



# US Army Corps of Engineers

Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency

# FINAL ASBESTOS SAMPLING PLAN

Fort Douglas Environmental Investigation/Alternatives Analysis

> Contract Number DAAA-15-90-D-0018 Task Order 0005, Data Item A009

IC.

JUL 2 3 1992

**Prepared For** 

Commander U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5401

**Prepared By** 

R. L. Stollar & Associates Inc. URS Consultants, Inc. PTI Environmental Services Urie Environmental Health, Inc. DataChem Laboratories Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. Environmental Hazards Specialists International, Inc.

65Pg

92-15845

June 1991

042

92 6 17

### DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unfimited

425064

THAMA Form 45, 1 Jul 90

Statement A per telecon Mark Mahoney Army Toxic & Hazardous Materials Agency ATIN: CETHA-BC-A APG, MD 21010-5401 NWW 7/20/92

Aces	eston For	
NTIS	GRAAT	
<b>P</b> *40	<b>14</b> 8	n
Uine:	₫0149 <b>€ 8₫</b>	Ö
វីដោ	ification_	
By		
Dist	ribution/	
Ava	ilability (	Codes
	Aveil and	/or
Dist	Special	,
1 (	1 1	
-1-1	1	
	1 1	

#### FINAL ASBESTOS SAMPLING PLAN

#### **JUNE 1991**

#### CONTRACT NO. DAAA-15-90-D-0018 V

#### TASK ORDER 0005

#### FORT DOUGLAS ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION/ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

Prepared by:

#### R. L. STOLLAR & ASSOCIATES INC. URS CONSULTANTS, INC. PTI ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES URIE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, INC. DATACHEM LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & ENGINEERING, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS SPECIALISTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Prepared for:

#### **U.S. ARMY TOXIC AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AGENCY**

THE VIEWS, OPINIONS, AND/OR FINDINGS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT ARE THOSE OF THE AUTHOR(S) AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, POLICY, OR DECISION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER DOCUMENTATION.

THE USE OF TRADE NAMES IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL ENDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF THE USE OF SUCH COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS. THE REPORT MAY NOT BE CITED FOR PURPOSES OF ADVERTISEMENT.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 INTROE	
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	SITE HISTORY4FACILITY DESCRIPTION4ASBESTOS CHARACTERISTICS6REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS6PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS7
	1.5.1       PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT       7         1.5.2       INITIAL EI/AA SURVEY       8
2.0 DATA (	COLLECTION         12
2.1 2.2 2.3	PRELIMINARY TASKS         12           Survey         17           Sampling         18
	2.3.1Surfacing Materials222.3.2Thermal System Insulation222.3.3Miscellaneous Materials23
2.4	Analysis
2.5	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL
2.6	DATA MANAGEMENT         23
3.0 ASSESS	MENT
3.1	POTENTIAL FIBER RELEASE
3.2	EXPOSURE ANALYSIS
3.3	DETERMINATION OF THE NEED FOR ABATEMENT
3.4	SELECTION OF A CONTROL METHOD
3.5	Asbestos Report
4.0 REFER	ENCES
APPENDIX	A Certification Documents

APPENDIX B Guide for Asbestos Hazard Assessment in U.S. Army Facilities

#### LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1-1
   Summary of Preliminary Asbestos Surveys Reported in the PA
- Table 1-2
   Examples of Suspected Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) Observed During Initial

   EI/AA Site Visit
- Table 2-1
   Asbestos Survey and Sampling Summary
- Table 2-2
   Contents of Sampling Kit

#### LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1-1 Fort Douglas Location Map
- Figure 1-2 Site Plan
- Figure 2-1 Structure Locations
- Figure 2-2 Physical Assessment Data Form
- Figure 2-3 ACM Survey Data Sheet
- Figure 2-4 Log for Bulk Samples

### LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Alternatives Analysis
asbestos containing building material
asbestos containing material
Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act
American Industry Hygiene Association
Directorate of Engineering and Housing
Environmental Investigation
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.
fibers per cubic centimeter
Health and Safety Plan
high efficiency particulate air
Installation Restoration Data Management System
non commissioned officer
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Preliminary Assessment
permissible exposure limit
Quality Assurance Project Plan
quality control
R.L. Stollar and Associates
thermal system insulation
United States Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency

#### **I.0 INTRODUCTION**

This Asbestos Sampling Plan has been developed to guide an asbestos survey at Fort Douglas, Utah, (Figure 1-1) in support of an Environmental Investigation/Alternatives Analysis (EI/AA). The EI/AA is being conducted in support of the closure of approximately 51 acres of Fort Douglas, which was directed by the Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526). The U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (USATHAMA) has been assigned the responsibility for centrally managing the Fort Douglas EI/AA program. The EI/AA is designed to assess hazardous substances which are known or suspected to be present at the site and to evaluate remedial actions which may be necessary to control releases to the environment prior to transfer of Fort Douglas. The closure and realignment of Fort Douglas will result in the reassignment of its functions to other installations. Following closure, approximately 51 acres of the 119-acre installation will be declared as excess property (Figure 1-2) for public disposal. The remaining acreage will be retained by the federal government for use as a military Reserve Center.

The asbestos sampling program will be conducted at the buildings in the area of Fort Douglas to be excessed. The purpose of the sampling program is to identify all areas that may have asbestoscontaining materials (ACMs) and the type of ACMs present, delineate the extent of the ACMs, and assess the extent and condition of friable versus nonfriable ACMs and the potential for disturbance. ACMs are defined as materials containing more than one percent asbestos by weight, either alone or mixed with fibrous or non-fibrous materials. The sampling and survey results will be incorporated in an asbestos report for Fort Douglas and used to perform a risk assessment and determine if any remedial actions need to be taken. This risk assessment will focus on the buildings containing asbestos and will not be incorporated into the EI/AA risk assessment. All asbestos related activities and reports will follow the standards of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (40 CFR Part 763 Subpart E), Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act; Utah state regulations; and U.S. Army Technical Manual (TM5-612), Asbestos Control. The Asbestos Sampling Plan provides a detailed description of the sampling program, including survey and sampling procedures and the approximate number and location of the asbestos samples. A description of the overall technical program for the EI/AA is presented in the Draft Technical Plan. The Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and Health and Safety Plan (HASP) provide additional technical guidance for the field program.

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91





÷





#### 1.1 <u>SITE HISTORY</u>

Fort Douglas was established as Camp Douglas on October 26, 1862, near Salt Lake City, Utah, primarily to guard the Overland Mail route from hostile Indians and protect the lines of communication that linked the East and West Coasts. In addition, the presence of the camp served to quell any opposition to the federal government from the Mormon settlers. The camp was officially redesignated as Fort Douglas in 1878. In the first 50 years of the 20th century, Fort Douglas was used to garrison troops, house prisoners-of-war, and serve as headquarters for military units.

Original site boundaries included approximately 2,560 acres. Additional land acquisitions occurred primarily between 1867 and 1909 when Fort Douglas reached a maximum of approximately 7,900 acres.

The first structures at Fort Douglas were hastily constructed primarily of logs or adobe. In the 1870's, most of the original buildings were replaced with locally quarried red sandstone buildings, many of which remain intact today. Additional building programs were implemented primarily between 1904 and 1910, from 1928 through the 1930's, and in 1941.

In 1948, activities at Fort Douglas were curtailed to the point that the U.S. Government decided to turn over a large portion of Fort Douglas to the War Assets Administration for transfer of the property. Since this time, Fort Douglas has been used as headquarters for Reserve and National Guard units and a support detachment for military activities in the region. The present acreage of Fort Douglas is approximately 119 acres. Previously excessed properties have been transferred primarily to other government agencies and the University of Utah.

#### 1.2 FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The approximately 119-acre installation includes 117 structures, including 36 housing structures containing 61 housing units. One hundred are of permanent construction (red brick, sandstone, or concrete), in good to excellent condition, and structurally sound with an estimated life of 50 more years with proper and timely maintenance (Dames and Moore, 1991).

Approximately 36 acres of Fort Douglas, including the 4-acre post cemetery have been entered in the National Register of Historic Places. In addition, an area encompassing approximately 49 acres (incorporating most of the National Register district but excluding the cemetery) has been upgraded

to the status of a National Historic Landmark, and additional buildings were identified as historically significant.

The approximately 51-acre area to be excessed includes 69 structures (Figure 1-2). The type of structures are summarized as follows:

- Fort Douglas Military Museum;
- Administrative office building;
- Thirty-six family housing structures, containing 61 housing units;
- Three family housing structures, currently used as administrative offices;
- Eighteen detached garages;
- A chapel;
- An Officers Club, used as a community and family center;
- A Noncommissioned Officers (NCO) Club;
- An office building;
- A former gas valve building;
- A latrine;
- A swimming pool with an associated water treatment building and bath house; and
- A bandstand.

Each housing unit is identified by the building number and by a letter (a, b, or c) designating the position of the unit. The units are labeled from left to right, as identified when facing the front of the building.

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91 The structures were constructed primarily between 1874 and 1942. The gas valve building, now vacant, was constructed in 1954. Eight of the detached garages were built in 1972. A swimming pool that was rebuilt in 1988 is also to be excessed. Much of the area to be excessed is within the National Historic Landmark area, and most of the buildings are included in the National Register of Historical Places.

#### 1.3 ASBESTOS CHARACTERISTICS

ACMs are suspected to be present in every building in the area to be excessed. The buildings were primarily constructed between 1874 and 1942. Before 1945, asbestos was used primarily as thermal system insulation (TSI) to insulate pipes or boilers. Between 1945 and 1970, asbestos was used in hundreds of products, including cement panels or wallboard (transite), floor and ceiling tiles, surfacing materials, roof felting or shingles, and outdoor siding.

Asbestos is a naturally occurring mineral. Asbestos crystals form long, thin fibers. When rock containing asbestos is processed, the asbestos divides into numerous microscopic fibers. Inhalation of asbestos fibers can cause adverse health effects, resulting in asbestosis, a scarring of the lung; lung cancer, a malignant tumor of the bronchi covering; and mesothelioma, a cancer of the lining of the chest or the lining of the abdominal wall.

ACMs can be distinguished as nonfriable or friable. Friable ACMs can be crushed to a powder by hand pressure. Fibers may be readily released to the air from friable ACM; however, nonfriable ACM can also release fibers if damaged or disturbed.

#### 1.4 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Federal regulations pertaining to asbestos are included under the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Subpart M, the National Emission Standard for Asbestos; and the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986 (AHERA), which addresses the identification, evaluation, and control of ACMs in elementary and secondary schools. Regulation of asbestos exposure in the occupational environment is the responsibility of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Utah state regulations administered by the Utah Bureau of Air Quality include Asbestos Work Practices and Contractor Certification, Section 8, Utah Air Conservation Regulations. Under these

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91

,

regulations, specific work practices are to be followed and persons handling ACMs or education agencies responsible for these persons are required to be certified. The Utah Occupational Safety and Health Division administers standards for occupational exposure to asbestos. These regulations are the same as the OSHA standards. The Salt Lake City-County Health Department has local asbestos regulations and requires certification by the county for persons involved in asbestos projects.

