OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

AD-A248 297

Contract N00014-82-K-0280

Task No. NR413E001



TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 43

Silicon Backbond Strain Effects on  $NH_3$  Surface Chemistry - Si(111)-(7x7) Compared to Si(100)-(2x1)

by

P.J. Chen, M.L. Colaianni and J.T. Yates, Jr.

Submitted to

Surface Science

Surface Science Center Department of Chemistry University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

March 11, 1992

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document had been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited



92 4 06 100

SECURITY CL	LASSIFIED ASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Det)	Entered) MASTE	ER COPY	- FOR REPRODU	CTION PURPOS	
	REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	F	EAD INSTRUCTION	S	
I. REPORT N	UMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIE	DRE COMPLETING F	ORM	
4. TITLE (and	43		5 TYPE OF	REPORT & PERIOD C	OVERED	
Silicon B	ackbond Strain Effects on	NH Surface	0. 11-201		OVERED	
Chemistry	- $Si(111)-(7x7)$ Compared	to S1(100)-				
(2x1)			6. PERFOR	ING ORG. REPORT N	UNBER	
7 411711001		·····				
P.J. Chen	, M.L. Colaianni and J.T.	Yates, Jr.	B. CONTRA	CT OR GRANT NUMBE	R(•)	
			{		(	
9. PERFORMI	NG ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRES	s	10. PROGR	WORK UNIT NUMBER	T, TASK	
Surface S	of Chemistry					
Universit	v of Pittsburgh, Pittsbur	gh, PA 15260				
11. CONTROL	LING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	-	12 05000	T DATE		
			Mo	roh 11, 1992	1	
			13. NUMBER	ROF PAGES		
<b>T</b>			L	21		
14. MONITORI	NG AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(IT dillere	nt from Controlling Office)	15. SECURI	TY CLASS. (of this rep	ort)	
			Uncla	ssified		
			15a. DECLA	SSIFICATION/DOWNG	RADING	
			SCHED	ULE	1	
16. DISTRIBU	TION STATEMENT (of this Report)					
17. DISTRIBU	TION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered	d in Block 20, if different fro	m Report)			
18. SUPPLEM	ENTARY NOTES	······				
	· ·					
	15 (Cooling on the state					
Silicon	Raakhond	and identity by block number)	)		1	
Ammonia	Strain					
Si_N,	0 CT GAL					
34						
24 AB	The role of surface str	ucture in controlli	ng NH2 Sur	face	-	
	chemistry has been investiga	ted by HREELS and T	PD on Si(1	11)-(7x7)		
	and Si(100)-(2x1). Followin	ig the initial NH <sub>3</sub> d and H(a), the produ	issociativ ction of >	e NH(a)		
	species is favored on SI(11)	)-(7x7) between 300	-600 K. T	his is		
	believed to occur through the insertion of $>NH(a)$ into the backbond of the Si adatom on Si(1))-(7x7) and to be driven by the relief of					
	or the S1 adatom on S1(111)-(7X7) and to be driven by the reflet of bond strain on the S1 adatom backbonds. By contrast, this stepwise					
	reaction mechanism is absent	: on Si(100)-(2x1).	Instead, until ~600	tne K, when a		
	new reaction channel opens u	ip leading to the pa	rtial depl	etion of		
₩, <b>C</b> C	$NH_2(a)$ by recombination with	$H(a)$ to form $NH_3(g)$	1)•			
-					and Ratara	
					are constant)	

Submitted to: Surface Science

Date: 11 March 1992

Silicon Backbond Strain Effects on NH<sub>3</sub> Surface Chemistry - Si(111)-(7x7) Compared to Si(100)-(2x1)

P. J. Chen<sup>#</sup>, M. L. Colaianni and J. T. Yates, Jr.

Surface Science Center Department of Chemistry University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

#Department of Physics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260

	Acometica For
	NETA GRIAL DI
	Linencounsed []
	By Distribution/
	Attachility Coses Ashil and/or Dist Special
-	A1

Silicon Backbond Strain Effects on NH<sub>3</sub> Surface Chemistry - Si(111)-(7x7) Compared to Si(100)-(2x1)

