

AD-A256 219



TECHNICAL REPORT HL-92-12

(2)

US Army Corps  
of Engineers

# PROTOTYPE EVALUATION OF SELECTIVE WITHDRAWAL SYSTEM, TAYLORSVILLE DAM, SALT RIVER, KENTUCKY


by

R. G. McGee, S. E. Howington

Hydraulics Laboratory

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers  
3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-6199



**DTIC**  
**ELECTE**  
OCT 7 1992  
**S** **C** **D**



September 1992

Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

**BEST  
AVAILABLE COPY**



92 10 6 105

411389

92-26616



8/9  
1/90



Prepared for US Army Engineer District, Louisville  
Louisville, Kentucky 40201-0059

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it  
to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an  
official Department of the Army position unless so  
designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for  
advertising, publication, or promotional purposes.  
Citation of trade names does not constitute an  
official endorsement or approval of the use  
of such commercial products.



13. ABSTRACT (Continued).

degree of mixing of different water qualities, and the amount of dissolved oxygen uptake. Results were also used to compare prototype performance with both physical and numerical model predictions.

The basic measurements included dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles in the reservoir, at locations within the outlet works, and at one station in the downstream channel. Two-directional intake velocity profiles were measured for each port and total discharge was measured in the downstream channel.

The water-quality data show that the Taylorsville water-quality intake structure can function effectively for release temperature control by selective withdrawal and that the system effectively reaerates flow through the structure. The results also show that the one-dimensional numerical model SELECT can predict release dissolved oxygen concentration and temperature for Taylorsville. Density blockage of the upper ports due to reservoir density stratification occurs during the multilevel tests at flows less than 100 cfs.

The hydraulic measurements revealed basically uniform flow distributions within each intake. The prototype data for submerged orifice flow fall slightly below the physical model data. Discharge coefficients for the total water-quality system were computed to be less than those determined in the model.

14. SUBJECT TERMS (Continued).

Selective withdrawal  
Velocity profiles  
Water quality

PREFACE

The prototype tests described herein were conducted during August 1986 by the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) under the sponsorship of the US Army Engineer District, Louisville.

The overall test program was conducted under the general supervision of Messrs. F. A. Herrmann, Jr., Director of the WES Hydraulics Laboratory, M. B. Boyd, former Chief of the Hydraulic Analysis Division, and G. A. Pickering, Chief of the Hydraulic Structures Division. Mr. R. G. McGee, Hydraulic Analysis Branch, was the test coordinator. This report was prepared by Mr. McGee under the supervision of Messrs. E. D. Hart, former Chief of the Prototype Evaluation Branch, and Dr. B. J. Brown, Chief of the Hydraulic Analysis Branch; and by Mr. S. E. Howington under the supervision of Dr. J. Holland, Chief of the Water Quality Branch. Instrumentation support was provided by Mr. S. W. Guy under the supervision of Mr. L. M. Duke, Chief of the Operations Branch, Instrumentation Services Division, WES. Additional assistance in the investigation was provided by Mr. T. L. Fagerburg, formerly of the Hydraulic Structures Division and presently employed in the Estuaries Division. Plates were prepared by Mr. Mike Chu, Hydraulic Analysis Branch.

Acknowledgement is made to the personnel of the Louisville District for their assistance in the investigation.

At the time of publication of this report, Director of WES was Dr. Robert W. Whalin. Commander and Deputy Director was COL Leonard G. Hassell, EN.

Accession For	
NTIS Grant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Proc. Fee	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hardware and	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
Description	
Availability Codes	
Available and/or	
Special	

A-1

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE.....	1
CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT.....	3
PART I: INTRODUCTION.....	4
Pertinent Features of the Project.....	4
Outlet Works.....	4
Purpose and Scope of Tests.....	6
PART II: TEST FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT.....	8
Water-Quality Measurements.....	8
Intake Velocity Measurements.....	8
Other Measurements.....	8
Data Acquisition.....	11
PART III: TEST CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES.....	14
Operating Conditions.....	14
Test Procedures.....	14
PART IV: TEST RESULTS.....	16
Release Water Quality.....	16
Hydraulic Measurements.....	21
PART V: CONCLUSIONS.....	24
Water Quality.....	24
Hydraulic Measurements.....	24
REFERENCES.....	25
TABLES 1-3	
PLATES 1-50	

CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC)  
UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI (metric) units as follows:

<u>Multiply</u>	<u>By</u>	<u>To Obtain</u>
degrees (angle)	0.01745329	radians
cubic feet per second	0.02831685	cubic metres per second
feet	0.3048	metres
inches	2.54	centimetres
miles (US statute)	1.609344	kilometres
pounds (force) per square inch absolute	6.894757	kilopascals

PROTOTYPE EVALUATION OF SELECTIVE WITHDRAWAL SYSTEM

TAYLORSVILLE DAM, SALT RIVER, KENTUCKY

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Pertinent Features of the Project

1. Taylorsville Dam (Figure 1) is located on the Salt River in north central Kentucky 50 miles\* above the confluence with the Ohio River and 4 miles upstream of Taylorsville, KY (Figure 2).

2. The existing project consists of a rock-filled dam, an uncontrolled spillway in the right abutment, and a controlled outlet works through the right abutment. The top of the dam is at el 622.0,\*\* with the spillway crest at el 592.0.

Outlet Works

3. Reservoir releases are regulated by a gated intake tower, consisting of two flood-control intakes at the base of the structure (el 474.0) and two wet wells with five 6- by 6-ft water-quality intakes in each wet well at elevations ranging from 503.0 to 534.0. Both flood-control and water-quality flows pass through two separate 5.5- by 14.75-ft rectangular gate passages. The two gate passages transition into a single 11.5- by 14.75-ft oblong conduit. The last 20 ft of the oblong conduit contains a transition to a flat-bottom conduit before discharging into an outlet transition and stilling basin. A general profile of the outlet works is given in Plate 1.

4. During selective withdrawal operations, the emergency gates are closed and flow is discharged through the multilevel intakes into the wet wells and through an opening in the roof of the gate passages between the emergency and service gates. The service gates are used to regulate the

---

\* A table of factors for converting non-SI units of measurement to SI (metric) units is presented on page 3.

\*\* All elevations (el) and stages cited herein are in feet referred to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum.





Figure 1. Taylorsville Dam and Lake




Figure 2. Vicinity map

selective withdrawal releases. The locations of the 10 multilevel intakes (5 intakes in each wet well) are shown in Plate 2. An 18-in.-diam pipe bypass around each service gate is provided (refer to Plate 2) to regulate the release of small flows with the service gates closed.

