

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT NO. N0014-89-J-1746

R&T Code 413r001

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 9

Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory

Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F. Barbara and Teresa Fonseca

Submitted to

Journal of Physical Chemistry

University of Minnesota Department of Chemistry Minneapolis, MN 55455

May 6, 1991



91 5 14

038

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been appproved for public release and sale, its distribution is unlimited.

This statement chould also appear in Item 10 of the Document Control Data-DD Form 1473. Copies of the form available from cognizant grant of contract administrator.

The set of the set of the set

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

'ر.

٤,

÷

.-.

•

÷.,

1a #Ref SECURTY CASSIGNOM 1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS Unclassified 3 OSTRAUTION XAVAABUTY OF REPORT 2b SCURTY CASSIGNON AUTHORITY 3 OSTRAUTION XAVABUTY OF REPORT 2b SCURTY CASSIGNON Approved for public release distribution unlimited 2b SCURTY CASSIGNON AUTHORITY 3 OSTRAUTION XAVABUTY OF REPORT 2c SCURTY CASSIGNON Seconstruction authority 2c SCURTY CASSIGNON AUTHORITY 3 OSTRAUTION XAVABUTY OF REPORT 2c SCURTY CASSIGNON Seconstruction 2c SCURTY CASSIGNON Seconstruction 2c Sturget and Zim Code 2 NONFORME ORGANIZATION 2c ADDESS (OR) State and Zim Code 7b ADDESS (OR) State and Zim Code 2c The association State and Zim Code 2c The association (# applicable) 0 Struct State and Zim Code 1b OSTHIC Structure Chemistry Program, SOO N. Quincy St. 1a Barbars and Teresa Fors Artington, VA 22217 10 SOURCE OF INVINE 11 THE (Produe Security Cassing And Zim Code) 10 SOURCE OF INVINE 12 The of REPORT 13 SUBACT TERMS (Continue on reverse d' meressa) and Teresa Fors 13 THE OF REPORT 13 SUBACT TERMS (Continue on reverse d' meressa) and Identify by Block number)<	REPORT D	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188		
Unclassified JostRuumov/Availability of Report 25 SECURY CASSIFICATION AUMORITY 1 Distribution unlimited 25 DECASSIFICATION AUMORATION REPORT NUMBERS) 1 Distribution unlimited 25 READ Control of Charactering (frequency) 2 Decassification Report No. 9 26 NAME OF REPORTING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 2 NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 26 NAME OF REPORTING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 2 NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION OF THE NUMBERS (Frequency) 207 Pleasant St. SE (frequency) Name of Number Structure (frequency) (frequency) 207 Pleasant St. SE (frequency) Name of Number Structure (frequency) (frequency) 207 Pleasant St. SE (frequency) Name of Number Structure (frequency) (frequency) 207 Pleasant St. SE (frequency) Nonless Structure (frequency) (frequency) 001 (frequency) (frequency) 001 (frequency) (frequency) 001 (frequency) (frequency) 10 Subject of Function Number (frequency) 11 Int (frequency) (frequency) 12 AND OF REPORT (frequency) 13 The of Report (frequency)	1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
24 SECURITY CLASSFICATION AUT-ORITY 3 DSTRAUTION/AVAILABUTY OF REPORT 25 DECLASSFICATION/DOWARABUNG SCHEDULE Approved for public release distribution unlimited 4 FEFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 5 NAME OF FREPORTING ORGANIZATION Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota 66 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research 61 ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 66 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research 81 ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 0 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 80 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 0 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 0 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 0 OFFICE SYNBOL (ff applicable) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 7b. ADDESS (CR), State, and ZPCOME) 11 Thit (ff applicable); State and ZPCOME (ff applicable); 7b. Edite and ADDESS (CR); 7b. ADDESS (CR), ADDESS (CR); 7c. ADDESS (Unclassified						
bb DECLASSIFICATION FDOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Approved for public release distribution unlimited 4 PERCORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5 MONTORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5 MARE OF PERCORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5 MONTORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 7 Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota 6e OFFICE STANDON (# applicable) 7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION OFFICE OF Naval Research 8 ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 7. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 7. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 207 Pleasant St. SE Minneapolis, MN 55455 8b OFFICE STANDON (# applicable) 9. MOCHAMENT INSTRUMENT DENTIFICATION NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 8. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 9. MOCHAMENT INSTRUMENT DENTIFICATION NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 NO014-89-J-1746 8. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 NO04-89-J-1746 8. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 NO04-89-J-1746 8. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 NO04-89-J-1746 8. ADDESS (Cry, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBER NO04-80-J-1746 11. State mode Security Classificationon NO ACCESSION NO 11. STATE OF REPORT 11. STATE OF REPORT 11. STATE OF REPORT	2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
20 HEFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 9 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Department of Chemistry 6b OFFICE SYNBOL (Mapplicable) 7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research 1011erstity of Minnesota 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 6a ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 6a ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 6a ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20°COR) 7. FORGUMENT OF MUMBER'S Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Artifuedor State, and 20°COR) 9. PROCURE OF FUNDING HUMBER'S Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Artifuedor State ADDRESS(CH), State, and Teresa Fors 1. ADDRESS CH FUNDING HUMBER'S Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Artifuedor State ADDRESS CH FUNDING ADDRESS 1. ADDRESS CH FUNDING ADDRESS The Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Artifuedor Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory 1. ADDRESS CHE FUNDING ADDRESS 11 ADSTREATION 1. Do TIM CORRED 1. ADDRESS CHART ADDRESS 1. ADDRESS CHART ADDRESS	26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDUIS		Approved for public release				
4 PRICEMENTS ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 9 5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Construction of Chemistry (Minnesota (Minnesota (Minnesota)) 6e OFFICE SYMBOL (Minnesota (Minnesota (Minnesota (Minnesota))) 207 Pleasant St. SE 7 b ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20 Coae) 207 Pleasant St. SE 7 b ADDRESS(CH), State, and 20 Coae) 207 Pleasant St. SE 8b OFFICE SYMBOL (Minnesota (Minne	20. DECEMBINICATION / DOWINGRADING SCHEDULE		distribution unlimited				
Technical Report No. 9 Gs NAME OF PERCRAMING ORGANIZATION Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota 207 Pleasant St. SE Manneapolis, NM 55455 Sb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research 207 Pleasant St. SE Manneapolis, NM 55455 Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 7b. NADRESS(CM, Stee. and UP Code) Chemistry Program SUD North Quincy St. ADMESS(CM, Stee. and UP Code) 9b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 0 Stillsourion VA 22217 Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NO14 489-1-1746 0 ADMESS(CM, Stee. and UP Code) NO 014-89-1-1746 0 Scanzation Office of Naval Research NO 014-89-1-1746 0 ADMESS(CM, Stee. and UP Code) NO 014-89-1-1746 0 ADMESS(CM, Stee. and UP Code) NO 014-89-1-1746 11 Thild (Include Secure) Classification) NO 014-89-1-1746 Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory NO 12 PREOMAL AUTHORS) Note Coversto Theory No Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C., Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F., Barbara and Teresa Fons Nav 6, 1991 13 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) Nav 6, 1991 14 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 DSTIRBUTION: AVALABULITY OF ABSTRACT DUVI	4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	R(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
Sa NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION See OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research University of Minnesota Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research 207 Pleasant St. SE Minneapolis, MN 55455 To ADDRESS(GN, Same and APCode) 7b ADDRESS(GN, Same and APCode) 3a NAME OF FUNDING/SPUNJOWAND ORGANIZATION ORGANIZATION ORGANIZATION Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 A CADDESS(GN, Same, and APCode) 10 SUBECT OF FUNDING/SPUNJOWAND ORGANIZATION 10 SUBECT OF FUNDING NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217 10 SUBECT OF FUNDING NUMBER NO014-89-J-1746 No014-89-J-1746 Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory 10 SUBECT Take NO NO014-89-J-1746 11 THE (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory 10 SUBECT Take NO NO 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) FROM 12 Date OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day) 12 PROCE 13 THE OF REPORT 13b SUB/ECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14 SUB/ECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side <td< td=""><td>Technical Report No. 9</td><td></td><td></td><td>· .</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Technical Report No. 9			· .			
Department of Chemistry (** applicable) Office of Naval Research University of Minnesota ** ADDRESS(Gry, State, and ZP Code) 207 Pleasant St. SE Chemistry Program Winneapolis, NM S5455 80 ONOTH Quincy St. Sa Mante Of FUNDING/SPONSUMING Bb OFFICE SYMBOL Office of Naval Research Bb OFFICE SYMBOL Nooff Carbon State St	6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	65 OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
University of Minnesota Office State and Decomp 207 Pleasant St. SE Minneapolis, MN S5455 To ADDRESS(CR), State and Decomp 30 AMM OF REVONDING SPURSORNUL OFFICE SYMBOL OFFICE STATE To ADDRESS(CR), State and Decomp 30 AMM OF REVONDING SPURSORNUL OFFICE SYMBOL OFFICE STATE Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) State and Decomp 30 AMM OF REVONDING SPURSORNUL OFFICE STATE Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) State and Decomp 30 AMM OF REVONDING SUMMERTS NO014-89-J-1746 NO04-89-J-1746 30 ADDRESC FUNDOR SUMMERTS NO042-89-J-1746 NO04-89-J-1746 30 ADDRESC FUNDOR SUMMERTS NO042-89-J-1746 NO04-89-J-1746 31 Ington, VA 22217 NUMERTS NO042-89-J-1746 31 Ington, VA 22217 NO042-89-J-1746 NO042-89-J-1746 31 Ington, VA 22217 NO14-89-J-1746 NO042-89-J-1746 31 Ington, VA 22217 NO14-89-J-1746 NO042-89-J-1746 32 PROMALAUTHOR(S) FROM NO ACCESSION NO 32 PROMALAUTHOR(S) Intel Are Report Intel Are Report Intel Are Report Intel Are Report 12 PROMALAUTHORS SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by Diock number) Intel Are Report Intel Are Report	Department of Chemistry	(IT applicable)) Office of Naval Research				
