

# TECHNICAL REPORT BRL-TR-3276



# AN ANALYSIS OF BORE SURFACE TEMPERATURES IN ELECTROTHERMAL-CHEMICAL GUNS

G. P. WREN W. F. OBERLE

OCTOBER 1991

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

U.S. ARMY LABORATORY COMMAND

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

91-15406

91 1110 VOV

#### NOTICES

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

# UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

(LEDIE VIDI	NK) 2. REPORT DATE	3 REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED	
	October 1991	Final. Jan 90 - 1	Dec 90	
TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
An Analysis of Bora Surfr	ace Temperatures in		DD: 1527010/0 VDC 52	
Electrothermal-Chemical	Guns		PR. 1F229W9XDG53	
AUTHOR(S)			DAGTIOSO	
G. P. Wren and W. F. Ob	perle			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
			REPORT NUMBER	
SPONSORING/MONITORING AG	ENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(	ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
US Army Ballistic Resear	ch Laboratory			
Aberdeen Proving Ground	d. MD 21005-5066		BRL-TR-3276	
SUDDI EMENTARY NOTES				
Approved for public releas	se: distribution is unlimited			
Approved for public releas	se, distribution is dramated.			
. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word				
<b>5</b> 1				
Electrothermal-chemic	al (ETC) guns utilize worki	ng fluid/electrical energy	combinations which	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased	al (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for . However, it is special	combinations which gas temperatures is of ted that the fluid	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma	al (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior I insulating layer for the gu	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for 1. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p	al (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior l insulating layer for the gu aper is a theoretical invest	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for n. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered bc	al (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior l insulating layer for the gu aper is a theoretical invest d insulating layer. The ana	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for n. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi	al (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior I insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest d insulating layer. The ana plow cylinder with time-vary ickness of an insulating lay	ng fluid/electrical energy The high potential for However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the this required to maintain the b	al (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana ollow cylinder with time-van ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce	ng fluid/electrical energy The high potential for However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-vary ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for n. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-van ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy The high potential for Newever, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-vary ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of a bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy The high potential for Newever, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu baper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana blow cylinder with time-vary ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for n. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ving convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosion il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-van ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for h. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the SUBJECT TERMS	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-van ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for n. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 35 16. PRICE CODE	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the SUBJECT TERMS electrothermal, barrel eros electrothermal, barrel eros electrothermal-chemical, E	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosior il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-van ickness of an insulating lay ore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy . The high potential for h. However, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba igation of the reduction alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used	combinations which         gas temperatures is of         ated that the fluid         arrel is protected against         of bore surface         y heat conduction         y conditions. The model         d on gas temperature,         nined from a         to suggest the feasibility         15. NUMBER OF PAGES         35         16. PRICE CODE         ATION       20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA	
Electrothermal-chemic may produce gas tempera concern due to increased propellants form a therma excessive erosion. This p temperature due to a liqui through a multi-layered ho is used to estimate the thi required to maintain the b conventional "hot" propella of the liquid protecting the subject TERMS electrothermal, barrel eros electrothermal, barrel eros electrothermal-chemical, E	cal (ETC) guns utilize worki atures in excess of 3,500 K possibility of barrel erosion il insulating layer for the gu paper is a theoretical invest id insulating layer. The ana pllow cylinder with time-van ickness of an insulating lay fore temperature at an acce ant. The required mass of a bore.	ng fluid/electrical energy The high potential for Newever, it is specula n tube, and, thus, the ba- igation of the reduction of alysis considers unstead ying convective boundar er near shot start, based eptable maximum detern fluid in the tube is used conductivity 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF ABSTRACT	combinations which gas temperatures is of ated that the fluid arrel is protected against of bore surface y heat conduction y conditions. The model on gas temperature, hined from a to suggest the feasibility 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 35 16. PRICE CODE ATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA-	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

•

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

# <u>Page</u>

	LIST OF FIGURES	v
	LIST OF TABLES	v
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
1.		1
2.		3
3.	MODEL DESCRIPTION	4
3.1 3.2 3.3	Gun Barrel Wall $\dots$ $T_g$ $\dots$	5 6 7
4.	VALIDATION OF ALTERNATE BOUNDARY CONDITION	7
5.	APPLICATION TO 120-MM TANK GUN	9
6.	EFFECT OF FLUID INSULATING LAYER ON BORE SURFACE TEMPERATURE	12
7.	EFFECT OF AXIAL DISTRIBUTOR OF FLUID INSULATING LAYER	15
8.	TOTAL HEAT INPUT	16
9.		17
10.	REFERENCES	19
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	21

1

Acous

•

. .

A-1

971) 145 Domes annea Duistr Catlar

⊈نا لأ يقت

12

14

GRAAI

atos tos

• • •

iii

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

•

.

