

11


||

Department of the Navy

AD-A247 404



||




DTIC
S 1000

5

FY 1993 BUDGET ESTIMATES

DOD BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE PROGRAM I



92-06051



JUSTIFICATION DATA
SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS
JANUARY 1992

92 3 06 035

Account: Base Closure and Realignment Phase I

STATE LIST AND CHANGES FROM THE FY 1992/FY 1993 BIENNIAL BUDGET

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
FY 1993
(BA in Thousands of Dollars)

Location/Project	Previously Approved	Adjustment Requested	Revised Request
California			
San Diego Naval Station Pier Upgrade	12,360	-8,860	3,500
Subtotal - California	12,360	-8,860	3,500
Hawaii			
Pearl Harbor Naval Station Applied Instruction Facility Addition	0	+1,650	1,650
Subtotal - Hawaii	0	+1,650	1,650
New York			
New York (Staten Island) Naval Station Storage Facilities	1,600	0	1,600
Bachelor Officer Quarters	0	+9,000	9,000
Subtotal - New York	1,600	+9,000	10,600
Pennsylvania			
Philadelphia Naval Ship Sys Engineering Sta Building Modernization	0	+6,300	6,300
Subtotal - Pennsylvania	0	+6,300	6,300
Texas			
Ingleside Naval Station Berthing Pier and Wharf (Phase II)	14,500	-14,500	0
Subtotal - Texas	14,500	-14,500	0
Washington			
Everett Naval Station			
Firing Range and Armory	840	+40	880
Commissary and Exchange	0	+12,400	12,400
Utilities and Site Improvements	13,300	+3,000	16,300
Family Services Center	2,340	0	2,340
Playing Fields and Courts	1,760	0	1,760
Long-Term Fleet Parking	2,900	0	2,900
Bachelor Officer Quarters	6,180	+220	6,400
Library and Educational Services	2,040	+110	2,150
Hobby Shop and Special Services	1,520	0	1,520
Chapel and Religious Education	1,600	+100	1,700
Automotive Hobby Shop	1,370	0	1,370
Access Roads	8,220	0	8,220
Subtotal - Washington	42,070	+15,870	57,940

Account: Base Closure and Realignment Phase I

STATE LIST AND CHANGES FROM THE FY 1992/FY 1993 BIENNIAL BUDGET

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
FY 1993
(BA in Thousands of Dollars)

Location/Project	Previously Approved	Adjustment Requested	Revised Request
Various Locations			
Environment	22,600	-7,535	15,065
Operations and Maintenance	5,950	+257	6,207
Other	2,920	-2,920	0
Subtotal - Various Locations	31,470	-10,198	21,272
Total - Base Closure & Realignment Phase I	102,000	-738	101,262

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1. Base Realignment and Closure Overview	1
2. Financial Summary	3
3. Base Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package:	
a. Naval Station, New York (Brooklyn), New York	5
P-108R, Storage Facilities, NS New York, New York	9
P-113R, Bachelor Officer Quarters, NS New York, New York	11
b. Naval Station, Ingleside, Texas	13
c. Naval Station, Puget Sound (Sand Point), Washington	17
P-301R, Utilities and Site Improvements, NS Everett, Washington	21
P-309R, Library and Educational Services Center, NS Everett, Washington	23
P-304R, Family Services Center, NS Everett, Washington	25
P-306R, Playing Fields and Courts, NS Everett, Washington	27
P-311R, Chapel and Religious Education Facility, NS Everett, Washington	29
P-308R, Bachelor Officers Quarters, NS Everett, Washington	31
P-310R, Hobby Shop and Special Services Facility, NS Everett, Washington	33
P-132R, Firing Range and Armory, NS Everett, Washington	35
P-307R, Fleet Parking Area, NS Everett, Washington	37
P-319R, Automotive Hobby Shop, NS Everett, Washington	39
P-320R, Access Roads, NS Everett, Washington	41
P-141R, Commissary and Exchange Facility, NS Everett, Washington	43
d. Naval Station, San Francisco (Hunters Point), California	45
P-474R, Applied Instruction Facility Addition, NS Pearl Harbor, Hawaii	49
P-323R, Pier Upgrade, NS San Diego, California	51
e. Naval Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	53
P-008R, Building Modernization, Navy Ship Systems Engineering Station, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	57
f. Planning/Design and Management Overhead	59

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
NAVY OVERVIEW

Schedule:

(\$M)

<u>SITE/LOCATION</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
NS New York, NY	20.72	23.11	11.54	21.87	.58	-56.71	21.11
NS Ingleside, TX	1.56	0	0	0	0	0	1.56
NS Puget Sound, WA	.01	30.28	1.50	60.71	3.35	-59.95	35.89
NS San Francisco, CA	57.00	8.25	15.79	5.87	.09	0	86.99
Philadelphia Hospital	0	.16	7.53	12.82	5.39	6.74	32.64
<u>SUB TOTAL</u>	<u>79.29</u>	<u>61.81</u>	<u>36.36</u>	<u>101.26</u>	<u>9.40</u>	<u>-109.93</u>	<u>178.19</u>
<u>Planning & Design/OH</u>	<u>.02</u>	<u>8.44</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>.00</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8.46</u>
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>79.31</u>	<u>70.25</u>	<u>36.36</u>	<u>101.26</u>	<u>9.40</u>	<u>-109.93</u>	<u>186.65</u>

The above funding profile provides for the minimum construction and relocation actions necessary to meet required realignment/closure deadlines, and ultimate cleanup and disposal of property.

Disposal of excess real estate assets and receipt of any resulting revenues will depend upon completion of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation, and required environmental cleanup. Revenues from the disposal of real estate may be significantly lower than originally estimated, if property is transferred to other government agencies at no cost, rather than sold at fair market value.

Mission Impact:

New York - Improved efficiency by consolidating all administrative and support operations on Staten Island.

Gulf Coast - Operational efficiencies will be realized by consolidating ships at Ingleside. Reduction in the number of ships has reduced the construction requirement.

Puget Sound - Improved efficiency resulting from consolidation of direct fleet operational/personnel support functions at or in the vicinity of the Everett homeport.

West Coast - Forward basing of battlegroup ships at Pearl Harbor will reduce response time to western Pacific.

Philadelphia - Improved efficiency and effectiveness of health care.

Conjunctive Projects:

There are no Navy Base Realignment and Closure Program projects that are conjunctively funded.



11-11-61

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
NAVY FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

<u>ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction	79	65	15	80	0	0	239
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	1	14	15	6	5	41
Operations & Maintenance	0	3	7	6	4	2	22
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	-117	-117
TOTAL COSTS	79	70	37	101	10	-110	187
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction	8	0	39	39	39	39	164
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	26	36	21	30	30	143
Military Personnel	0	0	0	4	10	6	20
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	(.135)	(.185)	(.320)
Military ES	0	0	0	(.250)	(.360)	(.510)	(1.120)
TOTAL SAVINGS	8	26	75	64	79	75	327
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	71	65	-24	41	-39	-39	75
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	1	14	15	6	5	41
Operations & Maintenance	0	-23	-29	-14	-27	-28	-121
Military Personnel	0	0	0	-4	-10	-6	-20
Other	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	-117	-117
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	(.135)	(.185)	(.320)
Military ES	0	0	0	(.250)	(.360)	(.510)	(1.120)
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	71	44	-38	38	-70	-185	-140

Exhibit BC-02



BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(NAVY/NAVAL STATION NEW YORK, NY)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<u>ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction	20550	19740	0	10600	0	0	50890
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	174	6935	8065	0	0	15174
Operations & Maintenance	168	2675	4503	3203	579	289	11417
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	525	100	0	0	0	625
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	-57000	-57000
 TOTAL COSTS	 20718	 23114	 11538	 21868	 579	 -56711	 21106
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	100	1000	4200	4200	4200	13700
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
 TOTAL SAVINGS	 0	 100	 1000	 4200	 4200	 4200	 13700
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	20550	19740	0	10600	0	0	50890
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	174	6935	8065	0	0	15174
Operations & Maintenance	168	2575	3503	-997	-3621	-3911	-2283
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	525	100	0	0	0	625
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	-57000	-57000
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
 NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	 20718	 23014	 10538	 17668	 -3621	 -60911	 7406

Exhibit BC-03



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

NAVY/Naval Station New York (Brooklyn), NY/Package:

Closure Package: The Commission recommended closure of Naval Station New York (Brooklyn), whose mission is to provide administrative, housing, supply, medical and recreational support to fleet units at Naval Station New York (Staten Island) and logistic support to Navy tenants. Support functions and tenants will be relocated to Staten Island or other government owned/leased facilities in the metropolitan New York area.

