




Defense Nuclear Agency
Alexandria, VA 22310-3398



AD-A239 981



DNA-TR-90-211

The Pathophysiology of Combined Radiation Injuries A Review and Analysis of the Literature on Non-Human Research

Siegmund J. Baum
Technico Southwest, Inc.
P.O. Box 847
Los Alamos, NM 87544

July 1991

Technical Report

CONTRACT No. DNA 001-88-C-0207

DTIC
SELECTE
AUG 29 1991
S B D

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.

91-08891



01 033

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to sender.

PLEASE NOTIFY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY,
ATTN: CSTI, 6801 TELEGRAPH ROAD, ALEXANDRIA, VA
22310-3398, IF YOUR ADDRESS IS INCORRECT, IF YOU
WISH IT DELETED FROM THE DISTRIBUTION LIST, OR
IF THE ADDRESSEE IS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY YOUR
ORGANIZATION.



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 910701	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical 880928 - 900101		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Pathophysiology of Combined Radiation Injuries, A Review and Analysis of the Literature on Non-Human Research			5. FUNDING NUMBERS C -DNA 001-88-C-0207 PE - 62715H PR - RM TA - RH WU - DH050880	
6. AUTHOR(S) Siegmond J. Baum				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Technico Southwest, Inc. P.O. Box 847 Los Alamos, NM 87544			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Nuclear Agency 6801 Telegraph Road Alexandria, VA 22310-3398 RARP/Kehlet			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER DNA-TR-90-211	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under RDT&E RMC code B4662D RM RH 00073 RARP 3500A 25904D.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) One hundred and seventy one references applicable to determining the effect of tactical nuclear weapons on military personnel have been reviewed, and the significant conclusions are reported. The study reviews the scientific literature and focuses on animal experiments published from 1933 to the present time, including a number of German studies and some Soviet research not previously reviewed in English language publications. Recurring themes of the review are the synergistic increase in mortality when injury (burn, wound, bleeding, fracture, etc.) follows irradiation and the reduction in mortality when injury precedes irradiation and/or when antibiotics are administered. The synergistic increases are attributed to radiation damage to the bone marrow precursor cells, which reduces the protective mechanisms (leukopenia) and permits easier onset of bacteremia and septicemia.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Combined Injuries Radiation Injuries			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 106	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED			18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	
19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED			20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

CLASSIFIED BY

N/A since Unclassified

DECLASSIFY ON

N/A since Unclassified

13. ABSTRACT (Continued)

Radiation in addition to favoring infection is also found to prolong and complicate the normal healing processes. The addition of burns or wounds shortens the latent period and results in earlier onset of the manifest illness phase.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

SUMMARY

Thermal and Ionizing Radiation.

Synergistic increases in mortality have been demonstrated when animals were burned and irradiated, in particular, when the burn followed irradiation immediately to 20 days later. The synergism has been greatest when the irradiation is in the lethal range.

Alpen et al. found synergistic increases in mortality to 100 percent when rats were subjected to LD_{20/30} 500-R X-irradiation and subsequent LD₁₂ 26-pct burn. The synergistic increases were both in early shock and later infection and mortalities. Mild to moderate gut damage could have contributed to the shock condition (days 1-2) induced by the burn. A synergistic increase in mortality when sublethal burns follow LD₁₀ irradiation was reported by Schildt.

Sublethal radiation (260 kV-pk X-rays) in combination with burn resulted at best only in an additive effect. However, an LD₁₀ radiation dose given first followed by thermal injury up to 20 days postirradiation resulted in synergistic increases in mortality to nearly 100 pct. If sublethal burn preceded LD₁₀ radiation, synergism was observed only if the burn preceded radiation by 5 days. Under the conditions of these experiments it appeared that thermal injury was a stronger trauma than sublethal X-irradiation, but an LD₁₀ radiation preceding the burn caused a very strong synergistic effect.

Subsequent research indicates that the cause of increases in mortality during the first 3 days after combined trauma injuries is shock, probably resulting from blood loss into the burn. Beyond that time the open burn wounds and possible intestinal lesions become portals of entry for bacteria especially at the time when the irradiation injury has reduced or destroyed the immune barriers.

Attempts to demonstrate that early deaths in irradiated rats were due to gastrointestinal injury were not conclusively shown by the data presented by Baker and Valeriote. The conclusion reached by other authors that, at exposures up to 700 R, early deaths from combined injuries are caused by shock primarily due to the burn are more plausible.

One of the factors responsible for the increased mortality from the combination of radiation and burns is the effect of irradiation to reduce the numbers of leukocytes (leukopenia), facilitating onset of bacteremia and septicemia. Normally after burns and particularly with concomitant infection, one expects a leukocytosis. However, because of radiation damage to the bone marrow precursor cells, leukocytosis is not observed after combined injury of radiation and burns.

The microflora in animals subjected to combined injury is similar to that for burns alone, mainly streptococci, staphylococci and proteus. However, in combined injury, the number of positive cultures of bacteria is elevated in blood and the organs. This septicemia is the principle cause of the increased mortality.

Healing of burn wounds in irradiated animals is quite different from that in nonirradiated ones. This may be observed in all phases of the physiological repair. Time for healing is increased, the intensity of the reactive repair-regenerative process is reduced, there is a delay in discarding the burned, necrotic tissue, in epithelization, and in the formation of scar tissue. Complete healing of burns in sublethally irradiated animals may be delayed as many as 15-20 days. Often secondary or autoinfection (via injured intestine) complicate healing in burned and irradiated animals.

One may observe necrosis of granulations between days 21-25 in surviving rodents. During the subsequent manifest illness phase, breakdown of tissues of the burn wound and a general infection with strong intoxication of the animals is seen. During the delayed healing, bleeding of the center of the wound occurs mostly in the deep layer of the skin.

Experiments have shown that a 20-pct body burn in dogs resulted in 12 pct mortality, and exposure to 100 R X-rays was sublethal. When the dogs were subjected to both stresses simultaneously, a synergistic increase to 73 pct mortality was observed. Treatment with 900,000 units of penicillin reduced mortality to 14 pct.

A 10- to 15-pct burn in swine was sublethal, and a bilateral exposure to 400 R X-rays resulted in an LD₂₀. The combination of 400-R X-rays and a 10- to 15-pct burn synergistically increased mortality to 90 pct while treatment with streptomycin reduced it back to an LD₂₀.

Russian authors have reported that a result of combined burn and radiation injury is a shorter latent period and an earlier manifest period, shock, blood loss, and infection.

Blast and Ionizing Radiation

Blast from a nuclear detonation produces primary, secondary, and tertiary injuries. Primary or direct injuries are caused by blast wave overpressure acting on the body itself. The body organs primarily affected are those containing air or gas. Resulting injuries include lung hemorrhage, contusions or perforations of the gas-containing areas of the gastrointestinal tract, sinus hemorrhage, and ear drum rupture. The cause of death is often coronary embolism leading to cardiac arrest.

Very few studies have been conducted on primary blast injuries. Depending on the time postirradiation when sheep were subjected to air blasts, mortalities either increased synergistically or only additively. It was suggested that oscillations in the recovery of bone marrow precursors of blood cells were at least partly responsible for the periodic variations in the number of fatalities. In other experiments with sheep and swine, no increases in mortality were observed for the combined trauma.

Secondary or indirect injuries are caused by flying debris resulting in mechanical injuries (skin lacerations, tissue contusions, and penetrating wounds from flying missiles). Blood loss from deep wounds may induce hypovolemic shock. Animal experiments have shown that postirradiation hemorrhaging makes it difficult to treat injuries of irradiated patients. The predisposition to hemorrhage may last from 3-9 days until 45-50 days postirradiation depending on the size of the dose. The hemorrhagic condition not only affects the ability of animals and humans to survive but precludes even minor surgery.

In an experiment with mice, mortality was drastically reduced to 5 pct if they received a skin wound 8 days prior to an LD₂₆ 500-R X-irradiation. A skin wound after radiation exposure on the same day increased mortality synergistically from 26 to 60 pct. This was increased further to 75 pct when wounding occurred two or eight days postirradiation.

In another experiment a skin wound immediately prior to 9 Gy ⁶⁰Co irradiation (LD₉₄) reduced mortality to 5 pct. However, the mortality of mice wounded immediately after irradiation was reduced only to 60 pct, and when wounded two days postirradiation, mortality increased to 100 pct.

Other factors that adversely affect the outcome of combined secondary blast and radiation injury are infection and radioactive contamination. Open wounds invite infection and radiation damages the immune response. Contamination with radioactive materials delays the healing process.

Tertiary blast injuries occur when the blast tumbles personnel in open terrain or impacts them against rigid surfaces. The effects may be skin wounds, fractures, and internal injuries. Experiments with the Noble-Collip drum reported by Schildt showed that sublethal radiation and LD₁₀ drum trauma resulted at most in an additive effect whether radiation was first or second. The combination of LD₁₀ radiation and sublethal drum trauma resulted in synergistic increases in mortality, greater when the radiation was first. This response was similar to that found with burn and radiation.

Experiments by McKenna and Zweifach showed that X-irradiation predisposed rats to traumatic shock when tumbled. The predisposition persisted for as long as 60 days for the higher radiation exposure levels. Hypotheses that the predisposition was due to infection or gastrointestinal injury were not confirmed by the experiments. Russian investigators concluded that irradiation affected the functional capability of the central nervous system, which would explain rapid drops in blood pressure soon after radiation exposure, which leads to increased sensitivity to subsequent traumas and eventually to shock.

The literature on the combination of fractures and irradiation showed that healing of fractures in irradiated animals was prolonged for both open and closed fractures. Experiments with rabbits showed that infection becomes a problem even with closed fractures due to radiation induced leukopenia. Open fractures of course have the same problems as open wounds. However, the overwhelming majority of animals that were irradiated, contaminated, and infected survived when antibiotics were used and the wound was closed within three days. An additional problem in such injuries is the possible incorporation of radioisotopes in the mending bone.

Other Combined Injuries

CBA male mice subjected concurrently to a sublethal scald burn and LD₁₀ mechanical injury from the Noble-Collip rotating drum, either being first, suffered a 55 pct mortality. This increase was greater than that sustained (30% mortality) when another group of mice was concurrently subjected to the combination of a sublethal mechanical injury and an LD₁₀ scald burn, either being first. Beyond day 0, synergistic increases in mortality are observed only when the animals are subjected to sublethal burn first followed by LD₁₀ mechanical injury one to three days later or LD₁₀ burn first and sublethal mechanical injury one day later. No synergistic injuries occurred beyond day 3. It appears then that the combination of burn and mechanical injuries resulted in synergistic increases in mortality only when shock has been induced by the trauma.

When rats were subjected to LD₁₀ mixed neutron-gamma radiation, LD₅ blast, and sublethal burn on the same day, a synergistic increase in mortality to 64 pct resulted. The mortality decreased when the blast and burn were applied on later days.

Infection and Ionizing Radiation

The increased number of postirradiation mortalities in animals concurrently subjected to infectious agents have been ascribed to

- 1) increased permeability of skin surfaces and mucous membranes,
- 2) reduced numbers of granulocytes,
- 3) weakening of the phagocytic activity of the reticuloendothelial system, and
- 4) a reduced immuno-capability.

CBA mice subjected to bacterial toxin three days before irradiation exhibited a significantly lower mortality than expected. When they were subjected to the toxin on the same or later days, synergistic increases in mortality were observed. The decrease in mortality when toxin is administered before irradiation is attributed to an increase in hematopoiesis in response to the toxin. When the toxin is administered after irradiation, very little defense can be mobilized, which results in the synergistic increases. These results are similar to those obtained with combined burn or mechanical injury and radiation and suggests that infection plays an important role between days 8 and 20 postirradiation.

In dogs, sixfold increases in leukocyte concentrations were noted for 14 days after gunshot wounds. However, leukocytosis was completely absent in dogs subjected to sublethal irradiation, and reached a nadir 20 days after irradiation. A further decrease in leukocytes was observed in animals that were subjected to the combined injuries of radiation and wounding. Recovery was not observed until 50 days after irradiation. This same response was noted in rabbits and guinea pigs.

Properdins are an important defense against infection. Their concentration in blood is greatly reduced within a few days to two weeks after hemorrhage or irradiation which deprives the animals of their natural protective ability.

Recent tests indicated that either GM-CSF or TDM in combination with antibiotics may further enhance survival after exposure to combined radiation injuries as long as pluripotential stem cells have survived.

In general, injuries sustained from combined radiation trauma are similar to those from radiation alone. However, combined radiation trauma usually reduces the latent period and manifest illness appears much sooner. Shock occurs more often, and the final disease is usually much more severe as indicated by synergistic increases in mortality.

PREFACE

As part of a contract with the Defense Nuclear Agency, Technico Southwest was asked to evaluate and model the effects of combined injuries from nuclear weapons on soldier performance and mortality. Technico Southwest is fortunate in obtaining the services of Dr. Siegmund Baum, who has actively researched and supervised research on the effects of ionizing radiation for 30 years, most recently as Chairman of the Experimental Hematology Department at the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute in Bethesda and as Adjunct Professor of Physiology at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Science (USUHS).

The report that Dr. Baum has prepared is a comprehensive review and, most importantly, an analysis of the animal studies on combined radiation injuries. It covers 40 years of research and includes a number of German studies as well as some Soviet research not previously reviewed in English language reports or journals.

Because the report is intended to provide a basis for inferring the effects of combined injury on healthy, well-conditioned humans, its focus is on the mechanisms that affect survivability and on the postirradiation times of military interest. Included are graphs of data from the more pertinent articles that were reviewed.

CONVERSION TABLE

Conversion factors for U.S. Customary to metric (SI) units of measurement.

MULTIPLY \longrightarrow BY \longrightarrow TO GET
 TO GET \longleftarrow BY \longleftarrow DIVIDE

angstrom	1.000 000 X E -10	meters (m)
atmosphere (normal)	1.013 25 X E +2	kilo pascal (kPa)
bar	1.000 000 X E +2	kilo pascal (kPa)
barn	1.000 000 X E -28	meter ² (m ²)
British thermal unit (thermochemical)	1.054 350 X E +3	joule (J)
calorie (thermochemical)	4.184 000	joule (J)
cal (thermochemical)/cm ²	4.184 000 X E -2	mega joule/m ² (MJ/m ²)
curie	3.700 000 X E +1	giga becquerel (GBq)*
degree (angle)	1.745 329 X E -2	radian (rad)
degree Fahrenheit	$\tau = (t^{\circ}f + 459.67) / 1.8$	degree kelvin (K)
electron volt	1.602 19 X E -19	joule (J)
erg	1.000 000 X E -7	joule (J)
erg/second	1.000 000 X E -7	watt (W)
foot	3.048 000 X E -1	meter (m)
foot-pound-force	1.355 818	joule (J)
gallon (U.S. liquid)	3.785 412 X E -3	meter ³ (m ³)
inch	2.540 000 X E -2	meter (m)
jerk	1.000 000 X E +9	joule (J)
joule/kilogram (J/kg) (radiation dose absorbed)	1.000 000	Gray (Gy)**
kilotons	4.183	terajoules
kip (1000 lbf)	4.448 222 X E +3	newton (N)
kip/inch ² (ksi)	6.894 757 X E +3	kilo pascal (kPa)
ktap	1.000 000 X E +2	newton-second/m ² (N-s/m ²)
micron	1.000 000 X E -6	meter (m)
mil	2.540 000 X E -5	meter (m)
mile (international)	1.609 344 X E +3	meter (m)
ounce	2.834 952 X E -2	kilogram (kg)
pound-force (lbf avoirdupois)	4.448 222	newton (N)
pound-force inch	1.129 848 X E -1	newton-meter (N·m)
pound-force/inch	1.751 268 X E +2	newton/meter (N/m)
pound-force/foot ²	4.788 026 X E -2	kilo pascal (kPa)
pound-force/inch ² (psi)	6.894 757	kilo pascal (kPa)
pound-mass (lbm avoirdupois)	4.535 924 X E -1	kilogram (kg)
pound-mass-foot ² (moment of inertia)	4.214 011 X E -2	kilogram-meter ² (kg·m ²)
pound-mass/foot ³	1.601 846 X E +1	kilogram/meter ³ (kg/m ³)
rad (radiation dose absorbed)	1.000 000 X E -2	Gray (Gy)**
roentgen	2.579 760 X E -4	coulomb/kilogram (C/kg)
shake	1.000 000 X E -8	second (s)
slug	1.459 390 X E +1	kilogram (kg)
torr (mm Hg, 0°C)	1.333 22 X E -1	kilo pascal (kPa)

* The becquerel (Bq) is the SI unit of radioactivity; 1 Bq = 1 event/s.