P. J. Chen<sup>#</sup>, M. L. Colaianni and J. T. Yates, Jr.

Surface Science Center Department of Chemistry University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

#### Abstract

The role of surface structure in controlling NH<sub>3</sub> surface chemistry has been investigated by HREELS and TPD on Si(111)-(7x7) and Si(100)-(2x1). Following the initial NH<sub>3</sub> dissociative adsorption producing NH<sub>2</sub>(a) and H(a), the production of >NH(a) species is favored on Si(111)-(7x7) between 300-600 K. This is believed to occur through the insertion of >NH(a) into the backbond of the Si adatom on Si(111)-(7x7) and to be driven by the relief of bond strain on the Si adatom backbonds. By contrast, this stepwise reaction mechanism is absent on Si(100)-(2x1). Instead, the surface NH<sub>2</sub>(a) species remain thermally stable until ~600 K, when a new reaction channel opens up leading to the partial depletion of NH<sub>2</sub>(a) by recombination with H(a) to form NH<sub>3</sub>(g).

#### I. Introduction

The reaction of  $NH_3$  with Si surfaces has been extensively studied by various surface science techniques. It has been fairly well understood that the existence of Si dangling bonds on the surface is responsible for the initial low temperature reactivity of the surface [1-5]. The initial stage of NH<sub>3</sub> reaction with a Si surface proceeds until the saturation of the Si surface dangling bonds is achieved, which gives rise to the apparent saturation behavior observed experimentally. Both ultra-violet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) and atom-resolved scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) studies have shown the suppression of the dangling b.id electronic states upon NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption. Surface vibrational spectroscopic measurements [6-10] performed on Si(100)-(2x1), Si(111)-(7x7) and amorphous Si surfaces indicate that mainly Si-H and Si-NH<sub>2</sub> species are initially produced through the dissociative adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub>. Thus the low temperature surface chemistry primarily involves the formation of Si-N< and Si-H chemical bonds localized on the dangling bond sites. However, of equal interest and importance is how such a surface reaction proceeds by continued bond breaking and bond making on the surface as the surface temperature is raised. This involves understanding the evolution of reaction intermediates on the surface and most important of all, the role surface structure plays in dictating these processes. Despite the underlying fundamental and technological importance, these aspects of Si surface chemistry have gained little microscopic level understanding due largely to the degree of experimental difficulty and the demanding task to perform high-

level quantum chemical calculations. Issues concerning the intermediate stages of surface reaction on silicon remain largely unsettled even for a relatively simple adsorbate such as NH<sub>3</sub>.

In this paper, we investigate the role of surface structure in controlling Si surface chemistry by conducting a comparative study of NH<sub>3</sub> chemistry on Si(lll)-(7x7) and Si(l00)-(2x1) surfaces under identical experimental conditions. By performing species-specific vibrational spectroscopic measurements as a function of temperature, we have been able to follow the evolution of surface reaction intermediate species produced by NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption.

II. Experimental

The experiments were conducted in a UHV system equipped with a high resolution electron energy loss spectrometer (HREELS) and a shielded quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) with apertured line-of-sight detection capability for temperature programmed desorption (TPD) studies. Both the Si(111) and Si(100) crystals were p-type boron-doped (10  $\Omega$ cm resistivity) wafers oriented within 0.5° to their respective crystallographic directions and prepared following standard procedures described in detail elsewhere [10]. Exposure to NH<sub>3</sub> gas was conducted through a calibrated effusive gas doser which contains an internal micron-sized pinhole and a front-mounted microcapillary-array for collimation purpose. The crystal temperature was controlled with a microprocessor-based power supply which provided a reproducible linear temperature ramp for TPD measurements.