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	An Electrothermal-Chemical (ETC) Gun	2
2.	Hypothetical Fluid Insulating Layer in ETC Gun	2
3.	Heat Flux Function Representation	8
4.	Comparison of Analytical and Experimental Bore Surface Temperature for a 105-mm Barrel	8
5.	Gas Temperature Near Shot Start for Propellants With Flame Propellants of 3,424 K and 3,993 K	11
6.	Bore Surface Temperature Near Shot Start With Varying Film Thicknesses of 0.0, 0.25 cm, 0.50 cm, and 1.0 cm	14
7.	Diagram of 120-mm Gun Showing Required Thickness of Fluid Needed to Reduce Bore Surface Temperature to 1.029 K	16

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Thermochemical Properties of Propellant/Working Fluid Used in Simulations	10
2.	Properties of Gun Barrel Used as in Simulations	11
3.	Thermal Properties of Water Used as Input to Simulation	13
4.	Theoretical Total Heat Transfer Per Unit Area Near Shot Start	17

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

•

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

•

.

The authors would like to thank Mr. Irv Stobie and Mr. David Kruczynski for reviewing the manuscript.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

.

-

.

*.* 

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the electrothermal-chemical (ETC) gun, an electrically generated high-pressure, hightemperature plasma interacts with a propellant (working fluid) in the combustion chamber to provide propulsive gases for the projectile. As shown in Figure 1, the armament system consists of a power supply, a pulse forming network and switches, the plasma capillary, the combustion chamber in which the plasma and propellant interact, and the gun tube/projectile. A number of propellants have been proposed for the ETC gun including fluids, gels, slurries, and solids. The temperature of the gas resulting from the plasma/propellant interaction is dependent on the interaction rate between the propellant and plasma as well as material properties of the propellant and plasma. Since the interaction rate remains speculative at present, and the plasma is believed to have a temperature in the range of 10,000 to 20,000 K, it is possible that the gas temperatures may be well in excess of 3,500 K. The high-velocity, high-temperature propellant combustion gases may wash over the bore surface of the gun barrel. Since it is generally accepted that erosion in a gun is a thermally driven phenomena, it might be postulated that erosion in electrothermal guns may exceed that of solid propellant systems (FMC Corporation 1989).

However, proponents of electrothermal technology have hypothesized that, in the case of a liquid propellant, the propellant behaves as a passive thermal barrier. In this scenario, a portion of the fluid initially contained in the combustion chamber is swept into the gun tube behind the accelerating projectile, coating the tube with a layer of fluid as shown in Figure 2 (FMC Corporation 1989; GT-Devices, Inc. 1989). The result may be a thermal barrier similar to that obtained in solid propellant guns through the use of wear-reducing additives.

Prior to 1989, the majority of ETC firings were performed with electrical energy constituting in excess of 50% of the total energy (chemical and electrical). With these electrical energy levels, computed (BLAKE thermodynamic code) effective flame temperatures for the combustion gases were on the order of 3,500 K to 5,000 K. However, weapon system studies indicated that electrical energy inputs of this magnitude would result in unacceptable system mass and volume burdens. Since 1989, the total amount of electrical energy per firing has been reduced to 2–20% of the total energy. At these levels of electrical energy input, effective flame temperatures has been reduced to between 2,500 K to 3,500 K, depending on



## Figure 1. An Electrothermal-Chemical (ETC) Gun.



Figure 2. Hypothetical Fluid Insulating Layer in ETC Gun.

the working fluid. However, some studies (Oberle 1989) have suggested that the higher electrical energy levels may be needed to achieve desired performance in miss;<sup>-</sup> , areas such as anti-armor. In addition, the potential exists for gas temperatures higher than normally encountered in guns.

Unfortunately, only limited data currently exist, and much of that data has not been made publicly available, measuring capillary, plasma, gas, chamber and tube wall temperatures. Therefore, the objective of this study is to theoretically determine the effect of a fluid insulating layer on bore surface temperatures assuming a gas temperature regime of 4,000 K.

#### 2. THEORETICAL APPROACH

Two questions are addressed with the following analysis: 1) What decreases in bore surface temperatures can be expected from the presence of a fluid insulating layer? 2) Does it appear feasible to deposit the mass of working fluid required to reduce bore surface temperatures to "acceptable" limits? The first question assumes a relationship between gun tube erosion and barrel temperature which has been empirically validated in a number of studies, although the functional dependence is not known (Stobie, private communication; Kruzysinski, private communication). By way of comparison, a high-performance gun firing with gas temperatures of approximately 3,000 K generally requires a wear-reducing additive to the propellant. A high-performance gun firing JA2, with gas temperatures on the order of 3,400 K, generally requires plating in the tube.