64. ADDRESS (Cry. State. and ZPCOME) 7b ADDRESS (Cry. State. and ZPCOME) 207 Pleasant St. SE SUB OFFICE SYMBOL SUB OFFICE SYMBOL 3a MANE OF FUNDING SPONSURING Bb OFFICE SYMBOL SUB ONORTH Quincy St. 3a MANE OF FUNDING SPONSURING Bb OFFICE SYMBOL SUB OCCREMENT MISSIMMENT DEINTHICATION NUMBER OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable) SUB OFFICE SYMBOL SUB OFFICE SYMBOL OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable) SUB OFFICE SYMBOL SUB OFFICE SYMBOL OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable) SUB OFFICE SYMBOL SUBCOREMENT MISSIMENMENT DEINTHICATION NUMBER Chemistry Program 800 North Quincy St. PROJECT NO ACCESSION NO 11 THLE (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory 12 PERSONAL AUTHORS: No No ACCESSION NO 12 PERSONAL AUTHORS: Subject TERMS (Continue on reverse di Recessary and identify by Diock number) 12 PAGE COUNT 13 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse di Recessary and identify by Diock number) 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse di Recessary and identify by Diock number) 18 SUBJECT TERMS OF RESPONSIBLE INDUNIONAL <	University of Minnesota	University of Minnesota		office of havar kesearch			
207 Pleasant St. SE Minneapolis, MN 55455 Chemistry Program Bo OFFICE SYMBOL ORGANIZATION OFFICE OF NAVAILABLEY OF ABSTRACT Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217 Bb OFFICE SYMBOL If applicable Strington, Quincy St. Strington, VA 22217 8t ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING (SDUTHICATION NUMBER NOT A 22217 In SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Program, 800 N. Program, 800 N. Program	6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				
Minneapolis, NN 55455 800 North Quincy St. 800 North Quincy St. 9 PROCEED INDING NUMBER 00014-89-J-1746 8c ADDRESS(City, State, and 20 Code) Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217 9 PROJECT INDING NUMBERS NO014-89-J-1746 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO014-89-J-1746 11 Title (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F. Barbara and Teresa Fons 13 TYPE OF REPORT 13 INFE OF REPORT 14 DATE OF REPORT 15 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 AGSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAULABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAULABILITY OF ABSTRAC	207 Pleasant St. SE		Chemistry Program				
Ba NAME OF FUNDING /SPURISUMING ORGANIZATION Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) ATLITUE LOTI, VA PROCUREMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER SPROCURSENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (NO014-89-J-1746 Office OF Naval Research IN0014-89-J-1746 Bc ADDESS (City, State, and ZUP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS (Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) PROGRAM ACCESSION NO ACCESSION NO ACCESSION ACCESSION NO ACCESSION	Minneapolis, MN 55455		800 North Quincy St.				
20 ORGANZATION B0 DATE STRUCT PROCEMENT NOTHINGT DENTRICATION NUMBER Office of Naval Research N0014-89-J-1746 N0014-89-J-1746 6x ADDRESS(ror, State, and 24/Code) DS OURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROCEMAN Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. PROCEMAN PROCEMAN Arlington, VA 22217 ELEMENT NO PROCEMAN 11 Ittle (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory Theory No 12 PROCEMAN Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F. Barbara and Teresa Fons 13 14 DATE OF REPORT 12 PROM 13 Tome Coverse 14 DATE OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 13 TYPE OF REPORT 13 Tome Coverse 14 DATE OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 14 TYPE OF REPORT 13 Tome Coverse 14 DATE OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 14 BUB/ECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14 SUPRECEMENT CLASSHEDUNULINITED <t< td=""><td>85 NAME OF FUNDING (SPORIS MING</td><td></td><td>Arlington</td><td><u>. VA 2221</u></td><td>7</td><td></td></t<>	85 NAME OF FUNDING (SPORIS MING		Arlington	<u>. VA 2221</u>	7		
Office of Naval Research N0014-89-J-1746 BC ADDRESS (Cry, State, and JPCode) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. In SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Arlington, VA 22217 PROGRAM In Title (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory No No 11 Title (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory No Fabrication Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory Table of REPORT 13 TYPE OF REPORT Table of REPORT Technical IB TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT Table of REPORT (ver, Month. Dey) 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Nav 6, 1991 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 AbsTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Inclassified 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DDTC USERS 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DDTC USERS 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified <	ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)	9 PROCUREIVIEN	INSTRUMENT IDE	NIFICA	TION NOMBER	
BC. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZiP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Chemistry Program, 800 N. Quincy St. PROGRAM Arlington, VA 22217 PROGRAM 11 ThLE (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory Theory 12 EFENDAL AUTHOR(5) Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F. Barbara and Teresa Fons Technical 13b TIME COVERED 13a TMPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED 13b SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 10 SUB-RECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 22a NAME OF REFORTIED SAME AS RPT 22a NAME OF REFORSULATION Consultation 22a NAME OF REFORSULE INDIVIDUAL 22b THERHOLD (Induze Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMAPOL 22b THERHOLD (Induze Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMAPOL 22b THERHOLD (Induze Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMAPOL 22b THERHOLD (Induze Area	Office of Naval Research	· · · · · ·	N0014-89-	J-1746			
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAI	8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)						
Arlington, VA 22217 ELEMENT NO NO ACCESSION NO 11 Title (include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory Theory Table of Report Table of Report 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(5) Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F. Barbara and Teresa Fons 13a TYPE OF REPORT Table Time Covered Table of REPORT (Year, Month, Day) To PaGE COUNT 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION To May 6, 1991 To PaGE COUNT 17 COSATI CODES Table SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 20 DISTABULTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DITC USERS 20 DISTABULTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DITC USERS 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 NAME OF REPORT Same As RPT DITC USERS 22a NAME OF REPORTACE INDIVIDUAL DITC USERS 220 FIFTREPORT CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 22a NAME OF REPORTACE INDIVIDUAL DITC USERS 220 FIFTREPORT CLASSIFICATIO	Chemistry Program, 800 N Or	incy St	PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK LINUT				
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 220 DITIC USERS<	Arlington VA 22217	incy oc.	ELEMENT NO	NO	NO	ACCESSION NO	
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory Theory YERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang, Paul F. Barbara and Teresa Fons 13 TYPE OF REPORT 13 TYPE OF REPORT 14 TYPE OF REPORT 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSFEDUNULIMITED 20 ANAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Date OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 220 NOT RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 220 FOR 1473, JUN 86	Mingcon, W 22217						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT DIC USERS DIT DIT USERS 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 10 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 10 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 110 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 111 DIT USERS 112 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 113 DIT USERS 114 DIT USERS 115 DIT USERS 116 DIT USERS 116 DIT USERS 117 DIT USERS	Theory 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Keisuke Tominaga Gilbert C	Walker Tai	Jong Kang	Paul F Ba	rhara	and Teresa Fonsec	
Technical FROM TO May 6, 1991 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DUSTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DUSTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELERHQUE finduide_Area Code) 22c OFFICE STABOL 22c OFFICE STABOL	13a TYPE OF REPORT	VERED	14 DATE OF SEPO	RT (Year Month)	Davi li	> PAGE COUNT	
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 21 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 23 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 24 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 25 TELEBOLITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 24 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 25 TELEBOLITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 21 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 22 DEFORM 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete <td>Technical FROM</td> <td>TO</td> <td>May 6 10</td> <td>01</td> <td>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</td> <td></td>	Technical FROM	TO	May 6 10	01	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
17 COSATE CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMIS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block humber) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) See abstract on reverse side 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 221 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 222 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 223 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 224 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL DT. NOME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL DT. Ronald A. DE form 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete	16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 22 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 23 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 24 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 25 DITIC USERS 26 DITIC USERS 27 DITIC USERS 220 TELEBRICATION 221 DITIC USERS 2220 TELEBRICATION 223 DITIC USERS 224 TELEBRICATION OF TELEBR		IN SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			by block number)	
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 23 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 24 DIC USERS 25 TELESTRICT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22.4 DIC USERS 22.5 TELESTRICT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22.6 DIC USERS 22.6 TELESTRICT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 22.6 DEFORM 1473, JUN 86	FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP		1				
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 23 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 24 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 25 DICLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEBRIAN FUNCTION OF THIS PAGE 22b SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE			۰.				
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 22 DITIC USERS 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DITIC USERS 22 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 DITIC USERS 22 TFLFRHONF finduate Area Code) 22 OFFICE SYMBOL 20 Form 1473, JUN 86	19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block n	umber)				
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 21 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 220 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TFLFRHONF (Include_Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 220 DF. Ronald A. De Marco Previous editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	See abstract on reverse side						
DD Form 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RI 223 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Ronald A. Do Marco		21 ABSTRACT SE Unclassif 226 TELERHONE (CURITY CLASSIFICA 1 ed Include_Area Code	ATION	DFFICE SYMBOL	
Previous editions are obsolete. SECURITE CLASSIFILATION OF THIS PAGE	DD Form 1472 Hill 86			CECHDITY -			
	JUIN 1473, JUN 86	Previous editions are obsolete		SECONITI CENSITY ANDIN OF THIS PAGE			

