

UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

CABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010-54220

TOXICOLOGICAL STUDY NO. 75-51-0497-91 PHASE 5 EFFECTS OF INGESTION OF ZINC NAPHTHENATE ON THE REPRODUCTION FUNCTION OF RATS JANUARY 1987 - FEBRUARY 1988

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

91 4 22 052

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

,"

| REPORT | ON PAGE Form Approved OMB No. 0704- | | | orm Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | | 16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS | | | |
| 28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | 3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT | | | | |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU | Approved f unlimited | or public r | release; d | istribution | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE | R(S) | 5. MONITORING | ORGANIZATION | REPORT NUMB | ER(S) |
| 75-51-0497-91 | | | | | |
| 6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Environmental | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) HSHB-MO-T | 7a. NAME OF M | ONITORING ORGA | ANIZATION | |
| Hygiene Agency 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZiP Code) | | | 54-40 and 7/0 | Parts | |
| Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21 | 010-5422 | 7b. ADDRESS (Cit | | | |
| 88. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION | 85. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 9. PROCUREMENT | I INSTRUMENT IC | DENTIFICATION | NUMBER |
| Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | | 10. SOURCE OF F | UNDING NUMBER | RS | |
| | | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. | PROJECT NO. | TASK NO. | WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Dha | | | | | |
| Reproductive Function of Rats, | se 5, Effects o Study No. 75-51 | -0497-91 | of Zinc Nap | hthenate c | on the |
| 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Mark W. Michie, Richard A. Anger | rhofer, Mary P. | Barlow, Patr | ricia A. Be | a]] | |
| | 1 87 TO Feb 88 | 14. DATE OF REPO | RT (Year, Month, | | SE COUNT |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | | | | |
| 17. COSATI CODES | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (| Continue on reverse | if necessary and | d identify by bl | lock number) |
| FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP | Zinc Naphthena | ate, M-Gard W | 1-550, Wood | | |
| | TT-W-572 Repro | oduction Stud | ly | | |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary a This Study was conducted to dete administration to mate of a cond | rmine the effec | ts on reprod | luction, if | any, of o | ral |
| administration to rats of a cand indicated that rats fed a diet of loss This weight loss had no | indate wood pres | ervative, zi | nc naphthe | nate. Fin | dings |
| TAAAT THIS WELDIL LUSS HAD ND P | TTPCT OD MAT164 | <u>OF 1195591441</u> | | | A |
| Achieracionis of fals on Slund. | DEFETORE 71DC | nanhthonsto | 1.130 mod | | |
| reproductive hazard in rats unde for the use of appropriate perso | T THE CODDITION |)C At this at | udu Deee | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT | | 21 ABCTBACT | INDITY CLASSIFIC | | |
| UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RP | | 21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIFIE | | | |
| 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Mark W. Michie | | 226. TELEPHONE (II | nclude Area Code | | |
| D Form 1473, JUN 86 | Previous editions are o | (301) 671- | | HSHB-MO | N OF THIS PAGE |

2





REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY TOXICOLOGICAL STUDY NO. 75-51-0497-91 PHASE 5 EFFECTS OF INGESTION OF ZINC NAPHTHENATE ON THE REPRODUCTION FUNCTION OF RATS JANUARY 1987 - FEBRUARY 1988

1. PURPOSE. The U.S. Army is considering alternatives for the replacement of pentachlorophenol as a wood preservative for use on wooden ammunition packaging, pallets and skids. Increasing awareness of health hazards associated with the use of pentachlorophenol has prompted an investigation into other commercially available products. This study was conducted to determine the effects on reproduction, if any, of oral administration to rats of a candidate wood treatment, zinc naphthenate. Results will be used to establish potential health effects to personnel involved in handling and applying wood preservatives, or handling the treated materials.

2. ESSENTIAL FINDINGS. Rats fed a diet of 0.5 percent zinc naphthenate experienced a significant weight loss when compared to the lower dosage groups and control group. This weight loss had no effect on mating performance or viability of offspring over the two generations of rats on study.

3. CONCLUSIONS. Under the conditions of this study, zinc naphthenate was found not to be a reproductive hazard in rats. Concentrated solutions of zinc naphthenate are capable of producing toxic effects and are to be considered hazardous.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS. Use appropriate personal protection when handling all wood preservatives, including zinc naphthenate.

ABREATING # 400 X 3 . C.A.1 2000 3 Sec. 2 1.291 - 1.5 States ميجيد المتحدين By a superior of the second Plant. AND LEAF A TO DARK and an and a stranger and the state of the s



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND. MARYLAND 21010-6422

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

HSHB-MO-T

TOXICOLOGICAL STUDY NO. 75-51-0497-91 PHASE 5 EFFECTS OF INGESTION OF ZINC NAPHTHENATE ON THE REPRODUCTION FUNCTION OF RATS JANUARY 1987 - FEBRUARY 1988

1. REFERENCES. See Appendix A for a list of references.

2. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, AFPMB, Armed Forces Pest Management Board, Washington, DC, 25 September 1984, subject: Toxicology of Wood Preservatives.

b. Letter, DASG-PSP, Office of the Surgeon General, 3 May 1984, subject: Toxicological Hazards of Pentachlorophenol, Copper Naphthenate, Copper-8-Quinolinolate, and Zinc Naphthenate.

3. PURPOSE. This study was conducted to determine the effects, if any, of oral ingestion of zinc naphthenate on parental rat activities from mating through lactation and in growth and offspring development from conception through maturity over two generations of rats.