### Purpose and Scope of Tests

#### Purpose

5. The primary purpose of these tests was to obtain prototype information on the performance of the selective withdrawal system. Prototype information is needed to confirm and/or improve techniques in designing systems to perform selective withdrawal operations. By knowing prototype temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) profiles in the reservoir, and temperature and DO entering an intake, characteristics of the withdrawal zone can be determined. By knowing the distribution of flow among the open intakes, and temperature and DO of flow passing out of the wet well(s), blending characteristics of the system can be determined. Measurements of flow distribution among the intakes can also be used to determine if phenomena such as density blockage occur. Finally, measurements downstream of the outlet works stilling basin (similar to those in the reservoir) determine the degree of mixing of different qualities and the amount of dissolved oxygen uptake by reaeration through the outlet works. These results would be useful in guiding project operations.

6. A secondary purpose of these tests was to use prototype measurements of the reservoir temperature and DO profiles and the resulting outflow characteristics to evaluate the accuracy of the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) selective withdrawal numerical model, SELECT.

7. Hydraulic measurements were made to determine prototype inlet two-dimensional velocity profiles. This information was used as confirmation of the discharge ratings indicated by the physical model (Dortch 1975). Water-surface elevation measurements of the reservoir and wet well(s) provided information regarding intake losses.

#### Scope

8. The subject tests were conducted at Taylorsville Dam during the period 11-14 August 1986. Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were measured in the reservoir, at one location within the outlet works, and at one station in the downstream channel for varying test conditions. The

measurement stations are listed below (see also Plates 1 and 2).

<u>Measurement Station</u>	<u>Location and Description</u>
1	Reservoir: Every 5 ft (every foot through the metalimnion) from the surface to the bottom
2	Flood control conduit: Below the wet well; sample taken from 18-in. bypass around service gate (both gates)
3	Channel: About 600 ft downstream of the stilling basin

9. Intake velocity profiles were measured for each operating port just upstream of the intakes in the trashrack guide slots. Total discharge was measured in the downstream channel about 600 ft downstream of the stilling basin.

## PART II: TEST FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

### Water-Quality Measurements

10. Dissolved oxygen content and temperature were the primary water-quality parameters measured. HYDROLAB Water Quality Monitoring Systems were utilized to measure temperature, DO, and depth in the reservoir, in the right and left wet-well structures, and at the tailwater monitoring stations. The US Army Engineer District, Louisville, provided both the equipment and the personnel to acquire these data.

11. Water-quality samples for each of the wet wells were drawn from the 18-in. low-flow bypass conduits located at el 476 just downstream of the wet-well entrances into the flood control conduit (refer to Plate 2). The samples were collected through piezometer lines from the bypass elbow that terminated in the service gate chamber. The HYDROLAB was connected directly to the piezometer line as shown in Figure 3.

### Intake Velocity Measurements

12. Marsh-McBirney Model 511 electromagnetic current meters were used to make the intake velocity measurements. These instruments collect two-directional velocity data in the horizontal plane from which both the magnitude and direction components of the velocity vector are obtained. A specially designed meter carriage was fabricated for the Taylorsville structure to rigidly mount the meters and to provide accurate positioning of the meters. Figure 4 shows the mounting frame with meters attached and Figure 5 shows the meter assembly as deployed at Taylorsville. The data for each inlet were collected in a 3- by 3-ft grid configuration at 2-ft vertical and horizontal intervals. Figure 6 shows typical velocity meter cross-section locations.

### Other Measurements

13. Total discharge through the structure was measured at a discharge range approximately 600 ft downstream of the stilling basin. This was the same station used for the tailwater water-quality measurements. Stream




Figure 3. Wet well water-quality measurement

velocity profiles were run for each test at this station by personnel of the Louisville District, as seen in action in Figure 7.

14. To verify the water-quality intake rating curves, it was necessary to determine the differential head between the reservoir and the wet well. Three 15-psia pressure transducers (RPR, RPC, RPL) were placed just below the water surface along the face of the intake structure to continuously monitor the reservoir elevation during testing. Water-surface elevations in the wet wells were continuously measured with 50-psia pressure transducers (WWR, WWL) attached to ladders in each wet well. The reader is referred to Table 1 and Plates 1 and 2 for each transducer's description and location. These concurrent measurements provided continuous measurements of the differential head for the entire test program.




Figure 4. Velocity meter carriage assembly



Figure 5. Velocity meter carriage assembly  
as deployed at Taylorsville

#### Data Acquisition

15. Data from the water-quality and stream-discharge measurements were collected and recorded by personnel of the Louisville District. The intake velocity and reservoir and wet-well water-surface elevation data were all collected simultaneously using digital data acquisition equipment operated by WES personnel.




Figure 6. Typical velocity meter cross-section locations






Figure 7. Tailwater discharge range

## PART III: TEST CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES

### Operating Conditions

16. Seventeen tests were conducted at Taylorsville Dam. The conditions for each test are listed in Table 2. All tests were performed while passing flow through the water-quality system. Two tests were conducted during low flows using the service gate bypass conduits (refer to Plate 2) for flow control. The reservoir pool elevation remained practically constant at about el 546.5 throughout the testing program. Variables in the testing program were number and location of intakes open and the total discharge through the structure.

### Test Procedures

17. Reservoir DO and temperature profiles were measured three times per day: (1) prior to testing, usually in the early morning, (2) during testing, around midday, and (3) after testing, usually in the late afternoon. Profiles were considered representative for the tests conducted following each profile. The intake water quality and velocity test procedures were as follows:

- a. Calibrate all instruments at baseline condition (no flow).
- b. Make intake and control gate settings. Allow flow to stabilize at steady-state condition (3-5 min).
- c. Collect all pertinent data in intake structure.
- d. Measure downstream discharge, DO, and temperature.
- e. Adjust intake and gates for the next test.

18. As described in Part II, the wet-well water-quality measurements were obtained from water drawn through piezometers connected to the low flow bypass conduit. In order to get the most accurate measurements, samples were drawn after the bypass conduits were opened, allowed to flush, and then closed. Except for those tests specifically planned for bypass flows, the bypass conduits were not open while measuring intake velocity or downstream discharge.

19. The downstream discharge, DO, and temperature measurements were not accomplished until it was assured that the water withdrawn from the lake and measured in the wet wells was the same as that passing the measurement

station. This required waiting for up to 1 hr from the start of some tests before making the measurements.

