REPORT DOCUMENTATION

AD-A255 652

d
l-0188

data sources, gathering and its collection of information. tway, Suite 1204, Arlington.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY *(Leave blank)*	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	02 September 1992	FINAL REPORT 8/14/91–8/31/92

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Neural Network Retinal Model Real Time Implementation (Neural Network Retinal Model)	Contract #DAAH01-91-C-R240

6. AUTHOR(S)

Dr. Robert W. Means

DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 1 7 1992
S C D

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
HNC, Inc. 5501 Oberlin Drive San Diego, CA 92121	3405-F-92

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DOD) 1400 Wilson Avenue Arlington, VA 22209-2308	

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Unrestricted	

92-25326

13. ABSTRACT *(Maximum 200 words)*

The solution of complex image processing problems, both military and commercial, are expected to benefit significantly from research onto biological vision systems. However, current development of biological models of vision are hampered by lack of low-cost, high-performance, computing hardware that addresses the specific needs of vision processing. The goal of this SBIR Phase I project has been to take a significant neural network vision application and to map it onto dedicated hardware for real time implementation. The neural network was already demonstrated using software simulation on a general purpose computer. During Phase I, HNC took a neural network model of the retina and, using HNC's Vision Processor (ViP) prototype hardware, achieved a speedup factor of 200 over the retina algorithm executed on the Sun SPARCstation. A performance enhancement of this magnitude on a very general model demonstrates that the door is open to a new generation of vision research and applications. The model is described along with the digital hardware implementation of the algorithm using the new ViP chip set.

14. SUBJECT TERMS		15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Neural Network, Vision, Retina, Tracking, Real-Time, Hardware		23
		16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited

Neural Network Retinal Model Real Time Implementation

Final Report

2 September 1992

Sponsored By:

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DOD)
Defense Small Business Innovation Research Program
ARPA Order No. 5916
Issued by U.S. Army Missile Command Under   DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3
Contract # DAAH01-91-C-R240

HNC, Inc.   Dr. Robert W. Means
5501 Oberlin Dr.   619-546-8877
San Diego, CA 92121   Neural Network Retinal Model
14 August, 1991
August 14, 1991 - August 31, 1992

DISCLAIMER

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should
not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either express or implied of the
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U. S. Government

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Executive Summary

The solution of complex image processing problems, both military and commercial, are expected to benefit significantly from research into biological vision systems. However, current development of biological models of vision are hampered by lack of low-cost, high-performance, computing hardware that addresses the specific needs of vision processing. The goal of this SBIR Phase I project has been to take a significant neural network vision application and to map it onto dedicated hardware for real time implementation. The neural network was already demonstrated using software simulation on a general purpose computer. During Phase I, HNC took the neural network model of the retina that was first developed by Eeckman, Colvin, and Axelrod at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory[1] and, using HNC's Vision Processor (ViP) hardware achieved a speedup factor of 200 over the algorithm executed on the Sun SPARCstation. A performance enhancement of this magnitude on a very general model demonstrates that the door is open to a new generation of vision research and applications.

With HNC's new hardware, developers will be able to modify parameters in their model in close to real time. Complex neural network models of the human visual processing system have previously been implemented in software or have not been implemented at all because no inexpensive efficient hardware has been available to implement the large connection windows postulated in most models. The same situation exists with respect to large convolution kernels or connection windows in conventional image processing. The large increase in processing time usually encountered when the kernel size increases beyond a certain size has led researchers and users to develop their algorithms and applications with small kernels. This has been true in spite of the better performance of larger kernel algorithms such as the edge enhancement algorithm using the Laplacian of Gaussian kernel whose performance is less noise dependent when the kernel size becomes 7 x 7 or larger.