4. BACKGROUND.

a. The U.S. Army Armament Research and Development Command (ARDC) has taken action to eliminate reference to Federal Specification TT-W-572, Wood Preservative: Water-Repellent, from those specifications for which that command has custody. This specification covers generic types of pentachlorophenol, copper naphthenate, and copper-8-quinolinolate. In lieu of that reference, ARDC has listed several commercially available preservatives in each specification pertaining to treated wooden ammunition packing, pallets, and skids. Included in the listed preservatives are copper-8-quinolinolate, copper naphthenate, and zinc naphthenate.

Use of trademarked/company names does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Army but is intended only to assist in identification of a specific product.

b. U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory (USAMBRDL) has conducted both a literature search and several acute toxicity studies on alternative wood preservatives. The literature search indicated that there was limited data available on the compounds to be studied (reference 1, Appendix A).

c. A further search of the data bases at the National Library of Medicine and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) confirmed the need to compile additional toxicity information for zinc naphthenate.

d. The results of other toxicity studies performed by this Agency on similar materials have been reported in previous Agency publications (references 2, 3 and 4, Appendix A).

5. MATERIALS.

a. Zinc naphthenate, $Zn(C_{6}H_{5}COO)_{2}$ CAS number 12001-85-3, is the reaction product of zinc oxide and naphthenic acids. It is a tarry, dark brown semi-solid with a pungent odor and contains 13.7 percent zinc. Synonyms for zinc naphthenate include zinc uversol and the zinc salt of naphthenic acid. The material used in this study was supplied by Mooney Chemicals Inc., Cleveland, Ohio, and was contained in two, plastic, 5-gallon containers. Upon receipt at this Agency, lot number P-17448 was tested and determined to be 97 percent pure.

b. Mazola® corn oil was used as a vehicle to dissolve zinc naphthenate and facilitate mixing in feed. Corn oil was also included in the control feed group to discount any toxic effects that may be caused by the vehicle.

c. Certified Rodent Ration used for this study was purchased from Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, Pennsylvania. The ration arrived in the form of lab block and was ground to a uniform consistency using a Straub Grinding Mill, Model 4E, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

6. ANIMALS AND DOSAGE SELECTION.

a. A pilot study was first conducted using 72 Sprague Dawley COBS, CD rats (six groups, each of six male and six female) in accordance with reference 5, Appendix A, Standing Operating Procedure, Reproduction Study in Rats. Dosages for the study

Mazola is a registered trademark of Best Foods, CPC
 International, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

were calculated on a percent diet basis and were derived from expected food consumption and toxic signs seen in the acute oral studies. The concentration of zinc naphthenate in the feed ranged from 0.13 percent (1,300 ppm) in the low dosage group to 2.10 percent (21,000 ppm) in the high dosage group.

b. Sprague Dawley COBS, CD rats (120 female and 120 male), 5 weeks of age, were purchased from Charles River Laboratories of Wilmington, Massachusetts. Upon arrival, animals were randomly placed in hanging wire cages 41 cm wide, 36 cm deep, 17 cm high, three per cage, 40 cages per sex. Cages were numbered 1 through 80 with water and ground rat chow available *ad libitum*. On the following day, animals were weighed and assigned to four non-statistically different (by weight) dosage groups. All animals were then consecutively toe clipped for easy identification. Body weights and feed consumption were monitored during this pretreatment period.

c. After a 2-week quarantine, animals were judged to be fit by the veterinarian in charge and released for study start. All ground rat chow was discarded and replaced with treated feed of the appropriate dosage. Each dosage group consisted of 10 cages of male rats and 10 cages of female rats, 3 rats per cage. Cage labels and feed containers were color coded throughout the study to avoid administration of improper feed.

d. Three dosage levels of zinc naphthenate and a corn oil control were employed. The levels were as shown in Table 1. Dosages of zinc naphthenate were based on the previously conducted pilot study which indicated effects between 2,700 ppm and 5,000 ppm in both parental sexes and their offspring. Compound concentration in feed remained at a constant level throughout the entire study with no attempts to adjust for body weight gains.

| Percent Zinc Naphthenate | PPM |
|-----------------------------|---|
| In Diet | Zinc Naphthenate |
| 0.00 | 0 |
| 0.05 | 500 |
| 0.10 | 1000 |
| 0.50 | 5000 |
| | Zinc Naphthenate In Diet 0.00 0.05 0.10 |

TABLE 1. DOSAGE LEVELS

e. Feed was prepared on a weekly basis by dissolving the appropriate amount of zinc naphthenate in corn oil with the aid of heat, while keeping the total volume of corn oil/zinc naphthenate for each dosage group constant. These solutions were, in turn, poured into ground rodent ration and thoroughly blended with a mechanical mixer. To ensure homogeneity and accuracy of the preparation, samples were extracted from each batch of feed and analyzed for zinc content by atomic absorption.

f. Water and treated feed were provided ad libitum to all animals. Efforts were made to maintain room temperature at 70° F (65-75), with a relative humidity of 50 percent (40-60). Artificial lighting was provided for 12 hours daily between 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

7. METHODS.

The 240 rats purchased from Charles Rivers Laboratories a. were designated as the P generation. After the 2-week holding period, rats were fed the zinc naphthenate diet for 10 weeks while sexes remained separate. Body weights and feed consumption were recorded three times per week during the exposure period. Animals were checked daily for toxic signs. Mating was begun on the eleventh week by pairing each female with a male of the same dosage group. Mating success was checked daily and was determined by the presence of sperm plugs on cage pads. When a positive mating was achieved, the females were removed from their wire cages and housed individually in polycarbonate boxes, where bedding material was provided. Animals not showing evidence of mating after 1 week were paired with a male of the same dosage group that had successfully mated. Again, after the second week, females failing to mate were given mates that were proven. Following the third week of mating, all remaining females for which there was no evidence of mating were also placed in polycarbonate boxes.

