	19030	(4.40)(△2750)	12640(2.07)(∆ 3700)
H ₂	35650(4.56)	22810(3.84)	1 9440	(4.30)(△2850)	13300(2.74)
H2 ^f	35540	22730	1 9490	(∆2750)	13290
4,5-(NH ₂)(N	H ₃ +)g	22620(3.85)	1 8800	(4.43)(∆3050)	13750(2.66)(∆5600)
4,5-(CH ₃) ₂	35470	22230(3.90)	19180	(4.40)(∆2600)	1 3700(2.33)(∆3900)
4,5-(OCH ₃) ₂	35100	22910(3.85)	1 8850	(4.49)(△2200)	1 5000(2.40)(∆4800)
4,5-(NH ₂)2 ^h	34620	21100(4.04)	18120	(4.57)(∆1850)	15600sh
[Ru(bpy) ₂ (R	2-sqdi)] + Complexes ⁱ	·····			
1	$\operatorname{Ru} \operatorname{d} \cdots > \pi^*(2) \operatorname{bpy}$	$\operatorname{Ru} d \dashrightarrow \pi^*(1)$) bpy	b ₂ > 2b ₂ *	$Ru a_1, 2a_2 > 2b_2^*$
Species I	Band 7	Band 8		Band 9	Band 10
4-NO2 ^d	33000sh	21960(4.07)		1 5400(4.24)(Δ	2600) ^c 12370
		24200sh			
4,5-Cl ₂	31150sh	21000(4.07)		1 5350(4.16)(∆	1650) 12300
Н ₂	29070(3.91)	23200(3.88) 221	00sh	1 6000(4.05)(∆	2050) 11100
		20100 19400sh			
4,5-(CH ₃) ₂	28500(3.93)	22500sh 21900(3	3.93)	161 50(4.02)(∆	.2300) und.
		19850(4.02) 191	00sh		· 3
4,5-(OCH ₃) ₂	j 29600	21980 21000		16250 (∆3000)) und.
_		28000sh		19490 19080s	h

 Table III. Electronic Spectra of Complexes^a (cm⁻¹)

Table III cont

[Ru(bpy) ₂ (R	$(2-opdaH_2)]^2 + Comple$	xes ^k	
	$\pi > \pi^*$ o-phenylene	Ru d > $\pi^*(2)$ bpy	Ru d > $\pi^*(1)$ bpy
Species	Band 11	Band 12	Band 13
4-NO2 ^{d,1}	34370	30090	21790sh, 20840
4,5-Cl ₂	34500	32400sh	21200
H ₂	34350(4.40)	30000sh(3.62)	20900(3.73)
H ₂ f	und.	und.	20530
4,5-(CH ₃) ₂	35000 est	29650	20750
4,5-(OCH ₃) ₂	34450	32000sh	20750
4,5-(NH ₂) ₂	34500	31600	20550
Ru(bpy) ₂ (R ₂	opda) Complexes ⁱ		
	Ru d > $\pi^*(2)$ bpy	Ru d > $\pi^*(1)$ bpy	opda ²⁻ > $\pi^*(1)$ bpy LLCT
Species	Band 15	Band 16	Band 17
4-NO2 ^d	27320	18890	13980, 13000 ^m
4,5-Cl ₂	26820j	18490j	
4,5-Me ₂	28100 ^j	18700 ^j	

a) Spectra were obtained in acetonitrile except where otherwise stated. $Log(\epsilon)$ in parenthesis. For **Band** numbering, see Figures. und. - undetermined. **Band 5** corresponds roughly with the difference in potential between couples I and II (Table 2) (see text); these values are 4-NO₂, 11750; 4,5-Cl₂, 14150; H, 14700; 4,5-(CH₃)₂, 15100; 4,5-(OCH₃)₂, 15100; 4,5-(NH₂)₂, 14150 cm⁻¹. b) Dissolved in concentrated H₂SO₄. c) Bandwidths at 1/e of height, in parentheses. d) Mono-substituted at 4 position. e) Identified in spectrum recorded at 77 K; at room temperature this absorption is obscured by a nitro related transition at 25,600 cm⁻¹. f) Dissolved in water. g) Dissolved in dilute 3 M HCl(aq). h) **Band 2** Ru d ---> $\pi^*(2)$ bpy, may be identified in this complex at 30120(4.11) cm⁻¹ i) Spectra