•

.

N

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

19.

Abstract

The recently described phenomenological model for strongly adiabatic electron transfer (et) in the lowest excited state S_1 of donor/acceptor molecules has been developed in more detail. The model has been generalized to include simultaneously two types of electron transfer, namely adiabatic et in S_1 and diabatic et ($S_2 \rightarrow S_1$). A general definition of the et "reactant" survival probability is given in terms of the surviving charge on the donor. A relationship between the survival probability and integrated emission intensity from S_1 and S_2 is established. The use of this model is demonstrated employing the recent simulation results from our group on the excited state et of bianthryl (BA and 4-(9-anthryl)-N,N'-dimethylaniline (ADMA).

DD Form 1473, JUN 86 (Reverse)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Unclassified

J. Phys. Chem.4/17/91 (Submitted)

Reaction Rates in the Phenomenological Adiabatic Excited State Electron Transfer Theory

Keisuke Tominaga, Gilbert C. Walker, Tai Jong Kang,^a Paul F. Barbara,^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455.

and Teresa Fonseca

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523.

^a Present address: Department of Chem. Biochem., University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712.
* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

ABSTRACT

à

The recently described phenomenological model for strongly adiabatic electron transfer (et) in the lowest excited state S_1 of donor/acceptor molecules has been developed in more detail. The model has been generalized to include simultaneously two types of electron transfer, namely adiabatic et in S_1 and diabatic et ($S_2 \rightarrow S_1$). A general definition of the et "reactant" survival probability is given in terms of the surviving charge on the donor. A relationship between the survival probability and integrated emission intensity from S_1 and S_2 is established. The use of this model is demonstrated employing the recent simulation results from our group on the excited state et of bianthryl (BA) and 4-(9-anthryl)-N,N'-dimethylaniline (ADMA).

	Access	sion Fo	רו			
	NTIS	GRA&I				
	DTIC 1	rab 🛛				
	Unannounced 🔲					
	Justifisation					
•	By					
	Availability Codes					
	Avail ond fam					
	Dist	Spea	ial			
	A-1					

1. Introduction

Photophysical studies on organic aromatic electron donor/acceptor compounds are leading to new information on several issues of contemporary interest, including: the role of solvation dynamics in electron transfer (et) reactions; the mechanism of ultrafast charge separation (which is relevant to problems ranging from photosynthesis to nonlinear optics) and the effect of the solvent coordinate on the absorption and emission spectroscopy of the aromatic compounds in polar solvents.¹ The comparison between experiment and et theory has been a particular emphasis. Historically, one of the most extensively studied organic donor/acceptor compounds is 4-(9-anthryl)-N,N'-dimethylaniline (ADMA) (Figure 1).² The preceding article in this issue presents static and ultrafast spectroscopic data on ADMA.³ The results are analyzed in terms of a phenomenological et model (in the strongly adiabatic regime) that was recently introduced by Kang et al. for et between strongly coupled donors and acceptors.⁴

In this paper we explore the strongly adiabatic theories in more detail. The strongly adiabatic approach is apparently a more accurate description of et between *strongly* coupled donors and acceptors than the more conventional et theories which are appropriate for weak to moderate electronic coupling.^{1,5-10} It is important to note, however, that all of these theories invoke the diabatic (localized) states of Marcus theory, here denoted Ψ_A and Ψ_B , where A and B refer to the reactant and product states, respectively.¹⁰ The magnitude of the interaction between the localized states is given by the electronic coupling matrix element, $H_{AB} = \int \Psi_A H \Psi_B d\tau$. The et kinetics are induced by fluctuations and vibrations of the nuclear coordinates, including the solvent and intramolecular vibrational modes. In the nonadiabatic and moderate adiabatic theories H_{AB} is treated by perturbation theory. In the presence of a large H_{AB} the perturbative treatment is inappropriate and a strongly adiabatic model becomes more useful. A rigorous theory of the coupling between the solvent

1

coordinate and the electronic coordinate in the strongly adiabatic regime has been given by Kim and Hynes.¹¹ Molecular dynamics simulation models that are compatible with the strongly adiabatic regime have also recently been published.¹²

i

For the specific case of excited state et, Tominaga et al.³ showed the electronic coupling between the locally excited (LE) state and charge transfer (CT) state of ADMA (Figure 1) is so great that it is more useful to use the approximate¹³ adiabatic states S_1 and S_2 rather than the diabatic states. Figure 2 portrays the energy dependence of the diabatic states on the displacement of the so-called solvent coordinate, z. Figure 2 shows the energy dependence of the adiabatic states which are mixtures of the diabatic states.

$$|S_{1}\rangle = C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)|LE\rangle + C_{CT}^{(1)}(z)|CT\rangle.$$
(1-1)

$$|S_{2}\rangle = C_{LE}^{(2)}(z)|LE\rangle + C_{CT}^{(2)}(z)|CT\rangle.$$
(1-2)

The various parameters required to construct Figure 2 were determined empirically by a phenomenological approach which involves fitting the experimental spectra to theoretical predications using the curves in Figure 2 and other information, see below and Kang et al.⁴ for more details.

For ADMA the diabatic states involved in the excited state et are the LE state which results from a $\pi - \pi^*$ transition of the anthracene ring (DA^{*}) and the CT state which is an intramolecular ion-pair state (D⁺A⁻) (Figure 1).

The ultrafast spectroscopy of ADMA shows several features which are characteristic of an adiabatic mechanism, and would be awkward to treat in a nonadiabatic representation. In particular, the fluorescence spectrum is structureless and exhibits a continuous shift of emission maximum frequency on a time scale which is approximately equal to the known time scale for solvation dynamics, e.g. 1.7 ps in N,N-dimethylformamide.^{1,6} The decay of the emission intensity is not due to loss of population of excited molecules, which occurs on a much slower time scale. The observed behavior is close to simulations using the adiabatic model. In terms of this model, the fluorescence is assigned to the S₁ state. The drop in intensity and evolution of the emission maximum frequency is assigned to diffusion along the solvation coordinate which causes the probability distribution of the solvent to evolve from its initial photoprepared distribution (peaked near z = 0) to its equilibrium distribution in S₁ (peaked near z = 1).

The decrease in intensity as the solvent coordinate evolves is due to the adiabatic et process. As the average z values goes from zero to one the amount of LE character in Ψ_{S_1} decreases, and the amount of CT character in Ψ_{S_1} increases. The transition moment $(S_1 \rightarrow S_0)$ simultaneously weakens, which causes the integrated fluorescence intensity to decrease.

The S₁ adiabatic et processes of ADMA and related examples are beyond the conventional definitions of et kinetics. It is not immediately obvious how the rate coefficient (k_{et}) for this process should be defined in theoretical or experimental terms. This general problem is the main focus of the present paper. In addition, the underlying physical principals and approximations of the phenomenological adiabatic excited state et model are delineated. An additional focus of this paper is the existence of two distinct et processes, i.e. the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ "nonadiabatic" et mechanism and the S_1 (or S_2) adiabatic et mechanism, which were not classified in the original Kang et al.⁴ paper. This paper is based primarily on simulations within the adiabatic model on ADMA and BA. Some of the simulation results have been previously discussed and shown to be in semiquantitative agreement with experiment. In the present paper, we show that the simulation results can be analyzed via a general definition of the rate coefficient to extract kinetic information on the et process.