Action required to accomplish the Brooklyn closure includes: construction of headquarters/admin, personnel support, bachelor housing, maintenance, security, and warehousing facilities; environmental cleanup at Brooklyn; master planning; protection and maintenance costs until facilities are disposed; and interim leasing costs.

Costs:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the recommendations of the Commission:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Staten Island	P-111R,	Public Works Facilities	1990	5,150
Staten Island	P-107R,	Bachelor Enlisted Qtr's	1990	7,600
Staten Island	P-115R,	Navy Exchange Facilities	1990	2,600
Staten Island	P-116R,	Physical Fitness Center	1990	2,600
Staten Island	P-120R,	Outdoor Rec Facilities	1990	2,600
		Subtotal FY90		20,550
Staten Island	P-117R,	HQ/Admin Building	1991	10,800
Staten Island	P-122R,	Community Facilities	1991	7,100
Staten Island	P-110R,	Automotive Hobby Shop	1991	640
Staten Island	P-118R,	Police Station	1991	1,200
		Subtotal FY91		19,740
Staten Island	P-108R,	Storage Facilities	1993	1,600
Staten Island	P-113R,	BOQ	1993	9,000
		Subtotal FY93		10,600

Conjunctively Funded Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Environmental: The closure of Brooklyn will not adversely affect the environment. Environmental assessment with finding of no significant impact was completed in August 1990. Cleanup of contaminated soil, removal of fuel tanks and PCB equipment, and any required asbestos removal will be funded by the Base Closure Account.

Operation and Maintenance: Costs include rehabilitation and repair of Staten Island facilities for public works engineering; rehabilitation and repair of the Ft. Wadsworth gymnasium; temporary modular facility for interim MED/DEN Clinic to replace the Brooklyn Clinic closed in FY 91; temporary lease trailers to replace Brooklyn Exchange until Stapleton NEX complete; CIVPERS severance and relocation; relocation of usable equipment; disposal of unusable equipment; collateral equipment, beyond what can be reused, to outfit the Base Closure facilities; transfer of telephone service from Brooklyn to Staten Island; tenant relocation; alterations and first years lease of GSA lease facilities for SUPSHIPS and CCPO; renovation of existing government facilities (closed New Rochelle Reserve Center) for Navy Motion Picture Service; interim operations support services to provide support services at both Brooklyn and Staten Island during functional transfer; bridge tolls for increased traffic between Staten Island and Brooklyn for services and security; environmental surveys for hazardous materials; removal of asbestos, underground storage tanks, and PCB electrical gear; master planning; Brooklyn real estate appraisal; disposal costs, paid to GSA for disposal services; and minimum protection and maintenance until Brooklyn facilities are disposed of.

Procurement Items: Required for operational support equipment and replacement intrusion detection systems.

Revenues from Land Sales: The Brooklyn site is composed of approximately 41.32 acres with about 690,665 SF of improved facilities and 29,572 SF family housing. Two structures have been identified as eligible for the National Register of historic facilities. Initial commission estimates for land sale revenues were about \$40M. The Brooklyn appraisals have been initiated and are under review. If transferred to another federal agency, revenue may not be realized.

Savings:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: The value reflects Brooklyn savings less increased operating costs at Staten Island.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, NEW YORK, NEW YORK			4. PROJECT TITLE STORAGE FACILITIES			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 143.55	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-108R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST
STORAGE FACILITIES				LS	-	-
BUILDING ADDITION.				SF	7,000	129.00 (900)
OPEN STORAGE				SY	1,100	27.00 (30)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.				-	-	510
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.				LS	-	(160)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES				LS	-	(70)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES				LS	-	(160)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.				LS	-	(120)
SUBTOTAL				-	-	1,440
CONTINGENCY (5%)				-	-	70
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.				-	-	1,510
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%).				-	-	90
TOTAL REQUEST.				-	-	1,600
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS				-	-	(NON-ADD) (0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
One-story steel frame building addition, with insulated metal siding and roof, pile foundation and concrete floor, fire protection system; utilities, mechanical ventilation; and open storage area.						
11. REQUIREMENT: As Required.						
<u>PROJECT:</u> Provides transit shed and open paved area for storage of equipment, furnishings, and ship's support gear and apparatus.						
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate storage facilities and open laydown area to accommodate equipment, material, and ships' support gear required to operate the Naval Station, Brooklyn, to service ships in port, and to implement the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) recommendation to close Naval Station, Brooklyn.						
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Adequate storage and open laydown area exists and equipment is now stored at Brooklyn.						
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Upon closure of Naval Station, Brooklyn, adequate storage and laydown facilities will not exist at Navy facilities on Staten Island, and the recommended BRCC realignment could not be implemented.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
NAVAL STATION, NEW YORK, NEW YORK

4. PROJECT TITLE STORAGE FACILITIES	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-108R
--	-----------------------------

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".)

(1) Status:

(a) Date Design Started	<u>01-91</u>
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92	<u>35 %</u>
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91	<u>10 %</u>
(d) Date Design Complete	<u>06-92</u>

(2) Basis:

(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No X

(b) Where Design was Most Recently Used: _____

(3) Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(<u>85</u>)
(b) All Other Design Costs	(<u>66</u>)
(c) Total	(<u>151</u>)
(d) Contract	(<u>142</u>)
(e) In-House	(<u>9</u>)

(4) Construction Start 11-92
(month and year)

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

1. COMPONENT NAVY		93 FY 19__ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION NEW YORK, NEW YORK			4. PROJECT TITLE BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 724.11	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-113R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 9,000	
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS.		SF	29,825	170.00	(5,070)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.		-	-	-	3,020
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.		LS	-	-	(860)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(400)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(650)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT.		LS	-	-	(760)
DEMOLITION		LS	-	-	(350)
SUBTOTAL		-	-	-	8,090
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	400
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.		-	-	-	8,490
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%).		-	-	-	510
TOTAL REQUEST.		-	-	-	9,000
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION					
Multi-story, steel-frame building, pile foundation, concrete floors, masonry walls, composition roof, fire protection and security systems, air conditioning, utilities; senior officer suites have a living room, bedroom, bathroom and kitchen; junior officer suites have a combination living-bedroom, bathroom, and a pullman type kitchen; lounge, laundry, storage, vending; mechanical equipment; Grade Mix: 20 W1-02, 31 O3 and above. Total: 51.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 51 PN. ADEQUATE: Q PN. SUBSTANDARD: Q PN. PROJECT: Provides adequate billeting space for 51 bachelor officer personnel. REQUIREMENT: Adequate housing for officer personnel, and to implement the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) recommendation to close Naval Station, Brooklyn, New York. CURRENT SITUATION: Housing for 51 officer personnel exists at Naval Station, Brooklyn. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Upon closure of Naval Station, Brooklyn, bachelor officer personnel residing there would be displaced onto the local economy. Comparable adequate private housing in the area is limited and extremely expensive. Failure to provide adequate quarters would seriously degrade morale, welfare and safety of personnel displaced and adversely affect personnel retention, and the BRCC recommended realignment could not be implemented.					
(Continued on DD 1391c)					

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
NAVAL STATION NEW YORK, NEW YORK

4. PROJECT TITLE BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-113R
---	-----------------------------

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".)