#### III. Results and Discussion

The comparison of HREEL spectra taken from NH<sub>3</sub>-exposed Si(111) and Si(100) surfaces is shown in Figure 1. Both sets of spectra were taken following the same initial  $NH_3$  exposure of  $4\times10^{14}$ molecules/ $cm^2$  at 100 K and then sequentially heating to the specified temperatures. The exposure level and temperature are chosen to ensure the saturation of surface Si dangling bonds on both surfaces and to eliminate any weakly bound molecular NH3. On the Si(100) surface, only two types of surface species are identified, i.e., -H and -NH2. The spectrum and the surface species are virtually unchanged on Si(100) by annealing from 300 K to 600 K with the exception of a slight intensity reduction in the NH2 stretching mode. On the Si(111) surface, the 300 K spectrum is very similar to that obtained on Si(100) with the exception of a small shoulder at ~1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Once again, at 300 K the (majority) surface species are -H and -NH2, in agreement with previous studies. By annealing the Si(111) surface to 600 K, extensive changes take place in the vibrational spectrum in marked contrast to the behavior on Si(100). The  $-NH_2$  deformation mode at 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> is strongly attenuated, while a new mode becomes fully developed at 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>. We have identified this 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode as the deformation mode,  $\delta(NH)$ , of a surface >NH species in a detailed vibrational study published elsewhere [10]. This >NH species is directly derived from further dissociation of -NH2 on the surface. This stepwise dissociation of -NH2 into >NH and H is also indicated

by a marked intensity increase for the Si-H stretching mode at 2100  $\rm cm^{-1}$  for the Si(111) surface.

The comparison of desorption products from the Si(100) and Si(111) surfaces provides additional information about the surface reaction derived from NH3 dissociation. For this purpose, a set of comparative line-of-sight TPD measurements was made on the two Si surfaces. The results are shown in Figure 2. Below 200 K, the desorption of weakly bound molecular NH<sub>3</sub> is the common feature from both surfaces. When the surface temperature is raised to ~600 K, an associative desorption reaction producing NH3 is observed on Si(100) (hatched region). This is consistent with the vibrational observation that the  $-NH_2$  species persist on this surface at this temperature. When the surface temperature is sufficiently high, the recombination of  $NH_2(a)$  with H(a) occurs on the surface, and the desorption of NH<sub>3</sub> occurs. Taylor et al. [5] demonstrated in an isotope experiment that surface NH<sub>2</sub> is indeed responsible for the observed recombination channel which primarily desorbs NH<sub>2</sub>D and NH<sub>3</sub> species near 600 K on a partially D-covered Si(100) surface. By contrast, as shown Figure 2B, this associative NH3 desorption channel does not exist on the Si(111)-(7x7) surface. Vibrational observation of the production of surface >NH species in the temperature range 300 - 600 K indicates that, unlike Si(100), there exists an efficient pathway for the further dissociation of surface -NH<sub>2</sub> into >NH and -H on Si(111). The direct kinetic effect of this is the suppression of the associative desorption channel to form  $NH_3(g)$  from  $NH_2(a)$  and H(a).

We have established thus far that following the initial low

temperature dissociation of  $NH_3$  into  $NH_2(a)$  and H(a), there are two distinct pathways for the surface reaction to further proceed. On Si(111), an efficient dissociation channel exists for  $NH_2(a)$  to produce stable surface >NH and -H species between 300 and 600 K. On the Si(100) surface, this dissociation channel for chemisorbed  $NH_2$  is absent. Instead, a remarkable thermal stability is found for the  $NH_2(a)$  species on this surface, which leads to the opening up of the associative  $NH_3$  desorption channel starting at ~600 K. Such a contrasting behavior suggests strongly that the surface structure of the two silicon crystals plays an important role. To understand the underlying mechanism that accounts for the observed differences, a detailed look into the surface atomic and electronic structure on both surfaces is presented next.

First we turn to the Si(111)-(7x7) surface. The spectroscopic observation of a stable >NH species at moderate temperatures suggests that the activation barrier for the chemisorbed NH<sub>2</sub> dissociation is sufficiently low so that >NH becomes the predominant species present on the surface at 600 K (Figure 1). Note that even at 300 K the vibrational spectrum indicates the presence of a small amount of >NH on the surface. Furthermore, the ability for the surface to accommodate NH(a) + 2H(a) species without any desorption taking place in this temperature range indicates that substrate Si-Si bond breaking is not only involved but is also <u>thermodynamically favorable</u>.

