



ESL-TR-89-20

NGV 2 7 199



# CHARACTERIZATION OF CHEMICALS ON ENGINE EXHAUST PARTICLES: F101 AND F110 ENGINES

M.R. KUHLMAN, J.C. CHUANG

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE 505 KING AVENUE COLUMBUS OH 43201-2693

**AUGUST 1989** 

**FINAL REPORT** 

JUNE 1988 — MARCH 1989

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



AIR FORCE ENGINEERING & SERVICES CENTER ENGINEERING & SERVICES LABORATORY TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA 32403 91 1126 () 6 ()

# NOTICE

PLEASE DO NOT REQUEST COPIES OF THIS REPORT FROM HQ AFESC/RD (Engineering and Services Laboratory). Additional copies may be purchased from:

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THEIR CONTRACTORS REGISTERED WITH DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER SHOULD DIRECT REQUESTS FOR COPIES OF THIS REPORT TO:

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

| CURITY CLAS   | SIFICATION O   | F THIS PAGE   |  |  |  |  | - Earm  | Annzound   |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|   |  | REPORT I  | DOCUMENTATIO   | N PAGE   |  |  | OMB   | No. 0704-0188  |
| I. REPORT SE  | CURITY CLASS   | IFICATION   |  | 1b. RESTRICTIVE  | MARKINGS   |  |   |  |
| . SECURITY C  |  | N AUTHORITY   |  | 3 DISTRIBUTION   | AVAILABILITY O   | F REPORT   |   | ·····  |
| DECLASSIFI  | CATION / DOW   | NGRADING SCHEDU   | LE   | Approv   | ed for Publ  | ic Rel   | ease  |  |
| PERFORMIN   |  | ION REPORT NUMBE  | -R(S)  | Distri<br>5 MONITORING   | DUTION UNLI  | mited<br>FPORT NU  | IMBER(S)  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |   |  |
| NAME OF   | PERFORMING   | ORGANIZATION  | 6b OFFICE SYMBOL   | ESL-TR   | -89-20<br>DNITORING ORGA   | NIZATION   |   |  |
| Debtel  | le Nomeni  |   | (If applicable)  | Air Fo   | rce Engines  | ring &   | Serv  | ices Center  |
| ADDRESS (   | Le Memori  | d ZIP Code)   | <u>I</u>   | 7b ADDRESS (Cit  | v State and ZIP  | Code)  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |   |  |
| 505 Kii<br>Columb   | ng Avenue<br>us OH 432   | 01-2693   |  | HQ AFE<br>Tyndal   | SC/RDVS  | 2403-6   | 001   |  |
| NAME OF   | FUNDING / SPO  | NSORING   | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL  | 9. PROCUREMENT   | INSTRUMENT ID  | ENTIFICAT  | ION NUM   | MBER   |
| Engine  | ering & S  | ervices Cente   | (if applicable)<br>RDVS  | F-08635-85   | 5-C-0122   |  |   |  |
| ADDRESS (C  | ity, State, and  | ZIP Code)   | <b>.</b>   | 10. SOURCE OF F  | UNDING NUMBER  | S  |   |  |
| Air Fo  | rce Ungin  | eering & Serv   | vices Center   | PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.   | PROJECT<br>NO.   | TASK<br>NO   |   | WORK UNIT  |
| Tyndal  | 1 AFB FL   | 32403-6001  |  | 62206F   | 1900   | 4  | C   | 08   |
| . PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun  | AUTHOR(S)<br>lman and  | J.C. Chuang   |  | aust Particle  | es: F101 ar  |  |   |  |
| . PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF I<br>Final   | AUTHOR(S)<br>1man and<br>REPORT  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU   | OVERED   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89   | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,  | Day) 15  | . PAGE (  | COUNT<br>3   |
| . PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF I<br>Final<br>. SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa  | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of   | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>10N<br>this report   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t   | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co  | Day) 15  | . PAGE (<br>4   | COUNT<br>3   |
| . PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF I<br>Final<br>. SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa  | AUTHOR(S)<br>1man and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATE  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES  | OVERED<br><u>IN 88 TO Mar 89</u><br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t   | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co  | Day) 15<br>Dver<br>Hidentify   | . PAGE (<br>4:<br>by block  | COUNT<br>3<br>number)  |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF I<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa  | AUTHOR(S)<br>1man and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATH<br>GROUP   | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP   | OVERED<br><u>in 88 to Mar 89</u><br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (   | aust Particle<br>14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse)   | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and  | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Over   | . PAGE (<br>4:<br>by block  | COUNT<br>3<br>number)  |
| FIELD<br>FERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>A. TYPE OF I<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa   | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br>04  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP   | OVERED<br><u>m 88 to Mar 89</u><br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam  | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling   | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft es  | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dver<br>didentify<br>hission<br>chaust   | . PAGE (<br>4:<br>by block  | OUNT<br>3<br>number)   |
| FIELD<br>OT<br>ABSTRACT   | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>compt of   | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>ION<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme  | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block montal  | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>pumber)<br>aircraft open  | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft es</u><br>rations is a   | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>over<br>didentify  | . PAGE (<br>4:<br>by block  | OUNT<br>3<br>number)   |
| FIELD<br>OT<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula   | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T   | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program V   | OVERED<br>in 88 to Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>vas undertaken t  | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>number)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch   | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft es</u><br>rations is m<br>nemicals ass   | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>cequire<br>sociate  | by block<br>s<br>d by Llock   | OUNT<br>3<br>number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula   |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF I<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>04<br>07<br>ABSTRACT<br>ASSESS<br>regula<br>emissi   | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program v<br>to Air Force t   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>IS specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>yas undertaken t<br>curbine engines   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>humber)<br>aircraft open<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11)   | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft ex<br>rations is n<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The en   | Day) 15<br>Dever<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>require<br>sociate   | by block<br>s<br>d by Lock<br>s tes   | TOUNT<br>3<br>number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were   |
| FIELD<br>OTAC<br>AUCTOR<br>OF<br>OF<br>OF<br>OF<br>OF<br>OF<br>OF<br>FIELD<br>O4<br>O7<br>ABSTRACT<br>ASSESS<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin  | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSATH</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea   | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>ION<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program w<br>to Air Force to<br>ng a test celo<br>ch engine.  | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block n<br>ental impact of<br>vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>11 at Tinker AFB<br>leasurements wer   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>number)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emissio<br>e made of par  | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft es<br>nations is n<br>hemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were sam   | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>cequire<br>sociate<br>dission<br>apled a<br>concen  | by block<br>s<br>d by 1<br>s tes<br>t fou   | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, element   |
| FIELD<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract<br>Abstract | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>ganic) com  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program v<br>to Air Force t<br>ng a test cel<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and  | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>IS Specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block of<br>the sundertaken t<br>surbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>Measurements wer<br>of target PAH a  | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>oumber)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emissio<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va  | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft es<br>rations is n<br>hemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were san<br>rticle mass<br>apor and pan  | Day) 15<br>Dever<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>require<br>sociate<br>dission<br>opled a<br>concent<br>cticula   | by block<br>s<br>d by Llock<br>s<br>t fou<br>tratic   | Air Force<br>h particul<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.   |
| FIELD<br>OTABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp   | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>ION<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program w<br>to Air Force to<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block n<br>ental impact of<br>vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>leasurements wer<br>of target PAH a<br>ned and construc   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>number)<br>aircraft open<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of pan<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of  | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft es</u><br>rations is n<br>hemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were san<br>rticle mass<br>apor and pan<br>on top of th   | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>over<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>cequire<br>sociate<br>dission<br>apled a<br>concent<br>concent<br>concent  | by block<br>s<br>d by L<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>tration<br>te con  | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particul<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.<br>exhaust   |
| FIELD<br>OT<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.   | AUTHOR(S)<br>lman and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATH<br>GROUP<br>01<br>04<br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse   | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>ION<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program V<br>to Air Force to<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. Ma<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>es of samples   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>Measurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>end and construc<br>collected were   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>oumber)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us  | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle em<br>Aircraft es<br>rations is m<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The em<br>ons were sam<br>rticle mass<br>apor and pan<br>on top of th<br>ing analytic  | Day) 15<br>over<br>dission<br>thaust<br>require<br>sociate<br>hission<br>apled a<br>concent<br>ticula<br>he test<br>cal bal  | by block<br>s<br>d by f<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>trati-<br>te con<br>ances  | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, element<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass   |
| FIELD<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>OT<br>Abstract<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ  | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program w<br>to Air Force t<br>ng a test cel<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>s of samples<br>Spark Source   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>IS Specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>yas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>leasurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>the construction of the construction<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosce<br>analyses of PA  | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of t<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>oumber)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for element  | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft es<br>rations is p<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were san<br>rticle mass<br>apor and par<br>on top of th<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>ing Electron  | Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>hission<br>chaust<br>require<br>sociate<br>hission<br>hpled a<br>concent<br>cticula<br>he test<br>cal bal   | by block<br>s<br>d by block<br>s<br>d witi<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>trati-<br>t cell<br>ances<br>ons, g   | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, element<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromat   |