b. The P generation males were continued on treated feed until being submitted for necropsy on a staggered basis. Animals were euthanized by CO^2 , examined grossly, and tissues removed (testes, epididymides, seminal vesicles, prostate, pituitary, liver, kidneys), for histopathologic examination in accordance with reference 6, Appendix A.

c. All P generation females were continually fed the treated diet during mating, gestation, and lactation. Dams were checked daily for new births or birthing complications.

d. Pups born to P dams were designated as the F, generation. Individual body weights, abnormalities, mortalities, and total litter weights were noted on day 0, 4, 7, 14, and 21 post partum. On day 4 post partum, litters were standardized by randomly culling to four males and four females each, or as close to equal numbers of sexes as permitted. Litters with less than eight pups on day 4 remained intact.

e. A table of random numbers was used to preselect 120 male and 120 female pups from the F, generation for continuation of the study. The remaining F, pups were submitted for gross only necropsy at weaning.

f. The P generation dams were also sacrificed by CO_2 at the time of weaning of the F, generation. All dams were examined grossly while the vagina, uterus, ovaries, pituitary gland, liver, and kidneys were removed for histopathologic examination.

g. The F, generation rats selected for study continuation were uniquely identified by toe clip and housed in the same manner as the P rats. To avoid severe inbreeding in the F,'s later in the study, P generation dam numbers were recorded for all F, rats kept for continuation. Administration of the appropriate feed dosages continued for 10 weeks following the last wean date, with daily observations, consumption, and body weights being monitored three times a week.

h. Mating of the F, rats was accomplished in the same manner as the P rats, with the exception of the care taken to avoid mating rats of the same litters. Again dosing continued through mating, gestation, and lactation of the F_1 's.

i. Following the 3-week mating period, F_1 males were necropsied, taking sex and target organs for histopathologic examination. At weaning, five F_2 males and five F_2 female pups were selected from each group for removal of sex organs, liver, and kidneys for histopathology. The remaining F_2 pups were examined grossly while F_1 dams had organs taken for histopathologic examination.

j. In addition to the periodic sacrifices mentioned above, any animal dying spontaneously or for some reason removed from the study at an early date was submitted for gross necropsy. Gross necropsies consisted of the examination of all external surfaces, orifices, brain and spinal cord, thoracic, abdominal and pelvic cavities, and organs therein.

8. RESULTS.

a. <u>Pilot Study</u>. Male and female rats receiving 1 and 2 percent zinc naphthenate in their diet (10,000 ppm and 20,000 ppm) showed reduced body weights following 4 weeks of compound

administration. Both sexes of rats in these groups also became lethargic and experienced urogenital staining from compound consumption. Mating of rats within their respective groups indicated the 2 percent zinc naphthenate group required twice the mating time as did the other five dosage groups. Litters born of the highest two dosage groups (one and two percent) experienced reduced birth numbers and reduced total birth weights. At the time of weaning, offspring numbers and weights for these highdosage group litters were greatly reduced when compared to litters of lower dosage groups and controls.

b. <u>P (Parental) Generation</u>.

(1) The P generation female rats in the 0.5 percent group (5,000 ppm) experienced significantly reduced body weights by week 3 of compound administration, and continued through week 10 (Appendix B). Appendix C shows the depressed weight gains experienced in the high-dosage group, while the control, low, and mid-dosage groups gained at similar rates.

(2) Male rats fed a diet of 5,000 ppm zinc naphthenate demonstrated significantly decreased body weights by week 8 of the 10 week dosing period (Appendix D). Appendix E is a graphic representation of male body weights prior to breeding, showing the gradual effects of the 0.5 percent zinc naphthenate diet or body weights.

(3) Weekly monitoring of feed consumption during the 10 week exposure period indicated all female rats ate similar portions, regardless of the dosage group (Appendix F). The same was also true for male rats, regardless of the zinc naphthenate concentration in the feed.

(4) Appendix G shows the actual zinc naphthenate consumption in mg/kg/day for rats in all dosage groups during the treatment period.

(5) Mating was attempted with 30 rats in each dosage group as presented in Appendix H. The fertility index for all dosage groups was comparable, with pregnancies resulting in between 79 and 86 percent of all rats. All dams produced live litters, with pups in all dosage groups remaining viable through lactation.

(6) A comparison of litter size using the Student "t" probability test showed a significant reduction in the highdosage group when compared with that of the control group (Appendix I). Due to the fewer numbers of pups born to the 0.5 percent group dams, litter birth weights were also decreased to a significant degree. However, individual pup weights in this

high-dosage group were similar to those of the control group, while the pup weights of the low-dosage group were found to be slightly higher.

(7) At weaning, pups of the 0.5 percent dosage group had significantly lower body weights than the control, 0.05 percent, or 0.1 percent dosage groups.

(8) Male rats in the 0.5 percent group appeared to become lethargic after week 9 of the dosing period. Alopecia was prevalent in the mid- and high-dosage animals of both sexes, and their offspring.

(9) One male rat (No. 581) from the 0.1 percent dosage group was found cannibalized while the rats were group housed, prior to mating. Fighting was the apparent cause of death.