- (1) Status:
 - (a) Date Design Started 09-88
 - (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92 90 %
 - (c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91 60 %
 - (d) Date Design Complete 06-92

- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes___ No X
 - (b) Where Design was Most Recently Used: _____

- (3) Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)
 - (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (140)
 - (b) All Other Design Costs (72)
 - (c) Total (212)
 - (d) Contract (200)
 - (e) In-House (12)

- (4) Construction Start 11-93

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(NAVY/NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE, TX)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<u>ONE-TIME</u>							
<u>IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction	1200	0	0	0	0	0	1200
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	360	0	0	0	0	0	360
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	1563	0	0	0	0	0	1563
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction	7600	0	0	0	0	0	7600
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	11200	10000	10000	10000	10000	51200
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	7600	11200	10000	10000	10000	10000	58800
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	-6400	0	0	0	0	0	-6400
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	0	0	0	0	0	(180)
Operations & Maintenance	3	-11200	-10000	-10000	-10000	-10000	-51197
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	360	0	0	0	0	0	360
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6037	-11200	-10000	-10000	-10000	-10000	-57237

Exhibit BC-03



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

NAVY/Naval Station Ingleside, TX/Package:

Closure Package: The Commission recommended that the Strategic Homeport Program construction for Naval Station Lake Charles and Naval Station Galveston not be completed and that comparable construction be accomplished at Naval Station Ingleside, Texas. One oiler was to be relocated from Lake Charles and two frigates and two mine-warfare ships from Galveston. Consolidation of the Lake Charles and Galveston ships with the rest of the battleship battlegroup at Ingleside would have improved battlegroup integrity, reduced operating costs, and improved command and control.

Actions originally required to implement the Lake Charles and Galveston closures included construction of pier/wharf extensions and utility extensions.

As a result of the recent military force structure review and reduction of the number of combatant ships, the mission and ship mix at Naval Station Ingleside has changed. Two mine warfare ships and two reserve frigates from the original Base Realignment and Closure (BRC) ship mix remain. Action required to accomplish the Gulf Coast realignment includes: pier/wharf utility modifications, pier-support equipment, and environmental restoration at Galveston and Lake Charles.

Costs:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the recommendations of the Commission:

<u>Location, Project Number, Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Ingleside P-035R, Utility & Site Improvements	1990	<u>1,200</u>
Subtotal FY90		1,200

Conjunctively Funded Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Environmental: The closure of Lake Charles and Galveston will not adversely affect the environment. An environmental assessment for the relocation from Galveston and Lake Charles to Ingleside was completed in August 1990 resulting in a finding of no significant impact. The property in Lake Charles has been returned to the Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District, in accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement. The Galveston property will be transferred to the Marine Corps and the Coast Guard, therefore an environmental assessment is not required for disposal.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds are required real estated disposal documentation.

Procurement Items: Funds are required for collateral pier support equipment for the additional ships: ship-to-shore power cables; ship-to-shore communication cables; and utility hoses for potable water, sanitary sewer and oily waste services to the ships; brows for ship access; and fender cushions to protect ship hulls and the pier/wharf from damage.

Revenues from Land Sales: No revenues will be realized from sale of the Lake Charles or Galveston sites. The Lake Charles site has reverted back to the Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District. The Galveston site will be transferred to Marine Corps and Coast Guard.

Savings:

Military Construction: None. Construction planned for Lake Charles and Galveston closures will not be executed.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings projected were based upon consolidation of the Lake Charles and Galveston operations with operations at Ingleside.

Military Personnel: None. All incoming personnel would have reported to Ingleside, rather than to Lake Charles and Galveston.

Other: None.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(NAVY/NAVAL STATION PUGET SOUND (SAND POINT), WA)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<u>ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction	0	29980	0	57940	0	0	87920
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	237	617	800	655	0	2309
Operations & Maintenance	8	65	880	1968	2690	50	5661
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	-60000	-60000
TOTAL COSTS	8	30282	1497	60708	3345	-59950	35890
<u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	-2100	-2100	-2100	-2100	-8400
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	-2100	-2100	-2100	-2100	-8400
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	0	29980	0	57940	0	0	87920
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	237	617	800	655	0	2309
Operations & Maintenance	8	65	2980	4068	4790	2150	14061
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	65	0	0	0	0	65
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	-60000	-60000
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	8	30347	3597	62808	5445	-57850	44355

Exhibit BC-03



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Navy/Naval Station Puget Sound (Sand Point), WA, Package

Closure Package: The Commission recommended closing the portion of Naval Station Puget Sound (Sand Point) whose mission is to serve fleet units at Naval Station Puget Sound (Everett). Activities supporting the Pacific Northwest Carrier Battlegroup at Everett, but currently located at Sand Point will be relocated. Some Facilities can be constructed at Everett, but due to site limitations, land must be purchased near Everett in order to accomplish this relocation.

Costs:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the recommendations of the Commission:

<u>Location/Project Number, Title</u>		<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
No Projects		1990	<u>0</u>
FY 90 SUBTOTAL			0
Everett On-site	P-302R, Fleet HQ Support Bldg	1991	6,200
Everett On-site	P-104R, Logistics Complex	1991	10,680
Everett Off-site	P-300R, Land Acquisition	1991	11,200
Everett On-site	P-312R, Port Services Addition	1991	<u>1,900</u>
FY 91 SUBTOTAL			29,980
No Projects		1992	<u>0</u>
FY 92 SUBTOTAL			0
Everett Off-site	P-301R, Util & Site Improvements	1993	16,300
Everett Off-site	P-309R, Library & Educational Svcs	1993	2,150
Everett Off-site	P-304R, Family Services Center	1993	2,340
Everett Off-site	P-306R, Playing Fields & Courts	1993	1,760
Everett Off-site	P-311R, Chapel & Religious Educ	1993	1,700
Everett Off-site	P-308R, Bachelor Officers Qtrs	1993	6,400
Everett Off-site	P-310R, Hobby Shop & Special Svcs	1993	1,520
Everett Off-site	P-132R, Firing Range and Armory	1993	880
Everett Off-site	P-307R, Fleet Parking Area	1993	2,900
Everett Off-site	P-319R, Auto Hobby Shop	1993	1,370
Everett Off-site	P-320R, Access Roads	1993	8,220
Everett Off-site	P-141R, Commissary and Exchange	1993	<u>12,400</u>
FY 93 SUBTOTAL			57,940

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: P-141R, Commissary and Exchange Facility, has a total commissary requirement of 60,000 square feet. 40,000 square feet will be funded by base closure and 20,000 square feet will be conjunctively funded by Non-appropriated Funds.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operation: None.

Environmental: The environmental impact of the realignment of Naval Station Puget Sound, Everett, will primarily be due to acquisition of and construction on land in the vicinity of Everett. In addition, there will be an increase in personnel assigned to Everett with a corresponding decrease at Sand Point. The Environmental Impact Statement for this package was completed in August 1991. Disposal of Sand Point land will be effected after completion of separate environmental documentation.

Cleanup of contaminated soil, asbestos removal, and removal of fuel tanks at Sand Point will be funded from the Base Closure Account.

Operation and Maintenance: O&M,N funds are required for equipment relocation and moving costs, collateral equipment, real estate disposal and documentation, civilian PCS, and master planning. The relocation of activities that support the Pacific Northwest Carrier Battlegroup will involve construction of, and relocation to, new facilities at Sand Point. The new facilities will utilize existing collateral equipment where possible; however, additional collateral equipment including desks, chairs, partitions and storage racks will be needed in the new facilities. Civilian PCS costs are attributable to relocating civilian personnel to the new duty station. Funds are required to perform master planning of the new off-site support area and to provide for revision of the existing waterfront master plan to accept base closure changes.

Procurement Items: None.

Revenues from Land Sales: Appraisals based on highest and best use of land at Sand Point have not yet been made. However, estimates based on sale for fair market value range up to \$60 million, depending on the acreage to be disposed. If transferred to another government agency, revenue may not be realized.