HNC's new VLSI chip set will halt this computational bias against larger kernels and connection windows. All other hardware chips have a fixed limit to the size of the connection window. Usually this limit is 3x3 or at most 8x8. The alternative for the algorithm developer is to take excessive time in a software implementation or, if they have a hardware board that performs small convolutions, to build a new piece of hardware with multiple chips. With the ViP chip set, a 16x16 convolution will now take only four times as long as an 8x8 convolution instead of taking hundreds or thousands of times longer in software or, alternatively, taking months to design and build new hardware using multiple small kernel convolution chips.

The retinal model is used to implement and evaluate a tracking application on the HNC real time VLSI Vision Processor (ViP). The algorithm operates well at low signal to noise ratio. The model is described along with the digital hardware implementation of the algorithm using the new ViP chip set.

3

In Phase II, HNC plans to propose the insertion of the ViP hardware into a specific military tracking application using the neural network retinal model.

2.0 Neural Network Retinal Model

The retina model consists of a number of layers of processing elements, or cells, that are connected to previous layers. These are simple feedforward neural networks. There are also cells that have lateral connections within the layers. The feedforward connections are either inhibitory or excitatory. Each cell in one layer is connected to a small number of cells in a previous layer. This connection pattern is reproduced for each cell in the whole layer. The first layer of cells consists of the pixels or the image sensors themselves. Each succeeding layer of cells is connected to its previous layer or layers by a convolution kernel plus a non-linear, pointwise transformation. The inclusion of inhibitory or excitatory layers requires an operation equivalent to image addition or subtraction. These signal processing operations (convolution, image addition, image subtraction, pointwise nonlinear transformations) are precisely those that the HNC ViP hardware is designed to perform.

The primary function that the retinal model performs is noise reduction and motion detection. It represses both noise and stationary objects. It does this for multiple objects in the field of view with no increase in computational load over a single object. The model was originally coded in C at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories and run on a Sun SPARCstation. The model runs slowly on the Sun, taking several seconds for a single 128x128 image to pass through all five layers of the retina. HNC's task in Phase I was to take the model and to map it efficiently onto our ViP hardware. The retinal model is described in more detail in reference 1 and in a paper to be published by Eeckman, Colvin and Axelrod. A summary of the model is given in section 2.1.

2.1 Biological Background

To animals and humans, the detection and tracking of small moving targets in high noise environments is effortless and virtually instantaneous. This task is done without the higher cognitive facilities of the brain being used. The processing that occurs is non-adaptive. Therefore, to design a tracking system, it is logical to examine the processing that occurs early in the visual system, (i.e., in the retinal system) and to build a similar software or hardware model.

The retina of vertebrates consists of five main cell types as illustrated in Figure 1 (taken from reference 1). Three of these cell types, photoreceptors, bipolar cells and ganglion cells, are in a direct feedforward path from the incoming light to the visual cortex of the brain. The remaining two types, horizontal cells and amacrine cells, laterally interact with layers of photoreceptors, bipolar cells and ganglion cells.

2.1.1 Retina Model Dynamics

In the retina model, image processing operations are done by a functional layer of identical cells. These transformations between layers correspond to filters that perform two dimensional spatial operations on the data. These operations can have a different spatial extent in every layer. The temporal processing in the retina is primarily decay of the input stimulus and delay of the feedback or feedforward outputs from one layer to another. The number of distinct mathematical operations needed to model the retina is small. The operations symbolized in Figure 2 are sufficient.

The temporal behavior of the neurons is modeled as a leaky integrator. The photoreceptor cell response is typical of most neurons and is given by the equation::

$$PR_{ij}(t) = \alpha PR_{ij}(t-1) + f[input\_image_{ij}(t)]$$

where alpha is a decay constant and f[] is a non-linear transfer function, usually a sigmoidal or threshold function. The photoreceptor cells are also connected to their neighboring photoreceptor cells. The latter connections are modelled by a convolution over the spatial neighborhood with a kernel whose weights represent coupling factors.

$$PR_{m,n}(t) = \sum_{ij} K_{ij} \bullet PR_{m-i,n-j}(t)$$

where the kernel, K_{ij}, is defined over a finite neighborhood. These two transformations (temporal and spatial) of the input image are implemented sequentially. Figures 3 through 7 describe the processing in each layer of the retina using the symbols of Figure 2.