In this study, an acceptable upper bound for bore surface temperature is theoretically established for a 120-mm cannon based on a conventional "hot" propellant, JA2. Prediction of bore surface temperatures for a 120-mm ETC tank gun with a working fluid/electrical energy combination producing gas temperatures near 4,000 K, with and without the consideration of a fluid insulating layer, are then compared to the conventional propellant. The second question regarding the feasibility of producing an insulating layer is addressed by postulating the existence of a fluid layer sufficient in thickness to reduce bore surface temperatures to acceptable levels. The axial distribution of fluid required is then compared with the mass of working fluid initially in the chamber.

The prediction of bore surface temperatures for conventional propellants is obtained from XNOVAKTC (XKTC) (Gough 1980), a quasi one-dimensional, two-phase flow, interior ballistic, hydrodynamic code. XKTC is then used to simulate the gas temperature regime of interest in the ETC comparisons and to estimate the bore surface temperature without an insulating layer.

Utilizing the interior ballistic information, the authors have developed an analysis to compare the effect of a vaporizing, fluid insulating layer on bore surface temperatures. A time-dependent convection coefficient is first derived from the bore surface temperature predicted by XKTC by solving the inverse conduction problem using an alternate pulsating bore surface heat flux boundary condition within an optimization procedure. The derived convection coefficient is then used to obtain a finite-difference solution to the conduction equation assuming the presence of a vaporizing, fluid insulating layer. Although the resulting temperatures cannot be validated for ETC guns due to lack of appropriate experimental data, the method does allow comparisons of bore surface temperatures for ETC guns with conventional guns and, by extension, provide a measure of erosivity.

#### 3. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The barrel heating problem is customarily divided into two main parts: 1) determining the energy transfer from the propellant gases to the gun barrel or, in the case of this problem, to the insulative fluid layer and gun barrel; and 2) determining the radial barrel temperature distribution resulting from this heat transfer. Such a simplification allows the analysis to proceed without a detailed knowledge of local projectile velocity and propellant gas properties and provides a practical approach to an extremely complex problem. The model described here follows this standard approach. However, an estimate of tube heating in the ETC gun necessitates the consideration of a fluid insulating layer whose thickness decreases in response to heating by the hot propellant gases. Thus, the following description of the model is divided into three parts: radial heat flow in the gun barrel wall, determination of the heat transfer coefficient used in the boundary condition, and radial heat flow in the fluid insulating layer.

3.1 <u>Gun Barrel Wall</u>. The model assumes that the gun barrel is a single, circular cylindrical tube and that only radial heat conduction is significant. The governing equation is the Fourier equation of heat conduction in cylindrical coordinates,

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t},$$
(1)

where  $\alpha$  is the thermal diffusivity of the conducting material. By definition,

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} , \qquad (2)$$

where k is the thermal conductivity,  $\rho$  is the density, and  $c_{\rho}$  is the specific heat of the conducting material. A boundary condition of the third kind, also known as Newton's law of cooling, can be written for both the inside and outside surfaces. It is assumed that the predicted gas temperature  $T_g$  is nearly the same as the gas temperature actually present at the edge of the thermal boundary layer at the axial location under consideration. The boundary conditions, with heat flow in the outward radial direction defined as positive, are then as follows:

$$\dot{Q}_{w} = h_{i}(T_{g} - T_{bs}) = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}, \text{ at } r = r_{i}, \qquad (3)$$

and

$$\dot{Q}_{w} = h_{0}(T_{w} - T_{amb}) = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}, at r = r_{0},$$
 (4)

where  $r_i$  is the inside radius,  $r_o$  is the outside radius,  $h_i$  is the heat transfer coefficient of the inside surface,  $h_o$  is the constant heat transfer coefficient of the outside surface,  $T_g$  is the gas temperature,  $T_{bs}$  is the bore surface temperature,  $T_w$  is the outer wall temperature, and  $T_{amb}$  is the constant ambient air temperature. The initial condition is as follows:

$$T = T_{amb}, at t = 0 , \qquad (5)$$

where the barrel and initial air temperature are taken to be at 294 K. Thus, the problem is mathematically well-posed.

The barrel is considered to be unplated steel for the purposes of this comparative study, although a layer of chrome plating found in many guns can be considered. The time step  $\Delta t$  is entered, and the stability criteria is applied to choose the grid size  $\Delta r$  such that

$$\Delta r \ge \sqrt{2\alpha_{steel}\Delta t} . \tag{6}$$

The heat conduction equation is recast as a finite difference equation, and a predictorcorrector method is used.

3.2 Determination of  $h_i$  and  $T_{g^r}$  The heat transfer coefficient at the inner surface of the gun barrel is time dependent and is estimated by an approach used by Russell (1975) to determine the effectiveness of wear-reducing additives in solid propellant guns. First an alternate boundary condition developed by Copley and Thomas (1974) is used to represent the pulsating heat flux at the bore surface as an exponential decreasing with time. In the one-dimensional case, the expression for the bore surface heat flux is as follows:

$$\dot{Q} = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = A_0 \exp(-ct) , \qquad (7)$$

where  $A_0$  and c are determined from experimental observations. Copely and Thomas show the result of directly adjusting  $A_0$  and c to fit an experimental bore surface temperature-time curve for a single-round firing and demonstrate good comparison with experimental data. Thus, it is assumed, and validated below with experimental data, that an alternate boundary condition at the inner gun surface can be given by Equation 7.