**The Gray (Gy) is the SI unit of absorbed radiation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
SUMMARY.....	iii
PREFACE.....	x
CONVERSION TABLE.....	xi
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.....	xiii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2 THERMAL AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	4
3 BLAST AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	17
3.1 PRIMARY BLAST EFFECTS AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	17
3.2 SECONDARY BLAST EFFECTS AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	20
3.3 TERTIARY BLAST EFFECTS AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	28
3.3.1 Animal Experiments with the Noble-Collip Drum..	28
3.3.2 Combined Injury of Bone Fractures and Radiation	34
4 OTHER COMBINED INJURIES.....	41
4.1 BLAST AND THERMAL RADIATION.....	41
4.2 MECHANICAL INJURY AND THERMAL RADIATION.....	42
4.3 BLAST, THERMAL RADIATION, AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	44
5 INFECTION AND IONIZING RADIATION.....	46
6 CONCLUSION.....	55
7 LIST OF REFERENCES.....	58
APPENDICES	
A GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	A-1
B DATA LISTING.....	B-1

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Range and weapon yield resulting in fifty percent probability of injuries to prone persons in open terrain from a surface burst.....	2
2	Range and weapon yield resulting in fifty percent probability of injuries to prone person near structure from a surface burst.....	2
3	Mortality as a function of combined burn area and total body X-ray exposure.....	5
4	Burn area and X-ray exposure yielding 50% mortality in rats.....	6
5	Combined effects of thermal burns and X-irradiation on early mortality in rats.....	10
6	The effect of concurrent thermal burn and X-irradiation on survival time of rats.....	13
7	The 5, 8, and 30 day mortality for various sequential combinations of burn and irradiation.....	13
8	Mortality of mice for various sequential combinations of sublethal and LD ₁₀ burn and irradiation.....	14
9	Effect of nuclear radiation (LD _{20/60}) and blast (LD ₅) on sheep.....	18
10	Effect of blast (LD ₅) and radiation (LD ₄₆) alone and in combination on rat mortality.....	18
11	Effect of time of mechanical injury on mortality due to combined mechanical and radiation injury	21
12	Effect of time of skin wound on mortality due to combined skin wound and radiation injury.....	21
13	Effect of time of wound on mortality of wounded ⁶⁰ Co irradiated mice.....	23
14	Effect of radioactive contamination, X-ray and wounding on healing.....	26
15	Frequency of infections in abdominal wounds of irradiated rats.....	26
16	Effect on mortality of a small blood loss 60 to 90 minutes after irradiation.....	26

List of Illustrations (continued)

Figure		Page
17	Effect of wounds, X-ray and radioactive contamination on mortality.....	26
18	Effect of various sequential combinations of sublethal and LD ₁₀ mechanical and radiation injury on rat mortality.....	29
19	Effect of sublethal radiation and subsequent mechanical trauma on rat mortality.....	30
20	Effect of lethal radiation and subsequent mechanical trauma on rat mortality.....	31
21	Blood pressure after irradiation, fracture, and combined injury.....	33
22	Mortality of rabbits receiving penetrating and nonpenetrating skull wounds, irradiation, and antibiotics in various combinations.....	37
23	Mortality of animals with combined injury treated with various antibiotics.....	39
24	Effect of method of administration of penicillin on mortality of cerebrally wounded and irradiated rats.....	39
25	Effect of 30% burn two hours prior to blast injury on 24 hour mortality of rats.....	41
26	Effect of sublethal and LD ₁₀ burn and mechanical injury on rat mortality.....	43
27	Effect of concurrent nuclear radiation, blast and burn on rat mortality.....	45
28	Effect of various sequential combinations of LD ₁₀ and LD ₅₀ radiation and sublethal toxin on mortality of mice.....	46
29	Effect of abdominal bullet wounds and sublethal irradiation on peripheral leukocyte concentration in dogs.....	49
30	Effect on mortality of infecting irradiated mice with anaerobic bacteria immediately and 5 days post-irradiation.....	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Effect of combined burn and radiation on dog mortality.....	7
2	Effect of combined burn, radiation and streptomycin on swine mortality.....	9
3	Mortality of rabbits receiving radiation and bone fractures.....	36
4	Frequency of wound infections and mortalities in treated and untreated animals.....	52
5	Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30.....	B-2

SECTION 1


INTRODUCTION

Casualties from the detonation of nuclear weapons may experience the combined effect of injuries due to ionizing radiation, thermal radiation, and blast (Ref. 108, 114). Exposure to thermal radiation results in burns that, depending on severity, can result in shock and/or subsequent infection. Exposure to ionizing radiation, depending on the dose, can damage the hematopoietic systems, which may severely diminish the ability of individuals to fight infection and survive. Bleeding into burn wounds may cause a severe fall in blood pressure, affect important brain centers, and result in shock. Blast produces a variety of injuries, which have been categorized as follows:

1. Primary or direct injuries are the effects of the blast wave overpressure, which injures the body itself (Ref. 164). The body organs primarily affected are those containing air or gas. Common injuries are lung hemorrhage, embolism, contusions or perforations of gas-containing portions of the gastroenteric tract, sinus hemorrhage, and eardrum rupture. The specific cause of deaths is usually coronary embolism; air bubbles occlude the coronary arteries and lead to cardiac arrest. This accounts for the short survival time of men and experimental animals subjected to primary blast effects. Liver and spleen ruptures are other common injuries caused by blast wave overpressure.

2. Secondary or indirect injuries are those caused by flying debris. These injuries are referred to as mechanical injuries and include skin lacerations, tissue contusions, and possibly penetrating wounds from flying missiles. Hypovolemic shock may result when a large amount of blood is lost from deep wounds in a short period of time.


Persons in open Terrain



Data from reference 166 (1979)

Figure 1. Range and weapon yield resulting in fifty percent probability of injuries to prone persons in open terrain from a surface burst.

Persons near Structures



Data from reference 166 (1979)

Figure 2. Range and weapon yield resulting in fifty percent probability of injuries to prone persons near structures from a surface burst.

3. Tertiary injuries are caused when blasts tumble bodies in open terrain or throw them against rigid surfaces. The resulting injuries include skin wounds, fractures, and damage to internal organs. The 50-pct probability of impact injuries to prone personnel in open terrain or near structures may be seen in figures 1 and 2 (Ref. 49, 166).

The high mortality and performance degradation seen in casualties at Hiroshima/Nagasaki was attributed to a synergistic interaction of one or more component injuries with effects of ionizing radiation (Refs. 26, 44, 45, 104); the number killed was much higher than would have been expected of individual burn and blast components or irradiation acting independently, and the evaluation of the combined effects of a nuclear detonation is important in planning civilian defense and military operations.

Other than the Hiroshima/Nagasaki events, the only data on combined injury in humans, where one of the components is ionizing radiation, are those obtained on victims of accidents during the conduct of nuclear research or in operation of nuclear power plants. A quantitative evaluation of the effect of the component combinations on healthy trained personnel is difficult to make from these sources because of uncertainties in the dose received and the scarcity of accident data. Consequently, most of our quantitative data on the effect of combined injury applicable to nuclear detonations comes from research with animals (Ref. 126), and its effect on humans must be inferred.

The types of injuries resulting from the detonation of a nuclear device include the combined effect of ionizing radiation and (1) thermal radiation effects (burns), (2) primary blast effects, 3) secondary blast effects, 4) tertiary blast effects, or 5) other combinations of these. Of primary interest are the mortality, pathophysiology, and healing processes associated with these injuries and the implications of their effect on personnel performance. Because only scant information is available on humans, the information and conclusions presented in this report are based on an intensive examination of the pertinent animal research literature.

SECTION 2

THERMAL AND IONIZING RADIATION

The effects of nearly simultaneous thermal and ionizing radiation were demonstrated in experiments by Alpen et al. (Ref. 5) and Sheline et al. (Ref. 133). Rats were exposed to 0, 100, 250, or 500 R of 250-kV-pk X-rays and were burned within one hour with water at 80°C temperature for 25 seconds. The percentage of the body area burned was increased from 0 to 37 pct. The results observed are summarized in figure 3A to 3D.

None of the animals exposed to 100 and 250 R of X-rays died unless they were also burned. Rats exposed to 500 R of X-rays alone had a 21-pct mortality over thirty days ($LD_{21/30}$) with all deaths occurring between days 4-30. The mortality of rats that received no irradiation and with burns covering less than 24 pct of total body surface area was 0; the mortality of such rats with a 24-pct burn was 11-pct; the mortality with a 37-pct burn increased to 100 pct (figure 3A). Nearly all deaths due to burn alone occurred early, within the first two days; the animals were in severe shock with lowered body temperature, shallow respiration, and hemoglobinuria. Of those surviving the first three days, a much smaller number (4 out of 50) died later, from days 4-30 (Ref. 5).

The early mortalities (days 1-2) due to burn alone were increased slightly to moderately if the rats were exposed to 100 R of X-rays (figure 3B) before being burned. The late mortalities (days 4-30) were only moderately increased by the irradiation.

The two traumas, 250 R exposure to X-rays followed by burn, contributed about equally toward mortality (figure 3C). Although early deaths were shifted towards lesser body burns, according to statistical tests by the authors, the distribution of tolerance to burn was unchanged by irradiation (Ref. 5). The exposure to 250 R of X-rays before the burn significantly increased the mortality over that obtained with burn alone (figure 3C).

Deaths Due to Burns




Figure 3A

Deaths Due to Burns + 100R X-rays




Figure 3B

Deaths Due to Burns + 250R X-rays




Figure 3C
Data for figures 3A-3d from
reference 5 (1954)

Deaths Due to Burns + 500R X-rays





Figure 3D

Figure 3. Mortality as a function of combined burn area and total body x-ray exposure.

The addition of 500 R of X-rays to burn injuries (Ref. 5) clearly showed that this radiation exposure is a much more lethal component than the burns (figure 3D). This is supported by the data in figure 4, which shows that a 9-pct burn in combination with 500-R exposure of X-rays causes an LD_{50/30} as compared with an LD_{21/30} for radiation only and no deaths with burn only. There appeared to be a moderate synergistic increase in the early mortality (day 1-2). Moderate gastrointestinal injury, resulting from the same radiation exposure (Ref. 37), could have accentuated the burn shock in animals by contributing toward abnormal fluid distribution. An exposure of 500 R X-rays without burns caused a 21-pct mortality (figure 3D), and the addition of a 16-pct burn increased mortality synergistically to 71 pct. The addition of a 26-pct burn increased mortality to 100 pct, although a 26-pct burn alone resulted in only a 12-pct mortality, LD₁₂ (figure 3A). Radiation appears to dominate in this combination of injuries, since the pattern of death between days 4-30 in the animals receiving the combined injury was similar to that seen in animals dying from X-irradiation alone.



Data from reference 5 (1954)

Figure 4. Burn area and X-ray exposure yielding 50% mortality in rats.

Bond et al. (Ref. 20) postulated that combined injury may unmask or accentuate lesions that would not be lethal if present in animals receiving either trauma alone. These authors observed a synergistic increase in mortality in the case of a pulmonary infection and X-irradiation. In this vein, Alpen et al. (Ref. 5) felt that although 100 R did not induce sufficiently severe lesions to increase mortality, the higher exposure of 250 R may have caused sufficient lesions to produce a synergistic increase in mortality from days 4 to 30. They also postulated that the animals' protective mechanisms against infection were so depressed by irradiation that they were unable to cope with the infectious processes arising as a result of burn.

The pathophysiological explanation for the synergism may well be that the radiation damage to the bone marrow stem cells and precursor cells results in a decreased number of lymphocytes, macrophages, and granulocytes, which in turn degrades the antimicrobial defenses of the injured host (Ref. 75). The burn area provides an entry for bacteria into the immune-compromised host, and deaths due to septicemia follow.

Table 1. Effect of combined burn and radiation on dog mortality. (From Ref. 26)

	MORTALITY		Survival Time (Days)	Healing Time (Days)
	(Numbers)	(Percent)		
A. 20% Body burn only	5/40	12	5-14	40
B. 100-R X-rays* alone	0/10	0	--	--
C. 20% Body Burn & 25-R X-rays	5/25	20	5-14	40
D. 20% Body Burn & 100-R X-rays	29/40	73	8-14	40
E. 20% Body burn, 100-R X-rays & Treatment**	4/28	14	8-14	28-42

*1-MeV pk; 17 R/min.

**900,000 units penicillin

Research by Brooks et al. (Ref. 26) with dogs and Baxter et al. (Ref. 13) with swine confirmed in large animals the findings of other investigators in rodents (Refs. 5, 8, 159). Brooks et al. subjected dogs to a standard, deep, second degree burn involving 20 pct of the skin surface area. Five of 40 dogs died resulting in a 12-pct mortality rate (Table 1). The addition of 100-R 1-MeV X-ray total body exposure to the 20-pct burn resulted in a sharp increase in mortality to 73 pct. The addition of only 25 R of X-rays increased the mortality of 12 pct for burn alone to 20 pct for the combination. Bacteriologic investigation indicated that a bacteremia developed in the burned dogs and in the dogs subjected to the combination. In the dogs that received a 20-pct burn only, the bacteremia was a transient phenomenon involving streptococci of low virulence. In the animals with combined injury, entrance in the blood of nonhemolytic streptococci was shortly followed by invasion with more virulent beta hemolytic streptococci (dog types G & L) which induced a fatal septicemia in 73 pct of the animals. The authors suggested that radiation depresses the phagocytic activity and other defense mechanisms to a point where they are unable to localize the beta hemolytic streptococci at the wound surface.

The beneficial influence of penicillin therapy in sharply reducing the mortality of the dogs with combined injury indicated that this therapy might be helpful to humans exposed similarly. However, it would appear that usefulness of this type of therapy would be limited to radiation doses that primarily injure the bone marrow hematopoietic cells (Ref. 26).

Baxter et al. (Ref. 13) subjected 20 young Yorkshire hogs to 400-R total body X-rays (bilateral exposure, 10 R/minute) using a 220-kV-pk Roentgen ray therapy machine followed by a 10- to 15-pct thermal flash burn using 2.5 grams of magnesium powder and 17.5 grams of barium peroxide (maximum temperature of 2500°C). Of these, 10 animals received 500 mg of streptomycin intramuscularly daily beginning 24 hours after trauma and continued for 21 days. As may be seen from Table 2, none of 10 hogs with a 10- to 15-pct thermal burn alone died. Bilateral exposure to 400-R X-rays induced two fatalities or 20 pct. The exposure of the swine to the combined injury of both traumas (radiation plus thermal burn) increased mor-

tality synergistically to 90 pct. Interestingly, the administration of streptomycin parenterally commencing 24 hours after exposure and continuing through 21 days post-trauma reduced the mortality to 20 pct (Table 2). Early and adequate treatment with streptomycin contributed to a reduction of mortality in swine following a total body radiation exposure that primarily injures the bone marrow hematopoietic stem cell and precursor system. In the unirradiated animal, the bone marrow contains the cells that provide protection against infection from the burns.

Table 2. Effect of combined burn, radiation and streptomycin on swine mortality. (From Ref. 13).

Insult and Treatment	Mortality at Day		
	0-5	6-30	>30
10-15% Area Burn	0/10	0/10	0/10
400R X-ray	0/10	2/10	0/10
X-Ray & Burn	0/10	9/10	0/10
X-Ray & Burn & Streptomycin (500 mg/day)	0/10	2/10	0/10

Alpen et al. (Ref. 5) suggested a possible contribution of radiation-induced gut injury for day-3 lethality, particularly, at exposures of 500 R or more. A study by Valeriote and Baker (Ref. 159) using X-ray exposures of 550, 650, 700 and 750 R combined with a sublethal 16-pct burn in rats was designed to verify that suggestion. However, the authors assumed that all deaths to eight days postirradiation were caused by injury to the gastrointestinal (G.I.) system, and those beyond that time were caused by bone marrow damage. In discussing the gastrointestinal syndrome, Bond (Ref. 22) indicated that it is characterized by a relatively short survival time. This survival time is remarkably constant for families within species, but does vary from species to species. Therefore, in characterizing the LD₅₀ for the G.I. syndrome, it is necessary to include cases that die a relatively short time after radiation. One must try to choose a time that is long enough to include essentially all deaths caused by the G.I. syndrome, but short enough to exclude any appreciable number of "early bone marrow deaths."

250 KvP X-ray alone




Figure 5A

250 KvP X-ray + 1 min. 16% Burn




Figure 5B

250 KvP X-ray + 1.5 min. 16% burn




Figure 5C

Data for Figs 5A-5C from ref 159 (1964)

Figure 5. Combined effects of thermal burns and X-irradiation on early mortality in rats.


For species such as the mouse and the rat, which die characteristically at days 3-1/2 to 4 from the G.I. syndrome, an LD_{50/5} is designated (Ref. 22). Monkeys and probably humans die by day 7, and the arbitrary cut off point is taken as 8 days for an LD_{50/8}.

Although Valeriote and Baker extended the cutoff point for rat G.I. mortality from 5 to 8 days postirradiation (Ref. 159), they found no G.I. effects for irradiation alone to exposures of 700 R (figure 5A). Only at an exposure of 750 R was a significant increase in mortality to day 8 observed. Examination of their data (figure 5A) for the number of deaths to day 5 shows that no significant increase in mortality had occurred up to an exposure of 750 R X-rays. Consequently, a major contribution by the G.I. syndrome up to exposures of 750 R is questionable.

Valeriote and Baker gave rats a 16-pct burn using a one-minute exposure to a 500-W infrared lamp and followed this 10 minutes later with X-ray exposures of 550 to 750 R. A moderate increase in 5-day mortalities occurred for the 700- to 750-R exposure (figure 5B). However, again it appears that the greater number of deaths was due to radiation-induced bone marrow failure. Between days 5 and 8, a significant decrease in white cell production due to the irradiation injury of the bone marrow stem and precursor cells is seen. Consequently, the defense against bacteria invading the burn wound is compromised, and death may be due to subsequent septicemia. It is possible that bacteria entering tissues from the injured intestine aggravate the pathophysiological condition caused by X-ray exposures of 700 R or greater; however, the authors' contention that the sublethal burn trauma in combination with X-irradiation increased mortality "in the time period characteristic of gastrointestinal injury" was not demonstrated by their own data (Ref. 159). Their further suggestion that the thermal trauma acted in a synergistic manner with the effect of X-irradiation on the gut is also questionable.


In a subsequent paper (Ref. 8), Baker and Valeriotte showed (figure 6) that an exposure of 700 R X-rays caused no deaths in rats to 5 days after irradiation, an increase in mortality to 18 pct by day 8, and to 40 pct by day 30. A 16-pct burn inflicted at the same time as 700 R X-rays resulted in a 17-pct mortality by day 5, 57 pct by day 8, and 90 pct by day 21. Baker and Valeriotte attributed the mortality to day 8 after irradiation to an inhibitory effect of toxic materials, produced at the site of the burn, on recovery of the intestinal epithelium from radiation damage; i.e., recovery from gut damage. Examination of the day 5 mortality data (figures 5A and 5B) shows none or a much smaller contribution of what might be true gut injury. A moderate effect of gut injury may be ascribed to the combination of a 16-pct burn and an exposure of 700 R X-rays, however, it is most likely that most of the deaths are caused by injury to the bone marrow. If we accept day 5 after irradiation as the cut off point for deaths in rats due to G.I. injury, then nearly all deaths must be attributed to injury to the bone marrow, because they occurred beyond day 5.

In other experiments performed by Baker and Valeriotte (Ref. 8), 16-pct burns were administered to groups of rats daily from 4 days prior to an X-irradiation exposure of 700 R to 4 days after irradiation (figure 7). The resulting day 5 mortality data indicate none to small contributions to mortality by the burn except when the 16-pct burn was inflicted on day 3 prior to irradiation. In that group all rats died within 5 days of being irradiated (figure 7), and the authors offered no explanation. No groups burned after being irradiated had day-5 postirradiation deaths. One must conclude therefore, that G.I. injury did not play a role in the increase in mortality ascribed to the combination of a sublethal burn and X-irradiation exposures below 750 R. A more likely contributor to the increase is the condition of shock which may be observed after burn alone or after burn and X-irradiation for the first three days post-trauma (Ref. 5).



Data from reference 8 (1966)

Figure 6. The effect of concurrent thermal burn and X-irradiation on survival time of rats.



Data from reference 8 (1966)

Figure 7. The 5, 8 and 30 day mortality for various sequential combinations of burn and irradiation.

Schildt (Ref. 127) performed experiments to determine the effect of combined thermal injury (scald burn) and irradiation. Standardized burns that were sublethal, LD₅, or LD₁₀, were given in accordance with methods described in prior publications (Refs. 128, 129). The following symbols were used for the various traumas:

B_S = Sublethal burn

B₁₀ = Burn that causes 10 pct lethality

R_S = Sublethal irradiation

R₁₀ = Irradiation that causes 10 pct lethality

The symbol of the trauma that appears first was administered first.

Schildt (Ref. 127) reported that sublethal irradiation followed on the same day by an LD₁₀ burn (R_S+B₁₀) resulted in a mortality of only 20 pct (figure 8A). Clearly, a sublethal radiation exposure with only moderate injury to the bone marrow does not contribute synergistically to mortality.




Figure 8A

Data from reference 127 (1972)




Figure 8B

Data from reference 127.

Figure 8. Mortality of mice for various sequential combinations of sublethal and LD₁₀ burn and irradiation.

On the other hand, Schildt also reported that an LD₁₀ X-irradiation (R₁₀) exposure, particularly if it is given to the animals first, is strongly synergistic when combined with sublethal burns (figure 8B). When the animals are subjected to R₁₀ first and subsequently to a sublethal burn (B_S) between days 2 and 12, the combination increases mortality synergistically to between 75 to 100 pct. When the rats are stressed with B_S first (figure 8B) and subsequently with R₁₀ five days later, a synergistic increase to between 55 and 65 pct is observed. The higher increase between days 2 and 12 when R₁₀ is given first probably is the result of greatly reduced numbers of granulocytes and macrophages caused by earlier radiation-induced bone marrow cellular damage. This compromises the defense against invading bacteria from the burns or other injuries.