Savings:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Operation and Maintenance: None. Increased recurring costs for personnel and operations at Everett exceed the projected savings from the partial closure of Sand Point.

Military Personnel: None.

Exhibit BC-04

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 800.00	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-301R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 16,300	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS.				LS	-	-
SUBTOTAL				-	-	14,650
CONTINGENCY (5%)				-	-	730
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				-	-	15,380
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%). . .				-	-	920
TOTAL REQUEST				-	-	16,300
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS				-	- (NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Earthwork, clearing and grading; sewer, water, electrical, natural gas, telecommunications and mechanical utility systems, ductbanks, storm drainage, paving, site improvements, utility connections, mitigation.						
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>As Required.</u> <u>PROJECT:</u> Provides utilities, site improvements, utility connections, and internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation at the Everett off-site location. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate site preparation, utility installation and internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation to support development of land acquired in order to implement the recommendation of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC). <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> A portion of the land and facilities required to support the Everett homeport was to be provided from Naval Station Puget Sound, Sand Point. Limited land availability precludes satisfying the total requirement at the Everett waterfront. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Development of the Everett off-site location would not be possible and the recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																				
4. PROJECT TITLE UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-301R																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td><u>5-91</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td><u>45%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td><u>20%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td><u>9-92</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____</p> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(<u>710</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(<u>480</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td><u>1,190</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(<u>1,070</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(<u>120</u>)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>	(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>710</u>)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>480</u>)	(c) Total.....	<u>1,190</u>	(d) Contract.....	(<u>1,070</u>)	(e) In-house.....	(<u>120</u>)
(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>																			
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>																			
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>710</u>)																			
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>480</u>)																			
(c) Total.....	<u>1,190</u>																			
(d) Contract.....	(<u>1,070</u>)																			
(e) In-house.....	(<u>120</u>)																			

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE LIBRARY AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CENTER			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 740.88	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-309R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,150		
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
LIBRARY AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CENTER. . .		SF	12,420	-	1,400	
LIBRARY.		SF	2,520	131.00	(330)	
EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CENTER.		SF	9,900	108.00	(1,070)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.		-	-	-	530	
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES.		LS	-	-	(180)	
MECHANICAL UTILITIES.		LS	-	-	(240)	
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS.		LS	-	-	(110)	
SUBTOTAL.		-	-	-	1,930	
CONTINGENCY (5%).		-	-	-	100	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.		-	-	-	2,030	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%). . .		-	-	-	120	
TOTAL REQUEST.		-	-	-	2,150	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
One-story steel-frame structure, reinforced concrete foundation, precast concrete exterior walls, sloped standing-rib metal roof, lighting, heating, fire protection system, ventilation system, water, sewer, electrical and communications utilities; paving and site improvements.						
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>12,420 SF.</u> ADEQUATE: <u>0 SF.</u> SUBSTANDARD: <u>0 SF.</u> PROJECT: Provides a library and an educational services center. REQUIREMENT: Adequate educational opportunities for fleet personnel and their dependents, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett. CURRENT SITUATION: These facilities do not currently exist at Everett. These functions were to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. The Naval Station Puget Sound realignment will preclude providing these personnel support facilities at Sand Point. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Morale will be adversely affected, self-directed educational opportunities for Navy personnel and their dependents will be limited, and the recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																				
4. PROJECT TITLE LIBRARY AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-309R																			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:																				
<p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">5-91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">45%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">9-92</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____</p> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">(100)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">(80)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">180</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">(160)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">(20)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	5-91	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	45%	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	20%	(d) Date Design Complete.....	9-92	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(100)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(80)	(c) Total.....	180	(d) Contract.....	(160)	(e) In-house.....	(20)
(a) Date Design Started.....	5-91																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	45%																			
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	20%																			
(d) Date Design Complete.....	9-92																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(100)																			
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(80)																			
(c) Total.....	180																			
(d) Contract.....	(160)																			
(e) In-house.....	(20)																			

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON				4. PROJECT TITLE FAMILY SERVICES CENTER			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 740.25	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-304R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,340		
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER				SF	13,090	117.00	1,520
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				-	-	-	580
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES				LS	-	-	(210)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES				LS	-	-	(270)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL				-	-	-	2,100
CONTINGENCY (5%)				-	-	-	110
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				-	-	-	2,210
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%)				-	-	-	130
TOTAL REQUEST				-	-	-	2,340
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS				-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION							
One-story steel-frame structure, reinforced concrete foundation, precast concrete exterior walls, sloped standing-rib metal roof; lighting, heating, fire protection system, ventilation system; water, sewer, electrical and communications utilities; paving, site improvements.							
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>13,090</u> SF. ADEQUATE: <u>0</u> SF. SUBSTANDARD: <u>0</u> SF. PROJECT: Provides a family services center with a thrift shop, Personnel Support Detachment, housing referral, and family counseling. REQUIREMENT: Adequate family services for ship's crews, base personnel, and dependents at the Everett carrier battle group homeport, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station, Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett. CURRENT SITUATION: No family services facilities currently exist at Everett. These functions were to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. Realignment of the Naval Station will preclude this approach. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: There will be no facilities available to provide family service and personnel support, such as information and referral services for personal and family matters including: financial counseling, marriage and family abuse counseling; relocation assistance; career counseling; and emergency services. The recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.							
(Continued on DD 1391c)							

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON
--

4. PROJECT TITLE FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-304R
--	-----------------------------

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance.")

1. Status:
 - (a) Date Design Started..... 5-91
 - (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92..... 45%
 - (c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91..... 20%
 - (d) Date Design Complete..... 9-92

2. Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No X
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____

3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)
 - (a) Production of Plans and Specifications..... (130)
 - (b) All Other Design Costs..... (90)
 - (c) Total..... 220
 - (d) Contract..... (200)
 - (e) In-house..... (20)

4. Construction Start..... 12-92

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON				4. PROJECT TITLE PLAYING FIELDS AND COURTS				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 750.20	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-306R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,760			
9. COST ESTIMATES								
ITEM					U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PLAYING FIELDS AND COURTS.					LS	-	-	1,320
PLAYING FIELDS					EA	4	150 K	(600)
OUTDOOR PLAYING COURTS					EA	5	23 K	(120)
INDOOR PLAYING COURTS					SF	4,800	126.00	(600)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.					LS	-	-	260
MECHANICAL UTILITIES					LS	-	-	(120)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES					LS	-	-	(90)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS					LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL					-	-	-	1,580
CONTINGENCY (5%)					-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.					-	-	-	1,660
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%).					-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST.					-	-	-	1,760
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS					-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION								
One-story, high-bay, steel frame and masonry structure, playing fields, asphalt playing courts, lighting, paving, site improvements.								
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>As Required.</u>								
<u>PROJECT:</u> Provides four indoor racquetball courts, four softball fields and five lighted outdoor courts for tennis and basketball.								
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate recreational facilities at Naval Station, Everett, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett.								
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> No recreation facilities exist at Everett. A portion of the recreational support requirement for Everett was to be provided by facilities at Sand Point. Those facilities are impacted by consolidation of Sand Point functions and can no longer provide anticipated recreational support.								
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Naval personnel and their dependents would be forced to compete for limited facilities in the surrounding civilian community. Opportunities for recreational activities and physical fitness conditioning would be severely limited and morale would be adversely impacted.								
(Continued on DD 1391c)								

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																				
4. PROJECT TITLE PLAYING FIELDS AND COURTS	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-306R																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td><u>5-91</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td><u>45%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td><u>20%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td><u>9-92</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes___ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____</p> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(<u>90</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(<u>60</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td><u>150</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(<u>130</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(<u>20</u>)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>	(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>90</u>)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>60</u>)	(c) Total.....	<u>150</u>	(d) Contract.....	(<u>130</u>)	(e) In-house.....	(<u>20</u>)
(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>																			
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>																			
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>90</u>)																			
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>60</u>)																			
(c) Total.....	<u>150</u>																			
(d) Contract.....	(<u>130</u>)																			
(e) In-house.....	(<u>20</u>)																			