۰,

obtained in acetonitrile containing 0.3 M TBAP by controlled potential reduction of the bqdi species (see text). Where sqdi (or opdaH₂) spectra are not reported for a specific species, this is generally due to the instability of the reduced species. j) Isosbestics were lost halfway through electrolysis. Data were obtained from the last spectrum before loss of isosbestics. k) Except where indicated, obtained by dissolving the isolated complex in acetonitrile. 1) Obtained in 5% HOAc in acetonitrile by Zn/Hg reduction of the NO₂-bqdi species. m) Tentative assignment.

$\overline{[Ru(bpy)_2(R-bqdi)]^2 +}$		$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}$		R	$= \mathrm{NH}_2$		
Solvent	DNa	Band 3 ^b	Band 4 ^c	Ban	d 5 ^d Ba	nd 4 ^c	Band 5 ^d
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.0	••	••		219	900	18200(1820)
DCE	0.0	••			218	800	18100(1800)
MeCN	14.1	23750	19050(2750)	127:	50 212	250	18200(1810)
PC	15.1	23650	19000(2600)	127	00 21	350	18000(1820)
Me ₂ CO	17.0	23550	19000(2720)	122	00 21	300	18100(1820)
EtOAc	17.1	23500	19050(2800)	1 34	50 21	100	18200(1740)
H ₂ O	18.0	23650	19050(2740)	124	50 21	350	18200(3580)
МеОН	19.0	23400	19050(2670)	125	50 21	150	18200(1910)
EtOH	20.0	23200	18950(2550)	129	00 21	150	18150(1830)
THF	20.0	23450	19000(2720)	123	00 20	950	18050(1880)
DMF	26.6	23000	18850(2860)	129	50 20	900	18000(1860)
DMA	27.8	23000	18900(2820)	128	00		
DMSO	29.8	22860	18850(2670)	123	00 20	900	17900(1930)
EtCOMe		23550	19000(2690)	128	50 20	900	17950(1840)
n-BuOH		22500	19000(2810)				
n-PrOH		23150	19000(2690)	126	50		••
HOAc					21	400	18150(2200)

Table IV. Solvatochromism of $[Ru(bpy)_2(R_2-bqdi)]^2 + R = Cl, NH_2 (cm^{-1}).$

Abbreviations are those commonly used; note PC = propylene carbonate, DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane a) Donor number.⁵⁴ b) Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \dots > \pi^*(1)$ bpy. Values in parenthesis are halfbandwidths at 1/e of peak height. c) $b_2 \dots > 2b_2^*$. d) Ru $a_1, 2a_2 \dots > 2b_2^*$

-

.

MO/couple	Slope(Std. Dev)	Const.(Std. Dev)	No. of	Regression
	(cm ⁻¹)	(cm ⁻¹)	points	Coefficient
a2	-3830(70)	-22400(110)	6	0.99
^b 2	-3970(240)	-6000(500)	8	0.99
a ₁ ,2a ₂	-1630(210)	-350(440)	8	0.95
2b2*	-3500(170)	13000(360)	8	0.99
Couple I ^a	2840(290)	10900(490)	6	0.98
Couple II ^a	3730(460)	-3300(770)	6	0.97

Table V Regression Analysis for Data Shown in Figure 9

a) Redox potentials converted to wavenumber, $1 \text{ eV} = 8065 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