The combination of burn and radiation results in a shortened latent period (the period absent of overt symptoms) and an earlier beginning of the manifest illness period (the period of obvious acute symptoms); experimental animals experience shock, blood loss, infection and lowering recovery potentials. Sillevaerts (Ref. 136) reports that the combination of burns and irradiation, even if the burns are not extensive, usually is severe and needs individual attention. Mice that were subjected only to burns lived almost three times as long as those with combined injury of burn and radiation (700 R X-rays). Mice with acute radiation disease became extremely sensitive to burn trauma (Ref. 39). Korcanov observed that small burns in addition to acute radiation exposure elevated lethality (Ref. 67).

A number of factors are responsible for the increased mortality from combined injury of burns and radiation (Ref. 33). One of these is the reduction of the protective mechanisms (leukopenia), which more easily permits bacteremia and septicemia. Normally after burns and particularly with concomitant infection, one expects a leukocytosis. However, due to radiation damage to the bone marrow precursor cells, no leukocytosis is observed after combined injury of radiation and burns (Refs. 28, 29, 141).

The microflora in animals subjected to combined injury is similar to that for burns alone, mainly streptococci, staphylococci, and proteus. However, in combined injury, the number of positive cultures of bacteria is elevated in blood and the organs. This septicemia explains the severity of the clinical picture and the increased lethality (Ref. 141). Healing of burn wounds in irradiated animals in all phases of the physiological repair mechanism is quite different from that in nonirradiated ones (Ref. 28). Time for healing is increased, the intensity of the reactive repair-regenerative process is reduced, there is a delay in discarding the burned necrotic tissue, in epithelization, and the formation of scar tissue. Complete healing of burns in sublethally irradiated animals may be delayed as many as 15-20 days (Ref. 33). Often secondary or autoinfection (via injured intestine) complicate healing in burned and irradiated animals.

Necrosis of granulations is observed on days 21-25 in surviving rodents (Ref. 167). During the subsequent manifest illness phase, breakdown of tissues of the burn wound and a general infection with strong intoxication of the animals is seen. During the delayed healing, bleeding of the center of the wound may be observed, mostly in the deep layer of the skin (Ref. 170).


SECTION 3

BLAST AND IONIZING RADIATION

3.1 PRIMARY BLAST EFFECTS AND IONIZING RADIATION


There have been few studies in which radiation was combined with airblast. Jones et al. (Ref. 64) exposed sheep bilaterally to an LD_{20/60} dose of neutron-gamma radiation (410 to 445 rad) in combination with an LD₅ blast from 64-lb. high explosive charges with 3-ms pulse durations (figure 9). When both stresses were imposed on the same day, a synergistic increase in mortality to 50 pct was recorded, although an increase to only 25 pct should have been observed if the effects of radiation and blast were additive. Such an additive effect of 25 pct was observed when the sheep were subjected to the airblast 7 days after irradiation. However, when the animals were blasted 14 days after irradiation, a synergistic effect was again observed resulting in a 62-pct mortality. Mortality with blasting on day 21 after irradiation returned to 25 pct, and with blasting on day 28, a smaller increase to 38 pct was noted (figure 9).

The authors gave no explanation for the oscillatory responses (Ref. 64). However, postirradiation oscillatory or periodic recovery of the hematopoietic system has also been observed in rats and dogs (Refs. 10-12, 93, 94). In the dog, strong oscillations in hematopoietic recovery have been observed for 14 days after irradiation followed by milder ones up to 30 days (Ref. 12). Stressful perturbations of the bone marrow as caused by ionizing radiation may initiate a pulse stimulus acting on a basic oscillatory system (Ref 94). It is possible that the injuries caused by blasts are better protected from lethal infection when the hematopoietic system is in the recovery mode rather than during a period when blood cell production is decreasing. If the animals are subjected to airblasts that are capable of producing internal as well as external injuries at a postirradiation time when blood cell production is decreasing or at its lowest, then the defense against invading bacteria would be compromised, resulting in greater numbers of deaths (Ref. 64).



Data from reference 64 (1968)

Figure 9. Effect of nuclear radiation ($LD_{20/60}$) and blast (LD_5) on sheep.



Data from reference 115 (1968)

Figure 10. Effect of blast (LD_5) and radiation (LD_{46}) alone and in combination on rat mortality.

On the other hand, an increase in the number of leukocytes on day 7 and 21 would result in decreased mortality due to blast injuries and subsequent infection (Ref. 12). It is of interest to note that Sprague-Dawley rats subjected to an LD_{46/30} 250-kV-pk X-ray exposure and to an LD₅ blast seven days later showed a synergistic increase in mortality to 81 pct (Ref. 115 and figure 10). It is quite possible therefore that the data obtained from sheep might not necessarily hold for other mammalian species.

In another experiment (Ref. 166), sheep were exposed to airblast (LD₁₅) and ⁶⁰Co gamma radiation (LD_{25/60}). When the animals were exposed to both stresses on the same day only 15 pct died, although 36 pct was expected. Furthermore, the animals died at a time indicating death due to irradiation and not blast. Because of the variability in responses, it is possible that the sample sizes (16-20 per group) for this experiment were not large enough to have drawn meaningful conclusions.


The exposure of swine to 50-psi reflected pressure in a shock tube resulted in 30 pct lethality, and unilateral exposure of similar animals to 400 R of neutron-gamma radiation was sublethal. When another group of swine was subjected to both stresses on the same day, a 33 pct mortality was observed; deaths were within 3 hours of irradiation and were most likely caused by the blast. Thus, no appreciable increase in mortality from combined effect was observed (Ref. 166).

3.2 SECONDARY BLAST EFFECTS AND IONIZING RADIATION.

The combined effects of open wounds and radiation were studied by Messerschmidt et al. (Refs. 68, 74, 87-89) in Freiburg and Munich, Germany, by Stromberg et al. at Walter Reed Army Research Institute, Washington (Refs. 41, 145-147), and by Ledney et al. at the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute (AFRRI), Bethesda (Refs. 75-79, 81). Figure 11 depicts the main findings in experiments using mice by the Messerschmidt group. The injury consisted of LD₁₀ skin wounds (M₁₀) and LD₂₆ X-irradiation (R₂₆). When the mice were given skin wounds 8 days prior to irradiation, mortality was reduced to 5 pct. This is an approximately 80 pct reduction in mortality from that obtained when mice are exposed to 5 Gy X-irradiation alone.


If the animals were subjected to the skin wounds 4 days before irradiation, the mortality is equivalent to that from irradiation only (figure 11). Skin wounds given 2 days before irradiation or on the same day before irradiation produced an additive effect on mortality compared with that from irradiation alone; the resultant mortality was approximately 40 pct. However, when an LD₂₆ irradiation was given first, followed on the same day by an LD₁₀ skin wound, a synergistic increase in mortality to approximately 60 pct was observed. This synergism reached a maximum of 75 pct when the LD₁₀ skin wound was inflicted two days after irradiation, and the synergism persisted through day 5 after irradiation. A decrease to an additive effect of 40 pct mortality was noted on day 6, which was followed by synergistic increases in mortality when the mice were subjected to skin wounds on days 7 to 10. A return to normal values was seen by day 15.

One suspects that a skin wound sustained 8 days prior to irradiation stimulates hematopoiesis to higher activity which in turn increases the resistance against the deleterious effects of ionizing radiation. Messerschmidt et al. (Ref. 87), Koslowski (Ref. 68), and Langendorf (Ref. 74) suggest that the synergistic increase in mortality resulting from combined injury of X-irradiation and subsequent skin wounds observed during the first 5 days postirradiation is primarily caused by shock.



Data from reference 68 (1965)

Figure 11. Effect of time of mechanical injury on mortality due to combined mechanical and radiation injury.



Data from reference 145 (1968)

Figure 12. Effect of time of skin wound on mortality due to combined skin wound and radiation injury.


This effect may be similar to what was noted by Alpen et al. after burn (Ref. 5), although shock in the combined injury of burn and radiation was apparently caused mainly by burn (Ref. 5). Increased mortality when mice received their skin wounds between days 7 and 10 postirradiation was probably due to bone marrow injury (Ref. 68). In this case thrombocytopenia may induce increased bleeding of the skin-wounds, and granulopenia may decrease the ability to fight infection.

Stromberg et al. (Refs. 41, 145, 146) conducted similar experiments with male Walter Reed rats. They also observed that the timing of irradiation and the wounding had a definite effect upon mortality. Whereas wounding alone in their experiment produced no deaths, irradiation alone resulted in eight deaths out of 18 animals or 44 pct (figure 12). Wounding four days before irradiation or one day after irradiation did not alter the mortality rate from that of rats that were irradiated only. The radiation exposure of 875 R employed in this study is known to cause considerable damage to the bone marrow stem cells and precursor cells (Refs. 7, 43, 80). When the rats were wounded 4 days after irradiation, a synergistic increase in mortality to nearly 80 pct occurred. This increase was a result of reduced hematopoiesis due to the radiation injury and subsequent reduction in the protection against infection. This would have facilitated bacterial invasion from the skin wound to the blood resulting in septicemia. On the other hand, wounding one day prior to irradiation may have stimulated the hematopoietic feedback system to increase bloodcell production and to compensate for the destructive effects of ionizing radiation. Hence, the mortality was 21 pct instead of 44 pct (figure 12).

Ledney et al. (Ref. 75) conducted an experiment in which female mice were exposed to a dose of 9 Gy ^{60}Co gamma radiation, nearly 100 pct lethal. Different groups of these animals were given 4-pct skin surface wounds under light methoxyflurane anesthesia either on days 7 to 0 before irradiation or 0 to 2 days after irradiation. Figure 13 shows that wounding on days 7 to 3 prior to irradiation did not change the mortality due to irradiation; however, subjecting the mice to skin-wounds on day 2 before gamma radiation exposure reduced mortality to approximately 50 pct. Mortality

was further reduced to 10 pct or 5 pct when wounding occurred one day before or on the day of irradiation, respectively.

When the animals were wounded immediately after irradiation, the reduction in mortality was only to 62 pct. Wounding one day after irradiation did not significantly alter mortality when compared with that of the irradiated controls. It is assumed that mice wounded two days after irradiation died primarily from radiation injuries, because beyond 3 days postirradiation, bone marrow replacement of white cells is reduced and the protection against bacterial invasion is compromised.



Data from reference 75 (1985)

Figure 13. Effect of time of wound on mortality of wounded ^{60}Co irradiated mice.


The authors proposed that strategically timed wound trauma would result in a significant increase in the number of mice surviving lethal irradiation. They further noted that such increases were always accompanied by increased endogenous spleen colonies in the irradiated animals, which indicates blood cell production.

Similar results were obtained earlier by Trott and Messerschmidt (Refs. 90, 154). Important factors that must be considered in the interpretation of the data are bacterial contamination of wounds and the physiology leading to survival or death. Since irradiation depletes the antimicrobial defenses of the host, it is possible that wounding after exposure to radiation provides a portal of entry into the immune-compromised host in addition to bacteria entering from the damaged gut. This might explain the 100-pct mortality when animals are wounded two days after irradiation. Wounding prior to irradiation may activate antibacterial defense since the hematopoietic system has not yet been damaged or destroyed. A 50-pct increase in peripheral blood granulocyte numbers was observed 1-2 days after wound trauma. Furthermore, histologic examination of the wound site indicated granulocyte and macrophage infiltration into the margin of the wound (Ref. 75).

The open wounds, hemorrhaging, and possibility of infection and contamination by radioactive materials are an important consideration in secondary blast effects and the nuclear environment. Several Russian experimenters have reported that small exposures of ionizing radiation enhanced wound healing (Refs. 59, 60, 91). However, these reports were not supported by the findings of Poljakov (Ref. 109). All workers agreed that continued exposure to low dose rate radiation eventually has the same effect as one large dose given in a relatively short period, and high doses and dose rates have a very detrimental effect on wounds as has been demonstrated experimentally and clinically (Ref. 33); in all cases they cause a delay in the healing of wounds. Exposure of wounds in rabbits to high doses of Polonium (alpha-particles) prolonged the time of their healing (Refs. 59, 60).

In another experiment with rabbits, Mariev (Ref. 85) demonstrated that while healing of wounds inflicted during the latent period after irradiation was nearly normal, it was severely delayed when wounding occurred during the manifest illness period. The authors conclude that necessary surgery must be completed very early after irradiation. When wounding occurs at the most symptomatic period of the radiation disease, a serious dystrophic process develops in the wounds since the epithelial cells are particularly radiosensitive. The cells in the wound surface undergo a degenerative necrobiotic and necrotic process. The proliferation of the cells is greatly reduced. The cells of the germ anlagen and the fibroblasts do not completely recover before 1.5 to 2 months. Inflammations and immunological reactions are very weak, and sometimes are not observed at all (Refs. 9, 51, 153). When recovery commences in surviving animals, immune reactions are again observed, necrotic tissue is removed, and new cells are produced (Refs. 110, 138). However, the time for healing of bullet wounds in irradiated rabbits was increased by 50 pct (Refs. 33, 124).


As the radiation dose increases, the prodromal and latent periods become shorter. The authors suggest that the best time for surgical care of wounds is six hours after wounding and irradiation (Refs. 85, 138) after which chances for infection increase rapidly. While surgical care during the prodromal and latent periods does not affect the healing of wounds, during the manifest illness period such care would appear to affect the outcome negatively. Korcanov (Ref. 67) showed that antibiotics decrease or may even prevent infections in these cases. Figure 14 depicts the increased number of days for healing, which is about 15 days for abdominal wounds alone, doubles for combined radiation injury, and increases further if the wounds are contaminated with radioactive materials (Ref. 33). Figure 15 indicates that the frequency of infection in abdominal wounds is three times greater in irradiated rats and five times greater if the wound area is also contaminated with radioactive materials (Refs. 24, 34, 42, 117, 131).



Type of Injuries

Data from reference 33 (1964)


Figure 14. Effect of radioactive contamination, X-ray and wounding on healing.



Type of Injuries


Data from reference 33 (1964)

Figure 15. Frequency of infections in abdominal wounds of irradiated rats.



Data from reference 31 (1956)

Figure 16. Effect on mortality of small blood loss 60-90 min post-irradiation.



Type of Injuries

Data from reference 33 (1964)

Figure 17. Effect of wounds, X-ray and radioactive contamination on mortality.

We have learned from animal experiments (Ref. 39) that the likelihood of hemorrhage will make it difficult to treat irradiated patients from 3-9 days to 45-50 days postirradiation depending on the radiation dose.

Hemorrhage was observed in Japanese situated 1.5 to 3 km from the epicenter of the bomb (Ref. 33). The hemorrhagic condition threatens survival of animals and humans, and complicates surgery. Loss of blood in addition to subsequent shock condition in animals with combined radiation injury will often cause deaths after postirradiation operations (Refs. 98, 151). Furthermore, irradiated animals are very sensitive to blood losses at specific periods after irradiation as shown by Praveckij (Ref. 111) who observed that the loss of 20 pct of the circulating blood 15-30 minutes after irradiation increased the severity of the radiation disease and elevated lethality by 25 pct. All irradiated dogs that lost 40 pct of the total blood volume died.

On the other hand, as long as the radiation injury is limited to the bone marrow, small losses of blood immediately before or after irradiation may counteract its deleterious effects. This was demonstrated by Cerkasov (Ref. 31) who induced small blood losses in rodents 60-90 minutes after irradiation (figure 16). Without phlebotomy, his rodents sustained an LD_{88/30}. In bled animals this was reduced to an LD_{64/30}. Additional experiments by other investigators (Ref. 33) determined that the best resistance against radiation damage is obtained when small bleeding occurs 4-5 days before irradiation. A small blood loss would stimulate increased hematopoiesis and increase the number of white cells and immune protection. However, when blood loss occurs during the manifest illness phase, it increases the severity of the illness, and animals might die during the phlebotomy. They conclude that special care must be taken in the timing of surgery with combined injuries, because of blood losses. Berkutov et al. (Ref. 18) determined that 12 pct of dogs subjected to combined injuries die of secondary blood loss.

Figure 17 depicts the effects of sublethal skin wounds, radioactive contamination and LD₂₄ irradiation in rats (Ref. 33). Berkutov reported that dogs that were subjected to combined injuries (radiation plus wounding) and not treated with antibiotics developed the most sensitive anerobic wound infections (Ref. 17). Irradiated and infected dogs when untreated, showed 100-pct lethality in these studies.

Mortality from the extreme putrid wound infections (Refs. 42, 131) was shown to be reduced with antibiotic treatment.

3.3 TERTIARY BLAST EFFECTS AND IONIZING RADIATION


3.3.1 Animal Experiments with the Noble-Collip Drum.

Schildt (Ref. 127) studied the combined effect of radiation and mechanical injury using methods described in prior publications (Ref. 128, 129). Mice were subjected to that number of turns of the Noble-Collip drum that was either sublethal (LD_S) or LD₁₀. LD_S was defined as one half the number required for LD_{50/30}. The time interval between traumas was varied between 0 to 30 days. The following symbols were used:

- M_S = sublethal drum trauma
- M₁₀ = 10-pct drum trauma lethality
- R_S = sublethal radiation
- R₁₀ = 10-pct radiation lethality


The symbol of the trauma that appears first was administered first.

Figure 18A shows that sublethal radiation in combination with M₁₀ causes at best an additive effect. This occurs whether radiation is given first or last. For example, the maximum increase in mortality for R_S+M₁₀ or M₁₀+R_S is only 20 pct (figure 18A). Clearly, a sublethal radiation dose with only moderate injury to bone marrow does not result in a synergistic increase in mortality.



Data from reference 127 (1972)

Figure 18A



Data from reference 127 (1972)

Figure 18B


Figure 18. The effect of various sequential combinations of sublethal and LD₁₀ mechanical and radiation injury on rat mortality.

Figure 18B shows that R₁₀, particularly if it was given to animals first, resulted in synergistic increases in mortality. Animals subjected to R₁₀ first and subsequently to M₅ from days 2 to 21 resulted in mortalities between 75 and 100 pct. When the rats were stressed with M₅ first (figure 18B) and R₁₀ five days later, a synergistic increase in mortality to 55 pct was observed. The higher increase between days 2 and 21 when R₁₀ is given first probably was the result of greatly reduced number of granulocytes and macrophages caused by earlier radiation-induced bone marrow cellular damage, which compromised the defense against bacteria invading the injury.

Experiments by McKenna and Zweifach (Ref. 86) used a combination of X-ray exposures (sublethal, LD₅₀ and LD₁₀₀) and trauma from the Noble-Collip drum (Ref. 100). These experiments showed that total body irradiation predisposed the rat to subsequent traumatic shock after being tumbled. Figures 19 and 20 graph the data obtained from these studies.