(10) Dam No. 496 of the 0.5 percent dosage group delivered all dead pups as a result of her water bottle not being filled over the weekend period prior to her delivery. As a result, the dam was removed from the study and was not included in any statistical determinations.

(11) Histopathological examination of P generation rat tissues revealed compound-related lesions in the kidneys of male rats of the 0.5 percent dosage group. These lesions consisted of accumulations of amorphous to slightly granular, lightly eosinophilic material in the lumina of renal tubules, particularly near the corticomedullary junction. The term "nephrosis" was used to describe the lesions which were considered microscopically distinct from the intraluminal accumulations of homogeneous proteinic material associated with the spontaneous degenerative nephropathy syndrome of rats. "Epithelial regeneration" of the renal tubules was evident, which may be associated with a variety of degenerative processes, especially the spontaneous degenerative nephropathy syndrome, mentioned above. However the increased incidence of this regeneration observed in the high-dosage rats suggests a compound-related effect. These lesions were not so advanced as to expect a clinically detectable effect on renal function. Lower concentrations of compound did not produce these effects, and all other findings were considered incidental (reference 7, Appendix A).

c. <u>F. Generation</u>.

(1) Body weights of female rats in the 0.5 percent dosage group were significantly depressed from the first week of the dosing period through week 10, when compared to the control group weights (Appendix J). Elevated body weights were observed in the 0.05 percent group from weeks 5 through 10. A graphic representation of these body weights is shown in Appendix K.

(2) As with the female rats, male rats in the 0.5 percent dosage group experienced significantly reduced lowered body weights throughout the pre-mating period (Appendix L). Again, male rats in the 0.05 percent group exceeded the body weights of the control and mid-dosage groups during weeks 7 through 10. Appendix M presents this data in graphic form.

(3) Feed consumption figures during the dosing period revealed that all groups of rats, both male and female, ate similar portions of chow, and were not influenced by compound concentrations (Appendix N).

(4) Appendix O presents actual zinc naphthenate consumption in mg/kg/day for the F, generation during the premating period.

(5) Mating time for all F, rats proved to be of a longer duration than the P generation, with an average of 4.8 days before signs of mating were observed (Appendix P). Mating was attempted with 29-30 rats in each dosage group. Pregnancies resulted in 67 percent and 60 percent of the control and 0.05 percent groups, respectively, while 93 percent and 97 percent of the 0.1 percent and 0.5 percent animals became pregnant. All pregnancies resulted in live litters with the exception of one 0.5 percent dam (No. 238), which produced all stillborn fetuses after being unable to deliver at the predicted time. Pup survivability was similar for all dosage groups, through lactation.

(6) Appendix Q is a summary of the F_2 pups produced by the F, dams. Litter size and weights at birth were alike for all dosage groups. At weaning, individual pup weights as well as litter weights were significantly lowered in the 0.5 percent group.

(7) Dam No. 207 of the 0.1 percent dosage group was removed from study due to a delivery during the pre-mating period. Mis-sexing and group housing of rats resulted in an early sacrifice for this dam.

(8) Dam No. 146, a control group animal, was found dead at approximately 17 days of pregnancy, and was submitted for necropsy. No apparent reason could be determined for the cause of death.

(9) One control group dam (No. 140) gave birth to a pup suffering from craniorachischisis partialisis, which was considered to be a spontaneous abnormality, not associated with the test regimen.

(10) Histopathological examination of tissues from the F, generation rats again showed "nephrosis" and "tubular regeneration" in the kidneys of a small number of male rats which was apparently associated with compound administration. All other findings were considered incidental or associated with spontaneous disease complexes of rats (reference 8, Appendix A).

9. DISCUSSION.

(1) The P generation rats fed a diet of 0.5 percent zinc naphthenate exhibited depressed body weights even though they consumed comparable amounts of chow when compared to other dose groups. Ingestion of large amounts of zinc has been reported to cause gastroenteritis, resulting in growth retardation (reference 9, Appendix A). Pups produced by dams in this group were of normal size at birth but, by the time of weaning, they too showed significantly lowered body weights due to maternal stress during lactation. The reduced litter size at birth observed in this group may also be attributed to maternal stress experienced during pregnancy.

Lethargy, observed among the 0.5 percent males, did (2) not have an effect on their breeding performance. All groups of P generation rats had similar fertility indices, within historical limits. A differentiation was seen in the mating success of the F, generation rats between the 500 ppm and 1,000 ppm dosages. Rats in the control and low-dosage groups had significantly less mating success than observed in the P generation, while rats in the mid- and high-dosage groups outperformed those observed in the initial breeding. Diets of corn oil over two generations may have influenced breeding performance in the control and low-dosage groups. Fertility indicies of the mid- and high-dosage groups appear to have been effected by the high concentrations of zinc in their diet. High levels of zinc are commonly found in the male reproductive system and the epididymis, prostate, and testes of various species.

(3) The increase in pup size (F_1) observed at birth in the low-dosage group P generation dams may be attributed to the fact that dams of this dosage group were slightly (but not significantly) larger at the time of breeding. This fact also attributed to the significant body weight increases observed in the mature male and female rats of the F_1 generation, when compared to the control groups.

10. CONCLUSIONS.

(1) The continuous diets of zinc naphthenate employed in this study produced no adverse effects on the reproductive function of rats over two generations.

9

(2) Pup survivability from gestation through lactation was unaffected by the regimen of zinc naphthenate.

(3) Reduced body weights, observed at the 0.5 percent (5,000 ppm) dosage level in both male and female rats, indicated the onset of paternal and maternal toxicity, which would occur before a reproductive hazard would be observed.