Since experimental gun tube temperature data does not exist at the potential gas temperatures in ETC guns, gas temperature and bore surface temperature without an insulating layer is taken from XKTC. Using the interior ballistic model results,  $A_0$  and c are found by solution to an inverse conduction problem. The values are specified by minimizing the degree of disparity between the predicted surface temperature using the boundary condition, Equation 3, and the bore surface temperature predicted by the interior ballistic model. The multidimensional minimization is the Downhill Simplex Method requiring only function evaluations, not derivatives. The minimization results in a selection of constant values of  $A_0$  and c for a given bore surface temperature profile.

By now combining Equations 3 and 7, the convective heat transfer coefficient relevant to the bore surface can be written as follows:

$$h_i = \frac{A_0 e^{-ct}}{T_g - T_{be}}.$$
(8)

The gas temperature  $T_g$  and the bore surface temperature  $T_{bs}$  are given by the interior ballistic model. Since all values on the right-hand side of Equation 8 are now known, specification of  $h_i$  as a function of time can be given.

3.3 <u>Fluid Insulating Layer</u>. When the fluid insulating layer is present, the analysis considers unsteady, radial heat conduction through a two-layer hollow circular cylinder with the time-varying convective boundary condition identified for the bore surface. As the fluid is heated by the combustion gases, it is assumed to vaporize at its critical temperature and to be swept into the gas flow down tube. Thus, the fluid layer decreases in thickness from an initially prescribed value to zero. It is assumed that perfect thermal contact exists between the insulative layer and the gun barrel wall. The assumption that the convection coefficient derived for the uncoated bore surface may be applied to the fluid insulating layer is discussed in detail below.

#### 4. VALIDATION OF ALTERNATE BOUNDARY CONDITION

It is assumed that a function of the form of an exponential decreasing with time,

$$\dot{Q} = A_0 \exp(-ct) ,$$

can be selected to represent the pulsating heat flux at the bore surface. The required constants,  $A_o$  and c, are determined from experimental data.  $A_o$  is the value of the heat flux at time zero, and c governs the time rate of decay of the heat flux pulse.

Experimental surface temperature data from a 105-mm gun measured at the bore surface by Veritay Technology, Inc. (Fisher and Chandra, private communication), at shot start, 12 o'clock position is used to verify the assumption. An exponential decreasing with time is chosen to represent the heat flux at the surface as described above. It is then required to minimize the difference between the mathematically predicted bore surface temperature using Equations 1, 3, and 7 and the experimentally measured bore surface temperature. The optimization described above produces values for  $A_0$  of 4,298.8 cal/cm<sup>2</sup>-s and for *c* of 249.7 s<sup>-1</sup>. The bore surface heat flux is then represented as shown in Figure 3. The bore surface temperature is computed using the optimized values of  $A_0$  and *c* over the first 10 ms of the firing, since this is the region of interest in determining the maximum bore surface temperature. A comparison of the experimental and computed temperatures is shown in Figure 4. The maximum temperatures are in agreement, although the early temperatures and



Figure 3. Heat Flux Function Representation.



Figure 4. <u>Comparison of Analytical and Experimental Bore Surface Temperature for a</u> <u>105-mm Barrel</u>.

the temperatures after maximum are overpredicted. However, the characteristic shape of the experimental curve is maintained, and the solution is felt to be in reasonable agreement with the experimental temperature history.

#### 5. APPLICATION TO 120-MM TANK GUN

Although current Army ETC applications are focusing on antiarmor weapons, other mission areas such as air defense are being investigated. Studies have indicated that to achieve the desired performance in these mission areas, it may be necessary to use working fluids which result in gas temperatures near 4,000 K (Oberle 1989). Thus, it is of interest to investigate the impact of a fluid boundary layer on tube heating. Since a large database exists for the 120-mm cannon, this gun will be used in this study. Historically, maximum erosion occurs near shot start. Therefore, this study specifically addresses a location near projectile shot start to determine the necessary thickness of fluid required in an ETC gun to reduce tube temperatures to conventional limits. However, downbore gas temperatures are also of concern. Thus, the analysis is extended to determine the required fluid layer distribution to reduce tube surface temperatures to acceptable levels throughout the barrel.

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of a liquid insulating layer in the gun, it is necessary to characterize the bore surface temperature with no fluid layer present. Since it is not possible to obtain direct experimental bore surface temperature data for a 120-mm tank gun firing a propellant whose flame temperature is 4,000 K, a wall temperature estimate is obtained from XKTC. For comparison purposes, bore surface temperatures predicted by XKTC for the 120-mm gun firing JA2, a conventional propellant with a flame temperature of 3,424 K, are used. The thermochemical properties of JA2 and a working fluid (Freedman, private communication; Bunte and Oberle 1989) with a flame temperature of 3,972 K are shown in Table 1. The working fluid is a 70% hydrogen peroxide and octane mixture with 4 kJ/g of added electrical energy. The mass of propellant is chosen in each case to obtain a maximum breech pressure of 483 MPa. (Robbins, private communication).