| FIELD<br>OTAC<br>FIELD<br>OTA<br>AUTYPE OF I<br>FINAL<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>O4<br>O7<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ<br>graphy<br>tion O   | AUTHOR(S)<br>Iman and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATH<br>GROUP<br>01<br>04<br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,<br>-mass spe<br>f particl  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>ION<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program w<br>to Air Force to<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>s of samples<br>Spark Source<br>etroscopy for<br>e morphology.   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>IS Specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block m<br>ental impact of<br>Vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>leasurements wer<br>of target PAH a<br>ned and construc<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosc<br>analyses of PA<br>Results obtai  | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>number)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for elemo<br>H, and Scann<br>ned indicate   | RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft es</u><br>rations is no<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were san<br>rticle mass<br>apor and pan<br>on top of the<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>ing Electron<br>that these  | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>cequire<br>sociate<br>dission<br>a concen<br>cticula<br>te test<br>cal bal<br>ninatio<br>d Microo<br>two en   | by block<br>by block<br>s<br>d by f<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>tration<br>te con<br>ances<br>ons, g<br>oscopy<br>agines   | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromat<br>for exami<br>have much                                      |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>A. TYPE OF<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>04<br>07<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ<br>graphy<br>tion o<br>lower  | AUTHOR(S)<br>lman and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATH<br>GROUP<br>01<br>04<br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,<br>-mass spe<br>f particl<br>emission  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program v<br>to Air Force t<br>ng a test cel<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>s of samples<br>Spark Source<br>ctroscopy for<br>e morphology.<br>concentration  | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>IS Specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block of<br>rental impact of<br>vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>Measurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>the construct<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosce<br>analyses of PA<br>Results obtain<br>s of particles   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>oumber)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for elemo<br>H, and Scann<br>ned indicate<br>and organics   | es: F101 ar<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft es<br>rations is n<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were sam<br>rticle mass<br>apor and pan<br>on top of th<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>that these<br>than any en   | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>dission<br>chaust<br>require<br>sociate<br>dission<br>apled a<br>concent<br>cticula<br>de test<br>cal bal<br>dinatio<br>discro<br>two en<br>agines   | by block<br>s<br>d by lock<br>s<br>t fou<br>tratic<br>te con<br>cell<br>ances<br>ons, g<br>oscopy<br>gines<br>we ha   | Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, element<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromate<br>for examite<br>have much<br>ve previou                               |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kuh<br>a. TYPE OF<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>04<br>07<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ<br>graphy<br>tion o<br>lower<br>tested  | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSAT</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,<br>-mass spe<br>f particl<br>emission<br>. The em  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program W<br>to Air Force t<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>s of samples<br>Spark Source<br>encroscopy for<br>e morphology.<br>concentration<br>hissions of tak   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>IS Specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>Vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>Measurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosc<br>collected were<br>Collected were<br>Coll | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>oumber)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for element<br>H, and Scanni<br>ned indicate<br>and organics<br>inds found to   | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft es</u><br>rations is no<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The end<br>ons were sam<br>rticle mass<br>apor and particle<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>ing Electron<br>that these<br>than any end<br>be lowest st  | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>hission<br>chaust<br>require<br>sociate<br>hission<br>hpled a<br>concent<br>cission<br>hpled a<br>concent<br>ticula<br>he test<br>cal bal<br>hinatio<br>h Micro<br>two en<br>hgines<br>for the | by block<br>by block<br>s<br>d by f<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>tration<br>te con<br>tration<br>te con<br>te con<br>tration<br>te con<br>te | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromat<br>for exami<br>have much<br>ve previou<br>gines at f          |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>04<br>07<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ<br>graphy<br>tion o<br>lower<br>tested<br>(nonaf  | AUTHOR(S)<br>lman and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATH<br>GROUP<br>01<br>04<br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,<br>-mass spe<br>f particl<br>emission<br>. The emi<br>terburnin  | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>ION<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program W<br>to Air Force to<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>es of samples<br>Spark Source<br>ctroscopy for<br>e morphology.<br>concentration<br>dissions of ta   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>Measurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>the and construct<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosce<br>analyses of PA<br>Results obtain<br>s of particles<br>arget PAH compound<br>the the particul   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>number)<br>aircraft oper<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of par<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for eleme<br>H, and Scann<br>ned indicate<br>and organics   | es: F101 an<br>RT (Year, Month,<br>the front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br>Aircraft es<br>rations is no<br>nemicals ass<br>10). The en<br>ons were san<br>rticle mass<br>apor and pan<br>on top of the<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>ing Electron<br>that these<br>than any end<br>be lowest is<br>are highes  | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Day<br>Day 15<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day<br>Day   | by block<br>s<br>d by f<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>tration<br>cell<br>ances<br>ons, g<br>oscopy<br>ngines<br>we ha<br>ese en<br>chis p  | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromat<br>for exami<br>have much<br>ve previou<br>gines at f<br>ower. |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kuh<br>a. TYPE OF<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>04<br>07<br>ABSTRACT<br>ASSESS<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ<br>graphy<br>tion o<br>lower<br>tested<br>(nonaf<br>D) DISTRIBUTI   | AUTHOR(S)<br><u>lman and</u><br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br><u>COSATH</u><br><u>GROUP</u><br><u>01</u><br><u>04</u><br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,<br>-mass spe<br>f particl<br>emission<br>. The emi<br>terburnin<br>ION/AVAILAB<br>IFIED/UNLIMIT | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program W<br>to Air Force t<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>ts of samples<br>Spark Source<br>ctroscopy for<br>e morphology.<br>concentration<br>hissions of ta<br>by newc:. whi<br>here a same as a<br>table of same as a<br>table of same as a<br>table of same as a<br>table of table of | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO MAR 89<br>IS Specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block n<br>ental impact of<br>Vas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>Measurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>the and construct<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosc<br>analyses of PA<br>Results obtain<br>is of particles<br>arget PAH compound<br>the the particul<br>RPT.   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>number)<br>aircraft open<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of pan<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for eleme<br>H, and Scann<br>ned indicate<br>and organics<br>inds found to<br>ate emission<br>21. ABSTRACT SE<br>UNCLASSIFI                                     | RT (Year, Month,<br>The front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft ex</u><br>rations is no<br>nemicals assessed<br>10). The end<br>on swere same<br>rticle massessed<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>ing Electron<br>that these<br>than any end<br>be lowest as<br>s are highes<br>CURITY CLASSIFIC<br>ED   | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>Dover<br>didentify<br>hission<br>chaust<br>cequire<br>sociate<br>hission<br>a concen<br>cticula<br>concen<br>cticula<br>he test<br>cal bal<br>hinatio<br>h Micro<br>two en<br>ngines<br>for the<br>st at t<br>ATION  | by block<br>by block<br>s<br>d by f<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>tration<br>te con<br>tration<br>te con<br>cell<br>ances<br>ons, g<br>oscopy<br>agines<br>we ha<br>ese en<br>chis p   | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particula<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromat<br>for exami<br>have much<br>ve previou<br>gines at f<br>ower. |
| PERSONAL<br>M.R. Kun<br>a. TYPE OF<br>Final<br>SUPPLEMEN<br>Availa<br>FIELD<br>04<br>07<br>ABSTRACT<br>Assess<br>regula<br>emissi<br>carrie<br>settin<br>(inorg<br>A samp<br>stack.<br>determ<br>graphy<br>tion o<br>lower<br>tested<br>(nonaf<br>D. DISTRIBUTI<br>SURENDR  | AUTHOR(S)<br>Iman and<br>REPORT<br>NTARY NOTAT<br>bility of<br>COSATH<br>GROUP<br>01<br>04<br>(Continue on<br>ement of<br>tions. T<br>ons of tw<br>d out usi<br>gs for ea<br>anic) com<br>ling devi<br>Analyse<br>inations,<br>-mass spe<br>f particl<br>emission<br>. The emi<br>terburnin<br>GN/AVAILAB<br>IFIED/UNLIMIT<br>RESPONSIBLE<br>A B. JOSH         | J.C. Chuang<br>13b. TIME C<br>FROM JU<br>TON<br>this report<br>CODES<br>SUB-GROUP<br>reverse if necessary<br>the environme<br>his program W<br>to Air Force t<br>ng a test cell<br>ch engine. M<br>ponents, and<br>ce was design<br>ts of samples<br>Spark Source<br>ctroscopy for<br>e morphology.<br>concentration<br>hissions of ta<br>tag) PCWC: Whi<br>ILITY OF ABSTRACT<br>ED SAME AS I   | OVERED<br>IN 88 TO Mar 89<br>is specified on<br>18. SUBJECT TERMS (<br>Turbine eng<br>Exhaust sam<br>and identify by block mental impact of<br>yas undertaken t<br>curbine engines<br>1 at Tinker AFB<br>leasurements wer<br>of target PAH and<br>the construct<br>collected were<br>Mass Spectrosce<br>analyses of PA<br>Results obtain<br>is of particles<br>arget PAH compound<br>the the Darticul<br>RPT. DTIC USERS   | 14. DATE OF REPO<br>Aug 89<br>reverse of to<br>(Continue on reverse<br>ines<br>pling<br>bumber)<br>aircraft open<br>o quantify ch<br>(F101 and F11<br>OK. Emission<br>e made of pan<br>nd NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH va<br>ted for use of<br>performed us<br>opy for element<br>H, and Scann<br>ned indicate<br>and organics<br>inds found to<br>ate emission<br>21. ABSTRACT SE<br>UNCLASSIFI<br>22b. TELEPHONE (<br>(904) 283- | RT (Year, Month,<br>The front co<br>e if necessary and<br>Particle en<br><u>Aircraft ey</u><br>rations is no<br>nemicals assessed<br>10). The end<br>ons were sam<br>rticle mass<br>apor and particle<br>ing analytic<br>ental determ<br>ing Electron<br>that these<br>than any end<br>be lowest for<br>s are highes<br>CURITY CLASSIFIC<br>ED<br>Include Area Code<br>-4234 | Day) 15<br>Day) 15<br>over<br>didentify<br>hission<br>chaust<br>require<br>sociate<br>hission<br>aconcen<br>ticula<br>he test<br>cal bal<br>hinatio<br>two en<br>ngines<br>for the<br>st at t<br>ATION<br>e) 22c OI<br>HQ /                | by block<br>by block<br>s<br>d by f<br>d with<br>s tes<br>t fou<br>tration<br>te con<br>te cell<br>ances<br>ons, g<br>oscopy<br>agines<br>we ha<br>ese en<br>this p   | number)<br>Air Force<br>h particul<br>ts were<br>r power<br>on, elemen<br>mponents.<br>exhaust<br>for mass<br>as chromat<br>for exami<br>have much<br>ve previou<br>gines at f<br>ower.  |

#### PREFACE

This report was prepared by Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus OH 43201-2693, under Contract Number F08635-85-C-0122 for the Air Force Engineering and Services Center, Engineering and Services Laboratory (AFESC/RDV), Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida 32403.