11. QUALITY ASSURANCE. This study was conducted under the surveillance of the Analytical Quality Assurance Division, AEHA, in accordance with the guidelines listed in Appendix R.

Mark W. Michie

MARK W. MICHIE Biologist Toxicology Division

Richard a anda

RICHARD A. ANGERHOFER Biologist Toxicology Division

Marit Inrlow

MARY P. BARLOW SGT, USA Animal Care Specialist Toxicology Division

1. Bustl

PATRICIA A. BEALL Biological Laboratory Technician Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

ight My illes

MAURICE H. WEEKS Chief, Toxicology Division

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

1. Preliminary Report, U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, undated, subject: A Preliminary Toxicological Evaluation of Eight Chemicals Used as Wood Preservatives.

2. Phase 1, Preliminary Assessment of the Relative Toxicity of Zinc Naphthenate, Study No. 75-51-0497-87, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, 21 April 1987.

3. Phase 2, Preliminary Assessment of the Relative Toxicity of Copper Naphthenate, Acute Studies, Study No. 75-51-0497-88, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, 5 January 1988.

4. Phase 3, Preliminary Assessment of the Relative Toxicity of Copper Naphthenate, Acute Studies, Study No. 75-51-0497-88, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, 16 November 1988.

5. Standing Operating Procedure (SOP), Reproduction Study in Rats, Toxicology Division, USAEHA, August 1986 revision.

6. Standing Operating Procedure (SOP), Pathology Laboratory, Toxicology Division, USAEHA, August 1986 revision.

7. Final Pathology Report, Reproduction Study in Rats, USAEHA Project No. 51-0497-87, George A. Parker, DVM, 30 December 1987.

8. Final Pathology Report, Reproduction Study in Rats, USAEHA Project No. 51-0497-87, George A. Parker, DVM, 2 April 1988.

9. Doull, J., Klaassen, C.D., Amdur, M.O., <u>Casarett and Doull's</u> <u>Toxicology</u>, Second Edition, Macmillian Publishing Co., Inc., 1980.

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF P FEMALE BODY WEIGHTS (gms)

| WEEK |) cc | CONTROL | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|--------------------|-----------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0 | x SD t DF | 154 10 | $155 \\ 11 \\ 0.21 \\ 58$ | $158 \\ 12 \\ 1.23 \\ 58$ | 153 11 0.59 58 |
| 1 | x SD t DF | 180 11 | 180 13 0.11 58 | $182 \\ 16 \\ 0.71 \\ 58$ | 174 12 1.97 58 |
| 2 | x SD t DF | 201 13 | 203 15 0.69 58 | 204 17 0.72 58 | $\substack{195\\14\\1.57\\58}$ |
| 3 | x SD t DF | 219 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 221\\18\\0.44\\58\end{array}$ | 221 20 0.44 58 | 210 15 2.39* 58 |
| 4 | x SD t DF | 233 15 | 236 21 0.80 58 | 235 22 0.49 58 | 58 218 25 2.79* 58 |
| 5 | x SD t DF | 245 16 | 248 22 0.60 58 | 246 23 0.21 58 | 233 18 2.84* 58 |
| 6 | x SD t DF | 254 19 | 256 23 0.36 58 | 255 24 0.17 58 | 239 18 3.22* 58 |
| 7 | x SD t DF | 262 19 | 266 24 0.74 58 | 264 26 0.43 58 | 246 18 3.33* 58 |
| 8 | SD t DF | 268 20 | 275 25 1.19 58 | 273 27 0.77 58 | 251 19 3.32* 58 |
| 9 | x SD t DF | 278 22 | 283 28 0.82 58 | 282 27 0.57 58 | 260 21 3.23* 58 |
| 10 | x SD t DF | 277 23 | $287 \\ 32 \\ 1.46 \\ 58$ | 284 29 1.15 58 | 260 21 2.87* 58 |

* Indicates significance at the 0.05 level of probability.

B-1



GRAMG

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF P MALE BODY WEIGHTS (gms)

| WEEK | C co | ONTROL rn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0 | x SD t DF | 201 19 | 199 15 0.58 58 | 202 16 0.17 58 | 199 21 0.50 58 |
| 1 | x SD t DF | 257 27 | 259 17 0.34 58 | $266 \\ 17 \\ 1.40 \\ 58$ | 252 28 0.80 58 |
| 2 | x SD t DF | 310 38 | $311 \\ 20 \\ 0.13 \\ 58$ | 317 19 0.91 58 | 304 27 0.69 58 |
| 3 | x SD t DF | 353 34 | 357 23 0.43 58 | 362 21 1.13 58 | 343 30 1.24 58 |
| 4 | x SD t DF | 390 40 | 393 25 0.38 58 | 399 24 1.08 58 | 378 33 1.29 58 |
| 5 | x SD t DF | 418 43 | 424 28 0.61 58 | 429 28 1.14 58 | 405 37 1.30 58 |
| 6 | x SD t DF | 444 46 | 450 30 0.62 58 | 454 33 0.98 58 | 428 39 1.39 58 |
| 7 | X SD t DF | 472 51 | 474 34 0.20 58 | 478 36 0.51 57 | 448 43 1.91 58 |
| 8 | X SD t DF | 495 55 | 499 39 0.29 58 | 500 39 0.36 57 | 470 45 2.02* 58 |
| 9 | x SD t DF | 518 59 | 521 41 0.22 58 | 521 41 0.19 57 | 490 47 2.07* 58 |
| 10 | x SD t DF | 526 62 | 527 43 0.12 58 | $529 \\ 41 \\ 0.21 \\ 57$ | 497 49 2.01* 58 |

* Indicates significance at the 0.05 level of probability.