The bore surface temperatures predicted by XKTC are utilized in three calculations: 1) the surface temperatures resulting from a 3,993 K flame temperature at 597 mm from the rear face of the tube (RFT) are used to obtain appropriate values of  $A_o$  and c in the

	Propellant Type		
	JA2	Working Fluid	
Mass	8.07 kg	6.55 kg	
Impetus	1,143.9 J/g	1,676.2 J/g	
Gamma	1.2254	1.1958	
Covolume	0.991 cm <sup>3</sup> /g	0.808 cm <sup>3</sup> /g	
Density	1.58 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.26 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
Molecular Weight	24.8226	19.806	
Flame Temperature	3,424 K	3,993 K	

Table 1. Thermochemical Properties of Propellant/Working Fluid Used in Simulations

optimization routine, 2) the surface temperatures resulting from a 3,424 K flame temperature at the same location are used to define the maximum acceptable bore surface temperature, and 3) the surface temperatures resulting from a 3,993 K flame temperature at five locations downbore are used to determine appropriate values of  $A_0$  and c to estimate the thickness of fluid needed to protect the bore during firing.

To produce  $A_0$  and c for the inverse conduction problem, and, in fact, to obtain a solution for the forward problem, thermal properties of the barrel for 4340 steel (Copely and Thomas 1974) are utilized. The values of these parameters as well as values needed in the boundary conditions are shown in Table 2.

Since  $A_o$ , *c* and the bore temperature with no fluid layer are now known, the final information needed to evaluate the inside surface heat transfer coefficient in Equation 8 is  $T_{g'}$  the temperature at the edge of the thermal boundary layer on the bore surface. The gas temperatures are shown in Figure 5 near shot start for the two simulations, JA2 and a working fluid. Although the maximum gas temperatures are somewhat less than the flame temperatures, the temperature difference is approximately the same as the difference in flame temperatures. It is also seen in Figure 5 that the interior ballistic event is completed in a shorter period of time with the more energetic working fluid. Although the maximum breech pressure is 483 MPa in both cases, the muzzle velocity for the electrothermal case is 6.25% higher than the JA2 case (1,785 m/s compared to 1,680 m/s), a motivation for considering

Thermal conductivity, k	0.088824 cal/cm-s-K
Thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$	0.100645 cm <sup>2</sup> /s
Heat transfer coefficient at outside surface, $h_o$	0.0005 cal/cm <sup>2</sup> -s-K
Initial temperature, T <sub>init</sub>	294 K
Ambient temperature, T <sub>amb</sub>	294 K
Outer radius, ro	12.5 cm
Inner radius, r <sub>i</sub>	6.0 cm

Table 2. Properties of Gun Barrel Used as in Simulations



Figure 5. <u>Gas Temperatures Near Shot Start for Propellants with Flame Temperatures</u> of 3,424 K and 3,993 K.

high-flame temperature working fluids. The simulation does not take advantage of the potential for high-loading density and the potential for "flat" pressure-time curve in the ETC calculation. If these two factors were considered, the performance increase would be even greater.

#### 6. EFFECT OF FLUID INSULATING LAYER ON BORE SURFACE TEMPERATURE

The fluid insulating layer consists of a portion of the working fluid originally contained in the chamber. The mechanics of the deposition of the fluid, and the resultant impact on the interior ballistic process, is not addressed. It is assumed for the analysis that a layer of working fluid is in place as the axial position is uncovered by the projectile. The fluid then heats by conduction, and subsequently vaporizes as it reaches its critical temperature. However, two questions are pertinent: 1) Can the thermal properties of the working fluid be characterized? 2) What is an appropriate boundary condition at the surface of the fluid?

To address the first question, it is known that the thermal conductivity of liquids is dependent upon other properties, notably temperature and pressure. However, in the case of liquids no adequate theories are currently available to permit reasonable estimates concerning this dependence (Eckert and Drake 1987). Although experimental data relating thermal conductivity to temperature and pressure is available for some liquids, gun conditions quickly exhibit pressures and temperatures beyond the range of current experiment.