## PART IV: TEST RESULTS

### Release Water Quality

20. The primary purpose of the testing program, as stated in paragraph 5, was to assess the performance of the Taylorsville Dam selective withdrawal system. The prototype data collected were analyzed to determine the effects of structure operation on the release water quality; i.e., temperature and DO. The water-quality analyses included selective withdrawal, in-structure reaeration, and simultaneous multiple-level withdrawal.

#### Selective withdrawal

21. Vertical movement in a thermally stratified and, therefore, density-stratified lake is limited by buoyancy forces. Selective withdrawal makes use of this effect to permit the release of water from a vertically confined region in the lake. Multiple port elevations, therefore, often translate into multiple choices for release temperature and other vertically stratified water quality components (e.g., DO). Table 3 demonstrates the variation in tailwater temperatures resulting from different selective withdrawal operations. The data are also presented in Plates 3-25 and show the reservoir profiles, test conditions, and wet-well and tailwater temperatures and DO concentrations. These data show that the Taylorsville water-quality intake structure provides effective selective withdrawal temperature operations.

22. The SELECT one-dimensional reservoir withdrawal model (Davis et al. 1987) is used to predict release water-quality characteristics from thermally stratified lakes. The in-lake temperature profiles and individual port flows measured for the testing program at Taylorsville provided inputs to SELECT. The SELECT predictions of release water temperature were then compared with the observations. Two tests, numbers 10 and 15, were excluded from these analyses of temperature and dissolved oxygen. In both of these tests, only one wet well was used. However, the release temperature was markedly different than the in-structure temperature measurement, indicating a problem with these two tests.

23. A site-specific parameter within the SELECT model, the withdrawal angle, accounts for the effects of the near-field topography on the in-lake withdrawal patterns. This parameter is often determined through scale model

testing of an intake structure. For this field study, several withdrawal angles were tested with the SELECT model to find the one that provided the best correlation between SELECT predictions and observed release temperatures. The model predictions for three withdrawal angles are compared to observations in Figure 8. The predictions appear insensitive to variations in withdrawal angle. The most appropriate withdrawal angle was chosen to be about 4.2 rad, or about 240 deg, since this angle appears to provide the best fit and be the most physically reasonable. One would expect a withdrawal angle between 180 and 360 deg from the plan-view geometry at this site. The 95-percent confidence interval for these predictions is  $\pm 2.25^\circ\text{C}$  and the standard deviation is  $1.15^\circ\text{C}$ .




Figure 8. Selective withdrawal evaluation; temperature

#### In-structure reaeration

24. By comparing the in-wet-well DO measurements and the release DO measurements, it was obvious that a significant amount of reaeration occurred between the service gates and the downstream data-collection station. These data can be compared in Table 3 and Plates 3-25. Other prototype evaluations (Hart and Wilhelms 1977, Wilhelms and Smith 1981) have shown that a major portion of the reaeration occurs downstream of the service gates where high air

entrainment exists, induced by relatively shallow, turbulent, super-critical flow.

25. For the Taylorsville testing program the minimum observed downstream DO concentration (excluding tests 10 and 15) was 7.6 ppm (test 1). All other readings were above 7.6 ppm, with some as high as 9.9 ppm, even though the in-wet-well DO concentration was often small. This indicates that the Taylorsville outlet works effectively reaerates flow through the structure regardless of the level of withdrawal.

26. SELECT contains a routine for predicting the amount of reaeration that will occur through a conventional gated conduit and stilling basin. This routine is based on the work by Wilhelms and Smith (1981) and used an empirically derived escape coefficient. To apply this feature of the code, it must be assumed that the in-wet-well DO concentrations are accurately approximated by SELECT. Since selective withdrawal had been confirmed through comparing release temperatures, this was a reasonable assumption. Figure 9 shows the predicted and observed release DO concentrations with the original ( $0.045 \text{ ft}^{-1}$ ) escape coefficient and a revised ( $0.032 \text{ ft}^{-1}$ ) coefficient that better represents the Taylorsville data. Although the original coefficient causes SELECT to consistently overpredict the release DO, the errors were




Figure 9. Selective withdrawal evaluation; dissolved oxygen

small relative to the amount of DO uptake. With the revised coefficient, the predictions are very good. The standard deviation is 0.26 mg/l, and the 95-percent confidence interval is  $\pm 0.52$  mg/l.

#### Simultaneous multiple level withdrawal

27. When multiple ports are open in a density-stratified fluid and a single point of flow control is used for both ports, as is the case with a single wet well, the distribution of flow among the ports may be significantly different than would occur in a pool of uniform density. In an extreme case, the reservoir density stratification can cause density blockage, effectively preventing flow through some open ports during multiple port operations. A number of tests were performed to assess the effects of density stratification on multiple-level withdrawal operations at Taylorsville. Only those tests that employed more than one open port in a single wet well were applicable for this evaluation. Intake velocity profile data for all tests are presented in Plates 26-49. The intake velocity data from tests 8A, 8B, 14A, 14B, and 14C revealed density blockage of the upper ports during multi-level operations. These data are shown under 'Q per intake' in Table 3 and the individual point velocities are shown in Plates 32, 33, 42, 43, and 44. Test 15 revealed the absence of flow through the upper side port, but the presence of flow through the upper front port. This is inconsistent with the present theory, and not attributable to density effects.

28. The stratified-flow-distribution (SFD) algorithm developed by WES (Howington 1990) was applied in a predictive capacity for the Taylorsville tests with the results shown in Figure 10. Head loss testing (summarized in Plate 50) was used to compute the approximate Darcy-Weisbach loss coefficient needed by the algorithm. This coefficient was determined to be 1.6. The results indicate a good agreement between predictions and observations, with a standard deviation of 0.11 and a 95-percent confidence interval of  $\pm 0.22$ . When one considers that the uppermost open port flow for a particular density stratification (QU) divided by the flow expected without density stratification (QH) is always equal to 1.0 without density effects, it appears that the general trends are reproduced well for the Taylorsville data.

29. Figure 11 more clearly shows the trends with increasing discharge. This figure gives total discharge (QT) divided by critical discharge (QC) along the x axis. Critical discharge is the discharge at which, for the given stratification and gate openings, the buoyant forces offset the hydraulic




Figure 10. Comparison of predicted and observed density effects on flow distribution




Figure 11. Trends with variation in discharge



losses, and flow through "blocked" ports is incipient. Therefore, along the x axis, the value of 1.0 is associated with critical discharge.