The asbestos survey at Fort Douglas will be conducted in accordance with the applicable regulations described above as well as standards in the U.S. Army Technical Manual for asbestos control (TM5-612). All personnel involved in the survey will be certified as required.

OSHA requirements for general industry are published in 29 CFR 1910.1001. The occupational standard establishes permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 0.2 fibers per cubic centimeter (f/cc) of air averaged over an 8-hour day, and an action level of 0.1 f/cc averaged over 8 hours. If the action level is exceeded, compliance activities such as air monitoring, employee training, and medical surveillance generally are required. To the extent feasible, engineering and work practice controls generally are used to reduce employee exposure to below PEL. TB MED-513 and AR 200-1, Chapter 10, provide specific exposure guidance for Army personnel. As stated in TB MED-513, soldiers, employees, and family members will not be nonoccupationally exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos that exceed the greater of the outdoor ambient concentration or the minimum level detectable by the method specified in 29 CFR 1910.1001.

#### 1.5 PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS

Previous asbestos investigations at Fort Douglas have been limited in scope. As part of an Enhanced Preliminary Assessment (PA), some of the buildings were surveyed for asbestos. Photographs were taken; however, no samples were collected. Prior to the PA, the Army sampled suspected ACMs from four buildings. These samples were analyzed and confirmed the presence of asbestos. An initial site visit conducted as part of the EI/AA in March, 1991, indicated that suspected ACMs were present in most of the buildings entered.

#### 1.5.1 PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

The PA identified asbestos as requiring environmental evaluation (Weston, 1989). Exposure to asbestos could occur through the air pathway, primarily to occupants of the Fort Douglas buildings. During a site visit conducted as part of the PA, four of the family housing structures were surveyed

(Buildings 8, 17, 25, 62), and all were suspected to contain asbestos insulation around hot water pipes located in the basement. In some areas, insulation was observed to be cracked and broken. The NCO Club, Officers Club, bath house, water treatment building, and swimming pool were also surveyed. Asbestos insulation was suspected to be present on hot water pipes in the NCO Club, Officers Club, and the swimming pool bath house. The PA reported that asbestos siding may be present in the chapel and asbestos may be a component of the shingles of some buildings, including Building 20 and a storage area near Building 234.

Prior to the PA, the Army conducted limited sampling in four buildings in the area of Fort Douglas to be excessed (Buildings 8, 15A, 18C, and 32) and confirmed the presence of asbestos in material covering the pipes in all four buildings (Weston, 1989). As part of an ongoing program at Fort Douglas, the pipe insulation in some of the buildings has been wrapped to reduce the potential for release of asbestos. A summary of the structures in the area of Fort Douglas to be excessed and type of ACMs suspected in these structures is presented in Table 1-1.

#### 1.5.2 INITIAL EI/AA SURVEY

As part of the planning process for the asbestos program, an initial site visit and building walkthrough were conducted. Sixteen buildings were entered; suspected ACMs were observed in fifteen of the buildings. Types of suspected ACM observed included pipe and duct insulation, sprayed and pressed fiber ceilings, siding, wall board, shingles, and floor tile. Table 1-2 summarizes the locations and types of suspected ACMs in each building.

Structure Number	Current Use	Date of Construction	Number of Housing Units	Square Footage of Structure	Type of Suspected ACM
1	NCO Quarters	1910	2	5 918	NS
2	NCO Quarters	1884	2	8 196	NS
3	Officers Quarters	1931	ĩ	4 052	NS
4	Administrative Offices	1875	-	8,144	NS
5	Administrative Offices	1904	_	17.640	NS
6	Officers Quarters	1875	2	7.798	NS
7	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9.456	NS
8	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9.532	Pipe insulation*
9	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9.422	NS
10	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9 348	NS
11	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9 422	NS
12	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9 422	NS
13	Officers Quarters	1875	$\tilde{\tilde{2}}$	9 584	NS
14	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,362	NS
15	Officers Quarters	1875	2	8 172	Pine insulation*
16	NCO Quarters	1884	2	9 104	NS
17	NCO Quarters	1884	2	9 104	Pine insulation
18	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9 996	Pine insulation*
19	Officers Quarters	1875	3	8 223	NS
20	Officers Quarters	1875	1	8 501	Roof shingles
21	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4 186	NS
22	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4 186	NS
23	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,100	NS
24	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,100	NS
25	Officers Quarters	1031	1	4,186	Pine insulation
31	Administrative Offices	1931	1	8 146	NS
32	Musaum	1976	_	0,140	Dina insulation*
37	Officer	1019	-	2,095 A17	NC
30	Latrine	1910	-	600	NC
33 A1	Earmar Gas Valve Building	1070	-	207	NS
48	Post Chapel	1994	_	2 704	Siding
40	Officers Club	1976	-	10.054	Pine insulation
50	Detached Corages	1022	-	10,034	NC
51	Detached Coroges	1952	-	979	NC
57	NCO Questore	1931	-	2 200	IND NIC
52	NCO Quarters	1900	1	2,309	NS
53	NCO Quarters	1910	1	2,200	Dine insulation
54	Administrative Officer	1733	-	7,122	ripe insulation
55 44	NCO Questore	10/4	-	2,101	IND NC
50 67	NCO Quarters	1710	2	2,710	INO NIC
51	INCO Quarters	1310	2	4,028	IND

### Table 1-1 Summary of Preliminary Asbestos Surveys Reported in the PA

\* Confirmed by sampling. NS - Not Surveyed

FD1-ASP.TB1

Square Number of Footage Structure Date of Housing of Number Current Use Construction Units Structure	
	Type of Suspected ACM
58 NCO Quarters 1930 2 3,590	NS
59 NCO Quarters 1917 1 1,409	NS
60 NCO Quarters 1930 2 3,216	NS
61 NCO Quarters 1891 1 1,859	NS
62 NCO Quarters 1891 1 1,878	<b>Pipe</b> insulation
63 NCO Quarters 1891 1 1,878	NS
64 NCO Quarters 1930 2 3,216	NS
65 NCO Quarters 1930 2 3,216	NS
66 NCO Quarters 1900 2 4,396	NS
69 Detached Garages 1917 - 473	Siding
350 Bath House 1937 - 2,034	Pipe insulation
351Water Treatment Building1937-64	NS

### Table 1-1 Summary of Preliminary Asbestos Surveys Reported in the PA (continued)

NS - Not Surveyed

Building No.	Туре	Suspected ACM Observed
4	Marines/Educ.	Pipe insulation, wallboard
5	<b>Readiness</b> Group	Fiber ceilings, pipe insulation
12b	Duplex	Pipe insulation
18c	Single	Pipe insulation
20	Single	Pipe insulation, shingles
22	Single	Pipe insulation
32	Museum	Pipe insulation
48	Chapel	Siding
49	Military Club	Pressed fiber ceiling, wallboard, sprayed
		ceiling, pipe insulation, duct insulation
54	Former NCO Club	Sprayed ceilings, pressed fiber ceilings, siding,
•		pipe insulation
55	Single	Floor tile
58a	Duplex	Pipe insulation
59	Single	Pipe insulation
64b	Duplex	Floor tile, pipe insulation
350	Bath House	None
569	Garage	Siding

# Table 1-2Examples of Suspected Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) Observed During Initial<br/>EI/AA Site Visit

#### 2.0 DATA COLLECTION

The survey, sampling, and analysis program has been designed to locate and identify ACMs and assess the extent, condition, and potential for disturbance. Resulting data will be used to assess the potential for exposure to asbestos fibers and the need for abatement. The asbestos field program will be conducted by personnel certified by the EPA and Salt Lake City and County. R.L. Stollar and Associates (Stollar) will be certified by the Utah Department of Health as the project operator. The program is designed in accordance with AHERA and Army methods and procedures. When the regulations differ, the more conservative approach will be used for the Fort Douglas program.

Fifty-two structures will be surveyed for asbestos. The structures are listed in Table 2-1; their locations are identified on Figure 2-1.

### 2.1 PRELIMINARY TASKS

Prior to beginning the data collection, building plans will be reviewed, and Fort Douglas occupants will be notified of the asbestos program through the Fort Douglas Directorate of Engineering and Housing (DEH). Building records will be reviewed for the specification of ACMs. Arrangements will be made with the Fort Douglas DEH to coordinate access to the buildings.

All personnel conducting the survey and sampling will complete necessary certifications. Certification documents for personnel that will be involved in the Fort Douglas asbestos program are included in Appendix A. Applications for Utah Department of Health/Bureau of Air Quality and Salt Lake City-County Health Department certifications have been submitted. Copies of the work plan packages including the Asbestos Sampling Plan, the Technical Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), the Health and Safety Plan, and TM5-612 will be distributed to all field personnel. An orientation will be conducted to familiarize the team with the site, the sampling and survey program, and the QA and health and safety protocols established for the investigation. Each sampling team will be included in each sampling kit.

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91

Structure Number	Current Use	Date of Construction	Number of Housing Units	Square Footage of Structure
1	NCO Ouarters	1910	2	5.918
2	NCO Quarters	1884	2	8,196
3	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,052
4	Administrative Offices	1875	-	8,144
5	Administrative Offices	1904	-	17,640
6	Officers Quarters	1875	2	7,798
7	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,456
8	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,532
9	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,422
10	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,348
11	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,422
12	Officers Ouarters	1875	2	9,422
13	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,584
14	Officers Quarters	1875	2	9,362
15	Officers Ouarters	1875	2	8,172
16	NCO Quarters	1884	2	9,104
17	NCO Quarters	1884	2	9,104
18	Officers Quarters	1875	3	9,996
19	Officers Quarters	1875	3	8,223
20	Officers Quarters	1875	1	8,501
21	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,186
22	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,186
23	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,186
24	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,186
25	Officers Quarters	1931	1	4,186
31	Administrative Offices	1876	-	8,146
32	Museum	1876	-	9,693
37	Offices	1918	-	417
39	Latrine	1876	-	600
41	Former Gas Valve Building	1954	-	207
48	Post Chapel	1884	-	2,704
49	Officers Club	1876	-	10,054
50	Detached Garages	1932	-	590
51	Detached Garages	1931	-	878
52	NCO Quarters	1900	1	2,309
53	NCO Quarters	1910	1	2,260
54	NCO Club	1933	-	7,722
55	Administrative Offices	1874	-	2,181
56	NCO Quarters	1916	2	3,916
57	NCO Quarters	1916	2	4,028
58	NCO Quarters	1930	2	3,590
59	NCO Quarters	1917	1	1,409
60	NCO Quarters	1930	2	3,216
61	NCO Quarters	1891	1	1,859

### Table 2-1 Asbestos Survey and Sampling Summary

FD1-ASP.TB1

.