Wolkow and Avouris [4,5] have presented atom-resolved STM studies of the NH<sub>3</sub> reaction on Si(111)-(7x7) at room temperature. Reaction was found to occur on the Si rest atom and adatom dangling

bond sites, and a higher reactivity was observed for the rest Therefore, upon the saturation of surface dangling bonds by atoms.  $NH_3$  adsorption,  $NH_2(a)$  and H(a) are produced and localized at the adatom and rest atom sites. The localization of these adsorbate fragments and the open structure of the Si(111)-(7x7) minimizes steric interaction, even for monolayer coverage. Overall, the preservation of the (7x7) reconstruction as seen from STM indicates no extensive Si-Si bond breaking, consistent with our spectroscopic observation that the majority surface species are  $NH_2(a)$  and H(a). In the following discussion, we will ignore the contribution from the Si atom associated with the corner hole on the Si(111)-(7x7)unit cell and concentrate only on the adatoms and rest atoms which account for over 90 percent of the surface dangling bonds. Note that while the formation of a -NH<sub>2</sub> species involves bonding to only a single surface Si atom, a fully coordinated >NH species necessarily involves bonding to two Si atoms, i.e., the insertion of a N atom between two Si atoms. Given the fact that a  $\mathbb{M}_2(a)$ species always forms on a Si dangling bond site, and comparing the length of a typical Si-N bond (~2.0 Å) to the large distance separating surface Si dangling bonds (~5 Å), it is clear that a >NH can not bridge between two Si dangling bond sites [11]. This limits the >NH formation only to the nearest neighbor Si atoms, i.e., >NH formation requires the breaking of a Si-Si backbond.

With this structural model in mind, we next examine the nature of the Si-Si backbonds on the Si(lll)-(7x7) surface. Since the surface Si atoms can be classified into adatoms and rest atoms, the Si-Si backbonds associated with them can also be classified into

adatom backbonds and rest atom backbonds (Figure 3a). While both types of backbonds involve triply coordinated surface Si atoms, there is in fact a significant difference between these two types of silicon backbonds in that the adatom backbonds are strained while the rest atom backbonds are not [12,13]. The important role of these strained (and therefore weaker) Si backbonds in controlling surface chemistry has been recognized by Boland [14]. In STM investigations of hydrogen interaction with the Si(111)-(7x7) surface, Boland [14] presents compelling experimental evidence that the strained adatom backbond exhibits a significantly lower reaction barrier toward atomic hydrogen than the unstrained rest atom backbond. The relaxation of the strain on these adatom backbonds is responsible for a reduced barrier to reactive Si-Si bond breaking favoring the formation of  $SiH_x$  (x>1) species on Si This property pertains to the Si adatoms that form the adatoms. (7x7) reconstruction. Based on the above analysis, an analogous adatom backbond strain relaxation mechanism can be proposed for the formation of a >NH species, as schematically depicted with a ballstick model in Figure 3c. The opening of the original Si fourmember ring through the insertion of N into the Si backbond (Figure 3c) should facilitate the relief of strain on all three backbonds. We propose that this is most likely the structural origin of the stable surface >NH species specifically produced from NH<sub>3</sub> between 300 and 600 K on Si(111)-(7x7). Quantitatively, since the Si adatoms outnumber the Si rest atoms 2 to 1 in the (7x7) reconstruction, a similar fraction of surface -NH2 species should be associated with the Si adatoms upon initial saturation of the Si

dangling bonds by dissociative  $NH_3$  adsorption. The presence of a minority  $-NH_2$  species detected by HREELS (Figure 1B) at 600 K may be attributed to the surface  $NH_2(a)$  associated with the Si rest atoms.

Figure 4 shows the simpler Si(100)-(2x1) surface. The clean surface (2x1) reconstruction consists of  $\sigma$ -bonded Si dimer rows formed between adjacent surface Si atoms and two dangling bond orbitals at each end of the Si dimer which overlap (in  $\pi$ -bond fashion) weakly with each other (Figure 4a). Spectroscopic observation of chemisorbed NH2 and H following initial dissociative NH3 adsorption indicates that, similar to the situation on Si(111)-(7x7), only the surface dangling bonds are involved while the Si dimer  $\sigma$ -bond remains intact (Figure 4b). This is consistent with LEED observation that the (2x1) periodicity is preserved after initial surface saturation and also with the detection of this NH2 structure by ESDIAD [15]. The formation of a fully-coordinated >NH species on the surface would require the insertion of the >NH unit between two Si atoms. Here the structural constraint may come from the large separation between dimer units so that any possible >NH formation is limited to within a single dimer unit. Although the Si dimer bond is expected to be the weakest of all the Si-Si bonds and it is not completely free of lattice strain [16], unlike the Si-Si backbond on Si(111)-(7x7), it apparently survives further surface reaction and remains intact up to 600 K, as shown by the absence of >NH formation. Currently, there is no rigorous theoretical structural study available for direct comparison. Nevertheless, our experimental results do not support the claim of

