AD-E201 179

PL-TR-92-2148



SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE SHUTTLE GLOW. SPAS II/IBSS MISSION - AIS HARDWARE

A. L. Broadfoot

University of Arizona Lunar and Planetary Laboratory Tucson, Arizona 85721



Final Report September 1986-September 1991

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC BELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

AUG2 0 1992



PHILLIPS LABORATORY AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS 01731 5000



This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

DAVID J. KNECHT Contract Manager

CHARLES P. PIKE, Chief Spacecraft Interactions Branch

This document has been reviewed by the ESD Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service.

Qualified requesters may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

If your address has changed, or if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify PL/TSI, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-5000. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document require that it be returned.

	REPORT DOC	UMENTATION PA	GE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
	Public reporting burden for this collection of inform gathering and maintaining the data needed, and com collection of information, including suggestions for r Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302	ation is estimated to average 1 hour per re pleting and reviewing the collection of inf educing this burden. To Washington Head 2, and to the Office of Management and Bu	sponse, including the time for rev ormation. Send comments regard quarters Services, Directorate for idget, Paperwork Reduction Proje	ewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ling this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson t (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	and the second state of th	3. REPORT TYPE AND	
	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Spectral Analysis of the Shu SPAS II/IBSS Mission - AIS	uttle Glow.	the second s	5. FUNDING NUMBERS PE 62101F PR ST85 TA 01 WU UA
	6. AUTHOR(S)			Contract F19628-86-K-0040
٠.	A. L. Broadfoot			
•	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
	University of Arizona Lunar and Planetary Labor Tucson, Arizona 85721	atory		
	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENC Phillips Laboratory	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
	Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-			PL-TR-92-2148
	Contract Manager: David I 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Knecht/WSSI		
	Approved for public releas Distribution unlimited	¢;		
	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
	shuttle/spacecraft contamin (AIS) was flown as part of AIS. Much important dat successful mission will be experiment using the same	nation and associated prob the IBSS/SPAS II Mission ta was gathered and is b used as the basis for fur approach is being prepar has been manifested of	lems. The Arizona Ir n. Several IBSS expe leing analyzed at the rther work on these red as an attached shon STS-53 as the m	he "Shuttle Glow phenomenon, nager/Spectrograph experiment eriments were supported by the is time. The results from the important subjects. A second nuttle experiment. The "Shuttle ext step in our study of the
•				
٩	14. SUBJECT TERMS		<u>بىنا ئارىلە</u> يارىغارىيىد تىكى مۇرىيە يەركى	15. NUMBER OF PAGES
١	14. SUBJECT TERMS SPECTROMETER, ULTR INFRARED, SPACE FLIC			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 54 16. PRICE CODE
۱	SPECTROMETER, ULTR	GHT	19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	54 16. PRICE CODE

Prescribed by Aust Sta 218.1

Spectral Analysis of the Shuttle Glow

This contract to observe and analyze the "Shuttle Glow" was implemented with the University of Arizona in October 1986. An experiment was designed to record the spectral content of the glow emission in order to determine its origin, its interaction with the Shuttle orbiter, its related environment and the atmosphere. Early in the program the task was undertaken to define the various adaptations which might be required to modify the experiment for various flight opportunities, such as *IMPS*, *SPAS*, *HITCHHIKER* and *SPARTAN*. At that stage of the program flight opportunities were not fully defined, and the design of the experiment was re-configured to be able to take advantage of whatever flight opportunity arose. The early funding schedule encouraged a program of design studies to meet a variety of requirements and possibilities not fully defined at that time.

The program was accepted for an SDIO flight (the Infrared Background Signature Survey--IBSS) on the SPAS II carrier, and spacecraft interface meetings began in April 1987 with the German contractor, Messerschmitt-Bolkow-Blohm GmbH (MBB) in Munich. Concurrent with the experiment preparation, software programs for its operation and control were being developed, along with familiarization and training of personnel. Testing of various components of the system were also undertaken throughout the process.

After delivery of the Arizona Imager Spectrograph (AIS) experiment to Hanscom Air Force Base in October 1988, formal testing began. Some reworking of various components was required, due to unanticipated events and under-estimation of some of the complexities of the program, as well as the imposition of some additional requirements. The experiment was delivered to MBB in April 1989, where it was supported during integration and acceptance testing. A great deal of travel and shipping activity were required during this period of the program when the hardware was being integrated with the platform. Finally, in June 1990 the SPAS pallet, carrying the AIS experiment, was delivered to Kennedy Space Center.

In the meantime, software development and documentation proceeded with a number of IBSS collaborative experiments defined and programmed in the operational software. These were presented and approved during June 1990, as well. After several delays, the AIS experiment was flown on STS-39, April/May 1991. A report on the events during the flight is attached, Appendix A. The data continues to be reduced and analyzed under a separate contract. Further flights of the AIS experiment have been proposed.

A second experiment, the Shuttle Glow Experiment ("GLO"), was based on the original AIS design and development concept, but for a Hitchhiker class Shuttle flight. Work on that experiment had been in process since September 1988, on a non-interference priority status. The experiment was manifested on STS-53. Interface meetings have been attended at Goddard Space Flight Center, and the experiment was nearly complete at the time this contract ended. A copy of the "GLO" experiment "Customer Payload Requirements" document is attached, Appendix B.

The experiment design approach was submitted for publication in *Applied Optics*. A copy of the article is attached, Appendix C. Publication is scheduled for June 1992.

The AIS experiment details were submitted to *Applied Optics* in a second paper. A copy of that article is attached, Appendix D, also to be published in June 1992. Reprints of these papers will be supplied as they become available.

Availability Codes Dist Avail and for Special

1

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

APPENDIX A

Final Report, SFRC F19628-86-K-0040

The Flight of the Arizona Imager/Spectrograph Experiment on STS 39

Summary

The AIS experiment on SPAS II was very successful even though the full potential of the experiment was not realized. A review of the science data resulted in the identification about 40 sequences in which good data were recorded on a variety of subjects. It is clear that these observations are unique and demonstrate the power of the instrumental approach. On the other hand, the data is cumbersome to work with because there is a lack of supporting data which are necessary for critical analysis and demonstration of the optical performance under continually changing lighting conditions.

The primary factor which compromised the experiment was a lack of understanding of how the AIS experiment would be implemented in the shuttle system. The AIS was a new and complex instrument employing seven ICCD detector systems. It was software driven and depended on ground communication to tune the experiment for different lighting conditions. Although the design was developed and implemented with respect to a specification, those specifications changed remarkably as the project approached shuttle flight. Direct electronic communication with the instrument could not be provided, resulting in a very cumbersome operation and serious degradation of the data and the AIS experiment.

This was the first shuttle flight for our group and we add to our successful data collection a wealth of experience in the form of "lessons learned." This is a non-trivial result of any mission which has aggressive scientific goals.

The Arizona Imager/Spectrograph (AIS)

The AIS instrument was a modern design imager/spectrograph using computer technology to gather simultaneous imagery and spectral data. The spectral range from 115 nm to 1100 nm was recorded by the spectrograph resulting in a single spectrum represented by 5000 contiguous spectral elements. The spectrum was shuttered simultaneously with 12 spectral imagers having selected fields of view and band pass spectral filters to isolate emissions of interest. The instrument was autonomous in that the observational sequences were stored in the instrument memory and activated by simplified ground command. Observational sequences for several orbits could be stacked in the system for sequential operation.

When the AIS experiment was delivered to MBB in Germany in the spring of 1989, there were several design criteria which were addressed successfully by the AIS team.

1) Access to the IBSS recorder was not possible and downlink to handle the AIS data was not available. An optical disk "WORM" drive was prepared for space flight in a few months. The capacity of 200 megabytes limited the data collection seriously and data compression was initiated.

2) Communication with the instrument was limited to 11 discreet commands. A software coder and decoder were developed to allow the file of about 100 commands and parameters to be passed transparently from the ground support equipment (GSE) to the instrument.

3) The command stream was low rate, estimated at about 10 commands per second. The instrument control unit was designed to operate complete observational sequences, "templates,"

autonomously. Templates could be stacked in order of sequential operation. This allowed the instrument to perform its experiments with minimal communication from the ground.

4) Since this was a new instrument, operational problems were anticipated. Extensive interrogative routines were designed to assess the Instrument Control Unit (ICU), edit the memory bit by bit, upload programs and program patches. This capability was provided with on-board routines to maintain minimum ground command requirements.

5) Experiments were designed to complement each of the active IBSS and other experiments. The various PI's contributed to the design of about 30 observational sequences.

As we approached launch it became clear that the experiment as it had been designed was not compatible with the way in which the mission would be operated. The primary problem identified was the number of commands we anticipated sending to the instrument. The AIS experiment team did not understand this problem for several months. Finally we realized to our amazement that there was no mechanism for getting electronically prepared command sequences into the shuttle command computer. All commands had to be transferred to paper and entered through a button console.

The implications of this defect in the AIS command system were never seriously evaluated. The AIS experiment team expected to have instrument problems on orbit because of the complexity of running seven intensifiers and CCD's, a pointing system, and responding to changing lighting conditions. The AIS was primarily a computer with a completely customized software program. The capability to monitor its performance, analyze any problems and compensate for difficulties through software was basic to the design.

The IBSS/SPAS II project personnel and the AIS team were in a learning mode with respect to the running of the SPAS or the AIS on orbit through the shuttle system. Several committee decisions were made to address the lack of communication.

1) We were instructed to increase the number of templates stored on board the instrument to minimize the requirement to "edit" on-board templates. The template load increased from 30 to 130 combinations. All of these combinations were required to be run through the SPAS system to verify that there was no interference with the SPAS operation. Although the AIS did operate successfully through hundreds of templates exercising the central limit switch, that switch failed almost immediately on orbit.

2) An additional command constraint was added for primary operations: no ground commanding would be allowed while the SPAS was deployed. This required that the template selection be made and edited before prime operations were initiated. Someone became aware of a software trick that could be initiated to edit a template and store and re-number it. The AIS team was instructed to use this software facility as an operational mode to reduce the necessity to have the astronaut change the template number. This mode was imposed without serious review or testing.

The fact was this non-standard operation was available as a utility for system testing and had never been considered as part of the operational function. It was found that the facility did not have the capability to handle the prime mission template load. It was found on orbit that there were software errors in part of this facility which had never been required or tested before flight.

3) These committee decisions were so successful in reducing the AIS command impact that this most serious AIS operational problem was never exercised during the JIS training sessions. This lack of training and responsiveness to the AIS emergency commands ultimately led to serious damage to the AIS instrument on orbit.

The AIS observational program was organized to satisfy three periods.

1) Pre-deploy would be used to evaluate instrument performance and set limits and exposure times for experiments pointing at or near bright objects, the sunlit shuttle, the sunlit Earth, etc. These parameters were to be used to edit the deployed operations AIS sequences to optimize the experiments.

2) The AIS deployed operations were "canned." Downlink engineering and monitoring data were received except when classified experiments were supported. The templates were very specific in operation and provided little or no pre-experiment/post-experiment data to assist the analysis.

3) The AIS non-interference experiments were scheduled for the post-deploy period.

The AIS on Orbit

The AIS experiment was monitored and controlled by two teams from the University of Arizona. There were three people on 12 hour shifts. Replanning was supported by two teams from Lockheed on a parallel schedule.

The AIS was powered six hours into the mission and was checked out as part of the SPAS system. The instrument responded correctly while performing its functional test autonomously. The preplanned observational sequences were initiated about 10 hours MET. At the initiation of the second template, it was noted that the center limit switch was not detected during the boresight command and the scan platform continued to move toward the CCW limit. We wished to stop the sequence to evaluate the problem. A request was issued to send our express emergency command "AIS OFF." It took 9 minutes for the command to reach the instrument. In this period of time the scan platform continued to move to satisfy the "Boresight" request moving between the center limit switch which was being sensed in the CW direction and the CCW limit. After three cycles the CCW limit switch failed "Closed." The platform was moving toward the CW limit when it was powered down.

The primary failure was reasonably benign and would have been corrected by edits to subsequent templates and we would have not sensed a switch again. The second failure, with the CCW limit switch "Closed," was very serious. As long as the switch was sensed to be closed, the scan platform was inhibited from moving in the CCW direction by the AIS program. The limit switch could not be ignored because that function was built into the ROM.

At first it seemed we had designed the ultimate trap; however, a plan was developed by the AIS software team to bring the scan platform back into operation. For CCW rotation it was necessary to exit the primary program and command the stepping motor directly. The problem was to find the appropriate exit point in the compiled program, jump to a motor command subroutine which we stored in capacitor backed up RAM, move the scan platform a number of steps, and return to the main program. The difficult part was doing the programming through the very inefficient command system. Nevertheless, this rather remarkable feat was accomplished by Kalynnda Berens and Mike Fitzgibbon demonstrating that they were in fact an integral part of the AIS instrument.

The AIS was returned to boresight with the IBSS about 2 days 3 hours MET. The parallel task was editing the deployed operations templates to remove any reference to the boresight command. These edits were in place when the SPAS was unberthed for deployed operations.

Once AIS operations began again, a second anomaly occurred. It was noted that in some operational sequences the HVPS would come on but then turn off again as if it were overloaded. There was some suspicion that the visible imager intensifiers and their part of the HVPS had something to do with the failure; they were not used again. Unfortunately the SPAS was deployed before this anomaly could be examined. Several of the deployed operations experiments were compromised by this failure and much of the primary data was lost. An instrument interrogation sequence was designed to examine the AIS HVPS problem as soon as we were able to command again.

The SPAS was berthed about 4 days 13 hours MET and the HV evaluation sequence was initiated. An operating sequence was found to avoid the HVPS failure and observation continued. Except for the massive editing process, the AIS was returned to an active operational role. Good sequences were run from the shuttle bay and an active and successful observational program was performed while the SPAS was on the ARM. The ARM sequence began about 5 days 1 hour MET and continued to 6 days 1 hour MET. The AIS continued to observe from the bay until 7 days 12 hours MET.

Recommendations

In spite of the problems in flight, the AIS returned valuable data on many targets. It also demonstrated the power of the imager/spectrograph instrumentation.

The AIS was a new instrument with extensive spectrographic and imaging capability. We expected it would have some problems on its maiden flight but we expected to be able to deal with those problems through electronic communication with the instrument. Although electronic communication was a design criteria, the impact of the lack of such communication was not recognized. We are satisfied now that the AIS worked exceptionally well for a first flight of a complex instrument. We were also satisfied that problems we did have would have been small perturbations if the instrument had been operated in the mode for which it was designed and tested.

A second instrument (GLO), identical to the AIS, has been built. All of the improvements and upgrades necessary to make the AIS a first-class scientific tool were incorporated in the GLO instrument which is manifested as a Hitchhiker payload on STS-53. Our increased knowledge from that experiment will be passed to the AIS for any potential future flight as the AIS experience was passed to GLO.

APPENDIX B

Final Report, SFRC F19628-86-K-0040

NASA

HITCHHIKER-G PROGRAM

(SHUTTLE PAYLOAD OF OPPORTUNITY CARRIER)

CUSTOMER PAYLOAD REQUIREMENTS

CUSTOMER PAYLOAD: GLO (Shuttle Glow) Experiment

CUSTOMER: AFGL/LPL(U. of A.)

