UNCLASS		THIS PAGE	MASTER COPY	-	FOR REP	RODU	CTION PU	JRPOSES
				MENTATION	PAGE			
- AD-A244 853				16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKING\$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2)
<del></del>				3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b.				Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
4. PERFORMIN	IG ORGANIZAT	ON REPORT NUMB		S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
Technical	L Report #	12	ARD 26672.17-CH-A					
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION			6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	78. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
Dept. of Chemistry-SUNYA			(ii appikasie)	U. S. A	U. S. Army Research Office			
6c. ADDRESS (	City, State, and	ZIP Code)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)					
	nington Av	enue		P. O. Box 12211				
Albany, N	NY 12222			Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION			8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
U. S. Army Research Office				DAALO3-88-K-0198				
	City, State, and	ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS				
P. O. Box 12211			7700 2211	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	•	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211								
for short  12 PERSONAL  H.L. F	L times	a membrane r	rom a sessile d	ropiet-limiti	ing case or	tne	large a	pproximation
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 5/91				14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 1991/8/5			COUNT	
16. SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTAT	ION The wiew	oninions and/o	r findings of	entained in	thic	roport	are those
of the a	uthor(s),a		findings contained in this report are those is an official Department of the Army position.					
17.	of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.  17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)							
FIELD	GROUP							
			-{					
19. ABSTRACT	(Continue on	reverse if necessary	and identify by block	number)				
		_	ngular perturbat		arising in	the :	approvi	mate
solution	of diffu	sion from a :	large, neat, ses	ssile agent d	roplet thro	ugh a	a thin i	membrane.
We obtai	n the zer	oth order sho	ort time solution	on(which has	a definite	thic	kness s	caling)
but this	solution	is only val:	id for short tim	mes.		~		
					DTI			
					FLECT	E		
					JAN 101	002		
]	92-	00823			OWN TO 1	<b>シンド ()</b> ()		
					6'3	Ĺ		
		38			Ŭ			
		LITY OF ABSTRACT			ECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION	 	
I TIME AC	CIERCIA INI INDIT	ED TEAME AC	POT TATIC LICENS	• 1 117	nclaccified			

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR

22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted.
All other editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED

22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

## Technical Report #12

Diffusion through a membrane from a sessile droplet-limiting case of the large droplet approximation for short times.

by

H.L. Frisch

Department of Chemistry

State University of New York

Albany, NY 12222



August 5, 1991

Research supported by the U.S. Army Research Office Grant DAAL 0388K0198 with funds supplied by DARPA.

Accesion For							
NTIS CRA&I PI DTIC TAB [] U:.announced [] Justification							
By Distribution /							
Availability Codes							
Dist	Aveil and for Special						
A-1							

## 1. Introduction

Recently I have spent some effort on a grant supported by the U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center in studying numerically and analytically the diffusion of agent from a sessile agent droplet (a spherical cap) through the (polymer) membrane on which it rests. The agent droplet can be neat  $^{1-4}$  or polymer thickened.  $^{1,5,6}$  Dr. Nathaniel Schneider of Natick R. D. and E. Laboratories who has asked us to review these matters is primarily interested only in the neat agent problem. This diffusion problem arises in assessing the definite amount of agent,  $Q_b$ , coming through a test membrane within a definite time period,  $t_b$ . Its relevance to monitoring and dosimetry is thus clear and needs no further comment.

The numerical solutions make clear both quanlitatively and quantitatively (for suitably chosen parameters) the overall expected behavior and the results are in overall agreement with observations. While for the polymer thickened agent droplet problem there is a more extensive region of scaling with regard to initial droplet radius, R<sub>o</sub>, and membrane thickness, L, this is not the case for the neat droplet. Specifically we showed that simple scaling relations apply only for a rather limited parameter ranges: a) The more ubiquitous (under field conditions) case where the agent is deposited as an aerosol of tiny droplets which spread on the membrane, for which R<sub>o</sub>/L << 1, the small droplet case. This case is essentially completely analyzed in references (1) and (4) and a quasi steady state analytical theory is given in reference (2) for the case that the agent solubility is sufficiently large. I am given to understand by Dr. Schneider that the scaling and applicability of this case is sufficiently well understood that no further comment is necessary here. (b) The more special case, we call the large droplet case, arises when R<sub>o</sub>/L >> 1. Note that the condition used for approximate