30. On the y axis of Figure 11, QU/QH is plotted. A y value of 0.0 corresponds to density blockage of the uppermost port. When the y value is 1.0, the effects of density on the flow are nonexistent. The effects of density on the flow distribution are more pronounced at the lower total discharges, and diminish as the total discharge increases.

31. Although few tests were available to compare against predictions, they compare favorably. With the exception of test 15, the most significant deviation between the predictions and observations occurred near critical discharge. This closely follows established trends (Howington 1990) and is in an area that is unlikely in normal reservoir operations. That is, operation very near critical discharge with multiple ports open would not be a practical operating scenario. As mentioned earlier, test 15 shows 22 cfs through the upper front port and no flow through the upper side port. This contradicts the logic of the SFD algorithm and common sense. Hopefully, this represents an error in the data and not a physical phenomenon.

### Hydraulic Measurements

#### Intake velocity

32. Intake velocity profile data were collected for the open intakes on all tests. These data provided the assessment of density blockage discussed in the previous section and the distribution of flow among the ports during multiple inlet operations. In addition, velocity vectors describing the magnitude and flow direction were generated at each measurement location. Plates 26-49 present these data. For single intake operations in each wet well, a basically uniform flow distribution existed. For multi-level operations in the wet wells, the flow distribution varied from intake to intake, as in the density blockage case (see paragraph 27). For some tests, the percentage of flow through lower gates in multi-level operations was slightly higher than that of the higher inlets due to pressure and density effects (see Plates 30 and 38).

#### Model-prototype comparison

33. A hydraulic model investigation of the outlet works was conducted at WES (Dortch 1975). Plate 50 provides a comparison of the hydraulic model

and the prototype submerged orifice discharge rating characteristics for flow through a single 6- by 6-ft inlet. The prototype compared favorably with model predictions, with the prototype measurements falling just slightly below the model. Empirical equations developed by least squares regression describing the rating curves are given in the plate for both the model and the prototype. The prototype intake discharge coefficient  $C_I$  was computed by the equation

$$C_I = \frac{Q_I}{A_{IV}\sqrt{2g\Delta H_I}} \quad (1)$$

where

$Q_I$  = measured intake discharge, cfs

$A_I$  = area of intake, ft<sup>2</sup>

$\Delta H_I$  = measured head loss through the intake, ft

A  $C_I$  of 0.76 was computed as the average of all tests with single inlet flow.

34. The discharge characteristics of the water-quality system were described as the discharge coefficient  $C$  from the equation

$$C = \frac{Q_w}{A_G\sqrt{2gH}} \quad (2)$$

where

$Q_w$  = measured wet-well discharge, cfs

$A_G$  = area of service gate opening, ft<sup>2</sup>

$H$  = measured head from pool to center of gate opening, ft

The computed  $C$  values are shown in Plate 50 plotted against percent gate opening. Since data were available for only three gate settings, all below 12.5 percent, no trend was established for the entire range of possible gate openings. Also, small errors in measurement are of much more significance at these lower gate settings, as indicated by the relative scatter in the data. The model values for  $C$  are also shown in Plate 50 and are somewhat higher

than those measured. In addition, at this low end-of-gate setting, the C values for the right wet well fall slightly higher than the left wet-well values. A broader range of gate settings and flow is needed to better define the discharge characteristics of the water-quality system.

## PART V: CONCLUSIONS

### Water Quality

35. The data show that the Taylorsville water-quality intake structure can function effectively for release temperature control by selective withdrawal.

36. For all tests, the DO content of the release flows was above 7.0 ppm. The intake DO was very low, indicating that the Taylorsville water-quality system effectively reaerates flow through the structure.

37. The results show that SELECT can predict, with minor deviations, the release DO concentration and temperature for the Taylorsville water-quality system. The site-specific SELECT input parameter of withdrawal angle was determined to be 4.2 rad, or about 240 deg.

38. Reservoir density stratification caused density blockage of the upper ports during some of the multi-level tests. This was only observed for flows less than 100 cfs. However, the effect of density on flow distribution among the open ports was seen for most tests.

39. The SFD predictions compared well with the measured data. With one exception, the most significant deviation between the predictions and observations occurred near critical discharge, an insignificant discrepancy for real operations.

### Hydraulic Measurements

40. Basically, uniform flow distribution exists within each intake. The model and prototype data for submerged orifice flow compared favorably, with prototype measurements falling just slightly below the model. The empirically based prototype submerged discharge coefficient for a single intake,  $C_I$ , was 0.76.

41. Based on total head at the service gates and gate position, discharge coefficients for the water-quality system  $C$  were computed to be less than those determined in the model.

## REFERENCES

- Davis, J. E., Holland, J. P., Schneider, M. L., and Wilhelms, S. C. 1987 (Mar). "SELECT: A Numerical, One-Dimensional Model for Selective Withdrawal," Instruction Report E-87-2, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Dortch, M. S. 1975 (Aug). "Outlet Works for Taylorsville Lake, Salt River, Kentucky," Technical Report H-75-12, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Hart, E. D., and Wilhelms, S. C. 1977 (Jul). "Reaeration Tests, Outlet Works Beltzville Dam, Pohopco Creek, PA," Technical Report H-77-14, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Howington, S. E. 1990 (Nov). "Simultaneous Multiple-Level Withdrawal from a Density Stratified Reservoir," Technical Report W-90-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Wilhelms, S. C., and Smith, D. R. 1981 (Mar). "Reaeration Through Gated Conduit Outlet Works," Technical Report E-81-5, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Table 1

Hydraulic Measurement Equipment

<u>Transducer Designation</u>	<u>Transducer Description</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Prototype Feature</u>	<u>Measurement</u>	<u>Computed Quantity</u>
RPL	Pressure (absolute)	±15 psia	Intake tower, left side	Pressure, static	Reservoir
RPC	Pressure (absolute)	±15 psia	Intake tower, center	Pressure, static	Pool Elevation
RPR	Pressure (absolute)	±15 psia	Intake tower, right side	Pressure, static	
WWL	Pressure (absolute)	50 psia	Left wet well	Pressure, static	Head Loss
WWR	Pressure (absolute)	50 psia	Right wet well	Pressure, static	In-wet-well
VA1X	Marsh-McBirney	+20 FPS	6' x 6' Inlet Portal	Velocity (x)	Velocity mag- nitude & direction
VA1Y	Electromagnetic 2-D Current Velocity Meters			Velocity (y)	
VA2X					
VA2Y					
VA3X					
VA3Y					
VB1X					
VB1Y					
VB2X					
VB2Y					
VB3X					
VB3Y					