DATE:

CUSTOMER APPROVAL:

Ian Gordon \ Date

Payload Manager

roadfoot

University of Arizona

GSFC APPROVAL:

Hitchhiker Mission Manager Date

Hitchhiker Project Manager Date

PL/WSSI APPROVAL:

Dave Knecht

Date

Date

9/3/91

TABLE I

CUSTOMER DATA

CUSTOMER PAYLOAD NAME: Shuttle Glow Experiment

CUSTOMER PAYLOAD ACRONYN: GLO

CUSTOMER NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER:

A. Lyle Broadfoot Lunar & Planetary Laboratory University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona 85721 (602) 621-4303

NAMES AND PHONE NUMBERS OF CUSTOMER CONTACTS:

ELECTRICAL:	Andrew Hudor (602) 621-4536
THERMAL:	A. L. Broadfoot (602) 621-4303
MECHANICAL:	A. L. Broadfoot (602) 621-4303

CALENDAR INTERVAL DURING WHICH FLIGHT IS REQUESTED:

Fall 1992

EARLIEST DATE AT WHICH QUALIFIED PAYLOAD WILL BE AVAILABLE:

April 1992

HITCHHIKER-G

NASA PROGRAM

CUSTOMER PAYLOAD REQUIREMENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This accommodation plan defines the agreement between NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) and the Hitchhiker Customer concerning the unique information needed for the preparation, flight, and disposition of his payload. The general plans for handling of Hitchhiker payloads are described in Hitchhiker Customer Interfaces and Services Handbook.

Appropriate information from this accommodation plan will be used to prepare all necessary documents required by the National Space Transportation System (STS).

In addition, signature of the CPR by the Payload Manager is his certification that this payload contains no items having commercial value that will be used for commemorative purposes and financial gain.

Customer data is given in table 1.

2.0 PAYLOAD DESCRIPTION

2.1 Mission Objectives

A system of spectrographs and imagers will be used to study the "Shuttle Glow" and other atmospheric emissions. The optical instruments are co-aligned and are on a scan platform which will allow the instrument pointing direction to be changed to examine the "Shuttle Glow" from different points of view. The shuttle glow is known to be variable but related to the attack angle of the RAM vector on the shuttle surface. Shuttle glow is also modified by water dumping, attitude control gas, and other normal shuttle operations. There may be sensitivity to sunlighting of the near shuttle atmosphere. Measurements of the spectral content will allow us to understand the nature of the interaction between the Earth's atmosphere and the shuttle craft and its atmosphere. The effect of the shuttle environment on optical measurements will be examined carefully.

2.2 Physical Description

The payload consists of 6 physically separate assemblies which are listed in table 2. For each assembly the weight, size, and field of view (if any) requirements are given, along with allowable ranges for operating and nonoperating (storage) temperatures and average power dissipation. The experiment can be configured for HH-G mounting requirements. Figures 1 through 3 show the details of the HH-G mounting. Two experiment mounting plates are shown from various views with experiment subsystems shown as blocks. These drawings will be updated to show all assemblies and any cables interconnecting the assemblies, the location of the standard electrical interconnects, location of surfaces requiring fields of view, and location of any items requiring access such as purge ports or "red tag" covers.

2.3 Payload Functional Description and Method

The following is a brief functional description of the payload describing methods, techniques, and hardware elements used to obtain the mission objectives.

•					TAB PAYLOAD A	TABLE 2 PAYLOAD ASSEMBLIES				
ASSA	WEIGHT LBS	HIGIM	SIZ LENGTH	SIZE (IN) H HEIGHT	DIA	MOUNT G CARRIER	PWR(W)	TST	TOP	TOP (Preferred)
SP	140			21.5	29.7 (clear)	Bracket on	10W	-50 to 80° C	0 to 50° C	0°C
(Imag	(Imager/Spectrograph)					Sideplate *	(10W)	-50 to 80° C	-50 to -10°C	-30°C
ICU	8	12.2	14.5	σ	ı	Sideplate	21W	-50 to 80° C	-10 to 50° C	0,C
MDB	7.0	5.5	6	4	1	Bracket near SP	110W peak 0 quiescent	F	£	0,C
SAVH	5.0	6	12	Ś	٩	SP Bracket	4W	-50 to 80° C	-10 to 50° C	0°C
SAVI	14	10	14	4.5	•	Sideplate	18W	F	E	0°C
DRU	48	12	20	6	ł	Sideplate	35W	F	F	10°C

.

:

. :

SP - Scan Platform (includes imager/spectrographs)
ICU - Instrument Control Box
MDB - Motor Driver Box
MVPS - High Voltage Power Supply
LVPS - Low Voltage Power Supply
DRU - Data Recording Unit

* (2) 25" x 39" sideplates





GLO EXPERIMENT PLAN VIEW SMALL PLATES #1 & #2 HH-G MOUNTING





∩ # ð #1 GLD EXPERIMENT VIEW SMALL PLATES # HH-G MOUNTING Fieure 3 10P



The GLO experiment is an imager and spectrograph covering a large spectral range, 115 nm to 1150 nm. Our primary objective is to examine the "Shuttle Glow Emission" in an effort to determine the nature of the glow. Secondary targets include atmospheric emissions, day and night glow, and aurora. One of the best areas of the shuttle for examination of the "shuttle glow" is the tail section since it extends out of the immediate environment of the shuttle body and presents differing angles of attack by the "RAM atmosphere" as well as differing angles of view from the bay. If the GLO scan platform is mounted high on the G plate, the best view of the tail section will be available.

The spectrograph consists of 5 separate units with 9 gratings and detectors that divide the spectral range into 9 regions of about 1000 Å each. Five full frame CCD's are interrogated resulting in 83 K spectral samples or 166 K bytes of data. For 30-second exposures the data rate is 5.5 K bytes/second. For many experiments, 1-second samples are required.

From the imagers three half-frame CCD's produce approximately 166 K bytes of data/sample. For 30 seconds between exposures the data rate is 5.5 K bytes/second. Again for many experiments 1-second samples are required. The auxiliary sensor data rates are low in comparison to the above.

With imagers and spectra on 1-second cycles the data rate jumps to 330 K bytes/second to the data recording unit. The spectrographs and imagers are mounted on a scan platform to allow examination of the immediate shuttle environment. The scan platform is able to move the instrument in both elevation and azimuth directions. The motion is limited to $\pm 150^\circ$ in elevation from the +z direction. The azimuth motion is $\pm 190^\circ$ about the z axis of the STS.

The nature of the observations will change with shuttle attitude and solar lighting conditions. The most advantageous observations will be defined after the shuttle attitudes have been defined. There is little enough known about the shuttle glow that few requests will be made for special attitudes. The instrument will be operated by commands from the ground. Some imaging will be transmitted to the ground over one of the 1200 b/s asynchronous interface to assist in instrument control and pointing. We will have supplemental data storage to record data during periods when the medium rate data stream is not available. The instrument has a command buffer which will store a sequence of commands which will operate the instrument for several orbits.

2.4 Operational Scenario

A brief description of the necessary operations scenario to achieve the payloads mission objectives appears below.

The instrument is controlled by an on-board microprocessor. Operational sequence templates are stored in EPROM for operation on call. The operational sequence will be uploaded from the ground. Timing will be synchronized by use of an internal clock. Commands can be uploaded in bursts possibly once per orbit except in special cases. It is expected that most observational conditions will be available through the unperturbed flight sequence. Requests may be made for special attitudes, special firings, or some celestial lock opportunities as the flight sequence is developed.

3.0 PAYLOAD REQUIREMENTS FOR CARRIER STANDARD SERVICES

3.1 Carrier to Payload Electrical Interfaces

The payload will meet the standard electrical interface requirements (including connectors, pin assignments, impedances, signals, levels, etc.) specified in the customer payload requirements document. This payload will require <u>two</u> of the standard interface connections or "ports." For each of the ports, a copy of table 3 has been enclosed showing which of the standard electrical services will be required by the payload. Unused services will be left open-circuited in the payload unless other termination is required by the GSFC. The experiment electrical distribution block diagram is shown in Figure 4. Thermal control is not indicated on this diagram.

3.2 Carrier to Payload Mechanical Interfaces

The payload will meet the standard mechanical interface requirements specified in "Summary of Customer Interfaces and Services." Mechanical drawings and other documentation will be supplied in sufficient detail for the GSFC to perform user accommodation studies and ultimately draft the Mechanical Interface Control Drawing (MICD). Section 2.2 addresses most of the information required for accommodation studies. Table 4 lists the data required for inclusion on the MICD. A handling fixture will be supplied for units weighing over 70 pounds.

3.3 <u>Carrier to Pavload Thermal Interfaces</u>

The thermal interface, power and control will be necessary but is not defined at this time pending guidance from GSFC. We anticipate the need for thermal control of both mounting plates possibly in the temperature region of 0° C for the scan platform and instrument mount plate and +10° C for the DRU mount plate. In addition we will provide one or two small heaters of approximately 25 watts each in the scan platform.

3.4 <u>Customer Supplied Ground Support Equipment (CGSE)</u>

The payload will require customer supplied and operated Ground Support Equipment to provide controls and displays for the payload during integration of payload to carrier, system tests, and flight operations as specified in table 5.

3.5 Operations Requirements

On-orbit operations for this payload will be continuous from first turn on after bay doors open. Scientific data can be acquired at all attitudes with ground control of the experiment. Special attitudes will be requested for several orbits or operational cycles. Table 6 shows general operations requirements, and the characteristics of each operating cycle. The range of acceptable orbit inclinations and attitudes for cycles is given as are the duration, any attitude requirements, and requirements for orbit day or night. The maximum power, average power, and energy requirements are given for each cycle. The total number of commands expected to be transmitted is shown along with requirements for low rate (LR) or medium rate (MR) data coverage in minutes.

3.6 Ground Operations Requirements

This payload will require handling and ground services as defined in table 7.

3.7 Safety

Table 8 indicates by "no" or "yes" items of a safety-related nature on the payload. Details of items identified "yes" are also given.

GLO EXPERIMENT ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION

Figure 4



TABLE 3

STANDARD AVIONICS PORT REQUIREMENTS

PORT NUMBER: 1

Number of bilevel commands (4 max):	0
Number of thermistors (3 max):	3 (HH plate sensors)
Serial Command:	
Asynchronous uplink:	1
Asynchronous downlink:	1
Medium rate KU-band data rate:	250 kbps
PCM serial downlink total data rate:	0
PCM Channel A data rate:	0
PCM Channel B data rate:	0
Number of bits/Sample A/B (8 OR 16)	0
PCM analog data:	0
IRIG-B GMT:	0
GMTMIN:	0
Crew panel switches	0
Orbiter CCTV interface:	0
Port to port interconnect required:	0
Power Circuit A - amps max	3.6 A
Power Circuit B - amps max	4.0 A
Power circuit HTR - amps max	1.8 A
Total energy required A&B (kwh)	.21 kwh

PORT NUMBER: 2

Number of bilevel commands (4 max):	0
Number of thermistors (3 max):	3 (HH plate sensors)
Serial Command:	
Asynchronous uplink:	0
Asynchronous downlink:	
Medium rate KU-band data rate:	250 kbps
PCM serial downlink total data rate:	0
PCM Channel A data rate:	0
PCM Channel B data rate:	0
Number of bits/Sample A/B (8 OR 16)	0
PCM analog data:	0
IRIG-B GMT:	0
GMTMIN:	0
Crew panel switches	0
Orbiter CCTV interface:	0
Port to port interconnect required:	0
Power Circuit A - amps max	0
Power Circuit B - amps max	0
Power circuit HTR - amps max	HH plate heaters
Total energy required A&B (kwh)	0

TABLE 4

Date:

MECHANICAL INTERFACE CONTROL DRAWING INFORMATION CHECKLIST

PROGRAM: GLO INSTRUMENT: Imager/Spectrograph COMPONENT: 6

:

	REQ'D	RECD	INC	AGR	REV
Instr. Component Size and Shape	29.5" Dia. 21.5" High				
Instr. Axis Orientation Origin	El ±150° from +Z Az ±190° around Z				
Attachment Location Reaction Direction Details	G Carrier Bay 3 starboard & Sides TBD				
Envelopes Static Thermal Dynamic	TBD				
Size and Shape Mass Center of Mass Inertias	TBD				
Detector FOV Location Size	Movable Imager 20*x20* Spec. 0.2*x10*				
Thermal FOV Location Size	TBD				
Fluid Service Type Location Details Frequency	NONE				
Electrical Connector Locations Orientation Identification	TBD				
Electrical Grounding Detail	TBD				
Radioactive Sources Type Strength Location	NONE				
Handling Point Location Details	TBD				

TABLE 4 (continued)

Date:

MECHANICAL INTERFACE CONTROL DRAWING INFORMATION CHECKLIST

PROGRAM: GLO INSTRUMENT: Imager/Spectrograph

COMPONENT: 6

	REQ'D	RECD	INC	AGR	REV
Access Areas Identification Location Size	NA				
Reference Surface Location Size	NA				
Doors/Appendages Identification Location Size Function Mass Inertia Duty Cycle	None				
Remove/Install Before/After Flight Items Identification Location Size Function	Covers Protection				
Ordinance/Actuators Identification Location Type Function	None				
NOTESsafety precautions special provisions test configuration shipping environment damage cleanliness materials purge provisions	NA NA NA NA 100,000 NA No				

TABLE 5

CUSTOMER GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (CGSE)

Weight:	900 lbs
Number of assemblies:	18
Power required (Watts total):	1500 W
Floor space required:	12 ft. × 10 ft.
CGSE will generate uplink commands:	Yes
CGSE will receive low rate customer data:	Yes
CSGE will receive medium rate data:	Yes
CGSE will receive attitude data:	Yes *
Number of standard 15A 114V AC 60 Hz outlets required:	2

* There is a need for real time flight events such as firings, water dumps, etc., for experiment timing.