This final report describes the experimental methods and presents the results and analysis of the selected gas and particle components of exhaust from two gas turbine engines. This work was performed between June 1988 and March 1989. The AFESC project officer was Mr Surendra Joshi.

Principal Battelle staff involved in performance of this work were Mr W.C. Baytos, Ms J.C. Chuang, and Dr M.R. Kuhlman. Engine testing at Tinker AFB was conducted with the cooperation and assistance of the Production Engine Test Section; we are especially grateful for the assistance provided by Mr David Hughes.

This report has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Officer (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

SURENDRA B. JOSHI Project Officer

KENNETH T. DENBLEYKER, Major, USAF Chief, Environmental Sciences Branch

F. THOMAS LUBOZYNSK) Major, USAF, BSC Chief, Environics Division

FRANK P. GALLAGHER, Colonel, USAF Director, Engineering and Services Laboratory

|            | Acces              | sion For                          |       |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
|            | Nº18               | GRA&I                             |       |
|            | DU I-              | <b>7</b> 83                       |       |
|            | Lee are            | Porned.                           |       |
|            | i                  | floidion.                         |       |
|            |                    |                                   |       |
|            | 5 x                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |       |
|            | ) []: []: []:<br>} | tiestiest∕<br>S                   |       |
|            | A - +              | 14911116                          | Cačes |
|            | ;<br>;             | avalt er                          | 3/68  |
| E.C.       | blst               | Syaute                            | .L    |
|            |                    |                                   |       |
| <i>e</i> . | 41                 |                                   |       |
|            | ľ                  |                                   |       |

iii (The reverse of this page is blank)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Title   | Page                 |
|---------|---|----------------------|
| Ι       | INTRODUCTION  | 1                    |
| I       | A. OBJECTIVE  | 1<br>1<br>2          |
| II      | EXPERIMENTAL METHODS  | 3                    |
|         | A. ENGINES AND ENGINE TEST FACILITY   | 3<br>3<br>7          |
|         | 1. Inorganic Analysis   | 7<br>8               |
|         | a. Extraction Method  | 8<br>8               |
|         | (GC/MS) Method  | 8                    |
|         | <ul> <li>(NCI GC/MS)</li> <li>QA/QC for GC/MS Analysis</li> <li>Gamples and Blanks</li> </ul> | 12<br>13<br>14       |
| III     | RESULTS   | 16                   |
|         | A. AEROSOL MASS CONCENTRATION   | 16<br>16<br>21<br>21 |
| IV      | DISCUSSION  | 28                   |
|         | A. AEROSOL MASS CONCENTRATION   | 28<br>29<br>30<br>37 |
| v       | CONCLUSIONS   | 41                   |
|         | REFERENCES  | 43                   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Title  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1      | Test Cell Cross Section  | 4    |
| 2      | Schematic of Principal Components of Sampling<br>System  | 6    |
| 3      | Concentrations of Several Target PAH Compounds in the F110 Exhaust at Indicated Power Levels   | 32   |
| 4      | Concentrations of Several Target PAH Compounds in the F101 Exhaust at Indicated Power Levels   | 33   |
| 5,     | Concentrations of PAH Compounds Measured in F110<br>Exhaust Samples Collected at Idle from the Engine<br>Exhaust Plane and at the Test Cell Stack Exit | 35   |
| 6      | Concentrations of PAH Compounds Measured in F101<br>Exhaust Samples Collected at Idle from the Engine<br>Exhaust Plane and at the Test Cell Stack Exit | 36   |
| 7      | SEM Photomicrograph of Particles Collected from<br>Exhaust of F101 Engine Operated at Idle Power   | 38   |
| 8      | SEM Photomicrograph of Particles Collected from<br>Exhaust of F101 Engine Operated at 100 Percent<br>Power   | 39   |
| 9      | SEM Photomicrograph of Particles Collected from<br>Exhaust of F110 Engine Operated at 100 Percent<br>Power   | 40   |

×

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1     | Target Compounds for GC/MS Analysis  | 9    |
| 2     | GC/MS Operating Conditions   | 11   |
| 3     | Filter Mass Collections and Apparent Exhaust<br>Aerosol Mass Concentrations  | 17   |
| 4     | Elemental Concentrations in Exhaust Aerosol  | 18   |
| 5     | Particulate Extractable Organic Mass<br>Concentration  | 20   |
| 6     | Target PAH Concentrations in the Vapor-Phase (XAD)<br>and Particulate (Filter) Portions of F110 Exhaust<br>at Various Power Settings | 22   |
| 7     | Target PAH Concentrations in the Vapor-Phase (XAD)<br>and Particulate (Filter) Portions of F101 Exhaust<br>at Various Power Settings | 23   |
| 8     | F110, NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH Compound Concentration  | 24   |
| 9     | F101, NO <sub>2</sub> -PAH Compound Concentration  | 25   |
| 10    | Vapor-Phase Extractable Organic Mass Concentrations .  | / 26 |
| 11    | Exhaust Gas Temperature and Flow Rates   | 27   |

(The reverse of this page is blank.)

#### SECTION I

#### INTRODUCTION

#### A. OBJECTIVE

The Air Force Engineering and Services Center (AFESC) is working on a joint program with the Naval Air Propulsion Center to update an engine emission catalogue, last updated in 1978. This joint program was established to review all available emission data on military gas turbine engines, assess the validity of these data for current engine models, identify deficiencies in the data, and develop an engine emission data base. One deficiency was the paucity of data on particulate-bound chemicals emitted from military aircraft. The work described in this report is one portion of a study conducted for AFESC to characterize chemicals on engine exhaust particles and determine their occupational and environmental significance.

#### B. BACKGROUND

Exhaust particles are responsible for much of the visible emissions from jet engines and consist of a complex collection of aerosols that varies qualitatively and quantitatively as a function of engine type, fuel composition, and operating mode. A large percentage of the aerosol material is unburned carbon of submicron size. This material is nonreflective, nonpolar, and relatively stable, chemically and physically.

A more reactive component of the exhaust particulate matter is the material consisting of condensed hydrocarbons and/or hydrocarbons sorbed on the carbon particles. This segment of the aerosol is a complex mixture of unburned, partially oxidized and reformed hydrocarbons with varying vapor pressures, chemical reactivities, physical and optical properties.

The particulate-bound organics form a very small fraction of the total organic composition of the jet exhaust from a mass balance standpoint. However, these particulate-bound chemicals, along with the aerosol components which contain certain trace elements (e.g., heavy metals), may be occupationally and environmentally significant because of the small size of the typical exhaust particles. These small particles can be

entrained in the innermost parts of the human respiratory tract. Thus, the toxic and mutagenically active chemicals bound to the surfaces of these invading particles may be biologically activated within the body and human illness may result.

## C. SCOPE

The work described in this report consists primarily of collection and chemical analysis of particulate and vapor portions of the exhaust from the F101 and F110 engines operated at specified power levels. Measurement of the target polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and nitro-PAH concentration in the constitutes a major portion of the efforts expended. The work reported here was performed in conjunction with another task, separately reported<sup>(1)</sup>, which was concerned with the measurement of gaseous emissions from the engines and the measurement of the physical parameters of the emitted aerosol particles.

#### SECTION II

#### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

#### A. ENGINES AND ENGINE TEST FACILITY

The engines included in this study were operated in two indoor test cells at Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma. The F110 engine (S/N509153), which powers some models of the F-16 fighter was operated in Test Cell 11, and the F101 engine (S/N470135), two of which provide the F111's power plant, was operated in Test Cell 12. Both engines are General Electric designs, and had not logged operational hours before testing. The engine exhaust flows into a 4.85-meters diameter cone and through a 26-meters long steel augmentor tube, the last 9 meters of which are perforated with numerous 3.2-cm holes. This perforated portion is housed in a blast room, vented to the atmosphere by means of fifty-six 0.9-meter diameter vertical vents, as indicated in the test cell cross section shown in Figure 1.

The engine test cell is equipped to monitor all important parameters relevant to engine operation on a continuous basis. These parameters include air temperatures and pressures, fuel flow, air flow, thrust, fan speed, core speed, turbine blade temperatures, and other derived measures. Figure 1 indicates the position of the sampling rake used in the companion study<sup>(1)</sup>, and the sampling position used atop the exhaust stack for this study.

#### B. EXHAUST SAMPLING

Exhaust samples were collected at each of four predetermined engine operating power levels, ranging from idle to intermediate (~100 percent of rated thrust in nonafterburning mode). Sampling was initiated after the engine operating parameters had stabilized at the desired power, and was concurrent with the sampling performed in the companion project.

The samples collected at each operating power included particulate matter on three different media and vapor phase organic compounds on XAD-2 resin. Particulate samples were collected on Millipore® type AA 47-mm filters for subsequent elemental analysis and on Nuclepore® 0.1  $\mu$ m pore



Test Cell Cross Section Figure 1.

47-mm filters for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Pallflex® QAST quartz fiber 20 by 25 cm filters were also used for collection of particulate samples for subsequent extraction and analysis for target PAH compounds.