Thus, it is assumed that the thermal properties of the liquid are constant at the values measured at elevated conditions. However, the nature of the liquid layer itself is determined by the chemistry of the working fluid. Although water will absorb heat and transform to gas at its critical temperature, 647.3 K, mixtures containing hydrogen peroxide or methanol decompose exothermically, that is, with the liberation of heat. Since the current interior ballistic calculation cannot predict gas temperatures accounting for heat and energy addition from a fluid layer in the barrel, the working fluid is assumed to consist primarily of water which forms the fluid insulating layer. Water is also well characterized and does not exhibit large variation in thermal properties (Bridgman 1923). The thermal properties used for water are shown in Table 3 (Reid, Prausnitz, and Poling 1987). Thus, this study provides a lower bound on the required thickness of the insulating layer. If liberation of heat in the tube, convective

Table 3. Thermal Properties of Water Used as Input to Simulation.

Thermal conductivity, k	0.00122 cal/cm-s-K
Thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$	0.001274 cm <sup>2</sup> /s
Initial temperature, T <sub>init</sub>	294 K

and radiative heating, and the mechanics of fluid deposition are considered the required thickness of the fluid layer would no doubt increase.

The second question leads to a key assumption for the subsequent analysis. It is assumed that the convection coefficient derived for the bore surface does not change when the bore surface is coated with a fluid layer. Several observations support the plausibility of the assumption. The derived convection coefficient is primarily a function of the thermophysical properties of the gas in the boundary layer on the bore surface. Since the gas temperature, velocity, and pressure is assumed to be unchanged when a fluid water layer is present, the gas conditions are the same with or without a fluid layer. In a study of the effectiveness of wear-reducing additives in solid propellant guns, Russell (1975) states that although an insulative coating will affect the temperature distribution in this thermal boundary layer causing some change in the temperature-dependent thermophysical properties, the effect should be minimal, and the convection coefficient may be considered equivalent for both cases.

Also, in the case of a water layer, the fluid vaporizes at its critical temperature of 647.3 K and is swept downbore. Since the gas is much hotter, large changes in the value of the convection coefficient produce equivalent results. For example, changes in  $h_i$  of ±10% produce no difference in the solution. Thus, the solution is not unduly sensitive to the value of  $h_i$  obtained for the uncoated bore surface. In addition, the fluid layer is quickly depleted, and the condition of the uncoated bore surface is restored.

The effect of fluid layers of varying thicknesses on the bore surface temperature is shown in Figure 6 from the time of exposure of the axial location to hot gas to the time just before projectile exit. The simulation considers a flame temperature of 3,993 K which produces the



Figure 6. Bore Surface Temperature Near Shot Start With Varying Film Thicknesses of 0.0, 0.25 cm, 0.50 cm, and 1.0 cm.

gas temperature profile shown in Figure 5. The convective boundary condition is used in all cases with the value of  $h_i$  obtained as discussed previously. The convection coefficient is derived from the bore surface temperatures produced by XKTC with no fluid layer present, the 0.00 curve in Figure 6 where the zero in time is the initial rise in gas temperature at 2.0 ms in Figure 5. Also shown in Figure 6 are the reduced bore surface temperatures obtained with a vaporizing fluid layer initially 0.25, 0.50, and 1.0 cm thick. As expected, as the thickness of the fluid layer increases, the bore surface temperatures decrease. Thicker fluid layers are more effective in reducing the bore surface temperature since the bore is protected during the elevated gas temperature regime in the initial portion of the interior ballistic cycle.

As a reference line in Figure 6, the maximum bore surface temperature obtained from XKTC using the baseline JA2 case is indicated by the horizontal line at 1,029 K. Since maximum bore surface temperature is implicated as a factor in tube erosion, and JA2 is

considered an upper limit temperature propellant, this line indicates an upper limit on acceptable temperature. Therefore, the results suggest that a fluid layer of water initially 0.50 cm thick is necessary to insulate the bore near shot start and maintain tube temperature within conventional limits. Thicker fluid layers can substantially reduce bore surface temperatures.

#### 7. EFFECT OF AXIAL DISTRIBUTION OF FLUID INSULATING LAYER

Although the previous analysis addresses the thickness of the fluid layer necessary to reduce the bore surface temperature to a conventional maximum, the feasibility of depositing the required mass of fluid is not considered. However, one measure of determining the likelihood of coating the tube with sufficient liquid to reduce bore surface temperatures to 1,029 K, the hypothetical upper bound, is to consider an axial distribution of fluid. It is possible to determine the thickness of fluid needed at axial locations downbore and to obtain a measure of the percent of working fluid mass in the tube. The higher the required percentage of mass, the less the feasibility of depositing the fluid.

Therefore, the analysis is applied to the locations shown in Figure 7, namely, at 55.8, 59.7, 72.4, 85.1, 97.8, and 110.5 cm from the RFT. The bore surface temperature is restricted to a maximum of 1,029 K. The required thickness of fluid is then determined as illustrated in Figure 7. At 111.8 no fluid layer is required, and the calculation terminates at 110.5 cm.