solution of this problem that the droplet is smaller than L cannot be correct for all time. The radius of the sessile droplet decreases continuously with time and will ultimately become equat to or smaller than L (as the agent diffuses through the membrane) when the special scaling for the limiting ease must fail. In the next section we review the discussion of this special limiting case. It has been originally treated by us in reference (1) and (3). We shall treat it here more extensively in the next section showing that it arises from a singular perturbation of the original boundary value problem. In the last section we deal with the extension of this problem when evaporation from the sessile droplet surface is not negligible.

2. The large droplet case - negligible surface evaporation from the droplet.

We consider the moving boundary diffusion problem initially described in references (1) - (4). We use a slightly modified notation. All physical entities with dimensions, other than certain scaling variables such as  $R_0$ , L, etc. will be written with a carat over the letter denoting the entity. We will subsequently introduce suitably scaled, dimensionless variables denoted by letters without carats.

The concentration  $\hat{c}$  of agent in the membrane at location  $\hat{r}$ ,  $\hat{z}$  at time  $\hat{t}$  satisfies the diffusion boundary value problem 1-4:

$$(\partial \hat{c}/\partial \hat{t}) = D \{(\partial^2 \hat{c}/\partial \hat{r}^2) + 1/\hat{r} (\partial \hat{c}/\partial \hat{r}) + (\partial^2 \hat{c}/\partial \hat{z}^2)\}$$
 (1)  
in  $0 < \hat{z} < L$  ,  $\hat{r} > 0$ ,  $\hat{t} > 0$ 

with initial and boundary conditions

$$\hat{c}(\hat{r}, \hat{z}, \hat{t} = 0) = 0, \qquad (2)$$

$$c(\hat{\mathbf{r}}, 0, \hat{\mathbf{t}}) = c_0, \hat{\mathbf{r}} \leq \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\mathbf{t}})$$
 (3)

with  $c_0$  the solubility of agent, i.e. the equilibrium concentration of agent in a membrane immersed in neat liquid agent at ambient fixed temperature and pressure and  $\hat{R}(\hat{t})$  the time dependent sessile droplet radius. The sessile droplet is a spherical

cap with fixed contact angle  $\Theta$  and volume  $1/3 \ f(\Theta) \hat{R}^3(t)$ ,

 $f(\Theta) = \pi \sin\Theta(2+\cos\Theta)/(1+\cos\Theta)^{-2}$ . Furthermore,

$$(\partial \hat{c}/\partial \hat{z})_{\hat{z}=0} = 0 , \hat{r} > \hat{R}(\hat{t})$$
 (4)

and

$$\hat{c}(\hat{r}, L, \hat{t}) = 0. \tag{5}$$

The moving boundary of the spherical cap  $\hat{r} = \hat{R}(\hat{t})$  is determined by the mass balance

$$\hat{R}(\hat{t})$$

$$\rho f(\theta) \hat{R}^2 (d\hat{R}/dt) = 2\pi D \hat{J} d\hat{r} \hat{r} (\partial \hat{c}/d\hat{z}) \hat{z}_{=0} ; \hat{R}(\hat{t}=0) = R_0 , \qquad (6)$$

with  $\rho$  the density of neat agent. We now introduce dimensionless variables:

$$r = \hat{r}/R_{o}, z = \hat{z}/L,$$

$$t = D\hat{t}/L^{2}, R(t) = \hat{R}(\hat{t})/R_{o},$$

$$c(r,z,t) = \hat{c}(\hat{r},\hat{z},\hat{t})/c_{o},$$

$$\beta = 2\pi c_{o}/\rho f(\theta),$$
(7)

and a dimensionless parameter of smallness,

$$\varepsilon = L/R_{0} \ll 1$$

into this boundary value problem.