3

2. The Adiabatic Electron Transfer Model and ket

This section develops the basic adiabatic et model with particular emphasis on the various inherent approximations. We restrict this discussion to molecules like ADMA which involve a "two state" et process, i.e. $LE \rightarrow CT$ in a diabatic picture. The molecule BA is more complicated since there are two CT states and LE state.^{4,14} However, the theoretical results for ADMA are applicable to BA with a simple extension, as will be discussed in Section 5.

For purposes of describing the excited state et of ADMA and the photodynamics of this compound, it is important to consider the S_0 ground electronic state. While this state plays a small direct role in the LE \rightarrow CT et, it does play an essential role in the overall photodynamics. Optical excitation from S_0 to S_1 and S_2 prepares the t = 0 configuration of ADMA, and transient emission from the excited ADMA to S_0 is an observable which can be used to measure k_{et} and other dynamical observables (see below). For the purpose of this paper we will assume that LE and S_0 have identical charge distributions, which is consistent with experiment,^{2,3} such that both states have identical equilibrium solvent configurations.

2.1. Diabatic States versus Adiabatic States

Invoking Marcus theory, $^{1,5-10}$ Tominaga et al.³ have shown that the free energy dependence of S₀, LE, and CT should be given as follows:

$$F_{S_0}(z) = (1/2)k_s z^2, \qquad (2-1)$$

$$F_{1,F}(z) = (1/2)k_{s}z^{2} + F_{0}, \qquad (2-2)$$

$$F_{CT}(z) = (1/2)k_s(z-1)^2 + F_0 - \Delta F^0, \qquad (2-3)$$

where k_s is the solvent force constant, F_0 is the energy of the spectroscopic transition between S_0 and LE, and ΔF^0 is the equilibrium free energy change for the LE \rightarrow CT charge separation.

It is useful to consider approximate stationary adiabatic states for the ADMA problem as represented in Figure 2 and by eqns 1-1 and 1-2. The z dependent energies of these two states can be found approximately by diagonalizing the secular equation,

$$\begin{vmatrix} F_{LE}(z) - F & H_{LE,CT} \\ H_{LE,CT} & F_{CT}(z) - F \end{vmatrix} = 0, \qquad (2-4)$$

where the diagonalized free energies are given by eqns 2-2 and 2-3, $H_{LE,CT}$ is the electronic coupling between LE and CT, and F is the adiabatic free energy. Accordingly, the coefficients can be found by the usual means.

2.2. Electron Transfer Kinetics and the Nonadiabatic and Weak Adiabatic Theories

The basic formulation of et theory in solution is firmly routed in the localized two state description of Marcus, which involves a reactant state Ψ_{R} and product state Ψ_{p} .¹⁰ In the language of this paper the electron that is being transferred in the reaction is first on the donor group, localized in Ψ_{LE} , and is later on the acceptor group, localized in Ψ_{CT} . As in Hückel theory, the overlap between the localized states is negligible.

$$<$$
LE $|$ CT $> \simeq 0.$ (2–5)

Based on this picture one can envision an operator $q_{\rm D}$ that measures the charge on the donor,

such that

$$<$$
LE $|q_{\rm p}|$ LE $> \simeq q_{\rm el}^0$, (2-6)

$$\langle \mathrm{CT} | q_{\mathrm{p}} | \mathrm{CT} \rangle \simeq 0. \tag{2-7}$$

Thus, transitions between LE and CT physically transfer charge between the donor and acceptor. Many of the variations of contemporary et theory are based on an approximate treatment of the coupling of the nonadiabatic states to the medium fluctuation, via a Liouville equation description, that involves the diabatic states (LE and CT) as a basis set and a two state Hamiltonian: 5-10

$$H_{el}(\epsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & H_{LE,CT} \\ H_{LE,CT} & \Delta E(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2-8)$$

where ϵ is the energy "exchanged" with the quantum mechanical nuclear and electronic degrees of freedom, and $\Delta E(t)$ is a fluctuating energy that describes the coupling and thermal motion of the classical degrees of freedom.

The Liouville equation involves a two by two density matrix γ in the diabatic basis set, |LE> and |CT>:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma(\epsilon/t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{el}}(\epsilon), \gamma(\epsilon/t)]_{-} - [\mathrm{K}, \gamma(\epsilon/t)]_{+}.$$
(2-9)

Here we employ the notation $[A,B]_{\pm} = AB \pm BA$. K is a stochastic relaxation operator which induces relaxation in the product (CT) state.

The solution to this equation typically involves several assumptions: (i) The initial

concentration is $\gamma(\epsilon/6) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv |LE>$. (ii) $\Delta E(t)$ is the fluctuating energy gap, i.e. $\Delta E(t) = F_{LE}(z(t)) - F_{CT}(z(t))$ (see eqns 2-2 and 2-3), where z(t) is often assumed to obey a Fokker-Planck equation for stochastic (Brownian) solvent coordinate motion on harmonic potential. (Although we have emphasized only the solvent coordinate in the reaction coordinate, the theory can be extended to include the intramolecular vibrational motion.)

Several of the recent theoretical results on et kinetics that include the effect of solvation dynamics (and in some cases vibrational modes) are closely related to the perturbative solutions of eqn 2-9.^{1,5-10} Since the physical identity of the |LE> and |CT> states is maintained in this nonadiabatic approach, the reactant survival probability is simply given for a solvent-coordinate-only model by

$$S_{NA}(t) = \int dz \rho_{LE}(z,t), \qquad (2-10)$$

where $\rho_{LE}(z,t)$ is the time-dependent classical probability distribution of the reactant in the solvent coordinate. The reaction rate coefficient is conventionally

$$k_{et}(t) = -dlnS_{NA}(t)/dt. \qquad (2-11)$$

Note that $S_{NA}(t)$ is simply proportional to the surviving electronic charge on the donor (see eqn 2-6).

2.3. Electron Transfer Kinetics in the Strongly Adiabatic Regime

For the excited state et reactions involving a donor/acceptor pair linked by a single bond, the electronic matrix element, $H_{LE,CT}$, is large enough that the perturbative treatment in the nonadiabatic and weak adiabatic regime becomes questionable. In particular, the

degree of adiabaticity can be quantified by the adiabaticity parameter,

$$H_{A} = \frac{8\pi H_{LE,CT}^{2} \tau_{L}}{\hbar k_{s}}, \qquad (2-12)$$

where $\tau_{\rm L}$ is the longitudinal dielectric relation time.⁷ For ADMA and BA in common polar solvents, $H_{\rm A} \gg 1$ (~ 80 with $k_{\rm s} = 20$ kcalmol⁻¹, $H_{\rm LE,CT} = 1$ kcalmol⁻¹, and $\tau_{\rm L} = 1$ ps), indicating that strong adiabatic effects should be considered. In addition, the adiabatic free energy surfaces for ADMA have no barrier separating the initially prepared (reactant-like) and equilibrium (product-like) regions. This is a strongly adiabatic effect which is inconsistent with a perturbative treatment of $H_{\rm LE,CT}$. Hynes has considered strong adiabatic et reactions over a substantial barrier,⁶ and related this regime to transition-state-theory. But, our concern here is small barrier¹⁵ and barrier-free^{1,9} dynamics on the adiabatic surfaces.

We have been particularly interested in an "outer-sphere" strongly adiabatic et model, which assumes that vibrational coordinates play a minor role, and treats the solvent coordinate z classically at each value of z. The system can be represented by a two by two density matrix $\Gamma(z,t)$,

$$\Gamma(z,t) = \rho(z,t) \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)^2 + C_{CT}^{(1)}(z)^2 & C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)C_{LE}^{(2)}(z) + C_{CT}^{(1)}(z)C_{CT}^{(2)}(z) \\ C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)C_{LE}^{(2)}(z) + C_{CT}^{(1)}(z)C_{CT}^{(2)}(z) & C_{LE}^{(2)}(z)^2 + C_{CT}^{(2)}(z)^2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

(2-13)

Here the off-diagonal elements are generally time-dependent and signify coherence between the S₁ and S₂ states. $\rho(z,t)$ is the classical probability of the solvent coordinate at z.

:

It is important to consider the properties of the off-diagonal elements. Roughly speaking, motion along z will produce coherence, i.e. non-zero off-diagonal elements. Also, the laser excitation pulse which prepares S_1 and S_2 from S_0 will create "coherence" among S_0 , S_1 and S_2 . However, we make the approximation that the off-diagonal terms in the adiabatic state representation are $\simeq 0.$ at all relevant times. (the random phase approximation). This is appropriate where the S_1/S_2 energy gap is large enough to ensure rapid dephasing compared with the time scale for z fluctuations. Under these circumstances eqn 2-14 applies, if it is further assumed that motion along the solvent coordinate z satisfies a generalized Smoluchowski equation (GSE).^{15,16} In an earlier paper we used a more exact approach involving the generalized Langevin equation (GLE) which is computationally more intensive.⁴ A comparison of the GLE and GSE treatments is made in Section 5.