2.2 Processing Layers

There are five layers of neurons in the retinal model corresponding to the five layers in the biological model shown in Figure 1.. In addition, there is a sixth layer modeled that permits the result of the processing to be displayed in a meaningful manner to a human observer. The sixth layer shows the history of the track of a moving object. All the processing in each layer can be performed on the ViP.

Each layer of neurons in the retinal model is considered to be equivalent to an image. Each pixel in the image corresponds to a neuron in the layer. The value of each pixel is identical to the output value of its corresponding neuron. Each basic operation, whether it is a subtraction of two layers, a multiplication of a layer by a decay constant, a thresholding of a layer, a non-linear transform of a layer or a feedforward transform between two layers takes a single pass of the image through the ViP chip set.

5

Figure 1. Cell types of the vertebrate retina

Figure 2: Symbol table for Figures 3 through 7. The constants α and K_{ij} are different for each layer.

All pixels in a given layer undergo the same arithmetic operations in parallel. The feedforward transform between a source and destination layer is done by convolving a connectivity kernel with the source image to produce the destination image. Each layer in the model receives a time series of images from the previous layer or layers as shown in Figure 1. Within each layer there are several intermediate processing steps.

2.2.1 Photoreceptor Layer

The photoreceptor layer receives the light input directly. In the hardware implementation this layer receives a time sequence of images directly from a camera or from images read from disk. A nonlinear transformation is performed on the input light image by passing it through a look-up table on the ViP. This transformed image (like all images) is considered as a layer of neurons and stored in memory as an image in the ViP. The output image of the photoreceptor layer from the previous time step is multiplied by a decay constant and stored in memory. The transformed light and the decayed photoreceptor output images are added together and stored in memory. This image is then convolved spatially with a connectivity kernel to form the output of the photoreceptor layer. The photoreceptor kernel smears the input image and reduces the effects of noise. Figure 3 is a block diagram of the processing described.

2.2.2 Horizontal Layer

The horizontal layer receives input from the photoreceptor layer. A nonlinear transformation is performed on the input by passing it through a look-up table on the ViP and storing it in memory. The output image of the horizontal layer from the previous time step is multiplied by a decay constant and also stored in memory. These two resultant images are then added together to form the output of the horizontal layer. The horizontal layer will eliminate the effect of a background that has a small spatial gradient. Figure 4 is a block diagram of the processing described.

2.2.3 Bipolar Layer

The bipolar layer receives input from both the horizontal layer and the receptor layer. The horizontal layer is convolved spatially with an inhibitory kernel to form an intermediate inhibitory image. The receptor layer is convolved spatially with an excitatory kernel to form an intermediate excitatory image. These two images are combined by subtracting the inhibitory result from the excitatory result. These two convolutions represent an on-center, off-surround connection to the receptor and horizontal neurons respectively. The output image of the bipolar layer from the previous time step is multiplied by a decay constant and added to the excitatory and inhibitory result. That result is then averaged spatially by convolution and stored as the output of the bipolar layer. Figure 5 is a block diagram of the processing described.

8

Figure 3. Photoreceptor layer processing. $I_{ij}(t)$ is the incident light. $PR_{ij}(t-1)$ is the output of the photoreceptor layer at the previous time step.


Figure 4. Horizontal layer processing.

2.2.4 Amacrine Layer

The amacrine layer is an inhibitory layer for the later ganglion layer. It receives its input from the bipolar layer. The absolute value of the difference between the bipolar outputs at time, t, and time, $t - delay$, is computed. This step is essentially a motion detection. The output of the amacrine layer from the previous time step is multiplied by a decay constant and added to the absolute difference result and then thresholded. The previous three layers have dealt primarily with spatial processing noise reduction; the amacrine and ganglion layer deal primarily with temporal processing. Figure 6 is a block diagram of the processing described.