Table 2  
Test Conditions

<u>Test No.</u>	<u>Intakes Open*</u>	<u>Total Discharge cfs</u>	<u>Pool el</u>	<u>Aug 1986 Date</u>	<u>Time HHMM</u>
1	LF-T, RF-T	488	546.59	11	1128
2	RF-T	252		11	1417
3	LF-T, RF-M	340	↓	11	1522
5	LF-B, RF-B	360		11	1630
6	LF-T, RF-T	992	↓	11	1732
	LF-M, RF-M				
7	LF-M, RF-M	617	546.51	12	1634
8A	LF-T, LF-B	10		12	1149
8B		25	↓	12	1336
8C	↓	50		12	1409
8D		91	↓	12	1445
8E		159	↓	12	1530
9	LF-T, RF-M	147	546.59	11	1826
10	RF-T, RF-M	71	546.51	12	1030
11	LF-T, RS-M	366	546.51	12	1743
12	LS-M, RS-M	1024	546.51	12	NR**
13	LS-T, RS-T	679	546.46	13	1416
14A		10	546.39	14	0944
14B	↓	25		14	1019
14C		50	↓	14	1054
14D		91		14	1257
14E	↓	169	↓	14	1341
15	RS-T, RF-T	99	546.46	13	1511
	RF-M				
16	LS-T, LF-T	364	546.46	13	1630
	RF-M				
17	LF-T	10	546.39	14	1445

\* Note:

M = Middle  
 F = Front  
 S = Side  
 L = Left  
 R = Right  
 T = Top  
 B = Bottom

\*\* NR = not recorded.

Table 3  
Structure and Tailwater Water-Quality Measurements.  
Taylorsville Dam, August 1986

Test No.	Intakes Open	Per Intake cfs	Intake Tower*				Tailwater			Test Begin	
			Left Wet Well Temp, °C	Left Wet Well DO, PPM	Right Wet Well Temp, °C	Right Wet Well DO, PPM	Temp, °C	DO, PPM	Q, CFS	Date	Time
1	LF-T	225	22.7	3.9	22.7	4.1	22.9	7.6	488	8/11/86	1128
	RF-T	264	-	-	23.7	4.8	23.8	7.7	252	8/11/86	1417
2	RF-T	252	24.4	5.2	13.8	0.3	18.9	8.2	340	8/11/86	1522
3	LF-T	167	10.7	0.2	10.5	0.1	10.7	9.6	360	8/11/86	1630
	RF-M	173	16.9	2.2	17.1	2.2	17.0	7.8	992	8/11/86	1732
5	LF-B	176	15.0	2.1	15.7	2.5	14.6	9.1	617	8/12/86	1634
	RF-B	183	9.3	0.1	-	-	10.2	9.8	10	8/12/86	1149
6	LF-T	228	9.8	0.1	-	-	8.9	9.8	25	8/12/86	1336
	RF-T	218	11.1	0.9	-	-	10.2	9.9	50	8/12/86	1409
	LF-B	268	13.4	2.1	-	-	12.7	9.4	91	8/12/86	1445
	RF-M	278	14.6	2.6	-	-	15.1	9.2	159	8/12/86	1530
7	LF-M	309	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	17.5	7.8	71	8/11/86	1826
	RF-M	308	-	-	17.9	3.0	23.0	7.0	71	8/12/86	1030
8A	LF-T	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-T	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8B	LF-T	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-B	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8C	LF-T	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-B	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8D	LF-T	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-B	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8E	LF-T	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-B	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	LF-T	(53%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-M	(47%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	RF-T	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	RF-M	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-


(Continued)

\* Data taken from 18-in. bypass in each side.



Table 3 (Concluded)




Test No.	Intakes Open	Q Per Intake cfs	Intake Tower			Tailwater			Test Begin		
			Left Wet Well Temp. °C	DO, PPM	Right Wet Well Temp. °C	DO, PPM	Temp. °C	DO, PPM	Q, CFS	Date	Time
11	LF-T	176	21.9	6.1	14.0	1.3	18.1	8.6	366	8/12/86	1743
	RS-M	190									
12	LS-M	492	13.8	1.4	14.8	1.9	14.4	9.0	1024		
	RS-M	532									
13	LS-T	326	21.2	5.4	23.7	6.6	23.7	8.2	679	8/13/86	1416
	RS-T	353									
14A	LS-T	0	9.3	0.1	-	-	11.7	9.9	10	8/14/86	0944
	LF-T	0									
	LF-B	10									
14B	LS-T	0	9.3	0.1	-	-	9.4	9.9	25	8/14/86	1019
	LF-T	0									
	LF-B	25									
14C	LS-T	0	9.8	0.3	-	-	9.9	9.9	50	8/14/86	1054
	LF-T	0									
	LF-B	50									
14D	LS-T	5	12.9	1.8	-	-	13.1	9.4	91	8/14/86	1257
	LF-T	14									
	LF-B	72									
14E	LS-T	44	13.5	2.0	-	-	17.4	8.9	169	8/14/86	1341
	LF-T	44									
	LF-B	81									
15	RS-T	0	-	-	15.6	1.5	24.0	8.1	99	8/13/86	1511
	RF-T	22									
	RF-M	77									
16	LS-T	69	23.2	7.0	14.4	1.0	19.2	8.5	364	8/13/86	1630
	LF-T	102									
	RF-M	193									
17	LF-T	0	9.8	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	Wet Well With	
17	LF-T	10 (Qmin)	17.4	6.8	-	-	17.8	8.3	10 (Qmin)	8/14/86	1445



GENERAL PLAN




### RESERVOIR STATION



**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 1  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-T & RF-T  
 DISCHARGE: 488 CFS


( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 1



**WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 2**



### RESERVOIR STATION



**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST 3  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-T & RF-M  
 DISCHARGE: 340 CFS


( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS

FIG. 1 TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL





### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 3

RESERVOIR STATION






TEST CONDITIONS  
 TEST: 5  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-B & RF-B  
 DISCHARGE: 360 CFS

( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 N.D.E. TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL



WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 5

### RESERVOIR STATION




**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST 6  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF, RF-T & LF, RF-M  
 DISCHARGE: 992 CFS

( ) = 0 PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAIL-WATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL.