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{t}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{RT}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial \mathbf{z}}) \rho(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{t}).$$
(2-14)

Here D(t) and F(z) are a generalized diffusion coefficient and a potential acting on the system, respectively.

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{S_1}(z,t)}{\partial t} = D(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{RT} \frac{\partial F_{S_1}(z)}{\partial z} \right) \rho_{S_1}(z,t) - k_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2}(z) \rho_{S_1}(z,t) + k_{S_2 \rightarrow S_1}(z) \rho_{S_2}(z,t) - (2-15)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{S_2}(z,t)}{\partial t} = D(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{RT} \frac{\partial F_{S_2}(z)}{\partial z} \right) \rho_{S_2}(z,t) + k_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2}(z) \rho_{S_1}(z,t) - k_{S_2 \rightarrow S_1}(z) \rho_{S_2}(z,t) - (2-16)$$

$$(2-16)$$

Here $k_{S_2 \rightarrow S_1}(z)$ and $k_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2}(z)$ are defined as diabatic rate constants and represent "internal conversion" between S_1 and S_2 . In this paper we leave the form of these internal conversion mechanisms unspecified. They are physically analogous to the radiationless decay between states of the same spin multiplicity, and, as such, formally represent a non-Born-Oppenheimer transition of state. In order to simulate the overall dynamics in terms of eqns 2-15 and 2-16, initial distributions of $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$ and $\rho_{S_2}(z,t)$ are required, and values for the various parameters, D(t), k_s , ..., etc. are needed The methods for performing such simulations from phenomenological estimates have been outlined previously by Kang et al.⁴ and Tominaga et al.³ and will also be discussed below.

Our major interest here, however, is a "reactant" survival probability and, in turn, a definition for k_{et} that is appropriate in all et regimes including the strongly adiabatic limit. The definition of the survival probability follows from the definition of the operator $q_{\rm D}$ (eqns 2-6 and 2-7). The expectation value of this operator for the system wavefunction (according to the random phase approximation) can be shown to be

$$\int dz \langle \Psi_{\rm sys} | q_{\rm D} | \Psi_{\rm sys} \rangle = q_{\rm el}^0 [\int \rho_{\rm S_1}(z,t) C_{\rm LE}^{(1)}(z)^2 dz + \int \rho_{\rm S_2}(z,t) C_{\rm LE}^{(2)}(z)^2 dz].$$
(2-17)

Thus, the "generalized" survival $S_{g}(t)$ of the electronic charge on the donor divided by the charge of an electron q_{el}^{o} is

$$S_{G}(t) = \int dz [\rho_{S_{1}}(z,t)C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)^{2} + \rho_{S_{2}}(z,t)C_{LE}^{(2)}(z)^{2}]$$
$$= [\overline{C_{LE}^{(1)}(t)} + \overline{C_{LE}^{(2)}(t)}] \cdot N_{T} \qquad (2-18)$$

where the rhs of eqn 2–18 represents the z-averaged probability of the LE character times the total number N_T of S_1 and S_2 molecules, N_{S_1} and N_{S_2} , respectively.

$$N_{T} = N_{S_{1}} + N_{S_{2}}.$$
 (2-19)

$$N_{S_1} = \int dz \rho_{S_1}(z,t).$$
 (2-20)

$$N_{S_2} = \int dz \rho_{S_2}(z,t).$$
 (2-21)

An average of a function of z, $C_x^{(i)}(z)$, over z on the S_i surface at time t is defined as

$$\overline{C_{x}^{(i)}(t)} = \left[\int dz \rho_{S_{i}}(z,t) C_{x}^{(i)}(z)\right] / \left[\int dz \rho_{S_{i}}(z,t) + \int dz \rho_{S_{2}}(z,t)\right],$$
(2-22)

where i=1 or 2 and x = LE or CT. In turn, the generalized et rate coefficient, $k_{et}(t)$, can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{k}_{et}(t) = -\mathrm{dln}(\mathbf{S}_{c}(t) - \mathbf{S}_{c}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))/\mathrm{d}t.$$
(2-23)

This expression is analogous to the conventional definition of a reaction rate constant in terms of a surviving "concentrations" of the reactants. $S_{g}(\varpi)$ is the equilibrium value of the survival of the electronic charge. In the case of the adiabatic model, $S_{g}(\varpi)$ is the thermally average LE character at equilibrium. By analogy to conventional reactions, if $S_{g}(\varpi)$ is approximately zero, the adiabatic et reaction is effectively irreversible. In contrast, a non-zero $S_{g}(\varpi)$ signifies a reversible et reaction, which is the case for the S_{1} et reaction of

ADMA (see below).

Having defined a general survival, we now turn to its relationship to experimental observables. The key observable is the integrated emission intensity

$$A(t) \propto \int d\nu I(t,\nu)/\nu^3, \qquad (2-24)$$

where $I(t,\nu)$ is the time-dependent fluorescence spectrum to S_0 from both S_1 and S_2 (within the random phase approximation). An expression for A(t) in terms of $S_G(t)$ can be derived by simple manipulations of the basic photodynamic equations of the Kang et al. model. A(t)is proportional to the square of the expectation value of the electronic transition dipole moment operator μ (within the Franck-Condon approximation).

$$A(t) \propto \int dz |\langle \Psi_{SYS} | \mu | S_0 \rangle |^2 \qquad (2-25)$$

$$\alpha \int dz [\rho_{S_1}(z,t) |\langle S_1 | \mu | S_0 \rangle |^2 + \rho_{S_2}(z,t) |\langle S_2 | \mu | S_0 \rangle |^2]. \qquad (2-26)$$

The z dependent contribution to the transition moment is given by

$$= C_{LE}^{(i)}(z)\mu_{LE} + C_{CT}^{(i)}(z)\mu_{CT}, \qquad (2-27)$$

where i = 1 or 2 and μ_{LE} and μ_{CT} are the dipole transition moments connecting the diabatic states,

$$\mu_{\rm LE} = < \rm LE \,|\,\mu|\,S_0>, \tag{2-28}$$

$$\mu_{\rm CT} = \langle {\rm CT} \, | \, \mu \, | \, {\rm S}_0 \rangle. \tag{2-29}$$

Utilizing the definition in eqn 2-22 of the average of $C_x^{(i)}$ over the solvent coordinate at time t, it is useful to make the approximation that the average of the square is approximately equal to the square of the average, i.e.

$$\overline{C_{LE}^{(i)}{}^{2}(t)} \simeq [\overline{C_{LE}^{(i)}(t)}]^{2}, \qquad (2-30)$$

and similarly

$$\overline{C_{LE}^{(i)}C_{CT}^{(i)}(t)} \simeq \overline{C_{LE}^{(i)}(t)} \cdot \overline{C_{CT}^{(i)}(t)}.$$
(2-31)

In a latter section of this paper we examine the range of validity of this approximation using the simulation results.

The integrated emission intensity A(t) can be related to $C_{LE}^{(i)}$ and $C_{CT}^{(i)}$ by the following expression from eqns 2-26 and 2-27

$$A(t) \propto \overline{(C_{LE}^{(1)} \mu_{LE} + C_{CT}^{(1)} \mu_{CT})^2(t)} + \overline{(C_{LE}^{(2)} \mu_{LE} + C_{CT}^{(2)} \mu_{CT})^2(t)},$$
(2-32)

If all the population on S₂ relaxes to the S₁ surface due to the fast internal conversion, A(t) can be related to S_G(t) in a simple way, using eqns 2-18, 2-30, 2-31,2-32 and $(\overline{C_{LE}^{(i)}(t)})^2 + (\overline{C_{CT}^{(i)}(t)})^2 \simeq 1$,

$$S_{g}(t) \simeq [\mu_{LE} \sqrt{B(t)} - \mu_{CT} \sqrt{\mu_{LE}^{2} + \mu_{CT}^{2} - B(t)}] / (\mu_{LE}^{2} + \mu_{CT}^{2})^{2},$$
(2-33)

where

$$B(t) = \frac{A(t)}{A(\omega)} (\overline{C_{LE}^{(1)}(\omega)} \mu_{LE} + \overline{C_{CT}^{(1)}(\omega)} \mu_{CT})^2, \qquad (2-34)$$

where we used $N_T = 1$ (slow population depletion process compared with the et reaction).

This expression is a general definition for the survival in all regimes from the weakly adiabatic to the strongly adiabatic, within the previously noted approximations.