2.2.5 Ganglion Layer

The ganglion layer receives excitatory input from the bipolar layer and receives inhibitory input from the amacrine layer. Excitatory input is received homogeneously from the ganglion neuron's nearest neighbors in the bipolar layer. However, inhibitory input is received from neurons in the amacrine layer (which was a motion detection layer) only in a preferred direction.

The two connectivity kernels are shown in Figure 7. Nine amacrine neurons in three concentric arcs centered around one of the six axes of the hexagon contribute inhibition along that axis. The hexagonal structure of the cells in a layer must be mapped carefully into a rectangular convolution kernel by the mapping illustrated in Figure 7. As long as the coupling factor for pixels at a given row and column are mapped into corresponding weights in the kernel, then the model is preserved.

The inhibitory and excitatory convolution results are combined by subtracting the inhibitory result from the excitatory result. The output image of the ganglion layer from the previous time step is multiplied by a decay constant, added to the excitatory and inhibitory result and then thresholded.

The ganglion layer detects objects that are moving in a direction not inhibited by the amacrine layer. Figure 8 is a block diagram of the processing described. There can be six different ganglion layers in the model each one with a different inhibitory kernel aligned along one of the hexagonal axes. The times in table 2 were calculated with a single ganglion layer. Processing all six direction will approximately double the times.

2.2.6 History Layer

The history layer does not correspond to a layer of neurons in the retina. It is a convenient way to accumulate spikes from the ganglion layer and display the tracks of moving objects.

Figure 5. Bipolar layer processing.

**Figure 6.** Amacrine layer processing.

```
0    0    0    0    0    0    0    0

   0    0    0    0    0    0    0

   0    2    6   30    0    0    0    0

      2    6   30    x    0    0    0

   0    2    6   30    0    0    0    0

      0    0    0    0    0    0    0

   0    0    0    0    0    0    0    0
```

(7a) Hexagonal pattern of inhibitory coupling between amacrine and ganglion layer.

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	6	30	0	0	0
2	6	30	*	0	0	0
0	2	6	30	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(7b) Inhibitory kernel corresponding to (7a) directional coupling.

Figure 7. Connectivity kernels in the Ganglion layer.

```
     0     0     4     4     4     4     0     0

        0     4    10    10    10     4     0

        0     4    10    25    25    10     4     0

           4    10    25   100    25    10     4

        0     4    10    25    25    10     4     0

           0     4    10    10    10     4     0

     0     0     4     4     4     4     0     0
```

(7c) Hexagonal pattern of excitatory coupling between bipolar and ganglion layer.

0	0	4	4	4	4	0
0	4	10	10	10	4	0
0	4	10	25	25	10	4
4	10	25	100	25	10	4
0	4	10	25	25	10	4
0	4	10	10	10	4	0
0	0	4	4	4	4	0

(7b) Excitatory kernel corresponding to (7c) uniform coupling.

Figure 7. Connectivity kernels in the Ganglion layer.

13

Figure 8. Ganglion layer processing.

3.0 Vision Processor (ViP) Hardware

The ViP is a new type of high performance systolic array VLSI chip set optimized for advanced vision processing. It is able to perform very high speed conventional and neural network image processing functions as well as image arithmetic (e.g., subtract two images). The ViP consists of two digital VLSI chips that can efficiently perform two dimensional convolution with arbitrary sized kernels with full utilization of its processing resources. For small kernels, the ViP chip set performs convolutions at a throughput rate of 40 megapixels per second on 8-bit pixels. For larger kernels, performance is inversely proportional to the kernel size. The has 64 processing elements arranged in an 8x8 systolic array that can perform convolutions with very large kernels (up to 64x64) on images up to 4096x4096. Unlike other image processors, the ViP maintains its full efficiency (5.12 billion arithmetic operations per second) on large kernels. An 8x8 convolution on a 512x512 image requires less than 7 milliseconds. Dual image arithmetic and logical operations are processed at the pixel memory access rate of 80 megapixels per second. The chip set also has the capability to perform convolutions on images with 16-bit pixels at 20 million pixels per second.