One finds

$$(\partial c/\partial t) = (\partial^2 c/\partial z^2) + \varepsilon^2 [(\partial^2 c/\partial r^2) + 1/r \partial c/\partial r)]$$
 (8)

in 0 < z < 1, r > 0, t > 0;

$$c(r, z, 0) = 0 , \qquad (9)$$

$$c(r,0,t) = 1 \text{ for } r \leq R(t), \tag{10}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} = 0 \text{ for } r > R(t) ; \qquad (11)$$

$$c(r,1,t) = 0 (12)$$

and

$$R^{2} (dR/dt) = c \beta \int_{0}^{R(t)} dr r (\partial c/\partial z)_{z=0}, \qquad (13)$$

$$R(0) = 1.$$

The natural way to seek an approximate solution of (8) - (13) is to consider the singular perturbation in which the zeroth order solution for the concentration  $c_0 = c_0(z,t)$  satisfies (8) with neglect of the term in the square bracket on the r.h.s. of (8), i.e.

$$c = c_0(z,t) + O(\varepsilon)$$

$$R(t) = R_0(t) + \varepsilon R_1(t) + O(\varepsilon)$$
(14)

where

$$\partial c_{0}/\partial t = \partial^{2}c_{0}/\partial z^{2}$$
 ,  $0 < z < 1$  ,  $t > 0$ ;  
 $c_{0}(z,0) = 0$  , (15)  
 $c_{0}(0,t) = 1$  ,  $c_{0}(1,t) = 0$ ;

and using (14) in (13)

$$dR_{0}/dt = 0$$
 ,  $R_{0} = 1$  , (16)  

$$(dR_{1}/dt) = \beta \int_{0}^{R_{0}=1} dr \ r (\partial c_{0}/\partial z)_{0} = \beta/2 (\partial c_{0}/dz)_{0} , \qquad (17)$$

 $R_1(0) = 0$ 

The zeroth order solution of the boundary value problem (cf. (14) and (15)) has been given by us in reference (1) and also in reference (3) where it is given by the special case described by Eq. [7] on p. 284 which in our notation reads:

$$c_0(z,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ erfc (2n + z/2\sqrt{t}) - erfc [(2(n+1)-z/2\sqrt{t})] \}$$
 (18)

$$R(t) = 1 + (\varepsilon B/2) \int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[ (\partial c_{0}(z,t')/dz) \right]_{z=0}, \qquad (19)$$

The integrals given above are tabulated in standard tables.

To obtain a perturbation solution which holds for longer times one must introduce "stretched" coordinates, and find the "inner" and "outer" solution of this singular perturbation. We shall not carry out this rather dull but lengthy standard problem in applied mathematics.

Instead we point out that the zeroth order solution provides a limiting case, valid for very short times, when we further can replace the infinite series in (18) by its leading term for which

$$(\partial c_0/\partial z)_{z=0}^{\infty} - [(\pi t)^{-1/2} (1 + 2e^{-1/t})]$$
 (20)

Substituting this into (17) yields

$$R_{1}(t) = -8[(t/\pi)^{1/2} + \{2(t/\pi)^{1/2}e^{-1/t} - 2 \text{ erfc } (1/\sqrt{t})\}]$$
(21)

or

$$R(t) \sim 1 - \varepsilon B[(t/\pi)^{1/2} + \{2(t/\pi)^{1/2}e^{-1/t} - 2 \operatorname{erfc}(1/\sqrt{t})\}].$$

In zeroth approximation the flux through themembrane at z=1, (which in this approximation is exactly the same as the area averaged flux over the membrane) can be obtained from (18). We shall again only retain the leading order term in the summation (18) to calculate the zeroth order flux, which we write in dimensional form,

$$\hat{F}(\hat{t}) = -D \left(\partial \hat{c}_{0}/\partial \hat{z}\right) \hat{z}_{z=L}$$

$$\approx 2c_{0}(D/\pi t)^{1/2} e^{-\hat{L}/4D\hat{t}}$$
(22)