Baseline data on mortality from drum shock were established by sub-


jecting random batches of rats to 650 (LD₅₅) and 700 (LD₆₅) turns at 40 rpm in the drum. The rats were carried upward with each revolution of the Noble-Collip drum and then fell, thereby receiving widespread body trauma. In the first study, rats were exposed to sublethal exposures of either 350 or 500 R of X-rays and subsequently subjected to drum trauma. Figure 19 indicates that X-irradiation predisposed the animal to subsequent traumatic shock. This predisposition is seen in groups tumbled up to 7 days after exposure to 350 R and in groups tumbled as late as 16 days after 500 R. After that, the 350 R rats showed recovery and resistance to trauma up to 21 days; and the 500 R animals, from day 21 to 30.



Data from reference 86 (1957)

Figure 19. Effect of sublethal radiation and subsequent mechanical trauma on rat mortality.

Figure 20 shows that survivors of 650 R and 900 R X-rays remained highly susceptible to drum trauma for periods up to 30 days postirradiation. With 650 R ($LD_{50/30}$) the impairment of the shock defense mechanism became evident only after 3 to 4 days; with 900 R ($LD_{100/30}$) impairment occurred sooner (figure 20). Gross and microscopic examination of the tissues showed the effects of post-traumatic shock. Bacterial contamination was not seen in normal rats subjected to drum shock; however, after drum trauma, X-irradiated rats showed varying degrees of bacterial contamination, chiefly of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type. Comparable bacterial contamination was seen in rats after X-irradiation alone.



Data from reference 86 (1957)


Figure 20. Effect of lethal radiation and subsequent mechanical trauma on rat mortality.

From these experiments (figures 19, 20 and Ref. 86), it appears that total body X-irradiation can exert a major effect on the subsequent capacity of the organism to withstand trauma. A predisposition to shock becomes apparent within 3 to 4 days postirradiation and persists thereafter for varied amounts of time depending on the X-ray exposure levels. When the exposure reaches the 50% or greater lethality level, shock is poorly tolerated. The authors suggested that since susceptibility to trauma begins to appear at the time that intestinal pathology becomes prominent, that condition may have reduced the tolerance for shock. However, in LD_{50/30} irradiated animals, survival did not decrease drastically until after 7-9 days after irradiation, and the animals showed only minor pathology in the gastrointestinal tract. Although infection per se did not represent a major contributing factor in the predisposition to trauma following X-ray exposure, the authors did not rule out unusual susceptibility to bacterial endotoxin or tissue by-products and cited supporting evidence for it (Ref. 137).

Several Russian investigators reported that animals subjected to combined injuries often exhibit a shock condition (Refs. 33, 67, 98, 170). These investigators suggest that ionizing radiation affects the functional capability of the central nervous system, which would explain the rapid decrease in blood pressure soon after exposure to ionizing radiation (figure 21). Decreases in blood pressure within 8 minutes were also reported for the monkey (*Macaca Mulatta*) receiving 2500 rads of pulsed mixed gamma-neutron radiation (Ref. 157) and beagles subjected to a midline tissue dose of 19000 rads of pulsed gamma-neutron radiation to the trunk and 14,000 rads to the head (Ref. 155). A relationship was observed between dose rate, decrease in blood pressure, and performance in monkeys receiving 1000 rad of ⁶⁰Co gamma radiation (Ref. 27). The thresholds were approximately 300 rad at dose rate of 25 rads per minute. Upper body irradiation of patients with 800 rad (10-MeV linear accelerator at dose rates of 30-40 rad/min) induced nausea, vomiting, increases in temperature and pulse rate and a drop in blood pressure. The onset of this syndrome occurred shortly after the procedure and lasted for up to 10 hours (Ref. 123).

Other studies (Ref. 120) have shown changes in blood pressure during irradiation of a number of patients. Systolic levels were lowered an average of 30 mm Hg and diastolic pressure by 5 to 8 mm Hg with the maximum depression reached at the same time as the height of the skin reaction to the radiation.

The only data on the drop in blood pressure following combined injury is that reported for the combination of bone fracture and radiation in animals reported by Korcanov et al. (Ref. 67) as quoted by Chromov (Ref. 33). Figure 21 shows a further decrease in blood pressure after combined injury as compared with exposure to radiation only.



Data from reference 67 (1958)

Figure 21. Blood pressure after irradiation, fracture and combined injury.

Unfortunately, the original graph presented in reference 33 did not show the time postirradiation during which the decrease in blood pressure occurred. However, based on the other reports (Refs. 27, 120, 123) one can assume that the onset occurred shortly after the completion of irradiation and continued for two hours as shown in figure 21 of this report.

Korcanov (Ref. 67) tells us that the hypotonic condition may lead rapidly to severe shock. Furthermore, soon after exposure to ionizing radiation, the concentration of histamine in the circulation is greatly increased (Refs. 14, 55). Gorizontov (Ref. 55) injected blood from head-irradiated dogs into normal unirradiated animals, and found a significant decrease in blood pressure of the normal dogs. American investigators who studied plasmas from dogs exposed to radiation from atom bombs found that the depressing effect on the blood pressure was caused by ferritin which is produced in the liver (Ref. 165). The Russian authors further suggested that the psychological effect from being exposed to atom bombs may contribute to the ensuing shock condition.

The Russian authors concluded that the irradiation induced injuries to physiological protective mechanisms of the central nervous system, and that the humoral changes (blood pressure drop) and the psychic traumas led to increased sensitivity to subsequent traumas and eventually to shock. This was demonstrated by greatly increased shock incidence and earlier appearance of symptoms in rabbits exposed to 800 R X-rays in addition to fractures of the upper femur (Ref. 99).

3.3.2 Combined Injury of Bone Fractures and Radiation.

G. W. LeRoy reported (Ref. 82) that at least 11 pct of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki victims who suffered mechanical injuries also incurred bone fractures and for many this was combined with radiation injury from the atomic bombs. Most of our current knowledge about combined injuries of bone fractures and ionizing radiation was obtained by observing bone healing in irradiated animals (Ref. 61, 62, 168):

1. Regeneration time of fractures is prolonged, particularly during the manifest illness period.

2. In sublethally irradiated animals, the consolidation of open fractures occurs between days 45 and 60. This may be compared to days 30-40 in nonirradiated controls (Refs. 61, 62, 168).

3. Some animals developed a pseudoarthrosis at the point of the fractures.

Tracenko (Ref. 152) reported healing of fractures in normal animals at about 6 weeks, and mending of similar fractures in irradiated animals at a minimum of 10 weeks. Healing of bone fractures was further delayed if the fracture occurred during the manifest illness period (Ref. 140). Furthermore, the effects of radiation were much more severe and more rabbits died earlier if animals received bone fractures during the manifest illness period (Ref. 65). The time required for fractures in irradiated animals to heal increased as the amount of the exposure increased. Rubinstejn (Ref. 121) demonstrated that the consolidation of fractures in dogs subjected to low exposure radiation was practically identical to that in unirradiated animals, although higher exposures resulted in much slower regeneration and recovery. Ivanov (Ref. 60) observed first signs of bone consolidation in healing of fractures of rabbits by day 7 and full consolidation by the 35th day. In animals exposed to 600 R X-rays, the first signs of consolidation are not seen until the tenth to fifteenth day, and full consolidation by day 50 to 55.

Sarkisov(Ref. 124) reported that ionizing radiation increased the severity of fractures due to gun shot wounds and caused the development of sepsis. The consolidation of gunshot fractures in irradiated rabbits started much later than in unirradiated animals. The consolidation of an open gun shot fracture of the upper femur was delayed by one month in sublethally irradiated rabbits. He also reported that even closed fractures can be infected during the radiation-induced leukopenia. Krupko (Ref. 71) reported an increase in lethality in irradiated rabbits with bone fractures (Table 3). The combination of fractures, burns, and radiation


increased further the severity of the injury and subsequent lethality (Ref. 72). He recommend that a prolonged rest period with restricted movements of the injured extremity accompany appropriate medical treatment for combined injury of fractures plus radiation.

Table 3. Mortality of rabbits receiving radiation and bone fractures (from Ref. 71)

	Mortality (percent)
1. Radiation	16
2. Radiation & uncomplicated fracture	50
3. Radiation & open fracture	85

Figures 22A and 22B depict the results of a series of experiments on "lesions of the skull and brain in acute radiation sickness" (Ref. 3). This study used 814 rabbits that were subjected to the combined injury of 600 R X-rays and, immediately thereafter, penetrating cranial and cerebral wounds. The rabbits received penetrating cranial wounds involving opening of the meninges and damaging of a section of cerebral matter 3-5 mm in diameter. Bone fragments were introduced into the meningocerebral wound, and the wound was contaminated with sterile earth and infected with a 24-hour culture of pathogenic hemolytic *Staphylococcus aureus* or a mixture of this culture with a culture of pathogenic hemolytic streptococcus. The type of injuries and radiation exposure as well as the various forms of treatments are shown in figures 22A and 22B.


Type of Injury



Data from reference 3 (1962)

Figure 22A

Type of Injury



Data from reference 3 (1962)

Figure 22B

Figure 22. Mortality of rabbits receiving penetrating and nonpenetrating skull wounds, irradiation, and antibiotics in various combinations.

The following basic conclusions were made by the experimenters:

(1) In combination with radiation sickness caused by penetrating radiation, wounds of the skull and brain become particularly severe, and, they, in turn, aggravate the course of acute radiation sickness itself.

(2) As a result of the weakening of the protective forces of the organism caused by the combined injuries, infectious complications of the wound, pneumonia and other infectious processes often develop. Therefore, careful surgical treatment of the wound as well as early and systematic use of antibiotics are much more important in these injuries than in ordinary wounds.

(3) Infectious complications that develop in rabbits with these combined injuries are, as a rule, not associated with an increase in leukocyte count in the peripheral blood during the height of radiation sickness, and during this period intracranial infectious processes are not ordinarily accompanied by any detectable increase in the number of cellular elements in the spinal fluid.


(4) The most effective antibiotics for these injuries are mixtures of penicillin and streptomycin or large doses of penicillin. The most effective treatment is a combination of intramuscular injections of antibiotics and application of them to the wound itself or injection into the subarachnoid space (figures 23 and 24). The use of biomyacin administered orally is not effective as it penetrates poorly into the spinal fluid and the brain (figure 23).

(5) Intramuscular injection of penicillin or bicillin for the combinations of acute radiation sickness with nonpenetrating wounds of the skull was effective.

(6) The presence of an open wound of the skull or brain in animals exposed to ionizing radiation favors the development of infectious complications and increases mortality; hence, such wounds should be closed.

(7) The overwhelming majority of experimental animals that were irradiated and that had contaminated, infected skull wounds survived, and the wounds healed when appropriate antibiotics were administered and the wound was sutured within three days.


Treatment with various antibiotics



Data from reference 3 (1962)

Figure 23. Mortality of animals with combined injury treated with various antibiotics.

Treatment with penicillin only



Data from reference 3 (1962)

Figure 24. Effect of method of administration of penicillin on mortality of cerebrally wounded and irradiated rats.

Some Russian reports indicate that small exposures to ionizing radiation stimulate bone tissue growth and accelerate the healing of fractures (Refs. 60, 69, 97, 132, 142). This principle is used in the treatment of fractures and osteomyelitis. However, continuous exposures to small doses of radiation for long periods may induce bone cancers (Refs. 73, 155) and eventually have the same effect as large exposures given in a short period; viz., decalcification, atrophy, osteoporosis, and even necrosis of the bones (Refs. 1, 16, 96, 144, 158). Pathological effects also occur in the matrix, which results in a thinning of the cortex of the long bones, a disturbance of new lamella formation of bone, and in an enlargement of the lumen of the haversian canal. Pavlova (Ref. 103) observed radiation damage to the osteoblasts, the vascular circulation of bone and the bone marrow including degenerative and necrotic changes of the bone marrow cells. The author also report a disturbance of the calcium exchange of bone, which eventually leads to increased spontaneous fractures (Ref. 103).


Particularly damaging to the bone is the incorporation of bone-seeking radioisotopes (strontium, plutonium, radium, phosphorus, calcium, thorium, yttrium, barium). K. Z. Morgan (Ref. 92) determined that of injected radioisotopes that were incorporated into bone, 45-60 pct were radiothorium and yttrium, 45-60 pct strontium, and 60 to 80 pct plutonium and radium (Ref. 92). One half hour after administration of radiophosphorus in rats, one finds 18.3 pct in muscles and 19.1 pct in bones. However, after 98 hours, the concentration in muscles was only 3.6 pct and that in the bone increased to 92 pct (Refs. 46, 57, 148).

SECTION 4

OTHER COMBINED INJURIES

4.1 BLAST AND THERMAL RADIATION.

In a study by Richmond and Pratt reported by Jones (Ref. 63), rats were subjected to blast in shock tubes and burns from tungsten-quartz lamps. Blast levels in the LD₅₀ range were combined with nonlethal burns. Thermal energy levels of 6 cal/cm² were used to produce burns covering about 30 pct of the body surface area. The effect of blast pressure is shown in figure 25 (33 psi killed about 90 pct of the exposed rats). If those rats were subjected to nonlethal burns two hours prior to the blast, the 24 hour mortality was reduced to 25 pct (figure 25). It was not clear how the nonlethal burn interacted antagonistically with the LD₉₀ blast to reduce mortality.



Data from reference 63 (1971)

Figure 25. Effect of 30% burn two hours prior to blast injury on 24 hour mortality of rats.

4.2 MECHANICAL INJURY AND THERMAL RADIATION

Zgenti (Ref. 169) found that persons with deep burns covering 20 pct of body area satisfactorily tolerated a 20 to 25 pct loss of blood volume, but that mortality increased with further losses of blood. The general condition and symptoms of traumatic shock appeared to be more pronounced with smaller burned areas in combination with moderate mechanical trauma than in cases of somewhat greater mechanical injury or burn alone (Ref. 169).

Schildt (Ref. 127) selected thermal injury (scald burn) and the Noble-Collip drum mechanical injury as combined injury components. In accordance with methods described in prior publications (Refs. 128, 129), standardized burns were determined which were sublethal, LD₅, or LD₁₀. The numbers of turns of the drum that either resulted in an LD₅ or LD₁₀ were also determined. The time interval between two traumas varied between 0 to 12 days for burn and drum trauma. The following symbols are used for the various traumas:

B_S = Sublethal burn


B₁₀ = Burn that causes 10 pct lethality

M_S = Sublethal drum trauma

M₁₀ = Drum trauma that causes 10 percent lethality

The symbol of the trauma that appears first was administered first. Figures 26A & 26B indicate that when mice were subjected on the same day to B_S+M₁₀ or M₁₀+B_S, a synergistic increase in mortality to 55 pct occurred. Similar exposure on the same day to B₁₀+M_S and M_S+B₁₀ resulted in 30-pct mortality. Subjecting the animals to traumas sequentially resulted in synergistic increases in mortality only when the animals were subjected to B_S first followed by M₁₀ one or three days later, or B₁₀ first and M_S one day later. The only significant increases in mortality occurred when the mice were subjected to drum trauma and to burns on the same day or when burns were first.


Burn followed by mechanical injury



Data from reference 127 (1972)

Figure 26A

Mechanical injury followed by burn



Data from reference 127 (1972)

Figure 26B

Figure 26. Effect of sublethal LD₁₀ burn and mechanical injury on rat mortality.


4.3 BLAST, THERMAL RADIATION, AND IONIZING RADIATION

It has been reported that approximately 5 pct of the patients who survived the effects of nuclear explosions in Japan sustained blast, burn, and radiation injuries (Ref. 101). Richmond et al. at the Lovelace Foundation (reported in Ref. 166) conducted the only known study in which rats were subjected to all three of these stresses. The results of this study are shown in figure 27. The animals were subjected to a 250 rad exposure ($LD_{10/30}$) of nuclear radiation (mixed neutron-gamma), a 25 to 35 pct sublethal body surface area flash burn of 6 cal/cm^2 , and an LD_5 dose of airblast in a shock tube. An additive effect from this combination should result in a mortality of about 15 pct. However, when all three stresses were combined on the same day, a 64 pct 30-day mortality was observed (figure 27). Only 5 pct of the animals died within 24 hours. If the burn and blast injuries occurred seven days after irradiation, a 24-hour mortality of 35 pct resulted which only increased to 41 pct by the day 30 post-trauma. Burn and blast injuries sustained fourteen days following nuclear irradiation resulted in a 20-pct 30-day mortality with only a few early deaths.

When the rats were subjected to 350 rad nuclear radiation ($LD_{50/30}$) on the same day with blasts and thermal injuries, the 30-day mortality increased to 94 pct (figure 27). It appears that exposure to three stresses on the same day raised mortality from 15 pct to a synergistic 64 pct. Most animals apparently died of injuries to the bone marrow further complicated by infections, although gut effects may also have been a factor. The $LD_{50/30}$ in rats is normally about 800 to 1000 rads gamma irradiation for bone marrow and is about the same for gut effects ($LD_{50/5}=900$ rads) (Ref. 21). However, the $LD_{50/30}$ for nuclear (combined gamma and neutron) radiation is reduced to about 400 rads, because of injury to the gut (Ref. 22). Gut injuries may have been involved in some of the early deaths when blasts and burns were also given on the day of irradiation in the Richmond study. Since gastrointestinal irradiation injuries do not contribute to mortalities beyond seven days postirradiation, deaths observed within 24 hours were probably caused by shock in response to all three stresses. When the rats were subjected to blast and burns fourteen days after irradiation,

only an additive rather than a synergistic effect of all three stresses was noted.

According to Russian investigators, low X-ray exposures by themselves do not induce the radiation sickness syndrome but will do so in combination with blasts. Zgenti et al. (Ref. 169) reported that ionizing radiation and blasts in combination with wounds or burns will increase the time for healing of wounds or burns.




Data from reference 166 (1979)

Figure 27. Effect of concurrent nuclear radiation, blast and burn on rat mortality

SECTION 5

INFECTION AND IONIZING RADIATION

Schildt et al. explain the pathophysiological responses occurring in combined injury in reference 130. CBA male mice were subjected to sublethal bacterial toxin (T_S) from *Pasteurella pseudotuberculosis* either up to 3 days prior to an LD_{50} radiation from X-rays (R_{50}) or up to 6 weeks postirradiation from an LD_{10} exposure (R_{10}). Figure 28 shows a decrease in lethality from 50 pct to 15 pct when the toxin is given three days before irradiation. When the bacterial toxin was administered intraperitoneally one day preirradiation, the difference was not significant, however given at approximately the same time (the toxin first), a synergistic increase in mortality from 50 pct to 90 pct was observed. When the toxin was administered 2 to 10 days, postirradiation, a synergistic increase in mortality reached its maximum of 90 to 97 pct between days 6 to 8. Thereafter, a slow return to normal values occurred 4-6 weeks after irradiation.



Data from reference 130 (1968)

TS-Sublethal Toxin
 R10-LD10/30; R50-LD50/30(X-ray)

Figure 28. Effect of various sequential combinations of LD_{10} and LD_{50} radiation and sublethal toxin on mortality of mice.

The increased number of postirradiation deaths in animals concurrently subjected to infectious agents had previously been ascribed to 1) increased permeability of skin surfaces and mucous membranes, 2) reduced numbers of granulocytes, (3) weakening of phagocytic activity of the reticuloendothelial system and 4) a reduced immune-capability (Refs. 15, 25, 30, 40). The authors ascribed the probable mechanism of the decrease in mortality when the toxin was given three days before irradiation to an unspecific increase in resistance as discussed in other reports (Refs. 53, 102, 127, 171). However, recent research in this area (Ref. 80) indicates that the specific response of the hematopoietic stem cells and precursor cells to the bacterial toxin results in an increase of active hematopoiesis and subsequent increased defense if the stress is administered prior to the ionizing radiation.