TOTAL UTERATING TIME ALCOUNTY TOTAL NUMBER OF OPERATIONS CYCLES: PAYLOAD OPERATING CYCLES:	DF OPER TING CY	ATTONS CYCL	23			ORBIT ALTITUDE RANGE: TOTAL ENERGY (KWH): 20 kwh	DE RANGE: Y (KWH): 20	kwh	
TARGET	CYCLE	DURATION	ATTTUDE	DAYNIGHT	MAX PWR	AVG PWR	ENERGY	CMDS Note 1	DATA Note 2
00 (Tail) #1	Ţ	90 min.	+YVV, -Z anti-Sun	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
OG (OMS) #1	63	90 min.	+YVV, -Z anti-Sun	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
OG (Tail) #2	(1)	90 min.	+YVV, -Z anti-Sun	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
0G (OMS) #2	4	90 min.	+YVV, -Z anti-Sun	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
PRCS #1	Ś	10 min.	-Z to space	Night	190 watts*	80 watts			
PRCS #2	9		-Z to space	Night	190 watts*	80 watts			
PRCS #3	٢	10 min.	-Z to space	Day	190 watts*	80 watts			
Water Dump	•0	30 min.	Vent -VV, -Z anti-Sun	Night	190 watts*	80 watts			
Water Dump	6	30 min.	Vent -VV, -Z anti-Sun	Night	190 watts*	80 watts			
Water Dumo	10	30 min.	Vent -VV, -Z anti-Sun	Day	190 watts*	80 watts			
Fuel Cell Purge	11	30 min.	Vent -VV, -Z anti-Sun	Night	190 watts*	80 watts			
Fuel Cell Purge	12	30 min.	Vent -VV, -Z anti-Sun	Night	190 watts*	80 watts			
Fuel Cell Purge	13	30 min.	Vent -VV, -Z anti-Sun	Day	190 watts*	80 watts			
VRCS	14	S min.	IH	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
VRCS	15	90 min.	Н	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
VRCS	16	90 min.	HI	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts			
VRSC	17	90 min.	Н	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts		•	
AG (Limb)	18	8 min.	Biased -Z to space	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts		Attitude trim	
AG (Limb)	19	90 min.	Biased -Z to space	One orbit	190 watts"	80 watts		Attutude trum	<u>ष</u> .
Atmos. Structure	20	90 min.	-Y to space LVLH	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts		Attitude trim	H .
Atmos. Structure	21	90 min.	-Y to space LVLH	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts		Attitude trim	<u>변</u> .
Atmos. Structure	ន	90 min.	-Y to space LVLH	One orbit	190 watts*	80 watts		Attitude trum	
Night Glow	ส	Sicep	Biased +Z to space		190 watts*	80 watts			
Terminator Crossing	24	Sleep	Biased +Z to space		190 watts*	80 watts			
Night Limb	ม	Sleep	Biased +Z to space		190 watts*	80 watts			
Aurora	5 6	Sleep	Biased +Z to space		190 watts*	80 watts			

SPECIAL OPERATIONS REQUIREMENTS OR RESTRICTIONS:

Note I Hitchhiker Remote Command Terminal - * 5 min/orbit over assynchronous low rate uplink Note 2 Through Hitchhiker Avionics Port - continuous medium rate data transmission LOS periods buffered by DRU

Scan platform motion

TOTAL ENERGY (KWH): .20 kwh **ORBIT INCLINATION RANGE: ORBIT ALTITUDE RANGE:**

PAYLOAD OPERATIONS REQUIREMENTS: TABLE 6

TOTAL OPERATING TIME REQUIRED: TBD

TABLE 7

GROUND OPERATIONS REQUIREMENTS

Maximum and minimum allowed s	torage temperatures	:	-50° to 80° C
Maximum and minimum allowed r	elative humidity:		≤ 50%
Cleanliness requirement for payloa	d integration and te	esting:	100,000
Customer supplied ground support to service payload (excluding CC		1	Lifting fixture
Requirements for gases or liquids:			N ₂
Requirements for payload servicing	g at launch site:		None
Requirements for access during or	biter integration:		None
Requirements for access on launch	pad:		Remove covers
Requirements for post-landing acc	ess:	·	Replace cover
Any other special requirements for test or launch site operations:	handling at integra	ation and	Yes *
Sizes and weights of items required or launch sites (excluding CGSE		tegration	Listed below
<u>ltem</u> Lifting fixture	<u>Size</u> 36"×35"×1"	Veight 10 #	• • • • • • • • • •

Hardware support kit

• An integration verification test should be performed to ensure proper connector mating has been achieved.

40 #

24"×10"×10"

TABLE 8

PAYLOAD SAFETY RELATED ITEMS

1.	Contains pressurized volume(s):	No
2.	Contains radioactive material:	No
3.	Contains light or RF source:	No
4.	External electric or magnetic fields:	No
5.	External electrically charged surface:	No
6.	External hot or sharp surface:	No
7.	Contains toxic material (e.g. HG, BE):	No
8.	Contains outgassing material:	No
9.	Vents fluids or gases:	No
10.	Contains cryogens:	No
11.	Has moving external parts:	Yes
12.	Contains explosive devices:	No
13.	Contains or generates explosive or flammable materia! or gas:	No
14.	Customer supplied GSE contains no radioactive material, light or RF sources, pressurized volume:	No
15.	Any other hazard:	Yes

Description of identified hazard(s):

• High voltage up to 6 kv between spectrograph and high voltage power supply.

• Lithium battery.

APPENDIX C

Final Report, SFRC F19628-86-K-0040

Applied Optics Paper: "Application of the intensified CCD to airglow and auroral measurements"

Application of the intensified CCD to airglow and auroral measurements

A. L. Broadfoot and B. R. Sandel

New detector technology exemplified by advanced CCD and intensified CCD (ICCD) systems have important advantages for both spectrographic and imaging research. However, to realize the full potential of this new technology, we must consider the detector and the optical system as a whole. It is frequently not enough to simply substitute an ICCD for an earlier detector; rather, to achieve optimum results, the optics must be adapted to the specific detector. Properly designed airglow spectrographs based on the ICCD detector offer the advantages of high throughput over a broad spectral range, precise wavelength stability, low noise, and compactness. Imagers having the wide field and the high sensitivity needed for airglow research are practical as well.

I. Introduction

Quantitative auroral and airglow spectral measurements were first made by photographic emulsions in spectrographs and cameras. These emulsions recorded a great deal of information, both spectral and spatial, but had several important limitations. Photographic plates were slow, had a limited spectral range, and were difficult to interpret quantitatively. Early electronic detection systems, such as the vidicon and image orthicon developed for the television industry,¹ did not provide a quartitative alternative. The development of the photomultiplier² was an important milestone.

The photomultiplier had sensitivity to single photoevents and the capability for high time resolution. Although the photomultiplier was approximately 2 orders of magnitude more sensitive than the photographic enulsions, in a spectrograph it was limited to monochromatic samples. New optical designs were optimized for photomultipliers. By the early 1960's emphasis had shifted to monochromators (spectrometers), but the photographic spectrograph was still quite competitive when large spectral ranges and spatial resolution were required. Photometers with interference filters were useful as high-through, ut monitors of a particular wavelength.

The most recent detector development has been in the family of solid-state arrays, including CCD's, charge-injection devices, Reticon photodiode arrays, and others.^{3,4} Although these devices have been avail. able for approximately two decades, their application has been slow even though they have represented a major advancement in capability. We have been using the ICCD in our observational programs. ICCD's can be compared with the detectors mentioned above by noting that the ICCD's have the same sensitivity to photons as do photomultipliers as well as the advantage of spatial resolution. With the ICCD instead of the photographic plate in a spectrograph, the spectrograph has single photoelectron counting capability. an improvement of approximately 2 orders of magnitude in sensitivity over the photographic plate. However, the full potential of this improvement cannot be realized by simply substituting the ICCD for the photographic plate; rather, the optics must be redesigned and adapted to the requirements of the new detector.

In this sense, the development of detector systems and scientifically useful applications are two different concepts. Several good review papers expound the details of the detectors that are under development.^{3,5,6} These descriptions do not deal with many of the practical aspects of application except in a futuristic way. Application, as discussed in this paper, means the use of available technology to make scientifically useful measurements. The distinction between application and development is important in the following discussions because the promises of detector development in the past 10 years are familiar, whereas

The authors are with the Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721.

Received 8 April 1991.

^{0003-6935/92/165097-12805.00/0.}

c 1992 Optical Society of America.

The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and sell this report. Permission for further reproduction by others must be obtained from the copyright owner.

practical applications are specialized. Few detectors qualify as candidates for use in general-purpose instrumentation.

The astronomy community has taken a leading role in the application of CCD's to scientific measurement over the past 15 years. The capabilities and shortcomings of the CCD are well understood. In the use of intensified array detectors, astronomers again tried several techniques but improvements in the bare CCD progressed quickly enough to satisfy their requirements. The Voyager UV spectrograph launched in 1977 used an intensified linear array.⁷ It has undoubtedly the largest database from which to study the application of array detectors that can record single photoevents.

The development research and application of CCD's over the past decade have resulted in understanding and confidence in the device. This is a result of both industrial and scientific interest in the CCD. Another device, the proximity focused image intensifier, that uses microchannel plates and a phosphor screen on a fiber-optic output faceplate, has become readily available and can replace the photomultiplier in many cases. This is also a proven product resulting from military interest. Other detectors under development with various readout techniques do not fit into the category of proven and available. They fit into a class of special application and in our opinion cannot be viewed as competing devices for general use in airglow and auroral measurements.

The ICCD is formed by fiber optically coupling the output of the proximity focused image intensifier to the CCD to take advantage of the best attributes of both devices. The task is then a matter of engineering and verification rather than of detector development. Here we discuss the use of ICCD's in two types of instrument that are suited for measurements of extended sources, such as airglow and aurora, namely, spectrographs and imagers, with major emphasis on the former. We compare their performances with alternative detectors and discuss factors that must be considered in optimizing an observing program based on them. Among these are noise characteristics and suitable optical designs. In contrast to the development of specialized sensors needed for some particular applications, we emphasize the idea of using standard CCD's and image intensifiers available in standard product lines. We find that these are suitable for a large class of measurement that is of interest for studies of aurora and airglow.

II. General Considerations in the Application of CCD's and ICCD's

The bare (unintensified) CCD has been used extensively in astronomy. The attributes of the device that have been the most important are the linear response over several decades of dynamic range and the ability to integrate for long periods of time, hours at liquid nitrogen temperatures. The first is important beccuse the data are in a digital format easily manipulated by computers. The second allowed the inte-

3098 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 June 1992

grated signal from weak sources to exceed the threshold of sensitivity. The threshold was fairly high in the early days. The Voyager UV spectrograph detector⁷ had a read noise of 10^4 electrons chosen as an easily attainable design criterion, although a level 10 times better could be reached with special effort. Modern CCD systems exhibit read noise in the several-electron range, approaching the ideal detector. However, they have other problems consistent with high-sensitivity systems.

The intensified CCD has all the attributes of photoelectron counting that are offered by the photomultiplier, including access to the whole photoelectric spectral range from 20 to 1200 nm but has been slow to be accepted as a viable detector system. We proposed the fiber-optic coupling of a proximity focused imaged intensifier to a Reticon photodiode array for the Voyager spectrograph in 1971 following the research of Riegler and More.8 The first ambitious application of the ICCD⁹ was initiated in 1976 by these authors in the Imaging Spectrometric Observatory (ISO) proposed for Spacelab I.10 Except for advancements in electronics, little has changed in our understanding of the ICCD as a detector system. It is not ideal, but it is readily available, has been used in several flight instruments, including the UV imagers aboard the Viking Earth Satellite,^{11,12} and is flexible in configuration.

The CCD and the ICCD differ considerably in use. A primary consideration in selecting between them is their thresholds of detection for a given integration time. For simplicity, we assume in the following discussion that the CCD and the ICCD have identical quantum efficiencies, thereby generating photoelectron events at equal rates. The CCD is basically an analog detector, but its sensitivity is high enough that it is evaluated by single-photon (electron) statistics. The read noise is typically 10–50 e⁻ (electron-hole pairs) rms, and, in special cases, it has been reported to be <10 e⁻ rms. The signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) ρ for a read of a single pixel may be written as

$$\rho = \frac{S}{\sqrt{N+D+S}},$$
 (1)

where S is the number of electron-hole pairs generated by the signal, D is the number resulting from thermal dark rate, and N represents the contribution from the read noise of the electronics. We take N to be the square of the rms read noise. Figure 1 shows the curves of p for a range of values of S.

The intrinsic dark count rate of the photon counting ICCD is very small on a per-pixel basis. For example, the dark count rate of a cooled S-20photocathode of diameter 25 mm is typically 10 events/s. Scaled to the 5×10^{-4} mm² area of the pixel, this corresponds to $\sim 10^{-6}$ events pixel ¹ s⁻¹. Cathodes with reduced red response (higher work function) are less noisy. The ICCD curve, in the interesting range of the CCD curve, is a straight line. In Fig. 1, the ICCD curve was drawn through a S/N of 10 and



Fig 1. Signal-to-noise ratio ρ for CCD and ICCD detectors computed by using Eq. (1). The straight line represents the ICCD for which N is effectively zero because of the photoelectron counting capability of the ICCD. The curves represent CCD systems having readout noise of 10 and 50 electrons.

100 then extrapolated through 1 without regard for the statistical implications since individual photoevents can be detected by the ICCD.

It is interesting to consider the meaning of the threshold of detection for each detector system. The photoevent counting intensifiers are the most straightforward. The noise is dominated by the shot noise in the signal. Long integrations give the same results as the summation of multiple short samples representing the same total integration time. On the other hand, the read noise and the other effects in the operation of the CCD limit the threshold sensitivity. With the CCD, the most effective way to increase o is to increase the integration time---astronomers use hours. If adjustment of the integration time is not acceptable, two other approaches can improve the statistical performance modestly. They are referred to as on-chip summation and off-chip summation.

For photon counting detectors, both techniques (on-chip and off-chip summation) result in improved statistics. The photoevents appear as a burst of up to $10^3 e^-$ in the CCD. This charge overwhelms the lower level of noise associated with normal CCD readout. Event counting statistics will prevail (Fig. 1). This is not the case for the bare CCD.

On-chip summation sacrifices spatial resolution to minimize the number of read operations required to measure the charge on the chip. The technique is to move the charge in several adjacent pixels into the output junction, then sample and digitize the accumulated charge with a single read. Although this improves the signal-to-noise ratio over the sensitive area, the question of interest here is the effect on the threshold of detection. For example, if 10 pixels, each having a signal charge of 50 e⁻, are summed on chip, the resulting signal-to-noise ratio should be 10. If each pixel had an average of only 5 e⁻ and was shifted into the output junction, would the result be a signal-to-noise ratio of unity? There is the question of efficiency in handling a 5-electron charge. We do not know of research that deals specifically with the effect of moving small numbers of charges. In any case, there are other noise contributions that become significant at this level of on-chip summation.¹³ Any leakage charge on the pixels would be summed into the output gate as well as the signal charge. It appears that there would be difficulties in pushing the threshold down far with the on-chip summation technique.

Off-chip summation also has limitations when the signal is close to threshold. First, the S/N improvement follows the square-root law in this case. Although areas that have identifiable intensity structure will improve in S/N, it is unlikely that the summation of samples with a S/N of unity or less will result in a recognizable improvement in the threshold of detection. The effect of reducing the read noise to $10 e^-$ is shown in Fig. 1, but the considerations above are still valid in evaluating the threshold of detection at the $10-e^-$ level.

We argue that the two devices, the CCD and the ICCD, can be separated in their application by their threshold of detection. For continued discussion we assume that the CCD has a threshold of detection of approximately 50 photoevents for comparative purposes. Also, we assume that each pixel of an ICCD can be considered as a photon counting device that preserves the Poisson statistics of the arriving photons.

The dynamic range of the detector is generally not a limitation for either CCD approach. The limitation in dynamic range in many cases is caused by internal scattering in the optical system and not the detector. The bare CCD has a linear response from its threshold to pixel saturation. Depending on the threshold and the full-well charge capacity of the pixel, the dynamic range can be greater than 10^4 . Saturation of some pixels does not affect the rest of the pixels significantly. This dynamic range is sufficient for most applications, and it can be extended by exposure control.