Structure Number	Current Use	Date of Construction	Number of Housing Units	Square Footage of Structure
62	NCO Quarters	1891	1	1,878
63	NCO Quarters	1891	1	1,878
64	NCO Quarters	1930	2	3,216
65	NCO Quarters	1930	2	3,216
66	NCO Quarters	1900	2	4,396
69	Detached Garages	1917	-	473
350	Bath House	1937	-	2,034
351	Water Treatment Building	1937	-	64

### Table 2-1 Asbestos Survey and Sampling Summary (continued)





	EXPLANATION Fort Douglas boundary
1 11 11 W	NI
	-14-
CONTINC	
	0 400 800
	FEET
	R.L. STOLLAR & ASSOCIATES INC. Ground-Water Consultants
	Structure Locations
	Fort Douglas Prepared for:
	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers USATHAMA
	Date: June 1991 Flaure 2-1

#### Table 2-2Contents of Sampling Kit

- Plastic squeeze bottle containing water and a wetting agent. The wetting agent can be a 5 percent soap solution (a few drops of liquid soap in water).
- Plastic bags for sample collection.
- Tweezers, cork bores, and knives as aids for taking a sample.
- Container labels for identifying samples.
- Sample log, assessment, survey data, chain-of-custody forms.
- Logbook.
- Tape measure, clipboard, and pens.
- Calculator.
- Paper towels for wiping sampling tools clean.
- Caulking gun and compound for filling holes after sample extraction.
- Duct tape.
- Marker with indelible ink.
- Disposable latex gloves for hand protection.
- Plastic bags for disposal of excess debris and used protective equipment.
- Protective eyewear for overhead sampling.
- Tyvek coveralls.
- Disposable drop cloth.
- Half-face piece air purifying respirator with high efficiency particulate air. (HEPA) filter cartridges.
- Ladder for sampling out-of-reach areas.
- Flashlights.
- Building keys.
- Camera for photographing suspect areas.

#### 2.2 <u>Survey</u>

AHERA requires the inspection and assessment of asbestos containing building materials (ACBMs) excluding materials installed outside a building, such as roofing felt and siding, and all fabric materials. Army regulations do not make this distinction and require inspection of all ACMs. Both regulations require that all areas of each building be inspected to identify locations of all friable and nonfriable suspected ACM (or ACBM), and determine friability by touching the suspected material. According to both regulations, an assessment of the physical condition of friable known or assumed ACM (or ACBM) will be made. Army regulations also require the identification of the location and condition of nonfriable ACM (TM5-612, Chapter 5, paragraph 5-2.a.).

Floor plans will be used to divide building areas into functional spaces. Functional spaces are defined for this study as spacially distinct as units within a building which can contain human populations and/or spaces designed to transport air to or from human populations. Functional spaces include mechanical spaces such as attics, air plenums, elevator shafts, and machine rooms; common areas including hallways, stairwells, meeting rooms, garages; living/working areas such as offices, classrooms, rooms in an apartment or house; and special use areas such as kitchens, dining rooms, laundry rooms, athletic facilities. Each functional space within each building will be assigned a unique number for the purposes of the survey.

The survey will proceed by beginning at the lowest floor and working up through the highest floor. Every functional space will be examined to look for suspect materials. Three types of ACMs may be present: (1) thermal system insulation (TSI), including pipe wrap, all block insulation, all cements and pipe-fitting muds, and all gasket materials; (2) surfacing materials that include textured walls, ceilings, and structural members with sprayed or troweled on ACM and plaster and fireproofing insulation; (3) miscellaneous materials, which primarily include floor and ceiling tiles, transite wallboard and exterior materials such as roofing felt and siding. TSI that has retained its structural integrity and has an undamaged protective jacket or wrap will be treated as nonfriable.

The survey will be nondestructive in nature. Structural units such as walls or floors will not be removed to check for ACM; however, moveable objects such as ceiling tiles and furniture will be displaced when needed in order to completely examine each functional space. All potential ACM surfaces will be examined for friability. The location and description of all suspect materials assumed to be ACM will be recorded. The approximate amount of the material will be determined, and the condition and potential for disturbance assessed. This information will be recorded on an assessment

form. Figure 2-2 is an example assessment form. The location of all suspect materials will be delineated on floor plans.

Homogeneous areas for suspect materials will also be delineated. A homogeneous area is defined as an area containing materials that are uniform in texture and appearance, were installed at the same time, and are unlikely to consist of more than one type or formulation of mix. If several floors or buildings have homogeneous materials, these may be grouped as a single homogeneous area. An ACM survey data sheet, similar to that shown in Figure 2-3, will be filled out for each homogeneous sampling area.

#### 2.3 SAMPLING

AHERA requires bulk sampling of friable suspect ACBM or the assumption that the suspected material contains asbestos. Army regulations require the sampling of both friable and nonfriable ACM. For the Fort Douglas program, bulk samples will se collected from suspect ACMs after delineating homogeneous areas.

For each sampling area, a diagram will be prepared that shows all suspect ACM in the sampling area and includes building number, description of the sampling area and location sampled, sample identification numbers, name of the inspector, and dates of inspection, sample collection, and diagram preparation. Sample locations will be marked with the sample identification number, and the location will be photographed. Random 'ocations will be sampled for each homogeneous area. The number of samples collected from each nomogeneous area will depend on the size of the area and the type of ACM (surfacing materials, TSI, miscellaneous materials). This number will be calculated following HERA guidelines as discussed in the following sections. Approximately 664 bulk samples will be collected during the asbestos field program. A unique sample number will be assigned to each sample location. This number will be recorded on the sampling area diagram and on a log for bulk samples (Figure 2-4).

Sampling techniques will be designed to minimize the release of asbestos fibers into the air. Prior to sampling, the location will be wetted. Once saturated, the sample will be cut from areas where the ACM is exposed or damaged, edges of floor or ceiling tiles, or from small holes in protected insulation near seams. After sampling, the friable area will be encapsulated using tape, caulking, or acrylic/adhesive. During sampling, respirators with HEPA filters will be utilized, and latex gloves, safety goggles, and Tyvek coveralls will be worn to minimize exposure to the asbestos. Uncoated

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91

	Form #	nimal: (0) Nono * far? : 25 ft No rout. maint. 25 ft No rout. maint. (0) No * walls Medium: (0) Low Medium: (0) None (0) No percept air 0) No percept air 0) No percept air (1) No percept air (1) None ************************************	Assessment Data Form
INSPECTOR: DATE:	KPE OF SUSPECT MATERIAL: JRFACING TSI OTHER	Part I: DAMAGE/RISK High: (4) Moderate: (2) Low: (1) Mini- y score the one with the highest rating. (Max 3 pts). How parel contam.: (2) $1_{2}75$ ft. (1) $25$ ft. (0) ne maint. 7 : (3) ceiling panel contam.: (1) Yes; Boilor and/or pipos: (3) HVAC: (4) Ceilings or Medium. (2) Low: 210 ft (5) High; (3) 1 Medium. (2) Low: 210 ft (5) High; (3) 1 Part 1I: EXPOSURE Part 1I: EXPOSURE Part 1I: EXPOSURE $12^{0}$ (3) $30c\%_{5}50;$ (5) $550\%;$ NO HAZARD all for $12^{0}$ (1) Art supply-Fiber pole (1) Smooth (1) Smooth (1) Art supply-Fiber pole filent or abrupt air mvmt: (2) Exposed to percept air, um-occasional vibes: (0) Low-admin office, classroo rface: (1) Smooth continuous surface: (0-4) Uni- ho highest rating. check all that apply (Max of 4 pis): (1) Suspend ceiling: (2) Encapsulation: (3) Railing 5%; (2) 255%550; (3) 500%575; (4) 755 ops200; (3)2015Pop5500; (4)5015Pop51000;	Figure 2-2 Physical A
BASE: Fort Douglas BLDG NO:	FUNCTIONAL SPACE:	<ul> <li>Physkial Damago, Visiblo evidenco: [5]</li> <li>Walter Damage: (3) Yes; (0) No</li> <li>Proximity to theme for Repair. If both a. and b. applation. Proximity to theme for Repair. (3) &lt;1 ft or colling by: [7]</li> <li>Pipe, Bolker, or Duct insulation, Damage by routing by: [6]</li> <li>Potential for Contact: *&lt;10 ft* [8] High; [5]</li> <li>Asbestos Content, % with highest prob: [11] 1</li> <li>Potential for Contact: *&lt;10 ft* [8] High; [5]</li> <li>Asbestos Content, % with highest prob: [11] 1</li> <li>Potential for Contact: *&lt;10 ft* [1] 1</li> <li>Asbestos Content, % with highest prob: [1] 1</li> <li>Astensis (2) Total [1] [3]</li> <li>Astensis (2) High: [3]</li> <li>Astensis (2]</li> <li>Astensis (2]<td></td></li></ul>	