a recent cluster model [17] which predicts a very low (~0.8 eV) activation barrier for the further dissociation of  $NH_2(a)$  into NH(a) and H(a) on Si(100)-(2x1) surface.

After the exclusion of a stable >NH species on the Si(100) surface, it is rather straightforward to understand the origin of the associative NH<sub>3</sub> desorption process which is specifically observed on this surface. The stability of NH<sub>2</sub>(a) on Si(100)-(2x1) permits recombination with H(a) to be energetically favored compared to >NH + -H production. Note that a <u>transient</u> >NH<sup>\*</sup> species might still be involved in the NH<sub>2</sub> dissociation process above 600 K. But a stable surface >NH species as a reaction intermediate is never observed spectroscopically under any temperature or coverage conditions.

We conclude by noting that, by virtue of the localized adsorbate-substrate chemical bond and a high barrier to surface diffusion, the dissociation pathway for  $NH_2(a)$  is greatly influenced by surface structure. Compared to a metal surface, the fundamental difference for silicon is the active participation of a substrate chemical bond in the dissociation process. This is reflected in the fact that, instead of relying on the existence of vacant adsorption sites, the  $NH_2(a)$  dissociation process on Si(111)-(7x7) itself involves breaking of the substrate Si-Si bond to create new adsorption sites locally to accommodate the dissociation products. Therefore, it is the overall energy balance of <u>all</u> the chemical bonds involved that determines the feasibility of a particular dissociation pathway. This ultimately relates to surface structure and bonding issues such as the bond strength

between the surface and bulk Si atoms, the lattice strain associated with each individual bond, the propagation of the strain field to the neighboring silicon atoms and so forth.

IV. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that NH3 chemistry on Si surfaces is dominated by the detailed surface atomic and electronic structure. Precise knowledge about the surface reconstruction and bonding leads to the understanding of the elementary steps chosen for a surface chemical process. The low temperature surface chemistry of NH<sub>3</sub> on silicon is largely related to the presence of surface dangling bonds. In the case of NH3 adsorption at low temperatures on Si(111)-(7x7) and Si(100)-(2x1), this only results in a limited degree of reaction, leading to the formation of  $-NH_2$ and -H surface species. At elevated temperatures, the breaking of substrate Si-Si bonds becomes the key process to advance the surface reaction. The selective breaking of substrate Si-Si bond at this stage of surface reaction depends crucially on the surface structure and detailed local bonding of the Si atoms. Certain strained Si-Si bonds, such as the adatom backbonds on Si(111)-(7x7), are weaker than the bulk Si-Si bonds and are therefore more subject to reactive bond breaking. In particular, the relief of the strain on the remaining Si adatom backbonds may be achieved by the insertion of an >NH species into a Si-Si backbond. This is believed to specifically provide the driving force for the further dissociation of  $NH_2(a)$  on the Si(111)-(7x7) adatom sites between 300 and 600 K. By contrast, this stepwise reaction mechanism is

absent on the Si(100)-(2x1) surface because no such favorable surface conditions exist. Instead, the  $NH_2(a)$  species remain thermally stable until ~600 K, when a new reaction channel opens up leading to the partial depletion of  $NH_2(a)$  by recombination to form  $NH_3(g)$ . These concepts likely apply to the behavior of many adsorbates on the various silicon single crystal surfaces.

#### Acknowledgement

We acknowledge with thanks the support of this work by the Office of Naval Research.