The ICCD dynamic range is not restricted by the detector either. It is defined by a companion digital memory and the dark count rate rather than by the full-well capacity. At maximum gain, the intensifier generates approximately 500 e /photoevent in a CCD pixel. This implies a dynamic range of 500 events for a full-well capacity of $2.5 \times 10^{\circ}$ e , but the CCD can be read out often and the events accumulated in a companion memory. High signal levels can also be handled by reducing the gain of the intensifier. The good statistical accuracy implied by the high photoevent rate is maintained by integrating the signal on the CCD; the only statistical penalty is a factor of 2^{1/2} introduced in the transition from pulse counting to pulse integration. The Voyager UV detector can integrate the signal on the anode array when the microchannel plate MCP is operated at low gain. The result is a markedly extended dynamic range. When the Voyager UV spectrograph observes the sun, it measures photoevent rates up to $3 \times 10^{\circ}$ photoevents s ' pixel '. At full gain, photoevent rates of 5 \times 10 ^a s⁻¹ pixel ¹ are routinely recorded at our threshold of detection. The full dynamic range is therefore approximately 10^7 . The threshold of detection for the Voyager UV spectrograph detector is similar to that of the ICCD detector system, 10^{-3} events/s. This threshold is higher for the photocathodes that generate appreciable dark noise.

The ability to deal with igh signal rates is not usually the concern in typical scientific applications. Rather, the limiting factor is usually the ability to deal with weak signals. Scientific observations are most often driven to the statistical limit to improve temporal, spatial, or spectral resolution.

For many investigations, the possibility of choosing the photocathode of the ICCD to reject unwanted long-wavelength emissions gives it an important advantage over the bare CCD. For example, many diagnostic emissions fall in the far UV. With a bare CCD, scattering from near UV and visible wavelengths, where the solar flux is orders of magnitude higher than in the far UV, can compromise measurements of the features of interest. A solar-blind photocathode in an ICCD is a convenient solution. We do not treat this advantage quantitatively because it depends on the specific application, but it is potentially a crucial point.

III. Application of Array Detectors to the Spectrograph

In the following discussions we concentrate on the use of the ICCD for aeronomical measurements, comparing it with other detectors that have been used for that purpose. We also consider the bare CCD, but the need for short integration times in most aeronomical observations leaves the bare CCD a poor contender.

There are five important differences between the ICCD spectrograph and the photomultiplier monochromator that we discuss in the following sections: (1) optical design criteria are different; (2) optical throughput or speed of the spectrograph for obtaining a complete spectrum is improved by approximately 2 orders of magnitude because of the multiplexing nature of the detector; (3) instrument size can be decreased by a factor of 10 in focal length or 10^a in volume in many applications; (4) effective dark count rate per pixel is reduced by at least 2 orders of magnitude; and (5) quality of the data is improved remarkably because the spectrograph need have no moving parts. The specific application will determine the degree to which these improvements can be achieved, but, in general, the advantages are almost revolutionary.

A. Optical Designs and the ICCD Detector System

In Section I, we noted that the development of the photomultiplier resulted in a change in the optical design of spectrographic instruments to take advantage of the photomultiplier. The array detector also requires special optical designs. There will be a return to the spectrographic configuration but with some new constraints.

Spatial and spectral information can be obtained from the spectrograph, but this requires a good

3100 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 Juny 1992

quality image of the entrance slit in the image plane of the camera system. (Typically, there are three imaging optical elements defining a spectrograph: the collimator, the dispersing element, and the camera.) The optics for a monochromator need image only the width of the entrance slit on the exit slit for good performance; a one-dimensional or astigmatic image will suffice. Fastie¹⁴ was quick to rediscover the Ebert monochromator, which had not seen much use since its original description,¹⁵ presumably because the severe astigmation in the system was unsatisfactory for a spectrograph. Fastie pointed out that a similar optical system could cancel spherical aberration and greatly reduce coma by the use of complementary spherical surfaces for the collimator and camera optics and of a special curved slit geometry. The reflecting optics have no chromatic aberration. The result was a high-throughput high-resolution monochromator, the Ebert-Fastie (E-F). However, the curved slit and astigmatic optics are inappropriate for the rectangular format of the CCD. Although the E-F optical system is fast, recording the full spectrum in a single exposure on the ICCD has an advantage of approximately 2 orders of magnitude over the E-F monochromator. This is best illustrated by a direct comparison of the E-F monochromator and a comparable ICCD spectrograph.

The performance of a monochromator or spectrograph viewing an extended source can be estimated by using the photometric equation

$$P = B_{*}A_{*}\frac{A_{*}\cos\phi}{F^{*}}\tau\eta\epsilon, \qquad (2)$$

where P is the photoevent rate in events s^{-1} pixel⁻¹, B_{\star} is the brightness of the source in photons s^{-1} cm⁻² s^{-1} , A_d is the area of the detector, $A_{\mu} \cos \phi$ is the effective area of the grating of area A_{μ} operating at an angle ϕ , F is the focal length of the camera lens, τ is the optical transmission, η is the efficiency of the dispersing element, and ϵ is the quantum efficiency of the detector.

The following discussions can be simplified by some substitutions into Eq. (2). The area of the detector can be replaced by the effective dimension of the exit slit, L, the length of the slit, and, W, the width. The grating area divided by the focal length squared is a solid angle and can be replaced by the reciprocal f-ratio of the camera optics squared,

$$\frac{A_e \cos \phi}{F^2} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1}{f^2}.$$

The modified photometric equation is

29

$$P = B_1 \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{LW}{f^2} \pi \eta t.$$
 (3)

For this comparative study, we assume that the transmission of the optical system τ , the grating or dispersive efficiency η , and the quantum efficiency ϵ are equal in the two systems. When the constant

terms are collected into the constant k, the expression becomes

$$P = k \frac{LW}{f^2}, \tag{4}$$

and from system to system the throughput E has the proportionality

$$E \propto \frac{LW}{f^2}$$
 (5)

This can be made more specific by comparing the performance of an E-F 0.125-m monochromator with that of a spectrograph having equivalent resolution, spectral range, and *f*-ratio but designed around the ICCD. The two optical systems are shown in Fig. 2. Table I lists the characteristics of the two designs.

The two sets of design parameters have been selected to produce identical spectra. The focal length and f-ratio were taken from the E-F design. A high-dispersion grating maximizes the throughput of the monochromator and three grating steps per slit width were used. To match this the ICCD system has 3 pixels per slit width and a grating ruling selected for a dispersion of 3.3 Å/pixel. The spectral range was determined by the number of rows in the ICCD array so that each spectrum would contain 576 samples.

Because the *f*-ratios are equal in the two instruments, their monochromatic throughput is simply



Fig 2. Comparison of a conventional E-F spectrometer with a spectrograph designed to take advantage of modern two-dimenaional detectors. In terms of the time required to cover the same range of wavelengths at equivalent resolution and statistical accuracy, the spectrograph has an advantage of a factor of 60

Table I. Monochromator-Spectrograph Comparison

Parameter	Ebert-Fastie Monochromator	Array Detector (ICCD) Spectrograph
Focal length (F)	125 mm	125 mm
f-ratio (f)	5	5
Resolution	10 Å	10 Å
Grating	3600 lines/mm	530 lines/mm
Slit width (W)	0.45 mm	0.066 mm
Slit length (L)	12 mm	8.5 mm
Spectral element	3.3 Å/step	3.3 Å, pixel
Range	1900 Å	1900 Å
Elements per spectrum	576	576
Optical throughput (E) (monochromatic)	9.6	1
Optical throughput for full spectrum	1	60

"The spectrograph has no physical exit slit, but the function of an exit slit is performed by the discrete pixels of the ICCD.

the ratio of the areas of their slits. The E-F slit is 9.6 times larger than the slit in the ICCD spectrograph. However, to cover a range of 1900 A at the specified resolution, we must step the E-F grating and integrate 576 times. Hence we must consider the rate at which information is recorded, and we find that the spectrograph will produce 60 spectra, in the same time the E-F monochromator produces a single spectrum of comparable quality. Not only does the spectrograph gather photons 60 times faster than the monochromator, but the quality of the data is improved. Scattered light in the spectrograph is lower by the ratio of the areas of the slits, a factor of 9.6. The detector and the optical system of the spectrograph have no moving parts, so internal scattering depends only on the input illumination and can be measured for later removal. In the spectrograph, the wavelength scale is fixed by the unchanging relationship of the optics and the detector. In contrast, a reliable wavelength scale in a spectrometer with a rotating grating depends on the precision of the mechanism that positions the grating. Temporal variations in the source are recorded over the entire spectrum simultaneously by the spectrograph. The spectrograph images the entrance slit on the detector, so that the spatial variations in the source will be recorded. All the advantages of spectrographs with photographic plates can be realized in the ICCD spectrograph.

Flexibility and small size are additional advantages of the ICCD spectrograph. The optical design of the E-F system is fixed, and the experimenter can use only the fore optics to adapt the instrument to the observation. This is not the case with the ICCD spectrograph. The entire optical train is at the designer's disposal. It is most important to realize that the optical design of an ICCD system is constrained by the size of the detector, and, therefore, high speed does not necessarily imply a large instrument. The quantities L and W are the dimensions of the pixel array on which the entrance slit will be imaged and are therefore constrained by the detector size. The f-ratio refers to the camera feeding the detector. The throughput will be constant as long as the f-ratio remains the same regardless of the size of the optics. In practice, the size of the optics can be reduced until the image quality over the area of the detector becomes unacceptable because of the optical aberrations in the system. It is also noteworthy that the throughput is not affected by the speed of the optical system in front of the grating provided it does not vignette the camera system. The f-ratio of the collimator can be large compared with that of the camera. A large f-ratio has the advantage of better scattered light control in the spectrograph. The f-ratio can be reduced until the image quality at the edge of the array becomes unacceptable.

The example above can be extended to instruments used in the past. A variety of instruments that have seen substantial use over the last two decades are cited to illustrate the use of array detectors. Beginning with the basic instrument design, we adjusted the parameters of each instrument to give the same spectral resolution in the 400-nm region of the spectrum. Then we evaluated the constant in Eq. (4) for each instrument to place the comparison on a common photometric scale. The characteristics of the instruments are gathered in Table II. The slit widths of the monochromators were chosen for 3-Å spectral resolution, assuming the use of a 1200-line/mm grating in the second or the third order as indicated. The spectrographs have their resolutions set by the pixel size and the slit width; the slit width in the image plane was taken to be 3 pixels. In this case, the ruling of the grating would be adjusted to give the correct linear dispersion; note that only this apparent slit width enters the calculation of sensitivity. Spectrograph E (see Table II), an objective grating spectrograph similar to the Voyage: UV instrument, can be included in the comparison because the calculation is independent of wavelength. At EUV wavelengths reflective efficiencies are poor, requiring a trade-off between resolution and throughput. Spectrograph F refers to an ICCD spectrograph that we recently put into service. Called the Arizona Imager/Spectrograph (AIS), it was built for the U.S. Air Force Geophysics Laboratory and will be flown on the space shuttle Discovery. Details of the instrument are given in a companion paper.¹⁶ A compromise in throughput by a factor of 4 was accepted to permit the spectral range 115-900 nm to be recorded simultaneously with 4600 spectral elements. The slit width is 2 pixels. Spectrograph G is the same as spectrograph F but designed to have full throughput with a slit width of 3 pixels as in the comparison with the E-F spectrometer above.

Spectrograph H is a special case. It is the same as spectrograph F except that it has a bare CCD rather than an ICCD in the image plane. The read noise of the CCD is a limiting factor as noted above, Eyen though there are nearly 1800 pixels within the effective slit, there is a read noise of 50 e⁻ associated with the reading of each pixel. The sum of all <u>samples</u> would give an effective read noise of 50 $\times \sqrt{1800} =$

Table II. Spectral Instrument Compariso	Table II.	trai instrument Co	mparison
---	-----------	--------------------	----------

Parameter	A E-F, 0.125-m Mono- chromator	B Jarrel-Ash E-F, 1 m	C Fast E=F, 1 m ^e	D ISO/ Spacelab I'	E Voyager UV Spectro- graph'	F AIS ICCD Spectro- graph	G ICCD Spectro- graph*	H AIS CCD Spectro- graph	
Focal length, F (cm)	12.5	100	100	50	20	27.5	27.5	27.5	No.
f-ratio. f	5	8.8	6.2	3.6	3.6	4.1	2.9	2.9	0.8
Slit width (em)	0.010	0.077	0.14	0.011	0.030	0.005	0.006	0.004	
Slit length (cm)	1.2	5.1	15.2	0.57	0.30	0.45	0.40	0.80	
Transmission, +	0.78	0.78	0.56	0.82	0.60	0.90	0.90	0.90	
Dispersion efficiency, n (order)	0.60 (2)	0.50 (2)	0.40 (3)	0.50	0.70	0.35	0.35	0.35	
Quantum efficiency, e	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.30	
Spectral elements	1	1	1	190	128	4600	576	576	500
Photoevent rate, P(s ' R'')	1.68	14.8	93	1.50	2.19	0.22	1.3	2.25	
Detector noise, s	10	10	10	3.1 × 10 ⁻¹	4.5 × 10 ⁻⁴	2.8×10	• 2.8 × 10**	0.05	
Sensitivity, Rayleigh a (R s) ^r	2.2	0.25	0.040	0.67	0.46	4.55	0.76	222	10'

"Resolution of 3 Å in the vicinity of 4000 Å.

*Calculated in this paper.

'Ref. 18.

"150-mm curved slits, designed by Fastie, Ref. 14.

'Ref. 10.

"Ref. 16.

*Same as spectrograph F but optimized for test specifications.

"Ref. 16. "Ref. 17.

"The emission rate required to give a S/N of unity in 1.8.

^{&#}x27;Ref. 19.

2000 e⁻, requiring a signal of 2000 e , to provide S/N = 1. On-chip summation of 18 pixels per read followed by off-chip summation would result in an effective noise threshold of 500 e , the number used in Table II.

The comparative study is completed by estimates for a photographic spectrograph, I. Vallance-Jones¹⁷ comments on high-speed photographic spectrographs. For an instrument developed by A. B. Meinel in the early 1950's and used with an f/0.8 Schmidt camera, he suggested a sensitivity in the 10⁵-R s range as a reasonable working value and estimated the threshold of sensitivity to be 10⁴ R s.

The sensitivities in Rayleigh seconds from Table II are plotted in Fig. 3. The Rayleigh second¹⁷ is the emission rate required to produce a S/N of unity in 1 s. The calculations whose results are shown in Table II treat each instrument as a monochromator having one spectral element. As the spectral ranges for monochromators A, B, and C increase, the sensitivities decrease proportionally because time must be shared among the other elements of the spectrum. On the other hand, the spectrographic instruments have roughly the same sensitivity at each spectral resolution element.