i

MALLATION.     MONOCINTICAL     SACE     LOANE       Clearer     Train     District     District     District     District       Clearer     Train     District     District     District     District     District       Clearer     District     District     District     District     District     District     District       District     District     District     District     District     District     District     District       District     District     District     District     District     District     District     District       District     District     District     District     District<	MARKURDIN:     INDUCEDING SPECE     OWE       Cleaner     Trans     ENCLIPTION     FUNCTIONAL       Cleaner     Trans     ENCLIPTION     ENCLIPTION       Cleaner     Trans     ENCLIPTION     ENCLIPTION       Cleaner     Encliption     Encliption     Encliption       Cleaner	PACILITY PORT DOUG	as But	DING: DEMEDIIC MAT	ED I AI		5	ERATIC					
Activity     FUNCTIONAL SPACE LOCATIONS     FUNCTIONAL SPACE LOCATIONS       Clearer     Train     Direct	Closing Count     Tage Tage Constrained	EVALUATOR:		UGENEUUS MAI				1			DATE		
Ciellin     Tree:     Discreting     <	Cleared     Tree:     Description     Main       Cleared     Tree:     Description     Main       Cleared     Description     Description     Main       Cleared     Description     Description     Main       Cleared     Description     Description     Description       Description     Description     <	ACM APPLIED TO:	FUN	CTIONAL SPAC	E LOCATI	ONS					FORM #:		
Concerted     Concerted     Filt       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State     Image: State       Image: State     Image: State     Image: State<	Control	Celline Type		Shepe		DESCRIPTION OF MJ	ATERIAL:						
Obust Carrow Jan R, Barn     Clower J, Sin R, Sin Clower J, Sin R, Sin Clower J, Sin R,	<ul> <li></li></ul>	Concrete Tile		DFlat DFolded Plate VM			1		Silar Ten	k Ductwor	k Structure	Wells	Other
Concrute Joints     Concrute Joints <td< td=""><td>Concerts viats a ferral literial Concerts viats a ferral literial Successed statis     Other literial Concerts viats a ferral literial Concerts viats a ferral literial     Other literial Concerts viats a ferral literial       District statis     Other literial     Other literial     Other literial     Other literial     Other literial       District statis     Other literial     Other literial     Other literi</td><td>Diversit Deci</td><td></td><td>C</td><td></td><td>Type of ACM</td><td></td><td>╀╴</td><td>╞</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Concerts viats a ferral literial Concerts viats a ferral literial Successed statis     Other literial Concerts viats a ferral literial Concerts viats a ferral literial     Other literial Concerts viats a ferral literial       District statis     Other literial     Other literial     Other literial     Other literial     Other literial       District statis     Other literial     Other literial     Other literi	Diversit Deci		C		Type of ACM		╀╴	╞				
Discussioned kut kind Stansmed kut kind Discussioned kut kind Discussioned kut kind Discussioned kut kind Discussioned kut kind Discussioned kut kind Discussioned kind Discuss	Descrived data this     Descrived data this       Descrived data this     Descrived data       Descrived data this     Descrived data       Descrived data this     Descrived data       As a this     Descrived data       Descrived data this     Descrived data       As a this     Descrived data       Descrived data     Descr	Concrete J Corrupted	ioists & Beams I Steel	0 Bernel 777		Spreyed-on 2	Troweled-or			Block Type	5 Cementiti	8	iñer
Distant Barrot di Lavia Panai     Distant Barrot     Distant Barrot     Distant Barrot     Distant Barrot     Distant     Dista	Discretion function     Discretion function     Discretion function       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention       District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District intervention     District interventintervention		Metal Lathe			Sq. or linear feet		┢	┝				
Determinant         Determinant <thdeterminant< th=""> <thdeterminant< th=""></thdeterminant<></thdeterminant<>	Determining     Determining       Determining     Determining       NEULATION     Determining       NEULATION     Determining       NEULATION     Determining       Determining	Supended	Lay-In Panels			Thickness (in.)							
Instruction     Instruction     Instruction     Instruction     Instruction       Instruction     Instruction     Instruction <td< td=""><td>No. of Linking       No. o</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Diameter (In.)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	No. of Linking       No. o					Diameter (In.)							
Balt         Loos till         Binist         Transfirts         Stadlige/street           Distruction         Distruction <td>Bellet Intervention         Intervention         District         Devention         District         <thdistrit< th="">         District         Distric</thdistrit<></td> <td>INSULATION</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>No. of littings</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Bellet Intervention         Intervention         District         Devention         District         District <thdistrit< th="">         District         Distric</thdistrit<>	INSULATION				No. of littings							
Determined     Eventifyer     Nonityer     Nonityer     Nonityer       Determined     Determined     Eventification     Eventification       Determined     Eventification     Eventification     Eventification       Type of float     Eventification     Eventification     Eventification       Type of float     Eventification     Eventification     Eventification       Type of float     Eventification     Eventification     Eventification       Type of the molecon     Eventification     Eventification     Eventification       Type of the molecon     Eventification     Eventification     Eventification       Type of Lights     Even	Detail     Evaluation     Evaluation     Evaluation     Evaluation       Doursease     Doursease     Evaluation     Evaluation     Evaluation       Doursease     Doursease     Unitedinity Shinghi, Rooning Fail, Wall Beard, Faul, and     Vibration     Evaluation       Down     Evaluation     Unitedinity Shinghi, Rooning Fail, Wall Beard, Faul, and     Vibration     Vibration     Vibration       Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Evaluation     Vibration     Vibration       Evaluation     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Vibration     Vibration       No. of Lights     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion       No. of Lights     Collable     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion       No. of Lights     Collable     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion       No. of Lights     Collable     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion       No. of Lights     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion       No. of Lights     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion       Addition     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion     Diversion	Loose (iii Bi	anket Thermal B	Irick Sheeting	Other	Condition: Good/Fair/Poor							
Durithered     Durithered     Construction     Const	Doutreed     Doutreed     Control of the internation o	C Boller Tank				Friability: NON/		╎	-				
Constraint     Continue and members     Uniformity:     Uniformity:       Colore     Telocritil, Shingter, Recolling Fail, Wail Board, Parel, atc.)     Wash damage:       Colore     Type of Topo     Concrise     Concrise       FWN IRONAEMERTAL CONTIDUES:     Wash damage:     Vash Molification       FWN IRONAEMERTAL CONTIDUES:     Wash damage:     Vash Molification       FWN IRONAEMERTAL CONTIDUES:     Concrise     Concrise     Concrise       FWN IRONAEMERTAL CONTIDUES:     Wash damage:     Vash Molification     Vash Molification       Types of Topo     Concrise     Concrise of the Internation     Vash Molification     Vash Molification       Rue of tentiliciton     Concrise of tentiliciton     Concrise of tentiliciton     Vash Molification     Vash Molification       Activition     Continue     Constitution     Constitution     Constitution     Vash Molification       Activition     Continue     Constitution     Constitution     Vash Molification     Vash Molification       Activition     Constitution     Constitution     Constitution     Vash Molification     Vash Molification       Activition     Constitution     Constitution     Vash Molification     Vash Molification     Vash Molification       Activition     Constitution     Construction     Vash Molification     Vash	Christerated menteri     Colorerured menteri     Verlistemi/Fri     Verlistemi/Fri       Orber     Telocriti     Singler, Rocing Fil, Wall Board, Faral, etc.)     Verlistemi/Fri       Priver Information     External     External     External       Type of flow     Concrition     Concrition     Concrition       Type of flow     Concrition     Concrition     Concrition       Type of flow     Concrition     Concrition     Concrition       Type of fulliation     Surface     Concrition     Concrition       Type of sulfaciencienciencienciencienciencienciencien	Duetwork				Low/Moderate/High		╉					
Image: Constant Shinghar, Fronting Fail, Wall Board, Pand, etc.)     VailNolSources     VailNolSources       Image: Constant C	Differ     Meal	<b>Binewal members</b>				Uniformity: Yei/No							
Obter     Theoritis, Shingar, Realing Feit, Wail Board, Farel, atc.)     Variation State       WirtROMMENTAL CONDITIONS:     Year, Mail Sourd, Farel, atc.)     Variation demeat:       Type of floer     District     District     District       Type of legition     District     District     District       Type of sentilien rytum     District     District     District       Confirmation but sentile     Analysis     District     District       Confirmation but sentile     Analysis     District     District       Confirmation but sentile     No     No     District       Confirmation but sentile     District     No     District       Confirmation but sented     District     District     Distr	Obber Telocriti, Shingke, Rooling Feit, Wail Board, Parel, etc.)     VerificiSource     VerificiSource       Type of free:     Concrist:     The Owod     Correct       Type of willingen rytem     Concrist:     Date of the Owod     Correct       Type of willingen rytem     Concrist:     Date of the Owod     Correct       Type of willingen rytem     Concrist:     Date of the Owod     Correct       Type of willingen rytem     Confirmation but service     Facultic     Taxter:       Ackid barbin and leader in proximity to of phone     Confirmation but service     Date of the Owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the of the Owod     Date of the of the Owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the of the of the Owod     Date of the of the of the owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the owod     Date of the owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the owod     Date of the owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the owod     Date of the owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the owod     Date of the owod       Confirmation but service     Date of the owod     Date	N=N ()						╀					
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS:     The indicating demansi     Vibricing demansi       Type of floer     Cancerate     Divides     Cancerate       Type of lighting     Divides     Divides     Cancerate     Divides       Type of lighting     Divides     Divides     Divides     Divides       Type of lighting     Divides     Divides     Divides     Divides       Type of transition     Divides     Divides     Divides     Divides       Abbit on float     Divides     Divides     Divides     Divides       Abbit on	ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS:     Type of floer     Vibrological     Vibrological       Type of floer     Discrete     Title     Divocd     Concrete     Title       Type of floer     Concrete     Title     Divocd     Concrete     Title       Type of floer     Concrete     Divocd     Concrete     Divocd     Concrete     Divocd       Type of lighting     Divocd     Surgended     Reacted     Reacted     Concrete     Divocd       Type of ventilied     Divocd     Title     Divocd     Concrete     Divocd     Divocd       Type of ventilied     Divocd     Divocd     Reactilie     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd       Confine     Divocd     Divocd     Reactilie     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd       Confine     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd       Confine     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd       Divocd     Divocd     Divocd     Divocd	Other [Floortile, Shingles, F	tooling Fell, Wall B	oard, Panel, etc.)		Yes/No/Source							
Type of lighting     Concise IT II     Divect Concise IT II     Divect Concise IT II       Type of lighting     Concise IT II     Divect Concise IT II     Divect Concise IT II       Type of lighting     Concise IT II     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT       No. of Lighti     Concise IT     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT       No. of Lighti     Concise IT     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT       No. of Lighti     Concise IT     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT       No. of Lighti     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT       No. of Lighti     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT     Divect Concise IT       Conditionation but semple no.     Results     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It       Conditionation but semple no.     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It       Conditionation but semple no.     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It       Conditionation but settle     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It       Divect Construction Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It       Divect Construction Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It     Divect Concise It       Divect Construction Divect Concise It     Di	Type of these     Concrete					Vibration demans:							
Type as lighting     Discrete     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       No. of Light     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       No. of Light     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       No. of Light     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       DNo     Discreted     Revuision     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       DNo     Discreted     Revuision     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       DNo     Discreted     Revuision     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       DNo     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted     Discreted       Discreted     Discreted     Dis	Type all inflore     Distriction     Distriction <td< td=""><td>ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITI</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes/No/Source</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITI				Yes/No/Source							
No. of Liphi       No. of Liphi       No. of Liphi	No. of Lghis       No. of Lghis       Terture       Coodification prime       Instanta         Type of ventilation prime       Terture       Terture       Terture       Terture         Condition prime       Reaulta       Reaulta       Terture       Terture         Conditionation but sample no.       Reaulta       Reaulta       Terture         Conditionation but sample no.       Reaulta       Reaulta       Terture         Conditionation but sample no.       Reaulta       Levered       Pertification         Conditionation but sample no.       Ino       Ven       Ven         Conditionation but sample no.       Ino       Ven       Ven         Conditionation       In a value       Ino       Ven       Ven         Conditionation       In ven       Ven       Ven       Ven         Unitive molecencie       In ven       Ven       Ven       Ven         Unitive molecencie       In no.       Ven       Ven       Ven         Net.       Ven       Ven       Ven       Ven       Ven         Unitiend but sample no.       In no.       Ven       Ven       Ven       Ven         Ven       Ven       Ven       Ven       Ven       Ven	Type of lighting				Adherion te underivine aurianet			 		•		
Type of ventiletion rytem       Textures:       Textures:       Textures:       Textures:         ACM debts on floor, furniture, soutpment, or other auface       Environ:       Enviro	Trans of vanillation ryrium       Tarkurei       Tark	No. of Lights				Good/Moderate/Poor				_			
ACM dable on floor, furniture, couloment, or other auticon       Constantious, couloment, or other auticon         ONo       D'va       If yes, dreatib         DNo       D'va       VerNo/Describs         DNo       D'va       VerNo/Describs         Unility maintenent in sea       DNo       VerNo/Describs         VerNo/Describs       No/No/Describs       No/No/Describs         Unility maintenence froguency       Distribut, etc.       Distribut, etc.         Unility maintenence froguency       Distribut, etc.       Distribut, etc.         Annostion subersition       Distribut, etc.       Distribut, etc.         Distribut, preamine the stantibut       Distribut, etc.       Distribut, etc.         Uniliteration by public       Distribut, preamine the stantion       Distribut, preamine et eterinit, hereteteteribit	ACM daths on floor, function, equipment, or other surfaces       Floor States on floor, function, for the second states on floor surfaces       Floor States on floor, for the second states on floor states of floor states on floor states of floor states of floor states of floor states of floor states on floor states of floor states	Type of ventiletion system				Texture:							
Continuation back semple not.     Reaults     Reaults     Reaults     Reaults     Reaults       CM is subject to direct sit rinsam or h located in prostimity to air phonen     No/Oberciba     No/Oberciba     No/Oberciba       CM is subject to direct sit rinsam or h located in prostimity to air phonen     No     Vest. No/Oberciba     No       CM is subject to direct sit     I vest, denciba     In     Vest.No/Oberciba     No       Mechinary or equipment in area     In     Vest.No/Oberciba     No     No       Vest.No/Oberciba     No     Vest.No/Oberciba     No     No       Vest.No/Oberciba     No     Vest.No/Oberciba     No     No       Vest.No/Oberciba     No     No     No     No       Vest.No/O	Continue to the service of the sector of	ACM debris on these, furnitum	b, equipment, or all	wr wrfaon		Fibrous/Cementitious Granular/Concrete-like							
CM Is ableted to direct of random or la located in proximity to air planum       Yea:/No/Obecilia         DNo       DYas       If yea, describa         DNo       DYas       If yea, describa         Machinery or equipment in area       DNo       DYas         If Yea, describa       DNo       DYas         Machinery or equipment in area       DNo       DYas         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:       DNo       DYas         Utility mainteners frequency       DNo       DYas         Utility mainteners frequency       Doe       D         Life-ycla propection for structure       Do.       D       D         Ranoution exhodule (part, pream), future dama)       Differencements       Numerals       Differencements         Utilitation by public       Descriment       Differencements       Differencements       Differencements         Unitiliaation by public       Descriments       Differencements       Differencements       Differencements         Unitiliaation by public       Descriments       Differencements       Differencements       Differencements         Difference       Difference       Differencements       Differencements       Differencements         Difference       Difference       Differencements       Differencements       D	ACM is subject to direct air riteam or is lecared in proximity to air phorum       Veri/No/Describe       Veri/No/Describe         Divo       Uver       1 yer, describe       No       Veri/No/Describe         Mechinary er equipment in area       Divo       Veri/No/Describe       No       No         If yer, describe       No       Veri/No/Describe       No       No       No         If yer, describe       Divo       No       Veri/No/Describe       No       No         Veri/No/Describe       No       No       No       No       No       No         Veri/No/Describe       No	Confirmation bulk temple return	Reutice			1. ACM covered?							
Image: Construction of the image of the image.	In I yer, describe     In Yer, describe     In Yer, describe       Machinery or equipment in sea     In In Sea     In In Sea       Machinery or equipment in sea     In In Sea     In In Sea       Machinery or equipment in sea     In In Sea     In In Sea       PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:     In In Sea     In In Sea       PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:     Buili sample on In Sea     In In Sea       PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:     In In Sea     In In Sea       Utility maintenace frequency     In In Sea     In In Sea       Utility maintenace frequency     In In Sea     In In Sea       Utility maintenace frequency     In In Sea     In In Sea       Utility maintenace frequency     In In In Sea     In In Sea       Utilitation by public     In In In Sea     In In In Sea       Unite attention     In Internation     In Internation       Unite attention     In Internation     In Internation	ACM is subject to direct air sti	rearn or hi located h	n proximity to air pl	wnu	Yes/No/Describe Cloth Peper Paint, etc							
Mechinary ar equipment in area       No       Yes       Yes       ValUoutibe       No       No       No         If yes, densibe       Built usingle no.1       Built usingle no.1       No       No       No       No         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:       Built usingle no.1       No.2       No       No       No       No         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:       Built usingle no.1       No.2       No       No       No       No         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:       No.3       Type abortos       No.3       No       No       No       No         Utility mainemence frequency       No interval       No.3       No.3       No.3       No       No       No       No         Life-cycle projection for tructure       No interval       No.3       No.3       No	Mechinary or equipment in eva       Dio       Uniform         If yes, describe       Uniform       Var/No/Describe       Uniform         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONB:       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONB:       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         PECIAL CONSIDERATIONB:       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Utility maintenance frequency       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Utilitation by public       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Other unique description       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Utilitation by public       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Unique description       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Utilitation       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Utilitation       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution       Distribution         Utilitation       Distribution       Distribution <td< td=""><td></td><td>evelbe</td><td></td><td></td><td>la covarine</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		evelbe			la covarine							
If Yas, anscribe	If Yes, and the Antiber Consideration in the Antiber And Antiber Consideration in the Antiber And Antiber Anti	Mechinery or equipment in an	² D	۲ <b>۰</b>		uniform?							
PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:       no. 2       no. 2       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Utility maintenance frequency       no. 3       no. 1       no	PECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:       no. 2       no. 2       no. 3       no. 1       no. 1         Utility maintenence frequency.       no. 3       no. 3       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Utility maintenence frequency.       no. 3       no. 3       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Utility maintenence frequency.       Itype adaption       No. 1       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Ranovation ebidule (past, present, future - doted)       Other comments       AREA OCCUPANT/USER ACCESSIBILITY: NO YES       PESCRIBE         Utilitation by public       Manuia dueretivitici       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Other unique dueretivitici       Description       Description       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Utilitation by public       Description       Description       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1       no. 1         Utilitation by public       Description       Description       no. 1	IT Yes, describe				Put amole no. 1		+-	+-				
Utility maintenance frequency     no. 3     no. 3     no. 1       Utility maintenance frequency     Type abarton     Type abarton     no. 3     no. 1       Utility maintenance frequency     Type abarton     X subsiston     no. 1     no. 1       Ranovarilon schedule (past, present, future - dates)     AREA OCCUPANT/USER ACCESSIBILITY: NO YES DESCRIBE     No. 1       Utilitation by public     Matrial on powed     Matrial on powed       Other unique characteristics     Physical barriers	Utility maintanace frequency     no. 3     no. 3     no. 3       Life-cycle projection for structure     Type station     No. 3     no. 4       Life-cycle projection for structure     Asteritor     No. 3     no. 4       Renovation schedule (past, present, future - dated)     AREA OCCUPANT/USER ACCESSIBILITY: NO YES (DESCRIBE       Utilitation by public     No interclastica     No interclastica       Other unique characteristica     No interclastica     No interclastica	SECIAL CONSIDERATIONS				no. 2			-				
Life-cycle projection for structure     Type advector     X advector       Ranovation schedule (past, prewni, future - detvel)     Other comments     N advector       Ranovation by public     Vulnerable to human ectivity     Other contect       Other unique characteristics     Prysical barrier	Life-cycle projection for structure       Type abritos       Type abritos         Ranovation schedule (past, prewni, future - dates)       X Albertos       X Albertos         Ranovation schedule (past, prewni, future - dates)       Other comments       No         Vulnerable to humm schedule       Y EscAlBEL       No         Vulnerable to humm schifty       NO       Y Es         Vulnerable to humm schifty       NO       Y Es         Other unique characterititics       Phytical barries       No         Unitration by public       No       Y Es         Other unique characterititics       No       Y Es         Unitration       Y public       No	Utility maintenance frequency.				no. 3							
Life-ycle projection for invective	Life-yes propetion for structure					Type subsition		╉	+			_	
Ranovation schedule (past, present, future - detrei)       AREA OCCUPANT/USER ACCESSIBILITY: NO YES DESCRIBE         Utilitation by public       Vulnerable to human scilulity         Dither unique characteristics       Marrial annoved	Ranovation schedule (part, present, future - dates)       AREA OCCUPANT/USER ACCESSIBILITY: NO YES DESCRIBE         Utilitation by public       Vulnerable to human ectivity         Dither unique characteristics       Manual anovad         Unar sciluities       Manual aread	Lite-cycle projection for struct	E S			A Alberton		+					
Utiliteation by public       Vulnerable to human activity         Utiliteation by public       Manual activity         Annual activity       Manual activity         Other unique charactaristics       Physical barrier	Utilitation by public       Vulnarable to human activity         Utilitation by public       Evidance of contect         Material arroad       Material arroad         Other unique characteristics       User activities	Renovation schedule (pest, pres	ant, futura - dates) _			AREA OCCUPANT/U	SER ACCES		, NO I	Y ES I DESCR	186		
Utiliteation by public	Utilitation by public	•				Vulnerable to human	etivity						
Other unique chereteristics	Other unique cherecterintics	Utilization by public				Evidence of contact			İ	 			
						Material exposed				 			
		Uther unique theretoristics				rhyacai barnan Itas asibita			İ				