According to the authors, ionizing radiation presents a heavy burden on the defensive and compensating mechanisms of the body. When a second trauma hits the organism (bacterial toxin), very little defense can be mobilized thus resulting in a dramatically high mortality rate, since radiation drastically undermines the animals' defense against infection. When the animals are further subjected to the bacterial toxin between days 4 and 10 postirradiation, the decreased numbers of granulocytes, macrophages, and lymphocytes are no longer able to defend against the toxin, and a dramatic increase in mortality results.

The similarities of results in this study (figure 28) with those using burn or mechanical injuries in combination with radiation (figure 8 and 18) suggest that infection played an important role between days 8 and 20 postirradiation in both experiments.

Additional biological actions that have been considered are the release of bacterial endotoxin and the elevation of prostaglandin (PGE₂) levels. The two primary sources of endotoxin are the wound site and the intestine (Ref. 161). It has been shown that endotoxin given prior to irradiation can afford a degree of radioprotection; however, higher doses of endotoxin given after irradiation increase mortality (Ref. 162).

Prostaglandins are modulators of hematopoiesis, and elevated levels are seen after both traumas (Refs. 143, 163). As long as the bone marrow stem cells and precursor cells are intact, prostaglandin may enhance hematopoiesis and increase the defense against infection. However, in animals subjected to trauma after irradiation, increased concentrations of prostaglandins may result in death associated with sepsis (Ref. 135).

As soon as the devastating results of dropping the atom bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki became known, Russian investigators initiated a series of experiments to study the effects of combined radiation injury. They observed that thermal or mechanical trauma was usually accompanied by a greatly increased leukocytosis. This may be seen in figure 29 which shows the effects of abdominal gun shot wounds on peripheral leukocyte concentration in dogs (Refs. 54, 118, 138, 160). In response to the abdominal wounding a five- to sixfold increase in the leukocyte concentration may be noted for 14 days, which thereafter returns to normal. Such a leukocytosis is completely absent in dogs subjected to a high sublethal exposure of radiation. Soon after irradiation, the animals show a decrease in leukocyte concentration which reaches its nadir of approximately 10^3 cells per ml by day 20. Recovery commences thereafter and normal values are observed about 35 days postirradiation. A similar leukopenia is observed in dogs subjected to combined traumas of sublethal irradiation and abdominal gun shot wounds. Although the graph in figure 29 indicates a moderate leukocytosis by day 2 postirradiation, the subsequent decrease is probably more severe than that for radiation alone and persists beyond day 20. Recovery is not observed until day 50 postirradiation (Ref. 54). The highly elevated leukocytosis in wounded unirradiated dogs indicates the mobilization of white cells in the defense against infection. The decrease of leukocytes several days postirradiation is of course caused by the destruction of stem and precursor cells by the ionizing radiation. This is even further exacerbated after combined injuries.



Data from reference 54 (1958)

Figure 29. Effect of abdominal bullet wounds and sublethal irradiation on peripheral leukocyte concentration in dogs.

Chromov (Ref. 33) observed similar effects of white cell responses in rabbits subjected to 700-800 R of X-rays in combination with skin wounds. Sokolov (Ref. 138) demonstrated a decreased time of survival for wounded guinea pigs also subjected to ionizing radiation. The average survival time for irradiated guinea pigs was 12 days while that of animals subjected to combined traumas was 9.4 days.

Although the combination of two or more traumas often increases the injurious effects synergistically, two traumas do not necessarily always react that way (Ref. 33). If one of the traumas is much weaker, one observes at best an additive effect. Indeed, if wounding or burns occur at specific times prior to irradiation, a greatly decreased effect may be seen. However, mostly one observes synergistic increases of injury for animals subjected to two or more traumas.


For example, combined radiation injuries often reduce the latent period of the radiation syndrome, and the manifest illness phase occurs much sooner. Rudenko (Ref. 122) demonstrated that the acute symptoms of radiation in rabbits occur between days 7 and 10 postirradiation. When the rabbits were also subjected to the mechanical trauma of a broken jaw, the manifest illness phase of radiation was observed between days 3 and 4, and the acute syndrome was much more severe. Filatov (Ref. 48) reported that dogs that apparently completely recovered from the effects of ionizing radiation, showed many of the symptoms of radiation disease when subsequently subjected to mechanical trauma.

In general, mortality is one and a half to three times greater in rats and rabbits subjected to combined traumas of mechanical injuries and radiation than in animals that are irradiated only (Refs. 17, 18, 33, 83).

Combined injuries increase mortality primarily due mostly to infection. A similar relationship is observed when animals are subjected to combined radiation and thermal injuries. Japanese who received both radiation and burns showed a greatly increased mortality (Ref. 134). The combining of radiation with wounds or burns is an additional burden that aggravates the effects of ionizing radiation and facilitates fatal infections. In turn of course, radiation delays proper healing of the other traumas. These facts were determined in a great number of experiments and clinical observations (Refs. 2, 4, 17-19, 24, 36, 48, 56, 70-72, 83, 85, 91, 95, 110, 112, 113, 118, 119, 134, 148, 150, 167).

The above cited reports clearly indicate that the traumatized animals become infected and that ionizing radiation reduces the systemic and local resistance to invading organisms. This is caused by a number of factors: the appearance of toxemia, leukopenia, reduced activity of the bone marrow and of the lymphatic system, reduced phagocytic activity of leukocytes, lowering of the immunological protective mechanisms, reduction of the barrier capabilities of tissues, increased permeability, decreased antitoxic function of a number of organs and tissues, decreased capability to counteract infection, etc. These conditions result in greater acceptance

of pathogens and microbes and their toxins by the irradiated animals as demonstrated in research by Russian and American experimenters (Refs. 23, 50, 58, 66, 105, 106, 125, 139, 152). In other words, the sensitivity to staphylococci, streptococci, anerobic bacteria, and many other pathogens becomes much greater. This sensitivity increases further with increasing radiation exposure. Infectious complications play an important role in irradiated animals and clearly this role is greatly enhanced after combined radiation injuries. Figure 30 depicts the increase in mortality for combined injury (infection plus irradiation) over that observed for radiation only or infection only. Furthermore, if the infection occurs immediately after irradiation, it is increased from about 30 pct to 64 pct; and if it occurs 5 days later, the mortality is 100 pct (Ref. 33).



Data from reference 33 (1964)

Figure 30. Effect on mortality of infecting irradiated mice with anaerobic bacteria immediately and 5 days postirradiation.

Table 4, representing the results from an experiment by G.T. Golikov (Ref. 52), shows the high mortality in irradiated and nonirradiated animals with infected wounds and the significant reduction in mortalities after treatment with specific antibiotics.

Table 4. Frequency of wound infections and mortalities in treated and untreated animals (G. T. Golikov, Ref. 52).

	Number Animals	Irradiated		Infected	Deaths	Mortality
		No	Yes			
A. No Treatment	8	8	0	7	7	88
	8	0	8	6	5	63
B. Treatment						
Penicillin + Bicillin	15	0	15	8	2	13
Bicillin	4	0	4	1	0	0
Biomycin	19	0	19	7	13	68

Infection is further favored in combined injuries since wounds are not a good barrier against bacteria. This is not only true for exogenous but also for endogenous infections through radiation-injured intestines. This process of autoinfection complicates the recovery from the radiation damage, shortens the remaining life, and increases the number of lethalties.

Intensive development of a microflora occurs in the blood circulation in the second week after irradiation. The continuous bacteremia is the cause for lethality. Autopsies of Japanese casualties often revealed the presence of a bacteremia (Ref. 33). Bacteriological tests of the blood of irradiated animals revealed Enteritis and Paraenteritic bacteria (55 pct), Enterococci (27 pct), Staphylo and Streptococci (11 pct), Anerobic bacteria (3 pct), Proteus (2 pct) and Pyocyanobacteria (2 pct). Infections shorten the latent period in rodents, and manifest clinical symptoms appear 3-4 days rather than 9-10 days after irradiation (Refs. 52, 58). Furthermore, infections in irradiated or combined injury animals always delay recovery (Ref. 33).

The natural protective ability of properdins to kill bacteria, neutralize viri, and lyse abnormal erythrocytes is an important part of the body's protective mechanisms, and in irradiated animals as well as in those that suffer hemorrhage or are in shock, the concentration of properdins is greatly reduced two weeks after trauma (Ref. 107). Chromov et al. (Ref. 33) measured the concentration of properdins in the blood of rats exposed to 500 R X-rays and found a significant decrease within a few days after irradiation. Similar results were obtained from rats with wounds contaminated with radioiodine and radiophosphorus (figure 17).

In animals with combined radiation injuries, suppurative infections such as abscesses, erysipelas, phlegm, pneumonia, sepsis and other complications caused by anaerobic bacteria, are increased (Refs. 24, 34, 38, 117). Studying several animal species after irradiation, Sosova (Ref. 139) determined the following general course of events from the local process of infection:

1. The infection proceeds with hemorrhage and necrosis.
2. Irradiated animals have a diminished capability for reducing the propagation of microbes. Thus the number of microbes observed in wounds of postirradiated animals is increased by a factor of 10^3 to 10^6 when compared with that of nonirradiated animals.
3. After irradiation, the capacity for localization of infections is greatly decreased. Therefore, most infections become systemic and develop into a bacteremia.

Bacterial infections have been shown to be lethal complications of neutropenia in several studies. Furthermore antibiotics alone are often inadequate to combat these infections. Recent promising discoveries indicate that as long as sufficient pluripotential stem cells survive, treatment with granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) or multi-CSF (Interleukin 3) enhances granulocyte production (Ref. 149). The combination of antibiotics and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor are seen to greatly increase survival after combined radiation injuries.

Researchers at the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute (AFRRI) using the bacterial cell wall derivative glycolipid trehalose dimycolate (TDM) derived from *Mycobacterium phlei* or a synthetic preparation TDM were able to enhance survival significantly in irradiated mice (Ref. 84). TDM injected 1 hour after sublethal irradiation increased resistance to a lethal *Klebsiella pneumoniae* challenge 4 or 14 days later. Tests will be conducted to measure the effectiveness of TDM in animals subjected to combined radiation injuries.

SECTION 6

CONCLUSION

The systemic response to combined injury depends on the magnitude and timing of the component traumas. If the traumas independently cause about the same level of injury or mortality, i.e., if they are of the same "magnitude", then each will contribute equally towards the combined injury. If they differ in magnitude, two alternative outcomes are possible:

1. If the smaller trauma hits first, it is possible that the organism will respond by temporarily increasing its resistance to trauma. A case in point is the effect of wounds or burns that also involve moderate bleeding to stimulate increased hematopoiesis in the bone marrow. The hyperactive bone marrow responds better to moderate radiation exposure and affords the organism better protection. The net effect is a less severe injury or fewer fatalities.

2. If the larger trauma hits first, or both occur simultaneously, the combined effect will be additive or strongly synergistic depending on the magnitude and timing of the components. The result is a more severe injury or an increased number of fatalities.

Four main types of combined injuries resulting from detonation of a nuclear weapon have been described:

1. Thermal trauma and irradiation
2. Primary, secondary, and tertiary blast effects and irradiation
3. Blast and thermal trauma
4. Thermal and mechanical trauma and irradiation

Finally, the characteristics of combined injury are as follows:

1. Radiation effects may be ameliorated by prior exposure to other sublethal stresses or by subsequent treatment with stimulators of hematopoiesis and/or treatment with antibiotics.
2. Sublethal levels of radiation combined with low intensity stresses of burns or wounds may interact to cause a moderate number of fatalities by decreasing immune defenses and permitting entry of opportunistic bacteria.
3. Increasing injury to the blood forming system may further compromise immune defenses and result in synergistic augmentation of the numbers of fatalities. For example, an LD10 from X-irradiation may become 100% lethal in combination with sublethal wounds or burns.
4. Decreased reaction capability of organisms, i.e., absence of leukocytosis and fever in pyogenic infections.
5. Impaired wound healing and delayed consolidation of fractures.
6. Increased tendency to develop circulatory failure and shock after only moderate blood volume loss.
7. Increased susceptibility to complicating infections.

It has been postulated that response to trauma can be divided into two types, local and systemic. A local response is usually independent of the type of harmful influence since it is primarily governed by the capability of cells to react to injury. The systemic response is complex and depends on humoral and cellular involvement of pituitary-adrenal and reticuloendothelial systems (Ref. 53). However, the ways in which the body

responds to traumas are limited, and a basic pattern in responses emerges (Ref. 126).

Simultaneous exposure to burn or blast injuries and ionizing radiation appears to induce hypovolemic conditions that may lead to shock (Ref. 67). Radiation increases the sensitivity to shock (Ref. 86). However, shock, which occurs primarily during the first three days postirradiation, is caused by the burn or blast injuries in sublethally irradiated animals. Wounds present a portal of entry for opportunistic bacteria, and subsequent to the first three days, it is infection in the radiation-induced immunocompromised host that induces incapacitation and mortality. Depending on the size of the wound or burn and the dose of radiation, performance in untreated personnel may become degraded within hours due to shock or certainly within days due to infection.

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. M.D. Abdulaev, *Heilung von Knochenfracturen bei Strahlenschaden*, Arb. d. I. Transkaukasischen Konf. fur Med. Radiol. Tbilisi, p.35 (1956).
2. N.N. Alexandrov et al., *Besonderheiten des Verlaufs und der chirurgischen Behandlung von Verletzungen des Schadels und Gehirns bei Tieren, die durchdringender Strahlung ausgesetzt waren*, Wissenschaft, Allunionskonf. Kombiniertes Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.34 (1958).
3. N.N. Aleksandrov et al., *Injuries to the Skull and Brain in Acute Radiation Sickness*, Edited by Prof. V. N. Shamov, Leningrad, p.119 (1962).
4. F.M. Allen, "Biological Modification of Effects of Roentgen Rays," *Am. J. Roentgenol.* 73,70 (1955).
5. E.L. Alpen and G.E. Sheline, "The Combined Effects of Thermal Burns and Whole Body X-Irradiation on Survival Time and Mortality," *Ann. Surg.* 140,113 (1954).
6. C. Artzt et al., "The Problem of Burns in Disaster," *United States Armed Forces Med. J.*, 4,39 (1953).
7. Z.M. Bacq and P. Alexander, *Fundamentals in Radiobiology*, Butterworths Scientific Publication, London (1963).
8. D.G. Baker and F.A. Valeriote, "Effects of Thermal Burn and X-Irradiation on Early Mortality," *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.*, 121,1275 (1966).
9. T.S. Barkagan, "Der Einfluss ausserer Roentgen und innere Beta-Bestrahlung auf die Entwicklung einer aseptischen Entzundung," *Pathol. Physiol. und Exper. Therapie*, 1,19 (1958).
10. S.J. Baum, "Erythrocyte Stem Cell Kinetics in the Postirradiation Rat," *Radiation Research*, 30,316 (1967).
11. S.J. Baum, "Comparative Hematopoietic Cytokinetics in X-Ray or Gamma-Neutron Irradiated Rats," *Am. J. Physiology*, 216,582 (1969).
12. S.J. Baum and D.E. Wyant, "Hematopoietic Recovery in Irradiated Dogs," *Radiation Research*, 44,531 (1970).
13. H. Baxter et al., "Reduction of Mortality in Swine from Combined Total Body Radiation and Thermal Burns by Streptomycin," *Ann. Surg.*, 137,450 (1953).

14. H. Baxter et al., "Blood Histamine Levels in Swine Following Total Body X-Radiation and a Flash Burn, *Ann. Surg.* 139,179 (1954).
15. B. Benacerraf et al. "The Effect of High Doses of X-Irradiation on the Phagocytic, Proliferative and Metabolic Properties of the Reticuloendothelial System," *J. Exper. Med.* 11,49 (1959).
16. C. Berens, "Advances in Medical Defense Against Thermo-nuclear Injuries," *Milit. Med.*, 123,1 (1958).
17. A.N. Berkutov, "Die Chirurgische Behandlung von Wunden Bei Kombinierten Schaden," *Voен. Med. Journ.*, 1,17 (1956).
18. A.N. Berkutov and N.N. Aleksandrov, *Massenversuch zur Untersuchung Kombiniertes Schaden*, Wissenschaft Allunionskonf. fur Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.8 (1958).
19. N.I. Blinov, "Der Verlauf von Weichteilwunden und Knochenfracturen bei Strahlenkrankheit im Experiment," *Chirurgija*, 11,46 (1956).
20. V.P. Bond et al., "The Effects of X-Irradiation on a Naturally Occurring Endemic Infection," U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory Report AD-273 (8), (November 1950). Also in *J. of Infectious Diseases* 91,26 (1952).
21. V.P. Bond, "Radiation Mortality in Different Mammalian Species," *Comparative Cellular and Species Radiosensitivity*, Bond and Sugahara Editors, Igaku Shoin Ltd., Tokyo (1969).
22. V.P. Bond, "The Gastrointestinal Syndrome," *Comparative Cellular and Species Radiosensitivity*, Bond and Sugahara Editors, Igaku Shoin Ltd., Tokyo (1969).
23. V.P. Bond et al., "Pathogenesis and Pathology of Postirradiation Infection," *Radiation Research*, 1,1,389 (1954).
24. P.G. Braznikov, *Der Verlauf von Bauchwunden bei Strahlenkrankheit*, Diss. Kujbysev (1957).
25. G. Brecher et al., "The Effect of X-ray on Lymphoid and Hemopoietic Tissues of Mice," *Blood* 3,1259 (1948).
26. J.W. Brooks et al., "The Influence of External Body Radiation on Mortality from Thermal Burns," *Ann. Surg.* 136,533 (1952).
27. A. Bruner, "Immediate Dose-Rate Effects of ⁶⁰Co on Performance and Blood Pressure in Monkeys," *Radiation Research*, 70,378-390 (1977).
28. V.M. Burmistrov and V.G. Slinko, "Die Nekretomie und Hautplastik thermischer Verbrennungen dritten Grades in der Latenzperiode der Strahlenkrankheit," *Vestn. Chir.*, 5,74 (1958).