- Figure 1. Simplified molecular orbital model of the R₂-bqdi and R₂-sqdi complexes. The transition labels correspond to the band labels of Figure 4.
- Figure 2. Cyclic voltammogram of $[Ru(bpy)_2(bqdi)]^2$ + complex in dry acetonitrile containing 0.1M TBAPF₆; potentials referenced to SCE.
- Figure 3. The substituent dependence of the redox potentials of the R₂-bqdi complexes (V vs SCE). The equations of the lines are as follows (values in parentheses are standard deviations and R = regression coefficient): (I) Ru^{III}/Ru^{II}: $E_{1/2} = 0.36(\pm 0.035)\Sigma\sigma_p + 1.35(\pm 0.024)$; R = 0.982. (II) R₂-bqdi/R₂-sqdi: $E_{1/2} = 0.47(\pm 0.065)\Sigma\sigma_p - 0.40(\pm 0.045)$; R = 0.964. (III): R₂-sqdi/R₂-opda: $E_{1/2} = 0.33(\pm 0.053)\Sigma\sigma_p - 1.06(\pm 0.037)$; R = 0.952. (IV): bpy/bpy⁻: $E_{1/2} = 0.059(\pm 0.027)\Sigma\sigma_p - 1.72(\pm 0.019)$; R = 0.733
- Figure 4. Typical spectra of the orthophenylene complexes in the three redox forms. (…)
 [Ru(bpy)₂(opdaH₂)]²⁺; (---) [Ru(bpy)₂(sqdi)]⁺; (---) [Ru(bpy)₂(bqdi)]²⁺. The data were obtained in acetonitrile. See Table III for assignments of identified transitions. **Band 2** was only clearly identified in the Ru(bpy)₂(NH₂)₂-bqdi species spectrum.
- Figure 5. Resonance Raman spectra of the R₂-bqdi and R₂-sqdi complexes in CH₂Cl₂. a)
 [Ru(bpy)₂(Cl₂-bqdi)]²⁺, excited at 543nm. b) [Ru(bpy)₂(Cl₂-sqdi)]⁺, excited at 515nm.
 N.b. the fairly prominent peak at 600 cm⁻¹ is due to excitation of a small quantity of unreduced bqdi species. c) [Ru(bpy)₂(Cl₂-sqdi)]⁺, excited at 620nm. Asterisks denote solvent Raman, lines.

- Figure 6. The substituent dependence of the various charge transfer bands of the R₂-bqdi complexes. The data were mainly obtained in acetonitrile except for the 4,5-NH₂,NH₃ + and 4,5-(NH₃ +)₂ species, which were obtained in 3M HCl and concentrated H₂SO₄, respectively.
- Figure 7. Titration of [Ru(bpy)₂((NH₂)₂-bqdi)]²⁺ in water with concentrated HCl, and following the intensity of **Band 3** (corrected for dilution). A datum collected in 25% sulfuric acid (pKa ~ -.95) wherein the species is believed to be diprotonated, yielded a value of .70 au in this figure.
- Figure 8. A plot of the Ru --> $\pi^*(1)$ bpy transition energies as a function of Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ for each redox form of the orthophenylene complexes. For the R₂-sqdi plot, the lower energy Ru a₁,a₂ --> $\pi^*(1)$ bpy band maximum was used where splitting of these transitions was observed.
- Figure 9. A plot of the trends in molecular orbital energies of the R₂-bqdi complexes as a function of $\Sigma \sigma_p$ (see text). The energies are referenced to a fixed $\pi^*(1)$ bpy orbital and the $a_1, 2a_2$ orbitals of the R = H species have been arbitrarily set to zero. The square symbols represent experimentally obtained redox potentials as described in the text.
- Figure 10. Plots of a) the energy of **Band 4**, b) the bandwidth of **Band 4**, and c) the ν (Ru-N) FTIR data as a function of Hammett $\Sigma \sigma_p$ for the R₂-bqdi species. Electronic spectral were obtained from acetonitrile solutions while FTIR data were obtained from KBr pellets.











-

c 🧿



Vienstal



Vienstal

Wavenumbers (cm⁻¹

. .



•

Vienstal



~ 5

. .

- . .



• • •

ł,