1

Figure 2-3 ACM Survey Data Sheet

.

LOG FOR BULK SAMPLES

Sampler Name

Installation Fort Douglas

Building \_

Sample	: Date/Time Sampled	Sar	mple Location	Extent (Functional	Physi	cal Condition	Footage (linear	Sampler Initials
Ē	L.	Func. Space	* Description	Space Locations)	Fri- able (Y/N)	Description	or square)	

Sw - Surfacing Material
 TSI - Thermal Surface Insulation
 TSI - Thermal Surface Insulation
 Include coordinates (x,y) where x is the number of feet down from the top of a specified wall or corner
 and y is the number of feet in a direction perpendicular to the same wall or corner.

ASP-F1G.2-4

Tyvek coveralls also will be required and utilized during the survey. All personal protection and sampling equipment and sample locations will be decontaminated as specified in TM5-612, Chapter 5, paragraph 5-3.d.(6). Wastes generated by the field investigation will be containerized and disposed of at an approved disposal facility (TM5-612, Chapter 9, paragraph 9-4).

#### 2.3.1 SURFACING MATERIALS

Surfacing materials will be grouped into homogeneous sampling areas. The number of samples per homogeneous area is determined based on the square footage of the homogeneous area. A sufficient number of samples will be collected to adequately characterize the extent of ACM in a particular building or location. The number of surfacing material samples to be collected from each homogeneous area will be determined following AHERA guidelines:

Size of the	Minimum Number
Sampling Area	of Samples
<1,000 sq ft	3
>1,000 and <5,000 sq ft	5
>5,000 sq ft	7

Sample locations will be selected following AHERA random sampling guidelines.

#### 2.3.2 THERMAL SYSTEM INSULATION

Sampling of TSI also will be performed based on its distribution in homogeneous areas. Each type of insulation will be considered as a separate homogeneous area. The number and locations of samples from each type of TSI will vary. A minimum of one bulk sample will be collected from patched areas less than 6 linear or square feet. For TSI greater than 6 linear or square feet, at least three random samples of each type of TSI will be collected from each homogenous area of TSI. The samples will be collected from random locations; however, locations will be selected to minimize potential damage to the TSI.

#### 2.3.3 MISCELLANEOUS MATERIALS

Bulk samples of miscellaneous suspected ACM will be collected from homogeneous areas to determine if the material is ACM. Sample locations will be selected to minimize damage to the material. Miscellaneous materials that are easily identified as ACMs, such as transite will not be sampled.