The situation is particularly simple in the non-adiabatic limit for which the weak coupling between the donor and acceptor ensures that $\mu_{LE} \gg \mu_{CT}$. In this limit, the optical excitation pulse prepares a pure LE state, and only LE \rightarrow S₀ emission can be observed. Under these circumstances eqn 2-33 reduces to eqn 2-10.

$$S_{G}(t) \propto A(t)_{LE \to S_{0}} \propto S_{NA}(t), \qquad (2-35)$$

which means that the integrated emission intensity directly monitors the LE concentration. Furthermore, in the nonadiabatic regime if the reactant and product wells are divided by a large activation barrier, the survival can be simply measured by monitoring the emission intensity at a single wavelength region

$$S_{c}(t) \propto I(t,\nu).$$
 (2-36)

For the strongly adiabatic limit eqns 2-35 and 2-36 are not generally valid. Indeed they very poorly describe the situation for ADMA. On the other hand, eqns 2-35 and 2-36 can be approximately valid in the strongly adiabatic limit if a large activation barrier is present. Under this situation, the shape of $\rho(z,t)$ in the reactant "well" can be approximately time-invariant. These issues should become clearer as we consider specific simulation of the excited state et dynamics of ADMA and BA.

3. An Approximate Expression of $k_{et}(t)$ in the Strongly Inverted Regime

Ultrafast laser studies have been made for BA, ADMA, and related compounds. In the case of BA the excitation wavelength in these studies exclusively prepares the S_1 state. For ADMA, both S_1 and S_2 are prepared by the laser excitation, but the S_2 state is converted to S_1 within < 150 fs. Thus, for both compounds, the et process occurs predominantly in the S_1 state. For et in the S_1 state only, eqn 2–18 reduces to

$$S_{G}(t) = \int dz \rho_{S_{1}}(z,t) C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)^{2}.$$
(3-1)

The functional form of $C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)$ is obtained by solving the secular equation (eqn 2-4). For example,

$$C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)^{2} = 2H_{LE,CT}^{2} \times [4H_{LE,CT}^{2} + \Delta F(z)^{2} + \Delta F(z)\sqrt{\Delta F(z)^{2} + 4H_{LE,CT}^{2}}]^{-1},$$
(3-2)

where $\Delta F(z)$ is free energy difference between the LE and CT states, and

$$\Delta F(z) = F_{LE}(z) - F_{CT}(z)$$

= k_s(z - 1/2) + ΔF^{0} . (3-3)

In general, $S_{G}(t)$ and correspondingly $k_{et}(t)$ can be calculated by first employing the GSE and GLE to calculate $\rho(z,t)$ from a given initial condition $\rho(z,t=0)$. The procedure is outlined elsewhere.^{3,4}

In order to establish a basic physical understanding of $S_{g}(t)$ and $k_{et}(t)$ it is useful to consider an approximate analytical expression for these questions in the limit of a strongly inverted et reaction, such as ADMA. In this limit, $F_{S_1}(z)$ has a single minimum at z = 1, and is approximately equal to $F_{CT}(z)$ near the z = 1 minimum, i.e. $F_{S_1}(z)$ is harmonic near z= 1 as shown in Figures 2 and 3. It will be shown below that at late times $\rho(z,t)$ is approximately Gaussian with a maximum position $\hat{z} \simeq 0.95$, that is approximately the equilibrium value, $\hat{z} = 1$, see the bottom panel in Figure 3. Under these circumstances a solution to eqn 2-14 for a harmonic potential leads to the following expression for \hat{z} ,

$$z(t) \simeq 1 - \exp(-t/\tau_s), \qquad (3-4)$$

where it has been assumed that the solvation dynamics are overdamped and well characterized by a single relaxation time τ_s . Eqn 3-4 is the same result found in the derivation of the so-called transient Stokes shift.¹

Assuming that the shape of $\rho(z,t)$ is slowly varying on the time scale of τ_s , which is a result of the simulation (Figure 3), we can replace the integral in eqn 2–18 by the following expression,

$$S_{c}(t) \simeq C_{LE}^{(1)}(\hat{z}(t))^{2},$$
 (3-5)

which should apply at long times.

Combining eqns 3-2, 3-4, 3-5, and the definition of $k_{et}(t)$ in terms of $S_{G}(t)$ (eqn 2-23), it is easy to show that the limiting rate coefficient,

$$k_{et}^{\lim} \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} k_{et}(t)$$
$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \ln(S_{g}(t) - S_{g}(\infty)) \right], \qquad (3-6)$$

leads to

$$\mathbf{k}_{\mathsf{e}\mathsf{t}}^{\mathsf{l}\mathsf{i}\mathsf{m}} = 1/\tau_{\mathsf{s}}.\tag{3-7}$$

This relationship indicates that for adiabatic et reaction in the strongly inverted regime, solvation dynamics are rate limiting, which is closely related to analogous results from the weakly adiabatic model. $^{1,5-10}$

4. The S₁ Adiabatic ET Kinetics of ADMA

Tominaga et al.³ have reported transient emission data $I(\nu,t)$ on ADMA in the polar aprotic solvent N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) which according to transient Stokes shift measurements^{1.b} has an average solvation time $\langle \tau_s \rangle$ of 1.0 - 1.5 ps. The experimental $I(t,\nu)$ results are very similar to simulations of the spectra using strongly adiabatic model, as shown in Figure 4. The simulations in Figure 4 have been described previously.^{3,4} They involve a convolution of an empirical spectral shape function with $\rho(z,t)$,

$$I(t,\nu) \propto \int dz ||^2 g(\nu_0(z),\nu-\nu_0(z))\rho(z,t)\nu^3,$$
(4-1)

where $g(\nu_0(z), \nu - \nu_0(z))$ the normalized emission spectral shape and $\nu_0(z)$ corresponds to the free energy difference between the S₁ and S₀ states, $\nu_0(z) = (F_{S_1}(z) - F_{S_0}(z))/h$. Here $\rho(z,t)$ was calculated numerically³ from eqn 2-14 using an initial, spectroscopically prepared distribution, see the bottom panel in Figure 3. In the simulation we used a two exponential function for the solvation dynamics C(t),^{1.b}

$$C(t) = A_1 \cdot \exp(-t/\tau_1) + A_2 \cdot \exp(-t/\tau_2).$$
(4-2)

These values for C(t) were measured by the transient Stokes-shift method, as discussed elsewhere.^{1.b} The time-dependent diffusion coefficient which is used in the GSE simulations can be related to D(t). For C(t) of DMF A₁ = 0.55, A₂ = 0.45, $\tau_1 = 0.75$ ps, and $\tau_2 = 2.5$ ps.^{1.b} Unfortunately, the experimental measurements of the transient fluorescence spectrum are not reliable over a broad enough wavelength region to allow for an accurate determination of A(t), the key observable for the determination of S_G(t). We are in the process of improving the apparatus employed in these measurements in order to determine A(t) accurately. In this paper, however, we will emphasize the simulated A(t) data as shown in Figure 4. The simulated results (eqn 4-1) will allow us to demonstrate the physical significance of the S_G(t) definition (eqn 2-33) and allow us to evaluate one of the important approximation (eqns 2-30 and 2-31).

Tominaga et al.³ have demonstrated that the emission dynamics of ADMA at times > 150 fs is primarily due to emission from S₁. The time-dependent spectra (Figure 4)

reflect the continuously evolving probability distribution, $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$, in S_1 as shown in Figure 3. Note that as the distribution shifts toward equilibrium (near z = 1) the emission intensity shifts toward lower frequency since the S_0/S_1 gap decreases as z goes from zero to one (Figure 3). In addition to shift in the spectrum as $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$ evolves, the integrated area (Figure 5) also shows time-dependence. Simply speaking, as the average value of z increases from $0 \rightarrow 1$ the LE character of S_1 decreases, and, as a result, A(t) decreases because $\mu_{LE} >$ μ_{CT} . Note that the actual number of S_1 molecules is essentially constant during the evolution.³

Note also that $S_{g}(t)$ is not zero at infinite time, since the relaxed form of S_{1} is not purely CT. Therefore, $S_{g}(w)$ has a finite value in eqn 2-23. $k_{et}(t)$ is portrayed in Figure 5. The time-dependence of $k_{et}(t)$ reflects three complex effects, namely the dependence of $C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)$ on z, the anharmonic shape of $F_{S_{1}}(z)$ at the initially prepared z values, and complex diffusion effects due to the time-dependent diffusion coefficient D(t), as shown in Figure 4. Nevertheless, a unique value for the et rate constant can be obtained by determining the limiting rate coefficient k_{et}^{lim} .