Under each axial position (Figure 7, in parenthesis) is the required thickness of fluid to reduce bore surface temperature to 1,029 K. Computing the mass of fluid in the tube, and comparing the result to the initial mass of working fluid, it is found that 13.5% of the working fluid is required in the bore. It is necessary for the fluid to extend for 4.55 calibers, or 11.5% of the projectile travel. These values suggest that a fluid insulating layer of sufficient thickness to protect the bore may be difficult to obtain in electrothermal guns. Also, the pertinent interior ballistic implications of moving this quantity of fluid and releasing energy in the tube should be addressed.



Figure 7. Diagram of 120-mm Gun Showing Required Thickness of Fluid Needed to Reduce Bore Surface Temperature to 1,029 K.

### 8. TOTAL HEAT INPUT

Besides maximum bore surface temperature, total heat transfer per unit area to the gun surface is sometimes given as a measure of erosion. Total heat input can be determined from the boundary condition assuming a decreasing exponential with time. Since

$$\dot{Q} = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = A_0 \exp(-ct) , \qquad (9)$$

then

$$Q = \int_0^\infty -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} dt = \int_0^\infty A_0 e^{(-ct)} dt = \frac{A_0}{c}.$$
 (10)

Using the values for  $A_o$  and c obtained from the optimization procedure, one obtains an estimate of total heat input near shot start, as shown in Table 4.

Configuration	Total Heat Transfer Per Unit Area Near Shot Start
Baseline JA2	9.69 cal/cm <sup>2</sup>
ETC Gas Temperature 3,993 K without fluid insulating layer	12.61 cal/cm <sup>2</sup>
ETC Gas Temperature 3,993 K with 0.5 cm insulating layer	8.5 cal/cm <sup>2</sup>

Table 4. Theoretical Total Heat Transfer Per Unit Area Near Shot Start

Thus, the presence of the fluid insulating layer of sufficient thickness substantially reduces the total heat input per unit area to the bore surface near shot start compared to the case of no fluid layer. Since the total heat input with a fluid insulating layer is lower than the baseline JA2 case and maximum bore surface temperature is equivalent, it appears that tube erosion should be no worse given sufficient fluid in the tube.

#### 9. CONCLUSIONS

A model has been developed to estimate it a reduction in bore surface temperature expected with a fluid insulating layer. Although the derived bore surface temperatures cannot be treated as a quantitative prediction due to the many assumptions used in the analysis, a comparison with a baseline solid propellant provides a measure of the amount of fluid required to reduce temperatures to conventional limits. A measure of the total heat input per unit area to the bore near shot start can also be obtained. However, the analysis is dependent upon accurate values of the uncoated bore surface temperature to empirically determine values for the heat transfer coefficient and requires accurate gas temperatures. The model is limited by this assumption since accurate experimental measurements are not available for ETC guns. In addition, the values obtained for fluid thickness are most likely underestimated since in-bore combustion of the fluid has not been considered.

The analysis shows that for the case of a 3,993 K flame temperature propellant with a water insulating layer in the 120-mm cannon: 1) a fluid insulating layer of sufficient thickness of water can substantially reduce bore surface temperatures; 2) it is possible to reduce bore

surface temperature sufficiently given approximately 15% of the initial fluid to insulate the tube; and 3) the total heat input per unit area to the tube can be reduced to conventional values assuming the presence of a fluid insulating layer. By extension, relating tube erosion to maximum bore temperature and total heat input, erosion may be minimized in ETC guns by the presence of a fluid insulating layer even at high gas temperatures.

#### 10. REFERENCES

- Bridgman, P. W. "Thermal Conductivity of Liquids Under Pressure." <u>Proceedings of American</u> Academy of Arts and Sciences, vol. 59, pp. 141–169, 1923.
- Bunte, S., and W. Oberle. "A Thermochemical Analysis of Proposed Working Fluids for Electrothermal Guns." BRL-TR-3000, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, July 1989.
- Copely, J. A., and W. C. Thomas. "Two-Dimensional Transient Temperature Distribution in Cylindrical Bodies with Pulsating Time and Space-Dependent Boundary Conditions." Journal of Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, series C, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 300–306, August 1974.
- Eckert, E. R., and R. M. Drake. <u>Analysis of Heat and Mass Transfer</u>. New York: Hemisphere Publishing Corp., pp. 46–51, 62–64, 1987.

Fisher, E., and S. Chandra. Private communication. Veritay Technology, Inc.

- FMC Corporation. "Issues and Barriers for CAP<sub>tm</sub> and CAP<sub>tm</sub>-Based Ground Combat Systems—Program Recommendations." Presented to U.S. Army/DARPA/DNA Electric Gun Technology Program Panel, May 1989.
- Freedman, E. Private communication. Eli Freedman and Associates.
- Gough, P. S. Private communication. Paul Gough Associates.
- Gough, P. S. <u>The NOVA Code: A User's Manual, Vol I. Description and Use</u>. IHCR-80-8, Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MD, December 1980.
- GT-Devices, Inc. "An Analysis of Fifty Shots on the 20-mm Electrothermal Gun for Reproducibility and Energy Dependence." Contract No. DAAA15-86-C-0015 Interim Report, February 1989.
- Kruzysinski, D. Private communication. U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.
- Oberle, W. "Electrothermal Guns—A Preliminary Armament System Study." BRL-TR-3048, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, October 1989.
- Reid, R., J. Prausnitz, and B. Poling. <u>The Properties of Gases and Liquids</u>. Fourth edition, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- Robbins, F. Private communication. U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.
- Russell, L. H. "Simplified Analysis of Bore Surface Heat Transfer Reduction in Gun Barrels as Achieved by Using Wear-Reducing Additives." TR-3378, Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, VA, October 1975.