There are many techniques for optimizing an instrument for a specific observation. Because the requirement in future programs is for broad spectral coverage, it seems that the ICCD system will be most useful. The airglow atlas of Broadfoot and Kendall¹⁸ was accumulated in segments of 500 spectral elements with monochromator B. Figure 3 shows that an equivalent spectrum of 576 spectral elements would be recorded by an optimized ICCD spectrograph (G) approximately 200 times faster than with



Fig 3. Sensitivities for the instruments listed in Table 11. The letters that label the lines correspond to those in the table. The numbers show the number of spectral elements in each spectrum. The sensitivities of the monochromators depend on the number of spectral elements because of the necessity for time sharing.

monochromator B. The AIS (spectrograph F) will record the same spectrum of 500 elements 32 times faster but in addition will record a much wider spectral range, including 4600 spectral elements at once. This is a spectacular improvement in capability. The rating of the CCD spectrograph, H, with respect to optimized ICCD spectrograph G is noteworthy. ICCD spectrograph G seems to be 316 times faster than spectrograph H on the basis of this comparison. It can be argued that we have not treated the CCD spectrograph fairly. In particular, we assumed equal quantum efficiencies for the CCD and the ICCD. whereas the detective quantum efficiency of the CCD was higher for certain wavelengths. However, even factors of 3–5 do not bring the CCD spectrograph into contention. It was demonstrated that the improvements discussed above are attainable in practice, but this is not discussed in detail here.

B. Size of ICCD Spectrographs

In the discussion of the optical designs and the ICCD spectrograph it was mentioned that the design is constrained by the size of the ICCD format. This conclusion follows directly from relation (5). The proper length and width for the slit image are determined by the CCD format and are therefore constant, leaving only the dimensionless f-ratio as an adjustable parameter. The comparative study in Subsection III.A addressed the performance of several instruments whose focal lengths ranged over an order of magnitude from 100 to 10 cm. The monochromator performance scales by focal length, whereas the performance of the spectrographs scales by array size. Note also that the performance was improved by orders of magnitude in the short focal length instruments that can record broad wavelength regions.

A difference of a factor of 10 in focal length implies a difference of a factor of 1000 in instrument volume. For example, a standard commercial 35-mm camera lens, such as the Nikon 135-mm f/2 with a 50-mm square grating and a modest collimator and slit, forms a small spectrograph. The smaller *f*-ratio would improve its performance by a factor of 2 over ICCD spectrograph G in Table II. Although it would be limited in spectral range by the lens coating, the comparison in size and performance is remarkable.

The application of new technology has completely reversed the emphasis on instrumentation. The monochromator is a precision, high-cost optical tool with a readout system as simple as a photomultiplier tube and a strip chart. The array detector permits the use of simple optical configurations, but the data retrieval and the manipulation require major resources. However, once the data analysis system has been established, the spectral coverage required in future programs can be achieved by a replication of small spectrographs covering the spectral range and having a common data format. At the University of Arizona, this technique is used to provide small space flight spectrographs in a group of five to cover the wavelength range from 115 to 1100 nm simultaneously with 4600 spectral elements. The spectral resolution varies from 3 to 10 Å over the spectral range. The spectrograph set has outside dimensions of 41 cm \times 41 cm \times 21 cm. This instrument is described in a companion paper.¹⁶ The spectrographs are included in Table II and Fig. 3 as spectrograph F.

C. Data Quality Comparisons

Not only does the ICCD spectrograph have a photometric advantage over monochromators, the quality of the data shows a remarkable improvement as well. The data set accumulated by the Voyager UV spectrograph¹⁹ can illustrate this point. Because the detector integrates signals continuously over the whole spectral range, it records temporal variations in the relative brightness of features within the passband properly. Also, the effects of internal scattering and other characteristic contaminations are recorded simultaneously with the primary emissions.

The monochromator lacks this advantage because only one wavelength position is sampled at one time. The recorded signal includes not only the selected wavelength but also a scattered contribution from other bright regions of the spectrum. The scattered component cannot be determined with confidence because the emission causing it is not recorded simultaneously.

The Voyager UV spectrograph presents a unique opportunity to evaluate a photoevent counting spectrograph. It has no moving parts. It records the spectrum from 500 to 1700 Å in 128 segments with an intensified Reticon linear anode array. Although this spectral range may be unfamiliar to many researchers, the data are characteristic of the photoevent counting spectrographic capability at any wavelength.

Figure 4 shows a Voyager UV spectrograph spectrum²⁰ of emissions from the interstellar medium acquired in the direction of the galactic pole, a region free of stars. The integration time was 1.5×10^{8} s, or approximately 415 h. The emissions arise from resonance scattering of solar lines by interplanetary hydrogen and helium. The He (584-Å), H (1216-Å), and H (1025-A) lines are well defined. Note that the ordinate scale changes through the analysis. The H (1216-Å) line intensity results in a peak photoevent rate of approximately 1 s⁻¹ pixel⁻¹. Laboratory calibration of the instrument measured the scattering from lines at many wavelengths throughout the wavelength range. After the detector dark count is subtracted, a matrix multiplication removes the effects of scattering of the four emission lines He (584 Å), H Ly- α , H Ly- β , and H Ly- γ . The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig. 4b. In Fig. 4c, this reduced spectrum is compared with a model computed by a spectral synthesis program that applies the instrument's transmission function to the four discrete lines. Using these techniques, we have found that the modeling process gives a sensitive measure of wavelength. Although each spectral element is approximately 9Å wide, the model line must be placed within 2 Å of the true wavelength position for a match to be convincing. Figure 4d shows the difference between the model and the reduced spectrum in Fig. 4c. This represents the noise in the observation after the emission is removed. Differences are large where the signals are larger even though the percentage error is decreasing. In Fig. 4a, the count at approximately 750 Å is approximately 4.5×10^4 counts channel⁻¹ in 1.5×10^6 s. Poisson statistics imply a corresponding standard deviation of 212 events or ± 0.14 events in 1000 s. That envelope, illustrated in Fig. 4d, is close to the envelope of the noise left after the analysis. This demonstrates that it is possible to understand the origin of all events in the spectrum, to the statistical limit of the observation. Finally, the event rate for the peak in the H Ly- α line was 1 s, whereas the rate for the H L-y line peak was 1 in 330 s; the usable dynamic range for these spectra was therefore at least 330. Similar research can be done with photoevent counting systems having higher sensitivities and higher counting rates limited only by the statistical accuracy of the weakest emission as noted above.

IV. Application of Array Detectors to Imaging

The astronomy community took the lead in the application of the CCD to research imaging. Again, this was an expensive undertaking requiring the development of new electronics techniques, the evaluation of sensors, and the development of image processing systems. In this application, the CCD competed with the photographic plate, which it easily bested. Even the early CCD devices and systems exhibited important advantages in detector capability. Although this technology was an important advancement holding great promise in astronomy, long integration times and broad spectral bands were acceptable. In contrast, the airgiow and auroral community requires higher time resolution and narrowband photometry, and the bare CCD has proven less useful. The interference filter and the photomultiplier had replaced photography as a scientific tool in the early 1960's. Monochromatic imaging was pursued by using flying spot photometers. Intensified photography has seen modest use in the last few years, followed by some use of intensified solid-state detectors.

The requirements of the astronomical application and the airglow and auroral application differ. For the purpose of this discussion it is appropriate to compare on the basis of angular resolution. When an f/3telescope with an aperture of 4 m and a focal length of 12 milluminates a single CCD pixel, 0.022-mm square, the pixel has an angular field of view of 0.4 arcsec. If the field of view is filled by a radiating nebular gas as well as the airglow in the foreground, the signals will be proportional to the surface brightnesses. The surface brightness of the nebular gas may change from pixel to pixel with changes in gas density or excitation. The airglow brightness would contribute to each pixel uniformly because the field of view is

3104 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 June 1992



Fig 4. Illustration of the steps leading to a final spectrum from a spectrograph.²⁰ a, Raw spectra including signal and detector background. The detector background is due to intrinsic noise and to gamma rays from the radioisotope thermoelectric generators that power the spacecraft, b. Result of subtracting the detector background (top curve) and removing the instrumental scattering (bottom curve), o, Comparison of the lower curve in b with a synthetic spectrum composed of He 684 Å and H Lyman series lines, d. Residual after subtracting the data and the synthetic spectra in c.
small compared with the scale of variations in airglow emissions. When the optical system is scaled down by a factor of 10^3 to an aperture of 4 mm and a focal length of 12 mm, the same pixel has an angular field of 0.1°. The nebular source would be contained in a small fraction of the field of view with the detected radiation reduced proportionally. However, the airglow still fills the field of view of the pixel, and the signal level will be the same as for the larger system because the photometric expression [relation (5)] is the same for both optical systems. The brightness of the airglow might vary from pixel to pixel depending on the source of the emission.

We make these comparisons for two reasons. The first is to emphasize that the significant feature of an image is the variation of intensity over the field. It is important to select the angular field to match the scale of the intensity variation to be measured. The second is to emphasize that the photometry is independent of the size of the optical system.

The discussion of the threshold of detection of the ICCD and the CCD can be placed on an absolute scale for this imaging review. If an optical system has the following parameters, f-ratio, 1; pixel area (2.2×10^{-3}) cm)²; transmission (τ), 0.9; quantum efficiency (ϵ), 0.2, then for the unit emission rate, $1 R = 10^6/4\pi$ photons s^{-1} cm⁻² sr⁻¹, in Eq. (2) the photoevent rate is 5.4×10^{-2} events pixel⁻¹ s⁻¹. The abscissa of Fig. 1 can be converted to the sensitivity scale at the top of the figure by using this factor. For instance, an emission rate of 18.5 kR would generate 10³ photoevents pixel⁻¹ s⁻¹. The threshold arguments made previously can now be considered on an absolute scale. The sensitivity of the CCD imager would be ~ 1 kR s. A surface brightness of 1 kR would produce 50 e⁻ pixel⁻¹ in a 1-s exposure, the previously defined threshold of detection. For the ICCD the corresponding number would be 18 R s.

A. Broad Spectral Band Imagers

Many of the dominant auroral emissions can be monitored with interference filters of reasonably wide bandpass (e.g., 50 Å) that could be incorporated into the fast f/1 optical system used as a baseline in the discussion above. Even with the 1-kR s threshold of the bare CCD, typical auroral emissions, which are usually tens of kilo-Rayleighs, would give detectable signals in a 1-s exposure. The 0.1° angular resolution of a single pixel corresponds to a scale of 200-400 m at typical auroral heights and ranges. An array of 100 × 100 pixels corresponds to a field of view 10° square, a size useful for comparative studies of auroral morphology. This type of imager would also be useful for support imaging during spectrographic observations. Clusters of four or six miniature imagers are quite feasible with fiber-optic technology and CCD arrays. For weaker aurora where requirements for both time and spatial resolution can be relaxed, both longer exposures and on-chip summation of four or nine pixels would produce images of good S/N ratio. Intensified systems would be required for better time

resolution, but these can also be implemented in a clustered set.

The imagers associated with the spectrographs in the companion paper¹⁶ were prepared following the outline above. Twelve miniature images are recorded by two CCD's with fiber-optic coupling; 10 of these are intensified.

B. Monochromatic Imagers

For narrow-band monochromatic imaging, the need for near-normal incidence on the interference filter constrains the f-ratio of the optical system. In this case, maximized throughput is important because these imagers are often used to separate weak emissions from a complicated spectral region. The optical technique is to use the largest interference filter available. An image of the sky is formed on the filter through telecentric optics. This image is then reimaged on the intensifier. To maintain the passband of an interference filter with a 5-Å bandwidth, the incidence angle θ must not exceed approximately 4° from the normal. The required f-ratio of the fore optics is given by $f = (2 \tan \theta)^{-1}$, which implies an f/7system for the example given here. If the optics are properly designed, the f-ratio of the fore optics can be converted to an f/1 system feeding the CCD. This will result in a system with the sensitivity defined by the ICCD curve in Fig. 1 if the *f*-ratio transition is made without vignetting. (Some corrections are required for optical transmission and quantum efficiency). A sensitivity near 40 R s would be typical. Again, by sacrifice of spatial resolution through on- or off-chip summation of 2×2 or 3×3 pixels, the signal could be increased by factors of 4 and 9, respectively; this is effectively increasing the area of the pixel.

Still higher sensitivities can be achieved by a further increase in the effective area of the detector. This can be achieved with the ICCD by use of larger proximity focused intensifiers, say 40-mm diameter, with the output image reduced by a fiber-optic taper to 15-mm diameter to fit the CCD array.

C. Application to the Fabry-Perot Interferometer

The Fabry-Perot (F-P) interferometer falls in the same category as the monochromatic imager, but its field of view is still narrower, and large plates are used to increase the collection area. The optical coupling problem is the same as that for the monochromatic imager; a high-speed telescope would be used with an aperture the size of the F-P plates. The focal length of the telescope would determine the number of rings falling on the CCD. Each spectral order in the F-P fringe pattern contains the same energy. The improvement over the classical scanning F-P system can be evaluated by counting the number of rings and the number of elements required across the ring. An operational advantage lies in the ability to find the center of the ring pattern with software rather than by adjusting the plates and the ring position.

We commented above on imaging the aurora through passband filters with a CCD. In this section we discuss the threshold of detection and the ICCD in



Fig 5. Image of Halley's Comet in the light of OI (6300 Å) emission made by using a F-P interferometer and an intensified CCD. The system sensitivity is approximately 36 R-s.

connection with data from a specialized application. The image of Halley's Comet in Fig. 5 was obtained with a telescope and a F-P interferometer matched to an ICCD by appropriate optics.²¹ The interferometer isolated the cometary OI (6300 Å) emission, which was Doppler shifted from the Earth's airglow line. The intensity gradient from the background to the nucleus of the comet is clear. Unexpectedly, the cloud is not spherically symmetric.

The information contained in the image is the subject of interest here, rather than the physics of the emission, which has been discussed elsewhere.²¹ The image was acquired with a 60-s exposure. The format of the array was 576×384 (0.022-mm) pixels, which have been summed 2×2 . The background level (dark noise) amounts to 1 photoevent in 10 pixels. Near the nucleus of the comet the signal is approximately 1 event/pixel. In spite of the low signal, the image shows an obvious change in surface brightness through 1 order of magnitude. The intensity near the nucleus was 6.3 R. The threshold is therefore approximately 0.6 R. Since this threshold was reached in 60 s, the sensitivity of the system was 36 R s. This is consistent with the f/1 example stated above whe we note that the ICCD was fed through a Nikon f/2 lens of focal length 135 mm. Through the 2×2 summation, the effective pixel area was increased by a factor of 4 but at f/2 the solid angle decreased by a factor of 4. A transmission of 50% would bring the observation into line with the example.

There are other points that can be made from this demonstration. First, we invite the reader to consider the various techniques that could be used in the data analysis and data collection to address this type of imaging. We do not discuss the data further. The question of the comparison of the ICCD image with the CCD image is important for completeness. In the discussion of the CCD, it was estimated that 50 e⁻ would be required per pixel to give a S/N of unity. With the optical system used for the comet observation, the threshold intensity would have been 500 times higher. Even if the CCD noise level were 10 e⁺, the threshold is still out of range by 100. Other optimization of the CCD is possible, but we must also begin to consider such complicating features as working with limited charge and cosmic ray events, etc. We conclude that the strength of the ICCD lies in its application to aeronomical problems in which photons are limited.