2.4 ANALYSIS

The samples will be analyzed by a selected USATHAMA approved laboratory, Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE). This laboratory is accredited by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA), has been a participant in the EPA bulk asbestos sample QA program, and is currently a participant in the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP). The method of analysis for asbestos is based on EPA 800/M4-82-020. USATHAMA does not certify procedures for asbestos analysis. Identification of asbestos fiber bundles will be made using polarized light microscopy. Results will be reported in percent asbestos.

#### 2.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

Sample identification, labeling, custody, and shipping procedures specified in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for Fort Douglas will be followed.

Quality control (QC) samples will be collected to confirm the results of the laboratory. The QC samples will consist of duplicate samples, collected adjacent to an investigative sample. One QC sample will be collected per building or per 20 investigative samples, whichever is larger. The sample numbers and chain-of-custody forms will not identify the duplicate samples, so that the laboratory's objectivity will not be compromised.

#### 2.6 DATA MANAGEMENT

Data generated from sample collection and survey observations will be managed in accordance with USATHAMA data management procedures. Data generated from the asbestos program will include analysis data from the laboratory subcontractor and results of ACM surveys. Bulk sampling data will be reported by the laboratory in percent asbestos. These data will be entered under method number 99 into the Installation Restoration Data Management System (IRDMS) by the laboratory and reviewed by Stollar. Sample data will be identified by sample number and by the coordinates of the

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91 center of the sampled building. All field-generated data will be entered in logbooks, on field log forms and on sample area drawings. Computerized field data will be entered by Stollar into the IRDMS. All original logbooks and hard copy of chemical/survey data will be supplied to USATHAMA.

#### 3.0 ASSESSMENT

The asbestos survey, sampling, and analysis program is designed to provide data to assess the factors influencing asbestos fiber release, and, based on this data, the potential for personal exposure to asbestos, and the need, if any, for abatement. As discussed in Section 1.0, the assessment will focus on materials containing more than one percent asbestos by weight (ACMs). Information that will be evaluated includes factors related to current conditions of the ACM; potential for future damage, disturbance, or erosion; inherent friability of ACM; percent asbestos content; and number of usual occupants and duration of occupancy. An exposure analysis will consider all factors compiled during the survey and relate them to the potential for human exposure to ACM. The exposure analysis will result in recommended actions. The University of Utah will assume ownership of Fort Douglas; therefore, the assessment and exposure analysis will be directed toward projected users of the Fort Douglas buildings, including college students, faculty, and staff.

#### 3.1 POTENTIAL FIBER RELEASE

Visual observation of the condition of the ACM will be used to assess the potential for a fiber release. If water or physical damage, deterioration, or delamination of the material is evident, then fiber release has occurred, is occurring, or is likely to occur. The appearance of the material and the presence of broken or crumbled material on horizontal surfaces indicates the possibility of fiber release. (TM5-612, Chapter 6, paragraph 6-1.b.)

Visible, highly accessible materials in areas frequently used or needing periodic maintenance are the most vulnerable to physical damage. Also in this category are materials subject to vibration from mechanical equipment, sound, or activities. ACM near a forced airstream is likely to suffer surface erosion. In addition, fibers released into an airstream may be transported to other parts of the building, possibly increasing exposure. Any planned changes in building use should also be considered when assessing potential fiber release. (TM5-612, Chapter 6, paragraph 6-1.b.)

#### 3.2 EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

An exposure analysis will be conducted which considers all descriptive and quantitative factors (related to material condition, extent, etc.) compiled during the building asbestos survey and relates them to the potential for human exposure to ACM. (TM5-612, Chapter 6, paragraph 6-2.a.)

A recommended hazard assessment guide to be used for Army structures is included in this plan as Appendix B (Guide for Asbestos Hazard Assessment in U.S. Army Facilities, 1988). This plan will be used for the assessment. The assessment method is quantitative enough to provide a measure of hazard severity and allow the prioritization of facilities in terms of the need for corrective action; and provides a listing of factors not readily amenable to quantification, but which should be considered in the final development of correction action. (TM5-612, Chapter 6, paragraph 6-2.b.) The scheme is designed to apply to only to friable asbestos, to include either sprayed- or trowelled-on surfacing materials or pipe, boiler, and tank thermal insulation. Other nonfriable forms of asbestos containing material can be managed satisfactorily by an operation and maintenance program with abatement necessary only as part of facility alteration/repair, maintenance, or demolition.

#### 3.3 DETERMINATION OF THE NEED FOR ABATEMENT

If a building contains ACM, the need for asbestos control or abatement beyond a special operation and maintenance program will be considered. The presence of ACM does not necessarily require abatement of ACM. Methods for determining whether abatement is necessary are detailed in TM5-612, Chapter 6, paragraph 6-3. The section also discusses the timing of abatement and the selection of abatement methods. The manual describes the factors which should be considered for abatement of surfacing materials; pipe, boiler and tank insulation materials; and other types of ACM.

#### 3.4 SELECTION OF A CONTROL METHOD

Technical and economic factors will be considered in the selection of a control method. Technical considerations include the availability of replacement encapsulation or enclosure materials; compatibility of replacement equipment with the engineering design and function of the structure; ability of the facility to support the additional load of the encapsulant or the enclosure structure; and potential for constructing airtight enclosure structures to meet facility design and operating criteria. Economic considerations include the coordination of asbestos abatement with other construction activities; disruption of facility operations; and comparison of abatement cost with a special operation and maintenance program.

#### 3.5 <u>ASBESTOS REPORT</u>

The asbestos report will contain the results of the asbestos survey. The results will be organized and presented by building. Each building subsection will include: 1) a building diagram with marked

FD1-ASP.TXT Rev. 06/05/91 sample locations; 2) survey data sheets; 3) a table of analytical results; and 4) an assessment discussion of the potential for personnel exposure, the need for abatement or control, recommended actions and costs.

#### 4.0 REFERENCES

29 CFR 1910.1001, Asbestos, tremolite, anthophyllite, and actinolite, 1 July 1989.

U.S. Army Technical Manual No. 5-612 (TM5-612), Asbestos Control, Draft, 25 January 1989.

Technical Bulletin Medical (TB MED) 513, <u>Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Asbestos</u> <u>Exposure</u>, 15 December 1986.

<u>Guide for Asbestos Hazard Assessment in U.S. Army Facilities</u>, Draft, 28 November 1988, CERL Environmental Engineering Team, Bernie Donahue.

Weston, R.F., Inc. (Weston), 1989. Enhanced Preliminary Assessment, Task Order 2, Fort Douglas, Salt Lake City, Utah. Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

### APPENDIX A

### CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS





E.P.A. ACCREDITATION NO. MP-MSIS-001291-CO Invalid without raised seal ATLE LO BEALLIDAU AHERA MANAGEMENT PLANNER TRAINING Has completed the special course in: Community College Environmental Technologios, Compus Bux 22 13300 West 6th Avenue & Lakewood, CO 80401-539\$ **Red Rocks** 1991 FIES . APRIL 4-5, CERT 1992 MAJOR SAFETY INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES S expires APRIL





in

AHERA-APPROVED BUILDING INSPECTOR COURSE & EXAMINATION

# Given by Colorado State University

For purposes of accreditation required under Section 206 of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA).

## Be It Known That:

Brian Miller

is hereby awarded this certificate which attests to this achievement.

SPONSORED BY:

R.L. Stollar & Associates, Inc. Denver, Colorado

March 13, 1991

arle Thom

Earlie Thomas Lead Asbestos Instructor Department of Industrial Sciences



D21

CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 476-88-8122 ACCREDITATION EXPIRES: 03/13/92

in

AHERA-APPROVED BUILDING INSPECTOR COURSE & EXAMINATION

# Given by Colorado State University

For purposes of accreditation required under Section 206 of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA).

## Be It Known That:

Linda A. Burdzínskí

is hereby awarded this certificate which attests to this achievement.

SPONSORED BY:

R.L. Stollar & Associates, Inc. Denver, Colorado

March 13, 1991

alle Thomas

Earlie Thomas Lead Asbestos Instructor Department of Industrial Sciences



CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 523-17-4187 ACCREDITATION EXPIRES: 03/13/92

in

AHERA-APPROVED BUILDING INSPECTOR COURSE & EXAMINATION

# Given by Colorado State University

For purposes of accreditation required under Section 206 of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA).

# Be It Known That:

Diane D. Robinson

is hereby awarded this certificate which attests to this achievement.

SPONSORED BY:

R.L. Stollar & Associates, Inc. Denver, Colorado

March 13, 1991

alle Thom

Earlie Thomas Lead Asbestos Instructor Department of Industrial Sciences



CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 557-96-4176 ACCREDITATION EXPIRES: 03/13/92







**CERTIFIES THAT** 

Larry Thomas Hudnall

has successfully completed

The EPA-Approved AHERA ANNUAL REFRESHER COURSE for Inspector/Management Planner and has passed the required examination in that discipline

This course is EPA-approved under Section 206 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)

Certificate No. DR011591-08 1/14/92 Course date 1/15/91 N/A No. of hours 8 Exam date Expires

Authorized Signature Xeoler 7

nvalid without raised seal

in

AHERA-APPROVED BUILDING **INSPECTOR COURSE & EXAMINATION** 

# Given by Colorado State University

For purposes of accreditation required under Section 206 of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA).

# Be It Known That:

Trent Watne

is hereby awarded this certificate which attests to this achievement.

SPONSORED BY:

R.L. Stollar & Associates, Inc. Denver, Colorado

March 13, 1991

alle Thom

Earlie Thomas Lead Asbestos Instructor



CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 522-51-1485 Department of Industrial Sciences ACCREDITATION EXPIRES: 03/13/92

 $\infty$ 

	<u> </u>	
Q	required under Section 206 of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) ACCREDITATION EXPIRES: November 11, 1389	
	For purposes of accreditation	
	FORT COLLINS, COLORADO November 7-11, 1983	
	is hereby awarded this certificate which attests to this achievement.	
Q	Be it known that:	
	PLANNEH COURSE AND EXAMINATION	
Q	AHERA-APPROVED BUILDING INSPECTOR AND MANAGEMENT	
	Recognizing the completion of all requirements in	
	Certificate of Completion	
		Z.

### APPENDIX B

.

### GUIDE FOR ASBESTOS HAZARD ASSESSMENT IN U.S. ARMY FACILITIES



GUIDE FOR ASBESTOS HAZARD ASSESSMENT IN U. S. ARMY FACILITIES

Prepared by CERL-Environmental Engineering Team Bernie Donahue

#### I. Introduction

The potential for fiber release and subsequent area contamination from asbestoscontaining building material (ACBM) or other ACM can be assessed by evaluating several factors. These include the physical condition and characteristics of the material and its location and use. Information collected by inspecting of a facility or part thereof can be used to assess the occupants' potential exposure to ACM fibers. The asbestos management team can use this measure of exposure potential to compare different facilities in order to determine their relative asbestos health hazards. The assessment scheme can also be used as a basis for prioritizing corrective actions.