Stobie, I. Private communication. U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

•

# No. of Copies Organization

- 2 Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-DL 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- Commander
   U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center
   ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I
   Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 2 Commander U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center (Unclass. only)1 ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Director Benet Weapons Laboratory U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050
- (Unclass. only)1 Commander U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: AMSMC-IMF-L Rock Island, IL 61299-5000
  - Director

     U.S. Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity
     ATTN: SAVRT-R (Library)
     M/S 219-3
     Ames Research Center
     Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5010
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: ASQNC-TAC-DIT (Technical Information Center) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 1 Director U.S. Army TRADOC Analysis Command ATTN: ATRC-WSR White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502
- 1 Commandant U.S. Army Field Artillery School ATTN: ATSF-CSI Ft. Sill, OK 73503-5000
- (Class. only)1 Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD (Security Mgr.) Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
  - Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
  - 1 Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: WL/MNOI Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000

Aberdeen Proving Ground

- 2 Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
- 1 Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-TC
- 3 Cdr, CRDEC, AMCCOM ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A SMCCR-MU SMCCR-MSI
- 1 Dir, VLAMO ATTN: AMSLC-VL-D
- 10 Dir, BRL ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T

#### No. of Copies Organization

- Commander

   U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center Bldg. 329

   ATTN: Mr. William Morelli Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- Commander

   U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center
   ATTN: Dr. Arthur Bracuti
   Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- Commander

   U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center Bldg. 382

   ATTN: Mr. Donald Chiu Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- Commander

   U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center
   Bldg. 382
   ATTN: Dr. David Downs
   Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Commander Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy, CSEA 06 KR12 ATTN: CDR Craig Dampier Washington, DC 20362-5101
- 1 Director Sandia National Laboratories Combustion Research Facility Energetic Materials Division 8357 ATTN: Dr. Robert Armstrong Livermore, CA 94551-0969
- Director Sandia National Laboratories
   Advanced Projects Division 9123 ATTN: Dr. David Benson Albuquerque, NM 87185-5800

#### No. of Copies Organization

- 2 Director
   Sandia National Laboratories
   Advanced Projects V
   ATTN: Dr. Steve Kempka
   Dr. Ronald Woodfin
   Albuquerque, NM 87185-5800
- 1 Director Sandia National Laboratories Imaging Technology Division ATTN: Dr. Donald Sweney Livermore, CA 94551-0969
- 1 Director Sandia National Laboratories Division 8357 ATTN: Dr. Steven Vosen Livermore, CA 94551-0969
- 1 FMC Corporation Northern Ordnance Division, M170 ATTN: Dr. David Cook 4800 East River Road Minneapolis, MN 55421-1498
- 1 GT-Devices, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Joseph R. Greig 5705A General Washington Drive Alexandria, VA 22312
- 1 GT Devices, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Neils Winsor 5705A General Washington Drive Alexandria, VA 22312
- 1 FMC Corporation Northern Ordnance Division ATTN: Dr. Anthony Giovanetti 4800 East River Road Minneapolis, MN 55421
- 1 Eli Freedman Associates ATTN: Dr. Eli Freedman 2411 Diana Road Baltimore, MD 21209

No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Paul Gough Associates, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Paul S. Gough 1048 South Street Portsmouth, NH 03801-5423
- Science Applications International Company ATTN: Dr. Jad Batteh
   1519 Johnson Ferry Road, Suite 300
   Marietta, GA 30062

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

•

.

.

#### USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers below will aid us in our efforts.

1. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

2. How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

3. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

4. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

BRL Report NumberBRI Check here if desire to be Check here for address cha	L-TR-3276 removed from c ange.	Division Symbol distribution list		
Current address:	Organization Address			
<b>DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY</b> Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5	066			NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE
OFFICIAL BUSINESS	BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST CLASS PERMIT No DOG1, APG, MD			UNITED STATES
	Postage	Postage will be paid by addressee		مستقلب مستقلباً السكام المستقلي
	Director U.S. Army Balli ATTN: SLCBR- Aberdeen Provi	stic Research Laboratory DD-T ing Ground, MD 21005-5066		القدين المسالية بينية بالنينية القدينية المنتيب بينينية المحمي الالتيب التيبية المينية المحمي الالتيب التيبية المحمي المنتيبة المينية المارية الالتيبية التيبية التيبية