GRAMS

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88

APPENDIX F

SUMMARY OF P AVERAGE FEED CONSUMPTION (g/kg/day)

| WEEK | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|---------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 0 | male | 97.5 | 96.5 | 98.5 | 94.0 |
| | female | 92.9 | 96.1 | 97.4 | 95.4 |
| 1 | male | 95.8 | 95.8 | 97.9 | 97.4 |
| - | female | 94.7 | 99.4 | 98.3 | 99.4 |
| 2 | male | 78.2 | 81.9 | 85.3 | 79.2 |
| 2 | female | 81.0 | 86.8 | 84.8 | 84.7 |
| | | | | | |
| 3 | male | 71.3 | 73.0 | 73.2 | 74.2 |
| | female | 77.9 | 86.1 | 81.9 | 80.5 |
| 4 | male | 66.7 | 67.8 | 67.3 | 66.7 |
| | female | 78.2 | 81.4 | 78.7 | 77.5 |
| 5 | male | 64.2 | 61.3 | 60.6 | 62.3 |
| | female | 72.2 | 72.4 | 73.0 | 71.2 |
| 6 | male | 59.0 | 58.1 | 57.5 | 59.0 |
| | female | 71.8 | 74.8 | 71.5 | 70.0 |
| 7 | male | 55.3 | 55.3 | 53.4 | 52.9 |
| | female | 68.3 | 70.7 | 70.5 | 66.7 |
| 8 | male | 53.1 | 53.5 | 56.1 | 53.1 |
| | female | 63.5 | 66.3 | 66.5 | 64.5 |
| 9 | male | 48.8 | 49.5 | 48.8 | 49.6 |
| | female | 56.9 | 58.2 | 61.2 | 62.7 |
| 10 | male | 47.7 | 48.5 | 47.7 | 47.5 |
| * V | female | | | | 60.9 |
| | Teme Te | 58.8 | 57.5 | 60.8 | 00.3 |

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88 APPENDIX G

SUMMARY OF P AVERAGE ZNNA CONSUMPTION (mg/kg/day)

| WEEK | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|--------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | male | 0 | 48 | 98 | 487 |
| | female | 0 | 50 | 98 | 497 |
| 2 | male | 0 | 41 | 85 | 396 |
| | female | 0 | 43 | 85 | 423 |
| 3 | male | 0 | 37 | 73 | 371 |
| | female | 0 | 43 | 82 | 402 |
| 4 | male | 0 | 34 | 67 | 347 |
| | female | 0 | 41 | 79 | 388 |
| 5 | male | 0 | 31 | 61 | 312 |
| | female | 0 | 36 | 73 | 356 |
| 6 | male | 0 | 29 | 58 | 295 |
| | female | 0 | 37 | 72 | 350 |
| 7 | male | 0 | 28 | 53 | 265 |
| | female | 0 | 35 | 71 | 333 |
| 8 | male | 0 | 27 | 56 | 266 |
| | female | 0 | 33 | 67 | 323 |
| 9 | male | 0 | 25 | 49 | 248 |
| | female | 0 | 29 | 61 | 314 |
| 10 | male | 0 | 24 | 48 | 237 |
| | female | 0 | 29 | 61 | 305 |

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb88

APPENDIX H

SUMMARY OF P MATING AND PUP SURVIVABILITY

| | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|---|---------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| MATING DAYS | SD | 2.0 | 2.9 3.3 | 3.1 2.9 | 2.9 2.3 |
| GESTATION DURATION | x SD | 22.1 0.3 | 22.2 0.4 | 22.3 | 22.1 0.4 |
| FERTILITY INDEX | | | | | |
| No. mating attempt | S | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| No. observed matin | gs | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| No. positive matin | gs | 23 | 25 | 23 | 25 |
| Pregnancies | | 82% | 86% | 79% | 86% |
| GESTATION INDEX | | | | | |
| No. pups born | | 336 | 330 | 300 | 294 |
| No. born alive | | 330 | 327 | 297 | 291 |
| Percent live litte | rs | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| VIABILITY INDEX | | | | | |
| No. surviving @ da | у4 | 328 | 318 | 297 | 283 |
| Percent surviving | 0-4 | 98% | 988 | 99% | 97% |
| LACTATION INDEX | | | | | |
| No. surviving day | 4-21 | 200 | 205 | 187 | 191 |
| No. surviving day (after standariza Percent surviving | 4-21 |) 100% | 100% | 100% | 998 |

H-1

| Phase | 5, | Toxicologic | al Study | No. | 75-51-049 | 7-91, | Jan | 87 | - Feb | 88 |
|-------|----|-------------|----------|-----|-----------|-------|-----|----|-------|----|
|-------|----|-------------|----------|-----|-----------|-------|-----|----|-------|----|