29. V.M. Burmistrov et al., "Burn Shock in Combined Injuries," Moscow Voenno-Meditsinskiy Zhurnal, 3 (1977).
30. W. Burrows, Ergebnisse der Mikrobiologie und Immunitätsforschung, 36,59 (1963).
31. V.F. Cerkasov, *Über den Kombinierten Einfluss von ionisierender Strahlung und Blutverlust auf einige Funktionen des Tierorganismus*, Autorefer. Diss., Leningrad (1956).
32. T. Christiansen, "Atomwaffen," Nord med., 50,1217 (1953).
33. B.M. Chromov, *Kombinierte Strahlenschädigungen*, Akademie-Verlag-Berlin (1964).
34. B.M. Chromov, *Chirurgische Operationen bei Kombinierten Strahlenschaden*, Wissenschaft Allunionskonf. für Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.38 (1958).
35. J.P. Cooney, "Medical Effects of Nuclear Warfare," Milit. Med., 118,264 (1956).
36. J.N. Crawford, "Medical Aspects of the Effects of Atomic Explosion," Can. Med. Assoc. J., 62,529 (1950).
37. E.P. Cronkite and G. Brecher, "Effects of Whole Body Irradiation," Ann. Rev. of Med., 3,195 (1953).
38. E.P. Cronkite, "Treatment of Radiation Injuries," Milit. Med., 118,328 (1956).
39. S.L. Cybizova, "Über die Empfindlichkeit von Tieren mit akuter Strahlenkrankheit und nachfolgender schwerer thermischer Verbrennung," Sowo zdravoochr. Kirgissii, 2,23 (1956).
40. N. DiLuzio et al., "Effects of X-Rays and Tripian Blue on Reticuloendothelial Cells," Arch. Path. 64,649 (1957).
41. R.M. Donati, "Combined Surgical and Radiation Injury," Arch. Surgery, 102,132 (1971).
42. V.P. Erkov, *Die Behandlung von Schussfracturen des Oberschenkels durch Anwendung von Metall-Osteosynthese bei acuter Strahlenkrankheit*, Diss. Leningrad (1958): Arb. d. Voen.-med. Akad., Band 97, Leningrad, p.5 (1958).
43. M. Errera and A. Forsberg, *Mechanisms in Radiobiology II*, New York, Academic Press (1960).

44. E.I. Evans, "The Burn Problem in Atomic Warfare," J.A.M.A., 143,1148 (1950).
45. E.I. Evans et al., "Fluid and Electrolyte Requirements of the Severely Burned," Ann. Surg. 135,804 (1952).
46. E.I. Evans, "The Burn Problem in Atomic Warfare," J.A.M.A., 143,1143 (1950).
47. N.A. Federov, et al., *Zur Frage der Pathogenese und Behandlung der Verbrennungs-Strahlenkrankheit*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. fur Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.53 (1958).
48. V.I. Filatov, *Verlauf von Dunndarmverwundungen bei Versuchstieren mit Roentgenganzbestrahlung*, Diss. Leningrad (1957); Voen.-Med. Journ., 6,37 (1957); EKsp. chirurg., 6,60 (1958).
49. E.R. Fletcher et al., "Probability of Injury from Airblast Displacement as a Function of Yield and Range," Topical Report DNA 3779T, Director, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, D.C. (19 October 1975).
50. J. Furth, et al., "Some Late Effects in Mice of Ionizing Radiation from an Experimental Nuclear Detonation," Radiology, 63,526 (1954).
51. V.G. Garsin and M.A. Zacharevskaja, "Uber die Wirkung von Roentgenstrahlung auf die Proliferation des Epitels," Arch. patol., 2,72 (1953).
52. G.T. Golikov, *Die Anwendung von Nahten bei infizierten Weichteilwunden bei Strahlenkrankheit unter Bedingungen verspaterer chirurgischer Versorgung und der Behandlung mit Antibiotika*, Diss. Leningrad (1958); Voen-med Journ., 11,15 (1957); Exper. Chir. 1,40 (1958).
53. M. Goodal and M. Long, "Effects of Whole Body X-irradiation on the Adrenal Medulla and the Hormones Adrenaline and Nor-adrenaline," Amer. J. Physiol., 197,1265 (1959).
54. F.I. Gorelov, *Primare chirurgische Versorgung von Darmschusswunden bei akuter Strahlenkrankheit*, Diss. Leningrad (1958); Vestn. Chir. 8,103 (1957); 7,53 (1958).
55. P.D. Gorizontov, "Das Problem der Pathogenese der akuten Strahlenkrankheit unter pathophysiologischen Aspect," Med. Radiol., 1,6 (1959).
56. G.E. Gustafson and F.A. Cebul, "The Effect of Surgery on Dogs Following Whole Body X-Irradiation," Surg. Gyn. Obst., 98,49 (1954).

57. Hevesy, G., *Radioaktive Indikatoren*, Moskau (1950) (originally published in English "Radioactive Indicators."
58. B.I. IL'in, *Beitrage zur Frage der klinischen und morphologischen Hautveranderungen, die unter dem Einfluss von schadigenden Roentgendosen aufgetreten sind*, Diss. Leningrad (1956).
59. N.I. Ivanov and V.N. Popov, "Die Heilung von Knochenfracturen bei Entwirkung von Roentgenstrahlen auf entfernte Bezirke," *Arch. Biol. Nauk.*, 10,69 (1940).
60. N.N. Ivanov, *Die Heilung einer Fractur bei Strahlenschaden*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. fur Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.65 (1958).
61. T.F. Ivanova, *Die Behandlung offener Fracturen bei Strahlenkrankheit mit Antibiotika und Blutubertragung*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. fur Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.68 (1958).
62. T.F. Ivanova, *Verlauf und Heilung offener Frakturen der langen Rohrenknochen bei Strahlenkrankheit im Tierexperiment*, Diss. Leningrad (1959).
63. R.K. Jones, "The Biomedical Effects of Combined Injuries," *Probleme des Baulichen Schutzes*, Proceedings: Kolloquium des Fachausschusses I der Schutzkommission beim Bundesministerium des Innern, Weil/Rhein, p. 37 (June 15-16, 1971); Ernst-Mach-Instituts, Aussenstelle Weil, Germany (November 6, 1971).
64. R.K. Jones, T.L. Chiffelle and D.R. Richmond, "A study of the Effects of USA Combined Blast and Radiation Injury in Sheep," *Combined Injuries and Shock* Vol. 1, pp. 57-66, Intermedes Proceedings, Sweden, (1968).
65. V.I. Kazlaeva, *Der Verlauf geschlossener Frakturen der Extremitaten mit ihrer gleichzeitigen Kontusion bei akuter Strahlenkrankheit*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. fur Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau (1958); *Vestn Rentgenol*, 3,27 (1958).
66. P.N. Kieselev, "Einige Untersuchungsergebnisse uber die Wirkung ionisierende Strahlung auf Infection und Immunitat," *Med. Radiol.*, 5,55 (1957).
67. Korcanov et al., *Die reaction des Organismus auf traumatische Einwirkungen in verschiedenen Perioden der akuten Strahlenkrankheit*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. fur Kombin. Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.80 (1958).
68. L. Koslowski and O. Messerschmidt, "The Role of the Time Factor in the Combined Injury Syndrome," *Intermedes Proceedings* (1968); *Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm 80, p.21 (1968).

69. A.Z. Kozdoba and E.D. Dubovyj, "Der Einfluss von Roentgenstrahlen auf die Heilung von Knochenfracturen," *Arb. D. Roentgenol. Instituts Odessa, Kiew*, 3,17 (1938).
70. A.V. Kozlova, *Folgen der Explosionen von Atombomben in Hiroshima und der Wasserstoffbombe auf Bikini*, Moskau (1957).
71. I.L. Krupko, "Behandlung von Knochenfracturen bei Schädigung durch ionisierende Strahlung im Experiment," *Vestn. Chir.*, 6,106 (1957).
72. I.L. Krupko, "Grundprinzipien der Behandlung von Knochenfracturen bei Schädigung durch ionisierende Strahlung," *Ortoped. u. Traumatol.*, 1,3 (1958).
73. A. Lacassagne and G. Gricoureff, *Action des Radiations ionisantes sur l'organisme*, Paris (1956).
74. H. Langendorff and O. Messerschmidt, "Radiation Injuries and Shock," *Intermedes Proceedings (1968): Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm, 80, p.41 (1968).
75. G.D. Ledney et al., "Wound-Induced Alterations in Survival of ⁶⁰Co Irradiated Mice: Importance of Wound Timing," *Experientia*, 41,614 (1985).
76. G.D. Ledney et al., "Skin Wound Enhanced Survival and Myelocytopenesis in Mice after Whole Body Irradiation," *Acta Radiologica, Oncology*, 20,29 (1981).
77. G.D. Ledney et al., "Survival and Hematopoietic Recovery in Mice after Wound Trauma and Whole Body Irradiation," *Experimental Hematology 10 (Supplement 12)*,263 (1982).
78. G.D. Ledney et al., "Survival and Endogenous Spleen Colonies of Irradiated Mice after Skin Wounding and Hydroxyurea Treatment" *Experientia* 38,1228 (1982).
79. G.D. Ledney et al., "Hematopoiesis in Conventional Mice After Wound Trauma," *Germfree Research: Microflora Control and Its Application to the Biomedical Sciences*, Alan R. Liss Inc., p.139 (1985).
80. G.D. Ledney and R. Wilson, "Protection Induced by Bacterial Endotoxin against Whole-Body X-Irradiation in Germfree and Conventional Mice," *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 118,1062 (1965).
81. G.D. Ledney et al., "Hematopoietic Colony-Forming Cells from Mice after Wound Trauma," *Journal of Surgical Research* 38,55 (1985).
82. G.W. LeRoy, "The Medical Sequelae of the Atomic Bomb Explosion," *J.A.M.A.* 134,1143 (1947).

83. J. Lubenskij, "Über durchgehende Bauchschusswunden unter Bedingungen der akuten Strahlenkrankheit," All unionskonf. für Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Voen, -Med. Journ., 7,50 (1958).
84. G.S. Madonna et al., "Trehalose Dimycolate Enhances Resistance to Infection in Neutropenia Animals," Infection and Immunity, 57,2495-2501 (1989).
85. A.I. Mariev, *Die Heilung einer durch verdeckte Naht operierten Weichteilwunde bei Strahlenkrankheit durch aussere Ganzbestrahlung*, Diss. Leningrad (1955); Arb. d. Voenmorsk. Med. akad., Band 55, Leningrad, p.471 (1956).
86. J.M. McKenna and B.W. Zweifach, "Comparative Study of the Physiological Effects of X-Irradiation and Resistance to Traumatic Shock," Radiation Research, 6,126 (1957).
87. O. Messerschmidt, "Tierexperimentelle Untersuchungen zur Frage der Kombinationsschaden," *Strahlenschutz in Forschung und Praxis*, Band 7, Verlag Rombach Freiburg im Breisgau, p.181 (1964).
88. O. Messerschmidt et al., "Pathophysiological Alterations Caused by Combined Injuries," Atomkernenergie (ATKE), 15,74 (1970).
89. O. Messerschmidt et al., "Untersuchungen über Kombinationsschaden," Strahlentherapie, 148,87 (1974).
90. O. Messerschmidt, *Kombinationsschaden as Folge nukleare: Explosionen, Sonderdruck aus Chirurgie der Gegenwart: Volume 4 Unfallchirurgie, Urban und Schwarzenberg, Munich, Berlin, Vienna.*
91. B.N.Mogil'nickij and L.M. Golos, "Der Einfluss von Rontgenstrahlen auf Wundflächen," Vestn. chir. 41,75,114 (1935).
92. K.Z. Morgan, "Maximum Permissible Concentration of Radioisotopes in Food, Water, and Air and Maximum Permissible Equilibrium in the Body," Acta Radiol., 41,30 (1954).
93. A. Morley and F. Stohlman, "Periodicity during Recovery of Erythropoiesis following Irradiation," Blood, 34,96 (1969).
94. A. Morley and F. Stohlman, "Erythropoiesis in the Dog: The Periodic Nature of the Steady State," Science 165,1025 (1969).
95. A.N. Morozov, "Chirurgische Versorgung von Weichteilwunde bei Strahlenkrankheit," Vestn. Rentgenol, 2,86 (1958).
96. G.P. Nazarisvili et al., *Die Bildung von Knochennarben bei Strahlenkrankheit*, Arb. D. I. Transkaukasischen Konf. für med. Radiol., Tbilisi, p.29 (1956).

97. N.P. Negovskij, *Der Einfluss von Roentgenstrahlen auf die Heilung von Knochennarben*, Arb. d. Zentralinstitutes für Roentgenol. und Radiol., Ausgabe 5, Moskau, p.148 (1941).
98. A.A. Nikitin, "Verlauf und Behandlung Kombiniertes Schaden bei Strahlenkrankheit im Experiment," *Ortoped. i Traumatol*, 1,21 (1958).
99. S.A. Nikitin and E.P. Maksimcuk, *Der Roentgenschock beim Kaninchen und seine Kupierung durch Narkose*, Arb. d. Roentgenol--Onkol., Instituts Odessa, Ausgabe 1, p.72 (1933).
100. R.L. Noble and J.B. Collip, "A Quantitative Method for the Production of Experimental Traumatic Shock without Hemorrhage in Unanesthetized Animals," *Quart. J. Exptl. Physiol.*, 31,187 (1942).
101. A.W. Outerson and S. Warren, *Medical Effects of the Atomic Bomb in Japan*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc. (1956).
102. H. Parish and D. Carmon, *Antisera, Toxoids, Vaccines and Tuberculin in Prophylaxis and Treatment*, E. S. Livingstone Ltd., London (1962).
103. M.N. Pavlova, *Reaktionsfähigkeit des Knochengewebes bei Bestrahlung*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. ub. Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, p.71 (1958).
104. H.E. Pearse and J.T. Paine, "Mechanical and Thermal Injury from the Atomic Bomb," *New England J. Med.*, 241,647 (1949).
105. R.V. Petrov, "Die Empfindlichkeit bestrahlter Tiere gegen pathogene Anaerobier und die Wirksamkeit der Serumprophylaxe gegen anaerobe Infektionen bei Strahlenschaden," *Med. Radiol.*, 2,60 (1957).
106. I.A. Pigalev, *Klinik der Schaden durch radioactive Stoffe im Buch: Biologische Wirkung der Strahlung und Klinik der Strahlenkrankheit. Unter der Red. von A. Ignatev*, Moskau, p.76 (1954).
107. L. Pillemer, "The Properdin System," *Trans. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 17,526 (1955).
108. R. Pillsbury and C. Artzt, "Necessary Compromises in Therapy of Burns Sustained in Nuclear Warfare," *J.A.M.A.*, 162,956 (1956).
109. A.L. Poljakov, "Die Wirkung von Roentgenstrahlen auf die Wundheilung," *Vestn. rentgenol.*, 22,268 (1940).
110. V.A. Poljakov, "Mikroroentgenographic von Knochennarben nach Fracturen und Einwirkung ionisierender Strahlung," *Chirur.*, 5,48 (1959).

111. V.N. Praveckij, *Der Einfluss von Blutverlust auf den Tierorganismus, der durchdringender Strahlung ausgesetzt war*, Arb. d. Allunionskonf. für med. Radiol.; Klinik und Heilung der Strahlenkrankheit, Moskau, p.123 (1957).
112. M. Radakovich et al., "Effect of Total Body Irradiation on Wound Closure," *Ann. Surg.*, 139,186 (1954).
113. A. Raventos, "Wound Healing and Mortality after Total Body Exposure to Ionizing Radiation," *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. Med.*, 87,165 (1954).
114. M.L. Reynolds and F.X. Lynch, "Atombomb Injuries among Survivors in Hiroshima," *Publ. Health Rep.*, 70,261 (1955).
115. D.R. Richmond et al., "The Effects of Blast and Ionizing Radiation in Rats," *Intermedes Proceedings (1968): Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm, 80, p.67 (1968).
116. J.D. Ried and J.W. Brooks, "The Influence of X-Radiation on Mortality Following Thermal Flash Burns," *Ann. Surg.*, 142,844 (1955).
117. D.W. Robinson, "The Hazards of Surgery in Irradiated Tissue," *Arch. Surg.*, 71,410 (1955).
118. A.S. Rovnov, "Besonderheiten des Verlaufs und der Therapie von Wunden bei Kombinierten Schaden," *Voен.-Med. Journ.*, 3,7 (1955).
119. A.S. Rovnov, "Besonderheiten des Verlaufs von thermischen Verbrennungen bei Strahlenkrankheit," *Chirurgija*, 4,88 (1956).
120. P. Rubin and G.W. Casarett, *Clinical Radiation Pathology*, Volume I, W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, London, and Toronto (1968).
121. JA.G. Rubinstejn et al., "Heilung von Frakturen in verschiedenen Stadien der Strahlenkrankheit," *Voен.-med Journ.*, 6,33 (1957).
122. A.T. Rudenko, *Der Verlauf offener Fracturen des Unterkiefers bei Strahlenkrankheit*, Arbeit der VMMA, Band 55, Leningrad, p.477 (1956); *Stomatologija*, 2,31 (1957).
123. O.M. Salazar et al., "Systemic (Half-Body) Radiation Therapy: Response and Toxicity," *Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys.*, 4,937-950 (1978).
124. M.A. Sarkisov, "Besonderheiten des Verlaufs und der Behandlung von Oberschenkelschusswunden bei Schädigung durch ionisierende Strahlung," *Ortop. Traumat. u. protez.*, 10,21 (1959).

125. I.L. Schechmeister and M. Fishman, "The Effect of Ionizing Radiation on Phagocytosis and the Bactericidal Power of the Blood," *J. Exper. Med.*, 101,259 (1955).
126. B. Schildt and L. Thoren, "Experimental and Clinical Aspects of Combined Injuries," *Intermedes Proceedings (1968): Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm 80, p.3 (1968).
127. B.E. Schildt, "Mortality Rate in Quantified Combined Injuries," *Strahlentherapie*, 144,40 (1972).
128. B.E. Schildt and A. Nielson, "Standardized Burns in Mice," *Europ. Surg. Res.*, 2,23 (1970).
129. B.E. Schildt and A. Nielson, "Standardized Mechanical Trauma in Mice," *Europ. Surg. Res.*, 2,181 (1970).
130. B.E. Schildt et al., "Combined Effect of Ionizing Radiation and Bacterial Toxin in Mice," *Intermedes Proceedings (1968), Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm 80, p.75 (1968).
131. W. Schlungbaum, "Die Strahlenkrankheit," *Artzt. Wschr.*, 41,96, (1954).
132. V.K. Selcer, "Uber die Moglichkeiten einer Beschleunigung der Heilung von Fracturen unter dem Einfluss von Roentgenstrahlen," *Vestn. Rontgenol.*, 4,76 (1951).
133. G.E. Sheline et al., "Effects of High Intensity Radiant Energy on Skin," U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory Report USNRDL-346 (1952).
134. R. Shirabe, "Medical Survey of Atomic Bomb Casualties," *Milit. Surg.* 113, 4,251 (1953).
135. B.L. Short et al., In: *Advances in Shock Research*, Vol 6, Eds. W. Schumer, J. J. Spitzer and B. E. Marshall, A. R. Liss Inc. New York, p.27 (1981).
136. C. Sillevaerts, "La Therapeutique des lesions occasionnees par la bombe atomique," *Bruxell. Med.* 11,545 (1952).
137. M.S. Silverman et al., "The Cause of Increased Susceptibility of Mice to Avirulent Pasteurella Pestis after Exposure to a Sublethal Dose of X-Irradiation," *J. Infectious Diseases*, 94,47 (1954).
138. S.S. Sokolov, *Der Einfluss der Strahlenkrankheit auf die Wundheilung bei Versuchstieren*, Diss. Leningrad (1956); *Vestn. Chir.*, 6,42 (1956).