The generalized survival during the S_1 evolution is shown in Figure 5. This shows that the survival probability indeed decreases as the S_1 adiabatic et process occurs. The value we extract for ADMA from the simulation is $k_{et}^{\lim} = 1/(2.50 \text{ ps})$. k_{et}^{\lim} is equal to the inverse of the slower solvation time τ_2 . This is precisely the result expected in the limit discussed in Section 3, where the potential is approximately given $F_{CT}(z)$ in the equilibrium region of S_1 and the solvation dynamics are characterized by C(t) of the form of eqn 4-2.

Figure 6 provides a comparison of the survival $S_{g}(t)$ and hence $k_{et}(t)$ calculated two ways. The middle panel shows $k_{et}(t)$ calculated "exactly" from eqn 2-23. Alternatively, we can determine $S_{g}(t)$ from the simulated area using the approximate result which connects experiment and $S_{g}(t)$ (eqn 2-26). The upper panel shows $k_{et}(t)$ resulting from a simulation of $S_{g}(t)$. For the both cases a two exponential form for the solvation was used. While the exact and approximate results are not identical, the approximate approach is reasonably accurate, especially at longer times, supporting the validity of the approximation of eqns 2-30 and 2-31.

It should be strongly emphasized that while $k_{et}(t)$ can be simply related to A(t), there is no simple relationship between $k_{et}(t)$ and the emission dynamics $I(\nu,t)$ at any single emission wavelength. This is an important result which impacts on the interpretation of published data on the transient fluorescence data of ADMA and related molecules.

The bottom panel in Figure 6 shows "exact" $k_{et}(t)$ (eqns 2–18 and 2–23) for a solvent with a single solvent relaxation time $\tau_s = 1.5$ ps. All other parameter are identical to the simulation results in Figure 4 and the middle panel of Figure 6. At long times $k_{et}(t)$ is well characterized by the inverse of a single solvation time, with a limiting rate coefficient $k_{et}^{\lim} = 1/(1.5 \text{ ps})$, in accord with simple results of Section 3.

The overall shape of $k_{et}(t)$, as time increases, is qualitatively similar for the single exponential and bi-exponential solvation dynamics. There is a prompt increase in $k_et(t)$ at early times (barely observable in Figure 6), a slower decay of $k_{et}(t)$, and finally a limiting value. The early time increase is due to the increase in the magnitude of $dF_{S_1}(z)/dz$ as z increases, which accelerates the diffusion. The intermediate rate coefficient decrease is apparently due to the strong dependence of $C_{iF}^{(1)}(z)$ on z as shown in Figure 3.

5. The Electron Transfer Kinetic of BA

The et mechanism of BA is different from that of ADMA in two important ways. First, there are two CT states which should be considered (Figure 7). Second, the adiabatic S_1 et mechanism in polar solvent of BA involves a small barrier ($\Delta F^{\ddagger} \sim 0.5 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$) between the reactant "well" and product "wells" (Figure 8), while for ADMA the reaction is barrierless in S_1 .

The appropriate equations for the three adiabatic excited BA are summarized by the following.

$$|S_{1}\rangle = C_{CT}^{(1)}(z)|CT'\rangle + C_{LE}^{(1)}(z)|LE\rangle + C_{CT}^{(1)}(z)|CT\rangle.$$
(5-1)

$$|S_{2}\rangle = C_{CT}^{(2)}(z)|CT'\rangle + C_{LE}^{(2)}(z)|LE\rangle + C_{CT}^{(2)}(z)|CT\rangle.$$
(5-2)

$$|S_{3}\rangle = C_{CT}^{(3)}(z)|CT'\rangle + C_{LE}^{(3)}(z)|LE\rangle + C_{CT}^{(3)}(z)|CT\rangle.$$
(5-3)

For BA laser excitation at 400 nm prepares the S₁ state exclusively. The time-dependence of $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$ has been simulated as shown in Figure 9. The upper panel portrays the recently published GLE simulation of $\rho(z,t)$ in the overdamped limit using the following solvation parameters for C(t): A₁ = 0.46, A₂ = 0.54, $\tau_1 = 0.43$ ps, and $\tau_2 = 4.1$ ps. $\rho(z,t)$ is calculated from z(t) trajectories calculated by the GLE. The lower panel of Figure 9 shows a GSE simulation of $\rho(z,t)$ using the same initial conditions and solvation parameter as the GLE calculation. The evolution of $\rho(z,t)$ according to the GSE is noticeably slower (roughly a factor of 2). It has been noticed in other contexts that the GSE leads to slower (and apparently less accurate) dynamics.¹⁷ In the present case, our goal is to explore the relationships between k_{et}(t), A(t), I(t, ν), and S_G(t), rather than explore the relationship between k_{et}(t) and the solvation dynamics, so we emphasize GSE calculations which are computationally less expensive. Note that the small barrier between the reactant and products is large enough to maintain a reactant peak in $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$. This separation of $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$ into different regions coupled with the S₁/S₀ energy gap dependence due to the reaction barrier, causes the simulated spectra to be approximately the sum of separate "reactant" and "product" bands, see Figure 10.

The presence of even a small barrier, as in the case of BA, is apparently sufficient to dramatically alter the spectral manifestations of the et kinetics. See, in particular, in Figure 11 the dynamics of the survival $S_{g}(t)$ ("exact", see eqns 2–18 and 2–23), the spectral area A(t), and the intensity dynamics I(t,413nm) at the "blue edge" emission wavelength. All these are very similar. Thus, either experimental observable A(t) or $I(t,\nu)$ gives a reasonably accurate measurement of $S_{g}(t)$. For example, the half-lifetime of $S_{g}(t)$ in Figure 11 is 3.0 ps, while the corresponding values for A(t) and I(t, 413nm) are 3.3 and 3.1 ps, respectively. This demonstrates that the existence of even a small barrier can simplify the measurement of adiabatic et kinetics, for $k_{et}(t)$ by essentially producing a "reactant" region of the emission spectrum. This is analogous to the large barrier limit of the strongly adiabatic regime, which resembles transition-state-theory. In this limit the survival is defined for BA as

$$S_{TST} = \int_{-z_{c}}^{+z_{c}} dz \rho_{S_{1}}(z,t), \qquad (5-1)$$

where z_c and $-z_c$ are the locations of the dividing points separating the reactant and products wells. In an earlier paper we demonstrated that $S_{TST}(t)$ was nearly indistinguishable from A(t) and I(t,413nm) for the BA simulations using the strongly adiabatic model.⁴

The fact that the probability distribution has separate peaks in the LE and CT regimes (due to the small barriers separating the LE and CT minima in $F_{S_1}(z)$) causes the approximation that $\overline{C_{LE}^{(1)}}^{2}(t) \simeq (\overline{C_{LE}^{(1)}}(t))^2$ to be invalid. Consequently, the estimation of $k_{et}(t)$ from A(t) by eqn 2-26 for BA is in error and completely inappropriate.

From the standpoint of experimental measurements of $k_{et}(t)$ in terms of the strongly adiabatic model, it is essential that an extensive analysis be made for each molecules in order to classify the molecule in either the ADMA class (which has no barrier separating the reactant and product region) or the BA class (which possesses a barrier). The static absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy has features which should allow for a rapid classification for molecules that fall strongly in either class.^{3,4} For molecules like BA the presence of the barrier ensures that $S_{g}(t)$ can be quantified directly from $I(t,\nu)$ in the blue edge of the fluorescence. For molecules that fall in the ADMA class, A(t) must be measured experimentally in order to estimate $S_{g}(t)$ (using eqn 2-26) or alternatively $S_{g}(t)$ may be calculated "exactly" using eqns 2-18 and 2-23.

5. Conclusions and Summary

The recently introduced phenomenological model for strongly adiabatic et in the excited states of aromatic electron donor/acceptor compounds has been developed in more detail. The underlying assumptions in the model have been delineated. A definition of a generalized reactant survival probability $S_{g}(t)$ has been given. An expression relating $S_{g}(t)$ and the experimental observable A(t), the integrated emission intensity has been derived. The utility of these approaches have been applied to the photodynamics of BA and ADMA, using the previously estimated free energy versus solvent coordinate dependencies of these compounds in typical organic solvents. The general applicability of the strongly adiabatic model has been discussed.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from the Office of Naval Research for this research.