A sampling apparatus was designed to permit collection of all required samples and ancillary information from the top of the exhaust stack of the engine test cells. Two such sampling outfits were constructed to minimize delays between sampling at successive engine power levels. The main elements of the sampling apparatus are depicted in Figure 2. The design permitted monitoring the flow rates and gas temperatures and controlling the sampling flow rates from a lower level of the test cell roof. Lines leading to the sampling gear were secured to spaces between the exhaust cell stacks and insulated to prevent damage from the hot exhaust gases. During sampling, the various flow rates and temperatures were recorded on data forms by the sampling team. After sampling was completed at a given power setting, the engine was turned off and the sampling gear retrieved as soon as the temperature on top of the stack permitted safe access. The large filter's face was covered, and all the collected samples were lowered from the top of the exhaust stack in their holders. These samples were removed to an inside location and placed in transport containers and the filter holders and sorbent cartridge holder were reloaded while the duplicate sampling rig was being installed on the exhaust stack by other members of the sampling team.

In addition to providing for sample flow rate measurement by means of orifices attached to the two high flow rate blowers, and by rotameters connected to the 47-mm filter holders, the sampling apparatus included thermocouples for measurement of the gas temperature at the inlet to the high-volume filter and at the outlet from the sorbent cartridge, and a pitot tube for measurement of the gas flow rate through the exhaust stack. This apparatus was assembled and tested under anticipated operating temperatures before it left the Columbus, Ohio, laboratories.

Several steps were necessary for the preparation of the sampling media used in the emissions sampling. The sorbent traps were precleaned and dried, following the usual procedure, and stored in light-tight, sealed.



Figure 2. Schematic of Principal Components of Sampling System (Not Shown are Pitot Tube and 47-mm Filter Holders)

labeled jars for transport to the field. The quartz fiber filters used in emissions sampling were prepared by heating in a muffle furnace at 450°C for at least 16 hours prior to being placed in sealed containers for transport to the field. Tare weights were determined for the quartz fiber high-volume and Millipore® filters, according to standard procedures. The tared filter media were stored in labeled containers prior to their removal from the balance room. The high-volume filters were enclosed in aluminum foil which had been muffled, and inserted in labeled manila folders, sealed in polyethylene zip-lock bags for transport. The Millipore® filters were placed in labeled petri slides for transport. To serve as controls on the gravimetric determinations, several filters of each type remained in the weighing laboratory, and others were taken to the field but not used for sample collection.

C. ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

1. Inorganic Analysis

Inorganic analysis consisted of Spark Source Mass Spectroscopy (SSMS) analysis of the particulate matter collected on Millipore® filter media. The analytical technique, SSMS, was selected because of its superior sensitivity and its ability to detect all trace elements of concern.

As performed at Battelle, SSMS is used to provide data for all elements except H, C, N, O, inert gases, and elements with no stable isotope. To obtain high sensitivity, the inorganic contaminants are separated from the carbonaceous and organic material present in the particulate and filter. An oxygen plasma asher is used to remove the unwanted organic fraction of the sample. The remaining inorganic material is then mixed with high-purity graphite for the analysis. This level of sensitivity should permit detection of 0.01  $\mu$ g of the elements of interest. The accuracy expected of the SSMS analysis is  $\pm$  a factor of three with a precision of  $\pm$  a factor of two.

#### 2. Urganic Analysis

a. Extraction Method

The filter and XAD-2 samples obtained from each test were extracted separately with methylene chloride (distilled-in-glass) by Soxhlet® extraction for at least 16 hours or until the solvents in the top extraction chamber were clear. In previous studies (2,3) we have demonstrated that by using this extraction technique, the extraction efficiencies for most PAH, mono-nitro PAH, and dinitro-PAH from diesel exhaust particulate matter are usually greater than 80 percent. The extracts were each concentrated to 2 mL by Kuderna-Danish (K-D) evaporation. Aliquots of each extract were used to determine the residue weights.

One-half of each extract was analyzed by electron impact (EI) gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) for PAH compounds and by negative chemical ionization (NCI) GC/MS for determination of nitro-PAH and oxygenated PAH. The other half of the extract have been stored for possible future use in bioassay studies.

b. Selection of Target Compounds

In general, the selection of target compounds to be studied on the jet exhaust particles is mainly based on:(1) the mutagenicity and/or carcinogenicity of the compounds, (2) identification of compounds from the exhaust particles from related studies, and (3) the availability of analytical standards. The selected target compounds are summarized in Table 1.

c. Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) Method

A Finnigan Model 4500 GC/MS equipped with a Carlo Erba oncolumn injector was used for the GC/MS analyses. An Ultra® 2 crosslinked 5 percent phenyl methyl silicone fused silica capillary column was used as both the GC column and the transfer line between the GC oven and the MS ionization source. Data collection and processing were performed by a Finnigan INCOS Model 2300 data system. Typical GC/MS operating conditions are given in Table 2.

# TABLE 1. TARGET COMPOUNDS FOR GC/MS ANALYSES

| COMPOUND                     | EVIDENCE OF<br>CARCINOGENICITY | REMARKS  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Naphthalene                  |                                |  |
| Acenaphthalene               |                                |  |
| Dibenzothiophene             |                                |  |
| Phenanthrene                 | No                             | Not active; marker for volatile<br>PAH                                 |
| Anthracene                   | No                             | Not active; marker for volatile<br>PAH                                 |
| Fluoranthene                 | No                             | Not active; marker for total<br>PAH; nitro-derivatives are<br>mutagens |
| Pyrene                       | No                             | Nitro-derivatives are mutagens   |
| Benz[a]anthracene            | Yes                            | Also is precursor for DMBA, a potent carcinogen                        |
| Chrysene                     | Limited                        | Weak mutagen; some methyl<br>derivatives are very active               |
| Cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene        | Limited                        | Active mutagen   |
| Benzofluoranthenes           | Yes                            | Active mutagens and carcinogens  |
| Benzo[e]pyrene               | Inadequate                     | Limited activity   |
| Benzo[a]pyrene               | Yes                            | Active mutagen and carcinogen  |
| Indeno[1,2,3,-c,d]<br>pyrene | Yes                            | Has been identified in mobile<br>sources                               |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene         | Inadequate                     | Weak carcinogen  |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene       | Yes                            |  |

# TABLE 1. TARGET COMPOUNDS FOR GC/MS ANALYSES (CONCLUDED)

| COMPOUND                         | EVIDENCE OF<br>CARCINOGENICITY |         | REMARKS |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 2-Nitro-1-naphthol               |                                |         |         |
| 4-Hydroxy-3-nitro-<br>biphenyl   |                                |         |         |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene               |                                |         |         |
| 2-Nitronaphthalene               |                                |         |         |
| 9-Nitroanthracene                |                                |         |         |
| 9-Nitrophenanthrene              |                                |         |         |
| Nitroanthracene/<br>phenanthrene |                                |         |         |
| 3-Nitrofluoranthene              |                                | Mutagen |         |
| 1-Nitropyrene                    |                                | Mutagen |         |
| 6-Nitrochrysene                  |                                |         |         |
| Dinitropyrene isomer             |                                | Mutagen |         |

# TABLE 2. GC/MS OPERATING CONDITIONS

٦

1

GC PARAMETERS

| Column                          | Ultra No. 2 fused silica, cross-linked 5%<br>phenyl methylsilicone, 50 m x 0.31 mm I.D.,<br>0.52 μm film (Hewlett-Packard) |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Carrier                         | CH4, velocity 50 cm/sec at 250°C   |
| Sample Injection                | 1-2 $\mu$ L, on-column mode or splitless mode  |
| Program                         | 45 C; 1 min; ballistically to 150°C, 3 min;<br>150°C to 320°C at 6°C/min; hold for 20 min                                  |
| MS PARAMETERS                   |  |
| Interface                       | 320°C; fused silica column directly intro-<br>duced into ion source  |
| Typical Full Mass<br>Scan Range | 45 to 450 amu at 1 sec/scan in EI mode 100<br>to 450 amu at 1 sec/scan in NCI mode   |
| Multiple Ion<br>Detection       | Selected target molecular ion monitored  |
| Filament Emission Current       | 0.3 ma   |
| Signal Amplications             | 10-7 amp/volt with 10 <sup>5</sup> electron multiplier<br>gain   |
| Electron Energy                 | 70 eV  |
| NCI Reagent Gas                 | CH4 at ~1.0 torr; 150 eV   |
| Ionizer Temperature             | 180°C  |

Before analysis of standards or samples, the system is calibrated by introducing a standard substance, perfluorotributylamine (FC-43) and determining the mass assignment for principal fragment ions. The mass calibration table is stored and used to calibrate the ion masses over the scanning range. A quantitative multiple-ion detection (MID) GC/MS analysis is also carried out for the samples. Typically, the sensitivity for the analysis of PAH increases approximately 100 times in the MID mode as compared to the full scan mode. A three-point standard curve is generated for each of the target compounds. The standards are analyzed in triplicate, along with a blank sample to determine the baseline. The internal standard, 9-phenyl-anthracene, will be added to the standards and the samples at a fixed concentration level. The response factor for each compound will be generated from the calibration curve. The identification of the target compounds in the extracts is based on the correct molecular ion and the correct retention time relative to the internal standard, as determined from the standard analyses. The quantification of each target compound is based on the following equation:

$$C_s = \frac{A_s C_{is}}{A_{is} R_f}$$

where

| Cs  | =        | Concentration of a target compound, $ng/\mu L$                                |
|-----|----------|---|
| As  | =        | Molecular ion area of a target compound                                       |
| Cis | =        | Concentration of the internal standard, ng/ $\mu$ L                           |
| Ais | =        | Molecular ion area of the internal standard                                   |
| Rf  | =        | Response factor of a target compound.   |
| d.  | Ne<br>Sp | gative Chemical Ionization, Gas Chromatography/Mass<br>ectrometry (NCI GC/MS) |

The NCI on-column injection GC/MS method provides significant benefits for the analysis of nitro-aromatic compounds over the conventional EI GC/MS method. The on-column injection at a lower temperature avoids degradation of the thermally labile nitro-PAH. The chemical ionization technique is more gentle than electron impact and enhances sensitivity for detection of a molecular ion by decreasing the amount of fragmentation. The NCI GC/MS method is especially sensitive and selective for the detection of nitro-PAH. This is due to the electronegative nature of the nitro substitution which is highly susceptible to attachment of a thermal electron from the reagent gas plasma. Therefore, the NCI GC/MS method was used for the selected samples to determine nitro-PAH.