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88 APPENDIX I

SUMMARY OF P (F1) PUPS

| | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| LITTER SIZE | | | | | |
| No. birth | SD DF | 13.2 1.8 | 12.4 2.7 1.18 48 | 12.4 3.1 1.17 47 | 11.6 2.9 2.30* 48 |
| LITTER WEIGHTS | | | | | |
| Birth (grams) | x SD t DF | 83.4 11.8 | 81.1 15.6 0.59 48 | 81.2 19.5 0.48 47 | 70.0 17.3 3.18* 48 |
| Weaning (grams) | SD t DF | 499 36.7 | 506 31.1 0.68 48 | 485 59.8 1.01 47 | 390 83.7 5.98* 48 |
| PUP WEIGHTS | | | | | |
| Birth (grams) | SD T DF | 6.4 0.5 | 6.7 0.5 2.02* 48 | 6.6 0.5 1.64 47 | 6.3 0.6 0.60 48 |
| Weaning (grams) | SD t DF | 62.4 4.6 | $ \begin{array}{r} 64.3 \\ 4.2 \\ 1.57 \\ 48 \\ \end{array} $ | 62.3 4.2 0.05 47 | 50.6 6.5 7.33* 48 |
| SEX PROPORTIONS | | | | | |
| Male | | 498 | 51% | 51% | 48% |
| Female | | 51% | 49% | 49% | 52% |

* Indicates significance at the 0.05 level of probalility.

Study #75-51-0497-88, Jan 87 - Feb 88

APPENDIX J

SUMMARY OF F1 FEMALE BODY WEIGHTS (gms)

| WEEK | сс сс | ONTROL orn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|--------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | x SD t DF | 127 11 | 129 12 0.75 57 | 121 15 1.82 58 | 109 10 56.44* |
| 2 | x SD t DF | 163 13 | 165 19 0.51 58 | 161 17 0.38 58 | 142 17 58.30* |
| 3 | x SD t DF | 183 13 | 187 17 187 187 187 187 187 187 | $188 \\ 22 \\ 1.11 \\ 58$ | 164 14 5.29* 58 |
| 4 | X SD t DF | 213 17 | $219 \\ 17 \\ 1.53 \\ 58$ | 206 43 0.81 58 | 58 187 15 6.26* 58 |
| 5 | X SD t DF | 233 18 | 243 17 2.10* 58 | $234 \\ 22 \\ 0.11 \\ 58$ | 207 17 5.75* 58 |
| 6 | x SD t DF | 243 20 | 255 19 2.49* 58 | $246 \\ 24 \\ 0.65 \\ 58$ | 218 16 58.39* |
| 7 | x SD t DF | 257 21 | 272 21 2.70* 58 | 263 30 0.82 58 | 232 18 5.00* 58 |
| 8 | x SD t DF | 269 22 | 286 23 2.82* 58 | 270 26 0.17 57 | 242 19 5.02* 58 |
| 9 | x SD t DF | 278 24 | 293 24 2.49* 58 | 281 29 0.46 57 | 235 53.95* 58 |
| 10 | X SD t DF | 284 26 | 302 25 2.69* 58 | 285 28 0.18 57 | 254 21 4.83* 58 |

* Indicates significance at the 0.05 level of probability.





Q M A M Q

K-1

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88

APPENDIX L

SUMMARY OF F1 MALE BODY WEIGHTS (gms)

| WEEK | C cc | ONTROL orn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | x SD t DF | 146 12 | 151 17 17.44 57.44 | $145 \\ 23 \\ 0.25 \\ 58$ | $ \begin{array}{r}131\\22\\3.32*\\57\end{array}\right) $ |
| 2 | x SD t DF | 210 16 | 215 30 0.71 58 | 207 30 0.45 58 | 187 30 3.78* 58 |
| 3 | x SD t DF | 274 21 | 279 34 0.65 58 | 58 250 36 3.28* 58 | 228 34 6.40* 58 |
| 4 | x SD t DF | 338 26 | 344 39 0.79 58 | 326 46 1.12 58 | 302 39 4.20* 58 |
| 5 | x SD t DF | 393 31 | 407 43 1.37 58 | 383 47 0.98 58 | 354 41 4.21* 58 |
| 6 | x SD t DF | 430 39 | 449 44 1.81 58 | 421 49 0.78 58 | 385 42 425* 58 |
| 7 | X SD t DF | 471 41 | 496 46 2.28* 58 | 465 52 0.50 58 | 58 425 45 4.04* 58 |
| 8 | X SD t DF | 504 48 | 532 50 2.19* 58 | 495 54 0.67 58 | 453 49 4.05* 58 |
| 9 | x SD t DF | 530 52 | 561 54 2.27* 58 | 518 56 0.84 58 | 477 51 4.03* 58 |
| 10 | x SD t DF | 557 57 | 591 60 2.26* 58 | 545 59 0.81 58 | 58 499 55 4.05* 58 |





0 V V V V

Study #75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88

APPENDIX N

SUMMARY OF F1 AVERAGE FEED CONSUMPTION (g/kg/day)

| WEEK | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
|------|--------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | male | 125.9 | 122.4 | 125.4 | 127.4 |
| | female | 116.4 | 112.6 | 120.9 | 116.2 |
| 2 | male | 107.0 | 108.3 | 109.1 | 111.2 |
| | female | 107.6 | 103.1 | 98.1 | 100.7 |
| 3 | male | 98.4 | 97.7 | 98.0 | 100.4 |
| | female | 95.6 | 93.6 | 91.7 | 93.2 |
| 4 | male | 85.0 | 86.2 | 89.0 | 91.6 |
| | female | 84.5 | 84.9 | 84.5 | 83.4 |
| 5 | male | 72.3 | 75.3 | 71.7 | 73.2 |
| | female | 77.5 | 78.2 | 77.1 | 74.8 |
| 6 | male | 62.8 | 63.3 | 63.4 | 64.5 |
| | female | 66.5 | 65.4 | 66.0 | 68.5 |
| 7 | male | 60.2 | 60.2 | 60.7 | 60.8 |
| | female | 66.4 | 65.4 | 65.5 | 68.0 |
| 8 | male | 56.1 | 56.0 | 54.6 | 56.6 |
| | female | 63.8 | 64.7 | 61.3 | 64.7 |
| 9 | male | 64.5 | 61.6 | 60.8 | 63.3 |
| | female | 54.0 | 53.1 | 52.5 | 54.2 |
| 10 | male | 48.8 | 48.5 | 49.0 | 51.1 |
| | female | 54.9 | 55.2 | 55.8 | 58.7 |
| | | ********* | | | |