#### References

- [1] F.Bozso and Ph. Avouris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 1185; Phys. Rev. B38 (1988) 3937.
- [2] E. K. Hlil, L. Kluber, J. L. Bischoff and D. Bolmont, Phys. Rev. B35 (1987) 5913.
- [3] R. Wolkow and Ph. Avouris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 1049.
- [4] Ph. Avouris and R. Wolkow, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989) 5091.
- [5] P. A. Taylor, R. M. Wallace, W. J. Choyke, M. J. Dresser and J. T. Yates, Jr., Surf. Sci. 215 (1989) L286.
- [6] M. Fujisawa, Y. Taguchi, Y. Kuwahara, M. Onchi and M. Nishijima, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989) 12918.
- [7] C. U. S. Larsson and A. S. Flodstrom, Surf. Sci. 241 (1991) 353.
- [8] S. Tanaka, M. Onchi and M. Nishijima, Surf. Sci. 191 (1987) L756.
- [9] A. C. Dillon, P. Gupta, M. B. Robinson, A. S. Bracher and S.M. George, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A9 (1991) 2222.
- [10] M. L. Colaianni, P. J. Chen and J. T. Yates, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., in press.
- [11] A related molecule H<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>=N-N=Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> yields a Si-N bond length of 1.73 Å and a Si-N-Si angle of 130°. This structure represents approximately a fully-relaxed Si-N-Si molecular complex which yields a Si-Si separation of 3.1 Å. See "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry", F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, Wiley, New York (1980). pg. 411.
- [12] I. K. Robinson, W. K. Waskiewicz, P. H. Fuoss and L. J. Norton, Phys. Rev. B37 (1988) 4325.

- [13] R. D. Meade and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B40 (1989) 3905.
- [14] J. J. Boland, Surf. Sci. 244 (1991) 1.
- [15] M. J. Dresser, P. A. Taylor, R. M. Wallace, W. J. Choyke and J. T. Yates, Jr., Surf. Sci. 218 (1989) 75.
- [16] For a general review, see M. Schluter, in "The Chemical Physics of Solid Surfaces and Heterogeneous Catalysis, Vol.5" pg.37, D. A. King and D. P. Woodruff eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam (1988) and reference therein.
- [17] Zhou Ru-Hong, Cao Pei-Lin and Fu Song-Bao, Surf. Sci. 249
  (1991) 129.

#### Figure Captions

- Figure 1. HREEL spectra from (a) NH<sub>3</sub>/Si(100)-(2x1) after sequential heating to 300 and 600 K. The spectra were recorded at a primary energy of 4.2 eV; (b) NH<sub>3</sub>/Si(111)-(7x7) after sequential heating to 300 and 600 K. The spectra were recorded at a primary energy of 6.1 eV. The NH<sub>3</sub> exposure on both surfaces were 4x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> at 100 K.
- Figure 2. Temperature programmed desorption mass spectra of  $H_2$ (m/e=2) and NH<sub>3</sub> (m/e=17) from (a) Si(100)-(2x1) and (b) Si(111)-(7x7) after an identical NH<sub>3</sub> exposure of 4x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. The heating rates were 1 K/sec on both surfaces.
- Figure 3. (a) Ball-stick model for the Si adatom and Si rest atom on Si(111)-(7x7). Note the difference in the two types of Si-Si backbonds associated with the two types of Si surface sites. (b) Adsorption geometry for -NH<sub>2</sub> and -H. (c) Proposed structure for the stable surface >NH species.
- Figure 4. (a) Top view of the Si(100)-(2x1) surface. (b) Ballstick model of the adsorption configuration of -NH<sub>2</sub> and -H on Si(100)-(2x1).



Chen, Colaianni, Yates Figure 1

### Comparison of Thermal Desorption Products from NH3 on Si(100) and Si(111)



## Comparison of backbonding for Surface Sites on Si(111)-(7x7)

.