#### e. QA/QC for GC/MS Analysis

For each set of samples generated at the field test site, there was one field blank filter and XAD-2 trap, as well as one laboratory blank. These samples were analyzed concurrently with the field samples to determine whether there were significant sources of contamination to the samples. In addition, the field blank XAD-2 and filter and the laboratory method blank were spiked with the four perdeuterated PAH recovery standards to demonstrate PAH recovery in the absence of the matrix. The field blank XAD-2 was spiked by the sampling team with the d12-benzo[e]pyrene and d12-benzo[k]fluoranthene immediately after sampling. The methylene chloride extraction solvent was spiked in the laboratory with the d12-chrysene and d12-benzo[a]pyrene before the XAD-2 was extracted.

In the quantitative GC/MS analysis, the sample extracts or fractions were spiked with the internal standard, 9-phenylanthracene, immediately prior to GC/MS analysis. This PAH does not occur naturally, and thus does not pose a problem as an interferent. The use of an internal standard from the same compound class as the compounds to be quantified and having an average molecular weight and elution time of those compounds to be quantified enhances the analytical precision of the quantification. This is because an average correction factor for injection technique, chromatographic active site effects and slight variations in the daily mass spectrometer tuning is applied to the quantification of all compounds.

The ordering of samples and standards for GC/MS analyses ensures that standard analyses bracket all of the sample analyses. In general, one set of standards over the calibration range are analyzed first to ensure linearity and range.

A three-point standard curve is generated for each of the target compounds. These standards are analyzed in triplicate along with a blank sample which tests the baseline. For each standard, 2  $\mu$ L are injected and the response compared to that of the internal standard. The computer determines a calibration factor for each of the target compounds which minimizes the difference in ionization efficiencies between the target compounds and the internal standard. The computer performs a least-squares analysis and provides a correlation coefficient and intercept. The correlation coefficient must be at least 0.990 for an acceptable calibration curve. After the calibration curve is established, the order of analysis is: standard, sample, sample, sample, standard until all analyses in a set have been completed. In this way, the calibration curve generated from the standard analyses accurately reflects the condition and operation of the mass spectrometer throughout a set of samples.

The validity of the calibration curve is monitored by analyzing successive calibration solutions using the curves and comparing the measured value obtained with the known value. Quantification within 20 percent of the true value is considered acceptable and requires no reanalysis of the sample.

Mass spectral intensities for the calibration compound FC-43 are also recorded daily and these intensities are used to verify that the ion balance tuning of the mass spectrometer has not varied significantly during the analysis of the sample extracts.

f. Spiked Samples and Blanks

Selected XAD-2 traps and filter samples were spiked as soon as possible after sampling with known amounts  $(0.5 \ \mu g)$  of d12-benzo[e]pyrene and d12-benzo[k]fluoranthene. They were also spiked with known amounts  $(0.5 \ \mu g)$  of d12-benzo[a]pyrene and d12-chrysene in the laboratory immediately before extraction. Analysis of these spiked samples permits assessment of PAH losses in sample handling and in sample preparation. Samples to be used for bioassay cannot be spiked with active compounds. The information is not used to adjust analysis data for loss but rather to estimate a range for data validity.

Analysis of at least one blank for each sample type was performed. Filter and XAD-2 blanks were subjected to the same field conditions as the materials used for actual sample collection. All reagents were added to the blank samples in the same quantity and from the same lot as those used for the actual samples. The blank samples were analyzed concurrently with the actual samples using the same preparation and analysis procedures for both blanks and samples.

#### SECTION III

#### RESULTS

#### A. AEROSOL MASS CONCENTRATION

The exhaust aerosol mass collected on the 47-mm Millipore® filters and on the 20 by 25 cm quartz fiber high-volume filters are presented in Table 3. Also indicated in this table are the exhaust volume sampled by each filter and the exhaust aerosol concentration. The final row of the table indicates the average change in the apparent mass of several blank filters of each type. These blank filters were not used for sampling, but were tare weighed, and stored and shipped in the same fashion as the sample filters. For the calculation of the exhaust aerosol mass concentration, any weight change of a sample filter which was less than one standard deviation above the average blank weight change was considered to be below our detection limit. The average weight gain of the blanks was then subtracted from the sample filters' net weights, and this difference was divided by the sample volume to arrive at the aerosol mass concentration in the engine exhaust.

## B. AEROSOL COMPOSITION

Results of the SSMS analyses of the Millipore® filters are presented in Table 4. With the exception of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen, all elements from lithium to uranium are detected by this technique. Those elements not listed in the table were not present above detection limits, which are from 1 to 10 ng/m<sup>3</sup>, depending on the element.

Clearly, one expects that the emitted particles found in jet engine exhaust will be principally carbonaceous particles. The results presented below indicate the amounts of target PAH and NO<sub>2</sub>-PAH compounds which are associated with the particles. These are but a few of the compounds which can be adsorbed by the particles. Table 5 presents the total extractable organic mass found associated with the particles collected on the quartz fiber filter media.

|                       | MILL       | IPORE® FILT   | ER                                  |            | HIGH-VOLUM                | E FILTER                            |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                       | NET,<br>mg | VOLUME,<br>m3 | CONCENTRATION,<br>mg/m <sup>3</sup> | NET,<br>mg | VOLUME,<br>m <sup>3</sup> | CONCENTRATION,<br>mg/m <sup>3</sup> |
| F101 Idle             | 0.512      | 1.03          | 0.25                                | 15.32      | 36.2                      | 0.35                                |
| 44%                   | 0.458      | 1.04          | 0.20                                | -7.35      | 34.8                      | ND                                  |
| 75%                   | 0.252      | 1.01          | ND <sup>(a)</sup>                   | 6.71       | 34.6                      | 0.12                                |
| 100%                  | 0.280      | 1.01          | ND                                  | 7.60       | 34.0                      | 0.15                                |
| F110 Idle             | 0.558      | 0.95          | 0.32                                | 5.81       | 35.4                      | 0.09                                |
| 30%                   | 0.281      | 1.07          | ND                                  | -1.65      | 35.1                      | ND                                  |
| 63%                   | 0.471      | 1.01          | 0.21                                | -4.02      | 34.8                      | ND                                  |
| 100%                  | 0.601      | 1.04          | 0.33                                | -1.59      | 33.1                      | ND                                  |
| Blanks <sup>(b)</sup> | 0.255 ±    | 0.053         |                                     | 2.63       | ± 2.86                    |                                     |

## TABLE 3. FILTER MASS COLLECTIONS AND APPARENT EXHAUST AEROSOL MASS CONCENTRATIONS

(a) Filter net mass is below detection limit.

(b) Net weight gains for blank values are means of seven Millipore® filters and of five quartz fiber high-volume filters, with the indicated standard deviations.

TABLE 4. ELEMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS IN EXHAUST AEROSOL ( $\mu g/m^3$ )

L

|     |        | FII    | 0      |       |        | F101    |        |        |         |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
|     | IDLE   | 30%    | 63%    | 100%  | IDLE   | 44%     | 75%    | 100%   | BLANK   |
| 8   | 0.3    | 0.05   | С.05   | 0.5   | 0.15   | 0.05    | 0.07   | 0.07   | 0.15    |
| Na  | 10     | 5      | 3      | 5     | 5      | 2       | ę      | 5      | 10      |
| БМ  | e      | 0.6    | 0.30   | 10    | 0.3    | 0.3     | 2      | 5      | 5       |
| ٩l  | 1      | 0.3    | 0.7    | 1     | 0.7    | 0.7     | 0.7    | 1.5    | 0.3     |
| Si  | 40     | 40     | 10     | 40    | 40     | 7       | 15     | 20     | 20      |
| ٩   | 0.5    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.15  | 0.3    | 0.15    | 0.15   | 0.3    | 0.5     |
| S   | 7      | 4      | 4      | 10    | 7      | 4       | 4      | 1.5    | 4       |
| 5   | 0.5    | 0.2    | 0.1    | 0.5   | 0.2    | 0.1     | 0.05   | 0.05   | 1       |
| ¥   | 2      | 0.7    | 0.5    | 0.7   | 0.7    | 1       | 0.7    | 0.7    | 2       |
| Ca  | 40     | 7      | 4      | 30    | 15     | 7       | 4      | 7      | 15      |
| ij  | 0.07   | 0.005  | 0.02   | 0.7   | 0.05   | 0.05    | 0.05   | 0.07   | 0.1     |
| >   | 0.006  | 0.0003 | 0.0015 | 0.015 | 0.0015 | <0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0015 | 0.0015  |
| ۲   | 0.02   | 0.03   | 0.03   | 0.7   | 0.1    | 0.06    | 0.06   | 0.3    | 0.2     |
| Mn  | 0.03   | 0.015  | 0.02   | 0.07  | 0.02   | 0.015   | 0.015  | 0.010  | 0.02    |
| Fe  | 1      | 0.3    | 0.3    | S     | 0.3    | 0.3     | 0.3    | 0.15   | 0.3     |
| റ്റ | <0.003 | <0.003 | 0.015  | 0.15  | <0.003 | <0.003  | <0.003 | 0.15   | <0.003  |
| N.  | 0.02   | 0.002  | 0.05   | 0.5   | 0.002  | 0.01    | 0.02   | 0.5    | <0.0015 |
| с   | 1      | 0.4    | 0.4    | 0.7   | 0.7    | 0.4     | 0.7    | 0.4    | 2       |
| Zn  | 0.2    | 0.05   | 0.6    | 2     | -      | 0.2     | 0.2    | 0.15   | 0.5     |