139. A.A. Sosava, *Einige Besonderheiten des Infektionsprozesses bei Strahlenkrankheit*, Autoref. Diss. Moskau (1956).
140. Spittler et al., "Whole Body Irradiation on the Healing of Fresh Fractures," *Arch. Surg.*, 68,93 (1954).
141. E.V. Stachovskij and O.S. Misarev, *Eine Charakteristik der Mikroflora bei Verbrennung und Strahlenkrankheit*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. für Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.58 (1958).
142. V.I. Stecula, *Morphologische Besonderheiten der Regeneration des geschädigten Knochens unter der Einwirkung ionisierender Strahlung*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. zu Fragen Kombiniertes Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.76 (1958).
143. L.K. Steel and G.N. Catravas, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, 42,517 (1982).
144. W. Stephenson and B. Cohen, "Postirradiation Fractures of the Neck of the Femur," *J. Bone Surg.*, 38,830 (1956).
145. L.R. Stromberg et al., "Combined Surgical and Radiation Injury, I. Effect of Timing on Wounding and Whole Body Gamma Irradiation on 30-Day Mortality and rate of Wound Contracture in the Rodent," *Intermedes Proceedings (1968): Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm 80, p.27 (1968).
146. L.R. Stromberg et al., "Combined Surgical and Radiation Injury, II. Effect of Bone Marrow Shielding on Wound Healing in X-Irradiated Rats," *Intermedes Proceedings (1968): Combined Injuries and Shock*, Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm 80, p.35 (1968).
147. L.R. Stromberg et al., "Combined Surgical and Radiation Injury, III. The Effect of Preradiation Radioprotective Drug Treatment," *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.*, 129,140 (1968).
148. B.N. Tarusov, *Grundlagen der biologischen Wirkung radioactiver Bestrahlungen*, Moskau (1955).
149. K.McD. Tayler et al., "Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor," *Experimental Hematology Today*, (Editors S.J. Baum et al.), Springer-Verlag New York Inc. (1989)
150. O.Terescenko, *Zur Frage des Einflusses des Gehirutraumas auf den Verlauf der Strahlenkrankheit*, Autoref., Diss. Moskau (1958).
151. I.JA. Tichonin et al., "Besonderheiten im Verlauf der Strahlenkrankheit, die durch operativen Eingriff an Bauchorganen bei Morphinathernarkose Kompliziert ist," *Vestn. Rentgenol.* 1,27 (1956).

152. S.S. Tracenko, "Der Verlauf von Frakturen bei mittleren Stadien von Strahlenkrankheit," *Ortoped. und Travmatol.*, I,24 (1958).
153. V.A. Troickij and M.A. Tumanjan, *Der Einfluss ionisierender Strahlung auf die Immunitat*, Moskau (1958).
154. K.R. Trott and O. Messerschmidt, "Untersuchungen über Kombinationsschaden. Veränderung der Strahlenempfindlichkeit der Knochenmarkszellen von Mäusen, die durch Ganzkörperbestrahlungen in Kombination mit offenen Hautwunden belastet wurden," *Strahlentherapie* 148,381 (1974).
155. M. Tupiana and C. Paoletti, "Le traumatism atomique," *Rev. Practic.* 4,395 (1954).
156. C.L. Turbyfill et al., "Cardiovascular Response of Beagles to a Supralethal Dose of Mixed Gamma-Neutron Radiation," *Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute SR70-4* (May 1970).
157. C.L. Turbyfill et al., "Behavior and Physiology of the Monkey (*Macaca Mulatta*) Following 2500 rads of Pulsed Mixed Gamma Neutron Radiation," *Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, SR71-10* (August 1971).
158. B.N. Turusov, *Grundlagen der biologischen Wirkung radioactiver Bestrahlungen*, Moskau (1955).
159. F.A. Valeriote and D.G. Baker, "The Combined Effects of Thermal Trauma and X-Irradiation on Early Mortality," *Radiation Research* 22,693 (1964).
160. M.E. Vasilenko, *Kombinierte Einwirkung von Schusswunden und durchdringender Strahlung auf die Blutbildung*, Wissenschaft, Allunionskonf. für Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.23 (1958).
161. R.I. Walker and M. Porvaznik, "Association of Leukopenia and Intestinal Permeability with Radiation-Induced Sensitivity to Endotoxin," *Life Sci.*, 23,2315 (1978).
162. R.I. Walker et al., *J. Trauma*, 23,225 (1983).
163. B.S. Wang et al., *Clinical Immun. Immunopath*, 24,161 (1982).
164. C.S. White et al., "The Biodynamics of Air Blast," *AGRD Conference Proceedings No. 88, Linear Acceleration of Impact Type*, pp. 14-1 to 14-21. Also in *AGARD-CP-88-71, Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development, North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO)*, 7 Rue Ancelle, 92 Neuilly-Sur-Sein, France (December 1971).

165. E.G. Williams and S.C. Ingraham, "Biological-Medical Considerations in Atomic Defense," Public Health Report, 71,173 (1956).
166. D.R. Wolfe et al., "Combined Injury from Nuclear Weapons Effects," Joint DNA-USANCA Study, (1 January 1979).
167. K.K. Zajceva, "Über die morphologischen Besonderheiten des Anwachsens freier Hauttransplantate bei akuter Strahlenkrankheit," Vestn. Chir. 3,97 (1960).
168. A.G. Zamljanov, *Heilung geschlossener Fracturen der langen Röhrenknochen bei Strahlenkrankheit an Versuchstieren*, Diss. Leningrad (1956); Med. Radiol., 5,72 (1956).
169. Zgenti et al., *Der Einfluss kombinierter Schaden durch Wirkung von Druckwelle und Roentgenstrahlen auf Tiere*, Wiss. Allunionskonf. für Kombinierte Strahlenschaden, Moskau, p.3 (1958).
170. G.A. Zubovskij, "Besonderheiten im Klinischen Verlauf des Verbrennungsschocks bei Bestrahlung des Organismus mit durchdringender Strahlung," Vestn. Rentgenol. i. Radiolog., 2,84 (1958).
171. B.W. Zweifach, "The Contribution of the Reticulo-Endothelial System to the Development of Tolerance to Experimental Shock," Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 88,203 (1960).

APPENDIX A

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ADDITIVE EFFECT. The summation of the effects of two or more drugs or treatments which produce the same kind of effect when administered in combination.

ANAEROBIC. Growing or living in the absence of molecular oxygen.

ANTAGONISM. Opposing action of a drug or treatment against another one usually resulting in a decreased effect.

BACTEREMIA. The presence of bacteria in the blood.

COMBINED INJURY. A complex injury caused by exposure to two or more forms of energy or traumata of various kinds. For military purposes radiation is considered one of the traumata and simultaneous exposure is usually assumed.

DYSTROPHY. Any disorder arising from defective or faulty nutrition.

EMBOLISM. The sudden blocking of an artery by a clot or foreign material which has been brought to its site by the blood current.

ENDOTOXIN. A heat-stable toxin present in the bacterial cell but not in cell force filtrates of cultures of intact bacteria. They are found primarily in gram-negative organisms.

FERRITIN. Composed of apoferritin and ferric oxide. This is one of the chief forms in which iron is stored in the body.

GM-CSF. Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor.

GRANULOCYTES. White blood cells containing neutrophil, basophil or eosinophil granules in the cytoplasm.

GRAY (Gy). Unit of radiation absorbed dose for any radiation type and in any material. $1 \text{ Gy} = 1\text{J/Kg} = 100 \text{ rad}$.

HEMATOPOIESIS. Process of formation of blood cells.

HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM. The tissues concerned in production of the blood, including bone marrow and lymphatic tissue.

HEMORRHAGE. The escape of blood from the vessels; bleeding.

HUMOR. A fluid or semifluid substance used in anatomical nomenclature to designate certain fluid materials in the body.

HUMORAL. Pertaining to the humors of the body.

HUMORAL FACTOR. A chemical substance which leaves the cell that produced it and can act at a distance, travelling to the target cells via the blood.

HYPOTONIC. Denotes a solution which, when bathing a cell, causes a net flow of water across the semipermeable cell membrane into the cell.

HYPOVOLEMIC. Abnormally decreased volume of circulating fluid or plasma in the body.

KVP. Kilo Volt peak; kilovolt is a unit of electromotive force equal to 1000 volts.

LEUKOCYTOSIS. A transient increase in the number of leukocytes in the blood.

LEUKOPENIA. Reduction of the number of leukocytes in the blood.

LD 20/3. Lethal dose of 20 percent of an irradiated population group by the 3rd day post-irradiation.

LYMPHOCYTE. A mononuclear leukocyte 7 μ to 20 μ in diameter. It is chiefly a product of lymphoid tissue and participates in humoral and cell-mediated immunity.

MACROPHAGE. Highly phagocytic cells derived from monocytes, with a small, oval, sometimes indented nucleus.

NADIR. The lowest point on a curve.

NECROTIC TISSUE. Death tissue.

NOBLE-COLLIP DRUM. A drum which rotates at 40 rpm. Small animals are placed into the drum for approximately 650 turns. Animals are carried upwards with each revolution and then fall, thereby receiving widespread body trauma.

NONHEMOLYTIC. Not caused by hemolysis, which refers to the separation of hemoglobin from red cells and its appearance in the plasma.

PHAGOCYtic ACTIVITY. Refers to the activity of cells which have the capability to ingest microorganisms or other cells and foreign particles. Such cells are called phagocytes.

PHAGOCYTE. Cells that ingest microorganism or other cells and foreign particles (i.e. neutrophilic leukocytes).

PHLEBOTOMY. Incision of a vein, as for letting of blood.

PRODROMAL. Indicating the onset of disease or morbid state.

PROPERDINS. A relatively heat-labile, normal serum protein that, in the presence of complement component C3 and magnesium ions, acts nonspecifically against gram-negative bacteria and viruses.

PYOGENIC INFECTION. An infection caused by pus-producing organisms.

ROENTGEN (R). A unit of exposure dose in air that applies only to X- and gamma rays. When air at STP is exposed to 1.0R of radiation, 2.08×10^9 ion pairs are created per cm^3 .

RAD. Unit of radiation absorbed dose for any radiation type and in any material. $1 \text{ rad} = 100 \text{ ergs/g} = 0.01 \text{ Gy}$

RADIOSENSITIVE. The sensitivity of cells and tissues to ionizing radiation is in direct proportion to their reproductive activity and inversely proportional to their degree of differentiation.

RETICULOENDOTHELIAL. Pertaining to tissues having both reticular and endothelial attributes.

SEPTICEMIA. Systemic disease associated with the presence and persistence of pathogenic microorganisms or their toxins in the blood.

SYNERGISM. Cooperative action of discrete agents such that the total effect is greater than the sum of the effects taken independently.

TREHALOSE DIMYCOLATE (TDM). An immunomodulator derived from the cell wall of *Microbacterium phlei*.

TRAUMA (pl. TRAUMATA). A physical or psychic wound or injury.

APPENDIX B

DATA LISTING

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30.

Burn Stress Before Radiation

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	RADIATION		STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY		SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC			
			250KvP Xray (R)	700	OTHER	Imin IR Burn %			PERCENT MORT	CAUSE			TYPE	EFFECT % REDUCT		
Baker & Valeriote	8(1966)	Albino M/Rat	250KvP Xray (R)	700		16	Days -4		22	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	700		16	-4	*10	90	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-4		100	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-3		100	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-3		100	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-3		100	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-1		12	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-1		62	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-1		80	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-25		0	None	N/A		None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-25		50	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	None	N/A			
		M/Rat	700		16	-25		100	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	None	N/A			
		Schildt	127(1972)	CBA M/Mouse	260KvP Xray	Rs			Days -2	20	0	None	N/A	N/A	None	N/A
				M/Mouse	Rs		B10	-4		20	10	Shock	0-5	Burn	None	N/A
M/Mouse	Rs				B10	-6		20	5	Shock	0-5	Burn	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	Rs				B10	-8		20	5	Shock	0-5	Burn	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	Rs				B10	-12		20	0	None	N/A	N/A	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	R10				Bs	-1		20	25	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	R10				Bs	-3		20	20	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	R10				Bs	-5		20	65	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	R10				Bs	-8		20	45	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A		
M/Mouse	R10				Bs	-12		20	40	BM/Infect	0-12	Syner	None	N/A		

*Group of 10 rats observed at 3 times post irradiation

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

Concurrent Exposure to Radiation and Burn

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY PERCENT MORT	CAUSE	SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC EFFECT	
			RADIATION	OTHER							TYPE	% REDUCT
Alpen & Sheline	5(1954)	S-D	250kVp	Scald	Days	9	11	Shock	0-2		None	N/A
		F/Rat	Xray (R)	Burn	0	28	43	Shock	0-2		None	N/A
		F/Rat	0	33%	0	2	100	Shock	0-2		None	N/A
		F/Rat	0	37%	0	28	7	BM/Infect	3-30		None	N/A
		F/Rat	100	24%	0	3	0	None	0-2	Antag	None	N/A
		F/Rat	100	33%	0	11	54	Shock	0-2	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	100	35%	0	10	70	Shock	0-2	Burn	None	N/A
		F/Rat	100	33%	0	10	10	BM/Infect	3-30	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	250	24%	0	7	43	Shock	0-2	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	250	33%	0	14	65	Shock	0-2	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	250	35%	0	13	92	Shock	0-2	Burn	None	N/A
		F/Rat	250	24%	0	3	33	BM/Infect	3-30	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	250	33%	0	7	86	BM/Infect	3-30	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	500	24%	0	16	40	Shock	0-2	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	500	27%	0	7	70	Shock	0-2	Syner	None	N/A
		F/Rat	500	9%	0	28	50	BM/Infect	3-30	Syner	None	N/A
F/Rat	500	16%	0	22	73	BM/Infect	3-30	Syner	None	N/A		
F/Rat	500	26%	0	1	100	BM/Infect	3-30	Syner	None	N/A		
Valeriote & Baker	159(1964)	Albino M/Rat	250kVp	1min IR	Days	10 to 26	0	None	N/A		None	N/A
		M/Rat	Xray (R)	Burn %	0	10 to 26	4	GI/Shock	0-5		None	N/A
		M/Rat	650	0	0	10 to 26	0	None	N/A		None	N/A
		M/Rat	700	0	0	10 to 26	7	GI/Shock	0-5		None	N/A
		M/Rat	750	0	0	10 to 26	4	BM/Infect	6-8		None	N/A
		M/Rat	550	0	0	10 to 26	6	BM/Infect	6-8		None	N/A
		M/Rat	650	0	0	10 to 26	6	BM/Infect	6-8		None	N/A
		M/Rat	700	0	0	10 to 26	55	BM/Infect	6-8		None	N/A
		M/Rat	750	0	0	10 to 26	20	BM/Infect	9-30		None	N/A
		M/Rat	550	0	0	10 to 26					None	N/A

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF (YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		MORTALITY	SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC								
			RADIATION	OTHER				TYPE	EFFECT % REDUCT							
Valeriotte & Baker (cont.)	159(1964)	Albino	250kVp	1min IR	NUMBER TESTED	CAUSE	SYNER	None	N/A							
		M/Rat	Xray (R)	Burn %												
		M/Rat	650	0						10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	700	0						10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	750	0						10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	550	16						10 to 26	0	N/A	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	650	16						10 to 26	0	N/A	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	700	16						10 to 26	15	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	750	16						10 to 26	20	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	550	16						10 to 26	20	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	650	16						10 to 26	16	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	700	16						10 to 26	55	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	750	16						10 to 26	60	BM/Infect	6-8	Additive	N/A	
		M/Rat	550	16						10 to 26	50	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	650	16						10 to 26	40	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	700	16						10 to 26	85	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	750	16						10 to 26	90	BM/Infect	9-30	Additive	N/A	
		1.5 min IR														
		M/Rat	550	16						0	10 to 26	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	N/A	
		M/Rat	650	16						0	10 to 26	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	N/A	
M/Rat	700	16	0	10 to 26	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	750	16	0	10 to 26	GI/Shock	0-5	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	550	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	650	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	700	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	750	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	550	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	650	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	700	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A								
M/Rat	750	16	0	10 to 26	BM/Infect	9-30	Syner	N/A								

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	RADIATION		STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)		NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY		SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC EFFECT			
			250KvP Xray (R)	700	OTHER	1min IR Burn %	Days	Days		CAUSE	PERCENT MORT			TYPE	% REDUCT		
Baker & Valeriotte	8(1966)	Albino M/Rat	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	None	0-5	None	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	18	BM/Infect	6-8	None	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	40	BM/Infect	9-21	None	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	40	BM/Infect	22-30	None	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	16	16	0	0	0	0	10	17	BM/Infect	0-5	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	16	16	0	0	0	0	10	58	BM/Infect	6-8	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	16	16	0	0	0	0	10	90	BM/Infect	9-21	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Rat	16	16	0	0	0	0	10	90	BM/Infect	22-30	Syner	None	N/A		
Schildt	127(1972)	CBA M/Mouse	0	0	Scald	Days	0	0	20	0	None	N/A	None	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	0	0	Bs	0	0	0	20	5	Shock	0-3	None	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	Rs	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	None	N/A	None	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	R10	0	0	0	0	0	20	15	BM/Infect	4-12	None	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	Rs	B10	0	0	0	0	20	20	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	Rs	B10	0	0	0	0	20	20	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	R10	B10	0	0	0	0	20	95	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	Rs	Bs	0	0	0	0	20	15	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A		
		M/Mouse	R10	Bs	0	0	0	0	20	45	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A		
		Brooks	26(1952)	Mongrel Dog	0	0	Contact	Days	0	0	40	12	Infect	5-14	None	None	N/A
				Dog	100	0	Burn %	0	0	0	10	0	N/A	N/A	None	None	N/A
				Dog	25	20	0	0	0	0	25	20	BM/Infect	5-14	Syner	None	N/A
Dog	100			20	0	0	0	0	40	73	BM/Infect	8-14	Syner	None	N/A		
Dog	100			20	0	0	0	0	28	14	BM/Infect	8-14	Antag	Penici	Reduce 60%		
Baxter	13(1953)	Yorkshire Pig	0	0	Flash	Days	0	0	10	0	N/A	N/A	None	None	N/A		
		Pig	400	0	Burn %	0	0	0	10	20	Infect	6-30	None	None	N/A		
		Pig	400	10-15	0	0	0	0	10	90	Infect	6-30	Syner	None	N/A		
		Pig	400	10-15	0	0	0	0	10	20	Infect	6-30	Antag	Streptomycy	Reduce 70%		

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF (YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY		SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC	
			RADIATION	OTHER			PERCENT MORT	CAUSE			TYPE	EFFECT % REDUCT
Loslowski & Messerschmidt	68(1968)	M/Mouse	250KvP	Skin	Days	>100	5	BM/Infect	0-30	Antag	None	N/A
			Xray (R)	Wounds	-8							
			510	LD6								
M/Mouse	510	M/Mouse	510	LD6	-4	>100	26	BM/Infect	0-30	Rad	None	N/A
			510	LD6	-2	>100	40	BM/Infect	0-30	Additive	None	N/A
Sromberg	145(1968)	W.R. M/Rat	60Co (R)	Wounds	Days	18	44	BM/Infect	0-30	Rad	None	N/A
			875	Wounds	-4							
			875	Wounds	-1							
Ledney	75(1985)	BCBF1 F/Mouse	60Co (Gy)	Wound	Days	16	100	BM/Infect	0-30	Rad	None	N/A
			9	Wound	-7							
			9	Wound	-6							
			9	Wound	-5							
			9	Wound	-4							
			9	Wound	-3							
			9	Wound	-2							
			9	Wound	-1							
			9	Wound								
			9	Wound								
Sch Iadt	127(1972)	CBA M/Mouse	260KvP	Mech	Days	20	15	BM/Infect	0-30	Syner	None	N/A
			Xray	Drum	-1							
			R10	Ms	-3							
			R10	Ms	-5							
			R10	Ms	-8							
			R10	Ms	-12							
			Rs	M10	-1							
			Rs	M10	-3							
			Rs	M10	-5							
			Rs	M10	-8							
Rs	M10	-12										