A <u>survey</u> is defined in this guide as the inspection of facilities to locate, confirm the identity of, and measure the amount of ACBM or other ACM present. An <u>assessment</u> further evaluates the ACBM or other ACM in terms of (1) its potential to be alroome, or the actual extent to which it is a source of alroome fibers [damage], and (2) to what extent humans in the area containing asbestos are exposed to airborne fibers. Army asbestos management programs will include an assessment as an integral part of a survey.

#### II. Background

One of the first assessment techniques to be evaluated by the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) was air monitoring. The idea was simple: air samples in the area around ACM would be collected to determine the concentration of asbestos fibers in fibers per cubic centimeter (t/cc). These concentrations could be compared with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) workplace standards to obtain a relative measure of the health hazard. Because air monitoring reflects conditions only at the time of sampling, it cannot serve as a measure of longterm fiber release potential. Air monitoring alone is not recommended by the USEPA for asbestos exposure assessment, nor is it used as part of any of the several commonly employed assessment schemes.

In the preparing of this document, six assessment methods were evaluated:

- (1) EPA "Purple Book" Chapter 4;
- (2) EPA Region VII 1982;
- (3) EPA Draft 7 initial regulation 1986;
- (4) US Navy TR883 Chapter 5;
- (5) US Air Force "GRADE" system (based upon the Versar, Inc. method); and
- (6) Hall-Kimbrell modified Sawyer algorithm.

Method (1) uses an empirical approach and method (3) is based upon a "decision tree." Methods (2), (4), (5), and (6) are numerical rating schemes. Each of the methods has merit, is self-contained, and is designed to provide a relatively easy asbestos hazard assessment protocol. In the 30 April 1987 Issue of the Federal Register (52FR15820), the USEPA published a proposed rule under section 203 of Title II of the Toxic Substances Control Act concerning ACM in public and private schools. The background discussion states, "The negotiating committee generally agreed that assessment, as provided in the proposed regulation, should be flexible enough to accommodate a wide variety of acceptable and available methods and schemes. . . Assessment was perceived as the means of collecting and considering whatever data was necessary for the management planner to make an informed, responsible recommendation . . . consistent with response action requirements." The decision tree (method 3) in the USEPA initial regulation - Draft 7 (1988) was dropped due to committee sentiment that it was inappropriate for the USEPA to require a single assessment method.

In accordance with the current USEPA regulation governing asbestos abatement activities In schools, assessments of ACM hazards in schools must be performed by an accredited inspector, regardless of the assessment methodology used. The inspector is to gain his or her accreditation through attendance at an USEPA-approved 3 day training course and passing of an attendant examination. USEPA also <u>suggests</u> that states issuing the accreditation require the inspectors to have at least a high school diploma and perhaps an associate degree in particular fields (e.g., environmental or physical sciences).

In light of this regulation, it seems obvious that USEPA considers all assessment methods as merely tools to be used by or under the supervision of trained personnel.

#### II. <u>Discussion</u>

It was determined that an asbestos hazards assessment scheme for the Army has to meet the following criteria:

- (1) Be easy to understand and to use,
- (2) Be quantitative enough to provide a measure of hazard severity (Assessment Index) that will allow the Installation Commander to prioritize facilities in terms of the need for corrective action.

(3) Provide a list of factors that cannot be easily quantified or included in an algorithm, but which the asbestos management team should consider in their decisions on corrective actions.

None of the six methods reviewed met all three criteria. The three USEPA methods were judged too empirical, providing an insufficient numerical basis for meaningful prioritizing. The modified Sawyer algorithm offered by Hall-Kimbrell and the Navy TR-833-Chapter 5 schemes failed to meet the third criterion. Although logical, the Air Force GRADE system with the multiple regression model also failed to meet the third criterion. However, the assessment checklist in the GRADE system, which includes the factors concerning the ACM physical characteristics and condition, location and use is the most comprehensive of the six methodologies.

The assessment scheme discussed in this document is a modified US Air Force "GRADE" system. The checklist, Figure 1a or 1b, is identical to that of the Air Force, but the multiple regression equation has been replaced with an assessment index matrix, Table 1. To use this scheme, a trained inspector works through the checklist making value judgments for each of the Damage/Risk and Exposure factors. A total numerical value for Damage/Risk and Exposure are derived which are then used in Table 1 to determine a letter assessment index. For each letter index, a recommended corrective management action is listed in Table 2.

The assessment scheme is intended for a trained inspector to use; that is, someone who is familiar with common ACBM and miscellaneous ACM and knows of the layout and activities of the facilities. The scheme applies only to <u>triable</u> asbestos, to include either sprayed- or trowelled-on surfacing materials or pipe, boller, and tank thermal insulation. Other nonfriable forms of ACM shall be managed satisfactorily by an O&M program with abatement necessary only as part of facility alteration/repair, maintenance, or demolition.

An ACM Survey, locating, sampling, and measuring homogeneous areas of ACM should be conducted concurrently with the assessment, when possible. The term "homogeneous area" here refers to an area of surfacing material, thermal system insulation material, or miscellaneous material that is uniform in color and texture.

#### IV. The Frlable ACM Assessment Checklist

A Friable ACM Assessment Checklist is provided in a five-page annotated format, Figure 1a and as a compact one-page format, Figure 1b. Both formats are reproduced directly from method 5, with only superficial changes. The five-page format is intended primarily as a training aid. As an inspector becomes familiar with the assessment factors and what each of the weighted conditions means, he or she will be able to use the compact format.

The checklist is divided into two parts. Part I addresses the extent of existing damage and the potential for a risk of damage to friable ACBM. Part II addresses exposure and contains factors that contribute to health hazards in the occupied facility being inspected.

The assessment factors, e.g., Physical Damage, Water Damage, Asbestos Content, and the annotated, value-weighted conditions in a Figure 1a or 1b are self-explanatory. Some of the other assessment factors, however, have additional considerations that could influence the inspector's choice of a value-weighted condition. The remainder of this section deals with these additional considerations.

The assessment factors listed in Part I, are concerned with damage. Measuring the extent of damage to the ACM or the potential for damage is an important part of the assessment. This is because, in most cases, damaged ACM will, under identical conditions, release more airborne asbestos fibers than undamaged ACM. Also, the more extensive the damage, the greater the potential for fiber release.

The first assessment factor listed. Physical Damage to the sprayed- or trowelled-on surface ACM, has the five value-weighted condition of high, moderate, low, minimal, and none. An additional consideration for the inspector should be the age of the ACM. If the age is greater than 30 years, the normal deterioration of the binding agents may have produced a surface material that has a potential for fiber release per unit of surface area damaged much greater than for newer and similar surface ACM. An inspector who would normally rate a certain extent of damage as "Low" for 15-year-old sprayed-on ACM might want to rate the same extent of damage as "Moderate" for a 35-year-old material. The age of the ACM should also be considered when assessing the potential for damage from water and routine maintenance. In some assessment algorithms, the design of a roof above the ACM is considered. There is a greater potential for rainwater damage to ACM under a flat rcof than under a sloped or hipped roof.

In considering the Asbestos Content factor, the assumption is that as the percentage of asbestos in the ACM increases so does the potential for alroome fiber release. This would undoubtedly be true if the same binding agent were used in all ACM. However, not all ACM are created equal. It is quite possible that an ACM with an easily degraded starch binder (water soluble) and an asbestos content of 15 percent would have a greater fiber release potential than an ACM with 50 percent asbestos and a water insoluble binder. The choice of a weighted-value condition by an inspector should reflect this consideration only if very specific and relevant information is available.

#### V. <u>Management Considerations</u>

Even though an assessment index may accurately reflect the existing asbestos health hazard within a facility, it most likely will not be an accurate measure of the asbestos management problem. No economic or social factors enter into the assessment index. These factors often represent the greatest obstacles in the management or control of asbestos hazards. A set of appropriate considerations is listed below.

- A. <u>Cost Considerations</u> (Estimating Cost Effectiveness)
  - 1. Cost of the abatement (Contractor's estimate + In-house personnel dedication)
  - 2. Cost of temporarily relocating personnel and equipment for the abatement.
  - 3. Cost of nonproductivity resulting from relocation of personnel and equipment.
  - 4. Cost savings in preplanned remodeling, renovation and/or repair projects resulting from abatement activities.
  - 5. Cost savings associated with enhanced use of rooms, areas, or buildings which have been purged of ACM hazards.

#### B. Morale Considerations

- 1. Effect of abatement-related personnel relocation of on morale (see A-3).
- 2. Effect of the notification of the need for abatement action on the morale of those individuals who occupy the space. Any abatement action will alert them to the fact that they had been working in a space judged to be a high risk environment.

#### C. <u>Miscellaneous Considerations</u>

- 1. Effects of flooding, wind, and fire damage on ACM Integrity.
- 2. Climatological restrictions on abatements. (Amended water can freeze thus making spraying impossible!)
- 3. Geographical restrictions on abatements--OCONUS installations may have special problems.
- 4. High security areas, problems with unauthorized access or potential compromise.
- 5. Special facility use (child care centers and hospitals).

#### Fig. 1a ARMY FRIABLE ACM ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Installation:

Bldg/Rm Nos.:

Facility/Office:

Inspector (date):

Sample Numbers (Air and Bulk):

#### PART I: DAMAGE or RISK

-<u>Physical</u>. Assess damage based on visible evidence of work surface accumulation or the condition of the sprayed-on or trowelled-on surface materials.

- \_\_\_\_(5) High Dislodged pieces are evident on work surfaces.
- (4) Moderate There is evidence of visible material fallout.

(2) Low - There some evidence of material fallout.

- (1) Minimal There are isolated and very small areas of material damage or failout.
- (0) None No damage or evidence of any material fallout.

-Water.

(3) Yes-- Visible water damage.

(0) No - No water damage.

-<u>Proximity to Items for repair.</u> If both A and B apply, score the one with the highest rating. (Check all that apply. Maximum of 3 points.) How far is the material from routine maintenance areas?

A. Sprayed-on or Trowelled-on: Could the material be damaged by routine maintenance?

- (3) < 1 ft or a celling panel contaminated with ACM must be removed.
- \_\_\_(2) 1′<u><</u>?<5ft \_\_\_(1) ≥5ft

 $(0) \ge 5$  ft and no routine maintenance.

B. Pipe, Boller, or Duct Insulation: Could damage occur as a result of routine maintenance.

(3) A ceiling panel contaminated with ACM must be removed.

	-		
(	0	)	No

-<u>Type of Material.</u> If area or room contains numerous categories of material, score the friable material with the largest area. Check all other categories that are found.

- \_\_\_\_(0-4) Other material, i.e., wallboard, celling tile, or floor tile with exposed friable ends, abrasions, etc.
- \_\_\_\_ (1) Boller and/or pipe
- \_\_\_\_\_ (3) HVAC Suspected ACM on exterior or ducts
- (4) Cellings or Walls

-<u>Potential for Contact by Occupants.</u> How far is the triable sprayed-on, trowelled-on, or damaged material from the heads of the room or area occupants, regardless of whether there is a barrier? (High, medium, and low refer to the chance of the room or area personnel actually disturbing the ACM.)