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 6





RESERVOIR STATION






TEST CONDITIONS  
 TEST 7  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-M & RF-M  
 DISCHARGE: 617 CFS

( ) = 0 PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL



WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 7


### RESERVOIR STATION



**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 8A  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-T & LF-B  
 DISCHARGE: 10 CFS




( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 8A



**WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 8B**


### RESERVOIR STATION



**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: BC  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-T & LF-B  
 DISCHARGE: 50 CFS





( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 8C



WATER-QUALITY  
MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 8D


### RESERVOIR STATION



**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 8E  
 INTAKES: OPEN: LF-T & LF-B  
 DISCHARGE: 139 CFS




( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 8E



**WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 10**

### RESERVOIR STATION




TEST CONDITIONS  
 TEST: 11  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-T & RS-M  
 DISCHARGE: 366 CFS

( ) = 0 PER INTAKE, CFS  
 N.B. TAIL-WATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL




### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 11





**WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 12**


### RESERVOIR STATION






**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 13  
 INTAKES: OPEN, LS-T & RS-T  
 DISCHARGE: 679 CFS

( ) = 0 PER INTAKE, CFS  
 N.B.TE. TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 13



### RESERVOIR STATION




**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 14B  
 INTAKES OPEN: LS-T, LF-T & LF-B  
 DISCHARGE: 25 CFS


( ) = 0 PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 14B




### RESERVOIR STATION



DISSOLVED OXYGEN, PPM



TEMPERATURE, °C






**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 14C  
 INTAKES OPEN: LS-T, LF-T & LF-B  
 DISCHARGE: 50 CFS

( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 14C

### RESERVOIR STATION






**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 140  
 INTAKES OPEN: LS-T, LF-T & LF-B  
 DISCHARGE 91 CFS

( ) = 0 PER INTAKE, CFS  
 ( ) = TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL.

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 140



### RESERVOIR STATION




**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 15  
 INTAKES OPEN: RS-T, RF-T & RF-M  
 DISCHARGE: 99 CFS

( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 N.T.E. TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL




### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 15





**WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 16**

### RESERVOIR STATION



**TEST CONDITIONS**  
 TEST: 17  
 INTAKES OPEN: LF-T  
 DISCHARGE: 10 CFS

( ) = Q PER INTAKE, CFS  
 NOTE: TAILWATER STATION IN DOWNSTREAM RIVER CHANNEL

### WATER-QUALITY MEASUREMENTS TEST 17


TOTAL Q = 488 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL							
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES					
-	-	-	6.3	5.9	5.3	7.1	6.7	6.7			
-	-	-	-13.4	-5.1	8.7	-19.1	-3.6	10.8			
-	-	-	6.5	6.1	5.5	7.4	6.8	6.9			
-	-	-	-19.6	-0.9	14.2	-14.9	7.8	19.0			
-	-	-	6.2	5.9	5.2	7.0	6.9	6.0			
-	-	-	-14.7	-9.5	8.8	-17.0	4.2	13.2			
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = 225			QE = 264			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-
θ	-
-	-
-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 1


TOTAL Q = 252 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL				
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG		
θ	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

**INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 2**


TOTAL Q = 340 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL							
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	4.4	4.1	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-12.9	-8.3	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	4.4	4.0	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-19.1	-6.2	18.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	4.2	3.9	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-7.2	-1.7	12.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534			QE = 167			QE =			QE =		
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5	3.8	4.4	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-18.7	-2.2	16.0	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7	4.0	4.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-23.3	-1.0	21.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.4	4.0	4.1	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-15.5	-2.8	17.0	-	-	-
EL 518			QE =			QE = 173			QE =		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503			QE =			QE =			QE =		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

**INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 3**


TOTAL Q = 360 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL													
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES											
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____					
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____					
			4.0	3.7	3.2	-11.8	-4.8	12.1				3.7	3.4	3.8	-13.7	7.3	18.8
			4.6	3.9	3.7	-15.6	7.8	9.5				4.5	3.9	4.5	-18.2	0	20.5
			4.3	3.8	3.5	-14.4	-5.8	10.1				4.3	3.8	4.3	-15.3	0	20.6
EL 503			QE = 176			QE = 183											

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

PERPENDICULAR TO  
INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 5

TOTAL Q = 992 CFS

LEFT WET WELL			RIGHT WET WELL											
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES	FRONT INTAKES	SIDE INTAKES										
-	-	-	5.3	5.1	5.2	-	-	-	5.5	5.2	5.3	-	-	-
-	-	-	-11.2	-2.3	14.5	-	-	-	-13.3	4.7	15.6	-	-	-
-	-	-	5.4	5.1	4.9	-	-	-	5.5	4.9	5.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	-18.2	-10.3	10.5	-	-	-	-23.6	0.6	18.4	-	-	-
-	-	-	5.2	4.9	4.7	-	-	-	4.4	4.9	3.9	-	-	-
-	-	-	-16.2	-10.1	5.5	-	-	-	20.3	2.3	3.2	-	-	-
EL 534	QE =		QE =	228		QE =	218		QE =					
-	-	-	6.5	6.1	5.8	-	-	-	6.5	5.8	6.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	-13.0	-12.4	9.2	-	-	-	-13.6	4.3	14.0	-	-	-
-	-	-	6.8	6.1	6.0	-	-	-	6.9	5.9	6.9	-	-	-
-	-	-	-14.0	-5.3	12.8	-	-	-	-19.5	0.8	16.4	-	-	-
-	-	-	6.4	5.8	5.8	-	-	-	6.6	6.0	6.7	-	-	-
-	-	-	-13.6	-4.4	10.5	-	-	-	-17.9	2.0	14.1	-	-	-
EL 518	QE =		QE =	268		QE =	278		QE =					
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503	QE =		QE =			QE =			QE =					


LEGEND

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION



PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
 TEST 6


TOTAL Q = 617 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL				
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	8.3	7.5	6.8	-	-	-
-	-	-	-16.4	-8.3	14.1	-	-	-
-	-	-	8.7	8.0	7.3	-	-	-
-	-	-	-21.7	-8.9	11.6	-	-	-
-	-	-	8.4	8.0	7.3	-	-	-
-	-	-	-15.9	-8.5	8.3	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = 309			QE = 308		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

**INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 7**




TOTAL Q = 10 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL				
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	0	0	0	-	-	-
-	-	-	0	0	0	-	-	-
-	-	-	0	0	0	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = 0			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			0.3	0.4	0.4			
			-28.8	-37.8	34.7			
			0.5	0.5	0.5			
			-47.1	-38.7	44			
			0.4	0.4	0.4			
			-40.8	-40.7	41.0			
EL 503 QE = 10						QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 8A