TABLE 4. ELEMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS IN EXHAUST AEROSOL ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) (CONCLUDED)

L

|      | 100% BLANK | 0.004 0.02 | 0.003 0.7 | 0.02 0.04 | 0.05 0.015 |  |
|------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--|
| 10   | 75%        | 0.007      | 0.004     | 0.05      | 0.01       |  |
| F1(  | 44%        | 0.007      | <0.005    | 0.15      | <0.005     |  |
|      | IDLE       | 0.007      | 0.01      | 0.15      | 0.05       |  |
|      | 100%       | 0.2        | 0.004     | S         | 0.04       |  |
| F110 | 63%        | 0.003      | 0.003     | 0.07      | 0.005      |  |
|      | 30%        | 0.002      | <0.003    | 0.003     | <0.005     |  |
|      | IDLE       | 0.02       | 0.004     | 0.15      | 0.015      |  |
|      |            | Sr         | Zr        | Ba        | ЪЪ         |  |

# TABLE 5. PARTICULATE EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC MASS CONCENTRATION $(\mu g/m^3)$

| ENGINE  | POWER<br>LEVEL | EXTRACTABLE<br>ORGANIC<br>MASS |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| F110    | Idle           | 79                             |
| F110    | 30%            | 54                             |
| F110    | 63%            | 52                             |
| F110    | 100%           | 48                             |
| F101    | Idle           | 140                            |
| F101    | 44%            | 72                             |
| F101    | 75%            | 75                             |
| F101    | 100%           | 140                            |
| Ambient |                | 38                             |

.

## C. PAH AND NO2-PAH CONCENTRATIONS

Tables 6 through 9 contain the measured concentrations of the target PAH and NO<sub>2</sub>-PAH compounds. These data are separated by engine, with vapor phase (XAD) and particulate (Filter) portions of each compound listed in adjacent columns. Table 10 contains the total extractable organic mass collected on the XAD sorbent.

## D. EXHAUST PARAMETERS

The exhaust gas temperature was measured using thermocouples positioned at the face of the high-volume filter and at the exit from the XAD cartridge. In all cases, these temperatures agreed, and the value is listed in Table 11 under the heading of Exhaust Temperature. The exhaust gas velocity pressure was measured during each sampling operation and used as a basis for calculating the gas flow rate through the exhaust stack. This mass flow rate was multiplied by the number of individual stacks (56) to estimate the total flow of gas through the engine test cell. This is only a rough approximation of the total flow rate, but it was not within the scope of this project to perform a full characterization of the exhaust stack flow patterns. Also indicated in Table 11 are the engine air flow rates measured by the test cell operators.

TABLE 6. TARGET PAH CONCENTRATIONS IN THE VAPOR-PHASE (XAD) AND PARTICULATE (FILTER) PORTIONS OF F110 EXHAUST AT VARIOUS POWER SETTINGS (ng/m<sup>3</sup>)

|  | =                 | L      | 206               | DOUED  | 963               | DOULD             | 1001              | 00000  |
|--|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| COMPOUND                               | XAD               | FILTER | XAD               | FILTER | XAD               | FILTER            | XAD               | FILTER |
|  |                   |        |                   |        |                   |                   |                   |        |
| Naphthalene                            | 1200              | 1.8    | 420               | 2.3    | 500               | 1.8               | 290               | 1.7    |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene                    | 1900              | 2.4    | 590               | 2.7    | 640               | 2.1               | 380               | 2.0    |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene                    | 1100              | 1.2    | 360               | 1.6    | 400               | 1.2               | 200               | 1.1    |
| 1,2-Dimethylnaphthalate                | 610               | 0.84   | 200               | 1.0    | 220               | 1.0               | 140               | 1.0    |
| Dimethylnaphthalate                    | 1000              | 1.6    | 360               | 1.8    | 400               | 1.6               | 240               | 1.9    |
| <pre>1,4%2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene</pre> | 280               | 0.73   | 100               | 0.58   | 110               | 0.80              | 11                | 0.73   |
| 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene                | 26                | 0.27   | 33                | 0.18   | 33                | 0.29              | 23                | 0.27   |
| Acenaphthylene                         | 30                | 0.51   | 12                | 0.29   | 20                | 0.20              | 7.4               | 0.45   |
| Acenaphthene                           | 55                | 0.81   | 30                | 1.2    | 41                | 1.0               | 33                | 0.97   |
| Dibenzothiophene                       | 20                | 1.4    | 12                | 1.0    | 16                | 1.0               | 9.0               | 1.3    |
| Phenanthrene                           | 230               | 11.0   | 130               | 10     | 220               | 11                | 240               | 13.0   |
| Anthracene                             | 12                | 1.1    | 5.1               | 0.84   | 8.5               | 0.80              | 11                | 0.78   |
| Fluoranthene                           | 46                | 4.8    | 25                | 4.5    | 46                | 4.9               | 150               | 5.8    |
| Pyrene                                 | 35                | 2.5    | 15                | 3.3    | 25                | 2.6               | 400               | 2.8    |
| Cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene                  | ND <sup>(a)</sup> | 0.53   | ND <sup>(a)</sup> | 0.65   | ND <sup>(a)</sup> | 0.69              | ND <sup>(a)</sup> | 0.30   |
| Benz[a]anthracene                      | 2.6               | 0.53   | 1.1               | 0.83   | 1.6               | 0.24              | 3.1               | 0.15   |
| Chrysene                               | 5.2               | 0.96   | 2.1               | 1.1    | 3.8               | 0.55              | 5.3               | 0.58   |
| Benzofluoranthenes                     | Q                 | 1.1    | QN                | 1.4    | QN                | 0.40              | QN                | 0.26   |
| Benzo[e]pyrene                         | QN                | 0.57   | QN                | 0.71   | QN                | ND <sup>(a)</sup> | Q¥                | 0.15   |
| Benzo[a]pyrene                         | QN                | 0.61   | QN                | 0.70   | Q                 | QN                | QN                | 0.20   |
| Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene                | Q                 | 0.62   | QN                | 0.52   | QN                | QN                | QN                | 0.11   |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene                 | QN                | 0.70   | QN                | 0.40   | Q                 | Q¥                | QN                | 0.066  |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene                   | QN                | 0.65   | QN                | 0.52   | QN                | QN                | QN                | 0.14   |

22

(a)ND = Not detected.

TABLE 7. TARGET PAH CONCENTRATIONS IN THE VAPOR-PHASE (XAD) AND PARTICULATE (FILTER) PORTIONS OF F101 EXHAUST AT VARIOUS POWER SETTINGS (ng/m<sup>3</sup>) XAD FILTER 0.15 0.53 0.22 0.79 0.56 2.6 0.21 0.84 0.21 0.61 0.17 ND (a) ND ND ND ND 2.9 1.4 1.1 7.4 2.8 1.7 36 2.3 5.4 7.9 ND<sup>(a)</sup> 150 100 190 64 18 8.2 88.2 48 8.2 48 11 11 11 11 11 87 00 240 240 75% POWER XAD FILTER 0.96 0.75 0.75 0.35 U.20 0.58 0.49 1.4 20 0.17 0.64 0.24 ND<sup>(a)</sup> ND ND ND ND 1.6 1.0 7.3 4.6 1.1 300 320 180 120 210 210 84 9.8 45 45 11 11 11 18 46 46 2280 2280 2280 2280 2280 2280 2280 2.3 2.3 2.3 XAD FILTER 0.95 9.0 0.89 0.27 0.68 0.84 0.69 0.90 0.27 0.52 0.50 0.37 ND<sup>(a)</sup> 0.25 0.30 0.29 1.5 1.4 1.0 4.9 3.1 1.2 ę 140 88 190 240 FILTER 0.59 0.82 6.1 0.78 0.63 0.35 0.11 0.11 0.43 0.13 0.33 0.38 0.19 0.15 0.16 0.20 0.73 3.7 0.84 0.11 IDLE **DX** 660 580 1,4&2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene 1,2-Dimethylnaphthalate 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene Cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene 3enzo[g,h,i]perylene Dimethy Inaphthalate 1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene **Benzofluoranthenes** COMPOUND Benz[a]anthracene Dibenzothiophene Benzo[e]pyrene Benzo[a]pyrene Acenaphthy lene Acenaphthene Phenanthrene Fluoranthene iaphthalene Anthracene Chrysene Pyrene

(a)ND = Not detected.

TABLE 8. FIIO, NO2-PAH COMPOUND CONCENTRATION ( $ng/m^3$ )

|    | COMPOUND                            | XAD I | DLE<br>FILTER | 30%  <br>XAD | POWER | 63%<br>XAD | POWER | 100%<br>XAD | POWER<br>FILTER |
|----|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|
|    | 2-Nitro-1-naphthol                  | 12    | 0.32          | 11           | 0.19  | 15         | 0.12  | 14          | 0.13            |
|    | 4-Hydroxy-3-nitrobiphenyl           | 0.55  | 0.071         | 0.18         | 0.061 | 0.59       | 0.072 | 0.69        | 0.059           |
|    | 1-Nitronaphthalene                  | 1.6   | 0.055         | 0.59         | 0.17  | 0.75       | 0.067 | 1.1         | 0.039           |
|    | 2-Nitronaphthalene                  | 0.55  | 0.039         | 0.26         | 0.029 | 0.33       | 0.037 | 0.53        | 0.022           |
|    | 9-Nitroanthracene                   | 0.75  | 0.082         | 1.1          | 0.075 | 1.5        | 0.064 | 17          | 0.052           |
| 24 | 9-Nitrophenanthrene                 | 0.20  | 0.045         | 0.095        | 0.045 | 0.11       | 0.064 | 1.2         | 0.12            |
|    | Nitroanthracene/phenanthrene isomer | 0.18  | 0.024         | 0.083        | 0.032 | 0.34       | 0.027 | 18          | 0.013           |
|    | 3-Nitrofluoranthene                 | QN    | 0.032         | QN           | 0.025 | QN         | 0.049 | QN          | 0.021           |
|    | 1-Nitropyrene                       | QN    | 0.087         | QN           | 0.22  | ŰŇ         | 0.19  | 0.50        | 0.16            |
|    | 6-Nitrochrysene                     | QN    | ND            | QN           | QN    | QN         | QN    | QN          | QN              |
|    | Dinitropyrene                       | QN    | ND            | QN           | QN    | QN         | QN    | QN          | QN              |
|    |                                     |       |               |              |       |            |       |             |                 |

ND = Not detected.