This research was supported under contract SFRC F19628-86-K-0040 from the U.S. Air Force, National Aeronautics and Space Administration contract NASW 4245 and grant NAG5-637, and National Science Foundation grant ATM 8814440.

References

- 1. Rose, Weimer, and Law, "The image orthicon—a sensitive television pickup tube," Proc. IRE 34, 424-432 (1946).
- R. W. Engstrom, "Multiplier photo-tube characteristic: application to low light levels," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 37, 420-431 (1947).
- J. G. Timothy, "Optical detectors for spectroscopy," Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 95, 810–834 (1983).
- R. Bredthauer, C. Chandler, J. Janesick, T. McDurnin, and G. Simms, "Recent CCD technology developments," in *Instrumentation for Ground-Based Optical Astronomy-Present and Future*, L. Robinson, ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988) pp. 486-492.
- 5. O. H. Siegmund and R. F. Malina, ACS Symp. Ser. 2, 253 (1983).
- M. L. Lampton, "Recent advances in detectors for the EUV," in *Extreme Ultraviolet Astronomy*, R. F. Malina and S. Bowyer, eds. (Pergamon, New York, to be published).
- 7. A. L. Broadfoot and B. R. Sandel, "Self-scanned anode array with a microchannel plate electron multiplier: the SSANACON," Appl. Opt. 16, 1533-1537 (1977).
- G. R. Riegler and K. A. More, "A high resolution position sensitive detector for ultraviolet and x-ray photons," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-20, 162-106 (1973).
- B. R. Sandel and A. L. Broadfoot, "Phetoelectron counting with an image intensifier tube and a self-scanned photodiode array," Appl. Opt. 15, 3111-3114 (1976).
- D. G. Torr, R. W. Basedow, and G. H. Mount, "An imaging spectrometric observatory for Spacelab," Astrophys. Space Sci. 92, 237-291 (1985).

- C. D. Anger, S. K. Babey, A. L. Broadfoot, R. G. Brown, L. L. Cogger, T. Gattinger, J. W. Haslett, R. A. King, D. J. McEwen, J. S. Murphree, E. H. Richardson, B. R. Sandel, K. Smith, and A. V. Jones, "An ultraviolet auroral imager for the Viking spacecraft," Geophys. Res. Lett. 14, 387-390 (1987).
- R. A. King, A. L. Broadfoot, B. R. Sandel, and A. V. Jones, "Correcting image distortion with fiber-optic tapers," Appl. Opt. 27, 2048-2054 (1988).
- J. R. Janesick, T. Elliot, S. Collins, H. Marsh, M. M. Blouke, and J. Freeman, "The future scientific CCD," in State-of-the Art Imaging Arrays and Their Applications, K. N. Prettyjohns, ed., Proc. Soc. Photo-Opt. Instrum. Eng. 501, 2–31 (1985).
- W. G. Fastie, "A small plane grating monochromator," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 42, 641–647 (1952).
- 15. H. Ebert, Wied. Ann 38, 489 (1889).
- A. L. Broadfoot, B. R. Sandel, D. Knecht, R. Viereck, and E. Murad, "A panchromatic spectrograph with supporting monochromatic imagers," Appl. Opt. (to be published).
- A Vallance-Jones, Aurora (Reidel, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 1974).
- A. L. Broadfoot and K. R. Kendall, "The airglow spectrum, 3100-10,000 Å," J. Geophys. Res. 73, 426-428 (1968).
- A. L. Broadfoot, B. R. Sandel, D. E. Shemarsky, S. K. Atreya, T. M. Donahue, H. W. Moos, J. L. Bertaux, J. E. Blamont, J. M. Ajello, D. F. Strobel, J. C. McConnell, A. Dalgarno, R. Goody, M. B. McElroy, and Y. L. Yung, "Ultraviolet spectrometer experiment for the Voyager mission," Space Sci. Rev. 21, 183-205 (1977).
- J. B. Holberg, "Far ultraviolet background observations at high galactic iatitude. II. Diffuse emission," Astrophys. J. 311, 969-978 (1986).
- K. Magee-Sauer, F. L. Roesler, F. Scherb, J. Harlander, and R. J. Oliversen, "Spatial distribution of O (¹D) from Comet Halley," Icarus 76, 89-99 (1988).

APPENDIX D

Final Report, SFRC F19628-86-K-0040

Applied Optics Paper: "A Panchromatic Spectrograph with Supporting Monochromatic Imagers"

Panchromatic spectrograph with supporting monochromatic imagers

A. L. Broadfoot, B. R. Sandel, D. Knecht, R. Viereck, and E. Murad

The Arizona Imager/Spectrograph is a set of imaging spectrographs and two-dimensional imagers for space flight. Nine nearly identical spectrographs record wavelengths from 114 to 1090 nm with a resolution of 0.5–1.3 nm. The spatial resolution along the slit is electronically selectable and can reach -192 elements. Twelve passband imagers cover wavelengths in the 160–900-nm range and have fields of view from 2° to 21°. The spectrographs and imagers rely on intensified CCD detectors to achieve substantial capability in an instrument of minimum mass and size. By use of innovative coupling techniques only two CCD's are required to record images from 12 imagers, and single CCD's record spectra from pairs of spectrographs. The fields of view of the spectrographs and imagers are coaligned, and all spectra and images can be exposed simultaneously. A scan platform can rotate the sensor head about two orthogonal axes. The Arizona imager/spectrograph is designed for investigations of the interaction between the Space Shuttle and its environment. It is scheduled for flight on a Shuttle subsatellite.

Introduction

The relationship between airglow observations and airglow models has always been a rather subjective one. Modeling now includes a remarkably extensive range of parameters, and present/measurements do not effectively constrain all of them. Measurements of many parameters are required to evaluate and constrain the models. Unfortunately the observations that are available for this purpose differ in important ways that complicate their intercomparison. They differ in time, air mass, altitude, solar flux, magnetic conditions, and excitation and quenching mechanisms. The assumptions in relating the data sets to each other are undoubtedly the main source of error so that the data set as a whole does not test a given model at its full potential. Applications of modern technology in optics, detector systems, electronic control, and computing will make a revolutionary change in our ability to collect extensive data sets having fixed interrelationships. The instrument described here, the Arizona Imager/Spectro-

Received 8 April 1991.

0003-6935/92/163083-14\$05.00/0.

o 1992 Optical Society of America.

graph (AIS), is a starting point in attacking this problem.

The spectrograph has been recognized as an important diagnostic tool because it records simultaneously a large spectral passband and also retains spatial resolution along its slit. A detector having twodimensional spatial resolution is required in the image plane; until recently that detector has been photographic film. Replacing the film by the intensified charge coupled device (ICCD) has improved our spectrographic capability in two important ways. First, the ICCD spectrograph has photon-counting sensitivity. Second, the size of the spectrograph is constrained by the detector size, which is quite small. The AIS exploits both of these advantages while retaining spatial resolution along the slit. The application of ICCD's to airglow instruments is discussed in a companion paper.¹

An important guiding principle in the design, construction, and operation of the AIS has been to provide the capability for acquiring spectral and spatial information simultaneously. Toward that end the spectrograph slit, which is imaged on the ICCD detector, is 2 pixels wide \times 192 pixels long. The 192 pixels along the length may be summed into groups of a selectable number of pixels. Summing 8 pixels into each group (as in the example in Fig. 1) divides the length of the slit into 24 segments, and separate spectra are recorded for each segment. Spatial inhomogeneities in the source will be represented

A. L. Broadfoot and B. R. Sandel are with the Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721. D. Knecht, R. Viereck, and E. Murad are with the Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom Air Force Base, Bedford, Massachusetts 01731.

The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and self this report. Permission for further reproduction by others must be obtained from the copyright owner.



Fig. 1. Spectral-spatial signature of an acetylene frame that illustrates the advantages of an imaging spectrograph, which records spatial variations in the spectrum of the source along the length of its alit. The schematic diagram at the upper right shows the location of the spectrograph alit projected on the frame. The FOV along the slit is divided into segments that correspond to different positions within the flame, as shown in the enlarged diagram to the left. Spectra recorded from the individual segments are shown in the color plots below the alit. The line plots are spectra from the selected segments indicated by the numbers near each line. This spatially resolved spectrography reveals, for example, a difference in the spectral distributions of OH and CH emissions that would be difficult to measure by other means.

3064 APPLIED OFTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 June 1992

in the set of spectra, which are recorded simultaneously. In this case statistical accuracy in the spectrum is sacrificed to gain spatial information. Statistical accuracy in the spectrum can be recovered in data analysis by summing the adjacent segments, thereby sacrificing spatial detail to improve the signalto-noise ratio of weak spectral features.

Figure 1 illustrates the advantages of this spectrographic technique. The flame of an acetylene torch was used as the target. In the schematic diagram in the upper right-hand corner, the white line shows the projection of the spectrograph slit across the core of the flame. To the left is an enlarged view of the slit that shows that 20 of the 24 segments were illuminated by the flame. Aligned under the slit are the recorded spectra, one spectrum for each segment. Although the spectral range from 3000 to 9000 Å was recorded at the same resolution, we have selected two spectral regions that include two prominent bands for discussion. The color plot shows that the most intense emission originates in the center of the core of the flame. The UV OH emission extends across 14 segments of the slit, while the longer wavelength C, (Swan) bands appear to be confined to the central core. It is noteworthy that the CH(0, 0) band is more confined than the OH bands.

The spectrum of the best signal-to-noise ratio will be obtained by summing the central four or five segments. Summing all the segments would increase the noise content unless the emission of interest filled the slit. An improved spatial signature for the band emissions can be obtained by summing the appropriate wavelength bins in the spectral direction.

A companion imager with a passband near 3100 Å would show the extent of the OH emission in two dimensions, but only the spectrograph can separate the blended intensity profile of the CH emission from the nearby OH emission.

All these techniques were available to us with the spectrograph and photographic plate. The improvement available now is in detector and electronic technology. We enjoy all the attributes of the classical spectrograph that is combined with the photoncounting sensitivity of the photomultiplier for every pixel in the detector array.

This approach has a tremendous advantage in studying time-dependent phenomena, such as the active experiments, which have been performed to study ionospheric electron holes²⁻⁶ and atmosphereplume interactions.⁷ For example, Mendillo *et al.*⁴ observed 630-nm radiation when the Space Shuttle's orbital maneuvering system engines were fired. The observation was made with a narrowband filter. It is reasonable to attribute the radiation to $O({}^{1}D) \rightarrow O({}^{3}P)$. Since the radiative lifetime τ of $O({}^{1}D)$ is ~ 120 s, spectral information as a function of distance along the line of release can be extremely valuable in determining the morphology of this species.

An important factor leading to the consistency of a spectrographic data set is recording the entire spec-

trum at the same time from the same gas column with diagnostic resolution. The AIS comes close to achieving this goal. It records the spectrum from 114 to 1090 nm simultaneously. The spectrum is sampled at 5000 contiguous spectral intervals. The AIS is a true spectrograph by maintaining spatial information along its entrance slit.

The AIS addresses another problem that is common to airglow and auroral spectroscopy, namely, that of relating the location of the observed gas column to the region of the scene being analyzed. The AIS has a complement of 12 coaligned imagers, 10 of which are intensified. By the use of passband filters, the AIS records the spatial extent and surface brightness of emissions. All images are shuttered at the same time as the spectra are.

The instrument described in this paper was designed to meet the needs of a space flight program that is directed at the analysis of the shuttle glow.^{5,9} The Earth's airglow is the background against which the shuttle glow is observed. Useful airglow and auroral observations are made during the same flight opportunity. The AIS is one of a group of instruments that form the payload of the Infrared Background Signature Survey flight program. The experiments are to be flown on the German-built carrier Shuttle Pallet Satellite II, which is manifested for flight on STS-39 in Apr. 1991.

We have prepared a second nearly identical instrument for ground-based airglow and auroral measurements. The spectrograph section covers only the wavelength region from 300 to 1100 nm. The imager passbands and field of view (FOV) are adaptable to the classical auroral and airglow emissions. A third instrument is in preparation for Hitchhiker Shuttle flight opportunities, with the first flight expected in 1992. The primary targets are shuttle glow, contamination and flow fields, as well as airglow and auroral emissions from the atmosphere. The data formats of all these instruments are identical, thus simplifying the intercomparison of data sets. The data are recorded in a widely used format, the Flexible Image Transport System. The Image Reduction and Analysis Facility processing software developed by the Kitt Peak National Observatory is used for data analysis.

Arizona Imager/Spectrograph Overview

The AIS spectrographs and imagers are mounted on an azimuth-elevation scan platform that is driven by stepping motors (Fig. 2). The central box of the spectrograph-imager assembly includes five spectrograph housings of identical mechanical design. The spectrographs cover the wavelength range from 114 to 1090 nm in nine overlapping wavelength bands. Pairs of double gratings in four of the spectrograph housings image eight spectral bands onto four intensified CCD arrays. The fifth spectrograph housing contains a single grating and records the wavelength range from 900 to 1090 nm on a bare (unintensified)



Fig. 2. Two views of the AIS spectrographs and imagers mounted on their scan platform. The nine spectrographs are separated into five housings. Above the spectrograph housings are the 12 passband imagers. The axes of rotation pass through the center of gravity of the rotating section. The FOV's of all the spectrographs and imagers are coaligned.

CCD. The FOV of the spectrographs is typically $0.2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$. There are no moving parts in the spectrographs. Exposure is controlled electronically by a cathode gate potential or by using the high-speed CCD readout capability, which is 50 ms/frame. The characteristics of each spectrograph are given in Table I.

Figure 2 also shows the cluster of 12 imagers. The imager baffles and intensifiers are mounted on top of the spectrographs. Flexible fiber-optic image conduits carry the images to two CCD's that are mounted beneath the spectrographs. Ten of the images are intensified, and two (the IR images) are not. The IR images are conducted directly to the CCD's by the fiber optics. These two IR imagers can accommodate bright signals and are used for accurate pointing (2° FOV) and field monitoring (18° FOV) to warn of bright emissions coming into the imaging space. Eight UV images are recorded with various passbands and FOV's to support the primary spectrographic experiment. The remaining two imagers have FOV's of 5° and are intensified and filtered for the visible wavelengths of the shuttle glow. The

flexible fiber-optic conduits have separate bundles to acquire the images from the image planes or from the fiber-optic output of the intensifiers. At the CCD end the fiber bundles are gathered in a 2×3 matrix, which matches the image section of the CCD. The CCD is used in the frame transfer mode; the images are moved quickly from the image half to the storage half of the CCD, which effectively shutters the image. All functions are under microprocessor control.

Imaging Spectrographs for ICCD Detectors

Spectrograph Optics

Figures 3 and 4 show the primary features of the optics. After reviewing several optical designs for usefulness with the ICCD detector, we chose one employing aberration-corrected holographic concave gratings. The simplicity of the mechanical design, which could be used at all wavelengths, 20-1100 nm, was the strongest argument. This design requires only one optical element and one surface, compared to five optical elements and nine surfaces that are required by an alternative classical design. The gratings were designed by American Holographics, Inc. Although the first set was expensive, successive sets were quite economical. Tables II and III summarize grating characteristics and spectrograph design parameters.