The ViP chip set has been designed into a daughterboard that attaches to HNC's Balboa 860/VME coprocessor board through an expansion bus. The Balboa 860/VME is a high performance coprocessor based on Intel's i860 64-bit RISC microprocessor. It provides a 40 MHz Intel i860 with 16 Mbyte of DRAM memory and uses a 64-bit architecture to provide a peak processing performance of 40 MIPS and 80 MFLOPS. Block diagrams of the daughter board and the Balboa are shown in Figures 9 and 10.

The ViP daughterboard contains both the ViP-1 and the ViP-2 chips. The ViP-1 performs the convolutional and morphological operations. Image arithmetic is performed in the ViP-2 chip. The ViP daughterboard contains three banks of image memory with four megabytes of DRAM per bank. There is also a kernel memory containing 64 Kbytes of fast static RAM. The resources of the Balboa combined with the image processing capability of the ViP offers a high performance component for a wide range of image analysis and processing applications.

The ViP image memory interface is designed such that a conventional linear memory architecture can be used for accessing and storing data. No variable length scan conversion shift registers are needed by the systolic array to access an image stored in a conventional raster scan format. Such scan conversion variable length shift registers are often required with other convolution architectures.

The images are stored in the three banks of dynamic RAM on the daughterboard. The banks of dynamic RAM are linked to the Balboa 860/VME memory through the Balboa 860/VME's expansion connector. This allows direct access via DMA between the ViP memory and the Balboa 860/VME's 16 MBytes of DRAM. It also allows the ViP to access data across the VME Subsystem Bus (VSB) bus where other VSB hardware such

15

as a frame grabber can reside To facilitate these transfers, the ViP-2 has an on-chip DMA controller. The DMA controller on the ViP-2 can be transferring one image between the frame grabber and a bank of DRAM while, at the same time, the ViP chip set is doing a convolution or other image processing operation on another image. This flexibility and parallelism provides the ViP daughterboard with the processing and data transfer bandwidths needed to perform real time image processing.


**Figure 9.** Block diagram of ViP Daughterboard.

Figure 10. Block diagram of Balboa 860/VME.

The ViP chip set is particularly well suited for neural network and preattentive vision image processing algorithms that use large connected neighborhoods to model the transformations between layers of neurons. Many of these algorithms use convolution extensively in the neural network model. One of the primary advantages of the ViP architecture is its ability to implement large kernel convolution at full efficiency. This feature of the ViP is very important for research applications in which the required kernel sizes are not known *a priori*. In such applications, the ViP allows tremendous flexibility without sacrificing performance. Table 1 compares the ViP's convolution performance on a 512x512 8-bit image with other commercially available image processing chips. Notice that for kernels larger than 8x8, all of the other convolution chips require multiple chips to perform the operation. In practice, this means that using one of these other chips restricts the user to small kernels. The alternative is to take excessive time in a software implementation or to build a new piece of hardware with multiple chips.

Table 1. Comparison of ViP daughterboard convolution performance with other leading convolution chips. All times are in milliseconds and the image is 512x512 with 8-bit gray-scale.

Window Size	Sun SPARC Station	Plessey PDSP 16488	Inmos IMSA110	LSI Logic L64240	HNC ViP Daughterboard
3x3	2,000	6.6	13.1	13.1	6.6
8x8	14,000	26.2	6 chips	13.1	6.6
16x16	56,000	8 chips	18 chips	8 chips	26.2
32x32	224,000	not possible	60 chips	32 chips	104.9
64x64	896,000	not possible	220 chips	128 chips	419.6

The key to the ViP's convolution capability is a novel two dimensional systolic array architecture on the ViP-1 chip. Systolic array architecture have been proposed and developed since the late 1970s for a variety of signal and image processing applications. H. T. Kung, in a 1982 review article [4], describes and classifies systolic arrays of many different types. A special issue of the July 1987 Computer magazine is devoted to papers that review systolic array projects and architectures. For many applications, systolic arrays of processing elements are a very effective means of applying multiple processors to perform computationally intensive tasks. The details of the systolic array architecture can be found in reference 5.