TABLE 9. F101, NO2-PAH COMPOUND CONCENTRATION ( $ng/m^3$ )

|    | COMPOUND                            | I<br>XAD | DLE<br>FILTER | 44%<br>XAD | POWER<br>FILTER | 75%  <br>XAD | POWER | 100<br>XAD | <pre>% POWER<br/>FILTER</pre> |
|----|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|------------|-------------------------------|
|    |                                     |          |               |            |                 |              |       |            |                               |
|    | 2-Nitro-1-naphthol                  | 18       | 0.095         | 7.5        | 0.17            | 8.9          | 0.23  | 16         | 0.13                          |
|    | 4-Hydroxy-3-nitrobiphenyl           | 0.52     | 0.037         | 0.28       | 0.072           | 0.77         | 0.057 | 1.6        | 0.039                         |
|    | 1-Nitronaphthalene                  | 2.9      | 0.049         | 0.39       | 0.051           | 0.40         | 0.075 | 1.0        | 0.093                         |
|    | 2-Nitronaphthalene                  | 0.54     | 0.024         | 0.18       | 0.022           | 0.20         | 0.035 | 0.40       | 0.033                         |
|    | 9-Nitroanthracene                   | 0.62     | 0.035         | 0.50       | 0.053           | 2.8          | 0.069 | 5,3        | 0.046                         |
|    | 9-Nitrophenanthrene                 | 0.28     | 0.030         | 0.11       | 0.012           | 0.083        | 0.037 | 0.35       | 0.026                         |
| 25 | Nitroanthracene/phenanthrene isomer | 0.14     | 0.012         | 0.10       | 0.010           | 1.9          | 0.014 | 5.3        | 0.013                         |
|    | 3-Nitrofluoranthene                 | QN       | 0.017         | QN         | 0.021           | QN           | 0.028 | DN         | 0.014                         |
|    | 1-Nitropyrene                       | QN       | ND            | QN         | 0.15            | QN           | 0.10  | QN         | 0.10                          |
|    | 6-Nitrochrysene                     | QN       | ND            | QN         | QN              | QN           | ND    | QN         | QN                            |
|    | Dinitropyrene                       | QN       | ND            | QN         | QN              | QN           | ND    | QN         | QN                            |

ND = Not detected.

| ENGINE  | POWER<br>LEVEL | EXTRACTABLE<br>ORGANIC<br>MASS |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| F110    | Idle           | 420                            |
| F110    | 30%            | 220                            |
| F110    | 63%            | 400                            |
| F110    | 100%           | 1900                           |
| F101    | Idle           | 230                            |
| F101    | 44%            | 380                            |
| F101    | 75%            | 320                            |
| F101    | 100%           | 400                            |
| Ambient |                | 80                             |

# TABLE 10. VAPOR-PHASE EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC MASS CONCENTRATIONS ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)

# TABLE 11. EXHAUST GAS TEMPERATURE AND FLOW RATES

| ENGINE | POWER<br>LEVEL | TEMP,<br>°C | STACK<br>EXHAUST<br>FLOW<br>kg/s | ENGINE<br>AIR FLOW,<br>kg/s |
|--------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| F110   | Idle           | 63          | 68                               | 40                          |
| F110   | 30%            | 59          | 350                              | 60                          |
| F110   | 63%            | 74          | 520                              | 89                          |
| F110   | 100%           | 100         | 690                              | 120                         |
| F101   | Idle           | 51          | 170                              | 57                          |
| F101   | 44%            | 64          | 450                              | 110                         |
| F101   | 75%            | 81          | 610                              | 140                         |
| F101   | 100%           | 93          | 700                              | 160                         |

#### SECTION IV

#### DISCUSSION

#### A. AEROSOL MASS CONCENTRATION

Previously reported measurements of particulate mass concentrations in jet engine exhaust indicate that a value on the order of tens of  $mq/m^3$  is typical, with considerable variation between engine types, and between engines, depending upon the time logged since major overhaul. Measurements performed on two TF30-P414 engines--one newly rebuilt and one with many hours of use--indicated that, at military power, the newly rebuilt engine's exhaust contained 9 to 15  $mg/m^3$  particulate matter, while the heavily used engine's exhaust contained 63 to 86 mg/3 (Reference 4). That range of values is in good agreement with measurements reported for newly rebuilt TF30-P103 and TF30-P109 engines (Reference 5). This latter reference also presents data for the J79-17G (smokeless) engine showing less than 5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> at full power, the TF41-A2 at nearly 50 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and TF33-P3 and TF33-P7 engines approximately midway between those values. Those results were based on samples collected at the engine exhaust plane, but other investigators have found no significant differences between the particulate mass concentration or particle size distribution measured at the exhaust plane and those measured at the test cell exhaust stack (References 6,7).

In the absence of available information regarding the exhaust particulate mass concentration to be expected for the F101 and F110 engines, the sampling and gravimetric analysis procedures were designed to enable measurement of concentrations as low as 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Blank filters were tared and gross weighed to provide an indication of the mass change of the filter media which would be attributable to storage conditions, shipment, and random fluctuations within the bounds established for the weighing laboratory and balance. As indicated in Table 3, both sets of filter blanks exhibited an increase in mass, which was accounted for in completing the net mass gain for the sample filters. The magnitude of the mass change relative to the tare weights of the blanks is roughly 0.3 percent for the Millipore<sup>®</sup> media and 0.07 percent for the quartz fiber media. The variability is much greater for the quartz fiber media. One would expect this because this media is much more prone to variable losses of fibers than the Millipore® cellulose acetate material is. This is also indicated by the negative weight changes found in half of the sample filters.

The exhaust plane aerosol samples collected in the comparison project (Reference 1) indicated mass concentrations less than  $0.4 \text{ mg/m}^3$  in all cases except for the F101 at idle, which was less than  $1 \text{ mg/m}^3$ . The results presented in Table 3 confirm that the exhaust aerosol mass concentration is less than  $0.4 \text{ mg/m}^3$  in all cases for these engines. At these extremely low concentrations, it is not reasonable to attempt to extract more detailed information from the measurements obtained. The aerosol mass emissions of these two engines are clearly lower than those of any of the engines listed in the above discussion.

B. AEROSOL COMPOSITION

In examining the SSMS results presented in Table 4, one must keep in mind that this technique is not especially precise, although it has great sensitivity. The only elements of potential interest in that table are those which are generally present in the samples at a higher concentration than was found in the blank filter media. The crustal elements Al and Si appear to be enriched in the samples, relative to the blank, and these merely indicate the presence of a small amount of wind-blown soil in the sample.

Iron is indicated in a few of the samples. The elements Ni and Co, however, are present at levels well in excess of the blank, especially at higher engine power levels. This may be indicative of greater wear of turbine components fabricated from alloys containing cobalt and nickel.

Inspection of Table 4 reveals that there are not significant emissions of heavy metals by these engines, and that the exhaust aerosol mass accounted for by inorganic components is only a small portion of the total.

The total extractable organic mass concentrations presented in Table 5 indicate that this material may account for up to one-third of the total aerosol mass. Because of the very small masses of particulate matter

collected, however, a more precise accounting of the extractable and carbonaceous aerosol mass cannot be made.

#### C. PAH AND NO2-PAH CONCENTRATIONS

Tables 6 through 9 contain the measured concentrations of all target PAH and NO<sub>2</sub>-PAH compounds measured in the engine exhaust samples. Examination of these data reveals several trends which may be duplicated in other turbine engines' exhaust.

The phase distribution of the PAH compounds is, perhaps, the most obvious feature of these data. The compounds ranging from naphthalene through the four-ring compounds, fluoranthene and pyrene are principally (>90 percent, typically) found in the vapor phase under the sampling conditions of this study. The higher than ambient temperatures at which the exhaust samples were necessarily collected do not appear to have resulted in a large distortion of the phase distribution. The phase distribution of the four-ring PAH shift slightly from particulate to vapor phase as the engine power level (hence exhaust temperature) increases. The extent of this shift is not large, however. For the F101 engine, the shift is from about 14 percent of the four-ring compounds being in the particulate phase at idle, to about 6 percent at full power. For the F110, the corresponding shift is from about 8 percent to about 3 percent.

For the five-ring compound, cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene, there is only one instance of this material being detected in the vapor-phase sample. For benzofluoranthene and beyond, these PAH are found exclusively associated with the particles collected from the exhaust.

The same trends apply to the NO<sub>2</sub>-PAH phase distribution, but only 3nitrofluoranthene and 1-nitropyrene are present at high enough concentrations to be detected in the aerosol samples.

There is, in general, a dependence of the PAH concentrations on the engine and engine power level. The F110 exhaust concentrations of these PAH are approximately twice those for the F101 exhaust. Performing a simple linear regression on the PAH concentrations in Tables 6 and 7 for the compounds naphthalene through pyrene provides a coefficient of determination,  $r^2 = 0.92$ , with the F110 concentrations being 2.4 times the

F101 values. This indicates that there is not a large difference in the relative proportions of these exhaust components.