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON				4. PROJECT TITLE CHAPEL AND RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 730.84	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-311R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,700	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
CHAPEL AND RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY. . .		SF	8,840	-	1,230	
CHAPEL		SF	6,450	146.00	(940)	
RELIGIOUS EDUCATION CENTER		SF	2,390	121.00	(290)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.		-	-	-	310	
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(90)	
MECHANICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(120)	
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS	-	-	(100)	
SUBTOTAL		-	-	-	1,540	
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	70	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.		-	-	-	1,610	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%).		-	-	-	90	
TOTAL REQUEST.		-	-	-	1,700	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
One-story, high-bay, steel-frame structure, reinforced concrete foundation, precast concrete exterior walls, sloped standing-rib metal roof; lighting, heating, fire protection system, ventilation system; water, sewer, electrical and communications utilities; paving and site improvements.						
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>8,840</u> SF. ADEQUATE: <u>0</u> SF. SUBSTANDARD: <u>0</u> SF. PROJECT: Provides a chapel and religious education facility. REQUIREMENT: Adequate religious worship and educational opportunities for fleet personnel and their dependents, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett. CURRENT SITUATION: No religious facilities currently exist at Everett. These functions were to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. The Naval Station Puget Sound realignment will preclude providing these personnel support facilities at Sand Point. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Personnel and dependent morale will be adversely affected and the recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																				
4. PROJECT TITLE CHAPEL AND RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-311R																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td>5-91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td>45%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td>9-92</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____</p> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(60)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(40)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(90)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(10)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... 12-92</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	5-91	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	45%	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	20%	(d) Date Design Complete.....	9-92	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(60)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(40)	(c) Total.....	20%	(d) Contract.....	(90)	(e) In-house.....	(10)
(a) Date Design Started.....	5-91																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	45%																			
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	20%																			
(d) Date Design Complete.....	9-92																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(60)																			
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(40)																			
(c) Total.....	20%																			
(d) Contract.....	(90)																			
(e) In-house.....	(10)																			

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE BACHELOR OFFICERS QUARTERS			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N	6. CATEGORY CODE 724.12	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-308R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 6,400			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BACHELOR OFFICERS QUARTERS		SF	44,850	93.00	4,170	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		-	-	-	1,560	
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES		LS	-	-	(740)	
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(340)	
MECHANICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(290)	
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS	-	-	(190)	
SUBTOTAL		-	-	-	5,730	
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	300	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		-	-	-	6,030	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%)		-	-	-	370	
TOTAL REQUEST		-	-	-	6,400	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
Multi-story steel-frame structure, pile foundation, reinforced concrete walls, sloped standing-seam metal roof; elevator, kitchens, lounge area, laundry, vending and storage areas, lighting, heating and ventilation, fire protection system, utilities, storm drains, paving, site improvements. GRADE MIX: 20 BOQ W1-02 49 BOQ O3 and above TOTAL: 69						
11. REQUIREMENT: 69 PN. ADEQUATE: 0 PN. SUBSTANDARD: 0 PN. PROJECT: Provides housing for unaccompanied officer personnel permanently stationed at Everett, as well as students, rotational, and transient officers and qualified civilian personnel. REQUIREMENT: Adequate housing for officers assigned to the Carrier Battle Group and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett. CURRENT SITUATION: No facilities currently exist at Everett to provide this function. This capability was to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. The Naval Station Puget Sound realignment will preclude providing these facilities at Sand Point. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Officers and other personnel would have to obtain housing on the local economy at a higher cost, and the requirements of the Base Realignment and Closure Program could not be implemented.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																				
4. PROJECT TITLE BACHELOR OFFICERS QUARTERS	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-308R																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td><u>5-91</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td><u>45%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td><u>20%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td><u>9-92</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____</p> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(<u>350</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(<u>240</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td><u>590</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(<u>530</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(<u>60</u>)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>	(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>350</u>)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>240</u>)	(c) Total.....	<u>590</u>	(d) Contract.....	(<u>530</u>)	(e) In-house.....	(<u>60</u>)
(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>																			
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>																			
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>350</u>)																			
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>240</u>)																			
(c) Total.....	<u>590</u>																			
(d) Contract.....	(<u>530</u>)																			
(e) In-house.....	(<u>60</u>)																			

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON		4. PROJECT TITLE HOBBY SHOP AND SPECIAL SERVICES FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N	6. CATEGORY CODE 740.36	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-310R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,520	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
HOBBY SHOP AND SPECIAL SERVICES FACILITY . .	SF	9,660	-	880
ARTS AND CRAFTS HOBBY SHOP	SF	4,730	131.00	(620)
SPECIAL SERVICES GEAR ISSUE.	SF	4,930	53.00	(260)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	480
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(170)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(190)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(120)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,360
CONTINGENCY (5%)	-	-	-	70
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,430
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%). . .	-	-	-	90
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,520
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION				
One-story steel-frame structure, concrete foundation and floor, precast concrete exterior walls, sloped standing-seam metal roof, lighting, heating, ventilation, fire protection system; water, sewer, electrical and natural gas utilities; storm drains; paving and site improvements.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 9,660 SF. ADEQUATE: 0 SF. SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF.				
PROJECT: Provides an Arts and Crafts Hobby Shop and a Special Services Gear Issue facility for Navy personnel and their dependents.				
REQUIREMENT: Adequate arts and crafts hobby shop and special services gear issue space to support personnel of a carrier battle group homeported at Everett, WA., and implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett, WA.				
CURRENT SITUATION: Arts and crafts hobby shop and special services gear issue facilities do not exist at Naval Station, Everett. These functions were to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. The Naval Station Puget Sound realignment will preclude providing these personnel support facilities at Sand Point.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The morale of Naval Station personnel and their dependents will be adversely affected, and the recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.				
(Continued on DD 1391c)				

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																								
4. PROJECT TITLE HOBBY SHOP AND SPECIAL SERVICES FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-310R																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">1. Status:</p> <table style="margin-left: 80px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 20px;">(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">5-91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">45%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">9-92</td> </tr> </table> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">2. Basis:</p> <table style="margin-left: 80px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Yes ___ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">_____</td> </tr> </table> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table style="margin-left: 80px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">120</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">10</td> </tr> </table> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	5-91	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	45%	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	20%	(d) Date Design Complete.....	9-92	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	Yes ___ No <u>X</u>	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	_____	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	80	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	50	(c) Total.....	130	(d) Contract.....	120	(e) In-house.....	10
(a) Date Design Started.....	5-91																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	45%																							
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	20%																							
(d) Date Design Complete.....	9-92																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	Yes ___ No <u>X</u>																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	_____																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	80																							
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	50																							
(c) Total.....	130																							
(d) Contract.....	120																							
(e) In-house.....	10																							

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE FIRING RANGE AND ARMORY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT D204796N	6. CATEGORY CODE 171.50	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-132R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 880			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FIRING RANGE AND ARMORY.		SF	3,510	-	500	
INDOOR RANGE		SF	2,000	92.00	(180)	
ARMORY		SF	1,510	145.00	(220)	
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT		LS	-	-	(100)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.		-	-	-	290	
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.		LS	-	-	(50)	
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(70)	
MECHANICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(90)	
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS	-	-	(80)	
SUBTOTAL		-	-	-	790	
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	40	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		-	-	-	830	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%)		-	-	-	50	
TOTAL REQUEST		-	-	-	880	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
One-story steel-frame structure, reinforced concrete foundation, precast concrete exterior walls, acoustic materials for sound attenuation, sloped standing-seam metal roof, lighting, heating, fire protection system, special air conditioning and ventilation system; water, sewer, electrical and communications utilities; paving and site improvements.						
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>3,510</u> SF. ADEQUATE: <u>0</u> SF. SUBSTANDARD: <u>0</u> SF. PROJECT: Provides a five-point indoor small arms firing range and an armory. REQUIREMENT: Adequate facilities for training, retraining, and qualification for pistols and small caliber rifles and secure storage and maintenance of small arms and emergency gear, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett. CURRENT SITUATION: No firing range and armory facilities currently exist at Everett. This capability was to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Personnel would have to be transported to Fort Lewis, which will entail higher cost and loss of time for personnel required to maintain firing proficiency. The recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																								
4. PROJECT TITLE FIRING RANGE AND ARMORY	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-132R																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td><u>5-91</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td><u>45%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td><u>20%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td><u>9-92</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td>Yes ___ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(<u>40</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(<u>30</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td><u>70</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(<u>60</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(<u>10</u>)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>	(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	Yes ___ No <u>X</u>	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	_____	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>40</u>)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>30</u>)	(c) Total.....	<u>70</u>	(d) Contract.....	(<u>60</u>)	(e) In-house.....	(<u>10</u>)
(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>																							
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>																							
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	Yes ___ No <u>X</u>																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	_____																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>40</u>)																							
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>30</u>)																							
(c) Total.....	<u>70</u>																							
(d) Contract.....	(<u>60</u>)																							
(e) In-house.....	(<u>10</u>)																							