3.1 ViP Software Description

The ViP is programmed through a set of command register that are accessed as memory locations by the Balboa's i860 processor. To task the ViP to perform a function, the appropriate control words are written to the various registers and the GO bit is set. At this point the ViP-1 and ViP-2 internal state machines begin execution. No additional intervention by the Balboa is necessary until the function is complete. Completion is signaled by an interrupt to the Balboa.

Users can access the control register to directly program the ViP; however, this approach requires detailed knowledge of the control registers and their interactions. To aid users in developing software for the ViP, an image processing module software (IPMS) library is provided that implements many common image processing functions. The library contains over 100 functions including image arithmetic, Sobel edge operation, binary morphology, chain coding, two dimensional Fourier transforms, and image histogram. All library routines are callable from C running under the Balboa Executive or running directly on the host system. Some operations, like the Fourier Transform, operate in software on the i860 processor. These have been included in IPMS even though they don't run on the ViP hardware in order to provide a complete image processing library.

4.0 Performance of the Retinal Model Implementation on the ViP Hardware

The retinal model is implemented on the system shown in Figure 11. The primary functions that the retinal model performs is noise reduction and motion detection. It represses both noise and stationary objects. It does this for multiple objects in the field of view with no increase in computational load over a single object. The model was originally coded in C and run on a Sun SPARCstation. The model runs slowly on a Sun, taking several seconds for a single 128x128 image to pass through all five layers of the model.

Since the ViP operates at a peak processing rate of 40 Megapixels per second, a 128x128 image (or layer of cells), executes a single operation such as convolution or image subtraction is 410 microseconds. Each pass of an image through the entire retinal model takes 32 ViP operations. These operations consist solely of a sequence of the following functions: convolution with a kernel, look-up table transformation of an image, addition of two images, subtraction of two images, multiplication of an image by a constant, absolute value of the difference between two images and threshold of an image. The peak frame rate for the entire retinal model using a single ViP chip set is approximately 75 frames per second, although software overhead, and slower components such as a video camera will limit this to 50 frames per second or less. The retinal model is easily pipelined so that two ViP chip sets would operate at 100 frames per second and multiple chip sets would operate at even higher rates. Images larger than 128 x 128 are readily processed at proportionally lower frame rates.

Figure 11 Retinal model implementation system diagram

A performance comparison between the Sun SPARCstation IPC software only system and the ViP is given in Table 1. The ViP controlled by dedicated software figures are projected. The Sun only and the ViP controlled by Sun software figures are measured.

Table 2. Retinal Model Processing Time per Image

Image Size	Sun Only	ViP Controlled by Sun Software	ViP Controlled by Dedicated Software
128x128	3.5 sec.	0.14 sec	0.021 sec
512x512	46.0 sec	0.36 sec	0.23 sec

All operations on the ViP chip set are initiated by software function calls on the Sun host. A message packet is sent by the host to the Balboa coprocessor board. The i860 microprocessor then reads the message packet and loads the control registers on the ViP with the correct values for the operation requested. The i860 keeps track of all layer parameters and manages the flow of images between the banks of memory. The overhead involved in message passing, interrupt processing and resource management is, at present, approximately 4 milliseconds per function call. A preliminary analysis has shown that the software overhead can be reduced to less than 250 microseconds per operation so that it is only a fraction of the ViP hardware image processing time. The times given in Table 2 for the ViP Controlled by Dedicated Software assumed the 250 microsecond overhead value. The software overhead percentage will be particularly small when the image sizes are large, such as 512 x 512. In that case the hardware processing time for a basic ViP operation is approximately 6.7 milliseconds, but the software overhead will remain at 250 microseconds.

The much faster speed of the ViP system as compared to the Sun only system greatly facilitates the investigation of the many coupling parameters and decay constants in the model. The small size of the chip set and the ease of programmability means that the chip set can be used in real time fielded systems after algorithms are developed and tested on the development system shown in Figure 11.