| | SUMMARY | OF F1 AVER | AGE ZNNA CON | SUMPTION (mg/ | 'kg/day) |
|------|---------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| WEEK | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm |
| 1 | male | 0 | 61 | 125 | 637 |
| | female | 0 | 56 | 121 | 581 |
| 2 | male | 0 | 54 | 109 | 556 |
| | female | 0 | 52 | 98 | 504 |
| 3 | male | 0 | 49 | 98 | 502 |
| | female | 0 | 47 | 92 | 466 |
| 4 | male | 0 | 43 | 89 | 458 |
| | female | 0 | 42 | 85 | 417 |
| 5 | male | 0 | 38 | 72 | 366 |
| | female | 0 | 39 | 77 | 374 |
| 6 | male | 0 | 32 | 63 | 322 |
| | female | 0 | 33 | 66 | 343 |
| 7 | male | 0 | 30 | 61 | 304 |
| | female | 0 | 33 | 66 | 340 |
| 8 | male | 0 | 28 | 55 | 283 |
| | female | 0 | 32 | 61 | 324 |
| 9 | male | 0 | 27 | 53 | 271 |
| | female | 0 | 31 | 61 | 317 |
| | male | 0 | 24 | 49 | 256 |
| | female | 0 | 28 | 56 | 294 |

Study #75-51-0497-88, Jan 87 - Feb 88 APPENDIX O

Study #75-51-0497-88, Jan 87 - Feb 88 APPENDIX P

SUMMARY OF F1 MATING AND PUP SURVIVABILITY

| | | CONTROL | LOW | MID | HIGH |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| * | | corn oil | 500ppm | 1000ppm | 5000ppm |
| MATING DAYS | | | | | |
| | x SD | 5.0 2.9 | 5.4 3.3 | 4.8 3.6 | 4.0 2.1 |
| GESTATION DURATION | | 22.2 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.2 |
| | sD SD | 22.2 0.4 | 22.2 0.7 | 22.4 0.5 | 22.2 0.6 |
| FERTILITY INDEX | | | | | |
| No. mating attempts | | 30 | 30 | 29 | 30 |
| No. observed matings | | 20 | 18 | 27 | 29 |
| Percent pregnancies | | 67% | 60% | 93% | 97% |
| GESTATION INDEX | | | | | |
| No. pups born | | 277 | 263 | 349 | 376 |
| No. born alive | | 271 | 262 | 345 | 371 |
| Percent live litters | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 97% |
| VIABILITY INDEX | | | | | |
| No. surviving at day 4 | | 267 | 257 | 346 | 368 |
| Percent surviving 0-4 | | 96% | 988 | 99% | 97% |
| LACTATION INDEX | | | | | |
| No. surviving day 4-21 (after standarization) Percent surviving 4-21 | | 157 | 143 | 205 | 224 |
| | | 998 | 99% | 100% | 100% |
| | | | | | |

j

| | SUMMARY OF F1 (F2) PUPS | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | CONTROL corn oil | LOW 500ppm | MID 1000ppm | HIGH 5000ppm | | |
| LITTER SIZE | | | | | | | |
| No. birth | SD t DF | 13.9 2.4 | 14.6 2.9 0.9 36 | 12.9 3.7 1.0 45 | 13.3 1.9 1.0 46 | | |
| LITTER WEIGHTS | | | | | | | |
| Birth (grams) | x SD t DF | 85.8 13.6 | 92.9 17.5 1.40 36 | 84.0 23.7 0.31 45 | 85.1 11.6 0.21 46 | | |
| Weaning (grams) | SD DF | 479 41.1 | 463 45.1 1.11 36 | $444 \\ 75.4 \\ 1.88 \\ 45$ | 405 32.6 6.90* 46 | | |
| PUP WEIGHTS | | | | | | | |
| Birth (grams) | x SD t DF | 6.4 0.4 | 6.4 0.5 1.11 36 | 6.6 0.4 1.28 45 | 6.5 0.4 0.33 46 | | |
| Weaning (grams) | SD SD DF | 61.1 3.4 | 58.3 4.8 2.02 36 | 58.6 3.7 2.28 45 | 50.7 4.1 9.22* 46 | | |
| SEX PROPORTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Male | | 50% | 48% | 478 | 51% | | |
| Female | | 50% | 52% | 53% | 49% | | |

Study # 75-51-0497-87, Jan 87 - Feb 88 APPENDIX Q

٨

* Indicates significance at the 0.05 level of probability.

APPENDIX R

ANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Analytical Quality Assurance Division, USAEHA, certifies the following with regard to this study:

a. This study was conducted in accordance with:

(1) Standing Operating Procedures developed by the Toxicology Division, USAEHA.

(2) Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, 1985 rev., Part 160, Good Laboratory Practice Standards.

b. Facilities were periodically inspected during its operational phase to ensure compliance with paragraph a, above.

c. The information presented in this report accurately reflects the raw data generated during the course of conducting this study.

TIMOTHY FISHER Chief, Analytical Quality Assurance Division