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

Concurrent Exposure to Blast/Mechanical Injury and Radiation

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY PERCENT MORT	CAUSE	SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC	
			RADIATION	OTHER							TYPE	EFFECT % REDUCT
Richmond	115(1968)	S-D	250KvP Xray(Gy)	Blast	Days	40	5	Shock	0-1	None	N/A	
		U/Rat	0	psi	N/A	80	46	Infect	6-30	None	N/A	
		U/Rat	6.0	0	N/A	80	52	Shock	0-1 8%	Additive	None	N/A
		U/Rat	6.0	26	0		Infect	2-30 44%				
Koslow & Messersmith	68(1968)	U/Mouse	250 Kvp Xray (R)	Wound	Days	>100	26	Infect	0-30	None	N/A	
		U/Mouse	0	0	N/A	>100	10	Shock	0-5	None	N/A	
		U/Mouse	510	LD10	- .05	>100	42	Shock	0-5	Additive	None	N/A
		U/Mouse	510	LD10	+ .05	>100	60	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A
Stromberg	145(1968)	W.R. M/Rat	60Co (R)	Skin Wound	Days	18	44	BM/Infect	0-30	Rad	N/A	
		M/Rat	875	0	N/A	18	0	None	N/A	None	N/A	
		M/Rat	0	LS	N/A							
Ledney	79(1985)	F/Mouse	60Co (Gy)	Wound	Days	16	5	BM/Infect	0-30	Antag	N/A	
		F/Mouse	9	Wound	0	16	60	BM/Infect	0-30	Antag	N/A	
Schildt	127(1972)	CBA M/Mouse	260KvP Xray	Mech Drum	Days	20	0	None	N/A	None	N/A	
		M/Mouse	R10	0	N/A	20	5	BM/Infect	0-30	None	N/A	
		M/Mouse	0	Ms	N/A	20	0	None	N/A	None	N/A	
		M/Mouse	0	M10	N/A	20	15	Shock	0-3	None	N/A	
		M/Mouse	Rs	M10	0	20	20	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A
		M/Mouse	R10	Ms	0	20	10	Shock	0-3	Additive	None	N/A
		M/Mouse	Rs	Ms	0	20	0	None	N/A	None	None	N/A
M/Mouse	R10	M10	0	20	25	Shock	0-3	Syner	None	N/A		

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY		SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC	
			RADIATION	OTHER			PERCENT MORT	CAUSE			TYPE	EFFECT % REDUCT
Jones	63(1971)	U/Sheep	N-gamma	Blast	Days	8	0	N/A	N/A	None	None	N/A
			Rad	psi	N/A							
			422	0	0							
			U/Sheep	0	0							
McKenna & Zweifach	86(1957)	U/Rat	200KvP	Mech	Days	10	55	Shock	0-5	Drum	None	N/A
			Xray (R)	Drum	N/A							
			0	LD55	0							
			U/Rat	350	0							
Jones	63(1971)	U/Sheep	N-gamma	Blast	Days	8	25	BM/Infect	9-60	Additive	None	N/A
			Rad	psi	7							
			422	133	8							
			U/Sheep	434	14							
Richmond	115(1968)	U/Rat	250KvP	Blast	Days	79	82	Shock	0-1	20% Syner	None	N/A
			Xray(Gy)	psi	7							
			6.0	26	Infect							
			U/Rat	407	21							
Koslowski & Messerschmitt	68(1968)	U/Mouse	250KvP	Skin	Days	>100	75	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A
			Xray (R)	Wound	2							
			510	LD10	4							
			U/Mouse	510	4							

Blast or Mechanical Injury After Irradiation

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY PERCENT MORT	CAUSE	SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC TYPE	EFFECT % REDUCT																									
			RADIATION	OTHER																																	
Stromberg & Messerschmidt	145(1968)	W.R. Rats M/Rat M/Rat	250KvP Xray (R) 875	Wound	Days 1 4	18 18	44 78	BM/Infect BM/Infect	0-30 0-30	Rad Syner	None None	N/A N/A																									
													Ledney	75(1985)	F/Mouse F/Mouse	60Co (Gy) 9 9	Wound Wound	Days 1 2	16 16	80 100	0-30 0-30	Antag Rad	None None	N/A N/A													
																									Schildt	127(1972)	CBA M/Mouse	260KvP Xray Rs	Mech Drum M10	Days 2	20	15	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A
M/Mouse	M10	8	20	20	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																												
M/Mouse	M10	12	20	10	0-3	Shock	Additive	0-3	Shock	Antag	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	20	20	5	0-3	Shock	Antag	0-3	Shock	Additive	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	30	20	10	0-3	Shock	Syner	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	4	20	30	0-3	Shock	Syner	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	8	20	75	0-3	Shock	Syner	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	8	20	95	0-3	Shock	Syner	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	12	20	90	0-3	Shock	Syner	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	20	20	70	0-3	Shock	Syner	0-3	Shock	Syner	None	N/A																									
M/Mouse	M10	30	20	10	0-3	Shock	Drum	0-3	Shock	Drum	None	N/A																									
McKenna & Zweifach	86(1957)	Carworth U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat U/Rat	200KvP Xray (R) 550	Mech Drum LD55	Days 4 7 14 26 21 30 7 14 21 21 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	90 86 75 75 35 40 75 25 33 43	Shock Shock Shock Shock BM/Infect BM/Infect Shock BM/Infect BM/Infect BM/Infect BM/Infect	0-5 0-5 0-5 0-5 0-30 0-30 0-5 0-30 0-30 0-30 0-30	Syner Syner Syner Syner Antag Antag Syner Antag Antag Antag Antag	None None None None None None None None None None None	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A																									

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		RADIATION	OTHER	PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY PERCENT MORT	CAUSE	SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC EFFECT		
			RADIATION	OTHER									TYPE	% REDUCT	
McKenna & Zweifach (cont.)	86(1957)	Carworth U/Rat	200KvP	Xray (R)	Drum	Days	2	10	78	Shock	0-5	Syner	None	N/A	
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55
															LD55

Infection and Ionizing Radiation

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		RADIATION	OTHER	PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY PERCENT MORT	CAUSE	SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC EFFECT		
			RADIATION	OTHER									TYPE	% REDUCT	
Schildt	130(1968)	CBA M/Mouse	260KvP	Xray	Toxin	Days	-3	*	15	Toxin	0-30	Antag	None	N/A	
															R50
															R50
															R50
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10
															R10

* Total = 1700 mice

Table 5. Summary of animal data used in figures 1 to 30. (continued)

AUTHORS	REF(YR)	ANIMAL SEX/TYPE	STRESS		PRE(-) POST(+)	NUMBER TESTED	MORTALITY		SURVIVAL DAYS	COMBINED INJURY EFFECT	ANTIBIOTIC	
			RADIATION	OTHER			PERCENT MORT	TYPE			EFFECT % REDUCT	
Alexandrov	3(1962)	U/Rabbit	170KvP Xray (R)	0	Days 0	50	52	Infect	N/A	Rad	None	N/A
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	0	32	67	Infect	N/A	Rad	None	N/A
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	0	12	58	Infect	N/A	Rad	Bio(I)	None
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	0	13	8	Infect	N/A	Rad	S(IM+I)	44
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	0	12	50	Infect	N/A	Rad	P(I)	None
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	0	12	8	Infect	N/A	Rad	P(IM)	44
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	42	88	Infect	N/A	Syner	None	N/A
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	50	100	Infect	N/A	Syner	Bio(I)	None
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	16	31	Infect	N/A	Rad	Bio(L)	57
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	30	80	Infect	N/A	Rad	S(L)	None
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	21	33	Infect	N/A	Rad	S(IM)	55
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	35	34	Infect	N/A	Rad	S(IM+L)	54
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	31	39	Infect	N/A	Rad	S(IM+I)	49
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	37	67	Infect	N/A	Rad	P(Inj)L	21
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	58	23	Infect	N/A	Rad	P(IM)	65
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	1:2	30	Infect	N/A	Rad	P(L+IM)	58
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	50	40	Infect	N/A	Rad	P+S	47
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	N/A	4	25	Infect	N/A	Rad	None	N/A
		U/Rabbit	0	Wound	N/A	6	17	Infect	N/A	Syner	Bic(IM)	8
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	11	64	Infect	N/A	Syner	None	N/A
		U/Rabbit	600	Wound	0	27	37	Infect	N/A	Rad	Bic(IM)	27

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DNA-TR-90-211

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ARMED FORCES RADIOBIOLOGY RSCH INST
ATTN: DEPT OF RADIATION BIOCHEMISTRY
ATTN: BHS
ATTN: DIRECTOR
ATTN: EXH
ATTN: MRA
ATTN: PHY
ATTN: RSD
ATTN: SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR
ATTN: TECHNICAL LIBRARY

ASSISTANT SEC OF DEF (C3I)
ATTN: DIR S&TNFC3

ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
ATTN: EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT
ATTN: MIL APPL
ATTN: MIL APPL C FIELD

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
ATTN: DB
5 CYS ATTN: DB-4 RSCH RESOURCES DIV
ATTN: DB-5C
ATTN: DB-6B
ATTN: DIA/VPA-2
ATTN: DN
ATTN: DT
ATTN: OFFICE OF SECURITY
ATTN: OS
ATTN: RTS-2B

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE COLLEGE
ATTN: DIC/RTS-2
ATTN: DIC/2C

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
ATTN: COMMAND SECURITY OFC

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
ATTN: DFRA
ATTN: NANF
ATTN: NASF
ATTN: OPNA
ATTN: OPNS
10 CYS ATTN: RARP
2 CYS ATTN: TITL

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
ATTN: TDNM
2 CYS ATTN: TDTT W SUMMA

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER
2 CYS ATTN: DTIC/FDAB

FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
ATTN: FCPR
3 CYS ATTN: FCPRT
ATTN: NUC SECURITY

INTELLIGENCE CENTER, PACIFIC
ATTN: COMIPAC

INTERSERVICE NUCLEAR WEAPONS SCHOOL
ATTN: TTV
2 CYS ATTN: TTV 3416TH TTSQ

JOINT DATA SYSTEM SUPPORT CTR
ATTN: C-332
ATTN: JNSV

NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY
ATTN: ICAF
ATTN: NWCLB-CR
ATTN: LIBRARY
ATTN: STRAT CONCEPTS DIV CTR

NET ASSESSMENT
ATTN: DOCUMENT CONTROL

PROGRAM ANALYSIS & EVALUATION
ATTN: NAVAL FORCES
ATTN: STRATEGIC PROGRAMS & TNF

STRATEGIC AND THEATER NUCLEAR FORCES
ATTN: DR E SEVIN
ATTN: DR SCHNEITER

THE JOINT STAFF
ATTN: JKC ATTN DNA REP
ATTN: JLT
ATTN: JP
ATTN: JPEP

THE JOINT STAFF
ATTN: ED30 J-3 STRATEGIC OPS DIV
ATTN: J-3 SPECIAL OPERATIONS
ATTN: J-8
ATTN: JAD/SFD
ATTN: JSOA

U S EUROPEAN COMMAND/ECJ-6-DT
ATTN: ECJ-6

U S EUROPEAN COMMAND/ECJ2-T
ATTN: ECJ2-T TGTS DIV

U S EUROPEAN COMMAND/ECJ3-CCD
ATTN: ECJ-3

U S EUROPEAN COMMAND/ECJ4-LW
ATTN: ECJ4-LW

U S EUROPEAN COMMAND/ECJ5-N
ATTN: ECJ5-N NUC BRANCH

U S EUROPEAN COMMAND/ECJ7/LW
ATTN: ECJ-7 LW

UNDER SEC OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY
ATTN: DUSP/P
ATTN: USD/P

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

DEPT CH OF STAFF FOR OPS & PLANS
ATTN: DAMO-FDQ
ATTN: DAMO-SWN
ATTN: DAMO-ZXA

HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES
ATTN: SLCIS-IM-TL 81100

JOINT SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND
ATTN: J-2
ATTN: J-5

U S ARMY AIR DEFENSE ARTILLERY SCHOOL
ATTN: COMMANDANT

U S ARMY ARMAMENT RSCH DEV & ENGR CTR
ATTN: DRDAR-LCN-F

U S ARMY ARMOR SCHOOL
ATTN: ATSB-CTD
ATTN: TECH LIBRARY

U S ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LAB
ATTN: SLCBR-D
ATTN: SLCBR-DD
ATTN: SLCBR-TB
ATTN: SLCBR-VL-I DR KLOPIC
ATTN: SLCBR-VL-V

U S ARMY COMD & GENERAL STAFF COLLEGE
ATTN: ATZL-SWJ-CA
ATTN: ATZL-SWT-A

U S ARMY CONCEPTS ANALYSIS AGENCY
ATTN: TECHNICAL LIBRARY

U S ARMY FIELD ARTILLERY SCHOOL
ATTN: ATSF-CD

U S ARMY FORCES COMMAND
ATTN: AF-OPTS

U S ARMY INFANTRY CENTER
ATTN: ATSI-CD-CSO

U S ARMY ITAC
ATTN: IAX-Z

U S ARMY LABORATORY COMMAND
ATTN: DIRECTOR
ATTN: DR D HODGE

U S ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND
ATTN: DRCDE-D
ATTN: DRCSS

U S ARMY NUCLEAR & CHEMICAL AGENCY
ATTN: MONA-NU
ATTN: MONA-NU D BASH

U S ARMY WAR COLLEGE
ATTN: LIBRARY
ATTN: STRATEGIC STUDIES INSTITUTE

U S MILITARY ACADEMY
ATTN: BEHAVIORIAL SCI & LEADERSHIP
ATTN: DEPT OF PHYSICS COL J G CAMPBELL
ATTN: SCIENCE RESEARCH LAB

US ARMY MATERIEL SYS ANALYSIS ACTVY
ATTN: DRXSY-DS

USA SURVIVABILITY MANAGMENT OFFICE
ATTN: SLCSM-SE J BRAND

USACACDA
ATTN: ATZL-CAD-N

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE CORPS
ATTN: CODE PPO
ATTN: PSI G/RASP

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER
ATTN: CODE 9642-B

NAVAL PERSONNEL RES & DEV CENTER
ATTN: CODE P302

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
ATTN: CODE 1424 LIBRARY

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: CODE 1240
ATTN: CODE 2627

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND
ATTN: PMS-423
ATTN: SEA-06GN

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER
ATTN: CODE F-31
ATTN: G GOO

NAVAL TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CTR
ATTN: NTIC-DA30

NAVAL WAR COLLEGE
ATTN: CODE E-11 TECH SVC
ATTN: CTR FOR NAV WARFARE STUDIES
ATTN: DOCUMENT CONTROL
ATTN: LIBRARY
ATTN: STRATEGY DEPT

NAVAL WEAPONS EVALUATION FACILITY
ATTN: CLASSIFIED LIBRARY

NUCLEAR WEAPONS TNG GROUP, ATLANTIC
ATTN: CODE 222
ATTN: DOCUMENT CONTROL

NUCLEAR WEAPONS TNG GROUP, PACIFIC
ATTN: CODE 32

OFFICE OF CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
ATTN: NIS-22
ATTN: NOP 06D
2 CYS ATTN: NOP 403
ATTN: NOP 50 AVN PLNS & RQMNTS DEV
ATTN: NOP 60
ATTN: NOP 60D
ATTN: NOP 603
ATTN: NOP 91
ATTN: OP 654 STRAT EVAL & ANAL BR

OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION FORCE
ATTN: COMMANDER

PLANS, POLICY & OPERATIONS
ATTN: CODE-P
ATTN: CODE-POC-30

TACTICAL TRAINING GROUP, PACIFIC
ATTN: COMMANDER

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

ACADEMY LIBRARY DFSELD
ATTN: LIBRARY

AFIS/INT
ATTN: INT

AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
ATTN: AUL-LSE
ATTN: LIBRARY

ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF
2 CYS ATTN: AF/SAMI

ASSISTANT CHIEF OF THE AIR FORCE
RSCH, DEV & LOGISTICS
ATTN: SAF/ALR

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF/XOX
ATTN: AFXOOS

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
ATTN: CCN
ATTN: SDA

PHILLIPS LABORATORY
ATTN: NTCA

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/ADWN
ATTN: ADWN

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/SPD
ATTN: SPD

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/STIC
ATTN: 544 SIW/DI

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/XOXO
ATTN: XOXO

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/XPX
ATTN: XPZ

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/XRFS
ATTN: XRFS

TACTICAL AIR COMMAND/XPSC
ATTN: TAC/DOA

USAF SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE MEDICINE
ATTN: RADIATION SCIENCES DIV

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LAB
ATTN: Z DIVISION LIBRARY

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
ATTN: REPORT LIBRARY
ATTN: T DOWLER

SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES
ATTN: DIV 9013 W LING
ATTN: TECH LIB 3141

OTHER GOVERNMENT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVEST ACADEMY
ATTN: BEHAVIORAL RSCH UNIT
ATTN: LIBRARY

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
ATTN: ASST ASSOC DIR FOR RSCH
ATTN: CIVIL SECURITY DIVISION
ATTN: G ORRELL NP-CP
ATTN: OFC OF CIVIL DEFENSE J F JACOBS

U S DEPARTMENT OF STATE
ATTN: PM/STM

U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ATTN: DIR DIV OF SAFEGUARDS
ATTN: S YANIV

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

KAMAN SCIENCES CORP
ATTN: D MOFFETT
ATTN: DASIAC
ATTN: E CONRAD

KAMAN SCIENCES CORPORATION
ATTN: DASIAC

PACIFIC-SIERRA RESEARCH CORP
2 CYS ATTN: G ANNG
ATTN: H BRODE

PACIFIC-SIERRA RESEARCH CORP
ATTN: D GORMLEY
2 CYS ATTN: G MCCLELLAN

R & D ASSOCIATES
2 CYS ATTN: DOCUMENT CONTROL

DNA-TR-90-211 (DL CONTINUED)

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP
ATTN: DOCUMENT CONTROL
ATTN: E SWICK
ATTN: J MARTIN
ATTN: L HUNT
ATTN: R J BEYSTER

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP
ATTN: B BENNETT
ATTN: DOCUMENT CONTROL
ATTN: J FOSTER
ATTN: J PETERS
ATTN: L GOURE
ATTN: W LAYSON

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP
ATTN: R CRAVER

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP
ATTN: JOHN A SHANNON

SYSTEMS RESEARCH & APPLICATION CORP
ATTN: R STEELE
ATTN: S GREENSTEIN

TECHNICO SOUTHWEST INC
4 CYS ATTN: D R RICHMOND
4 CYS ATTN: E DAMON
4 CYS ATTN: S J BAUM
10 CYS ATTN: FACILITY SECURITY OFFICER