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 <u>93</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE FLEET PARKING AREA			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 852.10	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-307R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
FLEET PARKING AREA		SY	81,540	27.00	2,200	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.		-	-	-	400	
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(220)	
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM.		LS	-	-	(100)	
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS	-	-	(80)	
SUBTOTAL		-	-	-	2,600	
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	130	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.		-	-	-	2,730	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%).		-	-	-	170	
TOTAL REQUEST.		-	-	-	2,900	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Earth grading, paving, striping, lighting, storm drainage, security fencing, site improvements.						
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>81,540</u> SY. ADEQUATE: <u>0</u> SY. SUBSTANDARD: <u>0</u> SY. PROJECT: Provides a long term parking area for privately-owned vehicles of deployed-crews. REQUIREMENT: Adequate parking to support the homeporting of a Carrier Battle Group at Everett, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett. CURRENT SITUATION: No facilities currently exist at Everett for deployment parking. The limited amount of parking available on the waterfront site precludes storage of privately-owned vehicles during deployment. The Naval Station Puget Sound realignment will preclude providing this capability at Sand Point. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Parking would have to be leased at a significantly higher cost on the local economy and the recommendations of the BRCC could not implemented.						

(Continued on DD 1391c)

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																								
4. PROJECT TITLE FLEET PARKING AREA	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-307R																							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td><u>5-91</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td><u>45%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td><u>20%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td><u>9-92</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td>Yes ___ No <u>X</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(<u>160</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(<u>20%</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td><u>260</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(<u>230</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(<u>30</u>)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>	(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	Yes ___ No <u>X</u>	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	_____	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>160</u>)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>20%</u>)	(c) Total.....	<u>260</u>	(d) Contract.....	(<u>230</u>)	(e) In-house.....	(<u>30</u>)
(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>																							
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>																							
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>																							
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>																							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	Yes ___ No <u>X</u>																							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	_____																							
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>160</u>)																							
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>20%</u>)																							
(c) Total.....	<u>260</u>																							
(d) Contract.....	(<u>230</u>)																							
(e) In-house.....	(<u>30</u>)																							

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON		4. PROJECT TITLE AUTO HOBBY SHOP		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N	6. CATEGORY CODE 740.38	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-319R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,370	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AUTO HOBBY SHOP.	SF	14,020	-	730
AUTO HOBBY SHOP.	SF	4,020	139.00	(560)
HARDSTAND.	SF	10,000	3.00	(30)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(140)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	500
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(150)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(140)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(210)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,230
CONTINGENCY (5%)	-	-	-	60
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	1,290
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%).	-	-	-	80
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	1,370
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION				
One-story, high-bay, steel-frame structure, reinforced concrete foundation, precast concrete exterior walls, sloped standing-seam metal roof, hydraulic floor lifts, above-ground oil catch tank, two-ton engine hoist, pneumatic air compressor, open shed area, lighting, heating, fire protection and ventilation systems, fencing, utilities, paving and site improvements.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 14,020 SF. ADEQUATE: 0 SF. SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF.				
PROJECT: Provides an auto hobby shop for personnel stationed at Everett.				
REQUIREMENT: Adequate auto repair facilities to provide Naval Station personnel with a recreational opportunity that improves mechanical skills, reduces automotive repair expenses, and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett.				
CURRENT SITUATION: No auto hobby facilities currently exist at Everett. This function was to be provided by existing facilities at Sand Point. The Naval Station Puget Sound realignment will preclude providing these personnel support facilities at Sand Point.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The personnel morale will be adversely affected due to lack of self-help auto repair facilities and the high cost of commercial auto repair services, and the recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.				
(Continued on DD 1391c)				

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON																				
4. PROJECT TITLE AUTO HOBBY SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-319R																			
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started.....</td> <td><u>5-91</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....</td> <td><u>45%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....</td> <td><u>20%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date Design Complete.....</td> <td><u>9-92</u></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____</p> <p>3. Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....</td> <td>(<u>70</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs.....</td> <td>(<u>50</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total.....</td> <td><u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract.....</td> <td>(<u>110</u>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house.....</td> <td>(<u>10</u>)</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Construction Start..... <u>12-92</u></p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.</p>			(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>	(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>	(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>70</u>)	(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>50</u>)	(c) Total.....	<u>120</u>	(d) Contract.....	(<u>110</u>)	(e) In-house.....	(<u>10</u>)
(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>5-91</u>																			
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92.....	<u>45%</u>																			
(c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91.....	<u>20%</u>																			
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>9-92</u>																			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	(<u>70</u>)																			
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	(<u>50</u>)																			
(c) Total.....	<u>120</u>																			
(d) Contract.....	(<u>110</u>)																			
(e) In-house.....	(<u>10</u>)																			

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, EVERETT, WASHINGTON			4. PROJECT TITLE ACCESS ROADS			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 040.00	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-320R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8,220		
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
ACCESS ROADS			LS	-	-	8,220
TOTAL REQUEST.			-	-	-	8,220
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
<p>Finance new off-station access and entrances for realigning fleet functions from Naval Station Puget Sound, Sand Point to the vicinity of Everett, WA. Funds provided will be transferred to the Federal Highway Administration of the Department of Transportation which is responsible under Title 23 USC 210 for assuming proper design and construction of approved work.</p>						
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>As Required.</u>						
<p>These funds are required to provide access roads. Adequate off-station entrances and improvements to existing highways are required to support development at the Everett off-site location. This site will be acquired and developed to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to the vicinity of Everett, WA. Existing roads and entrances are unable to accommodate the anticipated additional traffic generated by Navy personnel accessing the Everett off-site location. If not provided, off-station roads will be overloaded with traffic generated by Navy development, resulting in poor community relations and reduced morale among Navy personnel and dependents.</p>						



1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 <u>93</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION EVERETT, WASHINGTON	4. PROJECT TITLE COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE FACILITY
--	---

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N	6. CATEGORY CODE 740.01	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-141R	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) BRAC: 12,400 NAF: 3,900
--------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	---

9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE FACILITY	SF	127,250	-	11,020
COMMISSARY	SF	60,000	100.0	(6,000)
EXCHANGE	SF	67,250	75.0	(5,020)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.	-	-	-	3,650
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(2,060)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(1,590)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	14,670
CONTINGENCY (5%)	-	-	-	730
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.	-	-	-	15,400
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	-	-	-	900
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	16,300
LESS: NON-APPROPRIATED ACCOUNT FUNDING	-	-	-	(3,900)
TOTAL REQUEST.	-	-	-	12,400
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	(NON-ADD)	-	(0)

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

One-story, high bay, steel frame structure, reinforced concrete foundation, precast concrete exterior walls, sloped standing seam metal roof, lighting, heating, ventilation, fire protection systems; water, sewer, electrical and communications utilities; paving, site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 127,250 SF. ADEQUATE: 0 SF. SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF.
PROJECT: Provides a commissary/exchange facility.
REQUIREMENT: Retail facilities for ship's crews, base personnel, retired Navy personnel and dependents, and to implement the recommendation of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) to realign fleet support functions of Naval Station Puget Sound from Sand Point to Everett, WA.
CURRENT SITUATION: No commissary/exchange facilities currently exist at Everett. The original plan to provide these functions in existing facilities at Sand Point will be precluded by the Naval Station Puget Sound realignment.
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Ships crews and base personnel would have to shop at private commercial facilities located in the local communities at much higher cost, and the recommendations of the BRCC could not be implemented.
ADDITIONAL: The commissary portion of this project will be conjunctively funded with non-appropriated funds.