Within the data obtained for each engine there is a general trend towards decreasing PAH concentrations with increasing engine power level. A linear regression of the PAH concentrations for naphthalene through dibenzothiophene yields the following relations:

• F110:  $C(100\%) = 11.8 \text{ ng/m}^3 + 0.203 C(idle)$   $r^2 = 0.98$ 

• F101: 
$$C(100\%) = 22.9 \text{ ng/m}^3 + 0.341 C(idle)$$
  $r^2 = 0.94$ 

where C(X) denotes the PAH concentration  $(ng/m^3)$  for the power level, X. The high values of the coefficient of determination are a strong indication that there are no important changes in the relative concentrations of these compounds as the engine power changes. Rather, the entire set of compounds increases or decreases together. This can also be seen in Figures 3 and 4. These figures also show that there is not much difference between the exhaust concentrations of these compounds measured at the two intermediate power levels. These concentrations range from 0.3 to approximately 0.4 of the idle exhaust levels.

The concentrations of the three-ring and larger PAH compounds (phenanthrene and below, in Tables 6 and 7) do not demonstrate a clear dependence on engine power level. For the F101 engine, the phenanthrene concentrations increase with engine power level. For the F110, however, the idle and full power exhaust concentrations are nearly identical. These patterns are also followed by chrysene, benz[a]anthracene, and cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene. Those PAH compounds found only in the particulate samples, i.e., benzofluoranthenes through benzo[g,h,i]perylene in the tables, clearly decrease at the full power setting for both engines.

The data obtained in the companion project (Reference 1) indicate decreasing concentrations of all PAH with increasing power levels, with few exceptions. The discrepancy between those results and the exhaust cell stack measurements of phenanthrene, anthracene, fluoranthene, and pyrene is not understood at this time. In general, the correspondence between the PAH measurements made on the samples collected at the engine exhaust plane and at the test cell stack is quite good. At the idle power







level, for example, a linear regression of the PAH measurements results in the relations:

- F110:  $C(\text{stack}) = -32.8 \text{ ng/m}^3 + 0.226 C(\text{exhaust plane})$ r<sup>2</sup> = 0.84
- F101: C(stack) = -15.5 ng/m<sup>3</sup> + 0.266 C(exhaust plane) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.97.

where C(X) denotes the PAH concentration  $(ng/m^3)$  measured at sampling location X. The high degree of correlation indicates no significant changes in the relative proportions of the PAH concentrations between the two sampling locations. The measured concentrations are presented in Figures 5 and 6, where each point corresponds to one PAH compound, and its coordinates represent the values measured at the two sampling locations. It is apparent in these figures that for the compounds whose concentrations are relatively low, the correlation between the data sets may not be so high as is indicated for the overall data.

For both engines, the exhaust plane PAH concentrations are approximately four times greater than the test cell stack concentrations. Unfortunately, the aerosol mass concentration measurements are too low to permit a meaningful comparison of values from the two sampling locations. The cause of the apparent discrepancy would appear to lie in the presence of diluting (noncombustion) air in the stack gas flow. Table 11 shows measured values of the engine air flow, and air flow rate estimates made on the basis of measurements performed at the top of the stack. The average value of the ratio of these flow rates is 4.3, meaning that the engine air flow is diluted by a factor of approximately four in its transit to the stack exit. Because the engine exhaust plane measurements were performed within the core of the engine exhaust plume, it is reasonable that these values should indicate concentrations approximately four times the diluted values measured at the top of the stack.

One final point should be raised regarding the PAH and NO<sub>2</sub>-PAH measurements performed. Examination of Table 10 indicates that the sum of the target PAH compounds quantified is only a very small portion of the total extractable organic mass found in the vapor samples of the engine exhaust. For the identification and quantification of the more prevalent



Figure 5. Concentrations of PAH Compounds Measured in F110 Exhaust Samples Collected at Idle from the Engine Exhaust Plane, and at the Test Cell Stack Exit. (Each Datum Denotes One Compound in Table 6.)



Figure 6. Concentrations of PAH Compounds Measured in F101 Exhaust Samples Collected at Idle from the Engine Exhaust Plane, and at the Test Cell Stack Exit. (Each Datum Denotes One Compound in Table 7.)

compounds found in the extractable organics, the reader is referred to the report covering the companion study (Reference 1).

#### D. PARTICLE MORPHOLOGY

The engine exhaust samples collected on Nuclepore® filters were analyzed using an ISI-DS13 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The samples were not subjected to quantitative analyses, but the qualitative differences exhibited at different power levels merit discussions.

Previous work had indicated that the idle power level yielded the lowest concentration of particles in the engine exhaust. This is in qualitative agreement with the SEM analyses of these samples. Figure 7 depicts particles collected from the exhaust of the F101 engine operated at idle power. (Note that the pores in the filters are approximately 0.2  $\mu$ m diameter.) The large (0.4  $\mu$ m) spherical particles in this field are found only in the idle exhaust for these engines. Less visible in the micrograph are the more numerous small particles of diameter 0.05  $\mu$ m. The particle distribution appears to be bimodal, but with a very low number concentration of the larger particles. A filter collected atop the stack in the absence of engine operation yielded collection of much fewer particles than any of the exhaust samples, and the particles which were present were small globular agglomerates.

At full (nonafterburning) power, the particle concentration in the engine exhaust was much higher, as shown in Figures 8 and 9. The particles are predominantly characterized as agglomerates composed of primary particles of diameter less than 0.1  $\mu$ m. The "diameter" of the agglomerates rarely exceeds 0.2  $\mu$ m. No significant differences are apparent in the exhaust particle samples for the two engines at any common power level. The presence of the agglomerates indicates formation of a high concentration of very fine particles near the engine's combustion zone. The high concentration of these particles, the high degree of turbulence in the gas flow near the engine exhaust plane, and the probable high charge on the combustion-generated particles contribute to a high rate of coagulation. As coagulation proceeds, the agglomerates seen in Figures 8 and 9 effectively scavenge a large fraction of the primary particles in the exhaust stream.



Figure 7. SEM Photomicrograph of Particles Collected from Exhaust of F101 Engine Operated at Idle Power



Figure 8. SEM Photomicrograph of Particles Collected from Exhaust of F101 Engine Operated at 100 Percent Power



Figure 9. SEM Photomicrograph of Particles Collected from Exhaust of F110 Engine Operated at 100 Percent Power

#### SECTION V

#### CONCLUSIONS

This study has characterized several of the chemicals associated with the particles in the exhaust from the F101 and F110 engines at four operating power levels. The particles were collected from the exhaust gas and characterized with respect to inorganic elemental composition, total extractable organic mass, and target PAH and NO<sub>2</sub>-PAH compounds.

An exhaust sampling system was designed and built for this task to enable collection of exhaust samples from the test cell stack exit. Samples were collected on XAD-2 resin (vapor phase components), quartz fiber filters, Millipore® and Nuclepore® filter media for the different analytical techniques employed.

Key conclusions drawn from the analyses follow:

I

- The aerosol mass concentration in the exhaust from these engines was very low, consistently less than 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> at the test cell stack. These measurements were performed with two different filter media, which produced similar results. These results were also confirmed by mass concentration measurements made in the companion study, using samples collected at the engine exhaust plane.
- Most of the target PAH compounds were found to decrease with increasing engine power level for both engines. The relative concentrations of the two- and threering PAH were very similar for the two engines and agreed reasonably well with measurements made on samples collected at the engine exhaust plane.
- As has been found in previous analyses of the organic constituents of gas turbine engine exhaust, the total contribution of PAH is but a very small portion of the total extractable organic mass, typically being on the order of 1 percent.
- The target PAH compounds are distributed between the vapor and particulate phase according to their molecular weight. The two- and three-ring compounds, which account for a very large portion (>90 percent) of the total PAH analyzed, are almost completely found in the

vapor phase, while the heaviest PAH compounds analyzed in this work are found exclusively associated with the particles.

• The particles collected at the test cell stack are principally small (<0.2  $\mu$ m) agglomerates of smaller (<0.1  $\mu$ m) primary particles for higher engine power levels. The indicated size is in rough agreement with measurements made on aerosol samples collected at the engine exhaust plane.

#### REFERENCES

- Spicer, C. W., Holdren, M. W., Smith, D. L., Miller, S. E., Smith, R. N., and Hughes, D. P., <u>Aircraft Emissions Characterization: F101</u> and F110 Engines, ESL-TR-89-13, Tyndall AFB, Florida, March, 1989.
- Petersen, B. A., Bishop, T. A., Chuang, J. C., Kinzer, G. W., Hayes, T. L., Meehan, P. W., Riggin, R. M., and Trayser, D. A., <u>Diesel</u> <u>Engine Emissions of Particulates and Associated Organic Matter</u>, CRC APRAC--Project Number CAPE-24-72, 1981.
- 3. Nishioka, M. G., Chuang, J. C., and Contos, D. A., <u>Screening</u>, <u>Identification</u>, and <u>Quantification of Mutagens and Potential</u> <u>Carcinogens in Ambient Air and Source Emissions</u>, EPA Contract Number 68-02-3169, 1984.
- Matthews, L. A., Heller, C. A., Johnson, J. H., Erickson, E. D., and Loda, R. T., <u>Analysis of Particulates in the Exhaust Plume of a TF30</u> <u>Engine at Military Power, NWL-TP-6508</u>, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California, 1984.
- 5. Kuhlman, M. R., Sverdrup, G. M., Smith, D. L., Spicer, C. W., Hughes, D. P., Smith, M. D., and Seitchek, G. D., "Measurement of Primary Particles Emitted from Jet Turbine Engines," submitted to the Journal of Air Pollution Control Association, March, 1989.
- Bramer, J. R., "Investigation of the Effectiveness of Smoke Suppressant Fuel Additives for Turbojet Applications," M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 1982.
- Aircraft Environmental Support Office, <u>Characterization of</u> <u>Particulate Emissions from the J79-GE-15A Engine, McClellan Air Force</u> <u>Base, California</u>, AESO Report No. 2-87, Naval Air Rework Facility, North Island, California, 1987.

(The reverse of this page is blank.)