•



Chen, Colaianni, Yates Figure 3

# Surface Sites for NH<sub>3</sub> Dissociation on Si(100)-(2x1)





(b)



Chen, Colaianni, Yates Figure 4

ALE Contractor Distribution Li	ist	# Copies	
D.T.I.C. Bldg # 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314		12	
Dr. Andrew Freedman Aerodyne Research, Inc. 45 Manning Road Billerica, MA 01821 Tel: (508) 663-9500 FAX: (508) 663-4918 e-mail: aerodyn@mitvma.mit.edu	;	l	
Dr. Asif Kahn APA Optics 2950 NE 94th Lane Blaine, MN 55434 Tel: (612) 784-4995 FAX: (612) 784-2038 e-mail: 70702.2032@compuserve.	: ; com	1	
Dr. Duncan Brown Advanced Technology Materials, 7 Commerce Drive Danbury, CT 06810 Tel: (203) 794-1100 FAX: (203) 792-8040	Inc		1
Dr. Peter Norris EMCORE Corp. 35 Elizabeth Ave. Somerset, NJ 08873 Tel: (201) 271-9090		1	
Prof. Joe Greene Dept. of Materials Science and University of Illinois 1101 W. Springfield Ave. Urbana, IL 61801 Tel: (217) 333-0747	<sup>1</sup> Engineering	1	
Dr. T. P. Smith IBM T.J. Watson Research Cente P. O. Box 218, Route 134 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 e-mail: trey@ibm.com	ŗ	1	
Prof. Robert F. Davis N.C.S.U. Box 7907		1	

.

Raleigh, NC 27695-7907 Tel: (919) 515-2377/3272 FAX: (919) 515-3419 e-mail: davis@mte.ncsu.edu Prcf. Salah Bedair Department of Electrical Engineering N.C.S.U.; Box Raleigh, NC 27695 Tel: (919) 515-2336 e-mail: jll@@ecegrad.ncsu.edu t Max N. Yoder ONR Code 1114 Arlington, VA 22217 Tel: (703) 696-4218 FAXes (703) 696-2611/3945/5383 e-mail: yoder@charm.isi.edu Dr. A. M. Goodman ONR, Code 1114 Arlington, VA 22217 Tel: (703) 696-4218 FAXes (703) 696-2611/3945/5383 e-mail: goodman@ocnr-hq.navy.mil Dr. J. Pazik ONR Code 1113 Arlington, VA 22217 Tel: (703) 696-4410 FAXes (703) 696-2611/3945/5383 e-mail: pazik@ocnr-hq.navy.mil' pazik%estd.decnet@ccfinrl.navy.mil Prof. J. T. Yates, Jr. Dept. of Chemistry Surface Science Ctr. University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260 Tel: (412) 624-8320 FAX: (412) 624-8552 e-mail: yates@vms.cis.pitt.edu Robert J. Markunas, R.A. Rudder Research Triangle Institute; Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194 Tel: (919) 541-6153 FAX: (919) 541-6515 e-mail: rjmk@rti.rti.org Professor Mark P. D'Evelyn William Marsh Rice University Dept. of Chemistry P.O. Box 1892 Houston, TX 77251 Tel: (713) 527-8101, ext. 3468 FAX: (713) 285-5155 e-mail: mpdev@@langmuir.rice.edu Dr. Howard K. Schmidt ÷ Schmidt Instruments, Inc. 2476 Bolsover, Suite 234 Houston, TX 770054 Tel: (713) 529-9040

t.

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

FAX: (713) 529-1147 e-mail: hksionwk@ricevml.rice.edu Prof. A. F. Tasch 1 Dept. of Electrical Engr. & Computer Science Engineering Science Bldg. University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712 :. Tel: FAX: e-mail: tasch@roz.ece.utexas.edu Prof. Charles Tu 1 Dept of Electrical & Computer Engr. UCSD LaJolla, CA Tel: (619) 534-4687 : FAX: (619) 534-2486 e-mail: cwt@celece.ucsd.edu Prof. John E. Crowell 1 Department of Chemistry University of California at San Diego LaJolla, CA Tel: (619) 534-5441 FAX: (619) 534-0058 . email: jcrowell@ucsd.edu Prof. P. Daniel Dapkus University of Southern California University Park Los Angeles, CA 90089-1147 τ. e-mail: dapkus@mizar.usc.edu Tel: (213) 740-4414 FAX: (213) 740-8684 Unless you are a small business invoking your 2 year proprietory rights clause, you MUST state on the front page of your report: Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited. 2

. . . . . . .

:

. :

1

٦.