The number of spectrographs and gratings required to cover the wavelength range from 114 to 1090 nm was determined primarily by the spectral dispersion that is required for a diagnostic spectrum. At short wavelengths the airglow spectrum is fine structured and requires an $\sim 0.3-0.5$ -nm spectral half-width to separate blends and determine rotational structure in molecular bands when accurate spectral modeling is used. At the longer wavelength end of the spectrum band systems are broader, which reduces the dispersion requirement somewhat. The length of the CCD array and the pixel size determine the plate dispersion. For a spectrograph the ideal transmission function is rectangular and 2 pixels in width; therefore the 576-pixel array can record 288 spectral elements along its length or ~ 100 nm for a 0.3-nm width. Secondary constraints included the

	Table I. Spectrograph Characteristics								
Spectrograph Designation	Wavelength Range (nm)	Resolution (nm)	Photosensitive Material	Dispersion (nm/pixel)	Quantum Efficiency	F Ratio	Sensitivity (DN/s) per Rayleigh		
1	1090-900	1.3	Si•	0.32	0.1	2.9	0.003		
2	930764	0.9	GaAs	0.29	0.18	4.1	1.4		
3	774608	0.9		0.29	0.18	4.1	0.8		
4	617-532	0.45	S-20	0.15	0.12	4.1	0.7		
5	548-462	0.45		0.15	0.12	4.1	1.25		
6	468382	0.45	S-20	0.15	0.25	4.1	0.3		
7	386300	0.45		0.15	0.25	4.1	0.7		
8	302-200	0.54	Cs,Te	0.18	0.06	4.1	0.3		
9	220-114	0.54	•	0.18	0.05	4.1	0.3		

"Unintensified.

3086 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 June 1992



Fig. 3. Cross section in the plane of dispersion of one of the spectrographs. All the spectrographs are based on concave holographic aberration-corrected gratings and hence have a common design. Cylindrical lenses improve baffling and minimize the size of the foreoptics.



Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of a dual-grating spectrograph. Each grating images its dispersed spectrum on one half of the rectangular detector. A single slit feeds both gratings.

	Table II. Grating Characteristics							
Grating Number	Wavelength Range (nm)	Slit-Grating Distance (mm)	Grating-Detector ⁴ Distance (mm)	Angle of Incidence (deg)	Angle of Diffraction	Angle, Slit– Detector Center		
1	1090-900	259.5	277.7	11.5	2.5	9.0		
2	930764	271.2	276.5	10.9	2.7	8.3		
3	774-608	271.0	276.3	9.7	1.4	8.3		
4	617-532	263.3	279.7	13.4	4.4	9.0		
5	548-462	268.0	279.4	12.1	3.1	9.0		
6	468-382	271.2	277.0	10.2	2.7	7.5		
7	386-300	271.0	276.8	9.0	1.5	7.5		
8	302-200	276.9	274.7	1.2	-7.8	9.0		
9	220-114	276.2	274.0	2.5	-6.5	9.0		

"The spectral plane is perpendicular to the line between the grating center and the detector center.

Instrument Parameters	Dual Grating	Single Grating
Full pixel array (per spectrum)	180 × 576	360 × 576
Element size (monochromatic slit image, mm)	180 × 2	360 × 2
Grating radius of curvature (mm)	275	275
Slit dimensions (mm)	0.04×4.0	0.04×8.0
Grating dimensions (mm)	35×100	70×100
Effective f number	4.1	2.9

separation caused by the photocathode response and the goal of a reasonably small size. With these constraints the spectrum from 114 to 1090 nm was divided into nine overlapping bands (Table I). Although it is possible to build nine identical spectrographs to cover the spectral range, such a unit would be too large for a practical flight instrument. By using two gratings in each spectrograph we achieved a tractable instrument size. Reducing the grating area results in a loss in throughput by a factor of 2. By reducing the slit length to allow the two spectra to be recorded side by side on a single CCD, the detector area is also reduced by a factor of 2 (Fig. 5). The accumulated reduction in throughput is therefore a factor of 4.

Spectrograph 1 is an exception. The photoelectric sensitivity in the 900-1090-nm range is compara-

tively low for either of the possible detectors, bare silicon of the CCD or the S1 photocathode. The bare CCD was selected because the S1 photocathode requires special cooling, which might not be available, and the sensitivities are not much different. For this spectrograph we chose a lower dispersion to allow a single grating to be used for optimum throughput.

The position of the ICCD detector was unique for each spectrograph. The optical design required that the grating-slit distance be common for all spectrographs and that the detector be perpendicular to the line from the grating center to the detector center. This condition places all the variability in the five spectrographs in the detector position. A special coupler was designed for each spectrograph to satisfy these optical design requirements.

Foreoptics

The FOV of the spectrographs was specified to be 2.3° to encompass the scale of emissions at the expected range to the target. Since the spectrograph slit was 4 mm long, a 100-mm focal length was required. A single lens could be used, but the diameter would have been 50 mm and a sizable baffle system would be required. Instead cylindrical optics were used to simplify the baffling and minimize the volume of the optical enclosure.

The folded refractive optical system is shown in Figs. 3 and 4 and in the more detailed Fig. 6. Inter-



Fig. 5. Organization of the two spectra dispersed onto one ICCD. Readout proceeds by shifting the charges in the top row of pixels horizontally and digitizing them one by one. The charge on all pixels is then shifted vertically by one row. This sequence is repeated until the charge that is collected on all pixels has been sampled serially. The charge from more than one pixel can be shifted into the output capacitor resulting in an on-chip charge summation. Typically several pixels are summed horizontally (the direction parallel to the slit). The readout pattern is computer controlled.

3088 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 June 1992



Fig. 6. Cross section of the refractive foreoptics that are used for all but the UV spectrograph. The FOV's across and along the slit are controlled separately with crossed cylindrical optics.

nal reflection in a prism serves as the folding element to minimize reflective losses. A ray trace showed that some of the rays that strike the slit prism would not be internally reflected, so the back surfaces of these prisms were silvered. Crossed cylindrical lenses image the target on the slit. A cylindrical lens of 19-mm focal length is located 19 mm from the slit. The slit width of 0.045 mm restricted the angular width of the FOV to 0.14° outward from the lens. The width of the grating acceptance angle through the slit at the lens was 7 mm. The second cylindrical lens, of 100-mm focal length, is located 100 mm from the slit where the cross-sectional area of the projected grating acceptance angle is $\sim 7.2 \text{ mm} \times 30 \text{ mm}$. The cross-sectional area at entry to the baffle is 7.4 mm \times 32.2 mm.

Two spectrographs require special attention. Spectrograph 1 has an entrance slit 8 mm long and therefore requires a focal length of 200 mm to match the FOV of the other spectrographs. The addition of a concave 25-mm focal-length cylindrical lens and adjustment of the position of the 100-mm lens resulted in an effective focal length of 200 mm. Reflective cylindrical foreoptics were needed for spectrograph 5, which covers the wavelength range from 115 to 320 nm (Fig. 7). Although the problem of chromatic aberration in refractive optics was eliminated, considerable spherical aberration was introduced by the short focal-length cylindrical surface. Three reflections were required to match the FOV require-The reflective surfaces were coated to enments. hance UV reflection to 1150 Å.

Thermal Compensation

For most satisfactory performance the CCD's and intensifiers should be at a temperature below -10° C. At these temperatures both the CCD leakage current and intensifier shot noise are small during an exposure time of 10 s. To achieve this temperature the whole spectrograph is radiatively cooled. Alignment is done at room temperature, and the Shuttle Pallet Satellite flight thermal model predicts temperatures as low as -70° C. This is a temperature range of 100°C, which implies a change of ~ 0.07 cm in length



Fig. 7. Cross section through the reflective foreoptics of the UV (115-320 nm) spectrograph. The refractive optics of Fig. 5 are replaced with the equivalent cylindrical reflective components. Considerable spherical aberration in the FOV is introduced but does not reduce the data quality.

of the spectrograph body over the focal length of 27.5 cm caused by thermal contraction. A change in focus of only 0.012 cm would degrade the spectral resolution. Therefore the grating-detector separation is thermally compensated by the mechanical design and material selection. The gratings in the spectrograph are deposited on Zerodur blanks, which have a coefficient of thermal expansion near zero. To maintain focus through the temperature extreme the position of the grating relative to the slit and detector is established by Invar rods that are 29.2 cm long between clamps, which limits the change in focus to 1×10^{-3} cm for $\Delta T = 100^{\circ}$ C. A stainlesssteel diaphragm is sandwiched in the grating holder and attached to the spectrograph frame. This diaphragm provides lateral support of the grating but deforms to allow longitudinal motion. Invar rods extend from the four corners of the grating holder to fixed points near the image plane as noted above.

Passband Imagers

Airglow Imaging

The main purpose of imaging in this investigation is to provide information about the spatial distributions of a variety of species. It is also designed to allow pointing of the spectrographs accurately and provide a photometric map of extended gas clouds that are being analyzed by the spectrographs; therefore high spatial resolution is not necessary. Imaging must be done simultaneously to properly associate the bandpass images to changing conditions and to be contemporaneous with the one-dimensional image of the spectrograph.¹ For this reason we use multiple imagers instead of a filter wheel. The CCD image frame of 384×288 pixels was subdivided into six arrays of 128×144 pixels (Fig. 8). With two CCD's we expose 12 images simultaneously. Flexible fiber-optic image conduits collect the images directly or from intensifiers and transfer them to the CCD's.

Because of the small image format (2.8 mm \times 3.2 mm), miniature optics can be used, and up to six images can be formed on a single standard 18-mm



Fig. 8. Schematic diagram of the imager components. Coherent fiber-optic bundles transfer the passband images to one of two CCD's.

image intensifier. Broadfoot and Sandel¹ point out that the photometric efficiency E of an imager is independent of the size of the imager since the throughput is proportional to the detector area A and the solid angle of illumination Ω , where the solid angle Ω is in turn proportional to the reciprocal f ratio squared; that is, $E \propto A/f^2$. The area of the detector is the pixel, which is a constant of the system. The fratio is independent of the size of the optics. The design parameter remaining is to satisfy the FOV by selection of the focal length, then to optimize the aperture to minimize the f ratio.

Imager Set

Figure 8 summarizes the imager sets. The sets are classified as narrow angle (N) or wide angle (W) and are referred to by the wavelength regions of UV, VIS, and IR. Images formed by the lenses are conducted by flexible fiber-optic bundles to two CCD's. The two IR imagers are not intensified. Proximity focused image intensifiers are used to intensify the remaining 10 images. The light from the phosphor is conducted by the fiber-optic faceplate to a flexible fiber-optic image conduit. The light is conducted to a third fiber-optic window, which is in contact with the surface of the CCD. The images were arranged in groups of six as illustrated in Fig. 9 on the image half of the CCD. The UV images were split to minimize the effect of a failure in a single CCD. In retrospect this arrangement was more complicated than needed; future instruments would not use such an arrangement.

The imager complement that is needed to satisfy the scientific requirements is listed in Table IV. Eight imagers record UV emission in four different passbands in the 150-270-nm wavelength range. Four of these have a FOV of $\sim 2^{\circ}$ to match the spectrographs. The remaining four imagers have similar passbands but 25° FOV's to examine the larger context of the spectrographs and narrow-angle imagers.



Fig. 9. Locations of images on one of the two CCD's that are used for imaging. The frame transfer capability of the CCD is used to move the image to the storage area. Exposure continues while the storage area is being digitized. The second imaging CCD records the remaining six images in a similar way.

Wide and narrow-angle unintensified imagers assist in several ways. Their wavelength band is restricted to the IR region around 900 nm, which is probably an important region for shuttle glow. The NIR (2° FOV) will define the pointing of the spectrographs. The WIR (18° FOV) surveys the field and detects bright sources that should be avoided by the intensified channels. The shuttle glow is also the target of interest for the other two medium-field (5° FOV) imagers that cover two visible bands.

Infrared Imagers

The IR imagers are the most straightforward since the image is formed directly on the end of the flexible fiber-optic bundle. The lens for the wide-angle imager is a commercial lens of 9-mm focal length and 5-mm-diameter lens. A filter between the lens and the fiber bundle limits the wavelength to >700 nm. The lens and filter are located in the center of a plate that is spaced from the image plane to focus. Figure 10 illustrates the mechanical layout. A standard frame size that is 50 mm square was used for most of the imagers' sections. The insides of the baffle tubes were machined to a common diameter so that baffles and spacers for most imagers have a common outside diameter. Inside diameters of the baffles were machined in a jig, which allowed all baffle sets to be machined as a group and provide tapered knife-edges. The baffles and spacers were stacked in the baffle tube and held in by a jam nut. The walls of the baffle tubes were machined to leave a wall thickness of 1.3 mm between square endplates.

Figure 11 is a section through the narrow-angle IR imager. A lens with a 75-mm focal length and 40-mm diameter was selected for this application. In both IR imagers the end of the fiber-optic bundle can be moved to adjust the focus.



Fig. 10. Wide-angle IR imager. No image intensifier is used for this passband.

Visible Wavelength Imagers

Figure 12 is a cross section through the two visible imagers. Two identical lenses (focal length, 35 mm; diameter, 9 mm) form separate images on the photocathode of a single proximity focused image intensifier. The fiber-optic output of the intensifier is coupled to the flexible fiber-optic bundle to transfer the image to the CCD.

Wide-Angle UV Imagers

The WUV's presented some special problems. Given the chosen image size, the desired field of 25° implies a focal length of 6.8 mm. Using such a short focallength lens was complicated by the fact that the intensifer window was 5 mm thick. Reimaging designs using refractive spherical optics were considered, but they presented many problems and did not provide better imaging than the single lens that was used. The wide-angle lens consists of a MgF, hemisphere and a MgF, spacer that form an image on the photocathode. An aperture stop at the base of the hemisphere limits image aberrations. Ray tracing showed significant changes in the imaging properties from the center to edge of the image plane caused by the change in focal distance. The two adjustable parameters were the size of the aperture stop and the focal plane distance. It was demonstrated that a fairly uniform image quality over the image plane could be achieved with an f/2 aperture stop and with the image plane at the median distance between the best focus for on-axis and edge rays. Most (80%) of the rays fall inside a circle of 2-pixels diameter. This is an acceptable resolution for an imager that is intended to detect changes in the surface brightness of extended gas clouds.

Figure 13 is a cross section through two of the imagers. The proximity-focused image intensifier has a MgF, window and a Cs. Te photocathode with a

sensitive area that is 17 mm in diameter. The center of the images lies on a circle that is 12.75 mm in diameter, which is common to all the multiple imagers.

Narrow-Angle UV imagers

The design goals for the NUV (2°) set were to achieve a minimum mass by using only one image intensifier while maximizing the throughput and minimizing cost. A trade-off study of both refractive and reflective optics was carried out in parallel with a search for commercially available lens systems. Refractive designs could be used but require changes for each wavelength. The reflective design seemed more general. A high-speed reflective optics lens manufactured by the Nye Optical Company appeared to have the best characteristics. It employs a spherical primary surface and an aspherical secondary in a Cassegrain configuration. The effective focal length is 90 mm, and the effective focal ratio is 1.1.