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION EVERETT, WA

4. PROJECT TITLE COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-141R
--	-----------------------------

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".)

(1) Status:

- (a) Date Design Started 5-91
- (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92 45 %
- (c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91 20 %
- (d) Date Design Complete 9-92

(2) Basis:

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes___ No X
- (b) Where Design was Most Recently Used: _____

(3) Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (660)
- (b) All Other Design Costs (450)
- (c) Total (1110)
- (d) Contract (1000)
- (e) In-House (110)

(4) Construction Start 12-92
(month and year)

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(NAVY/NAVAL STATION SAN FRANCISCO (HUNTERS POINT), CA)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE-TIME

<u>IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction	57000	7100	14190	5150	0	0	83440
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	518	0	0	0	0	518
Operations & Maintenance	0	410	615	715	90	0	1830
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	223	981	0	0	0	1204
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	57000	8251	15786	5865	90	0	86992

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	14700	20200	1800	9800	3500	50000
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	14700	20200	1800	9800	3500	50000

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	57000	7100	14190	5150	0	0	83440
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	518	0	0	0	0	518
Operations & Maintenance	0	-14290	-19585	-1085	-9710	-3500	-48170
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	223	981	0	0	0	1204
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	57000	-6449	-4414	4065	-9710	-3500	36992

Exhibit BC-03



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Navy/Naval Station San Francisco (Hunters Point), CA, Package

Closure Package: The Commission recommended that the proposed Strategic Homeport Program for Hunters Point not be executed and that comparable construction be accomplished at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, and Long Beach and San Diego, California. To implement this recommendation and to be able to berth relocated ships and adequately support operations and crew requirements, it is necessary to modify and upgrade existing waterfront and utilities facilities at Pearl Harbor, HI, and San Diego, CA, and to construct personnel support and training facilities at Pearl Harbor, HI.

Costs:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the recommendations of the Commission:

<u>Location/Project Number, Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Pearl Harbor P-434R, Wharf Modifications I	1990	4,900
Pearl Harbor P-332R, Pier and Shore Improvements	1990	36,400
Pearl Harbor P-441R, Wharf Modifications II	1990	5,900
Pearl Harbor P-443R, BEQ	1990	<u>9,800</u>
FY 90 SUBTOTAL		57,000
 San Diego P-262R, Pier 7 Upgrade	 1991	 <u>7,100</u>
FY 91 SUBTOTAL		7,100
 San Diego P-120R, Elec Distribution Piers 6/8	 1992	 <u>14,190</u>
FY 92 SUBTOTAL		14,190
 Pearl Harbor P-474R, Applied Instruction Fac Addn	 1993	 1,650
San Diego P-323R, Pier 3 Upgrade	1993	<u>3,500</u>
FY 93 SUBTOTAL		5,150

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Exhibit BC-04

Family Housing Operation: None.

Environmental: No environmental cleanup is required for this action. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was required for Pearl Harbor, HI and an Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared for San Diego, CA. The Pearl Harbor EIS Record of Decision was issued in late Summer 1990. The San Diego EA Finding of No Significant Impact was completed early Fall 1990.

Operation and Maintenance: To accommodate new ships at the modified wharves and piers and new pier, O&M funds are required for a satellite facility to support hotel services (water, sewage, steam, oily waste, power and communications) for Pier F-5, outfitting and startup, and one-time material handling costs. Collateral equipment required to support the new ships includes: foam filled fendering systems, moorings, lighting, and ship-to-shore cables and connections. Systems furniture, conventional furniture, heavy duty shelving and other collateral equipment is required to outfit new facility construction.

Procurement Items: Costs are for berthing support equipment (camels, brows etc.) and pier material handling equipment.

Revenues from Land Sales: No land disposal is required.

Savings:

Military Construction: No savings.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Operation and Maintenance: Savings represent cost avoidance from eliminating Hunters Point as a Strategic Homeport site.

Military Personnel: No savings.

Other: None.

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				4. PROJECT TITLE APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 171.20	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-474R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,650	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION. . . .		SF	7,200		1,020	
BUILDING ADDITION.		SF	7,200	116.00	(840)	
BUILDING MODIFICATIONS		LS	-	-	(180)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.		LS	-	-	460	
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES.		LS	-	-	(70)	
UTILITIES.		LS	-	-	(330)	
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS	-	-	(60)	
SUBTOTAL		-	-	-	1,480	
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	70	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.		-	-	-	1,550	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.5%).		-	-	-	100	
TOTAL REQUEST.		-	-	-	1,650	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
Single-story steel-frame building addition, concrete foundation and floors, masonry walls, built-up roof, air conditioning, utilities, fire protection system, classified vaults and security systems, building modifications including partitions and ventilations system, sidewalks.						

11. REQUIREMENT: <u>7,200</u> SF. ADEQUATE: <u>0</u> SF. SUBSTANDARD: <u>0</u> SF.						
<u>PROJECT:</u> Provides instructional and administrative facilities for Mobile Technical Unit One (MOTU-1) to support the homeporting of two cruisers.						
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate instructional and administrative support space to teach operational and maintenance skills in ordnance and weaponry and to provide technical assistance. MOTU-1 provides expertise in electronics, electronic and antisubmarine warfare, weapons and fire control systems and search radars.						
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The current MOTU-1 facility is being operated above capacity and is overcrowded. The homeporting of AEGIS cruisers will impose additional, unique ordnance and weapons systems educational and technical support requirements which cannot be accommodated by the current facility. The cruisers require unique expert systems knowledge and have advanced technology systems which are not currently available at the Pearl Harbor MOTU-1.						
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The MOTU-1 training and technical support program would not be able to support the homeporting of two cruisers. Reliance would need to be placed on resources available from the continental United States or the deployed fleet, adversely impacting fleet readiness and resource availability. The Base Realignment and Closure Commission recommended realignment could not be implemented.						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
NAVY		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
NAVAL STATION, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION	P-474R

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)

(1) Status:

(a) Date Design Started..... 06-90
(b) Percent Complete as of January 1992..... 100%
(c) Percent Complete as of September 1991..... 100%
(d) Date Design Complete..... 05-91

(2) Basis:

(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes: _____ No: X
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____

(3) Total Cost (\$000s):

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications..... 133
(b) All Other Design Costs..... 88
(c) Total..... 221
(d) Contract..... 170
(e) In-House..... 51

(4) Construction Start..... 11-92

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA				4. PROJECT TITLE PIER UPGRADE				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0204796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 151.20	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-323R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 3,500			
9. COST ESTIMATES								
ITEM					U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PIER UPGRADE					LS	-	-	3,150
FENDERING SYSTEM					LS	-	-	(1,530)
DREDGING					LS	-	-	(650)
PIER UTILITIES					LS	-	-	(970)
SUBTOTAL					-	-	-	3,150
CONTINGENCY (5%)					-	-	-	150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.					-	-	-	3,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6%)					-	-	-	200
TOTAL REQUEST.					-	-	-	3,500
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS					-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION								
Dredging; mooring and fendering systems; pier electrical systems modifications; lighting.								