<10 ft

≥10 ft

(8) High (5) Medlum (2)Low

\_\_\_(5) High \_\_\_(3) Medlum \_\_(0) Low

-Asbastos Content. Use the percentage for the material that has the highest probability of becoming alrborne.

 $\begin{array}{c} ----(1) & 1 < \% \leq 30 \\ ----(3) & 30 < \% \leq 50 \\ ----(5) & > 50 \% \\ ----- All bulk samples from the friable surface or damaged material(s) indicate asbestos. If so, NO HAZARD, \\ \end{array}$ 

Bulk sample results

Sample No. Type Asbestos % Source

DAMAGED (D) TOTAL\_\_\_\_(Max 28, Min 1)

Evaluator (date)\_\_\_\_\_

### ARMY FRIABLE ACM ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST Part II: EXPOSURE

-Frlable. Defined by USEPA: "hand pressure can crumble, pulverize, or reduce to powder when dry." Score the friability of the surface or damaged material. (6) Hiah -Material Is fluffy and/or the slightest hand pressure can dislodge it. A slight breeze may disperse the material. (3) Moderate -Material can be dislodged or scraped or crumbled by hand. (1) Low -Material is firmly bound, difficult to scrape off by hand. -Area of visible surface or damaged friable material.  $< 10 \, {\rm ft}^2$ (0) These small areas should be repaired ASAP.  $(1) 10 \le tt^2 < 100$  $(2) 100 \le ft^2 < 1000$ (3)  $> 1000 \text{ ft}^2$ -Surface material. Refers to the ability of the surface material to hold fibers for reentrainment. If more than one type, score the roughest. If the material is exposed friable asbestos, score as rough. -(4) Rough. Difficult to clean with a HEPA vacuum. (3) Pitted. Difficult to clean with a damp cloth but cleanable with a HEPA vacuum. (2) Moderate. Can be cleaned with a damp cloth. (1) Smooth. Easily cleaned with a damp doth. -Ventilation. Check all categories that apply. (Maximum 7 points) (5) The interior of the supply duct or plenum is coated or littered with friable material or is within 5 feet of a supply diffuser or fan and the condition of the material may result in fibers being entrained into the airflow. (2) The Interior of the return air duct or plenum is coated or littered with frlable material and is part of a recirculating system. (1) Air being supplied to the room or area is: (1) drawn from an area where the

potential for asbestos fiber release is possible, or (2) part of a recirculating system where fibers may be drawn into the system.

(0) None of the above applies.

-Air Movement. This refers to the general air movement in the room or area that may affect the friable surface or damaged material.

- (5) Material is subjected to routine turbulent or abrupt air movement.
  - (2) Material Is exposed to perceptible or occasional air streams.

(0) No perceptible air flow in the room or area.

-Activity. Refers to forces acting on the surface covered, i.e., vibrational, water or steam, etc.

- (5) High Frlable surface or damaged material is subject to constant vibration (mechanical room).
- (2) Medium Occasional vibration. (a warehouse where forklifts are used, next to an active runway, kitchen)
- (0) Low Administrative office, library, classroom, storage room, stairway or corridor, waiting room, etc.

#### -Eloor,

- \_\_\_\_\_(4) Carpet or an extremely rough surface difficult to clean by HEPA vacuum or by a damp cloth.
- \_\_\_\_(2) Seamed or rough surface (e.g., uncoated concrete)
- (1) Smooth continuous surface (e.g., finished or coated concrete, smoothly joined tile, etc.).
- \_\_\_\_(0-4) Unique situations (wood or dirt floors with varying degrees of smoothness).

-<u>Barriers.</u> If both A and B apply, score the one with the highest rating. Check all that apply. (Maximum of 4 points)

A. Refers to sprayed-on or trowelled-on material on ceiling or walls.

\_\_\_\_(1) Suspended ceiling or accessible secondary wall.

(2) Encapsulation or covered with nonasbestos material.

\_\_\_\_(3) Railing or chicken wire.

\_\_\_\_(4) None.

B. Pipe, boller, duct, or other surface or damaged materials. Percent of total exposed and visible to the occupants.

- $\begin{array}{c} (1) \leq 25\% \\ (2) 25 < \% \leq 50 \\ (3) 50 < \% \leq 75 \end{array}$
- \_\_\_\_(4) 75 < % < 100

-<u>Population</u>. This involves defining the average occupancy and outside visitor traffic (do not count visitors from within the building) of a room or area based on an 8 hour per day exposure. For example, a reception area in a DEH shop normally has 15 individuals assigned to the office. They see approximately 240 customers from outside the building over an 8 hour day. Each customer is serviced and gone within 30 minutes.

([240 persons X 0.5 hours] / 8 hours ) + 15 occupants - 30

..... Score as 2

 $(1) \leq 9$  or for corridors

 $(2) 10 \leq \operatorname{Pop} \leq 200$ 

 $(3) 201 \leq \text{Pop} \leq 500$ 

 $(4) 501 \leq \text{Pop} \leq 1000$ 

 $(5) \ge 1001$  for medical facilities, youth centers, child care facilities or residential buildings, regardless of the population.

EXPOSURE (E) TOTAL\_\_\_\_\_(Max 43, Min 5)

Evaluator (date)\_\_\_\_\_

Fig. 1b	ARMY FRIABLE ASBESTOS ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST	BASE: BLDG/RM NOS FACIL/TY/OFFICE: INSPECTOR (DATE)	<ul> <li>Physical Damage, Visible evidence: (5) High: (4) Moderale; (2) Low. (1) Minimal: (0)None</li> <li>Proximity to Items for Repair. If both a. and b. apply score the one with the highest rating. (Max 3 pts). How far? :</li> <li>"a". Sprayed or Trowolled-on: (3) &lt;1 ft or ceiling panel contam.; (2) 1≤7&lt;5 ft: (1) ≥5 ft; (0) ≥5 ft No rout. maint.</li> <li>"b". Pipe, Boiler, or Duct Insulation, Damage by routine maint. ? : (3) ceiling panel contam.; (1) &gt;5 ft; (0) ≥5 ft No rout. maint.</li> <li>"b". Pipe, Boiler, or Duct Insulation, Damage by routine maint. ? : (3) ceiling panel contam.; (1) Yes: (0) No</li> <li>"Potential for Contact: *-10 ft* (8) High: (5) Medium: (2) Low; *210 ft* (5) High; (3) Medium: (0) Low</li> <li>"Asbestos Content, % with highest prob: (1) 1&lt;%≤30: (3) 30&lt;%≤50: (5) &gt;50%; NO HAZARD all samples no asbestos</li> </ul>	Part II: EXPOSURE	<ul> <li>Friable: (6) High: (3) Moderate: (1) Low</li> <li>Area of Visible Mart: (0) &lt;10 ft<sup>2</sup> (1) 10<sub>2</sub> ft<sup>2</sup>&lt;100: (2) 100<sub>5</sub> ft<sup>2</sup>&lt;1000. (3) &gt;1000 ft<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Walls: (4) Rough: (0) &lt;10 ft<sup>2</sup> (1) 10<sub>5</sub> ft<sup>2</sup>&lt;100: (2) note</li> <li>Walls: (4) Rough: (3) Pitted: (2) Moderate: (1) Smooth</li> <li>Ventilation (max 7 pis): (5) High-constant vibes: (1) Smooth</li> <li>Ventilation (max 7 pis): (5) High-constant vibes: (2) Moderate: (1) Smooth</li> <li>Air Mkvement Affecting Matt: (5) Routine turbulent or abupt afr mvmt: (2) Exposed to percept air: (0) No percept alr</li> <li>ActiMity: (5) High-constant vibes: (2) Medium-occassional vibes: (0) Low-admin office, classroom, waiting room, etc.</li> <li>Barries: It both a. and b. apply. score theone withthe highest rating. check all that apply (Max of 4 pis):</li> <li>Topulation: (1) ≤9 or for contidors: (2) 105Pop≤200; (3) 50&lt;%≤75; (4) 75&lt;%≤100</li> <li>Exposure (E) Total</li> <li>Population: (1) ≤9 or for corridors: (2) 105Pop≤200; (3) 2015Pop≤500; (4) 5015Pop≤1000; (5)≥1001 or med or yo Sample Numbers (Air &amp; Buk):</li> </ul>	
---------	--	---	--	-------------------	---	--

5

.÷.

Table	1
-------	---

### Determination of an Assessment Index

Using the Damage/Risk and Exposure values derived from the checklist (Figure 1a or 1b), enter the matrix below and find the corresponding assessment index.

		<u>Exposure (4 &lt; E &lt; 43)</u>					
		43-26	25-17	16-8	7 - 4		
Damage/Biok	28-17	Α	Α	Α	В		
(1 < D < 28)	16-11	A	8	С	D		
	10-5	Α	В	С	Ε		
	4-1	A	С	D	F		

#### Table 2

Assessment Index

Α

В

#### **Becommended Management Corrective Actions**

Immediate Action - Requires assessment by accredited personnel\* (In-house or contractor) who are experienced in and qualified to conduct asbestos assessments. Possible followup actions may include isolation of the area and the restriction of access and/or immediate removal of the ACM. If removal is indicated, action planning should include a detailed survey. This condition will likely involve a near term expenditure of funds. Managers must know exactly what needs to be done to eliminate the asbestos hazard and how to use available funds most effectively.

Action as Soon as Possible - Requires assessment by accredited personnel<sup>®</sup> (in-house or contractor) who are experienced in and qualified to conduct asbestos assessments. Initiate a Special O & M<sup>\*\*</sup> program immediately. Possible follow-up actions may include the limiting of access to the area and the scheduling of removal during periods of low activity in the facility, not waiting for the normal repair and maintenance cycle.

C <u>Planned Action</u> - Requires assessment by accredited personnel<sup>\*</sup> (In-house or contractor) who is experienced in and qualified to conduct asbestos assessments. Initiate a Special O & M<sup>\*\*</sup> program. Removal should be scheduled as part of the normal repair and maintenance cycle of a facility, minimizing cost and disturbance.

D <u>Bepair</u> - Initiate Special O & M<sup>\*\*</sup> using accredited personnel<sup>\*</sup>. Damaged areas should be repaired, where "repair" means returning damaged ACBM to an undamaged condition or to an intact state so as to contain fiber release. Schedule removal when practical and cost effective. Take preventative measures to reduce further damage.

E <u>Monitoring</u> - Continue Special O & M\*\* using accredited personnel\*. Take steps to prevent damage to the ACBM or other ACM. Monitor frequently the condition of all ACM.

F <u>No Immediate Action</u> - Continue Special O & M<sup>\*\*</sup> using accredited personnel\* until major renovation or demolition requires removal or until assessment factors change.

Accredited personnel are industrial hygienists (American Board of Industrial Hygiene-(ABIH) certified or who meet the Office of Personnel Management's 0690 classification standard) and other trained persons with a minimum of 1 year experience in asbestos assessment activities and who are accredited in the specific area they will be responsible for (Inspector management planner, abatement designer, contractor, supervisor, and abatement worker) as specified in Section 206 of Title II of TSCA. \*\* An O & M program may include enclosure and encapsulation, where appropriate, to increase effectiveness.