TOTAL Q = 25 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534			QE = <u>0</u>			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			0.8	0.8	0.7						
			8.9	-1.8	41.0						
			1.0	0.9	0.7						
			-27.9	-11.3	0						
			1.0	0.9	0.7						
			-26.7	-9.5	4.8						
EL 503			QE = <u>25</u>			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG		
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 8B


TOTAL Q = 50 CFS

LEFT WET WELL			RIGHT WET WELL																																													
SIDE INTAKES	FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES	SIDE INTAKES																																												
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.1</td></tr> <tr><td>-58.2</td><td>-37.2</td><td>-65.1</td></tr> <tr><td>0.3</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.1</td></tr> <tr><td>-49.1</td><td>-33.7</td><td>-65.1</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>0.1</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>14.2</td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	0.2	0.1	0.1	-58.2	-37.2	-65.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	-49.1	-33.7	-65.1	0	0.1	0	0	14.2	0	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
0.2	0.1	0.1																																														
-58.2	-37.2	-65.1																																														
0.3	0.1	0.1																																														
-49.1	-33.7	-65.1																																														
0	0.1	0																																														
0	14.2	0																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
EL 534 QE = _____	QE = 4	QE = _____	QE = _____																																													
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
EL 518 QE = _____	QE = _____	QE = _____	QE = _____																																													
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>1.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>0.9</td></tr> <tr><td>-18.2</td><td>-6.5</td><td>3.9</td></tr> <tr><td>1.3</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.0</td></tr> <tr><td>-22.5</td><td>-9.7</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>1.3</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.0</td></tr> <tr><td>-18.9</td><td>-4.9</td><td>3.5</td></tr> </table>	1.1	1.1	0.9	-18.2	-6.5	3.9	1.3	1.1	1.0	-22.5	-9.7	0	1.3	1.1	1.0	-18.9	-4.9	3.5	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></tr> </table>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
1.1	1.1	0.9																																														
-18.2	-6.5	3.9																																														
1.3	1.1	1.0																																														
-22.5	-9.7	0																																														
1.3	1.1	1.0																																														
-18.9	-4.9	3.5																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
-	-	-																																														
	EL 503 QE = 46	QE = _____	QE = _____																																													

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

PERPENDICULAR TO  
INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 8C


TOTAL Q = 159 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	0.7 -19.2	0.5 -25.4	0.3 -33.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	0.6 -32.8	0.4 -16.5	0.3 -10.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	0.8 -24.3	0.5 -20.2	0.5 13.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = 25			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			1.3 -15.7	1.2 -1.2	1.0 0						
			1.5 -22.8	1.3 -10.7	1.2 0						
			1.5 -18.5	1.3 -4.3	1.1 3.1						
EL 503 QE = _____			QE = 66			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 8D


TOTAL Q = 159 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL				
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	2.0	1.7	1.4	-	-	-
-	-	-	-31.6	-12.3	-2.6	-	-	-
-	-	-	2.0	1.7	1.6	-	-	-
-	-	-	-25.8	-8.1	17.9	-	-	-
-	-	-	1.7	1.8	1.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	8.4	-6.8	4.6	-	-	-
EL 534			QE = 75			QE =		
QE =			QE =			QE =		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518			QE =			QE =		
QE =			QE =			QE =		
-	-	-	2.0	1.9	1.7	-	-	-
-	-	-	-11.2	-4.3	8.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	1.9	2.1	1.5	-	-	-
-	-	-	-11.8	-5.1	-6.9	-	-	-
-	-	-	2.2	2.1	1.9	-	-	-
-	-	-	-13.8	-4.0	5.7	-	-	-
EL 503			QE = 84			QE =		
QE =			QE =			QE =		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
 TEST 8E


TOTAL Q = 147 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	1.9	1.8	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-5.5	-0.8	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	2.4	1.9	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-20.9	-16.7	-2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	2.1	1.9	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-15.8	1.4	6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534			QE = _____			QE = 78			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	1.6	1.6	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-16.1	0	6.3	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	1.7	1.7	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-24.5	0	14.6	-	-	-
EL 518			QE = _____			QE = 69			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	1.7	1.6	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-13.2	0	4.1	-	-	-
EL 503			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

LEGEND

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 9


TOTAL Q = 71 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL																							
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES																				
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.8	0.6	-	-	-	-7.8	1.8	19.3	-	-	-	0.9	0.8	0.5	-	-	-	-19.8	-9.2	15.7	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	0.7	0.6	-	-	-	-26.3	3.8	12.7	-	-	-												
EL 534			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = 26			QE = _____																	
-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	0.9	-	-	-	-	-31.7	-22.5	-	-	-	-	1.2	1.1	1.0	-	-	-	-4.8	7.6	14.2	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	1.1	1.0	-	-	-	-18.3	0	8.2	-	-	-												
EL 518			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = 45			QE = _____																	
						-	-	-							-	-	-												
						-	-	-							-	-	-												
						-	-	-							-	-	-												
						EL 503			QE = _____			QE = _____																	

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
 TEST 10


TOTAL Q = 366 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL				
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	4.3 -17.3	3.9 -3.1	3.6 10.9	-	-	-
-	-	-	4.5 -17.0	4.0 -5.5	4.0 15.0	-	-	-
-	-	-	4.3 -7.2	4.1 -6.4	3.9 7.3	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = 176			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = 190		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 11




TOTAL Q = 1024 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL				
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
11.1	10.3	10.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
-12.0	-4.9	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
11.8	9.9	11.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-15.7	-1.9	13.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
11.6	9.9	11.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
-15.6	-3.9	12.3	-	-	-	12.0	10.5	11.5
EL 518 QE = 492			QE = _____			QE = 532		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

- MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS
- θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES
- QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-
θ	-
-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

**INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 12**


TOTAL Q = 679 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL							
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES					
7.1 -14.2	7.3 -8.4	6.4 11.8	-	-	-	9.1 -13.7	7.5 -2.2	7.4 15.0			
8.4 -19.1	8.0 -7.1	7.5 15.4	-	-	-	8.9 -22.7	7.8 1.8	8.0 20.7			
8.4 -12.0	8.1 -6.0	7.4 12.2	-	-	-	8.8 -19.8	7.4 -4.9	7.8 15.4			
EL 534 QE = <u>326</u>			QE = <u>        </u>			QE = <u>        </u>			QE = <u>353</u>		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = <u>        </u>			QE = <u>        </u>			QE = <u>        </u>			QE = <u>        </u>		
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			EL 503 QE = <u>        </u>			QE = <u>        </u>					