5.0 Future Tracking Application Systems

Target detection and tracking often suffers from poor signal-to-noise ratio, sometimes described as clutter. Visible or infrared sensors often create additional signal processing problems because the noise distribution is non-Gaussian. Active systems such as radar and ladar often have reflections from trees, buildings or hills that may be misinterpreted as targets. The effect of these problems can be reduced or eliminated by preprocessing the image through a retinal model.

Operationally, the poor signal-to-noise ratio can lead to false alarms and/or missed targets. The standard approaches used to separate the target from the noise are

21

thresholding and integration over multiple images. These techniques are usually only partially effective. In addition to thresholding and integration over images, the retina based model uses the biologically-inspired techniques of direction sensitivity and local neighborhood area of interest processing. The latter two signal processing techniques can be implemented in neural network algorithms that exploit the parallel hardware architecture of the ViP chip. The inherently parallel nature of the biologically-inspired algorithms has lead HNC to develop new, very efficient parallel hardware that can implement these algorithms in the latest VLSI technology. The combination of new algorithms and new technology make neural network approaches particularly well-suited to applications involving the detection and tracking of targets in a cluttered environment.

HNC's ViP can implement complex neural network models of the human visual system in real time. Existing convolutional processors are unable to accomplish this task in a cost effective manner. HNC's new VLSI image processing ViP chip, solved this problem with a new patented systolic array concept (US Patent # 5138695). Prior to this, models have primarily been implemented in software or have not been implemented at all because no inexpensive efficient hardware has been available to implement the large connection windows postulated in most models. The same situation exists with respect to large convolution kernels or connection windows in conventional image processing. The large increase in processing time usually encountered when the kernel size increases beyond a certain size has led researchers and users to develop their algorithms and applications with small kernels. The availability of this chip should lead neural network and image processing researchers to develop and test increasingly complex and powerful algorithms and models of vision and apply them to difficult application problems.

22

6.0 References

[1] Eeckman, F.H., Colvin, M.E., and Axelrod, T.S., ``A Retina-Like Model for Motion Detection'', International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Washington, D.C., pp. II-247 to II-249 (1989).

[2] Daugman, J., ``Complete Discrete 2-D Gabor Transforms by Neural Networks for Image Analysis and Compression'', IEEE Trans. Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 36 (1988).

[3] Hecht-Nielsen, R., ``Nearest Matched Filter Classification of Spatiotemporal Patterns'', Applied Optics 26, 1892 (1987).

[4] Kung, H.T., "Why Systolic Architecture", Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 37-46, Jan. 1982.

[5] Means, R. W., "Systolic Array Architecture of a New VLSI Vision Chip", Proceedings of the SPIE, San Diego, 1991.

[6] Grossberg, S. and Mingolla, E., "Neural Dynamics of Perceptual Grouping: Textures, Boundaries and Emergent Segmentations", Perception and Psychophysics, 38, pp. 141-171, 1985.

[7] Tessier-Lavigne, M. and Attwell, D., ``The Effect of Photoreceptor Coupling and Synapse Nonlinearity on Signal:Noise Ratio in Early Visual Processing'', Proc. R. Soc. London, Vol. B 234, pp. 171-197 (1988).

[8] Barlow, H.B. and Levick, W.R., ``The Mechanism of Directionally Selective Units in the Rabbit's Retina'', J. Physiol. (London), Vol. 178, pp. 477-504 (1965).

[9] Koch, C., Poggio, T., and Torre, V., ``Retinal Ganglion Cells. A Functional Interpretation of Dendritic Morphology'', Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, Vol. B 298, pp. 227-264 (1982).

[10] Werblin, F.S., Maguire, G., Lukasiewicz, P., Eliasof, S., and Wu, S., ``Neural Interactions Mediating Detection of Motion in the Retina of the Tiger Salamander'', Visual Neurosci., Vol. 1, pp. 317-329 (1988).