11. REQUIREMENT: <u>As Required.</u>								
<u>PROJECT:</u> Provides pier fendering and mooring, and upgrades Pier 3 electric power and lighting systems.								
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate waterfront facilities to support and accommodate modern surface combatants of the Pacific Fleet and to implement the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) for berthing CG-47 (AEGIS) class ships.								
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Pier 3 lacks sufficient electric power capacity, lighting and fendering systems for berthing modern deep draft, power-intensive ships.								
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Berthing and electric power capability would not be available to support modern surface combatants of the Pacific Fleet, and the BRCC recommended realignment could not be implemented.								

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO, CA

4. PROJECT TITLE PIER UPGRADE	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-323R
----------------------------------	-----------------------------

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guidance".)

(1) Status:

(a) Date Design Started.....	<u>06-89</u>
(b) Percent Complete as of January 1992.....	<u>100%</u>
(c) Percent Complete as of September 1991.....	<u>100%</u>
(d) Date Design Complete.....	<u>02-90</u>

(2) Basis:

(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes: No: X

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: _____

(3) Total Cost (\$000s):

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications.....	<u>448</u>
(b) All Other Design Costs.....	<u>112</u>
(c) Total.....	<u>560</u>
(d) Contract.....	<u>430</u>
(e) In-House.....	<u>130</u>

(4) Construction Start..... 11-92

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(NAVY/NAVAL HOSPITAL PHILADELPHIA, PA)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<u>ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction	0	0	720	6300	0	0	7020
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	154	6040	6200	5200	5000	22594
Operations & Maintenance	0	5	774	321	188	1735	3023
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	0	159	7534	12821	5388	6735	32637
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	6800	6700	7000	7300	27800
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	6800	6700	7000	7300	27800
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	0	0	720	6300	0	0	7020
Family Housing							
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	0	154	6040	6200	5200	5000	22594
Operations & Maintenance	0	5	-6026	-6379	-6812	-5565	-24777
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	159	734	6121	-1612	-565	4837

Exhibit BC-03

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

NAVY/Naval Hospital Philadelphia, PA/Package:

Closure Package: The Commission recommended the Closure of Naval Hospital Philadelphia. The hospital provides comprehensive emergency, outpatient and inpatient health-care to eligible personnel. Facilities are unsafe and inadequate to support modern health-care. Because of condition and configuration, facilities cannot be modernized.

Action required to accomplish the hospital closure includes rehabilitation of a facility of opportunity for medical services relocation to the shipyard; environmental cleanup at the hospital; protection and maintenance of hospital facilities until they are disposed; and rehabilitation of a facility to relocate Naval Ship Systems Engineering Station offices, which currently occupy nine Naval Hospital buildings.

Costs:

Military Construction: The following facilities must be constructed to implement the recommendations of the Commission:

<u>Location, Project Number, Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Philadelphia P-057R, Building Rehabilitation	1992	720
Subtotal FY92		720
Philadelphia P-008R, Building Modernization	1993	6,300
Subtotal FY93		6,300

Conjunctively Funded Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operation and Maintenance: Costs are for: relocation of necessary medical equipment to the temporary clinic and Walson Army Hospital; disposal of excess equipment; civilian RIF's and relocations; minimum maintenance and protection of existing hospital buildings, until property is disposed and; procurement of collateral equipment, and office systems furniture, for the renovated NAVSSES facility.

Procurement Items: None.

Revenues from Land Sales: No revenues have been identified because ultimate disposition of the hospital facilities and land has not been determined.

Exhibit BC-04

Savings:

Military Construction: None.

Family Housing Construction: None.

Family Housing Operations: None.

Operations and Maintenance: Savings are generated from reduced costs of utilities, maintenance, and other operating costs associated with the smaller, more efficient facilities being constructed.

Military Personnel: None.

Other: None.

Environmental: The closure of the hospital will have no detrimental impact on the environment. Depending upon ultimate disposition, removal of asbestos from the hospital buildings is likely to be required. Asbestos removal and other required site cleanup will be funded from the Base Closure Account.

1. COMPONENT NAVY		FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVY SHIP SYSTEMS ENGINEERING STATION (NAVSSSES) PHILADELPHIA, PA			4. PROJECT TITLE BUILDING MODERNIZATION			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0807796N		6. CATEGORY CODE 318.10	7. PROJECT NUMBER P-008R		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 6,300	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BUILDING MODERNIZATION		LS	-	-	5,660	
CONTINGENCY (5%)		-	-	-	280	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.		-	-	-	5,940	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%).		-	-	-	360	
TOTAL REQUEST.		-	-	-	6,300	
EQUIPMENT PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS		-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
Building modernization including new concrete mezzanine with steel frame, interior demolition, masonry walls, fire protection system, replace air conditioning, and repair of interior utilities.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 150,970 SF. ADEQUATE: 0 SF. SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF.						
PROJECT: Renovates an existing building for engineering and managerial support of NAVSSSES Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) Systems engineering support.						
REQUIREMENT: Adequate research administration facilities to relocate NAVSSSES engineering and technical personnel into facilities adjacent to NAVSSSES laboratories on Naval Station Philadelphia, and to implement the Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRCC) recommendation to close Naval Hospital Philadelphia.						
CURRENT SITUATION: Approximately 420 NAVSSSES employees are currently located within administrative space at the Philadelphia Naval Hospital.						
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Upon closure of the hospital, this space will no longer be available. If this project is not approved, more expensive new construction or commercial leased space, at an annual cost of \$2.5M to \$3.0M, would be required to replace current space.						
ADDITIONAL: A type II economic analysis indicates that renovation is more economical than the alternatives of new construction or GSA lease to satisfy the requirement to relocate the NAVSSSES employees.						
(Continued on DD 1391c)						

1. COMPONENT NAVY	FY 19 ⁹³ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
----------------------	--	---------

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVY SHIP SYSTEMS ENGINEERING STATION (NAVSSSES) PHILADELPHIA, PA
--

4. PROJECT TITLE REHABILITATE BUILDING 77L	5. PROJECT NUMBER P-008R
---	-----------------------------

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Status: (Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".)

- (1) Status:
 - (a) Date Design Started 10-91
 - (b) Percent Complete as of Jan 92 20 %
 - (c) Percent Complete as of Sep 91 0 %
 - (d) Date Design Complete 12-92

- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes ___ No X
 - (b) Where Design was Most Recently Used: _____

- (3) Total cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)
 - (a) Production of Plans and Specifications . (378)
 - (b) All Other Design Costs (182)
 - (c) Total (560)
 - (d) Contract (500)
 - (e) In-House (60)

- (4) Construction Start 2-93
(month and year)

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: None.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(NAVY/PLANNING & DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT OVERHEAD)
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<u>ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>	<u>FY90</u>	<u>FY91</u>	<u>FY92</u>	<u>FY93</u>	<u>FY94</u>	<u>FY95</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Military Construction (P&D) 1	0	8422	0	0	0	0	8422
Family Housing							
Construction (P&D) 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	(400)	(300)	0	0	0	0	(700)
Operations & Maintenance	21	17	0	0	0	0	38
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	21	8439	0	0	0	0	8460
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction (P&D) 2	0	0	38900	38900	38900	38900	155600
Family Housing							
Construction (P&D) 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance 2	0	0	0	0	1600	7200	8800
Military Personnel 2	0	0	0	4000	9700	6100	19800
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES 2	0	0	0	0	(135)	(185)	(320)
Military ES 2	0	0	0	(250)	(360)	(510)	(1120)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	38900	42900	50200	52200	184200
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction (P&D)	0	8422	-38900	-38900	-38900	-38900	-147178
Family Housing							
Construction (P&D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment	(400)	(300)	0	0	0	0	(700)
Operations & Maintenance	21	17	0	0	-1600	-7200	-8762
Military Personnel	0	0	0	-4000	-9700	-6100	-19800
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale Revenues (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	(135)	(185)	(320)
Military ES	0	0	0	(250)	(360)	(510)	(1120)
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	21	8439	-38900	-42900	-50200	-52200	-175740

- 1 Cost for preparation of construction plans and specifications
2 Savings in this category treated as undistributed reduction within Navy

Exhibit BC-03



5

10

15

20

60

10