The absence of R in (22) clearly shows that (22) can only apply for short enough times even if  $\epsilon <<1$ . The use of (22) to calculate the reduced, dimensionless break through time,  $\overset{\wedge}{t}_{b}$  D/L<sup>2</sup>, requires that

$$\hat{t}_{b} D/L^{2} < \tau_{L}$$
 (23a)

where  $\tau_L$  is the time needed for the droplet radius to decrease by L, i.e.  $R(\tau_L) = 1 - \epsilon. \quad \text{In zeroth order approximation the time } \tau_L \text{ is defined by the solution of the transcendental equation}$ 

$$B \left\{ \left( \tau_{L} / \pi \right)^{1/2} + \left\{ 2 \left( \tau_{L} / \pi \right)^{1/2} e^{-1/\tau} - 2 \operatorname{erfc} \left( 1 / \sqrt{\tau_{L}} \right) \right\} \right\}$$
= 1. (23b)

The special scaling in (22) also noted by Angelopoulos, Schneider and Meldon subsequently to us, in an unpublished report applies only well for sufficiently short times, even for  $R_{\rm c}/L > 1$ .

3. The zeroth order solution of the large droplet case for non-negligible evaporation.

As shown in reference (4) if evaporation is not negligible (4) has to be replaced by  $(\partial_c^{\Lambda}/\partial_z^{\Lambda})_{z=0}^{\Lambda} + h [c(r,0,t)-U] = 0$ , r > R(t) (24) and (b) by

$$\rho f(\Theta) \hat{R}^{2} (d\hat{R}/d\hat{t}) = 2\pi D \int_{0}^{\hat{R}(\hat{t})} d\hat{r} \hat{r} (\partial \hat{c}/\partial \hat{z}) \hat{z}_{=0}$$

$$-\hat{R}^{2} f(\Theta) \hat{\gamma} \rho$$
(25)

with  $\hat{h}$  the evaporation coefficient characterizing the flat polymer-vapor interface,  $\hat{V}$  the equilibrium concentration of agent in the vapor above the polymer,  $\hat{Y}$  the (per unit surface area) evaporation coefficient of agent from a neat agent surface at ambient conditions. In the zeroth order approximation we neglect all diffusion for  $\hat{T} > \hat{R}(\hat{t})$  so that the solution  $c_{\hat{Q}}(z,t)$  is unaffected by (24) and is still given by (18). Denoting by Y the appropriately scaled, dimensionless  $\hat{Y}$  defined by

$$\gamma = L\widehat{\gamma}/D \tag{26}$$

we can write the dimensionless equation (25) as

$$R^{2} (dR/dt) = \varepsilon \{\beta \int dr \ r (\partial c/\partial z)_{z=0} - \gamma R^{2} \}$$
(27)

From (27) and (14),  $R_0(t) = 1$ , but

$$(dR_1/dt) = \int_0^1 dr \ r \left(\partial c_0/\partial z\right)_{z=0} -2\gamma R_1$$
 (28)

with  $R_1(0) = 0$ . The solution of (28) is

$$R_1(t) = \beta \int_0^t dt' e^{-2\gamma(t-t')} (\partial c_0(r,z,t')/\partial z)_{z=0}$$
 (29)

which can be evaluated easily for short times using (20), the resulting integrals are all tabulated .

## References

- (1) Technical Reports from SUNYA sponsored by the U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center in Watertown, written by H.L. Frisch, 1984-1986.
- (2) H.L. Frisch and A. Novick-Cohen, J.Colloid Interface Sci. 104, 285 (1985).
- (3) H.L. Frisch and H. Ogawa, Ibid. 111 283 (1986).
- (4) H.L. Frisch and H. Pak, ibid 114, 270 (1986).
- (5) G.O. Williams, H.L. Frisch and H. Ogawa, ibid. 123, 448 (1988).
- (6) G.O. Williams and H.L. Frisch, J. Stat. Phys. 63, 1035 (1991).