Mechanical modifications of the selected lens were needed to have four optical axes and thereby four images. A cross section of this imager is shown in Fig. 14. The lens was sawed into four pie-shaped sections, which were then separated by the appropriate distance, i.e., 12.75 mm as mentioned above. The secondary was reassembled and bonded to a backplate of appropriate material. Provision was made to adjust the primary sections individually. Preliminary adjustments were made at visible wavelengths before the bandpass filters and the Cs₃Te intensifier were installed.

Intensified CCD Detectors

Application of the intensified CCD detector has been discussed in detail in a companion paper.¹ The principles outlined there have been used to define the AIS. Figure 15 shows an ICCD assembly.

Proximity-focused image intensifiers were pro-



Fig. 11. Narrow-angle IR imager.

1 June 1992 / Vol. 31, No. 16 / APPLIED OPTICS 3091



Fig. 12. Two visible intensified imagers (VIS 700, 500).

cured from several manufacturers. Four cathode materials were used to cover the spectrum (Tables I and IV). Two special specifications were placed on the intensifiers: (1) flying leads and (2) a transparent conductive coating on the fiber-optic output window. Although all the intensifiers could have been obtained with wraparound power supplies in essentially the same mechanical envelope, we prefer to control the intensifier gain with digitally controlled high-voltage power supplies. The conductive coating isolates the CCD from any charge leakage caused by the high voltage (6000 V) on the intensifier anode.

Intensifiers from different manufacturers tend to have different dimensions; thus a special external shell was needed to establish a common interface between the instrument and the CCD assembly. The housing is close fitting with potting cavities. A 50-mm \times 50-mm format about the detector axis is maintained on three sides. Special attention was given to ensuring that the fiber-optic window was plane with the back surface of the housing when the intensifier is potted into place. The flying leads were led through cavities to Reynolds 167-3770 connectors. The cavities were potted for insulation purposes.

Figure 15 illustrates the ICCD configuration. The fiber-optic window is oil coupled to the face of the CCD. A ceramic frame is used to attach the window to the CCD package. This CCD assembly is then positioned in the larger housing with the window plane with the surface of the housing, since this is the interface to the intensifier. A small copper evaporator with a copper inlet and outlet tubes is coupled to the rear of the CCD package for cooling. The contacts to the CCD pins are provided by a constantan wire leading out the back. The cavity around the CCD pins is covered and the cavity is potted. The CCD housing and covers are made of Delrin, which was chosen for its electrical and thermal insulating properties. Two printed circuit boards are stacked on the back of the housing, one for CCD biasing and clocking and one for the preamplifier. A recirculating Freon refrigeration system cools the CCD to its operating temperature, which is -20° C or lower. Although the AIS/Shuttle Pallet Satellite instrument is radiatively cooled in space, cooling is required for laboratory operation and most ground-based applications.

We have tried thermoelectric cooling of the CCD without much success. The heat load through the fiber-optic window is large, which requires high currents and therefore efficient heat sinking. This is not consistent with the small size that is desired.

The CCD readout circuitry is enclosed in the electronics compartment below the spectrographs. Both the clocking and the analog signal line are shared among the CCD's. The electronics can control eight CCD's and intensifiers, as discussed below.

Photometric Sensitivity

The sensitivity of both spectrographs and imagers is discussed in the companion paper.¹ This section deals with the technique of calibrating the instruments and results. Finally the results are reconciled with the calculations to relate the design expectations to the instrument performance. The tools that were used to perform the instrument calibration include continuum light sources (quartz iodide lamps, hydrogen lamps), a set of calibrated passband filters, a detector that has been calibrated against a National





3092 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 31, No. 16 / 1 June 1992



Fig. 14. Set of four narrow-angle ultraviolet imagers (160, 200, 235, 260). The Cassegrain design uses a four-segment optical system to form separate images in each of four passbands.



Fig. 15. Intensifier-CCD assembly. The refrigeration evaporator is used only for laboratory testing. The AIS is passively cooled in flight.

AIG Imposer Characteristics

Table IV

			Wavelength		ular OV	Photo-	Angular	Quantum		Sensitivity (dn/s)
Imager Number	Imager Type	Imager Designation	Peak (hw) (nm)	Az (d	El eg)	sensitive Material	Resolution (deg/pixel)	Efficiency (% trans.)	F Ratio	per Rayleigh per Pixel
1	NUV	NUV 160	160 (25)	1.8	1.6	Cs,Te	0.013	0.05	2.2	0.076
2	NUV	NUV 200	200 (25)	1.8	1.6	-	0.013	0.06	2.2	0.070
3	NUV	NUV 235	235 (25)	1.8	1.6		0.013	0.06	2.2	0.13
4	NUV	NUV 260	260 (25)	1.8	1.6		0.013	0.06	2.2	0.39
5	WUV	WUV 160	160 (25)	25	21	Cs ₂ Te	0.17	0.05	2.2	0.58
6	WUV	WUV 200	200 (25)	25	21	•	0.17	0.06	2.2	0.55
7	WUV	WUV 235	235 (25)	25	21		0.17	0.06	2.2	0.65
8	WUV	WUV 260	260 (25)	25	21		0.17	0.06	2.2	1.6
9	Medium VIS	VIS 500	500 (200)	6.0	5.3	S-20	0.042	0.11	2.6	4.0
10	Medium VIS	VIS 700	700 (200)	6.0	5.3		0.042	0.09	2.6	2.3
11	WIR	WIR 900	900 (400)	21	19	Si*	0.15	0.1	2.3	0.098
12	NIR	NIR 900	900 (400)	2.3	2.0	Si*	0.016	0.1	2.3	0.082

*Unintensified CCD.

Table V.	Mass and Dimensions of AIS Components	
----------	---------------------------------------	--

AIS Component	Mass (kg)	Dimensions: Height × Length × Width (cm)
Scan platform	75	60 × 40 × 36
Instrument control unit	10	23 × 36 × 33
Low-voitage power supply	8	10.5 × 35 × 26
High-voltage power supply	8	10 × 30 × 23
Data recording unit	15	$20 \times 50 \times 25$
Motor driver box	1	5.2 × 18 × 9.4
Cables	7	

Bureau of Standards standard detector, and a diffusing screen that filled the FOV of the spectrograph or imager being calibrated.

Two techniques were used to calibrate the spectrographs. The first approach was to illuminate the diffusing screen with the light source and bandpass filter. Filters were available for at least two wavelength regions within the spectral range of each spectrograph. The spectrum was recorded for each of the bandpass filters, and the screen brightness was measured with the standard detector. The spectrograph record verified the transmission function of the filter. The integral of the recorded spectrum, compared with the brightness that is measured by the standard detector, determined the instrument sensitivity at each filter wavelength. Second, the diffusing screen was illuminated by a stabilized continuum source. The continuum was recorded by all spectrographs simultaneously. The diffusing screen was observed by the standard detector through the bandpass filters to establish its brightness in specific wavelength bands. The spectrographic record established the run of intensity in the continuum. The comparison of the brightness that was measured through the bandpass filter with the recorded continuum spectrum established the sensitivity. The results of several calibrations are given in Table I along with other parameters that are useful in comparing spectrographs. The sensitivity is reasonably constant through the spectral range of each spectrograph.

The second calibration method described above is the most useful for field work and for reconciling possible differences between spectrographs in their regions of overlap. The continuum intensity can be reproduced by using regulated power supplies and confirmed by monitoring with a standard detector and single bandpass filter at a preferred wavelength.

Calibration of the imagers was straightforward. The continuum source was used to illuminate the screen, and the continuum brightness was determined by the filters and standardized detector as describe above. The sensitivity determined for each imager is given in Table IV, along with other characteristics of the imagers.

In the companion paper¹ the expected sensitivity was calculated in terms of the rayleigh-second (R-s), which is the emission rate in rayleighs that is required to provide a signal-to-noise ratio of unity in 1 s. The calculation assumes that the ICCD detection system has photon-counting efficiency, and therefore the result is the count rate, in photoevents per second, that results from an emission rate of 1 rayleigh. The difference in the calculation and the measurement is in the gain of the system; the average signal level per photoevent can be calculated by combining the two measurements. If, for example, a 1-rayleigh emission rate produces 2.9 DN/s, and the sensitivity is 4 R-s, a single photoevent gives an average signal level of 11.6 DN, where DN is a data number equal to one unit in the analog-to-digital conversion.

Arizona Imager/Spectrograph Subsystems

The AIS subsystems include the sensor head with the spectrographs and imagers, the scan platform, the instrument control unit, the low-voltage power supplies, the high-voltage power supplies, the data recording unit, the motor drive box, and interconnecting cabling. Table V lists the mass and dimensions of these subsystems. Figure 16 shows a block diagram of the subsystems and their interconnections.



Fig. 16. Block diagram of the AIS electronics subsystems.

Table VI. Micro Computer Memory

Memory Type	Kbytes
Boot ROM (fused link)	8
Capacitor backed-up RAM	4000
EPROM	384
RAM	256
Data RAM (double ported)	3000

Scan Platform

A scan platform can direct the coaligned FOV's of the AIS to specified targets. The platform has two axes of rotation, which we refer to as azimuth and eleva-The sensor head is suspended between two tion. bearings on the elevation axis. This assembly is mounted on a turntable that provides the azimuth rotation. Both motions are driven by stepping motors through worm gears. For strength and reliability, the worm gears were doubly tapered cone gears manufactured by Cone Drive Textron, which engage 19 teeth. The step size is 0.0082° in elevation and 0.014° in azimuth. The rotation range in elevation is -60° to 135° from the horizontal and in azimuth -175° to $+175^{\circ}$. The scan rates are $105^{\circ}/\text{min}$ in azimuth and 52°/min in elevation. The position is measured in terms of the number of steps from a fiducial microswitch for each axis.

Instrument Control Unit

All AIS functions are initiated in the computer that are housed in the instrument control unit. This circuitry can be divided into three sections: the microcomputer, the CCD controller, and the interface circuitry. A VME bus backplane was used, and all circuitry was fabricated on double Eurocard printed circuit boards.

A complementary metal-oxide semiconductor singleboard computer controls the AIS. Important changes to provide reliability and flexibility were made in the memory addressable by the 80C86 microprocessor. The memory sections are listed in Table VI. A custom boot program was written for the BIOS readonly memory (ROM). All the essential startup and communications subroutines were included in the BIOS, which was written into the Fused Link ROM. The bit patterns in this memory cannot be altered permanently by high-energy particle penetration. The operational programs for the AIS were written into the EPROM, but reliability was insured by providing three copies of the program and a load routine that corrects errors to the bit level.

Variable parameters are stored in capacitor-backed RAM so that the instrument can be powered down without loss of information on the current instrument configuration. The AIS program is loaded from the EPROM to the RAM to run. A 3-Mbyte double-ported memory serves for data accumulation and buffering.

Figure 17 is a diagram of program flow. When power is applied to the instrument control unit the microcomputer performs the CPU health check, establishes the engineering telemetry link, and completes the instrument functional tests. In flight selected science data and engineering data are queued for a real-time low-rate data link. Most of the data are collected at high speed in the data memory, then transferred to an optical disk when the observation has been completed. Most of the observing programs are stored in the EPROM because the command uplink capability in flight is quite limited.

The CCD controller, referred to as the micro control unit, contains a simple processor and memory. The readout format of the spectrographs (Fig. 5) is selected by on-chip or off-chip summation to form effective pixels that are long and narrow by summing in the direction parallel to the slit. The effective pixel appears to be $1 \times N$ real pixels, the length N



Fig. 17. Logical blocks of the AIS control software.

depending on the spatial resolution that is required. The imagers can be read out with on-chip or off-chip summation to form larger square pixels of 2×2 , $3 \times$ 3, etc. Pixel windows are addressable. High-speed clocking is also available; the pixel shift rate can be increased from the 1-MHz rate that is used with digitization to a 4-MHz rate when the charge is shifted but not digitized. Several readout formats can be stored in the micro control unit program memory. Once a particular program is activated the micro control unit free runs.

Power Supplies and Data Recorder

The low-voltage power supply subsystem contains nine miniature power supplies and matching EMI filters that are manufactured by Integrated Circuit Inc. Turning on power to the AIS activates only the power supplies that power the instrument control unit microprocessor. When the microprocessor has performed its self-check, it can power other parts of the system as required. To save power and reduce heating, power supplies are turned on only when they are needed.

Each intensifier has its own high-voltage power supply. The seven units were manufactured by K&M Electronics, Inc. (Model M2225). Digital inputs to each supply control the microchannel plate voltage and photocathode gating potential.

Most of the data that are collected by the AIS will be stored on a 200-Mbyte WORM optical disk recorder manufactured by OptoTech and ruggedized to military specifications by Mountain Optech. The optical disk and its power converter are housed in a sealed vessel that maintains 1 atm of pressure.

This research was supported under contract SFRC-F19628-86-K-0040 from the U.S. Air Force. Additional funding in support of this effort has been received from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under contract NASW-4245 and NASA grant NAG5-637 through Goddard Space Flight Center, Wallops Flight Facility.

References

- A. L. Broadfoot and B. R. Sandel, "Application of the ICCD to airglow and auroral measurements," Appl. Opt. 31, 000-000 (1992).
- A. W. Yau, B. A. Whalen, F. R. Harris, R. L. Gattinger, M. B. Pongratz, and P. A. Bernhardt, "Simulations and observations of plasma depletion, ion composition, and airglow emissions in two auroral ionosphere experiments," J. Geophys. Res. 90, 8387-8406 (1985).
- 3. P. A. Bernhardt, "A critical comparison of ionospheric depletion chemicals," J. Geophys. Res. 92, 4617-4628 (1987).
- M. Mendillo, J. Baumgartner, P. D. Allen, J. Foster, J. Holt, G. R. A. Ellis, A. Klekociuk, and G. Reber, "Spacelab-2 plasma depletion experiments for ionospheric and radio astronomical studies," Science 238, 1260-1264 (1987).
- P. A. Bernhardt, B. A. Kashiwa, C. A. Tepley, and S. T. Noble, "Spacelab-2 upper atmospheric modification experiment over Arecibo. 1. neutral gas dynamics," Astron. Lett. Commun. 27, 169-182 (1988).
- P. A. Bernhardt, W. E. Swartz, M. C. Kelley, M. P. Sulzer, and S. T. Noble, "Spacelab-2 upper atmospheric modification experiment over Arecibo. 2. plasma dynamics," Astron. Lett. Commun. 27, 183–194 (1988).
- E. Murad, D. J. Knecht, R. A. Viereck, C. P. Pike, D. C. Cooke, I. L. Kofsky, C. A. Trowbridge, D. L. A. Rall, G. Ashley, L. Twist, J. B. Elgin, A. Setayesh, A. T. Stair, Jr., and J. E. Blaha, "Visible light emission excited by interaction of Space Shuttle exhaust with the atmosphere," Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 2205-2208 (1990).
- P. M. Banks, P. R. Williamson, and W. J. Raitt, "Space Shuttle glow observations," Geophys. Res. Lett. 10, 118-121 (1983).
- S. B. Mende, O. K. Garriott, and P. M. Banks, "Observations of optical emissions on STS-4," Geophys. Res. Lett. 10, 122-125 (1983).