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 13


TOTAL Q = 10 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL																						
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES																				
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-																			
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-																			
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-																			
EL 534 QE = 0		QE = 0		QE = _____		QE = _____																				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																			
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																			
EL 518 QE = _____		QE = _____		QE = _____		QE = _____																				
		<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.6</td></tr> <tr><td>-30.0</td><td>-24.7</td><td>-63.8</td></tr> <tr><td>0.4</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.4</td></tr> <tr><td>-26.3</td><td>0</td><td>-14.3</td></tr> <tr><td>0.5</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.4</td></tr> <tr><td>-27.8</td><td>-3.5</td><td>-17.4</td></tr> </table>		0.3	0.3	0.6	-30.0	-24.7	-63.8	0.4	0.4	0.4	-26.3	0	-14.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	-27.8	-3.5	-17.4			-	-	-
0.3	0.3	0.6																								
-30.0	-24.7	-63.8																								
0.4	0.4	0.4																								
-26.3	0	-14.3																								
0.5	0.4	0.4																								
-27.8	-3.5	-17.4																								
		EL 503 QE = 10		QE = _____																						

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
 TEST 14A


TOTAL Q = 25 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL							
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = 0			QE = 0			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			0.7	0.8	0.6						
			-21.6	0	-3.1						
			0.8	0.8	0.7						
			-18.7	0	0						
			0.9	0.8	0.7						
			-21.3	-3.5	-2.4						
			EL 503 QE = 25			QE = _____					

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 14B


TOTAL Q = 50 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = <u>0</u>			QE = <u>0</u>			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			1.0	0.9	0.9						
			-23.3	-2.9	0						
			1.3	1.1	1.1						
			-16.8	0	3.2						
			1.2	1.1	1.0						
			-20.7	-2.5	1.7						
			EL 503 QE = <u>50</u>			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

PERPENDICULAR TO  
INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 14C


TOTAL Q = 91 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
0.2	0.2	0	0.2	0.1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-45.2	-38.9	0	-78.6	-21.6	-74.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
0.3	0.2	0	0.2	0.1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-45.1	-40.0	0	-50.9	-11.2	-63.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
0.2	0.2	0	0.6	0.1	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
-45.0	-18.8	0	-36.0	21.6	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534			EL 534			QE = _____			QE = _____		
QE = <u>5</u>			QE = <u>14</u>								
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518			EL 518			QE = _____			QE = _____		
QE = _____			QE = _____								
1.2	1.2	2.8	1.4	1.3	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
29.9	-12.6	-68.0	-19.2	-1.1	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.4	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-18.8	-2.1	0	-18.8	-2.1	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503			EL 503			QE = _____			QE = _____		
QE = <u>72</u>			QE = _____								

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
 TEST 14D


TOTAL Q = 169 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
0.9 -15.5	0.8 -6.6	0.6 -5.6	0.9 -24.9	0.8 -11.9	0.7 -7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.1 -29.5	0.9 -12.7	0.8 -9.5	1.1 -34.5	0.9 0	0.9 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.0 -22.7	0.9 -3.2	0.7 16.6	1.0 -7.2	0.9 10.7	0.9 6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = 44			QE = 44			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			1.5 -17.0	1.4 3.9	1.3 -4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
			1.8 -17.7	1.7 -0.8	1.6 4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
			1.9 -17.5	1.7 -0.8	1.6 6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 503 QE = 81			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-
θ	-
-	-



VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
 TEST 14E


TOTAL Q = 99 CFS

LEFT WET WELL				RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		FRONT INTAKES		SIDE INTAKES			
-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = 22		QE = 0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-23.2	0	21.8	
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	0.7	0.7	
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			-20.5	0	14.2	
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.7	0.7	
EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____			-22.7	-10.1	0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-	-
θ	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO INTAKE PLANE

**INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 15**




TOTAL Q = 364 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
1.3	1.1	0.8	2.4	2.0	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
-15.8	-3.7	-4.4	-24.9	-2.1	11.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.9	1.6	1.3	2.2	2.1	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
-23.7	-11.1	0	-1.9	2.6	25.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.0	1.8	1.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
-19.8	-11.4	-2.5	-22.2	-5.8	10.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = 69			QE = 102			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	3.9	3.8	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-18.7	-4.2	5.8	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7	3.9	4.3	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			-21.8	1.4	14.3	-	-	-
						4.3	4.0	4.2	-	-	-
						-14.6	3.1	15.1	-	-	-
						QE = 193			QE = _____		
						-	-	-			
						-	-	-			
						-	-	-			
			EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____					

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 θ = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)

MAG	-
θ	-
-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

**INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 16**


TOTAL Q = 10 CFS

LEFT WET WELL						RIGHT WET WELL					
SIDE INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			FRONT INTAKES			SIDE INTAKES		
-	-	-	0.3 -38.3	0.3 16.6	0.3 -41.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	0.4 -33.4	0.3 -9.4	0.3 -41.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	0.4 -11.9	0.3 4.7	0.5 -57.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 534 QE = _____			QE = <u>10</u>			QE = _____			QE = _____		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL 518 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			EL 503 QE = _____			QE = _____			QE = _____		

**LEGEND**

MAG = MAGNITUDE OF VELOCITY VECTOR, FPS  
 $\theta$  = ORIENTATION OF VELOCITY VECTOR (HORIZONTAL), DEGREES  
 QE = DISCHARGE, CFS (BASED ON AVERAGE VELOCITY AND EQUIVALENT EFFECTIVE INTAKE AREAS)


MAG	-	-
$\theta$	-	-
-	-	-



**VELOCITY VECTOR ORIENTATION**

PERPENDICULAR TO  
INTAKE PLANE

INTAKE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS  
TEST 17




### SUBMERGED FLOW CHARACTERISTICS FOR SINGLE 6' X 6' INTAKE

NOTE: ΔH IS HEAD DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN WATER SURFACE LEVEL IN RESERVOIR AND WATER SURFACE LEVELS IN WET WELL(S).

EMPIRICALLY DERIVED DISCHARGE EQUATIONS:

$$Q_m = 285.1 (\Delta H)^{0.548}$$

$$Q_p = 219.8 (\Delta H)^{0.548}$$



### WATER QUALITY SYSTEM

NOTE: PROTOTYPE COEFFICIENT DETERMINED FROM EQUATION:

$$C = CA \sqrt{2gH}$$

WHERE: A = AREA OF SERVICE GATE OPENING

H = HEAD FROM POOL TO CENTER OF GATE OPENING.

### DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS WATER QUALITY FLOW