23

References

- For recent reviews on excited state et, see (a) Simon, J. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 128. (b) Barbara, P. F.; Jarzeba, W. Adv. Photochem. 1990, 15, 1. (c) Maroncelli, M.; MacInnis, J.; Fleming, G. R. Science 1988, 243, 1674. (d) Lippert, E.; Rettig, W.; Bonačić-Koutecký, V.; Heisel, F.; Miehe, J. A. Adv. Chem. Phys. 1987, 68, 1.
- Okada, T.; Fujita, T.; Mataga, N. Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 1976, 101, 57. Okada, T.; Mataga, N.; Baumann, W.; Siemiarczuk, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 4490. Mataga, N.; Nishikawa, S.; Asahi, T.; Okada, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 1443. Chandross, E. A. in The Exciplex, Gordon, M., Ware, W. R., eds.; Academic: New York, 1975; p 187. Siemiarczuk, A.; Grabowski, Z. R.; Krówczyński, A.; Asher, M.; Ottolenghi, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1977, 51, 315. Grabowski, Z. R.; Rotkiewicz, K.; Siemiarczuk, A. J. Luminescence 1979, 18/19, 420. Grabowski, Z. R.; Rotkiewicz, K.; Siemiarczuk, A. Gowley, D. J.; Baumann, W.; Nouv. J. Chim. 1979, 3, 443. Siemiarczuk, A.; Koput, J.; Pohorille, A. Z. Naturforsch. 1982, 37a, 598. Baumann, W.; Petzke, F.; Loosen, K.-D. Z. Naturforsch. 1979, 34a, 1070. Detzer, N.; Baumann, W.; Schwager, B.; Fröhling, J.-C.; Brittinger, C. Z. Naturforsch. 1987, 42a, 395. Rollincon, A. M.; Drickamer, H. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 5981. Nagarajan, V.; Brearley, A. M.; Kang, T. J.; Barbara, P. F. J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 86, 3183. Huppert, D.; Rentzepis, P. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1988, 92, 5466.
- 3. Tominaga, K.; Walker, G. C.; Jarzeba, W.; Barbara, P. F. preceding paper in this issue.
- 4. Kang, T. J.; Jarzeba, W.; Barbara, P. F.; Fonseca, T. Chem. Phys. 1990, 149, 81.
- 5. Hopfield, J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1974, 71, 3640. DeVault, D.

24

Quantum-Mechanical Tunneling in Biological Systems, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1984. Nonella, M.; Schulten, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 2059. Warshel, A.; Chu, Z. T. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 4003.

- 6. Hynes, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 3701.
- 7. Rips, I.; Klafter, J.; Jortner, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 8557.
- 8. Sumi, H.; Marcus, R. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 84, 4894.
- 9. Bagchi, B.; Fleming, G. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 9.
- For general reviews of et see: Marcus, R. A.; Sutin, N. Biochem. Phys. Acta 1985, 811, 265. Newton, M. D.; Sutin, N. Arn. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1984, 35, 437.
- Kim, H. J.; Hynes, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 2736. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 5194. ibid 1990, 93, 5211.
- Bader, J. S.; Chandler, D. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1989, 157, 501. Bader, J. S.; Kuharski,
 R. A.; Chandler, D. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 230.
- 13. By "approximate" we mean that we do not include a self-consistent treatment of solute electronic structure and solvent electronic polarization, see reference 11.
- 14. Beens, H.; Weller, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1969, 3, 666.
- 15. Fonseca, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 91, 2869.
- For example, see Wax, N. Selected Papers on Noise and Stochastic Process; Dover Publications: New York, 1954.
- 17. Fonseca, T. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1989 162, 491.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Molecular structures of bianthryl (BA) and 4-(9-anthryl)-N,N'-dimethylaniline (ADMA). Donor (D) and acceptor (A) signify the electron donor and acceptor chromophores, respectively. Schematic energy levels of the three relevant states in the non-polar solvent: ground state (DA \equiv S₀), locally excited state (DA^{*} \equiv LE) which results from the $\pi - \pi^*$ excitation of the anthracene ring, and charge transfer state (D⁺-A⁻ \equiv CT) which is an intramolecular ion-pair state.

Figure 2. Theoretical estimates for the (left) diabatic and (right) adiabatic free energies of ADMA in N,N'-dimethylformamide, as a function of the solvent coordinate. The free energy parameters are: $k_s = 13.0 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$, $\Delta F^0 = 10.5 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$, $H_{LE,CT} = 0.8 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$. See ref. 3 and 4 for details of the simulation.

Figure 3. (upper panel) Theoretical estimate for the adiabatic S_1 surface of ADMA in N,N'-dimethylformamide. (middle panel) The square of the coefficient of the LE character in the adiabatic S_1 state, as a function of the solvent coordinate. (lower panel) Time-dependent probability distribution function on the S_1 surface of ADMA in N,N'-dimethylformamide obtained from a generalized Smoluchowski equation (GSE) and C(t) (eqn 4-2) with $A_1 = 0.55$, $A_2 = 0.45$, $\tau_1 = 0.75$ ps, and $\tau_2 = 2.5$ ps for the solvation dynamics. See ref. 3 for the details of the GSE simulation.

Figure 4. Simulation of the time-resolved emission spectra of ADMA in N,N'-dimethylformamide obtained from the probability distribution function on the adiabatic S₁ surface shown in Figure 3. The ratio of the transition dipole moment (μ_{CT}/μ_{LE}) (eqns 2-28 and 2-29) is 0.30 in the simulation.

Figure 5. (upper panel) The integrated emission intensity of the simulated time-resolved spectra (Figure 4) obtained from eqn 2-26 for ADMA in N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF). (middle panel) The generalized survival ($S_{g}(t)$) for the adiabatic et reaction on the S_{1} surface of ADMA in DMF obtained "exactly" from eqn 2-18. (lower panel) The generalized et rate coefficient obtained from eqn 2-23 and the generalized survival shown above.

Figure 6. (upper panel) The generalized et rate coefficient for the adiabatic et reaction on the S₁ surface of ADMA in N,N'-dimethylformamide obtained "approximately" from the integrated emission intensity and eqn 2-33 with the approximation of eqns 2-30 and 2-31. A two exponential function (eqn 4-2) with A₁ = 0.55, A₂ = 0.45, $\tau_1 = 0.75$ ps, and $\tau_2 = 2.5$ ps was used for the solvation dynamics. (middle panel) The generalized et rate coefficient obtained "exactly" from the generalized survival shown in Figure 5 and eqn 2-23. A two exponential function with the same parameters as above was used for the solvation dynamics. (lower panel) The generalized et rate coefficient obtained "exactly" from the equ 2-23 and the probability distribution function (eqn 2-14). A single exponential function with $\tau_s = 1.5$ ps was used for the solvation dynamics.

Figure 7. Theoretical estimates for the (left) diabatic and (right) adiabatic free energies of BA in propylene carbonate as a function of the solvent coordinate. The free energy parameters are: $k_s = 21.0 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$, $\Delta F^0 = 5.0 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$, and $H_{LE,CT} = 1.0 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$. See ref. 3 and 4 for details of the simulation.

Figure 8. (upper panel) Theoretical estimate for the adiabatic S_1 surface of BA in

27

propylene carbonate as a function of the solvent coordinate. (lower panel) The square of the coefficient of the LE character in the adiabatic S_1 state. The free energy parameters for both the panels are the same as those in Figure 7.

Figure 9. (upper panel) Time-dependent probability distribution function $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$ on the S₁ surface of BA in propylene carbonate obtained from a generalized Langevin equation (GLE) and C(t) (eqn 4-2) with A₁ = 0.46, A₂ = 0.54, $\tau_1 = 0.43$ ps, and $\tau_2 = 4.1$ ps for the solvation dynamics. (lower panel) $\rho_{S_1}(z,t)$ for the same chemical obtained from a generalized Smoluchowski equation (GSE) and the same functional form as above for the solvation dynamics. See refs. 4 and 3 for details of the GLE and GSE simulations, respectively.

Figure 10. Simulation of the time-resolved emission spectra of BA in propylene carbonate obtained from the distribution function on the S₁ surface shown in the lower panel of Figure 8. A single exponential function of C(t) (eqn 4-2) with $\tau_s = 2.4$ ps was used for the solvation dynamics. The ratio of the transition dipole moments (μ_{CT}/μ_{LE}) (eqns 2-28 and 2-29) is 0.32 in the simulation.

Figure 11. (upper panel) The integrated emission intensity of the simulated time-resolved spectra (Figure 10) obtained from eqn 2-26 for BA in propylene carbonate (PC). (middle panel) The generalized survival ($S_G(t)$) for the adiabatic et reaction on the S_1 surface of ADMA in PC obtained "exactly" from eqn 2-18. (lower panel) The transient decay dynamics of the simulated emission spectra (Figure 10) at 413 nm ("the blue edge"). For all the panels a single exponential function of C(t) (eqn 4-2) with $\tau_s = 2.4$ ps was used to represent the solvation dynamics.





· · · Jure · ·

Figure 2





Figure 4





>







Figure 9



Figure 10



TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2)^{*} Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

Dr. James S. Murday (1) Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Robert Green, Director (1) Chemistry Division, Code 385 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer (1) Code 2840 David Taylor Research Center Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. Elek Lindner (1) Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Commanding Officer (1) Naval Weapons Support Center Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane, Indiana 47522-5050 Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1) Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1) David Taylor Research Center Code 283 Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Chief of Naval Research (1) Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code 00MC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Defense Technical Information Center (2) Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

* Number of copies to forward